

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry based metabolomics investigation of different tissues of Mytilus galloprovincialis

Lea James, Elena Gomez, Gaëlle Ramirez, Thibaut Dumas, Frédérique

Courant

► To cite this version:

Lea James, Elena Gomez, Gaëlle Ramirez, Thibaut Dumas, Frédérique Courant. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry based metabolomics investigation of different tissues of Mytilus galloprovincialis. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part D: Genomics and Proteomics, 2023, 45, pp.101051. 10.1016/j.cbd.2022.101051. hal-03930806

HAL Id: hal-03930806 https://hal.science/hal-03930806

Submitted on 22 May 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Liquid	chromatography-mass	spectrometry	based	metabolomics								
2	investigation of different tissues of Mytilus galloprovincialis												
3	Lea James, Elena Gomez, Gaelle Ramirez, Thibaut Dumas and Frédérique Courant *												
4	HydroSciences Montpellier, University of Montpellier, IRD, CNRS, Montpellier, France												
5													
6	HydroSciences Montpellier, 15 avenue Charles Flahault, 34090 Montpellier												
7													
8													
9													
10	* Correspon	ding author : email: <u>frederique.courant</u>	t@umontpellier.fr; tel: +	-0033411759	414								
11													
12													
13	Ackno	wledgements & fun	ding										
14	This research	h was funded by SANOFI France and	the French Agence Nati	onale de la R	echerche (IMAP ANR-								
15	16-CE34-00	06-01). The authors thank the Platform	n Of Non-Target Enviro	onmental Me	tabolomics (PONTEM)								
16	of the Montp	pellier Alliance for Metabolomics and	Metabolism Analysis (N	MAMMA) co	nsortium facilities.								

19 Abstract

20 The Mediterranean mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis) is widely used in monitoring programs and in ecotoxicological studies to examine the biological effects of physicochemical parameter changes and the impact 21 of chemical pollutants. Metabolomics has recently demonstrated high potential to gain further insight into the 22 23 molecular effects of chemical exposure and the success of its application is dependent on the extent of prior metabolomics knowledge available on the target organism. Therefore, the purpose of this study was the 24 25 investigation of the metabolites of five different functional tissues of male and female Mediterranean mussels (digestive gland, foot, gill and gonad tissues and in the remaining soft tissues) accessible to the analysis using 26 the most common sample preparation recommended for tissue analysis (i.e. Bligh & Dyer). Metabolic 27 28 fingerprints were acquired via liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry and the identification was based on an internal database developed in the laboratory. It led to the identification of 110 metabolites, 29 among which amino acids, carboxylic acids, purine and pyrimidine metabolites were often the most abundant. 30 The metabolic contents of the five tissues quantitatively and qualitatively differed, with a clear distinction 31 32 between male and female contents observed in the gonads and digestive glands. These results underline the importance of selecting the most suitable tissue and sex to study the impact of contamination on metabolism 33 34 and the need for further research to deeper characterize the metabolome of this organism.

35 Keywords: metabolomics, bivalve, gonad, foot, digestive gland, gill, soft tissue

36

1. Introduction

Bivalve molluscs—a major taxon in marine waters—include the Mediterranean mussel (Mytilus 40 41 galloprovincialis). The distribution range of this species extends from southwestern Britain to the Mediterranean 42 Sea. This mollusc is considered to be a crucial ecosystem engineer since it is responsible for enhancing the 43 presence of small invertebrates by increasing the habitat complexity and improving the environmental conditions for coastal communities (Arribas et al., 2014). Mussels filter large volumes of water and can 44 bioaccumulate pollutants, thereby facilitating monitoring of their exposure to a variety of chemical contaminants 45 (Moreno-González et al., 2016; Faggio et al., 2018; López-García et al., 2019). Moreover, these organisms are 46 particularly interesting for monitoring programs and laboratory ecotoxicological studies due to their wide 47 48 geographical distribution, ability to tolerate different environmental conditions and suitability for in situ cage experiments. Mussels actively respond to environmental changes via dynamic modifications in their physiology, 49 gene expression and metabolism (Connor & Gracey, 2011, 2012; Gracey & Connor, 2016; Yang et al., 2019; 50 51 Keskinbalta & Celik, 2020). The ability of these organisms to rapidly react to changes in their surrounding 52 environment provides a basis for molecular profiling geared towards identifying specific transcriptomic, 53 proteomic or metabolomic profiles in response to different stresses.

54 Although the application of omics techniques in environmental science studies is recent, information can be 55 provided by these approaches to allow the assessment of molecular effects of environmental stressors on aquatic 56 organisms (Campillo et al., 2019; Lockwood et al., 2015; Tomanek & Zuzow, 2010). One of the most recent 57 omics approaches, i.e. metabolomics, concerns the metabolic profile of a cell or tissue or entire organism. 58 Investigated metabolites are generally of low molecular weight (50-1,500 Da) and occur in many essential 59 biological pathways. Their levels vary according to the physiological, developmental or pathological status of 60 cells, tissues, organs or whole organisms (Courant et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2021). Two 61 analytical methods for metabolic fingerprinting are commonly used: nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and 62 mass spectrometry (MS) combined with component separation methods such as liquid chromatography (LC-63 MS) and gas chromatography (GC-MS). Many ecotoxicology studies involving metabolomics have been 64 successfully carried out to unravel the effects of environmental stressors on mussels (Bonnefille et al., 2018; 65 Campillo et al., 2019; Cappello et al., 2015, 2017, 2020; Dumas et al., 2022; Ramirez et al., 2022).

66 However, although mussels are a key species for ecotoxicological studies, information on their metabolome is still fragmentary. The choice of the tissue to be studied is important in ecotoxicological studies. Indeed, different 67 stressor exposure signatures may be observed depending on the investigated tissue (Jordan et al., 2012). Insight 68 69 into the metabolome of the different *M. galloprovincialis* tissues is therefore essential. Two studies already reported knowledge on mussel metabolome for the purpose of species discrimination (Rochfort et al., 2013; 70 Utermann et al., 2018). The latter identified 76 major compounds dominated by pigments, alkaloids and 71 polyketides in whole tissue extracts. As the digestive gland and gills are the tissues focused on most commonly 72 73 in ecotoxicological studies, some metabolites have already been reported in these tissues following 74 environmental contaminant exposure (Song et al., 2016; Dumas et al., 2020a; Dumas et al., 2022). Gonads have 75 also been investigated to determine sex-specific differences in the mussel metabolome for the purpose of reproductive physiology studies (Cubero-Leon et al., 2012) or to highlight hormonal differences (Martínez-Pita 76 77 et al., 2012). One NMR-based study provided an overview of concentrations of the main metabolites of three functional tissues (digestive gland, gills and posterior adductor muscle) (Cappello et al., 2018). A total of 44 78 metabolites were thereby reported, with 27 being common to all three tissues, at 0.1–100 mM concentration. 79 80 The purpose of this study was to give information on male and female mussel metabolome and particularly on mussel metabolites that can be accessible to the analysis using the most common sample preparation 81 82 recommended for tissue analysis (i.e. Bligh & Dyer) (Vuckovic, 2020) and Liquid Chromatography High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (LC-HRMS). Mass spectrometry (MS) is more sensitive than NMR and may 83 therefore offer new opportunities for the detection of minor yet important compounds. This study was also 84 designed to estimate concentrations of the main metabolites of four different functional tissues of the 85

Mediterranean mussel (*M. galloprovincialis*), namely digestive gland (DG), foot (F), gill (G) and gonad (Go)
tissues and in the remaining soft tissues (S) which include mantle, muscles and palpes.

- 88
- 89
- 90 91

92 **2. Material and methods**

93 **2.1 Chemicals**

94 Ultrapure water was generated by a Simplicity UV system from Millipore (Bedford, MA, USA) with a specific
95 resistance of 18.2 MΩ.cm at 25°C. Pesticide analytical-grade solvents (methanol, dichloromethane and ethanol)
96 and LC/MS grade solvents (water, acetonitrile, formic acid 99%) were obtained from Carlo Erba (Val de Reuil,
97 France). Analytical pure standards were purchased from the four following suppliers: Sigma-Aldrich (now part
98 of Merck), Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Toronto Research Chemicals and LGC Standards. All chemicals used in
99 this study were analytical grade (> 95% purity).

