

Recent allopolyploidy alters Spartina microRNA expression in response to xenobiotic-induced stress

Armand Cave-Radet, Armel Salmon, Loup Tran van Canh, Richard L Moyle, Lara-Simone Pretorius, Oscar Lima, Malika L. Aïnouche, Abdelhak El Amrani

▶ To cite this version:

Armand Cave-Radet, Armel Salmon, Loup Tran van Canh, Richard L Moyle, Lara-Simone Pretorius, et al.. Recent allopolyploidy alters Spartina microRNA expression in response to xenobiotic-induced stress. Plant Molecular Biology, 2022, 10.1007/s11103-022-01328-y. hal-03927255

HAL Id: hal-03927255 https://hal.science/hal-03927255

Submitted on 17 Jan2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Recent allopolyploidy alters Spartina microRNA expression in response to
2	xenobiotic-induced stress.
3	
4	Key message: Phenanthrene-responsive microRNAs were identified in the context of
5	allopolyploid speciation in Spartina. The results support the relative impacts of hybridization
6	and genome doubling in miRNA-guided regulation mechanisms in response to xenobiotics.
7	
8	<u>Authors:</u> Armand Cavé-Radet ¹⁺ , Armel Salmon ¹ , Loup Tran Van Canh ¹ , Richard L. Moyle ² , Lara-
9	Simone Pretorius ² , Oscar Lima ¹ , Malika L. Ainouche ¹ and Abdelhak El Amrani ^{1†}
10	
11 12	¹ Université de Rennes 1, UMR CNRS 6553, Ecosystèmes-Biodiversité-Evolution, OSUR, Campus de Beaulieu, Bâtiment 14A, 35042 Rennes cedex, France.
13 14	² Nexgen Plants Pty Ltd., School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
15	
16	⁺ <u>Corresponding authors:</u>
17	Armand Cavé-Radet
18	<i>Tel:</i> +33 (0) 6 24 21 05 78
19 20	Email: armand.cave-radet@univ-rennes1.fr
21	Abdelhak El Amrani
22	<i>Tel:</i> +33 (0) 2 23 23 51 24

Email: abdelhak.el-amrani@univ-rennes1.fr

23

Abstract: Environmental contamination by xenobiotics represents a major threat for natural ecosystems and public health. In response, xenobiotic detoxification is a fundamental trait of organisms for developmental plasticity and stress tolerance, but the underlying molecular mechanisms remain poorly understood in plants. To decipher this process, we explored the consequences of allopolyploidy on xenobiotic tolerance in the genus *Spartina* Schreb. Specifically, we focused on microRNAs (miRNAs) owing to their central function in the regulation of gene expression patterns, including responses to stress.

Small RNA-Seq was conducted on the parents *S. alterniflora* and *S. maritima*, their F1 hybrid *S. x townsendii* and the allopolyploid *S. anglica* under phenanthrene-induced stress (phe), a model Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) compound. Differentially expressed miRNAs in response to phe were specifically identified within species. In complement, the respective impacts of hybridization and genome doubling were detected, through changes in miRNA expression patterns between *S. x townsendii*, *S. anglica* and the parents. The results support the impact of allopolyploidy in miRNA-guided regulation of plant response to phe.

In total, we identified 17 phe-responsive miRNAs in *Spartina* among up-regulated *MIR156* and down-regulated *MIR159*. We also describe novel phe-responsive miRNAs as putative *Spartina*specific gene expression regulators in response to stress. Functional validation using *Arabidopsis* (L.) Heynh. T-DNA lines inserted in homologous *MIR* genes was performed, and the divergence of phe-responsive miRNA regulatory networks between *Arabidopsis* and *Spartina* was discussed.

44

45 **Keywords:** Allopolyploidy, hybridization, miRNA, phenanthrene, *Spartina*, xenome.

2

46

1. Introduction

Polyploidy represents a major event affecting the evolutionary history of plants (Comai 2005; 47 Van de Peer et al. 2017). Allopolyploidy, resulting in the coexistence of divergent 48 (homeologous) genomes in the same nucleus, is a substantial source of evolutionary novelties 49 50 (Wendel 2015; Wendel et al. 2018; Nieto Feliner et al. 2020). At the molecular level, it involves 51 genetic and epigenetic alterations (Chen, 2007), collectively considered consequences of the "genomic shock" (McClintock 1984) induced by hybridization and genome redundancy 52 (Jackson and Chen 2010). Changes include novel gene expression patterns, and result in new 53 phenotypes that may impact the adaptive potential of allopolyploids (Doyle et al. 2008; te 54 Beest et al. 2012). In line with these reports, several examples of enhanced environmental 55 56 stress tolerance in polyploids compared to diploids are described (Del Pozo and Ramirez-Parra 57 2015; Fox et al. 2020).

In response to environmental pollutants foreign to biological systems (xenobiotics), 58 detoxification is an adaptive trait encountered by all living organisms, though it remains poorly 59 understood in plants. During the past decade, studying plant responses to organic xenobiotics 60 61 from Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) became a critical issue due to their 62 environmental persistence and toxicity (Harvey et al. 2002; Greenberg 2003). The absorption of PAHs by plant roots occurs through passive or active transport mechanisms (Pilon-Smits 63 64 2005; Zhan et al. 2012). Once in plant tissues, xenobiotics may be accumulated, degraded, 65 and/or compartmented (Gao and Zhu 2004; Pilon-Smits 2005). To date, the physiological impact of PAHs on plants is mostly documented in Arabidopsis (L.) Heynh. (Alkio et al. 2005; 66 67 Liu et al. 2009; Weisman et al. 2010; Shiri et al. 2015; Dumas et al. 2016) in response to 68 phenanthrene (phe), one of major PAHs found in crude oil (Liu et al., 2012). In plants, PAHs 69 reduce growth and development, for example by disrupting major metabolic functions such as photosynthesis, or through the oxidative stress induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS)
(Alkio et al. 2005; Shiri et al. 2015; Dumas et al. 2016).

At the molecular level, the concept of "xenome" emerged to characterize genes involved in 72 73 xenobiotic detoxification pathways (Edwards et al. 2005; Edwards et al. 2011). It includes 74 transport, signaling and sensing genes, and several gene families involved in xenobiotic 75 conjugation and biotransformation such as α/β hydrolases, cytochromes P450 (CYPs), 76 glutathione-S transferases (GSTs), glycosyltransferases (GTs), peroxidases and 77 malonyltransferases. In addition, ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC) may be responsible 78 for the transport of xenobiotic conjugates to the vacuole for storage (Weisman et al. 2010). In 79 Arabidopsis, transcriptomic analyses under phe-induced stress revealed significant up and down-regulations of xenome genes (Weisman et al. 2010; Dumas et al. 2016), which 80 81 highlighted candidates involved in primary responses to xenobiotics and putative metabolization process. 82

83

In this study, we explore the evolution of PAH-induced stress in the genus Spartina Schreb. 84 85 (cordgrasses), following recent allopolyploid speciation. Most *Spartina* species are salt marsh 86 plants, characterized by recurrent hybridization and genome doubling events (Ainouche et al., 2009, 2012). In Spartina, a recent allopolyploidy event offers the opportunity to study the 87 88 respective impacts of hybridization and genome doubling on the structural and functional genome dynamics (Ainouche et al. 2004; Salmon et al. 2005; Parisod et al. 2009; Chelaifa et 89 al. 2010b; Ferreira de Carvalho et al. 2017). The allopolyploid model system includes the 90 91 parental species S. maritima Curtis (2n = 6x = 60, paternal parent) and S. alterniflora Loisel (2n= 6x = 62, maternal parent), which hybridized during the end of the 19^{th} century and led to the 92 93 formation of the F1 sterile hybrid *S. x townsendii* (2*n* = 6*x* = 62; Groves & Groves, 1880). Whole genome doubling of *S. x townsendii* resulted in the allododecaploid *S. anglica* (2*n* = 12*x* = 120124; Hubbard, 1968). In studied *Spartina* populations, very low intra- and inter-individual
genetic diversity was reported due to a strong genetic bottleneck during *S. anglica* speciation
(Ayres and Strong 2001; Baumel et al. 2001). To date, the formation of *S. anglica* is considered
as a unique event involving one genotype of each parental species (Ainouche et al., 2004).

99 In Spartina, a high degree of tolerance to stresses, salinity, anoxia and heavy-metals, have 100 been reported (Anderson and Treshow 1980; Thompson 1991; Castillo et al. 2000; Hacker et 101 al. 2001; Wang et al. 2006). Spartina species are also frequently exposed to xenobiotics in their 102 natural habitats, including PAHs resulting from oil spills (Lin and Mendelssohn 2012; Lin et al. 103 2016; Robertson et al. 2017). Recently, comparative physiological analyses between S. anglica 104 and its parents revealed enhanced tolerance to phe following allopolyploidy (Cavé-Radet et 105 al. 2019). Moreover, the high resilience to crude oil reported in S. alterniflora also suggests 106 efficient tolerance to PAHs in this genus (Wu et al. 2012; Alvarez et al. 2018).

107

108 To decipher the molecular mechanisms underlying PAH tolerance in Spartina, we focused on 109 microRNAs (miRNAs) owing to their central function in the regulation of gene expression 110 (Khraiwesh et al. 2012; Sunkar et al. 2012; Zhang 2015; Shriram et al. 2016). MicroRNAs are small RNAs (sRNAs) from approximately 21 to 24 bp, derived from single-strand hairpin 111 112 precursor sequence transcribed from intergenic regions referred as MIR genes (Axtell 2013; Rogers and Chen 2013). Most of miRNAs are excised from the precursors by DICER-LIKE 113 proteins, and mature miRNAs will bind to reverse complementary mRNAs with ARGONAUTE 114 115 (AGO) proteins into an RNA-induced silencing complex for post-transcriptionally target gene 116 silencing (*i.e.* cleavage or translational inhibition of transcripts). The impact of miRNAs on gene 117 expression patterns has been widely reported within the literature, such as in response to 118 stress where up and down-regulated miRNAs will respectively target negative and positive 119 stress tolerance regulators (Khraiwesh et al. 2012). For example, the impact of miRNAs in 120 response to drought or salinity affecting plant growth and productivity are well reported in crop species such as maize (Li et al. 2013; Fu et al. 2017), wheat (Feng et al. 2017; Bakhshi et 121 al. 2017) and tomato (Liu et al., 2017). However, studies depicting plant miRNA-mediated 122 123 responses to xenobiotics were mostly focused on heavy metals (Xu et al. 2013; Gupta et al. 124 2014; He et al. 2014; Noman and Ageel 2017) and remain only explored in wheat for PAHs (Li 125 et al., 2017, 2020).

