

A lot of convergence, a bit of divergence: Environment and interspecific interactions shape body colour patterns in Lissotriton newts

Thomas de Solan, Marc Théry, Damien Picard, Pierre-André Crochet, Patrice David, Jean Secondi

► To cite this version:

Thomas de Solan, Marc Théry, Damien Picard, Pierre-André Crochet, Patrice David, et al.. A lot of convergence, a bit of divergence: Environment and interspecific interactions shape body colour patterns in Lissotriton newts. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 2022, 35 (4), pp.575-588. 10.1111/jeb.13985. hal-03921057

HAL Id: hal-03921057 https://hal.science/hal-03921057

Submitted on 17 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	A lot of convergence, a bit of divergence: environment and interspecific interactions shape					
2	body color patterns in <i>Lissotriton</i> newts					
3	Thomas de Solan Marc Théry Damien Picard Pierre-André Crochet Patrice David Jean Secondi					
4	Short title: Newt phenotypic evolution in sympatry					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	Data accessibility statement					
15	The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Dryad at					
16	doi:10.5061/dryad.b8gtht7dw					
17						
18	Keywords: Reproductive character displacement, natural selection, sexual selection, crypsis,					
19	Lissotriton					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						

26

27 Abstract:

Coexistence with related species poses evolutionary challenges to which populations may react 28 in diverse ways. When exposed to similar environments, sympatric populations of two species 29 may adopt similar phenotypic trait values. However, selection may also favor trait divergence as 30 a way to reduce competition for resources or mates. The characteristics of external body parts, 31 such as coloration and external morphology, are involved to varying degrees in intraspecific 32 signaling as well as in the adaptation to the environment, and consequently may be diversely 33 affected by interspecific interactions in sympatry. Here, we studied the effect of sympatry on 34 various color and morphological traits in males and females of two related newt species 35 36 Lissotriton helveticus and L. vulgaris. Importantly, we did not only estimate how raw trait 37 differences between species respond to sympatry, but also the marginal responses after controlling for environmental variation. We found that dorsal and caudal coloration converged 38 39 in sympatry, likely reflecting their role in adaptation to local environments, especially 40 concealment from predators. In contrast, aspects of male and female ventral coloration, which harbours sexual signals in both species, diverged in sympatry. This divergence may reduce 41 42 opportunities for interspecific sexual interactions and the associated loss of energy, suggesting 43 reproductive character displacement (RCD). Our study emphasizes the contrasting patterns of 44 traits involved in different functions and calls for the need to consider this diversity in evolutionary studies. 45

- 46
- 47
- 48

49 INTRODUCTION

Geographic patterns of convergence and divergence among species have attracted a lot of 50 interest in evolutionary ecology, from community assembly (Grime, 2006) to biogeography 51 (Bergmann, 1847) and evolution (Brown and Wilson 1956; Grant 1972). Geographical 52 convergence –the phenotypic convergence of two species where their populations co-occur in 53 the same geographic area- can reflect several evolutionary processes: hybridization (Grant et al., 54 55 2004; Stern, 2013), selection related to interspecific interactions (e.g. interspecific communication between territorial species: Cody 1969; Secondi et al. 2003), adaptation to 56 similar local environmental conditions (Elmer & Meyer, 2011; Losos, 2011), or common plastic 57 responses to these conditions (phenotypic plasticity: e.g. Dennis et al. 2011). Likewise, 58 geographical divergence results from several mechanisms. Firstly, resource competition can 59 promote divergence in ecological traits and generate ecological character displacement 60 61 (Schluter, 2000; Pfennig & Pfennig, 2009). Secondly, reproductive character displacement (RCD) can arise from selection to reduce interspecific reproductive interactions due to imperfect 62 63 species recognition during mate choice (Goldberg & Lande, 2006). Finally, agonistic character displacement (ACD) can evolve to reduce interspecific interference resulting from agonistic 64 interactions between sympatric species (Grether et al., 2009). 65

At the population level, phenotypic convergence and divergence depend on the interplay between various selective forces, and different body parts may show different responses depending on the sets of selective forces they are exposed to (Shultz & Burns, 2017). Patterns of convergence and divergence may thus help deciphering the role of local adaptation, ecological competition and interspecific reproductive interactions in shaping the different dimensions of the phenotype (e.g. Langerhans *et al.*, 2006; Jang *et al.*, 2009). For example, widespread observations of ecological character displacement, the divergence in sympatry for traits involved in resource acquisition such as size or morphology of the feeding apparatus, provides powerful
support for the role of competition in driving phenotypic evolution (reviewed in Grant, 2013;
Stuart & Losos, 2013).

Body coloration is a prime example of a trait subjected to various selective pressures, as 76 it is involved in sexual signaling, avoiding (crypsis) or deterring (aposematism) predation, or 77 78 regulating body temperature (Hill & McGraw, 2006; Rudh & Qvarnström, 2013). Colors of 79 different body parts have different main functions. A range of situations exists from body parts usually concealed and exposed only during displays, such as the vocal sac in European tree frogs, 80 whose coloration influence mate attraction (Gomez et al., 2010), to body parts visible most of 81 the time, assumed to be largely affected by environment- or predator-driven natural selection 82 (Stuart–Fox & Ord, 2004). For this reason, investigating convergence and divergence patterns in 83 84 a set of color traits including both sexual signals and nonsexual traits provides insight into the 85 diversity of selective pressures that shape whole body coloration in animals. Previous studies have suggested that the functions of color patches in different body parts can predict their 86 87 variation in sympatry: non-sexual colors may more often converge, while sexual signals may more often diverge in sympatry (Stuart–Fox & Ord, 2004; Gomez & Théry, 2007). 88

Patterns of trait convergence or divergence in the zone of sympatry between two related 89 90 species are usually examined by comparing interspecific differences in phenotypic means in 91 sympatric versus allopatric populations of both species (Kawano, 2002). However, these 92 differences may not reflect a specific evolutionary response to sympatry per se (i.e. to the presence of the other focal species) but simply emerge as a phenotypic response of each species 93 94 to the environmental gradients experienced within its own range. Indeed, it is common for 95 selective optima of phenotypic traits to vary across a species' range according to large-scale or small-scale environmental gradients (Delhey et al., 2019; Price et al., 2019). As a result, 96

97 populations of each species may experience more similar environmental conditions in sympatry 98 than in allopatry and thus be phenotypically more similar, independently of the presence of the 99 other species. It is thus essential to account for environmental variations acting on the 100 phenotype, at the scale of the species' distributions, to investigate divergence or convergence in 101 nature (Goldberg and Lande 2006).