100

101 **2.2 Animals**

102 *Mytilus galloprovincialis* mussels were obtained from a Mediterranean shellfish farm (Bouzigues, France) in 103 February 2017. Twenty mussels were collected and uniformly selected according to their shell size (6-8 cm). 104 They were depurated for 7 seven days in filtered seawater (provided by IFREMER, Palavas, France; filter GF/F 105 \emptyset 100 μ m) and dissected. Sex determination was done by microscopy and 3 mature females and 3 males were 106 selected. Mussels were dissected on ice and five tissues were collected (digestive gland, foot, gill, gonad and 107 soft tissues). The samples were directly frozen and stored at -80°C prior to analysis.

108

109

2.3 Tissue sample preparation

This study was performed on 5 different tissues with 3 replicates for male and 3 for female mussels (n = 30). 110 Mussel tissues were freeze-dried and ground into a fine powder. The tissue sample preparation protocol was 111 previously described by Bonnefille et al. (2018). A biphasic methanol/dichloromethane/water (16/16/13; v/v/v) 112 solvent system was used. Briefly, 30 mg of each tissue sample (± 0.40 mg) were first homogenised and extracted 113 in a glass tube with 240 µL of methanol and 75 µL of water and vortexed for 1 min. In a second step, 240 µL 114 of dichloromethane and 120 µL of water were added and again vortexed. The samples were left at 4°C for 15 115 min and then vortexed and centrifuged at 2,000× g for 15 min at 4°C. 50 μ L volumes of the supernatant (polar 116 phase) were collected and evaporated to dryness under a nitrogen stream and finally re-suspended in 200 µL of 117 water/acetonitrile (ACN) (95/5; v/v). The extracts were subsequently filtered directly into an analysis vial using 118 a 0.20 µm PTFE syringe filter (Minisart SRP 4, Sartorius). Five quality control (QC) samples corresponding to 119 each tissue were prepared by pooling 40 µL of each sample extract included in the experiment. 120

122 **2.4 LC-HRMS analysis**

123 The injections were randomly performed on a Vanquish HPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled to a O Exactive Orbitrap high resolution mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, 124 Germany) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization source (HESI). A reversed phase 125 126 pentafluorophenylpropyl (PFPP) analytical column ($100 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}$; 3 µm particle size; Sigma Aldrich, PA, Bellefonte, USA) was used for LC separation. 10 uL volumes of each sample were loaded onto the column with 127 full loop injection. The mobile phases were two mixtures of water/ACN (99/1; v/v) (phase A) or ACN/water 128 (99/1; v/v) (phase B), both modified with 0.1% formic acid. The flow rate was 250 µL/min and the following 129 gradient was applied (A/B): 95/5 from 0 to 3 min, 60/40 at 8 min, 50/50 at 9 min, 30/70 at 13 min and 5/95 from 130 15 to 18 min. From 18 to 21 min, the system was left to return to the starting conditions followed by a re-131 equilibration period (95/5) of 7 min (total run time, 28 min). 132

The Q Exactive HRMS was tuned to a mass resolution of 35,000 (FWHM, m/z 200), with a mass spectrum range of 50–750 m/z. Data were acquired simultaneously in both positive (ESI⁺) and negative (ESI⁻) ionization modes with the following settings: 3.35 |kV| spray voltage, 55 sheath gas flow rate, 10 aux gas flow rate, 50 S-Lens RF level, 300°C capillary temperature and 250°C heater temperature. Data independent acquisition (DIA) was also applied on the QC_{tissue} samples with a high energy collision dissociation (HCD) cell set at 20 eV, with a mass resolution of 17,500.

139

140

2.5 Quality control and semi-quantification

The QC samples were injected at the beginning, end and during the sequence to assess the analytical repeatability of the acquisitions. In order to estimate the concentrations of the identified metabolites in mussel tissues (ND: not detected; +/-: <0.1 mg/kg; +:0.1-1 mg/kg; ++:1-10 mg/kg; +++:10-100 mg/kg; ++++:>100 mg/kg), calibration curves were plotted by injecting increasing quantities of the pure target analytes from 0.015 to 15 ng injected on-column (corresponding to 0.01 to 10 mg/kg dw when considering a 30 mg sample size).

146

147 **2.6 Data processing and statistical analysis**

148 **2.6.1** Data processing

149 Raw data were converted to .mzXML files with MSConvert freeware (ProteoWizard 3.0, Chambers et al., 2012). Data were then processed using the XCMS package (Smith et al., 2006) in the R environment. Two treatments 150 were performed: all tissues together (n=30) and each tissue separately (n=6 for each tissue, n=3 per sex). 151 Optimized XCMS parameters were used: 0.002 m/z interval for peak picking, signal-to-noise ratio threshold of 152 153 10, the group bandwidth of 15, and 0.5 minimum fraction. XCMS returned results as a peak table containing variable identity (i.e. m/z and retention time) and feature abundances (i.e. peak area). One file per tissue was 154 generated and one file containing the peak integrations in all tissues. Each extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) 155 was visually assessed to verify that each integrated signal corresponded to a Gaussian chromatographic peak. 156 157 All features corresponding to baseline drift or background noise were discarded from the dataset.

158

159

2.6.2 Multivariate statistical analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the table containing all the tissues results (SIMCA 13.0.3., Umetrics, Sweden) in order to reduce the dataset complexity and highlight mussel tissue- and sexspecific metabolic differences. Datasets were log transformed and Pareto scaled prior to analysis.

163

164

2.7 Metabolite identification

165 Annotation was performed using an in-house database. This database consisted of 272 metabolites and their characteristics (chemical formula, log P, retention time Rt with the same chromatographic column, positive and 166 167 negative adducts). Therefore, all annotations performed were of level 1 according to Sumner et al., (2007). Level 1 corresponds to annotation confirmed by injection of the analytical standard on the same analytical platform 168 under the same conditions (validation based on both accurate mass and retention time). Moreover, standard 169 addition was made in the matrix to confirm the retention time. Identified metabolites were assigned to subclasses 170 171 and direct parents according to HMDB. For identified metabolites, integrations were performed again manually 172 with Thermo FreeStyle software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

173

2.8 Molecular network

Using all MS/MS data (converted in mgf format with MZmine software) obtained for the five tissues, a
molecular network was created using the Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking (GNPS) online

workflow (http://gnps.ucsd.edu). With MS-Cluster, the data were clustered with a parent mass tolerance of 0.002
Da and a MS/MS fragment ion tolerance of 0.002 Da. A network was then created where the edges were filtered
to have a cosine score > 0.6 with more than four matched fragment ions. Molecular networks were visualized
using Cytoscape 3.6.0. (NRNB & NIGMS, USA).

181

182 **3. Results**

LC-HRMS analyses of the 5 male and female mussel tissues were carried out in both negative and positive electrospray ionization modes (ESI- & ESI+). The metabolic fingerprints provided 10,625 signals detected in ESI- and 8,878 signals in ESI+. The analytical repeatability was acceptable, as demonstrated on the PCA score plots in both ESI+ (Fig. 1) and ESI- (Supplementary Fig. 1), where the QC_{tissues} samples (n=3 per tissue) were plotted together and along with their respective tissue samples.

Distinct clusters were observed between the 5 tissues (Fig. 1). According to the first principal component (PC1), and the second component (PC2), there was a clear separation between the metabolome of the 5 tissues. Moreover, a clear separation between male and female samples was noted in some tissues. Sexual metabolic differentiation in gonad tissues was visible according to PC1, whereas this differentiation was observable according to PC2 for digestive gland and foot tissues. Similar patterns were noted in ESI- (Supplementary Fig. 1).