126 Several studies emphasized new expression patterns of sRNAs and their significant impact in the regulation of genes, transposable elements (TEs), or in DNA methylations as a result of 127 128 interspecific hybridization and/or genome doubling. To date, most of the literature focused 129 on synthetic hybrids and/or polyploids such as in Arabidopsis (Ha et al., 2009), allotetraploid wheat (Kenan-Eichler et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014), maize (Crisp et al. 2020) or Brassica (Fu et 130 al., 2016; Martinez Palacios et al., 2019). More recently, the impact of hybridization and/or 131 genome doubling was also reported in non-model species such as Solidago (Xu et al. 2019; Wu 132 133 et al. 2021) or Cucumis (Yu et al. 2020). Overall, sRNA-mediated epigenetic modifications in 134 newly formed hybrids and polyploids represent a significant process to recover from genome instability, through changes of sRNAs expression patterns involved in heterosis and 135 136 adaptation. In this perspective, we hypothesized that miRNAs expression patterns in response to phe and following allopolyploidy in *Spartina* may impact species tolerance abilities. 137

138

In this study, we relied on *Spartina* sRNA annotations recently published (Cavé-Radet et al.,
2020) and performed small RNA-Seq in *S. alterniflora*, *S. maritima*, *S. x townsendii* and *S. anglica* under control and phe-induced stress conditions. Differential expression analysis was

142 conducted to study the impact of allopolyploidy under phe-induced stress on miRNA expression patterns, and more generally to characterize phe-responsive miRNAs in Spartina. 143 Functional validation of phe-responsive miRNAs using Arabidopsis T-DNA mutant lines 144 inserted in homologous MIR genes was included. In addition, in planta interactions between 145 homologous phe-responsive miRNAs and their target genes in Arabidopsis were validated 146 147 using the dual luciferase (LUC) assay strategy (Moyle et al. 2017). To our knowledge, this work 148 is the first study focused on miRNA-guided regulation in response to organic xenobiotics, 149 integrating the consequences of allopolyploidy to distinguish the relative impacts of hybridization and genome doubling in such processes. 150

- 151
- 152

2. Material and methods

153 2.1 Plant material and experimental design

Plants were collected in their natural habitats, where low intra- and inter-population genetic 154 diversity within species was reported (Baumel et al. 2001; Baumel et al. 2003; Ainouche et al. 155 2003; Yannic et al. 2004). Here, we sampled rhizomes as seed germination is erratic in 156 157 Spartina. The parental species were sampled at Le Faou (Finistère, France) for S. alterniflora, 158 and in Brillac-Sarzeau and Le Hezo (Morbihan, France) for S. maritima. S. x townsendii was collected in Hythe (Kent, England), and S. anglica was sampled at La Guimorais (Ille-et-Vilaine, 159 160 France). After rhizomes regrowth, plants (one genotype per species) were maintained three weeks in the greenhouse under controlled conditions (natural sunlight for 13-14h 161 photoperiod, an average ambient temperature of 18-20°C, and 50-60% hygrometry). On the 162 163 sampled populations, chromosome number and ploidy level were previously analyzed by flow 164 cytometry, and confirmed hexaploid cytotypes for S. maritima (2n = 60), S. alterniflora (2n =

165 62) and *S. x townsendii* (2n = 62), while *S. anglica* is allododecaploid with a chromosome 166 number of 2n = 124.

Mature plants were then transferred to phe-treated and control substrates following the 167 method described in Hong et al. (2015). Briefly, bulk sediments were collected from the 168 169 sampling site of *S. anglica*, and air-dried subsamples of sediments were supplemented with 170 phe diluted in absolute ethanol (100 mM phe, or with an identical volume of absolute ethanol 171 to serve as the control). These samples of sediments were then mixed with the remaining wet sediments to reach a final concentration of 150 mg phe.kg⁻¹ (dry weight) in phe-treated 172 173 substrate, which represents a moderate exposure compared to concentrations reported in polluted environments (e.g. see Shi et al. 2005; Watts et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2010). Plants 174 175 were potted with treated and control substrates supplemented with sterile vermiculite 176 (volume 1:3), and placed in a phytotronic chamber with a light : dark regime of 16:8h and an average ambient temperature of 20°C. Plants were watered each day with 150 mL of half-177 strength Hoagland's nutrient solution (Arnon and Hoagland 1950). After 5 days, leaves at a 178 mature developmental stage were harvested, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and kept 179 at -80°C. We performed RNA extraction with TRIzol® Reagent (Thermo Fisher) according to 180 181 the method described by Chelaifa et al. (2010b,a). In total, 24 RNA samples were extracted, corresponding to three biological replicates per species (different ramets from a same 182 183 genotype) and treatments (phe-treated and control).

184

185 2.2 Small RNA sequencing and data preprocessing

Small RNA-Seq was performed in single end, on a NextSeq500 (Illumina®) sequencer (1×50 bp,
20 million reads) on 16 libraries (two of the three biological replicates). Preprocessing of small
RNA-Seq data was conducted as described in Cavé-Radet et al. (2020). Briefly, raw reads were

189 trimmed by Cutadapt (Martin 2011) to remove 3' sequencing adaptors, filtered by size (from 16 to 28 nucleotides), and subjected for quality check (Phred Q-score \geq 30) by FASTQC 190 (Andrews 2010). Clean small RNA-Seq reads were further screened to identify previously 191 annotated Spartina sRNAs from Cavé-Radet et al. (2020), which include 594 miRNAs (113 192 conserved miRNAs and 481 novel miRNAs, that are those not annotated in other plant 193 194 species). Spartina miRNAs are associated with 3,552 putative target genes, identified from 195 previously assembled Spartina transcriptomes (Boutte et al., 2016; Ferreira de Carvalho et al., 196 2013). Here, the numbers of small RNA-Seq reads corresponding to Spartina miRNAs (perfect 197 match: no gap, 100% identity and coverage) were calculated across libraries using custom 198 python scripts, and combined into a count matrix.

199

200 2.3 In silico differential expression analysis in Spartina

The matrix of miRNA counts across libraries was normalized with EDAseq (Risso et al. 2011) for within-lane effects (related to GC-content) and library size normalization (using the fullquantile method). Normalized matrix was then subjected for differential expression analysis with DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014). DE miRNAs were identified according to Wald tests with log₂ Fold Changes (FC) significantly different from zero at Bonferroni adjusted p.value < 0.05.

In this study, multiple pairwise comparisons between species and/or treatments were performed for miRNA differential expression analysis. For a better overview, all comparisons performed were summarized in Supplemental Table S1 to remind how miRNAs impacted by allopolyploidy under phe exposure and phe-responsive candidate miRNAs were respectively identified.

211

212 **2.3.1** Differentially expressed *Spartina* miRNAs following allopolyploidy under phe-

213

induced stress

We first aimed to analyze the impact of allopolyploidy on miRNA expression patterns under 214 phe-induced stress, while the results under control condition for this experiment are already 215 published (Cavé-Radet et al. 2020). Here, we performed interspecific comparisons under phe 216 217 exposure, and determined the impact of hybridization by comparing miRNA expression 218 patterns between the MPV (Mid-Parent Value: the average of parental expression expected 219 under additive patterns) and S. x townsendii. Similarly, the impact of allopolyploidy (combining hybridization and genome doubling) was investigated by comparing miRNA expression 220 patterns of S. anglica to the MPV, while the impact of genome doubling per se was determined 221 222 comparing *S. anglica* to the F1 hybrid (Supp. Table S1).

223

224 **2.3.2** Identification of phe-responsive miRNAs in *Spartina*

225 Beside studying the impact of allopolyploidy on miRNA expression patterns under phe-226 induced stress, we more generally aimed to characterize phe-responsive miRNAs in Spartina. 227 In order to characterize miRNA-regulated gene networks potentially involved in phe tolerance, 228 we first identified DE miRNAs within Spartina species in response to stress (intraspecific 229 comparisons of phe-treated versus control libraries). In complement, because of previous 230 published data depicting PAH sensitivity in S. maritima compared to other investigated Spartina species (Cavé-Radet et al. 2019), we also included as phe-responsive candidate 231 232 miRNAs DE miRNAs from interspecific pairwise comparisons under phe (S. alterniflora 233 compared to S. maritima, S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima, and S. anglica compared 234 to S. maritima). Finally, because of enhanced tolerance to phe in S. anglica following 235 allopolyploidy (Cavé-Radet et al. 2019), DE miRNAs between S. x townsendii and S. anglica

compared to the MPV were ultimately identified as phe-responsive candidate miRNAs whose
abundance was specifically affected by hybridization or allopolyploidy. A summary of pairwise
comparisons (intra- and interspecific) considered to identify phe-responsive candidate
miRNAs is provided in Supp. Table S1.

Afterwards, we crossed annotated targets of phe-responsive candidate miRNAs from Spartina 240 241 with Arabidopsis (TAIR10) gene families potentially involved in xenobiotic tolerance 242 mechanisms (https://www.arabidopsis.org/browse/genefamily/index.jsp), including 1151 243 loci. Arabidopsis xenome genes are distributed between 94 NAC transcription factors, 17 244 SQUAMOSA-PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE (SPLs), 191 α/β hydrolases, 130 ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, 245 CYPs, 319 GTs, 53 GSTs, 7 related malonyl-transferases, and 245 246 ROS scavenging enzymes including 3 catalases (CAT), 7 superoxide dismutases (CSDs, MSDs 247 and FSDs), 73 class III peroxidases, 8 ascorbate peroxidases (APX), and 4 ATP synthase proteins 248 (ATPS) (see Supplemental Table S2). These genes are xenome candidates and putative positive or negative stress tolerance regulators (e.g. involved in oxidative stress responses; see Singh, 249 250 2002; Edwards et al., 2005, 2011; Alkio et al., 2005; Weisman et al., 2010; Dumas et al., 2016; 251 Li et al., 2017). Among phe-responsive candidate miRNAs, only miRNAs with target genes 252 annotated among these putative stress tolerance regulators were retained.

253

254 **2.4 Quantitative analysis of miRNA expression by RT-qPCR**

Validation of miRNA abundance was conducted for a subset of 11 miRNAs (see below), by RTqPCR using the poly(T) adaptor method (Shi et al. 2012) on the 24 RNA samples. After DNase treatments of RNA extracts using the Turbo DNA-free kit (Thermo Fisher), cDNAs were produced by poly(A)-tailing (Poly(A) tailing kit, Thermo Fisher) and reverse transcription was performed using a universal poly(T) adaptor primer (SuperScript[™] III Reverse Transcriptase

kit, Thermo Fisher). PCR amplifications were monitored with a reverse primer annealing with the universal poly(T) adaptor sequence of cDNA. Reactions were carried out with 10 μ L of SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher), 5 ng of cDNA (3 ng. μ L⁻¹), forward and universal reverse primers (0.8 μ M), and completed with sterile water for a final volume of 20 μ L. Forward primers used for specific miRNA amplifications were designed manually (Supplemental Table S3).

We performed a two-steps PCR protocol on a LifeCycler[®] 480 (Roche Life Science), using the 266 267 following program: 3 min. of pre-incubation at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 15 sec. denaturation (95°C), 20 sec. annealing and elongation (60°C), and optical reading. Normalized 268 expression levels of miRNAs were compared to the 5S rRNA as a housekeeping gene, which 269 270 remains stable under experimental conditions (amplified with forward primer sequence AAGTCCTCGTGTTGCATCCCT). We estimated log₂ FC corrected by PCR efficiency (E) of 271 amplicons (calculated with standard curves: $E = 10^{(-1/slope)}$) with the following formula : R =272 $(E_{miRNA}) \Delta Cp_{miRNA} \xrightarrow{(control - treatment)} / (E_{5S rRNA}) \Delta Cp_{5S rRNA} \xrightarrow{(control - treatment)} (PfaffI, 2001). We performed$ 273 274 statistical analyses by Wilcoxon signed rank tests and considered log₂ FC significantly different 275 from zero at p.value < 0.05.

276

277 **2.5** Functional validation of *Spartina* phe-responsive miRNAs in *A. thaliana*

278 **2.5.1** Selection of *Arabidopsis* T-DNA insertional *MIR* mutants

For functional validation of phe-responsive miRNAs, we first identified homologous *Spartina* miRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. Homologous miRNAs were identified by mapping *Spartina* miRNAs onto the *Arabidopsis* TAIR10 genome (Bowtie2 end-to-end alignment, 2 accepted mismatches; Langmead & Salzberg, 2012), prior to *MIR* gene prediction of aligned flanking regions by miR-PREFeR (default parameters; Lei & Sun, 2014). The target genes of homologous 284 Spartina miRNAs identified in Arabidopsis were predicted by psRNATarget 285 (<u>http://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/</u>) based on the Arabidopsis TAIR10 genome 286 (expectation score \leq 5.0; Dai et al., 2018).

Hence, related *MIR* genes in *Arabidopsis* were retained and we selected corresponding T-DNA mutants. *Arabidopsis* T-DNA mutants were obtained from the SALKseq and SAIL collections (Colombia control grown counterpart: Col-0), and from the FLAG collection (Wassilewskija control grown counterpart: WS). Homozygous lines of T-DNA mutants were selected as described by O'Malley et al. (2015) in two-step genotyping assays, using genomic DNA extracted as described by Edwards et al. (1991). Primers used to amplify flanking regions of the insertion site are available online (http://signal.salk.edu/tdnaprimers.2.html).