Our aim here is to investigate patterns of divergence and convergence among sexual and 102 non-sexual traits in a pair of related newt species. We used populations sampled in the wild to 103 104 compare geographical variation in size, ornaments and coloration of *Lissotriton helveticus* and *L*. vulgaris within and outside their zone of sympatry. Specifically, we tested whether each trait 105 diverged or converged in sympatry, and whether this pattern could be simply explained by 106 phenotypic response to environmental gradients, or was also influenced by the presence of the 107 108 other species. We also investigated whether traits involved in sexual signaling / communication 109 were more likely to exhibit specific response to sympatry than traits assumed to be mainly under natural selection. Although by nature a correlative study, its sampling design, extensive 110 111 geographical coverage and sophisticated analytical methods makes it a powerful approach to separate between alternative hypotheses of geographical variation in color phenotypes, even if 112 113 the proximal mechanisms and selective agents remain to be tested experimentally.

114

115

116 MATERIALS AND METHODS

117 Biological models

118 The Smooth Newt *Lissotriton vulgaris* has a large distribution range across Europe (Figure 119 1, we follow Speybroeck et al. 2020 for the taxonomy of the lineages that make up the Smooth 120 Newt complex). From central France to northern Germany, one of its lineages shares a wide area of sympatry with the Palmate Newt *L. helveticus*, while the Palmate Newt has an allopatric range that reaches as far as southern France and north-western Iberia. In European newt species, interspecific reproductive interactions are common (Babik *et al.*, 2003; Pabijan *et al.*, 2017) and in the sympatric zone, *L. helveticus* and *L. vulgaris* are frequently syntopic, and have similar reproductive phenology and ecological requirements during the breeding period (Griffiths, 1986; Chadwick *et al.*, 2006).

We described the phenotypes of both species using several characters that we classified 127 128 *a priori* as sexual or non-sexual, based on the biology of these organisms and the literature. The following characters were considered as sexual characters: (i) morphological ornaments (caudal 129 filament, body and tail crests, hind-foot webbing, listed in Table 1) as they are only found in 130 131 males, are displayed during courtship (Halliday, 1977), and affect female choice in both species 132 (Gabor & Halliday, 1997; Cornuau et al., 2014). (ii) The conspicuous yellow-orange coloration with 133 black spots, found on the belly of both sexes, because it has been reported to be involved in female choice (Secondi et al. 2012; Secondi and Théry 2014; but see Cornuau et al. 2012) and to 134 135 influence male mate choice in a related species (Lüdtke & Foerster, 2018). Ventral coloration is probably not an aposematic signal in our species (contra other Salamandridae, see Brodie 1977) 136 137 since there is no published evidence of toxicity and adults are consumed by a large number of 138 predators (Rosa et al., 2012; Parry et al., 2015; Miró et al., 2020). (iii) Finally, we also classified 139 caudal coloration as a trait under sexual selection because, although its role in mate choice has 140 not been studied specifically, it is sexually dimorphic and the tail plays an overall important part during courtship (Halliday, 1977). On the contrary, dorsal coloration was assumed to be under 141 natural, but not sexual, selection, because both sexes of both species share a dull brown 142 coloration broadly matching the pond substratum, making the newt difficult to detect. It should 143 144 also be noted that ornaments (crests, webbing and tail filament) are only expressed during the breeding season while dorsal and ventral coloration seem more stable over the year. As each of these traits is likely to be the target of some form of selection, we did not expect any of them to have a purely neutral evolution, though indirect selection via genetic correlations among traits may happen.

149

150 Sampling

We sampled L. helveticus and L. vulgaris (belonging to the northern lineage of L. v. vulgaris, see 151 152 Pabijan et al. 2017) in the allopatric and sympatric part of their distribution ranges (Figure 1). Adult newts were caught by dipnetting in ponds during the breeding period from March 2012 to 153 May 2014. All newts were anaesthetized prior to manipulation by immersion in Tricaine methane 154 155 sulphonate (MS222, 0.2 g/l) for 5 minutes. After the measurements, newts were released at their 156 site of capture. All individuals that we monitored fully recovered from anesthesia. We sampled 157 55 sites in total, 32 in sympatry, 15 in the allopatric distribution of L. helveticus and 8 in the allopatric distribution of *L. vulgaris* (location and number of individuals sampled in both sexes for 158 159 each sampling site in Table S1). In the allopatric areas we caught 59 male and 70 female L. 160 vulgaris, and 117 male and 100 female L. helveticus. In the sympatric zone, we captured 137 male 161 and 127 female L. vulgaris, and 311 male and 237 female L. helveticus. We excluded males 162 without fully developed sexual ornaments (crests, palmation). Final sample size was 54 male and 163 70 female L. vulgaris and 101 male and 100 female L. helveticus in allopatry and 124 male and 164 127 female *L. vulgaris* and 309 male and 237 female *L. helveticus* in sympatry.

165

166 Morphology, pattern and spectrometry measurements

167 Using a digital caliper, we measured snout-to-vent length and tail height in both sexes, plus the 168 caudal filament length in males. Each measurement was taken twice and the mean was used in further analyses. For males, we also described the sexual ornaments by coding the extent of the hind-foot web, the shape of the dorsal and caudal crest, the number of dark spots on the belly, and the number and size of the dark spots on the throat and the flank (scoring of the traits detailed in Table 1, pictures of males of both species in Figure S1).

173 The morphological variables were all correlated to snout-to-vent length, as it is a measurement of body size in amphibians. We used two methods to remove body-size effects on 174 the other morphological traits. For females, we only had one morphological trait (tail height) in 175 176 addition to snout-to-vent length, and we used the residuals of the linear regression of tail height on snout-to-vent length to make tail height independent of body size. For males, we had a set of 177 11 traits, so we used a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to reduce the number of variables to 178 179 a few independent synthetic traits, while accounting for size effects in the first principal 180 component (see below).