194

Fig. 1 PCA score plot of ESI + metabolic fingerprints from digestive gland (yellow circle), foot (red square),
gill (green triangle), gonad (inverted blue triangle) and soft (orange diamond) mussel tissues showing a clear
separation between these tissues. In black (circle), the QC_{tissue} are shown. (Note that interpretation of the
references to colour in this figure legend are provided in the web version of this article)

A molecular network was obtained, showing clusters of compounds with spectral similarities (Supplementary Fig. 2). Interestingly, there were clusters shared between all tissues, thus demonstrating the absence of tissue specificity for these metabolites, while other clusters appeared to be specific to one tissue (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2 Small selection of clusters highlighted by GNPS analysis based on MS/MS fragmentation spectra
obtained from the different Mediterranean mussel tissues. The color code is as follows: digestive gland (yellow),
foot (red), gill (green), gonad (blue) and soft (orange) tissues. The relative intensity of the metabolite in each
tissue is represented as a pie chart on each node

209

210 Based on our in-house database, 110 metabolites were identified in the 5 tissues (87 in gill, 102 in gonad, 102 in digestive gland, 90 in foot and 105 in soft tissues). A list of metabolites identified in the different tissues with 211 212 a distinction between male and female samples is given in Table 1, along with their characteristics in positive 213 and negative ESI and their abundances. Some metabolites were found to be present in large quantities in all 214 tissues compared to others, such as betaine, proline betaine and succinic acid (> 100 mg/kg dw). These 215 metabolites were present at 100- and 50-fold higher concentrations than most of the other identified compounds. 216 Other compounds were also noted at high concentrations specifically in one or more tissues, such as glycerophosphorylcholine, adenosine related compounds or deoxyribose. Three amino acids (glycine, arginine 217 218 and L-aspartic acid) were also abundant, with concentrations of >100 mg/kg dw. A large proportion of the 219 identified metabolites belonged to the amino acid subclass, such as serine, threonine and tyrosine metabolites. 220 Purine and pyrimidine subclasses were also detected with many intermediates, including their respective nucleotides and nucleosides, which were identified. Differences in abundance of specific metabolites were noted
when comparing male or female samples (Table 1, e.g. 2-methylglutaric acid in gonad tissues or adenosine in
foot, digestive gland and soft tissues). Indeed, as depicted in Fig. 1, gonad and digestive gland tissues showed
differentiation between male and female tissues.

227 Table 1: Estimation of the concentrations of metabolites (mg/kg dw, ppm) observed in gill, gonad, digestive gland, foot and soft tissues in female and male mussels (mean

abundances for each sex; ND: not detected; +/-: <0.1 ppm; +:0.1-1 ppm; ++:1-10 ppm; +++:10-100 ppm; ++++:>100 ppm)

				ES	1+	ES	61-	Abundances in tissues									
Sub-class (HMDB)	Direct parent (HMDB)	Metabolite	Rt (min)	Adduct	m/z	Adduct	m/z	Male gills	Female gills	Male Gonads	Female Gonads	Male digestive glands	Female digestive glands	Male feet	Female feet	Soft Male tissues	Soft Female tissues
Alcohols and polyols	Secondary alcohols	Pantothenic acid	2,94	[M+H] ⁺	220,1179	[M-H] ⁻	218,1034	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	++
Alkaloids and derivatives	Alkaloïds and derivatives	Trigonelline	1,55	[M+H] ⁺	138,0550	[M+FA-H]	182,0459	ND	+	++	++	++	++	+/-	+/-	++	++
Alkyl-nhenviketones	Alkyl-phenyl ketones	DL-kynurenine	9,17	[M+H] ⁺	209,0921			+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+/-	+	+
	,.	N-Acetyl-5-methoxykynuramine (AMK)	11,33	[M+H] ⁺	237,1234			ND	ND	+	+	+	+	+	+	ND	ND
Amines	2-arylethylamines	Histamine	5,34	[M+H] ⁺	112,0869			+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
	Alanine and derivatives / Alpha amino	L-Alanine/Sarcosine	1,49	[M+H] ⁺	90,0550	[M-H] ⁻	88,0404	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
		Betaine	1,41	[M+H] ⁺	118,0863	[M+FA-H]	162,0772	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
	Alpha amino acids	Glycine	1,37	[M+H] ⁺	76,0393	[M-H] ⁻	74,0248	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++
		Pipecolic acid / pipecolinic acid	2,52	[M+H] ⁺	130,0863			+/-	+/-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Alpha amino acids and derivatives	Pyroglutamic acid = 5-oxoproline	1,91	[M+H] ⁺	130,0499	[M-H] ⁻	128,0353	+	+	++	++	++	++	+/-	+/-	++	++
	Aspartic acid and derivatives	L-Aspartic acid	1,33	[M+H]*	134,0448	[M-H] [*]	132,0302	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++
		N-Acetyl-L-aspartic acid	1,65	[M+H] [*]	1/6,0553	[M-H]	174,0408	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ND	+	+
	Beta amino acids and derivatives	(s)-b-aminoisobutyric acid	2,83	[IVI+H] [*]	104,0706			+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
			2,28	[IVI+H] ⁺	90,0550	[a.a. 1.1]-	250 4200	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
		Gamma-I-glutamyI-I-leucine	9,91	[IVI+H]	261,1445	[M-H]	259,1299	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Gamma amino acids and derivatives	gamma-Aminobutyric acid (GABA)	2,58	[IVI+H]*	104,0706	[84.11]-	140.0450	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	+	+
	Glutamic acid and derivatives	L-Giutamic acid	1,47	[IVI+H]	148,0604	[IVI-H]	146,0459	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
	Histiding and derivatives	N-Acetyi-L-giutamic acid	1,92	[M+H]+	156 0769	[IVI-H]	154 0622	ND .	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	+	ND	ND	+/-	ND
	Indolyl carboxylic acids and	- I-Toyotophan	2,33	[M+H]+	205 0072	[NA_LL]-	202 0926	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
			4.60	[M+H]+	122 1010	[M-H]-	120.0974	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++++	+++
	Isoleucine and derivatives	N-Acetyliseleucipe	4,00	[M+H]+	174 1125	[M-H]-	172 0070	ND	T ND	++	++	++	++	ND	ND	++	++
			1 35	[M+H]+	120.0655	[M-H]-	118 0510	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	+/-
Amino acids, peptides and		L-alpha-Aminohutyric acid/2-aminoisohutyric acid	2 30	[M+H]+	104 0706	[ivi ii]	110,0510										
analogues	Lainha amino acids		2,50	[M+H]+	175 1190	[M-H]-	173 1044		***			***	****		***		
		L-Glutamine	1.35	[M+H]+	147.0764	[M-H] ⁻	145.0619	+	++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++
		I-Homocysteic acid	1.13	[M+H] ⁺	184.0274	1	,	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	+
		L-Lvsine	2.54	[M+H] ⁺	147.1128	[M-H] ⁻	145.0983	++	++	++++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++
		L-Ornithine	2,38	[M+H]⁺	133,0972		-,	+	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++
		L-Threonine/L-Homoserine	1,43	[M+H]+	120,0655	[M-H] ⁻	118,0510	ND	ND	++	++++	+++	++	++	++	++	++
		N6-Acetyl-L-lysine	2,01	 [M+H]⁺	189,1234	[M-H] ⁻	187,1088	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	ND	+/-	+/-
	Leucine and derivatives	L-Leucine	5,07	[M+H]⁺	132,1019			++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++
	Methionine and derivatives	L-Methionine	2,70	[M+H] ⁺	150,0583			+	+	+	ND	++	++	++	++	++	++
		- N-Acetyl-L-alanine	1,98	[M+H] ⁺	132,0655	[M-H] ⁻	130,0510	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+/-	+	+
	N-acyl-L-alpha amino acids	N-Acetyl-L-threonine	1,67	[M+H] ⁺	162,0761	[M-H]	160,0615	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++
	Oligopeptides	Ophthalmic acid	2,02	[M+H] ⁺	290,1347	[M-H] ⁻	288,1201	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	+
	Peptides	- Oxidized glutathione	2,27	[M+2H] ⁺	307,0833	[M-H] ⁻	611,1447	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
		- L-Phenylalanine	8,25	[M+H] ⁺	166,0863	[M-H] ⁻	164,0717	++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++
	Phenylalanine and derivatives	N-Acetyl-L-phenylalanine	11,06	[M+H] ⁺	208,0968	[M-H] ⁻	206,0823	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	+/-
		- 4-Hydroxyproline	1,33	[M+H] ⁺	132,0655	[M-H] ⁻	130,0510	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++
	Proline and derivatives	L-Proline	1,51	[M+H] ⁺	116,0706			++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++	++	++++	+++
		Proline betaine	1,59	[M+H]⁺	144,1019	[M+FA-H]	188,0928	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++