294

295 2.5.2 T-DNA mutants: *in vitro* culture and phenotyping

Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilized and sown in Petri dishes on half-strength Murashige 296 297 and Skoog (MS/2) solid medium containing 0.8 % (w : v) agar-agar type E (Sigma Aldrich) and 298 1.0 % sucrose. We germinated seeds vertically in a growth chamber (16 : 8h light : dark cycle, 299 8,500 lux, 22 °C, 70 % hygrometry) after cold treatment for 48h at 4°C. Within Petri dishes, 10 300 homozygous seeds per T-DNA mutant line and its control grown counterpart were cultivated 301 in three technical replicates under control (0 µM) and phe-induced stress. Phe was diluted in 302 ethanol and mixed in substrates for moderate (50 μ M), and high phe-induced stress 303 concentrations (200 µM as sub-lethal concentration, see Dumas et al. 2016). In controls, the 304 same volumes of ethanol used for phe solubilization were added.

Plants were photographed after 7, 14 and 20 days of growth. We measured primary root growth as plantlet tolerance trait to xenobiotic during early *Arabidopsis* developmental stages using ImageJ (v1.51j8). We performed pairwise comparisons of primary root lengths between

308 treatments on T-DNA mutants compared to their control grown counterparts using non-309 parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests (Bonferroni adjusted p.value < 0.05) in R 3.5 (R Core Team 310 2015).

311

2.6 Experimental validation of miRNAs and target genes interactions from *A. thaliana* by dual LUC assays

314 In order to confirm the impact of homologous phe-responsive Spartina miRNAs identified in 315 Arabidopsis, experimental validation quantifying miRNA repression of targeted genes was 316 performed using the dual LUC assay strategy (Moyle et al. 2017). Detailed method about the 317 dual LUC assay procedure followed is provided as Supplemental Note S1. Briefly, the strategy consists in cloning both the predicted target sequences and the miRNA precursors within 318 319 expression plasmids (Supplemental Fig. S1). Plasmid containing the miRNA target sequences (pGrDL_SPb) includes two expression cassettes for a quantitative dual luciferase reporter 320 321 system. One cassette contains the *Renilla* LUC acting as internal control to standardize 322 expression between replicates, while the other cassette contains the *Firefly* LUC and the 323 target sequence used to report miRNA interaction. The other plasmid (pKENminusbar) is used for miRNA precursor insertion. Agroinfiltration of expression plasmids was carried out in 324 Nicotiana benthamiana, and agroinfiltrated leaves were harvested prior to measurement by 325 326 dual LUC assay (Firefly LUC / Renilla LUC ratios). If the miRNA can bind to the target gene and 327 regulate its expression, changes in *Firefly* LUC level will affect the dual LUC ratio, while controls 328 consist of assays where the miRNA precursor culture was replaced with a precursor construct 329 culture that does not match with the target sequence of interest. A significant reduction of dual LUC ratios compared with the controls is used to report and validate miRNA-target 330 interactions. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 software. 331

332

333 3. Results

334 **3.1 Preprocessing and analysis of small RNA-Seq libraries**

From all libraries, we obtained 460,502,187 sRNAs reads (deposited on NCBI under accession PRJNA552944). After preprocessing, we retained 31,611,711 non-redundant putative sRNA sequences (Supplemental Table S5).

338 Most miRNAs previously annotated in Spartina (Cavé-Radet et al., 2020) were expressed 339 under phe-induced stress in S. alterniflora, S. maritima, S. x townsendii and S. anglica (Fig. 340 1a,b). Both the hybrid and S. anglica expressed all parental conserved miRNAs (Fig. 1a), except 341 for a single miRNA (Spa-*MIR393c*) undetected in the parental species under phe. Concerning 342 novel miRNAs annotated in Spartina (Fig. 1b), the hybrid harbored between 97.72% and 343 98.48% of the parental miRNAs (214/219 from S. alterniflora and 389/395 from S. maritima). The same pattern was observed in S. anglica (expressing ca. 95% of parental novel miRNAs : 344 209/219 from S. alterniflora and 377/395 from S. maritima). Interestingly in S. x townsendii 345 and S. anglica, each species harbored 30 novel miRNAs undetected in the parental species 346 347 under phe (Figure **1b**).

348

349 **3.2 Differentially expressed miRNAs in response to phe within Spartina species**

Expression levels between phe-treated and control libraries were analysed in each species. In total, we identified 103 non-redundant DE miRNAs (Fig. **2a**), distributed between 20 miRNAs in *S. alterniflora* (9 up-regulated and 11 down-regulated), 14 miRNAs in *S. maritima* (9 upregulated and 5 down-regulated), 54 miRNAs in *S. x townsendii* (26 up-regulated and 28 downregulated), and 33 miRNAs in *S. anglica* (19 up-regulated and 14 down-regulated). Based on hierarchical clustering of expression profiles, we found that most of DE miRNAs appeared

species-specific (*i.e.* only differentially expressed in response to phe in one species: 13 in *S. alterniflora*, 11 in *S. maritima*, 39 in *S. x townsendii* and 23 in *S. anglica*). In contrast, DE miRNAs specific to *S. x townsendii* and *S. anglica* are scarce, with only three miRNAs (Spa-*MIR395d*, Spa-new-*MIR_384* and Spa-*MIR156c*) significantly up-regulated in response to phe in both species. Nonetheless, few miRNAs exhibited opposite expression patterns between species, for example Spa-new-*MIR_154* or Spa-*MIR160* which were up-regulated in *S. x townsendii* but down-regulated in the allopolyploid.

363 Experimental validation of DE miRNAs was performed by RT-qPCR for 11 miRNAs for which we successfully designed miRNA specific primers. Here, we compared miRNA expression patterns 364 within Spartina species in response to stress (intraspecific comparisons of phe-treated versus 365 control libraries), as estimated by the in-silico (EDAseq-DESeq2) and the RT-qPCR approaches 366 367 (Supplemental Fig. S2). On this subset of 11 miRNAs, we detected significant differences in miRNA expression patterns for 18 comparisons using EDAseq-DESeq2 (corresponding to 3 368 miRNAs DE in S. alterniflora, 3 miRNAs DE in S. maritima, 7 miRNAs DE in S. x townsendii, and 369 370 5 miRNAs DE in S. anglica; bars surrounded in red in Supp. Fig. S2). From RT-qPCR, we 371 confirmed significant differences in miRNA expression patterns for 6 comparisons (bars 372 surrounded in green in Supp. Fig. S2). For the other 12 comparisons with significantly DE 373 miRNAs reported by EDAseq-DESeq2, we observed similar regulation profiles (up- or down-374 regulated miRNAs using both methods) but log₂ FC were not statistically significant using the 375 RT-qPCR approach. Overall, experimental validation by RT-qPCR is consistent with in-silico 376 miRNA differential expression analysis.

377

378 **3.3** Impact of allopolyploidy on *Spartina* miRNA expression patterns under phe-induced
 379 stress

380 Interspecific comparisons of miRNA expression patterns under phe were performed between 381 species in order to characterize the relative impact of hybridization and allopolyploidy under 382 stress exposure (for the results under control condition, see Cavé-Radet et al. 2020). When comparing S. x townsendii expression levels to the MPV (Fig. 2b), non-additive expression 383 384 profiles were detected for 73 miRNAs following hybridization (18 up-regulated and 55 down-385 regulated). Among them, 14 and 31 miRNAs were respectively expressed under paternal and 386 maternal dominance, whereas 22 miRNAs exhibited transgressive expression profiles. By 387 comparing *S. anglica* to the MPV and to *S. x townsendii* (Fig. **2b**), we respectively identified 32 DE miRNAs (9 up-regulated and 23 down-regulated) and 28 DE miRNAs (12 up-regulated and 388 16 down-regulated). Concerning the 32 DE miRNAs identified following allopolyploidy, 10 and 389 390 8 miRNAs were respectively expressed under paternal and maternal dominance, whereas 14 391 exhibited transgressive expression profiles. Annotated target genes of DE miRNAs in response to hybridization and allopolyploidy are provided in Supplemental Table S6. Here, target genes 392 of DE miRNAs following hybridization or allopolyploidy are mostly annotated with GO terms 393 394 related with developmental and stress responsive processes such as 'cell growth', 'cell 395 differentiation' or 'response to stress'. Interestingly, 20 miRNAs were found DE following 396 hybridization (comparing S. x townsendii to the MPV) and allopolyploidy (comparing S. anglica to the MPV) under phe-induced stress. For example, we observed down-regulated Spa-397 MIR159b and Spa-MIR159f targeting MYB domain transcription factors (AT5G06100), among 398 other DE novel miRNAs. 399

400 Changes in miRNA expression patterns following allopolyploidy under phe-induced stress are 401 summarized in Fig. **3**. Here, changes were mostly detected between *S. alterniflora* and *S.* 402 *maritima* (19.19%). While comparing *S. x townsendii* to the MPV, we found more changes in 403 miRNA expression patterns following hybridization (12.87%) compared with allopolyploidy

404 (5.62%, comparing S. anglica to the MPV) or genome doubling per se (4.84%, comparing S. 405 anglica to S. x townsendii). In contrast, changes measured under control condition previously published in Cavé-Radet et al. (2020) are provided (obtained from the same control samples 406 407 we used in this study), where most changes were detected between the MPV and S. anglica 408 (16.87%) instead of following hybridization (6.52%) or genome doubling (14.33%). For further 409 details, we crossed DE miRNAs following allopolyploidy (considering up and down-regulated 410 expression patterns) between control condition and phe-induced stress (for each of the 411 interspecific comparisons performed: S. alterniflora compared to S. maritima, S. x townsendii compared to the MPV, S. anglica compared to the MPV, and S. anglica compared to S. x 412 413 townsendii). As presented on the Venn diagrams from Fig. 3, DE miRNAs following 414 allopolyploidy in *Spartina* are mostly different between treatments.

415

3.4 Phe-responsive miRNAs and regulatory modules potentially involved in xenobiotic tolerance

In differential expression analysis, 103 non-redundant phe-responsive candidate miRNAs were 418 419 identified from intraspecific comparisons (phe-treated versus control, Fig. 2a). In complement, 420 we also analysed DE miRNAs from pairwise interspecific comparisons under phe (S. alterniflora 421 compared to S. maritima, S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima, and S. anglica compared 422 to S. maritima) to detect additional phe-responsive candidate miRNAs. We found 57, 115 and 423 80 DE miRNAs when comparing S. alterniflora, S. x townsendii and S. anglica with S. maritima under phe-induced stress respectively, representing 164 non-redundant miRNAs (46 424 425 conserved and 118 novel miRNAs). Finally, we also included DE miRNAs by comparing S. x 426 townsendii and S. anglica to the MPV under phe as additional phe-responsive candidate 427 miRNAs, representing in total 85 non-redundant miRNAs (18 conserved and 67 novel miRNAs).

When combining the results from differential expression analysis, phe-responsive candidate miRNAs represent 254 non-redundant miRNAs (69 conserved and 185 novel miRNAs). Among them, we only retrieved miRNAs with annotated *Spartina* target genes potentially involved in tolerance to xenobiotics based on *Arabidopsis* annotations (Cavé-Radet et al., 2020). For a better overview into phe-responsive miRNAs identification, the process and results were summarized in Supplemental Fig. S3.