181 For coloration, we measured the reflectance spectra between 300 and 700nm of dorsal, 182 ventral and caudal body parts in all individuals. Measurements were taken on wet animals, and 183 two measurements were taken for each body part. We averaged and smoothed the reflectance spectra for each individual with the R package pavo (Maia et al., 2013). Flank coloration was not 184 185 measured, as their upper and lower coloration appear to be the same as dorsal and outer (lateral) ventral coloration respectively, at least to the human eye. However, we quantified the number 186 187 and the size of dark spots on the flank (see above). For ventral coloration, we measured the vividly-colored central band of the belly, which is involved in reproductive interactions in newts 188 (Secondi & Théry, 2014; Lüdtke & Foerster, 2018). The exact location of the measurements on 189 the body is described in Figure S1 (for the ventral coloration of *L. vulgaris* we made sure to 190 measure the vivid coloration between the black spots). 191

192 We used a Jaz spectrometer (Ocean Optics), a deuterium-halogen light source (Ocean 193 Optics DH-2000), and a coaxial optic fiber (Avantes FCR-7UV200-2-45-ME). The probe was held 194 with an angle of 45°. Reflectance spectra of each body part (Figure 2) are multidimensional traits, and from each of them we were able to extract several variables: the hue in the visible range 195 (401-700nm), the saturation and brightness in the UV range (300-400 nm) and in the visible range 196 separately. We did not describe the full 300-700nm range with only one variable because the 197 198 spectra were bimodal (Figure 2), and because there is evidence that females use UV to assess males (Secondi & Théry, 2014). We did not estimate hue for dorsal coloration because we 199 observed no peaks in the spectra, and overall a very low reflectance (<6%, see Figure 2). The most 200 appropriate color variables for each part of the spectrum were selected among those described 201 202 by Montgomerie (2006), and were given the same name (algorithm for each variable described 203 in Supplementary methods). We measured brightness with B2, in UV (B2_UV) and visible range 204 (B2 visible); saturation in the UV range using S1 (S1 UV) and in the visible range using S6 (S6 visible). For the ventral coloration, we chose another saturation index (S9), more appropriate 205 206 to describe carotenoid-based coloration, which probably applies to newt ventral coloration (Kopecký & Šichtař, 2014). We measured hue in the visible range with the H3 variable 207 208 (H3_visible). In summary, we extracted five (ventral and caudal colorations) or four variables 209 (dorsal coloration) describing the reflectance spectrum in the visible and UV ranges. We do not 210 know of any study assessing whether capture and handling affects coloration in newts but we did 211 not notice any change in coloration during the process. In addition, the photos of animals taken just after capture and after handling and measurements did not show obvious differences. We 212 are thus confident that we recorded live coloration accurately and further note that all 213 populations were treated in the same way, ensuring than none of the reported effects result are 214 215 artefacts due to capture and handling.

We log-transformed several coloration traits before analysis to ensure normality (specified in Table S2). We also standardized all the traits before analysis (mean-centered and divided by the standard deviation) to facilitate comparison between model estimates. Finally, we measured the correlation between coloration traits in both sexes, as coloration traits are known to often coevolve (Figure S2). The correlations between traits describing different body parts were generally low, but they were higher between traits describing the same body parts.

222

223

224 Environmental factors

We considered both large-scale and local environmental factors that can affect the expression of 225 traits in newts. We extracted 19 bioclimatic variables from WorldClim v2 (Fick & Hijmans, 2017), 226 227 with 1km resolution, and used the first two axes of a PCA to summarize climatic variation among 228 sites. The first axis accounted for 44% of the variance, and separated warm sites with high winter rainfall (negative side) from cold sites with high summer rainfall (positive side; Table S3). The 229 230 second axis accounted for 24% of the variance, and described a gradient of temperature seasonality (high seasonality for negative values of PC2). We also included altitude (1-km 231 232 resolution, Danielson and Gesch 2011) in our models. At a local scale, we quantified variation of 233 water transparency by measuring the water transmission spectrum for each pond, and averaging 234 transmission over the whole spectrum (method described in Secondi et al. 2017). Mean values 235 of environmental variables in the sympatric and allopatric zones are presented in Table S4.

236

237 Analysis 1: investigating patterns of convergence/divergence

The aim of this first analysis was simply to detect patterns of divergence and convergence in sympatry, without trying to decipher the influence of environmental gradients and sympatry 240 itself. We used Linear Mixed-effect Models, implemented in 'Ime4' R package (Bates et al., 2015). 241 The model (1) included species identity, context (sympatry versus allopatry) and their interaction 242 as fixed factors, and sampling site as a random factor. Species identity tested for difference in trait value between species, while context tested for difference in trait value between allopatric 243 and sympatric zone, and their interaction tested if the two species showed a similar response to 244 sympatry or not. A significant interaction term indicates either convergence or divergence (the 245 two species react differently to sympatry hence are more similar, or less similar, in sympatry than 246 247 in allopatry).

248

(1) Trait ~ species * context + sampling site

We ran one model for each trait and sex. Sexes were analyzed separately because we did not 249 250 expect a similar response to context in both sexes and species, and a three-way interaction would 251 have been uninterpretable. We did not include the year of sampling in the models, as this variable 252 was confounded with geographic pattern (most regions were only sampled once, see details in 253 Figure 1). However, we did not expect sampling date to affect the result of the model, because 254 between-year phenotypic variations should be limited compared to phenotypic differences 255 observed at continental scale. We investigated homoscedasticity and normality of the traits using 256 diagnostic plots of models' residuals, but we did not find patterns indicating violation of the linear model hypotheses. 257

258 Convergence and divergence in sympatry can be inferred from the species * context 259 interaction in linear models, but this requires a new calculus for each model. To provide a global 260 vision of the convergence/divergence patterns observed on all the characters measured, we 261 computed for each trait and sex an index describing the pattern observed in sympatry. We 262 calculated this index following the formula:

263 Index = |Marginal mean Lh sympatry - Marginal mean Lv sympatry |

- | Marginal mean Lh allopatry - Marginal mean Lv allopatry |

265 With Lh = Lissotriton helveticus and Lv= Lissotriton vulgaris. The marginal mean corresponds to 266 the predicted mean value of a trait, after removing the effect of other variables included in the model. For Analysis 1, the marginal means were equal to the mean values of species traits 267 because we did not include additional variables in the model. If the difference is smaller in 268 sympatry, the index is negative and the species have converged. If the sympatric difference is 269 larger, the index is positive and the species have diverged. This index was not subjected to any 270 statistical modelling (since the significance of the sympatry /allopatry effect was tested by means 271 of the context and species * context effects) but was computed for illustrative purpose only. 272

In order to limit Type-I errors due to repeated testing of the same hypothesis on independent datasets, we used a cross validation procedure: we randomly divided the full dataset into two non-overlapping sub-datasets (half of the data in each), and we repeated the analysis on each. We only retained the effects that were significant in the global model and in both sub-datasets.

278

279 Analysis 2: Testing the effect of sympatry and environmental factors on trait variation

In this second analysis, we assessed the contribution of the environment on phenotypic variation, and tested whether responses to environmental variations entirely explained the divergence and convergence patterns in sympatry detected in Analysis 1. For each sex and trait separately, we first created a full model, which included the fixed and random factors already present in model (1), plus all environmental variables, and their interaction with species identity. This model (2) fitted species-specific responses to each environmental variable for each trait.