Backer			Metabolite		ESI +		ESI-		Abundances in tissues									
International internatinternational international international international	Sub-class (HMDB)	Direct parent (HMDB)		Rt (min)	Adduct	m/z	Adduct	m/z	Male gills	Female gills	Male Gonads	Female Gonads	Male digestive glands	Female digestive glands	Male feet	Female feet	Soft Male tissues	Soft Female tissues
Adapter interpander interpande		Serine and derivatives	L-Serine	1,35	[M+H] ⁺	106,0499	[M-H] ⁻	104,0353	++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++
Protect end derivative Same adderivative			3,4-Dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine (L-Dopa)	2,81	[M+H] ⁺	198,0761	[M-H] ⁻	196,0615	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	++	++	ND	++
Image: sector Image: sector Image: sector Image: sec		•	Gamma-glutamyltyrosine	7,65	[M+H]+	311,1238			ND	ND	ND	+	+	+	ND	ND	ND	ND
Index Index <th< td=""><td></td><td>Tyrosine and derivatives</td><td>L-Tyrosine</td><td>3,65</td><td>[M+H]⁺</td><td>182,0812</td><td>[M-H]⁻</td><td>180,0666</td><td>++</td><td>+++</td><td>++++</td><td>+++</td><td>++++</td><td>+++</td><td>+++</td><td>+++</td><td>+++</td><td>+++</td></th<>		Tyrosine and derivatives	L-Tyrosine	3,65	[M+H] ⁺	182,0812	[M-H] ⁻	180,0666	++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Value and sources			N-Acetyl-L-tyrosine	8,44	[M+H] ⁺	224,0917	[M-H] ⁻	222,0772	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	+/-
Autom Number of the second Solution No. No.<			L-Valine	2,43	[M+H] ⁺	118,0863	[M-H] ⁻	116,0717	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
Physical colin owner acids owner acids owner acids owner acid		valine and derivatives	N-Acetylvaline	5,45	[M+H] ⁺	160,0968	[M-H] ⁻	158,0823	ND	ND	+	+	+/-	+	ND	ND	+/-	+/-
Barbon and subtriving Besize and subtring Besize and subtriving <		2(hydroxyphenyl)acetic acids	Homogentisic acid	4,48			[M-H] ⁻	167,0350	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+/-	ND	ND	+/-
elinearry elineary elineary elinearry elinearry elinearry elinearry elinearry eline	Benzene and substituted	Benzoic acids	Phthalic acid	9,88	[M-H ₂ O+H] ⁺	149,0228	[M-H] ⁻	165,0193	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
Perspectational ImprovemberPerspectational 	derivatives	dimethoxybenzenes	Homoveratric acid	11,58	[M+H] ⁺	197,0808	[M-H] ⁻	195,0663	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	ND	ND	+/-	+/-
Instructional and sharderw Better calcis Provide pr		Phenylacetamides	2-Phenylacetamide	9,30	[M+H] ⁺	136,0757			+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
Adjuintingers Adjuinti	Benzoic acids and derivatives	Benzoic acids	Formylanthranilic acid	11,83	[M+H] ⁺	166,0499	[M-H] ⁻	164,0353	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+	+
Appliancinging Applianciaming Applian		A . 1	N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine	1,30	[M+H] ⁺	222,0972	[M+CI] ⁻	256,0593	+++	++++	++	++	++++	+++	++	++	++	++
carbolydrate colligate Sugar sick and drivers Guorais and driver<	Carbohydrates and	Acylaminosugars	N-Acetylmannosamine	1,32	[M-H ₂ O+H]*	204,0861	[M+FA-H] ⁻	266,0881	+	+	+	+	++	++	+/-	ND	+	+
Mage: Add: Add derivatives Opport add 1.22 MHI 105,023 0.4 0.4 0.4 <	carbohydrate conjugates	Sugar acids and derivatives	Gluconic acid	1,21			[M-H] ⁻	195,0510	ND	ND	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+
Carbonic acids Opwork acids 1,44 7,2991 1,5037 1,6 1,6 1,6 1,6<			Glyceric acid	1,32			[M-H] ⁻	105,0193	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++
Carbonylic aids and derivative Furnaric aid 2,7		Carboxylic acids	Glyoxylic acid	1,44			[M-H] ⁻	72,9931	++	++	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++	++
Gluate caid Gluate caid 27 18 <td></td> <td rowspan="5">Dicarboxylic acids and derivatives</td> <td>Fumaric acid</td> <td>2,17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[M-H]⁻</td> <td>115,0037</td> <td>+</td>		Dicarboxylic acids and derivatives	Fumaric acid	2,17			[M-H] ⁻	115,0037	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carbonylic adds and derivatives Medic add Adds Line Medic add Adds Line Medic add Adds Line Medic add Adds Line Line <thline< th=""> Line Line <t< td=""><td></td><td>Glutaric acid</td><td>2,77</td><td></td><td></td><td>[M-H]⁻</td><td>131,0350</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+++</td><td>+++</td><td>++</td><td>++</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>++</td><td>++</td></t<></thline<>			Glutaric acid	2,77			[M-H] ⁻	131,0350	+	+	+++	+++	++	++	+	+	++	++
Carbonyle adds Maloric add Modinic add 100 100 100			Maleic acid	2,85			[M-H] ⁻	115,0037	+/-	+/-	+	+	+	+	+/-	+/-	+	+
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Carboxylic acids		Malonic acid	1,65			[M-H] ⁻	103,0037	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	++	++
N-screption M-screption Size M-screption Size M-screption Size M-screption M-screptio			Succinic acid	2,07	[M+2Na-H] ⁺	162,9978	[M-H] ⁻	117,0193	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		N-acetyl-2-arylethylamines	N-Acetylhistamine	3,26	[M+H] ⁺	154,0975			+/-	+/-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Image bind fatty adds Feradescanedio: add 14,43 Melling and conjugate Melling and c		Tricarboxylic acids and derivatives	Citric acid	1,55			[M-H] ⁻	191,0197	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++
Pathy acid and conjugates Medium chain farty acids Price acid 9,18 [M+H] 133,067 (M+H] 145,0663 + + + + +/< +/< +/< +/< +/< +/< +/< +/< +/< +/< +/ +// <		Long chain fatty acids	Tetradecanedioic acid	14,43			[M-H] ⁻	257,1758	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fatty add and conjugates Methyl-branched fatty adds 2-Methylglutaric add 4,80 Methyl-branched fatty adds 2-Methylglutaric add 4,80 Methyl-branched fatty adds Methyl-branched fatty adds		Medium chain fatty acids	Pimelic acid	9,18	[M-H ₂ O+H]*	143,0697	[M-H] ⁻	159,0663	+	+/-	++	++	+	++	+/-	+/-	+	+
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Fatty acid and conjugates	Methyl-branched fatty acids	2-Methylglutaric acid	4,80			[M-H] ⁻	145,0506	+	+	++	+++	++	++	+/-	+/-	++	++
Fatty acids seters Acyl carnitines L-Acetylcarnitine 6.32 $(M+H)^{+}$ 204,1230 $(M+FA+H)^{+}$ 248,110 $++$ <		Straight chain fatty acids	Succinic acid semialdehyde	9,64			[3M-H]	305,0878	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	ND	ND.	+/-	+/-
Glycerophospholipids Glycerophosphocholines Glycerophosphocholines LABIC acid 1,20 [M+H]' 258,1101 [M+C]' 292,0722 +++ <	Fatty acids esters	Acyl carnitines	L-Acetylcarnitine	6,32	[M+H]*	204,1230	[M+FA-H]	248,1140	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	++
Beta hydroxy acids and Beta hydroxy acids and derivatives L-Main cacid 1,44 (M-H]* 133,012 +++ +++<	Glycerophospholipids	Glycerophosphocholines	Glycerylphosphorylcholine	1,20	[M+H]+	258,1101	[M+CI] ⁻	292,0722	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++
Alpha-keto acids and derivatives Pyruvic acid 1,58 (M-H]* 87,088 +	Beta hydroxy acids and	Beta hydroxy acids and derivatives	L-Malic acid	1,44			[M-H] ⁻	133,0142	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++
Keto acids and derivatives Medium chain keto acids and Oxoadipic acid 1,92 IM-H1 159,0299 ND ND ND +/- <td></td> <td>Alpha-keto acids and derivatives</td> <td>Pyruvic acid</td> <td>1,58</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[M-H]⁻</td> <td>87,0088</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>++</td> <td>++</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>++</td> <td>++</td>		Alpha-keto acids and derivatives	Pyruvic acid	1,58			[M-H] ⁻	87,0088	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++
Short-chain keto acids and derivatives 3-Methyl-2-coxvaleric acid 8,35 [M-H] 129,0557 ND	Keto acids and derivatives	Medium chain keto acids and	Oxoadipic acid	1,92			[M-H] ⁻	159,0299	ND	ND	+	+	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	+
Methoxyphenols Methoxyphenols Normetanephrine 5,38 [M-H_2O+H] ¹ 166,0857 ND ND H + ND ND ND + + Organic phosphoric acids and Monoalkylphosphates Phosphoglycolic acid 1,00 [M+H] ¹ 156,9897 + ND ++ <t< td=""><td></td><td>Short-chain keto acids and derivatives</td><td>3-Methyl-2-oxovaleric acid</td><td>8,35</td><td></td><td></td><td>[M-H]⁻</td><td>129,0557</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td></t<>		Short-chain keto acids and derivatives	3-Methyl-2-oxovaleric acid	8,35			[M-H] ⁻	129,0557	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	+	+	+	+	+
Organic phosphoric acids and Pentoses Monoalkylphosphates Phosphoglycolic acid 1,00 [M+H]* 156,9897 ++ ND ++ ++ ++ ++	Methoxyphenols	Methoxyphenols	Normetanephrine	5,38	[M-H ₂ O+H]*	166,0857			ND	ND	+	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	+
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Organic phosphoric acids and	Monoalkylphosphates	Phosphoglycolic acid	1,00	[M+H]+	156,9897			+	ND	++	++	++	++	+	ND	++	++
Phenetylamines Phenylethylamine 14,10 $[M+H]^{+}$ 122,0964 +/-	Pentoses	Pentoses	Deoxyribose	1,29			[M+FA-H]	179,0561	++++	++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++
PhenethylaminesPhenethylaminesTyramineTyramine8,50[M+H]*138,0913 $+/ +/-$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Phenylethylamine</td><td>14,10</td><td>[M+H]*</td><td>122,0964</td><td></td><td></td><td>+/-</td><td>+/-</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+/-</td><td>+/-</td><td>+/-</td><td>+/-</td><td>+</td><td>+/-</td></t<>			Phenylethylamine	14,10	[M+H]*	122,0964			+/-	+/-	+	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-
Phenols 1-hydroxy-2-unsubstituted p-octopamine 3,67 [M+l_0+H]* 136,0751 + + + +	Phenethylamines	Phenetylamines	Tyramine	8,50	[M+H]+	138,0913			+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	+/-
Phenylacetaldehydes 3,4-Dihydroxymandelaldehyde 5,10 IM-H] 167,0350 ND ND ++	Phenols	1-hydroxy-2-unsubstituted	p-octopamine	3.67	[M-H ₂ O+H]*	136.0751			+	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++
Hardware Hardware <th< td=""><td>Phenylacetaldehydes</td><td>Phenylacetaldehydes</td><td>3.4-Dihydroxymandelaldehyde</td><td>5.10</td><td> ,</td><td> /</td><td>[M-H]-</td><td>167.0350</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>++</td><td>++</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>++</td><td>++</td></th<>	Phenylacetaldehydes	Phenylacetaldehydes	3.4-Dihydroxymandelaldehyde	5.10	,	/	[M-H]-	167.0350	ND	ND	+	+	++	++	ND	ND	++	++
Phenylsulfates Dopamine 4-sulfate 2,39 [M+H] 232,028 + + + ND + </td <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>I-3-phenyllactic acid</td> <td>10.88</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[M-H]</td> <td>165.0557</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>+/-</td> <td>+/-</td> <td>+/-</td> <td>+/-</td> <td>ND</td> <td>+/-</td> <td>+/-</td>		,	I-3-phenyllactic acid	10.88			[M-H]	165.0557	ND	ND	ND	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	ND	+/-	+/-
Purine 2'-deoxyribonucleosides 2'-Deoxyguanosine 2.48 [M+H]* 268,1040 [M-H]* 266,0895 +/- ND + ND + ND ND + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + ND + ND + ND + ND +	Phenylsulfates	Phenylsulfates	Dopamine 4-sulfate	2,39			[M-H]	232.0285	+	+	+	ND	+	+	+	+	+	+
Purine nucleosides Purine nucleosides Guanosine 2,12 (M+H)* 268,1040 (M+H)* 212,0950 ++ ++ +++ <td></td> <td>Purine 2'-deoxyribonucleosides</td> <td>2'-Deoxyguanosine</td> <td>2.48</td> <td>[M+H]+</td> <td>268,1040</td> <td>[M-H]</td> <td>266,0895</td> <td>+/-</td> <td>ND</td> <td>+</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>ND.</td> <td>ND</td> <td>+</td> <td>ND</td>		Purine 2'-deoxyribonucleosides	2'-Deoxyguanosine	2.48	[M+H]+	268,1040	[M-H]	266,0895	+/-	ND	+	ND		+	ND.	ND	+	ND
Purine nucleosides Purine nucleosides Guanosine 2,12 [M+H]* 284,0989 [M-H]* 282,084 ++ + ++ </td <td></td> <td>. unite 2 accorymonitolicosides</td> <td>Adenosine</td> <td>3,32</td> <td>[M+H]*</td> <td>268,1040</td> <td>[M+FA-H]</td> <td>312.0950</td> <td>++</td> <td>++</td> <td>++++</td> <td>++++</td> <td>++++</td> <td>+++</td> <td>+++</td> <td>++</td> <td>+++</td> <td>++</td>		. unite 2 accorymonitolicosides	Adenosine	3,32	[M+H]*	268,1040	[M+FA-H]	312.0950	++	++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++	+++	++
Instance Log Log <thlog< th=""> <thlog< th=""> <thlog< th=""> <thlog< t<="" td=""><td>Purine nucleosides</td><td>Purine nucleosides</td><td>Guanosine</td><td>2,12</td><td>[M+H]+</td><td>284.0989</td><td>[M-H]</td><td>282.0844</td><td>++</td><td>+</td><td>++</td><td>++</td><td>++</td><td>++</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>++</td><td>++</td></thlog<></thlog<></thlog<></thlog<>	Purine nucleosides	Purine nucleosides	Guanosine	2,12	[M+H]+	284.0989	[M-H]	282.0844	++	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++
	Furne nucleosides		Inosine	1 97	[M+H]+	269,0880	[M-H] ⁻	267.0735			++	++	+++	+++	++	++	++	++
Purine ribonucleoside diphosphates Adenosine diphosphate (=ADP) 1.12 $M+H^{+}$ 428.0367 $M-H^{-}$ 426.0221 444 444 444 444 444 444 444		Purine ribonucleoside dinhosphates	Adenosine diphosphate (=ADP)	1 1 2	[M+H]+	428,0367	[M-H] ⁻	426,0221	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++