434 In total, we identified 17 phe-responsive miRNAs in Spartina (6 conserved and 11 novel miRNAs; Table 1) which may affect the functionality of negative and positive tolerance 435 436 regulators. Among them, miRNAs from the MIR156 family (Spa-MIR156c, Spa-MIR156d, Spa-MIR156e and Spa-MIR156h) and Spa-new-MIR_3 are targeting SPLs annotated Spartina 437 contigs. We also found down-regulated miRNAs from the MIR159 family (Spa-MIR159d and 438 439 Spa-MIR159j), and other novel miRNAs (Spa-new-MIR 1, Spa-new-MIR 426, Spa-new-MIR_207, Spa-new-MIR_458 and Spa-new-MIR_151) targeting GTs and CYPs. Additional 440 down-regulated novel miRNAs (Spa-new-MIR_56, Spa-new-MIR_72, Spa-new-MIR_181, Spa-441 442 new-*MIR* 447 and Spa-new-*MIR* 316) targeting CYPs and α/β hydrolases were also detected. 443

444 **3.5** Functional validation of phe-responsive conserved miRNAs

Following miR-PREFeR predictions (Lei and Sun 2014) of *Spartina* miRNAs in *Arabidopsis*, we identified 40 homologous *MIR* genes (Supplemental Table S7). These *MIR* genes are all related with conserved miRNAs, except one that was not annotated in *Arabidopsis* (homologous to Spa-*MIR156e* and Spa-*MIR156j*, referred as *MIR*-ncRNA). In *MIR*-ncRNA, the precursor was predicted between positions 14305804 and 14305915 on *Arabidopsis* Chr2 in an antisense long non-coding RNA (IncRNA; AT2G33815), and overlaps with *SPL3* as one of its putative target gene (AT2G33810). Some important criteria of miRNA precursor were reported on *MIR*-

ncRNA (Supplemental Fig. S4), but it most likely represents a false positive from the prediction
as no interaction with its putative target genes (*SPL3* or other SPLs) was observed by dual LUC
assays (Supplemental Fig. S5).

455

In total, 14 MIR genes homologous to Spartina phe-responsive miRNAs were identified in 456 457 Arabidopsis. Homologous MIR genes belong to the MIR156 (with the closely related MIR157) 458 and the MIR159 (with the closely related MIR319) families. Their target genes (predicted by 459 psRNATarget) from the Arabidopsis xenome are provided in Supplemental Table S8. For functional validation, most T-DNA insertional mutants for the MIR genes selected were 460 available within stock centers, and we performed a primary phenotypic screening using the 461 same culture conditions as described in section 2.5.2. Once received, seeds were germinated 462 463 under 0 and 25 μ M phe, but only T-DNA mutants with a significant impact of phe on the primary root growth compared to their control grown counterparts were retrieved prior to 464 the selection of homozygous lines. Hence, we only considered Ath-MIR156e (homologous to 465 466 Spa-MIR156e and Spa-MIR156j) and Ath-MIR159d (homologous to Spa-MIR159d) using two independent homozygous T-DNA lines for both MIR genes (Supplemental Table S9). For 467 468 functional validation, 10 homozygous seeds per T-DNA mutant line and its control grown counterpart were cultivated in three technical replicates under control (0 µM) and phe-469 470 induced stress (50 and 200 μ M).

We obtained results from the SAIL_770_G05 and SALKseq_030399.2 lines, where T-DNA insertions are found in the Ath-*MIR159b* precursor sequence (AT1G18075, Fig. **4a**). Cultivated under control and phe-induced stress (0 and 200 μM phe), SAIL_770_G05 and SALKseq_030399.2 exhibit tolerant phenotypes with significantly longer root lengths under phe-induced stress compared to its control grown counterpart (Fig. **4b,c**). In response to 476 stress, primary root growth is inhibited between 32 to 56% in Col-0, and by 17% and 23% respectively in SAIL 770 G05 and SALKseq 030399.2. Opposite patterns are observed in the 477 FLAG 090F02 and FLAG 104E09 lines, where T-DNA insertions are found in the Ath-MIR156e 478 precursor sequence (AT5G11977, Fig. 4d). When cultivated under control and phe-induced 479 stress conditions (0 and 200 µM phe), FLAG 090F02 did not present significant differences in 480 481 primary root growth in response to phe compared to its control grown counterpart (Fig. 4e). However, when cultivated under moderate xenobiotic concentration (50 µM phe), this mutant 482 483 showed senescence phenotypes on aged leaves, which turned yellow and lost all the chlorophyll content. This sensitivity was confirmed by the results obtained for FLAG 104E09 484 where primary root growth is significantly reduced compared to its control grown counterpart 485 in response to phe (Fig. 4f). In response to stress, primary root growth is inhibited by 36% in 486 487 Ws, and decreased up to 59% in FLAG 104E09.

From dual LUC assays, we experimentally validated the impact of Ath-*MIR156e* in the regulation of SPLs (the significant repression when Ath-*MIR529b* was co-infiltrated with the control perfect match target construct is used as a positive control, Fig. **5a**). Based on significant differences in dual LUC assays compared with the controls, we validated that Ath-*MIR156e* is targeted to regulate *SPL2* and *SPL4*, but no interaction was observed with *SPL13B* (Fig. **5b**).

494

495

4. Discussion

In this study, we explored the impact of phe on miRNA expression patterns in *Spartina*, in the context of recent allopolyploid speciation. Plants were exposed 5 days under 150 mg phe.kg⁻¹ and such concentration induced little observable phytotoxicity. Nonetheless, the phenotypic effect of phe exposure has been previously reported by Hong et al. (2015) in *S. alterniflora*, 500 with a significant decrease of leaf dry biomass in plants exposed to lower phe concentrations 501 (70 days under 10 and 100 mg phe.kg⁻¹). In complement, differences in tolerance, ROS 502 production, and phe contents in leaf tissues were previously reported between the investigated Spartina species under phe-induced stress (Cavé-Radet et al. 2019). Such reports 503 504 suggest intraspecific differences in phe accumulation and detoxification in *Spartina* following 505 allopolyploidy. While accumulation and detoxification both affect plant tolerance to 506 xenobiotics, we aimed to compare the related molecular pathways between species with a 507 focus on miRNAs as major regulators of gene expression, and particularly regarding gene 508 networks involved in developmental processes and response to stress.

509

4.1 Hybridization and genome doubling reprogrammed miRNAs under phe-induced stress in *Spartina*

We found the highest miRNA transcriptional differences (19.19%) between the parental species *S. maritima* and *S. alterniflora*, as a result of species divergence sometimes 2-4 Mya (Rousseau-Gueutin et al. 2015). This report is consistent with the transcriptional divergence previously detected between the parental species (Chelaifa et al. 2010a; Ferreira de Carvalho et al. 2013, 2017; Giraud et al. 2021). Thus, the recently formed hybrid and allopolyploid have resulted from the merger of divergent regulatory networks, and miRNAs undetected in the parental species represent candidates triggered by allopolyploidy.

519

Altogether, our data indicated that allopolyploidy under phe exposure (with differential impacts of hybridization and genome doubling) affected miRNA expression patterns in *Spartina* (Fig. **3**). Such patterns are consistent with the role of genetic buffer of sRNAs against the genomic 'shock' following genome merger and redundancy in the hybrid and *S. anglica*,

as reported in *Arabidopsis* by Ha et al. (2009). Although single genotypes were selected as representatives of each species, the recent origin, a very low intra-and inter-individual genetic diversity, and clonal propagation are limiting the effects of selection acting on different genotypes in *Spartina*. Hence, results we obtained are mostly related to the immediate evolutionary effects of allopolyploidy rather than population divergence, even if we cannot strictly exclude that other mechanisms resulting from the history of species might interact.

530 In response to allopolyploidy, major changes in miRNA expression patterns under phe-induced 531 stress were detected following hybridization (12.87%, comparing S. x townsendii to the MPV) compared with allopolyploidy (5.62%, comparing *S. anglica* to the MPV) or genome doubling 532 per se (4.84%, comparing S. anglica to S. x townsendii). Our results under phe-induced stress 533 534 are in contrast with recent reports obtained in control condition, where major changes 535 resulted from allopolyploidy (16.87%) compared to hybridization (6.52%, Fig. 3; Cavé-Radet et al., 2020). Differences in DE miRNAs suggest that under phe exposure, miRNA-mediated 536 epigenetic modifications are mostly observed following hybridization rather than genome 537 538 doubling. In S. x townsendii, DE miRNAs under phe following hybridization may represent 539 important regulators related with heterosis, as reported in rice (He et al., 2010), tomato 540 (Shivaprasad et al. 2012), sugarcane (Zanca et al. 2010) or wheat (Kenan-Eichler et al. 2011). Following hybridization, we found for example down-regulated miRNAs from the MIR166 541 542 family (Spa-MIR166f, Spa-MIR166o and Spa-MIR166s), targeting homeobox-leucine zipper 543 family protein and lipid-binding START domain-containing protein genes, which are transcription factors involved in plant developmental processes (Chew et al. 2013). We also 544 545 found down-regulated Spa-new_MIR_471, which is targeting a double-stranded-RNA-binding 546 protein. Such proteins are reported to impact sRNA biosynthetic pathways (Curtin et al. 2008), 547 and might be related with enhanced miRNA transcriptional changes observed in S. x

townsendii compared to *S. anglica*. Another possible reason could be related to the impact of TEs on miRNA expression patterns in response to stress (Hou et al. 2019). For example, a burst of TEs specifically activated in response to stress following hybridization rather than allopolyploidy might result in additional changes of miRNA expression patterns as observed in the hybrid (*e.g.* TE-derived miRNAs or TEs adjacent to *MIR* genes).

553

More globally, changes in miRNA expression patterns in S. x townsendii and S. anglica 554 555 identified are most likely related with enhanced tolerance abilities to PAH following allopolyploidy, including different responses from regulatory networks of stress-responsive 556 miRNAs. However, among phe-responsive miRNAs we reported only two miRNAs directly 557 558 affected by hybridization (Spa-new_MIR_207 and Spa-new_MIR_458) when comparing S. x 559 townsendii to the MPV, while no phe-responsive miRNA affected by allopolyploidy has been identified when comparing S. anglica to the MPV. Nevertheless, we found that most of target 560 genes associated with DE miRNAs following hybridization and/or allopolyploidy are related to 561 developmental and response to stress processes (Supplemental Table S6), such as recently 562 reported by Martinez Palacios et al. (2019) in *Brassica* allopolyploids. However, given the lack 563 564 of a reference genome in Spartina and target gene annotations for many DE miRNAs, it is difficult to report additional phe-responsive miRNA regulatory modules in Spartina. 565 566 Considering the evolutionary divergence between Spartina and Arabidopsis, the molecular response to xenobiotics most likely involves species-specific detoxification metabolic 567 568 pathways (Alvarez et al., 2018; Cavé-Radet et al., 2019), potentially including miRNA 569 regulatory modules and target genes we did not consider within the Arabidopsis xenome 570 genes. For example, among the 20 miRNAs DE following both hybridization and allopolyploidy 571 under phe-induced stress (comparing both S. x townsendii and S. anglica to the MPV), we

572 identified downregulated MIR159 targeting MYB domain transcription factors (AT5G06100 annotated Spartina contig). The impact of MIR159-MYB regulatory modules was previously 573 reported in many stress responses (Roy 2016; Li et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2018), and might be 574 involved in phe tolerance mechanisms following allopolyploidy in Spartina. Other DE miRNAs 575 with transgressive expression patterns following hybridization and allopolyploidy 576 577 (Supplemental Table S6) also represent candidates of interest for further prospects, which potentially ensure the genome stability in response to genomic 'shock' from allopolyploidy 578 579 under phe-induced stress.

580

581 **4.2** Phe-responsive miRNAs and regulatory modules retained in *Spartina*

582 In complement to report miRNAs whose abundance was affected by hybridization and 583 allopolyploidy under phe exposure in Spartina, we more generally aimed to detect pheresponsive candidate miRNAs by comparing expression patterns within and among Spartina 584 species. From intraspecific comparisons, 103 DE miRNAs in response to phe were identified 585 586 and validation by RT-gPCR is consistent with *in-silico* miRNA differential expression analysis performed by EDAseq-DESeq2 (Supplemental Fig. S2). Interestingly, we rarely observed 587 588 opposite miRNA regulations between Spartina species (e.g. up- versus down-regulated miRNAs). Such patterns are mainly contrasting S. x townsendii to S. anglica and confirm that 589 590 phe impacts differently miRNA expression patterns among species.