286 The full model (2) thus reads:

(1) Trait ~ species * (context + Bioclimatic axis 1 + Bioclimatic axis 2 + altitude + water
 transmission) + sampling site

We applied a model selection procedure in order to reduce the number of 289 predictors/explanatory variables. Using the dredge function of MuMIn package (Barton, 2015) 290 291 we performed the models corresponding to all possible combination of the fixed environmental effects. We forced the model selection procedure to keep species identity, context, and their 292 interaction in the model. Then we selected the simplest model with a deltaAICc of less than 2 293 compared to the model with the lowest AICc. Finally, we computed the index of 294 295 convergence/divergence in a similar way as in the first analysis for each trait and sex, but this time the marginal means were calculated after removal of the environmental effects. Thus, the 296 part of the difference in a trait observed in sympatry that was due to environmental variables, as 297 well as their possible interactions with species identity, was removed, leaving only the difference 298 299 brought about by the species-by-context interaction term. The corrected index is positive 300 (respectively negative) when the accentuation of species differences in sympatry (vs allopatry) is 301 larger (respectively weaker) than predicted by large-scale environmental gradients.

We performed the same cross-validation procedure as in Analysis 1. Likewise, we checked 302 303 the validity of each model using the diagnostic plots of the residuals, which did not show any 304 patterns indicating violation of the linear model hypotheses. We also measured the Variance 305 Inflation Factor (VIF) for each model, to estimate the impact of collinearity between variables 306 (Mansfield & Helms, 1982). Finally, the pattern of convergence/divergence observed in sympatry 307 may be partially explained by the non-independence of the phenotypic measurements between 308 populations due to gene flow, thus generating spatial autocorrelation among populations. To test 309 this hypothesis, we performed Moran's tests, using the spdep R package (Bivand et al., 2015), to evaluate the spatial autocorrelation of the residuals. 310

311

313 **RESULTS**

314 **PCA on male morphological traits**

The first three PCs on male ornamental traits accounted for respectively 67%, 9% and 8% of the variance and were retained for further analyses. The first axis separated the two species based on the size of the caudal filament, the shape of the foot webs and the crest, the number and size of spots on the throat, the number of spots on the belly and the size of spots on the flank (Table 1, see Figure S3 for a plot of individuals' scores on the first axes). The second axis separated individuals with few vs many dark spots on the flanks and the third axis separated large individuals with many dark spots on the flanks from small individuals with few spots.

322

323 Patterns of divergence and convergence in sympatry

The results of model (1) indicate that the two Lissotriton species differ by many traits (9 324 325 male and 6 female traits), most of which presented significant species-by-context interactions (7 for males and 8 for females, model results presented Figure 3A and Table S2). Our index of 326 327 divergence/convergence indicated the existence of several patterns (Figure 3A). Male ornamental traits (summarized as PC1-3) and female morphological traits (SVL, tail height) did 328 not show any signs of convergence or divergence. Dorsal and caudal coloration traits tended to 329 330 converge in sympatry, while a pattern of divergence was only observed for the variables 331 describing the coloration of the ventral band (except brightness in the visible range). Overall, 332 males and females showed similar patterns of convergence and divergence.

333

334 **Responses to environmental variables and their impact on divergence and convergence**

Environmental effects may result in convergence (i) when both species react similarly to an environmental variable that takes different values in sympatry and in allopatry or (ii) when species react differently to the same variable in such a way that their difference is decreased in
sympatry. For these reasons, the patterns visible in Figure 3A may not reflect an actual response
of species' phenotypes to sympatry, but rather to the environment.

Indeed, model (2) revealed many significant effects of environmental variables on male 340 and female traits (results of model (2) in Table S5). After cross-validation, we found that 341 coloration and morphology co-varied mainly with large scale gradients, bioclimatic variables and 342 altitude (respectively 8 and 7 traits correlated in males and females, Table S5), while local 343 environmental conditions, water transmission, had a generally smaller influence (only one trait 344 in males). Focusing on the effects that were retained for both species, we found that for variation 345 in male morphology, PC1 (which differentiates the two species) was mainly influenced by the 346 second bioclimatic axis (Table S5) and water transmission, while PC2 (which differentiates 347 348 individuals with low and high number of spots on the flanks) was influenced the two bioclimatic 349 axes. For coloration, we found that dorsal brightness in the UV-spectrum in both sexes and dorsal saturation in the UV spectrum in females both decreased in regions with less thermal contrasts 350 351 between seasons (axis 2). Dorsal brightness in the visible and UV spectrum and dorsal saturation also increased with altitude in females. However, since dorsal brightness and dorsal saturation 352 353 are strongly correlated (Table S2), the effect of altitude found in females could be driven by one 354 of these two traits only. For the ventral parts, saturation in the visible spectrum increased in 355 males and females as climate became less seasonal (axis 2).

The two species also showed differential responses to some environmental variables (interaction species:environmental variables Table S5). In particular, *L. vulgaris* had stronger responses to altitude than *L. helveticus*. Caudal saturation (S6_visible) and caudal brightness (B2_visible) in the visible spectrum decreased with altitude in both sexes for *L. vulgaris*, while these traits were not affected by altitude in *L. helveticus*. Similarly, male ventral saturation (S9) decreased with altitude in *L. vulgaris* but not *L. helveticus*. However, the pattern was opposite
for male ventral hue (H3 visible): it increased with altitude in *L. helveticus* and not *L. vulgaris*.

363 Patterns of convergence and divergence obtained in the first analysis were re-examined based on the model including environmental variables (see Table S5 for model (2) results and 364 Figure 3B for the pattern of convergence/divergence after controlling the effects of 365 environmental variables). To facilitate the interpretation of Figure 3, we will detail one example 366 in which the inclusion of environmental responses makes a visible difference, the S6_visible 367 variable describing caudal coloration in females, in which a strong convergence signal is 368 uncovered only when environmental variables are taken into account. For this variable the 369 average trait difference (L. vulgaris – L. helveticus) in sympatry is small (0.5 on standardized scale) 370 and comparable in absolute value to the difference in allopatry (-0.74); this is why our 371 372 divergence/convergence index is close to zero, without taking environment into account (0.5-373 0.74=-0.24 in Figure 3A, Table S2). However, in the model with environmental predictors (Table S5), this variable is significantly sensitive to altitude and to climatic gradients (PC2), and these 374 375 responses are species-specific (significant interactions). Based on these responses, and on the mean environmental values of the sympatric and allopatric zones (Table S4), environmental 376 377 gradients would predict a phenotypic difference between the two species of 2.91 in allopatry, 378 and of 2.91 in sympatry, the latter much larger than the observed value. The model fits the data 379 by adding a very large species-by-context interaction (-3.51) that reduces this difference 380 specifically in the sympatric zone, resulting in a very negative divergence index (0.6-2.91=-2.31 in Figure 3B). This means that the phenotypic differences between the two species in sympatry 381 is much lower than what would be expected based on their responses to environmental 382 gradients. 383