				ESI	+	ESI-						Abundances in tissues								
Sub-class (HMDB)	Direct parent (HMDB)	Metabolite	Rt (min)	Adduct	m/z	Adduct	m/z	Male gills	Female gills	Male Gonads	Female Gonads	Male digestive glands	Female digestive glands	Male feet	Female feet	Soft Male tissues	Soft Female tissues			
	Purine ribonucleoside	Adenosine monophosphate (=5'AMP)/3'AMP	1,31	$[M+H]^+$	348,0704	[M-H] ⁻	346,0558	++	++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++			
	6-aminopurines	Adenine	3,03	[M+H] ⁺	136,0618	[M-H] ⁻	134,0472	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	+			
Purines and purines derivatives	Hypoxanthines	Hypoxanthine	1,91	[M+H] ⁺	137,0458	[M-H] ⁻	135,0312	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+/-	+	+			
Furnes and purnes derivatives	Purines and purine derivatives	Guanine	2,46	$[M+H]^+$	152,0567	[M-H] ⁻	150,0421	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	++			
	Xanthines	Xanthine	1,90	[M+H] ⁺	153,0407	[M-H] ⁻	151,0261	+/-	ND	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+	+			
Pyridinecarboxylic acids and	Nicotinamides	Niacinamide (=nicotinamide)	2,62	[M+H] ⁺	123,0553	[M+FA-H] ⁻	167,0462	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	++			
derivatives	Pyridine carboxylic acids	Nicotinic acid (vitamine B3)	2,02	$[M+H]^+$	124,0393	[M-H] ⁻	122,0248	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++			
	Pyrimidine nucleosides	Cytidine	2,78	[M+H] ⁺	244,0928	[M+FA-H] ⁻	288,0837	+	+	++	+++	++	++	+	+	++	++			
		Uridine	1,91	[M+H] ⁺	245,0768	[M+FA-H] ⁻	289,0677	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	+	++	++			
Pyrimidine nucleosides		Deoxycytidine	3,23	[M+H] ⁺	228,0979	[M+FA-H]	272,0888	ND	ND	+	+	+	+	ND	ND	+	+			
	Pyrimidine-2'-deoxyribonucleosides	Deoxyuridine	1,96			[M+FA-H]	273,0728	ND	ND	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	ND			
		_ Thymidine	2,71	[M+H] ⁺	243,0975	[M+FA-H] ⁻	287,0885	ND	ND	+	ND	+	+	ND	ND	+	ND			
Durimidinos and purimidino	Hydroxypyrimidines	_ Thymine	1,60	[M+H] ⁺	127,0502	[M-H] ⁻	125,0357	++	++	+++	+++	++	++	+	+/-	+++	++			
derivatives	Pyrimidones	Cytosine	2,55	[M+H] ⁺	112,0505			+/-	+/-	+	+	+	+	ND	ND	+	+			
	Pyrimidones	Uracil	1,64			[M-H] ⁻	111,0200	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	+	++	++			
Pyrrolidones	Pyrrolidine-2-ones	2-Pyrrolidinone	2,03	[M+H] ⁺	86,0600			++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	+			
Quaternary ammonium salts	Carnitines	L-Carnitine	2,80	$[M+H]^+$	162,1125	[M+FA-H]	206,1034	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++			
Quinolines and derivatives	Quinoline carboxylic acids	Kynurenic acid	10,88	[M+H] ⁺	190,0499			ND	ND	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	ND	ND	+/-	+/-			
Sulfinic acids	Sulfinic acids	Hypotaurine	1,22	$[M+H]^+$	110,0270	[M-H] ⁻	108,0125	++	++	ND	ND	++++	+++	+++	++++	++	++			
Tryptamines and derivatives	Serotonins	Serotonin	10,78	[M+H] ⁺	177,1022			+	++	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	++	++	+	+			