Based on interspecific comparisons under phe-induced stress, we retained 254 non-redundant phe-responsive candidate miRNAs (69 conserved and 185 novel miRNAs). In total, we retrieved 17 phe-responsive miRNAs (Table 1), distributed between 6 conserved and 11 novel miRNAs. Among them, we identified phe-responsive miRNAs targeting SPLs annotated *Spartina* contigs, and down-regulations of these genes are correlated with enhanced growth, 596 development, and tolerance to several stresses (Li et al., 2017). We also found phe-responsive 597 miRNAs targeting GTs, CYPs and α/β hydrolases, for which up-regulations of these genes may 598 impact tolerance abilities to xenobiotic and oxidative stress.

599

So far, stress-responsive miRNAs in Spartina were only explored in S. alterniflora under salinity 600 601 (Qin et al. 2015; Zandkarimi et al. 2015), while few studies have explored the role of plant 602 miRNAs in response to phe. Recently, Li et al. (2017) reported 11 phe-responsive miRNAs from 603 wheat. Here, the authors focused on roots to perform differential expression analysis of miRNAs in early xenobiotic exposure (0, 12, 24 and 48h), while we exposed plants for 5 days 604 under phe to specifically focus on detoxification responses once xenobiotics accumulate in 605 606 leaf tissues. We crossed DE miRNAs from Spartina and wheat, but we observed little overlap 607 between the two taxa. We found only Spa-MIR156e (up-regulated in both taxa) and Spa-MIR398 (up-regulated in Spartina leaves but down-regulated in wheat roots). MIR156 and 608 MIR398 are shared MIR families among spermaphytes, and their impact in stress responses 609 610 are also well described. It includes for example the MIR156-SPL regulatory system through 611 enhanced plant development and growth under stress conditions (Aung et al., 2015), or 612 MIR398 regulating superoxide dismutases involved in ROS scavenging (Ding et al. 2010; Li et 613 al. 2020). In Spartina, similar functions may be expected in response to PAHs, but the 614 differences we observed could also result from spatiotemporal specificities (e.g. organs, time 615 exposure) between experiments and/or from species divergence.

616

4.3 *MIR156* and *MIR159* regulatory modules are targeted to adapt the xenome expression
 and developmental plasticity in *Arabidopsis* in response to phe

619 For functional validation of phe-responsive Spartina miRNAs, homologous MIR genes were 620 identified in Arabidopsis prior to T-DNA mutant phenotyping. Unfortunately, only homologous 621 MIR genes related with conserved Spartina miRNAs were identified in Arabidopsis (Supplemental Table S7), most likely because of the evolutionary distance between species. 622 For a broader functional validation, homologous MIR genes were similarly identified in 623 624 Brachypodium and Oryza (phylogenetically related species among Poaceae), but only few 625 mutants were available in T-DNA collections, which was unsatisfactory in our approach. Hence 626 we only focused on miRNAs from conserved MIR gene families within embryophytes (Taylor et al. 2014) such as Ath-MIR156e and Ath-MIR159d, and we were unable to perform functional 627 validation on novel phe-responsive Spartina miRNAs. 628

629

630 Concerning Ath-MIR159d (Spa-MIR159d homolog), tolerant phenotypes were observed in SAIL_770_G05 and SALKseq_030399.2 under phe-induced stress. As described in Spartina, 631 Arabidopsis MIR159 target genes include CYPs, in complement with other xenome genes such 632 633 as GTs and ABC transporters (Supplemental Table S8). In Arabidopsis, down-regulation of Ath-634 *MIR159d* most likely induces up-regulation of positive stress tolerance regulators to phe. 635 Among Arabidopsis MIR159 target genes, we detected genes involved in xenobiotic detoxification, or in responses to oxidative stress such as ROS scavenging (Dumas et al., 2016; 636 637 Edwards et al., 2005, 2011; Li et al., 2017; Taguchi et al., 2010; Weisman et al., 2010). Arabidopsis MIR159 target genes include α/β hydrolases (AT5G18630, AT5G18640), CYPs 638 (AT1G67110, AT2G34500, AT5G35715), transferases (AT1G22360, AT4G36770), and 639 640 peroxidases (AT3G49960) involved in xenobiotic conjugation and biotransformation. In 641 addition, we reported MIR159 and ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters (AT3G13090, 642 AT3G13100 and AT4G39850) regulatory modules involved in the transport of xenobiotic conjugates to the vacuole for storage, as well as *MIR159* and copper/zinc superoxide
dismutase (*CSD3*: AT5G18100) regulatory module, expected to reduce the extent of oxidative
stress and related damages on plant physiology.

In contrast, sensitive phenotypes were identified in FLAG 090F02 and FLAG 104E09 in 646 response to phe, with insertions in Ath-MIR156e (Spa-MIR156e and Spa-MIR156j homolog). 647 648 We then confirmed that the down-regulation of Ath-MIR156e in Arabidopsis, probably in 649 association with the up-regulation of negative stress tolerance regulators, is related with phe 650 sensitivity. In Arabidopsis, negative stress tolerance regulators targeted by MIR156 include SPLs or NAC transcription factors (Supplemental Table S8), which represent key genes involved 651 in plant development (Hyun et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2016). Among Ath-MIR156e 652 653 target genes, interactions with SPL2 and SPL4 were experimentally validated by dual LUC 654 assays, and similar mechanisms for MIR156-NACs regulatory modules are expected according to in silico target gene prediction. We also highlight the MIR159-MYB regulatory module 655 (validated on Ath-MIR159b-MYB33 by dual LUC assays; Supplemental Fig. S5), because of their 656 impact reported in many stress responses (Roy 2016; Li et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2018). 657 658 Evidences that MIR159 and MIR156 interact with each other were reported by Guo et al. (2017) who described that MIR159-MYB33 regulatory modules impact the transcription of 659 MIR156 and the expression patterns of target genes downstream. 660

661

Given the highly pleiotropic effects of *MIR156* and *MIR159* families in plants, we confirmed based on primary root growth the impact of phe in *Arabidopsis* T-DNA mutants compared to their control grown counterparts (tolerant and sensitive phenotypes for T-DNA lines with insertion in Ath-*MIR159b* and Ath-*MIR156e MIR* genes respectively). Such results support that *MIR156* and *MIR159* regulatory modules are targeted to adapt the xenome expression and

28

667 developmental plasticity in response to phe-induced stress in Arabidopsis. Although the molecular responses to xenobiotics may differ between Arabidopsis and Spartina (Alvarez et 668 669 al., 2018; Cavé-Radet et al., 2019), we focused here on evolutionary conserved gene families and miRNA regulatory networks within embryophytes (Taylor et al. 2014). Then, it is 670 reasonable to speculate similar mechanisms for Spartina phe-responsive miRNAs from 671 672 MIR156 and MIR159, as we reported up-regulated MIR156 and down regulated MIR159 in 673 Spartina in response to phe, predicted to target SPLs and xenome genes. In contrast, potential 674 differences are observed as no target gene annotated among peroxidases, ROS scavenging enzymes, or NAC transcription factors were associated with phe-responsive miRNAs identified 675 676 in Spartina.

677 While further work is needed to precisely confirm the molecular pathways of phe-responsive 678 miRNAs in Spartina (e.g. transcriptomic analysis of phe-responsive miRNA target genes and TEs), we emphasize that most of phe-responsive miRNAs identified are novel miRNAs. Such 679 novel miRNAs specifically identified in Spartina may be effective in response to xenobiotics, 680 681 and could explain the divergent tolerance abilities between Spartina and Arabidopsis (S. 682 anglica tolerates up to 800 μ M phe, whereas the sub-lethal dose is 200 μ M phe in Arabidopsis; 683 see Dumas et al., 2016). Hence, further analyses focused on novel phe-responsive miRNAs functional validation in *Spartina* are promising, and target mimicry (Franco-Zorrilla et al. 2007) 684 685 or artificial miRNAs (Eamens et al. 2011) represent useful tools for such purpose. For example, phe-responsive novel miRNAs Spa-new_MIR_207 and Spa-new_MIR_458 (respectively 686 targeting Spartina contigs annotated as GTs and CYPs and specifically identified by comparing 687 688 S. x townsendii against the MPV) are candidates of interest in this perspective.

689

690

5. Conclusion

691 In this study, we explored the impact of phe in reprogramming the expression of Spartina 692 miRNAs in the context of recent allopolyploid speciation. The results shed light on the 693 regulatory mechanisms involved in the response to phe-induced stress, and highlight the role of allopolyploidy in reshaping gene expression regulation and impacting the evolution of 694 xenobiotic tolerance. We show how divergent responses of miRNA regulatory modules are 695 696 involved in molecular responses to phe between Spartina species, and in this regard, the genus 697 Spartina provides a unique model system of recent natural speciation (besides resynthesized 698 allopolyploids) to decipher the early evolutionary changes associated to allopolyploidy. Such changes are most likely impacting the plasticity and adaptive potential of species, and are 699 700 consistent with the enhanced stress tolerance reported following allopolyploidy (Cavé-Radet 701 et al. 2019).

702 In total, we identified 17 phe-responsive miRNAs from both conserved and novel miRNAs 703 annotated in Spartina. The results bring new insights to understand miRNA-mediated 704 responses involved in PAHs detoxification and tolerance, and provide useful data for 705 biotechnological perspectives in agronomy or phytoremediation. Using Arabidopsis as a 706 heterologous system, functional validation of MIR156 and MIR159 regulatory modules was 707 performed. Similar mechanisms were speculated in Spartina in response to genomic 'shock' from allopolyploidy and phe, but further work is needed to precisely confirm the impact of 708 phe-responsive miRNA regulatory networks in such non-model plants. More particularly, we 709 710 emphasize on DE miRNAs in response to allopolyploidy under phe, undetected within phe-711 responsive Spartina miRNAs but potentially involved in other detoxification metabolic 712 pathways as those we considered from the *Arabidopsis* xenome.

713 6. References

- Ainouche M, Chelaifa H, Ferreira J, Bellot S, Ainouche A, Salmon A (2012) Polyploid evolution in
 Spartina: Dealing with highly redundant hybrid genomes. In: Soltis PS, Soltis DE (eds)
 Polyploidy and Genome Evolution. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 225–243
- Ainouche ML, Baumel A, Salmon A (2004) *Spartina anglica* C. E. Hubbard: a natural model system for
 analysing early evolutionary changes that affect allopolyploid genomes: evolution of the
 Spartina anglica. Biol J Linn Soc 82:475–484
- Ainouche ML, Baumel A, Salmon A, Yannic G (2003) Hybridization, polyploidy and speciation in *Spartina* (Poaceae). New Phytol 161:165–172
- Ainouche ML, Fortune PM, Salmon A, Parisod C, Grandbastien M-A, Fukunaga K, Ricou M, Misset M-T
 (2009) Hybridization, polyploidy and invasion: lessons from *Spartina* (Poaceae). Biol Invasions
 11:1159–1173
- Alkio M, Tabuchi TM, Wang X, Colon-Carmona A (2005) Stress responses to polycyclic aromatic
 hydrocarbons in *Arabidopsis* include growth inhibition and hypersensitive response-like
 symptoms. J Exp Bot 56:2983–2994
- Alvarez M, Ferreira de Carvalho J, Salmon A, Ainouche ML, Cavé-Radet A, El Amrani A, Foster TE, Moyer
 S, Richards CL (2018) Transcriptome response to the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill identifies
 novel candidate genes for oil tolerance in natural populations of the foundation plant *Spartina alterniflora*. Mol Ecol
- Anderson CM, Treshow M (1980) A Review of Environmental and Genetic Factors That Affect Height in
 Spartina alterniflora Loisel. (Salt Marsh Cord Grass). Estuaries 3:168.
 https://doi.org/10.2307/1352066
- Andrews S (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- Arnon DI (Daniel I, Hoagland DR (1950) The water-culture method for growing plants without soil, Rev.
 ed. / by D.I. Arnon. College of Agriculture, University of California, Berkeley, Calif
- Aung B, Gruber MY, Hannoufa A (2015) The MicroRNA156 system: A tool in plant biotechnology.
 Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 4:432–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2015.08.002
- Axtell MJ (2013) Classification and Comparison of Small RNAs from Plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 64:137–
 159. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120043
- Ayres DR, Strong DR (2001) Origin and Genetic Diversity of *Spartina anglica* (Poaceae) Using Nuclear
 DNA Markers. Am J Bot 88:1863. https://doi.org/10.2307/3558362
- Bakhshi B, Fard EM, Gharechahi J, Safarzadeh M, Nikpay N, Fotovat R, Azimi MR, Salekdeh GH (2017)
 The contrasting microRNA content of a drought tolerant and a drought susceptible wheat
 cultivar. J Plant Physiol 216:35–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2017.05.012
- Baumel A, Ainouche ML, Levasseur JE (2001) Molecular investigations in populations of *Spartina anglica* C.E. Hubbard (Poaceae) invading coastal Brittany (France). Mol Ecol 10:1689–1701
- Baumel A, Ainouche ML, Misset MT, Gourret J-P, Bayer RJ (2003) Genetic evidence for hybridization
 between the native *Spartina maritima* and the introduced *Spartina alterniflora* (Poaceae) in