Looking at all the variables, however, the inclusion of environmental factors did not 384 overall strongly modify the observations on convergence and divergence obtained in the first 385 analysis (Figure 3B). The effect of environmental factors on coloration, although significant, 386 explained a relatively low percentage of the whole variance (examples of relationship between 387 coloration and environment in Figure S4). For three out of the twelve traits that significantly 388 converged in the first analysis, the species-context interaction was no longer significant, though 389 390 still indicating convergence (in females : caudal B2_visible and S1_UV, in males ventral H3_visible, Figure 3B), suggesting that the initial pattern was largely explained by responses to the 391 environment. For one other trait (caudal S6_visible in females, example detailed above), the 392 pattern of convergence was on the contrary reinforced when the environmental effects were 393 taken into account (Table S5). 394

Patterns of divergence suggested by the first analysis were maintained for two ventral 395 396 colorimetric variables in the second analysis, S9_visible in males and S1_UV in females. The divergence of the last trait in males (ventral H3 visible), was qualitatively maintained but not 397 398 statistically supported when accounting for environmental variables as the species-context interaction became non-significant. In Figure 4 we illustrated the variation in the two traits found 399 400 to be divergent in all models: we displayed the raw data in sympatry and allopatry along with 401 predictions for models including environmental variations only. The comparison between these 402 two graphs indicates if divergence was driven by one or both species. For male ventral coloration 403 (S9), the interspecific difference in raw values increased from 0.23 in allopatry to 1.41 in sympatry (Table S2). This enhanced divergence between raw values and values predicted by environmental 404 405 variation came from the reduced saturation of L. helveticus in sympatry. For female ventral coloration (S1_UV), the interspecific difference in raw values increased from 0.09 in allopatry to 406 407 0.85 in sympatry (Table S2). This time the divergence arose from the higher saturation of L.

408 helveticus in sympatry. Thus, for both traits, divergence was the result of a change in L. helveticus, 409 while *L. vulgaris* traits exhibited only small variation between sympatry and allopatry (Figure 4). 410 Moran's tests for spatial correlation of the residuals were not significant for any model (p>0.05, Table S6). The VIF was low for most simple effects but high in case of interactions (Table 411 S7). Because collinearity inflates the standard errors, but does not bias the coefficients, the 412 corresponding tests are expected to be less often significant (Morrissey & Ruxton, 2018). A high 413 VIF may also indicate overdispersion, but diagnostic plots of residuals did not show any evidence 414 415 of it. The results presented above are thus robust, although we may have missed some significant interactions due to a lack of power. 416

417

418 **DISCUSSION**

Our analyses revealed that sympatry affected the phenotype of L. vulgaris and L. helveticus 419 differently depending on the body part investigated: most of the dorsal and caudal coloration 420 421 traits converged in sympatry in both sexes, while divergence was observed only on two variables 422 describing ventral coloration, one in males one in females, and no difference between sympatry 423 and allopatry was detected for morphological traits. Divergence was thus restricted to a sexual 424 character (ventral coloration), whereas convergence was observed on both sexual and nonsexual characters (respectively caudal and dorsal colorations). When accounting for 425 environmental variations, the patterns of convergence/divergence were maintained, though 426 427 environmental variables had significant effects on many traits. Finally, the few ventral colorimetric variables showing divergence in sympatry were not explained by environmental 428 gradients and thus constitute likely cases of character displacement. 429

430

431 Environmental effects on newt phenotype mostly lead to convergence

Our analyses revealed that the phenotype of the two *Lissotriton* species was influenced by largescale gradients more than local water color. This result contrasts with growing evidence for strong effects of local conditions on phenotype in newts (Secondi *et al.*, 2007) and other taxa (de Abreu *et al.*, 2018; Price *et al.*, 2019). It is possible that water color fluctuates too much in time (e.g. reduced transparency after rainfall) or space (i.e. large differences between neighboring sites) to trigger stable plastic and/or evolutionary responses (Sasaki & Ellner, 1995).

Environmental selection is known to influence the evolution of both sexual and non-438 439 sexual traits (Andersson, 1982; Endler, 1984), leading to phenotypic convergence between sister species (Wilkens, 2001) or distantly related taxa (Mahler et al., 2013) exposed to the same 440 conditions. In many vertebrates, dorsal coloration has a critical role for thermal physiology 441 442 (Clusella-Trullas et al., 2007; Alho et al., 2010) and crypsis (Rosenblum, 2006; Toledo & Haddad, 443 2009; Rudh & Qvarnström, 2013), which could explain the influence of climate and altitude on 444 newts' dorsal coloration. However, we did not observe a decrease in dorsal brightness in cooler environments (Bogert's rule; Bogert 1949), which would be expected under the thermal 445 446 adaptation hypothesis. Crypsis is another plausible function of dorsal coloration. Unfortunately, quantifying large-scale variation in predation pressure is a daunting task at such a continental 447 448 scale, so we were unable to directly evaluate the potential for adaptive geographic variation in 449 coloration in relation to predator avoidance. For the sexual traits, climatic variables could also 450 correlate with conditions of transmission of sexual signals in the breeding habitat, and variable 451 access to trophic resources leading to differential allocation of resources to sexual traits (Snell-452 Rood, 2012; Macías-Ordóñez, 2013). We wish to stress that climate per se is not necessarily the causal agent driving variation in coloration but climatic gradients might also capture large-scale 453 variation in vegetation, predator and prey communities, parasites, pathogens and other biotic or 454 455 abiotic factors that can affect the selective optima of color traits.

Despite their strong effects on body coloration, environmental variables did not explain 456 most of the convergence of dorsal and caudal colors. It is unlikely that the observed convergence 457 arose from introgression because there is no detectable interspecific gene flow between these 458 two species (Arntzen et al., 1998). Convergence due to agonistic interaction is also unlikely. This 459 type of convergence is known to arise in territorial species, because shared signals may help 460 individuals of both species to defend their territories against both conspecifics and heterospecific 461 competitors (Cody, 1969; Grether et al., 2009). However, although reproductive interferences 462 during courtship may occur among male newts (Halliday & Tejedo, 1995; Hardy & Briffa, 2013), 463 Lissotriton newts are not territorial (Giacoma & Balletto, 1988). A more likely explanation for 464 residual convergence in dorsal and caudal colours is an environmental selection, not captured by 465 our -mostly climatic- environmental models, that differs in the sympatric zone, and to which 466 467 species respond by adopting similar dorsal and caudal colorations. It may be predators (as 468 mentioned above), that have been shown to explain patterns of phenotypic convergence in other species (Dennis et al., 2011), but also vegetation structure and composition, prey types, among 469 470 others.