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to give information on mussel metabolome and particularly on mussel metabolites that can be accessible to the analysis using the most common sample preparation recommended for tissue analysis (i.e. Bligh & Dyer). Acquisitions were performed with LC-HRMS and identifications were conducted with an internal database (suspect screening approach).

235

236 Main metabolites belong to amino acids, carboxylic acids and purine nucleosides sub-classes

One hundred and ten metabolites were identified. The most detected subclasses were amino acids, 237 carboxylic acids and purine and pyrimidine metabolites. Three amino acids were present in large 238 quantities in tissues (glycine, L-aspartic acid and arginine) which had already been found in significant 239 240 quantities in digestive glands according to Cappello et al. (2018). Betaine and proline betaine markedly prevailed over the other metabolites in all 5 tissues. Indeed, osmolytes such as betaine as well as some 241 242 free amino acids, are the most abundant metabolites in Mytilus galloprovincialis according to Rochfort et al. (2013) and Cappello et al. (2018). These osmolytes are natural compounds that actively 243 accumulate to allow the cells to adapt quickly to variations, such as osmotic stress (Pulliainen et al., 244 245 2010). Glycerophosphorylcholine has also been detected in large quantities, and is noteworthy for its osmolytic nature but also for its involvement, as a choline derivative, in cellular health (Kidd, 2005). 246 247 Cappello et al. (2018) reported significant concentrations of glutamic acid, as also confirmed by our 248 results. Indeed, glutamic acid is a precursor of glutamine, which is itself a constituent of oligopeptides 249 such as glutathione. This amino acid has a major role in the protection mechanism against oxidative stress. Intermediates involved in energy metabolism (ADP, succinic acid, aspartic acid) are also 250 251 widespread in the different tissues along with purine nucleosides.

252

253 Sensitivity of mass spectrometry is an asset

Metabolomics data on *M. galloprovincialis* are fragmentary, although some studies have already been conducted to gain knowledge on circulating metabolites in mussels (Belivermiş et al., 2020; Cappello et al., 2018; Rochfort et al., 2013; Utermann et al., 2018). Most of the metabolites detected by Cappello 257 et al. (2018) were identified in this study, along with those identified by Rochfort et al. (2013) and Belivermis et al. (2020). Some other metabolites were specifically detected in this study, e.g. cytosine 258 and DL-kynurenine. Cytosine is a pyrimidine base, found in DNA as a nucleotide. The kynurenine 259 260 pathway is in Human connected to the immune system and the *de novo* synthesis of nicotinamide 261 adenine dinucleotide (NAD+), which plays a critical role in many enzymatic redox reactions and in 262 mitochondrial energy production (Castro-Portuguez & Sutphin, 2020). Immune system and energy 263 metabolism disruption along with a down modulation of DL-kynurenine were observed in mussels 264 exposed to a WWTP extract (Dumas et al., 2020b). The detection of such compounds was probably due 265 to the higher detection sensitivity of mass spectrometry over NMR. Conversely, several compounds, 266 such as glucose, glycogen, taurine and homarine which were found in high concentrations in the studies of Belivermiş et al. (2020), Cappello et al. (2018) and Rochfort et al. (2013), were not screened and 267 268 reported in Table 1 since data on these particular compounds were not available in our internal database.

269

270 Specificity between tissues was observed

271 A certain specificity between tissues was observed as already described by Cappello et al. (2018). However, we cannot ensure that concentrations in tissues are not impaired by lower recoveries or matrix 272 273 effects for certain specific metabolites. Some identified metabolites (Table 1) were specifically detected in one or two tissues. For example, L-dopa was detected in feet and soft tissues. This result was not 274 surprising given the fact that L-dopa is a component of adhesive proteins that occur in high proportions 275 in byssus tissues (Bandara et al., 2013) formed in a gland located in the foot. Serotonin, which was 276 detected only in gills by Cappello et al. (2018) was detected predominantly in gills (and foot) in this 277 278 study. Serotonin having several physiological roles, e.g. contraction or relaxation of the adductor muscles responsible for valves opening or closing, involvement in reproductive functions and ciliary 279 activity, its detection in all the investigated tissues of this study was then not surprising. Many other 280 detected metabolites seemed to be tissue-specific according to the molecular network results, where 281 282 clusters specific to one tissue were observed (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 2). Metabolic similarities 283 between gonad and soft tissues were observed that may be due to the fact that mussels were collected 284 in their reproductive period, during which the mantles of mussels (i.e. the main soft tissue component)

285 fill with gamete-containing follicles (Anantharaman & Craft. 2012). Since the metabolic content is to some extent different between tissues, these metabolic differences should be taken into consideration 286 287 when studying stressor effects in this species. For a large number of metabolites, significantly higher 288 concentrations were observed in three tissues (digestive gland, gonad and soft tissues). In order to be as 289 representative as possible of the effects of stress in ecotoxicological studies (when no prior hypotheses 290 enable target tissue selection), a maximum number of metabolites should be detected, which is easier 291 when there are high tissue concentrations. Studying these three tissues will preferentially facilitate the 292 detection of many metabolites. In particular, soft tissue is the tissue with the greatest mass available for 293 analysis. However, it is less specific to a biological function due to its complex composition involving 294 many organs (mantle, various muscles, heart and mouth) and the results may hence be hard to interpret. 295 The digestive gland—where high concentrations are also observed and from which it is possible to 296 obtain a reasonable sample size for analysis (unlike gonad tissues) is thus a very interesting target tissue 297 in ecotoxicological studies. In particular, the digestive gland is a tissue commonly used to measure 298 biological parameters (Bonnefille et al., 2018; Campillo et al., 2019; Serra-Compte et al., 2019) because 299 it has a higher absorption and bioaccumulation capacity than other tissues (Faggio et al., 2018).