- 751South-West France: Spartina × neyrautii re-examined. Plant Syst Evol 237:87–97.752https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-002-0251-8
- Boutte J, Ferreira de CJ, Rousseau-Gueutin M, Poulain J, Da Silva C, Wincker P, Ainouche M, Salmon A
 (2016) Reference transcriptomes and detection of duplicated copies in hexaploid and
 allododecaploid *Spartina* species (Poaceae). Genome Biol Evol evw209
- Castillo JM, Fernandez-Baco L, Castellanos EM, Luque CJ, FigUeroa ME, Davy AJ (2000) Lower limits of
 Spartina densiflora and *S. maritima* in a Mediterranean salt marsh determined by different
 ecophysiological tolerances. J Ecol 88:801–812
- Cavé-Radet A, Giraud D, Lima O, El Amrani A, Aïnouche M, Salmon A (2020) Evolution of small RNA
 expression following hybridization and allopolyploidization: insights from *Spartina* species
 (Poaceae, Chloridoideae). Plant Mol Biol 102:55–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-019 00931-w
- Cavé-Radet A, Salmon A, Lima O, Ainouche ML, El Amrani A (2019) Increased tolerance to organic
 xenobiotics following recent allopolyploidy in *Spartina* (Poaceae). Plant Sci 280:143–154.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.11.005
- Chelaifa H, Mahé F, Ainouche M (2010a) Transcriptome divergence between the hexaploid salt-marsh
 sister species Spartina maritima and Spartina alterniflora (Poaceae): TRANSCRIPTOME
 DIVERGENCE IN SPARTINA. Mol Ecol 19:2050–2063. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 294X.2010.04637.x
- Chelaifa H, Monnier A, Ainouche M (2010b) Transcriptomic changes following recent natural
 hybridization and allopolyploidy in the salt marsh species *Spartina × townsendii* and *Spartina anglica* (Poaceae). New Phytol 186:161–174
- Chen YH, Cao YY, Wang LJ, Li LM, Yang J, Zou MX (2018) Identification of MYB transcription factor genes
 and their expression during abiotic stresses in maize. Biol Plant 62:222–230.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-017-0756-1
- Chen ZJ (2007) Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms for gene expression and phenotypic variation in
 plant polyploids. Annu Rev Plant Biol 58:377–406.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103835
- Chew W, Hrmova M, Lopato S (2013) Role of Homeodomain Leucine Zipper (HD-Zip) IV Transcription
 Factors in Plant Development and Plant Protection from Deleterious Environmental Factors.
 Int J Mol Sci 14:8122–8147. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14048122
- 782 Comai L (2005) The advantages and disadvantages of being polyploid. Nat Rev Genet 6:836–846
- Curtin SJ, Watson JM, Smith NA, Eamens AL, Blanchard CL, Waterhouse PM (2008) The roles of plant
 dsRNA-binding proteins in RNAi-like pathways. FEBS Lett 582:2753–2760.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2008.07.004
- Dai X, Zhuang Z, Zhao PX (2018) psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server (2017 release).
 Nucleic Acids Res 46:W49–W54. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky316
- 788Del Pozo JC, Ramirez-Parra E (2015) Whole genome duplications in plants: an overview from789Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot 66:6991–7003. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv432

- Ding Y-F, Wang G-Y, Fu Y-P, Zhu C (2010) The role of miR398 in plant stress responses. Yi Chuan Hered
 32:129–134
- Doyle JJ, Flagel LE, Paterson AH, Rapp RA, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Wendel JF (2008) Evolutionary genetics
 of genome merger and doubling in plants. Annu Rev Genet 42:443–461.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.42.110807.091524
- Dumas A-S, Taconnat L, Barbas E, Rigaill G, Catrice O, Bernard D, Benamar A, Macherel D, El Amrani A,
 Berthomé R (2016) Unraveling the early molecular and physiological mechanisms involved in
 response to phenanthrene exposure. BMC Genomics 17
- Eamens AL, Agius C, Smith NA, Waterhouse PM, Wang M-B (2011) Efficient Silencing of Endogenous
 MicroRNAs Using Artificial MicroRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Plant 4:157–170.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssq061
- 801 Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson C (1991) A simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant 802 genomic DNA for PCR analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 19:1349
- 803 Edwards R, Brazier-Hicks M, Dixon DP, Cummins I (2005) Chemical manipulation of antioxidant 804 defences in plants. In: Advances in Botanical Research. Elsevier, pp 1–32
- Edwards R, Dixon DP, Cummins I, Brazier-Hicks M, Skipsey M (2011) New perspectives on the
 metabolism and detoxification of synthetic compounds in plants. In: Schröder P, Collins CD
 (eds) Organic Xenobiotics and Plants. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp 125–148
- Feng K, Nie X, Cui L, Deng P, Wang M, Song W (2017) Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization
 of Salinity Stress-Responsive miRNAs in Wild Emmer Wheat (Triticum turgidum ssp.
 dicoccoides). Genes 8:156. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8060156
- Ferreira de Carvalho J, Boutte J, Bourdaud P, Chelaifa H, Ainouche K, Salmon A, Ainouche M (2017)
 Gene expression variation in natural populations of hexaploid and allododecaploid *Spartina* species (Poaceae). Plant Syst Evol
- Ferreira de Carvalho J, Poulain J, Da Silva C, Wincker P, Michon-Coudouel S, Dheilly A, Naquin D, Boutte
 J, Salmon A, Ainouche M (2013) Transcriptome de novo assembly from next-generation
 sequencing and comparative analyses in the hexaploid salt marsh species *Spartina maritima* and *Spartina alterniflora* (Poaceae). Heredity 110:181–193
- Fox DT, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Ashman T-L, Van de Peer Y (2020) Polyploidy: A Biological Force From Cells
 to Ecosystems. Trends Cell Biol 30:688–694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2020.06.006
- Franco-Zorrilla JM, Valli A, Todesco M, Mateos I, Puga MI, Rubio-Somoza I, Leyva A, Weigel D, García
 JA, Paz-Ares J (2007) Target mimicry provides a new mechanism for regulation of microRNA
 activity. Nat Genet 39:1033–1037. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng2079
- Fu R, Zhang M, Zhao Y, He X, Ding C, Wang S, Feng Y, Song X, Li P, Wang B (2017) Identification of Salt
 Tolerance-related microRNAs and Their Targets in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Using High-throughput
 Sequencing and Degradome Analysis. Front Plant Sci 8.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00864
- Fu Y, Xiao M, Yu H, Mason AS, Yin J, Li J, Zhang D, Fu D (2016) Small RNA changes in synthetic *Brassica napus*. Planta 244:607–622. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-016-2529-z

- Gao Y, Zhu L (2004) Plant uptake, accumulation and translocation of phenanthrene and pyrene in soils.
 Chemosphere 55:1169–1178
- Giraud D, Lima O, Rousseau-Gueutin M, Salmon A, Aïnouche M (2021) Gene and Transposable Element
 Expression Evolution Following Recent and Past Polyploidy Events in *Spartina* (Poaceae). Front
 Genet 12:589160. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.589160
- Greenberg BM (2003) PAH Interactions with plants: Uptake, toxicity and phytoremediation. In: Douben
 PET (ed) PAHs: An Ecotoxicological Perspective. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp 263–273
- 836 Groves H, Groves J (1880) *Spartina townsendii* nobis. Rep Bot Soc Exch Club Br Id 1–37
- Guo C, Xu Y, Shi M, Lai Y, Wu X, Wang H, Zhu Z, Poethig RS, Wu G (2017) Repression of miR156 by
 miR159 Regulates the Timing of the Juvenile-to-Adult Transition in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell
 tpc.00975.2016. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00975
- Gupta OP, Sharma P, Gupta RK, Sharma I (2014) MicroRNA mediated regulation of metal toxicity in
 plants: present status and future perspectives. Plant Mol Biol 84:1–18.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-013-0120-6
- Ha M, Lu J, Tian L, Ramachandran V, Kasschau KD, Chapman EJ, Carrington JC, Chen X, Wang X-J, Chen
 ZJ (2009) Small RNAs serve as a genetic buffer against genomic shock in *Arabidopsis* interspecific hybrids and allopolyploids. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:17835–17840.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0907003106
- Hacker SD, Heimer D, Dethier MN (2001) A marine plant (*Spartina anglica*) invades widely varying
 habitats: Potential mechanisms of invasion and control. Biol Invasions 3:211–217
- Harvey PJ, Campanella BF, Castro PML, Harms H, Lichtfouse E, Schäffner AR, Smrcek S, Werck-Reichhart
 D (2002) Phytoremediation of polyaromatic hydrocarbons, anilines and phenols. Environ Sci
 Pollut Res 9:29–47
- He G, Zhu X, Elling AA, Chen L, Wang X, Guo L, Liang M, He H, Zhang H, Chen F, Qi Y, Chen R, Deng X-W
 (2010) Global Epigenetic and Transcriptional Trends among Two Rice Subspecies and Their
 Reciprocal Hybrids. Plant Cell 22:17–33. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.072041
- He Q, Zhu S, Zhang B (2014) MicroRNA-target gene responses to lead-induced stress in cotton
 (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Funct Integr Genomics 14:507–515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142 014-0378-z
- Hong Y, Liao D, Chen J, Khan S, Su J, Li H (2015) A comprehensive study of the impact of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) contamination on salt marsh plants *Spartina alterniflora*:
 implication for plant-microbe interactions in phytoremediation. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:7071–7081. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3912-6
- Hou J, Lu D, Mason AS, Li B, Xiao M, An S, Fu D (2019) Non-coding RNAs and transposable elements in
 plant genomes: emergence, regulatory mechanisms and roles in plant development and stress
 responses. Planta 250:23–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03166-7
- 865 Hubbard CE (1968) Grasses, Penguin books. London
- Hyun Y, Richter R, Coupland G (2017) Competence to Flower: Age-Controlled Sensitivity to
 Environmental Cues. Plant Physiol 173:36–46. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.01523