In conclusion, while we cannot definitively identify all environmental drivers of phenotypic variation in *Lissotriton*, our results support that similar responses of the two species to shared environmental factors is the most likely cause of convergence for some traits in sympatry. Common garden experiments would be required to establish if these common responses to environment reveal convergent local adaptation or shared plastic responses.

476

Evidence for character displacement in males and females sexually-selected ventral colors
We found a pattern of divergence in two traits related to ventral coloration in males and females
(S9 visible and S1 UV respectively). This pattern was maintained after accounting for

480 environmental effects, and thus constitute a probable case of character displacement (Goldberg 481 & Lande, 2006; Adams & Collyer, 2007; Grether et al., 2009). Divergence, like convergence, could 482 in theory also be explained by plastic responses to unaccounted environmental factors if the two species present species-specific -and opposite- plastic responses to these factors. However, this 483 explanation is unlikely in our situation. Firstly, contrary to the case of convergent responses, it is 484 difficult to imagine how an unmeasured environmental factor could diverge in sympatry when 485 the two species often occur in the same ponds. If the divergence was due to plasticity, it should 486 involve plastic responses to the same factor in opposite directions in two closely related species, 487 a situation for which we know of no empirical example. Secondly, the fact that the traits 488 exhibiting divergence are known sexual signals and diverge in sympatry suggests that this 489 490 divergence is a direct response to the presence of the other species and that it reduces 491 interspecific interactions. Such divergence in sexual signals in response to the presence of a 492 closely related species typically involve a genetic basis (Servedio & Noor, 2003; Ortíz-Barrientos & Noor, 2005; McGraw et al., 2011), and to our knowledge there are no reports of divergence in 493 494 sexual characters in related species explained by species-specific plastic responses. We thus 495 believe that the residual unexplained divergence in our models is not the results of unaccounted 496 environmental factors but can rather be explained by sympatry per se and is unlikely to be plastic, 497 even if common garden experiments would be required to formally exclude this possibility. 498 Because ventral colors are mostly visible during courtship, character displacement is probably 499 related to communication, either for mate attraction between sexes (it would then be a reproductive character displacement, RCD), or within sexes, as part of signaling towards 500 competitors (agonistic character displacement, ACD). 501

502 The first possible advantage of divergence in sexual signals in sympatry is to reduce the 503 production of unfit hybrids. Hybrids obtained experimentally between *L. vulgaris* and *L.* 504 heleveticus are viable, despite being sterile, yet F1-hybrids are extremely rare in nature (Griffiths et al., 1987; Arntzen et al., 1998; Schlüpmann et al., 1999). This indicates that interspecific 505 506 fertilization rarely occurs in natural conditions and that hybridization avoidance is already strong between the two species, both suggesting that hybridization avoidance has only a small potential 507 508 impact on divergence. Yet, species recognition is not perfect in males and females of the two species : males of both species frequently court heterospecific females (Roberts, 1990), and 509 experimental tests showed a low interspecific recognition for female L. helveticus and male L. 510 vulgaris in captivity (Secondi et al., 2010, 2014). We thus suggest that RCD evolved under 511 selection for enhanced interspecific recognition, and associated gains in time and energy 512 (otherwise wasted in interactions that eventually do not result in effective mating), in sympatry. 513 This situation may also explain why divergence affects both sexes in newts, while it is commonly 514 515 observed in males only (see Dyer et al. 2014). In males, mating attempts are costly because of 516 energy and time invested in complex displays, but also because spermatophores used for transferring sperm to the female are a highly limited resource that should not be wasted (Verrell, 517 518 1986). During heterospecific courtship, males will pay this cost without the benefits of mating. 519 However, the most divergent males could pay a lower cost, because females may identify them 520 more easily and interrupt courtship earlier. Such a situation would select for a divergence of male 521 coloration in sympatry. Divergence in females could be due to genetic cross-sex correlations, but 522 this explanation is not convincing in our case, as the diverging traits were different between 523 sexes. Rather this pattern could stem from the mutual mate choice observed in newts (Roberts, 1990; Lüdtke & Foerster, 2018). This could happen in two non-exclusive situations: (i) if males 524 preferentially court females with more recognizable coloration, while females with intermediate 525 coloration have fewer opportunities and may fail to obtain spermatophores, or (ii) if males do not 526 527 discriminate well between species and females pay a cost when repeatedly courted by

heterospecific males. This cost would select for more recognizable coloration in females, reducing the error rate of males (i.e. initiating heterospecific courtship les often) and the associated cost for the females. These conditions are likely to be fulfilled given what we know of the breeding and courtship habits of these newts. Hence, a mutual benefit of enhanced interspecific recognition in both sexes is a likely explanation for divergence of ventral coloration in sympatry. Direct empirical tests are needed to validate this hypothesis by directly measuring sexual preferences.

Another explanation for the divergence of coloration in sympatry could be male 535 competition through interspecific reproductive interference. In Lissotriton, males sometimes 536 interfere in the courtship of conspecific males (Halliday & Tejedo, 1995; Hardy & Briffa, 2013), 537 538 and, in males, the pattern of divergence could thus be a case of agonistic character displacement 539 (ACD) – i. e. to avoid unnecessary interference with heterospecific males. It should be noted that, 540 so far, we have no empirical evidence of interference during courtship between males of different newt species in nature, but nothing suggests it cannot happen. On the other hand, ACD 541 542 is unlikely to explain the pattern observed in females, as reproductive interference has not been reported in this sex. 543

The divergence observed in ventral colors in sympatry, whether in males or in females, was driven by changes in *L. helveticus* only. Asymmetry can be caused by either unidirectional hybridization or asymmetrical cost of interspecific reproductive or agonistic interactions (Smadja & Ganem, 2005; Lemmon, 2009). None of these scenarios can be excluded for now. One potential cause of asymmetrical cost is asymmetry in numbers: the more abundant taxon should pay the lower cost, as encounters with the other taxon are rarer. However, in our case, this is unlikely, as we sampled a higher number of *L. helveticus* in most sympatric sites (24 out of 33). Further investigations are required to investigate the origin of the asymmetrical responses of the two
 Lissotriton studied.