300

301 Sexual dimorphism was confirmed

302 The sexual metabolic differentiation observed in gonads (Fig. 1) has already been described by Cubero-303 Leon et al. (2012) and Martínez-Pita et al. (2012). Both highlighted quantitative differences in fatty acid 304 (Martínez-Pita et al., 2012) and eicosanoid (Cubero-Leon et al., 2012) compositions. These differences 305 were explained in terms of the specific needs of males and females during gametogenesis and/or of the 306 differences in sperm and oocyte composition. The sexual metabolic differentiation observed in gonad 307 and digestive gland tissue samples may explain the different responses between males and females observed following contaminant exposure (Dumas et al., 2020b; Liu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017; 308 309 Ramirez et al., 2022). Notably, a different response of male and female mussels to venlafaxine exposure was demonstrated by our group (Ramirez et al., 2022) in digestive gland tissues. Male and female 310 mussels showed several sex-specific impacted metabolites as well as differences in metabolite 311 312 modulation, such as an increase (glycine) or decrease (L-tyrosine) in only one of the two sexes, thereby 313 implying that they have different protective mechanisms in response to stress, especially with regard to energy metabolism. These metabolites were quantified at different concentrations for the two sexes in 314 our study. The sexual metabolic differentiation in non-exposed organisms-although we cannot 315 confirm that the mussels were not exposed to a variety of contaminants in the Thau lagoon where they 316 317 had been reared and that the 1-week depuration period in the lab was sufficient to recover a baseline metabolome—due to differences in their physiological needs may explain the sex-specific responses 318 observed after stress exposure. Further studies on the metabolome of digestive glands and gonads in a 319 large number of samples along with ecotoxicology studies on both sexes would be interesting to 320 321 precisely explain these metabolic differences and thus enhance the overall understanding of sex-specific 322 responses to pollutants.

323

5. Conclusion

325 One hundred and ten metabolites belonging to different metabolic pathways were identified via liquid chromatography high resolution mass spectrometry in five tissues collected from Mytilus 326 galloprovincialis. All tissues were dominated by the osmolytes betaine and proline betaine, as well as 327 intermediates that have a role in energy metabolism (ADP, succinic acid and aspartic acid). This study 328 revealed marked differences between the 5 studied tissues. The choice of appropriate tissue according 329 to the target objectives of ecotoxicological studies is thus important. Digestive gland, gonad and soft 330 tissues showed higher levels of all metabolites. Sex-specific differences between the digestive gland 331 and gonad tissues in relation to the specific needs and metabolisms of males and females were also 332 observed. Overall, the results of this study will help enhance mussel tissue characterization, while also 333 being useful for future metabolomics studies focused on the effects of environmental contaminants on 334 *Mytilus* spp. 335

336

337 **References**

- 338 Anantharaman, S., & Craft, J. A. (2012). Annual Variation in the Levels of Transcripts of Sex-
- 339 Specific Genes in the Mantle of the Common Mussel. Mytilus edulis. PLoS ONE, 7(11), e50861.
- 340 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050861
- 341 Arribas, L. P., Donnarumma, L., Palomo, M. G., & Scrosati. R. A. (2014). Intertidal mussels as
- 342 ecosystem engineers : Their associated invertebrate biodiversity under contrasting wave exposures.
- 343 Marine Biodiversity, 44(2), 203-211. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-014-0201-z
- 344 Bandara, N., Zeng, H. & Wu, J. (2013). Marine mussel adhesion: biochemistry, mechanisms, and
- biomimetics. Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology 27, 2139–2162.
- 346 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01694243.2012.697703</u>
- 347 Belivermiş, M., Swarzenski, P. W., Oberhänsli, F., Melvin, S. D., & Metian, M. (2020). Effects of
- variable deoxygenation on trace element bioaccumulation and resulting metabolome profiles in the
 blue mussel (Mytilus edulis). Chemosphere, 250, 126314.
- 350 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126314
- Bonnefille, B., Gomez, E., Alali, M., Rosain, D., Fenet, H., & Courant, F. (2018). Metabolomics
- 352 assessment of the effects of diclofenac exposure on Mytilus galloprovincialis : Potential effects on
- osmoregulation and reproduction. Science of The Total Environment, 613-614, 611-618.
- 354 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.146
- 355 Campillo, J. A., Sevilla, A., González-Fernández, C., Bellas, J., Bernal, C., Cánovas, M., &
- Albentosa, M. (2019). Metabolomic responses of mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis to fluoranthene
- 357 exposure under different nutritive conditions. Marine Environmental Research, 144, 194-202.
- 358 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.01.012
- Cappello, T., Giannetto, A., Parrino, V., Maisano, M., Oliva, S., De Marco, G., Guerriero. G.,
- 360 Mauceri, A., & Fasulo, S. (2018). Baseline levels of metabolites in different tissues of mussel Mytilus
- 361 galloprovincialis (Bivalvia : Mytilidae). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics
- 362 and Proteomics, 26, 32-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2018.03.005
- Cappello, T., (2020). NMR-Based Metabolomics of Aquatic Organisms. In: eMagRes. John Wiley &
 Sons, Ltd. 81–100. <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9780470034590.emrstm1604</u>.
- 365 Castro-Portuguez, R., Sutphin, G.L., (2020) Kynurenine pathway, NAD(+) synthesis, and
- mitochondrial function: Targeting tryptophan metabolism to promote longevity and healthspan.
 Experimental Gerontology, 132,110841. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2020.110841</u>
- 269 Connor V. M. & Crocov, A. V. (2011). Circodian cycles are the dominant transprintional shythm
- Connor, K. M., & Gracey, A. Y. (2011). Circadian cycles are the dominant transcriptional rhythm in
 the intertidal mussel Mytilus californianus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
- 370 108(38),16110-16115. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111076108
- 371 Connor, K. M., & Gracey, A. Y. (2012). High-resolution analysis of metabolic cycles in the intertidal
- mussel Mytilus californianus. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and
- 373 Comparative Physiology, 302(1), R103-R111. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00453.2011
- Courant. F., Antignac. J.-P., Dervilly-Pinel, G., & Le Bizec, B. (2014). Basics of mass spectrometry
 based metabolomics. PROTEOMICS. 14(21-22), 2369-2388. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201400255
- 376 Cubero-Leon. E., Minier. C., Rotchell. J. M., & Hill, E. M. (2012). Metabolomic analysis of sex
- 377 specific metabolites in gonads of the mussel, Mytilus edulis. Comparative Biochemistry and
- 378 Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics, 7(2), 212-219.
- 379 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2012.03.002