- Jackson S, Chen ZJ (2010) Genomic and expression plasticity of polyploidy. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13:153–
 159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.11.004
- Jiao W, Yuan J, Jiang S, Liu Y, Wang L, Liu M, Zheng D, Ye W, Wang X, Chen ZJ (2018) Asymmetrical
 changes of gene expression, small RNAs and chromatin in two resynthesized wheat
 allotetraploids. Plant J 93:828–842. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13805
- Kenan-Eichler M, Leshkowitz D, Tal L, Noor E, Melamed-Bessudo C, Feldman M, Levy AA (2011) Wheat
 Hybridization and Polyploidization Results in Deregulation of Small RNAs. Genetics 188:263–
 272. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.128348
- Khraiwesh B, Zhu J-K, Zhu J (2012) Role of miRNAs and siRNAs in biotic and abiotic stress responses of
 plants. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA Gene Regul Mech 1819:137–148.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.05.001
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Lei J, Sun Y (2014) miR-PREFeR: an accurate, fast and easy-to-use plant miRNA prediction tool using
 small RNA-Seq data. Bioinformatics 30:2837–2839.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu380
- Li A, Liu D, Wu J, Zhao X, Hao M, Geng S, Yan J, Jiang X, Zhang L, Wu J, Yin L, Zhang R, Wu L, Zheng Y,
 Mao L (2014) mRNA and Small RNA Transcriptomes Reveal Insights into Dynamic Homoeolog
 Regulation of Allopolyploid Heterosis in Nascent Hexaploid Wheat. Plant Cell 26:1878–1900.
 https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.124388
- Li J, Fu F, An M, Zhou S, She Y, Li W (2013) Differential Expression of MicroRNAs in Response to Drought
 Stress in Maize. J Integr Agric 12:1414–1422. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60311 1
- Li J, Shen Y, Zhu J, Liu S, Zeng N, Zhan X (2020) miR398 is involved in the relief of phenanthrene-induced
 oxidative toxicity in wheat roots. Environ Pollut 258:113701.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113701
- Li J, Yue L, Shen Y, Sheng Y, Zhan X, Xu G, Xing B (2017) Phenanthrene-responsive microRNAs and their
 targets in wheat roots. Chemosphere 186:588–598.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.08.022
- Li Y, Alonso-Peral M, Wong G, Wang M-B, Millar AA (2016) Ubiquitous miR159 repression of MYB33/65
 in Arabidopsis rosettes is robust and is not perturbed by a wide range of stresses. BMC Plant
 Biol 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0867-4
- Lin Q, Mendelssohn IA (2012) Impacts and Recovery of the *Deepwater Horizon* Oil Spill on Vegetation
 Structure and Function of Coastal Salt Marshes in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. Environ Sci
 Technol 46:3737–3743
- Lin Q, Mendelssohn IA, Graham SA, Hou A, Fleeger JW, Deis DR (2016) Response of salt marshes to
 oiling from the Deepwater Horizon spill: Implications for plant growth, soil surface-erosion,
 and shoreline stability. Sci Total Environ 557–558:369–377

- Liu H, Weisman D, Ye Y, Cui B, Huang Y, Colón-Carmona A, Wang Z (2009) An oxidative stress response
 to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon exposure is rapid and complex in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
 Plant Sci 176:375–382
- Liu M, Yu H, Zhao G, Huang Q, Lu Y, Ouyang B (2017) Profiling of drought-responsive microRNA and
 mRNA in tomato using high-throughput sequencing. BMC Genomics 18.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3869-1
- Liu Z, Liu J, Zhu Q, Wu W (2012) The weathering of oil after the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill: insights
 from the chemical composition of the oil from the sea surface, salt marshes and sediments.
 Environ Res Lett 7
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
 data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
 EMBnet.journal 17:10. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200
- 919 Martinez Palacios P, Jacquemot M-P, Tapie M, Rousselet A, Diop M, Remoué C, Falque M, Lloyd A, 920 Jenczewski E, Lassalle G, Chévre A-M, Lelandais C, Crespi M, Brabant P, Joets J, Alix K (2019) Assessing the Response of Small RNA Populations to Allopolyploidy Using Resynthesized 921 36:709-726. 922 Brassica napus Allotetraploids. Mol Biol Evol https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz007 923
- 924 McClintock B (1984) The significance of responses of the genome to challenge. Science 226:792–801
- Moyle RL, Carvalhais LC, Pretorius L-S, Nowak E, Subramaniam G, Dalton-Morgan J, Schenk PM (2017)
 An Optimized Transient Dual Luciferase Assay for Quantifying MicroRNA Directed Repression
 of Targeted Sequences. Front Plant Sci 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01631
- 928Nieto Feliner G, Casacuberta J, Wendel JF (2020) Genomics of Evolutionary Novelty in Hybrids and929Polyploids. Front Genet 11:792. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00792
- Noman A, Aqeel M (2017) miRNA-based heavy metal homeostasis and plant growth. Environ Sci Pollut
 Res 24:10068–10082. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8593-5
- O'Malley RC, Barragan CC, Ecker JR (2015) A User's Guide to the *Arabidopsis* T-DNA Insertion Mutant
 Collections. In: Alonso JM, Stepanova AN (eds) Plant Functional Genomics. Springer New York,
 New York, NY, pp 323–342
- Parisod C, Salmon A, Zerjal T, Tenaillon M, Grandbastien M-A, Ainouche M (2009) Rapid structural and
 epigenetic reorganization near transposable elements in hybrid and allopolyploid genomes in
 Spartina. New Phytol 184:1003–1015
- 938 Pilon-Smits E (2005) Phytoremediation. Annu Rev Plant Biol 56:15–39
- Qin Z, Chen J, Jin L, Duns GJ, Ouyang P (2015) Differential Expression of miRNAs Under Salt Stress in
 Spartina alterniflora Leaf Tissues. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 15:1554–1561.
 https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2015.9004
- 942 R Core Team (2015) R: a language and environement for statistical computing

- Risso D, Schwartz K, Sherlock G, Dudoit S (2011) GC-Content Normalization for RNA-Seq Data. BMC
 Bioinformatics 12:480. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-480
- Robertson M, Schrey A, Shayter A, Moss CJ, Richards C (2017) Genetic and epigenetic variation in
 Spartina alterniflora following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Evol Appl 1–10
- Rogers K, Chen X (2013) Biogenesis, Turnover, and Mode of Action of Plant MicroRNAs. Plant Cell
 25:2383–2399. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.113159
- Rousseau-Gueutin M, Bellot S, Martin GE, Boutte J, Chelaifa H, Lima O, Michon-Coudouel S, Naquin D,
 Salmon A, Ainouche K, Ainouche M (2015) The chloroplast genome of the hexaploid *Spartina maritima* (Poaceae, Chloridoideae): Comparative analyses and molecular dating. Mol
 Phylogenet Evol 93:5–16
- 953Roy S (2016) Function of MYB domain transcription factors in abiotic stress and epigenetic control of954stress response in plant genome. Plant Signal Behav 11:e1117723.955https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2015.1117723
- 956Salmon A, Ainouche ML, Wendel JF (2005) Genetic and epigenetic consequences of recent957hybridization and polyploidy in *Spartina* (Poaceae). Mol Ecol 14:1163–1175
- Shi R, Sun Y-H, Zhang X-H, Chiang VL (2012) Poly(T) Adaptor RT-PCR. In: Fan J-B (ed) Next-Generation
 MicroRNA Expression Profiling Technology. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp 53–66
- Shi Z, Tao S, Pan B, Fan W, He XC, Zuo Q, Wu SP, Li BG, Cao J, Liu WX, Xu FL, Wang XJ, Shen WR, Wong
 PK (2005) Contamination of rivers in Tianjin, China by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
 Environ Pollut 134:97–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.07.014
- 963Shiri M, Rabhi M, Abdelly C, Amrani AE (2015) The halophytic model plant *Thellungiella salsuginea*964exhibited increased tolerance to phenanthrene-induced stress in comparison with the965glycophitic one Arabidopsis thaliana: Application for phytoremediation. Ecol Eng 74:125–134
- Shivaprasad PV, Dunn RM, Santos BA, Bassett A, Baulcombe DC (2012) Extraordinary transgressive
 phenotypes of hybrid tomato are influenced by epigenetics and small silencing RNAs: RNA
 silencing influences transgressive hybrid phenotypes. EMBO J 31:257–266.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.458
- Shriram V, Kumar V, Devarumath RM, Khare TS, Wani SH (2016) MicroRNAs As Potential Targets for
 Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants. Front Plant Sci 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00817
- Singh K (2002) Transcription factors in plant defense and stress responses. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5:430–
 436. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00289-3
- 974Sunkar R, Li Y-F, Jagadeeswaran G (2012) Functions of microRNAs in plant stress responses. Trends975Plant Sci 17:196–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.01.010
- Taguchi G, Ubukata T, Nozue H, Kobayashi Y, Takahi M, Yamamoto H, Hayashida N (2010) Malonylation
 is a key reaction in the metabolism of xenobiotic phenolic glucosides in *Arabidopsis* and
 tobacco: Phenolic-xenobiotics metabolism in Arabidopsis. Plant J 63:1031–1041
- Taylor RS, Tarver JE, Hiscock SJ, Donoghue PCJ (2014) Evolutionary history of plant microRNAs. Trends
 Plant Sci 19:175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.11.008

- te Beest M, Le Roux JJ, Richardson DM, Brysting AK, Suda J, Kubesova M, Pysek P (2012) The more the
 better? The role of polyploidy in facilitating plant invasions. Ann Bot 109:19–45
- 983 Thompson JD (1991) The biology of an invasive plant. 41:393–401
- Van de Peer Y, Mizrachi E, Marchal K (2017) The evolutionary significance of polyploidy. Nat Rev Genet
 18:411–424
- 986 Wang Q, An S-Q, Ma Z-J, Chen J-K, Li B (2006) Invasive *Spartina alterniflora*: biology, ecology and 987 management. Acta Phytotaxon Sin 44:559
- Wang W, Massey Simonich SL, Xue M, Zhao J, Zhang N, Wang R, Cao J, Tao S (2010) Concentrations,
 sources and spatial distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soils from Beijing,
 Tianjin and surrounding areas, North China. Environ Pollut 158:1245–1251.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.01.021
- Watts AW, Ballestero TP, Gardner KH (2006) Uptake of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in salt
 marsh plants *Spartina alterniflora* grown in contaminated sediments. Chemosphere 62:1253–
 1260
- Weisman D, Alkio M, Colón-Carmona A (2010) Transcriptional responses to polycyclic aromatic
 hydrocarbon-induced stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveal the involvement of hormone and
 defense signaling pathways. BMC Plant Biol 10:59
- 998 Wendel JF (2015) The wondrous cycles of polyploidy in plants. Am J Bot 102:1753–1756
- Wendel JF, Lisch D, Hu G, Mason AS (2018) The long and short of doubling down: polyploidy,
 epigenetics, and the temporal dynamics of genome fractionation. Curr Opin Genet Dev 49:1–
 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2018.01.004
- 1002 Wu W, Biber PD, Peterson MS, Gong C (2012) Modeling photosynthesis of *Spartina alterniflora* (smooth
 1003 cordgrass) impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill using Bayesian inference. Environ Res
 1004 Lett 7
- Xu C, Ge Y, Wang J (2019) Molecular basis underlying the successful invasion of hexaploid cytotypes of
 Solidago canadensis L.: Insights from integrated gene and miRNA expression profiling. Ecol Evol
 9:4820–4852. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5084
- 1008 Xu L, Wang Y, Zhai L, Xu Y, Wang L, Zhu X, Gong Y, Yu R, Limera C, Liu L (2013) Genome-wide 1009 identification and characterization of cadmium-responsive microRNAs and their target genes 1010 in radish (Raphanus sativus L.) roots. J Exp Bot 64:4271-4287. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert240 1011
- 1012Xu M, Hu T, Zhao J, Park M-Y, Earley KW, Wu G, Yang L, Poethig RS (2016) Developmental Functions of1013miR156-Regulated SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE (SPL) Genes in Arabidopsis1014thaliana. PLOS Genet 12:e1006263. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006263
- Yannic G, Baumel A, Ainouche M (2004) Uniformity of the nuclear and chloroplast genomes of *Spartina maritima* (Poaceae), a salt-marsh species in decline along the Western European Coast.
 Heredity 93:182–188. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800491

- Zanca AS, Vicentini R, Ortiz-Morea FA, Del Bem LE, da Silva MJ, Vincentz M, Nogueira FT (2010)
 Identification and expression analysis of microRNAs and targets in the biofuel crop sugarcane.
 BMC Plant Biol 10:260. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-10-260
- Zandkarimi H, Bedre R, Solis J, Mangu V, Baisakh N (2015) Sequencing and expression analysis of salt responsive miRNAs and target genes in the halophyte smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alternifolia* Loisel). Mol Biol Rep 42:1341–1350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-015-3880-z
- 1024Zhan X, Zhang X, Yin X, Ma H, Liang J, Zhou L, Jiang T, Xu G (2012) H+/phenanthrene symporter and1025aquaglyceroporin are implicated in phenanthrene uptake by wheat (L.) roots. J Environ Qual102641:188
- 1027Zhang B (2015) MicroRNA: a new target for improving plant tolerance to abiotic stress. J Exp Bot102866:1749–1761. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv013

1029

1030 **7. Statements & Declarations**

1031 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, 1032 1033 the CNRS, the Observatoire des Sciences et de l'Univers de Rennes (OSUR), and the International Associated Laboratory « Ecological Genomics of Polyploidy (LIA ECOGEN). This 1034 work was also partly granted by the University of Rennes 1 through the "Action initiative Défis 1035 scientifiques 2018" and the FASIC PROGRAM (Franco-Australian Hubert Curien Program) 1036 awards to A.E. The authors would like to acknowledge professor Peer Schrenk (University of 1037 Queensland) for valuable discussions, the Experimental Ecology (Ecolex) & the Molecular 1038 1039 Ecology (PEM) Platforms at the UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO, the Ecogeno Platform (OSUR, 1040 Biogenouest), and the Genouest Bioinformatics Platform (IRISA, Biogenouest). Authors also 1041 thank the NASC (Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center) and the Genomic Resource Center (INRA Versailles) for providing Arabidopsis T-DNA mutant lines. 1042

1043

1044 Data accessibility

1045 Raw sequencing files of sRNAs reads are publicly available and deposited on NCBI under 1046 accession number PRJNA552944.