If character displacement affects coloration in these species, one might wonder why we 553 did not detected divergence in all coloration traits involved in signaling. In fact, while RCD and 554 555 ACD can affect any trait as soon as it increases interspecific recognition, not every trait potentially involved in signaling needs to exhibit divergence in a given species pair. First, although traits 556 557 involved in interspecific recognition are usually sexual (Ryan & Rand, 1993), not all sexual traits 558 signal species identity. For example some of them may be constrained by a shared female preference, maintained in both species as a way to assess male physical condition (Pérez i De 559 Lanuza et al., 2014). This might explain why some sexual traits other than ventral coloration did 560 561 not diverge in our system: they may simply not be used for interspecific recognition. Another 562 possibility is that these sexual traits indeed allow species recognition, but are already strongly 563 divergent in allopatry, thus limiting the potential benefits of further divergence. This hypothesis is particularly likely for the caudal filament and extent of webbing, sexual ornaments that are 564 565 only found in *L. helveticus*, see Figure S1). A similar argument can also apply, to some extent, to different variables describing the same color. We observed that among colorimetric variables 566 567 describing ventral coloration, some did not diverge or even converged in sympatry. This may be because the change in only one component (e.g. the hue) suffices to alter dramatically the 568 569 perceived ventral coloration, making changes in other components redundant. Amphibians are known to discriminate subtle variations of coloration, even in dim light conditions (e.g. at night, 570 Gomez et al. 2010), and the enhanced difference in saturation and hue in sympatric populations 571 can thus certainly be perceived by newts. 572

573

574 Conclusion

Our study illustrates how the colors of different parts of the body, subject to different 575 combinations of selection pressures, can follow a diversity of evolutionary trajectories, some 576 convergent, others divergent, when two species meet each other in a sympatric zone. An 577 important point is that, because by definition sympatry means that the two species are 578 confronted to similar environments, at least with respect to large-scale environmental gradients 579 (e.g. driven by latitude), convergence can be considered the "null" expectation for colors 580 predominantly involved in response to environment-related selection - and we indeed 581 frequently observed convergence in dorsal and caudal colors in our newt species. In such cases, 582 the inclusion of environmental variables that potentially differ among regions in the model, as 583 we did, may help to isolate some of the potential drivers of convergence -though it will be difficult 584 to capture them entirely. On the other hand, the opposite pattern, divergence in sympatry, if it 585 resists the inclusion of environmental variables in the model, suggests that the interaction 586 587 between the two species has specifically resulted in selection for dissimilarity in the corresponding traits. 588

Our observations on newts are consistent with earlier studies suggesting that, in 589 sympatry, non-sexual colors more often converge and sexual signals more often diverge (Stuart-590 Fox & Ord, 2004; Gomez & Théry, 2007). For the most constantly exposed color patches, 591 592 potentially involved in thermal regulation or crypsis, we observed convergence (sometimes 593 explained by large-scale environmental gradients) while divergence was only observed in traits of usually concealed body parts (i.e. ventral colors) involved in mate choice. It is important to 594 note however, that RCD or ACD (the most likely sources of selection for dissimilarity in sexual 595 596 traits), are not expected to apply equally to all sexual traits, because of potential redundancy 597 among them as means of species recognition, and other sources of (non-sexual) selection that 598 may simultaneously affect them. Newt tails, for example, are both sexually selected and visible

599	to predators. A mixture of different selection pressures is likely to apply to many color patches
600	in species where sexual signals are visible under most circumstances (e.g. bright dorsal plumage
601	in northern cardinals, Wolfenbarger 1999). Quantifying the relative contribution of natural
602	selection (e.g. thermal constraints, predation risk), sexual selection and selection for interspecific
603	recognition to the evolution of such exposed sexual color signals would be an interesting
604	research avenue to improve our understanding of the evolution of body coloration in animals.
605	
606	
607	
608	Adams, D.C. & Collyer, M.L. 2007. Analysis of character divergence along environmental
609	gradients and other covariates. Evolution (N. Y). 61: 510–515.
610	Alho, J.S., Herczeg, G., Söderman, F., Laurila, A., Jönsson, K. & Merilä, J. 2010. Increasing
611	melanism along a latitudinal gradient in a widespread amphibian: local adaptation,
612	ontogenic or environmental plasticity? BMC Evol. Biol. 10: 317.
613	Andersson, M. 1982. Sexual selection, natural selection and quality advertisement. Biol. J. Linn.
614	<i>Soc.</i> 17 : 375–393.
615	Arntzen, J.W., Wijer, P., Jehle, R., Smit, E. & Smit, J. 1998. Rare hybridization and introgression
616	in smooth and palmate newts (Salamandridae: Triturus vulgaris and T. helveticus). J. Zool.
617	Syst. Evol. Res. 36 : 111–122.
618	Babik, W., Szymura, J.M. & Rafinski, J. 2003. Nuclear markers, mitochondrial DNA and male
619	secondary sexual traits variation in a newt hybrid zone (Triturus vulgaris x T. montandoni).
620	<i>Mol. Ecol.</i> 12 : 1913–1930.
621	Barton, M.K. 2015. Package "MuMIn."
622	Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models using

- 623 Ime4. J. Stat. Softw. 67: 1–48.
- Bergmann, C. 1847. Uber die verhaltnisse der warmeo-konomie der thiere zu ihrer grosse. *Gottinger Stud.* 3: 595–708.
- Bivand, R., Altman, M., Anselin, L., Assunção, R., Berke, O., Bernat, A., *et al.* 2015. Package
 'spdep.'
- Bogert, C.M. 1949. Thermoregulation in reptiles, a factor in evolution. *Evolution (N. Y).* 3: 195–
 211.
- Brodie, E.D. 1977. Salamander Antipredator Postures. *Copeia* **1977**: 523.
- 631 Chadwick, E.A., Slater, F.M. & Ormerod, S.J. 2006. Inter- and intraspecific differences in
- 632 climatically mediated phenological change in coexisting Triturus species. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*
- 633 **12**: 1069–1078.
- Clusella-Trullas, S., van Wyk, J.H. & Spotila, J.R. 2007. Thermal melanism in ectotherms. J.
 Therm. Biol. 32: 235–245.
- 636 Cody, M.L. 1969. Convergent characteristics in sympatric species: a possible relation to
- 637 interspecific competition and aggression. *Condor* **71**: 222–239.
- 638 Cornuau, J.H., Rat, M., Schmeller, D.S. & Loyau, A. 2012. Multiple signals in the palmate newt:
- ornaments help when courting. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **66**: 1045–1055.
- 640 Cornuau, J.H., Schmeller, D.S., Pigeault, R., Sibeaux, A., Tourat, A. & Loyau, A. 2014.
- 641 Information-content of morphological and behavioural sexual traits in the Palmate newt
- 642 (Lissotriton helveticus). *Behav. Processes* **108**: 36–42.
- Danielson, J.J. & Gesch, D.B. 2011. *Global multi-resolution terrain elevation data 2010*(*GMTED2010*).
- de Abreu, F.H.T., Schietti, J. & Anciães, M. 2018. Spatial and environmental correlates of
- 646 intraspecific morphological variation in three species of passerine birds from the Purus-