- 380 Dumas, T., Boccard, J., Gomez, E., Fenet, H. & Courant, F. (2020a). Multifactorial Analysis of
- 381 Environmental Metabolomic Data in Ecotoxicology: Wild Marine Mussel Exposed to WWTP
- 382 Effluent as a Case Study. Metabolites, 10, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo10070269</u>
- 383 Dumas, T., Bonnefille, B., Gomez, E., Boccard, J., Castro, N.A., Fenet, H. & Courant, F. (2020b).
- 384 Metabolomics approach reveals disruption of metabolic pathways in the marine bivalve Mytilus
- 385 galloprovincialis exposed to a WWTP effluent extract. Science of The Total Environment, 712,
- 386 136551. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136551</u>
- 387 Dumas, T., Courant, F., Almunia, C., Boccard, J., Rosain, D., Duporté, G., Armengaud, J., Fenet, H.,
- 388 & Gomez, E. (2022). An integrated metabolomics and proteogenomics approach reveals molecular
- alterations following carbamazepine exposure in the male mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis.
- **390** Chemosphere, 286, 131793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131793
- 391 Dumas, T., Courant, F., Fenet, H., Gomez, E. (2022). Environmental Metabolomics Promises and
- Achievements in the Field of Aquatic Ecotoxicology: Viewed through the Pharmaceutical Lens.
- **393** Metabolites 12, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12020186</u>
- Faggio, C., Tsarpali, V., & Dailianis, S. (2018). Mussel digestive gland as a model tissue for assessing
- xenobiotics : An overview. Science of The Total Environment, 636, 220-229.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.264
- 397 Gracey, A. Y., & Connor, K. (2016). Transcriptional and metabolomic characterization of
- spontaneous metabolic cycles in Mytilus californianus under subtidal conditions. Marine Genomics,
 30, 35-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2016.07.004
- 400 Jordan, J., Zare, A., Jackson. L.J., Habibi, H.R. & Weljie, A.M. (2012). Environmental Contaminant
- 401 Mixtures at Ambient Concentrations Invoke a Metabolic Stress Response in Goldfish Not Predicted
- from Exposure to Individual Compounds Alone. Journal of Proteome Research 11, 1133–1143.
- 403 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/pr200840b</u>
- Keskinbalta, M. A., & Çelik, M. Y. (2020). Proximate Composition of Freshwater Mussels (Unio
 Pictorum, Linnaeus 1758) in Karasustream, Sinop. Turkish Journal of Agriculture Food Science and
- 406 Technology, 8(9), 1948-1951. https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v8i9.1948-1951.3584
- 407 Kidd, P. M. (2005). GlyceroPhosphoCholine (GPC), Mind-Body Nutrient for Active Living And408 Healthy Aging. 21.
- 409 Lin, C. Y., Viant, M. R., & Tjeerdema, R. S. (2006). Metabolomics : Methodologies and applications
- 410 in the environmental sciences. Journal of Pesticide Science, 31(3), 245-251.
- 411 https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.31.245
- Liu, X., Sun, H., Wang, Y., Ma, M., & Zhang, Y. (2014). Gender-specific metabolic responses in
- hepatopancreas of mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis challenged by Vibrio harveyi. Fish & Shellfish
 Immunology, 40(2), 407-413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.08.002
- 415 Lockwood, B. L., Connor, K. M., & Gracey, A. Y. (2015). The environmentally tuned transcriptomes
- 416 of Mytilus mussels. Journal of Experimental Biology, 218(12). 1822-1833.
- 417 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.118190
- 418 López-García, E., Postigo, C., & López de Alda, M. (2019). Psychoactive substances in mussels :
- 419 Analysis and occurrence assessment. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 146, 985-992.
- 420 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.07.042
- 421 Martínez-Pita, I., Sánchez-Lazo, C., Ruíz-Jarabo, I., Herrera, M., & Mancera, J. M. (2012).
- 422 Biochemical composition, lipid classes, fatty acids and sexual hormones in the mussel Mytilus

- 423 galloprovincialis from cultivated populations in south Spain. Aquaculture, 358-359, 274-283.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.06.003 424
- 425 Moreno-González, R., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Huerta, B., Barceló, D., & León, V. M. (2016). Do
- 426 pharmaceuticals bioaccumulate in marine molluscs and fish from a coastal lagoon? Environmental
- Research, 146, 282-298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.01.001 427
- Pulliainen, K., Nevalainen, H., Väkeväinen, H., Jutila, K., & Gummer, C. L. (2010). An analytical 428
- method for the determination of betaine (trimethylglycine) from hair. International Journal of 429
- 430 Cosmetic Science, 32(2), 135-138. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2494.2009.00554.x
- 431 Ramirez, G., Gomez, E., Dumas, T., Rosain, D., Mathieu, O., Fenet, H., & Courant, F. (2022). Early
- 432 Biological Modulations Resulting from 1-Week Venlafaxine Exposure of Marine Mussels Mytilus
- galloprovincialis Determined by a Metabolomic Approach. Metabolites 12. 433
- https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12030197 434
- Rochfort, S. J., Ezernieks, V., Maher, A. D., Ingram, B. A., & Olsen, L. (2013). Mussel 435
- metabolomics-Species discrimination and provenance determination. Food Research International, 436
- 54(1), 1302-1312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.03.004 437
- 438 Serra-Compte, A., Álvarez-Muñoz, D., Solé, M., Cáceres, N., Barceló, D., & Rodríguez-Mozaz, S.
- 439 (2019). Comprehensive study of sulfamethoxazole effects in marine mussels : Bioconcentration.
- enzymatic activities and metabolomics. Environmental Research, 173, 12-22. 440
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.03.021 441
- 442 Song, Q., Chen, H., Li, Y., Zhou, H., Han, Q. & Diao, X. (2016). Toxicological effects of
- 443 benzo(a)pyrene, {DDT} and their mixture on the green mussel Perna viridis revealed by proteomic and metabolomic approaches. Chemosphere, 144, 214-224. 444
- 445 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.08.029
- Sumner, L. W., Amberg, A., Barrett, D., Beale, M. H., Beger, R., Daykin, C. A., Fan, T. W.-M., 446
- Fiehn, O., Goodacre, R., Griffin, J. L., Hankemeier, T., Hardy, N., Harnly, J., Higashi, R., Kopka, J., 447
- Lane, A. N., Lindon, J. C., Marriott, P., Nicholls, A. W., ... Viant, M. R. (2007). Proposed minimum 448
- 449 reporting standards for chemical analysis : Chemical Analysis Working Group (CAWG)
- Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI). Metabolomics, 3(3), 211-221. 450
- 451 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-007-0082-2
- Tomanek, L., & Zuzow, M. J. (2010). The proteomic response of the mussel congeners Mytilus 452
- galloprovincialis and M. trossulus to acute heat stress : Implications for thermal tolerance limits and 453
- 454 metabolic costs of thermal stress. Journal of Experimental Biology, 213(20), 3559-3574.
- https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.041228 455
- Utermann, C., Parrot, D., Breusing, C., Stuckas, H., Staufenberger, T., Blümel, M., Labes, A., & 456
- 457 Tasdemir, D. (2018). Combined genotyping, microbial diversity and metabolite profiling studies on
- 458 farmed Mytilus spp. From Kiel Fjord. Scientific Reports, 8(1), 7983. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26177-y
- 459
- 460 Vuckovic, D. (2020). Chapter 4 - Sample preparation in global metabolomics of biological fluids and
- tissues. In: Issaq HJ, Veenstra TD, editors. Proteomic and Metabolomic Approaches to Biomarker 461
- Discovery (Second Edition). Second Edition. Boston: Academic Press. 53-83. 462
- 463 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128186077000049.
- 464 Yang C, Du X, Hao R, et al (2019) Effect of vitamin D3 on immunity and antioxidant capacity of
- pearl oyster Pinctada fucata martensii after transplantation: Insights from LC-MS-465

- 466 based metabolomics analysis. Fish Shellfish Immunol 94:271–279.
- 467 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.09.017</u>
- 468 Zhang J, Xiong X, Deng Y, et al (2021) Integrated application of transcriptomics and metabolomics
- 469 provides insights into the larval metamorphosis of pearl oyster (Pinctada fucata martensii).
- 470 Aquaculture 532:736067. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.736067</u>
- 471 Zhang, L., Sun, W., Zhang, Z., Chen, H., Jia, X., & Cai, W. (2017). Gender-specific metabolic
- responses in gonad of mussel Perna viridis to triazophos. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 123(1-2), 39-46.
- 473 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.032
- 474
- 475

476 Statements & declarations

477 Acknowledgements & funding: This research was funded by SANOFI France and the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (IMAP ANR-16-CE34-0006-01). The authors thank the Platform Of Non-478 479 Target Environmental Metabolomics (PONTEM) of the Montpellier Alliance for Metabolomics and 480 Metabolism Analysis (MAMMA) consortium facilities. 481 482 Competing Interests: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose. 483 484 Author contributions: Lea James: investigation, formal analysis and writing. Elena Gomez: 485 486 supervision and review. Gaëlle Ramirez : investigation and review. Thibaut Dumas : investigation and review. Frédérique Courant: conceptualization, methodology, supervision and review. 487 488 489 **Ethics declarations** 490 Ethical approval: Ethical approval is exempted in accordance with the European Union directive on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. 491 492 493 Consent to participate: Not applicable. 494

- 495 Consent to publish: All authors agree to publish.496
- 497 Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.
- 498