1047

1048 Author contributions

A.C-R., A.S, M.A., and A.E. designed the experiments. A.E., O.L., R.M., L.T., L.S.P. and A.C.-R.
performed the experiments. A.S., M.A., A.E., L.T., and A.C-R. analysed data. A.C-R., M.A, A.S.,

and A.E. wrote the article. Conflicts of interest: 'none'.

1052 **8. Figures**

1053

Figure 1. Venn Diagrams of conserved (a), and novel (b) miRNAs expressed under pheinduced stress across *Spartina* species. The reticulate origin of the F1 interspecific hybrid, *S. x townsendii* and of *S. anglica* resulting from genome doubling of the former is presented on the right.

1058

1059 Figure 2. Heatmaps from miRNA differential expression analysis in Spartina under pheinduced stress. MicroRNA differential expression analysis included (a) intraspecific 1060 1061 comparisons in response to phe (alt: S. alterniflora; mar: S. maritima; town: S. x townsendii; ang: S. anglica) and (b) pairwise interspecific comparisons under phe. Here, DE miRNAs under 1062 phe-induced stress in response to hybridization (S. x townsendii compared to the MPV), 1063 genome doubling per se (S. anglica compared to S. x townsendii) and allopolyploidy (S. anglica 1064 compared to the MPV) are presented. DE miRNAs were clustered according to their expression 1065 1066 patterns between comparisons (*: p.value < 0.05; **: p.value < 0.01; -: miRNA undetected).

1067

Figure 3. Impact of allopolyploidy on Spartina miRNA expression patterns under phe-1068 1069 induced stress. Transcriptional changes of miRNAs are presented as percentages (proportions 1070 of miRNA expression changes) between parental species (S. alterniflora compared to S. 1071 maritima), in response to hybridization (S. x townsendii compared to the MPV), genome doubling per se (S. anglica compared to S. x townsendii), and allopolyploidy (S. anglica 1072 compared to the MPV) are provided and compared to results previously obtained under 1073 1074 control condition (Cavé-Radet et al. 2020). Venn diagrams represent the number of DE miRNAs (up-regulated in red and down-regulated in blue) between control (green circles) and 1075 phe-induced stress conditions (yellow circles) among comparisons. 1076

1077

1078 Figure 4. Functional validation of phe-responsive miRNAs Spa-MIR159d (Ath-MIR159b homolog) and Spa-MIR156e (Ath-MIR156e homolog) in Arabidopsis. On the left, insertions 1079 of T-DNA lines SAIL 770 G05 and SALKseq 030399.2 (in MIR gene AT1G18075) are presented 1080 1081 (a). After 14 (b) and 20 days (c) cultivated under 0 and 200 µM phe respectively, primary root 1082 lengths (± SE) of mutants were plotted and compared to compared to their control grown 1083 counterparts (Col-0). On the right, insertions of T-DNA lines FLAG 090F02 and FLAG 104E09 1084 (in MIR gene AT5G11977) are presented (d). After 14 (e) and 20 days (f) cultivated under 0 and 200 µM phe respectively, primary root lengths (± SE) of mutants were plotted and 1085 compared to their control grown counterparts (Ws). The rosette leaves of FLAG 090F02 1086 1087 cultivated under moderate xenobiotic concentration (50 µM phe) for 14 days were photographed. Bars annotated with different letters are significantly different according to 1088 1089 pairwise Kruskal-Wallis tests (Bonferroni adjusted p.value < 0.05).

1090

1091 Figure 5. Experimental validation by dual LUC assay for computational interactions of 1092 Arabidopsis Ath-MIR156e (homologous to phe-responsive Spa-MIR156e) and its predicted 1093 targets. The significant repression when Ath-MIR529b was co-infiltrated with the control perfect match (PM) target construct was used as a positive control (a). The controls consist of 1094 1095 assays where the miRNA precursor culture were replaced with a precursor construct culture 1096 that does not match with the target sequence of interest, and significant differences in dual LUC assays are used to validate miRNAs and target genes interactions. Interactions tested are 1097 Ath-MIR156e with target genes SPL2: (SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE 2; 1098 AT5G43270), SPL4 (AT1G53160), and SPL13B (AT5G50670) (b). Data were depicted as box and 1099 whisker plots with interquartile range boxes and min/max whiskers (n=6). Asterisks represent 1100 statistically significant differences using a simple two-tailed unpaired *t*-test with ****p.value 1101 < 0.0001. 1102

9. Tables

Table 1. Phe-responsive miRNAs retained in Spartina. Mature miRNA sequences (6 conserved and 11 novel miRNAs), target gene annotations
 from the Arabidopsis xenome, and expression patterns of miRNAs in response to phe are provided (the corresponding pairwise comparisons are
 included).

miRNA name	miRNA sequence	Arabidopsis annotation of target genes	Target genes description	DE miRNA express	ion patterns in response to phe
Spa-MIR156c	UUGACAGAAGAUAGAGAGCAC	AT5G43270	SPL	Up-regulated:	→ S. x townsendii (phe vs. control) → S. anglica (phe vs. control) → S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-MIR156d	UUGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCAC	AT5G43270; AT5G50670; AT1G53160	SPL	Up-regulated:	→ S. alterniflora compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-MIR156e	UGACAGAAGAGAGUGAGCACA	AT5G43270; AT5G50670; AT1G53160	SPL	Up-regulated:	\rightarrow <i>S. alterniflora</i> (phe vs. control) \rightarrow <i>S. alterniflora</i> compared to <i>S. maritima</i> (phe)
Spa-MIR156h	UGACAGAAGAGAGCGAGCAC	AT5G43270; AT5G50670; AT1G53160	SPL	Up-regulated:	→ S. alterniflora compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_3	CGGCGGCAGAGCUCGAGGCUC	AT1G53160	SPL	Up-regulated:	ightarrow S. alterniflora compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-MIR159d	UUUGGAUUGAAGGGAGCUCUU	AT1G13080	cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	→ <i>S. alterniflora</i> compared to <i>S. maritima</i> (phe) → <i>S. x townsendii</i> compared to <i>S. maritima</i> (phe) → <i>S. anglica</i> compared to <i>S. maritima</i> (phe)

Spa-MIR159j	UUUGGAUUGAAGGGAGCUCU	AT1G13080	cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	ightarrow <i>S. alterniflora</i> compared to <i>S</i> . maritima (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_151	AAAAUGUUGUCAUUAGAACAA	AT3G26300	cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	\rightarrow S. anglica (phe vs. control)
Spa-new_MIR_181	UAACGACAACAAUUUCAGAAC	AT4G34310; AT3G26300	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein and cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	\rightarrow S. anglica (phe vs. control)
Spa-new_MIR_1	AUCUCUGAGAAGCUCUUUAUAGGA	AT1G53290	glycosyltransferase gene family	Down-regulated:	ightarrow S. alterniflora compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_207	AUGAGAUAUGGAACAUUGCCA	AT4G31780	glycosyltransferase gene family	Down-regulated:	ightarrow S. x townsendii compared to the MPV (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_316	GAAAUUGUUGUCGCUAGGACA	AT4G34310	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein	Down-regulated:	ightarrow S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_426	UUGGAUGAGAGAUGAAAGACC	AT3G24040; AT3G15350; AT3G13730	glycosyltransferase gene family and cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	\rightarrow S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima (phe) \rightarrow S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_447	UAAAUUGUUGUCAUUAGGACA	AT4G34310; AT3G26300	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein and cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	→ S. alterniflora compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_458	UAAAUUGUUGUUGUUAGGACA	AT3G26300	cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	\rightarrow S. x townsendii compared to the MPV (phe)
Spa-new_MIR_56	UCUGAAAUUGUUGUCAUUAGG	AT4G34310; AT3G26300	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein and cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	\rightarrow S. anglica (phe vs. control)
Spa-new_MIR_72	UAAAUUGUUGUCGGUAGGACA	AT4G34310; AT3G26300	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein and cytochrome P450 family	Down-regulated:	→ S. x townsendii compared to S. maritima (phe) → S. anglica compared to S. maritima (phe)

1109	Supplementary Information:
1110 1111	Figure S1. Plasmids used for transient assay systems to validate computationally predicted targets of miRNAs.
1112	Figure S2. Expression patterns obtained for 11 miRNAs in response to phe within Spartina species.
1113	Figure S3. Identification process of phe-responsive miRNAs in Spartina.
1114 1115	Figure S4. <i>De novo</i> prediction of a putative primary <i>MIR156</i> transcript in <i>Arabidopsis</i> (<i>MIR</i> -ncRNA), homologous to Spa- <i>MIR156e</i> and Spa- <i>MIR156j</i> .
1116 1117	Figure S5. Additional experimental validation by dual LUC assay of computational interactions of <i>Arabidopsis</i> miRNAs (homologous to phe-responsive miRNAs in <i>Spartina</i>) and their predicted targets.
1118	
1119 1120	Table S1. Pairwise comparisons between treatments and species performed for miRNA differentialexpression analysis.
1121	Table S2. TAIR10 gene families potentially involved in xenobiotic detoxification.
1122	Table S3. Primers used for RT-qPCR of Spa-miRNAs.
1123 1124	Table S4. Target site adaptors used to amplify the target sequences used for the cloning in the plasmid pGrDL_SPb and in dual LUC assays.
1125	Table S5. Summary of small RNA-seq data processing in Spartina.
1126 1127	Table S6. Spartina Spartina
1128	Table S7. Spartina miRNAs and homologous MIR genes identified in Arabidopsis.
1129	Table S8. Target prediction results of phe-responsive homologous miRNAs in Arabidopsis.
1130	Table S9. Spartina homologous MIR genes in Arabidopsis and their corresponding T-DNA mutant lines.
1131	
1132 1133	Note S1. Detailed method followed for the experimental validation of miRNAs and target genes interactions from <i>A. thaliana</i> by dual LUC assays.





log₂ fold change: -10 -5 0 5 10

Click here to access/download, colour figure; Figure_2.eps ±





Control:

Click here to access/download;Colour figure;Figure_3.eps **± Phe-induced stress:**





e









Ws (50 µM phe)









Ath-*MIR529b* 21 UUCGACAUGAGAGAGAGAGAAGA 1