- 647 Madeira interfluvium, Central Amazonia. *Evol. Ecol.* **32**: 191–214.
- Delhey, K., Dale, J., Valcu, M. & Kempenaers, B. 2019. Reconciling ecogeographical rules: rainfall
 and temperature predict global colour variation in the largest bird radiation. *Ecol. Lett.* 22:
 726–736.
- Dennis, S.R., Carter, M.J., Hentley, W.T. & Beckerman, A.P. 2011. Phenotypic convergence along
 a gradient of predation risk. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 278: 1687–1696.
- 653 Dyer, K.A., White, B.E., Sztepanacz, J.L., Bewick, E.R. & Rundle, H.D. 2014. Reproductive
- 654 character displacement of epicuticular compounds and their contribution to mate choice
- 655 in Drosophila subquinaria and Drosophila recens. *Evolution (N. Y).* **68**: 1163–1175.
- Elmer, K.R. & Meyer, A. 2011. Adaptation in the age of ecological genomics: Insights from
 parallelism and convergence. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 26: 298–306.
- 658 Endler, J.A. 1984. Natural and sexual selection on color patterns in poeciliid fishes. pp. 95–111.
- 659 Fick, S.E. & Hijmans, R.J. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for
- 660 global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* **37**: 4302–4315.
- Gabor, C.R. & Halliday, T.R. 1997. Sequential mate choice by multiply mating smooth newts:
 females become more choosy. *Behav. Ecol.* 8: 162–166.
- Giacoma, C. & Balletto, E. 1988. Phylogeny of the salamandrid genus triturus. *Bolletino di Zool.*55: 337–358.
- Goldberg, E. & Lande, R. 2006. Ecological and reproductive character displacement of an
 environmental gradient. *Evolution (N. Y).* 60: 1344–1357.
- 667 Gomez, D., Richardson, C., Lengagne, T., Derex, M., Plenet, S., Joly, P., et al. 2010. Support for a
- role of colour vision in mate choice in the nocturnal European treefrog (Hyla arborea).
- 669 *Behaviour* **147**: 1753–1768.
- 670 Gomez, D. & Théry, M. 2007. Simultaneous crypsis and conspicuousness in color patterns:

- 671 comparative analysis of a neotropical rainforest bird community. *Am. Nat.* **169**: 42–61.
- 672 Grant, P.R. 2013. Ecological Character Displacement. *eLS*.
- Grant, P.R., Grant, B.R., Markert, J.A., Keller, L.F. & Petren, K. 2004. Convergent evolution of
- 674 Darwin's finches caused by introgressive hybridization and selection. *Evolution (N. Y).* 58:
- 675 **1588–1599**.
- 676 Grether, G.F., Losin, N., Anderson, C.N. & Okamoto, K. 2009. The role of interspecific
- 677 interference competition in character displacement and the evolution of competitor
 678 recognition. *Biol. Rev.* 84: 617–635.
- 679 Griffiths, R.A. 1986. Feeding niche overlap and food selection in smooth and palmate newts,
- 680 Triturus vulgaris and T. helveticus, at a pond in Mid-Wales. J. Anim. Ecol. 55: 201.
- 681 Griffiths, R.A., Roberts, J.M. & Sims, S. 1987. A natural hybrid newt, Triturus helveticus × T.
- vulgaris, from a pond in mid-Wales. J. Zool. **213**: 133–140.
- 683 Grime, J.P. 2006. Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities:

684 Mechanisms and consequences. J. Veg. Sci. **17**: 255–260.

- Halliday, T. & Tejedo, M. 1995. Intrasexual selection and alternative mating behaviour. In:
 Amphibian biology, vol. 2, pp. 419–468. Surrey Beatty, Chipping Norton.
- Halliday, T.R. 1977. The courtship of european newts: an evolutionary perspective. In: *The Reproductive Biology of Amphibians*, pp. 185–232. Springer, Boston.
- 689 Hardy, I.C.W. & Briffa, M. 2013. *Animal Contests*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hill, G.E. & McGraw, K.J. 2006. *Bird coloration, Volume 2: function and evolution*. Harvard
 University Press, Cambridge.
- Jang, Y., Won, Y.-J. & Choe, J. 2009. Convergent and divergent patterns of morphological
- 693 differentiation provide more evidence for reproductive character displacement in a wood
- 694 cricket Gryllus fultoni (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). *BMC Evol. Biol.* **9**: 27.

- Kawano, K. 2002. Character displacement in giant rhinoceros beetles. *Am. Nat.* **159**: 255–271.
- Kopecký, O. & Šichtař, J. 2014. Sex differences in ventral side colouration of Alpine newts. *Isr. J. Ecol. Evol.* 60: 29–34.
- Langerhans, R.B., Knouft, J.H. & Losos, J.B. 2006. Shared and unique features of diversification
 in greater antillean Anolis ecomorphs. *Evolution (N. Y).* 60: 362–369.
- Lemmon, E.M. 2009. Diversification of conspecific signals in sympatry: geographic overlap
- drives multidimensional reproductive character displacement in frogs. *Evolution (N. Y).* 63:
 1155–1170.
- Losos, J.B. 2011. Convergence, adaptation, and constraint. *Evolution (N. Y).* 65: 1827–1840.
- Lüdtke, D.U. & Foerster, K. 2018. Choosy males court both large, colourful females and less
- colourful but responsive females for longer. *Anim. Behav.* **146**: 1–11.
- Macías-Ordóñez, R. 2013. Macroecology of Sexual Selection: Large-Scale Influence of Climate
 on Sexually Selected Traits. *Sex. Sel.* 1–32.
- 708 Mahler, D.L., Ingram, T., Revell, L.J. & Losos, J.B. 2013. Exceptional convergence on the
- macroevolutionary landscape in island lizard radiations. *Science* **341**: 292–295.
- 710 Maia, R., Eliason, C.M., Bitton, P.-P., Doucet, S.M. & Shawkey, M.D. 2013. pavo : an R package
- for the analysis, visualization and organization of spectral data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **4**: 906–
 913.
- 713 Mansfield, E.R. & Helms, B.P. 1982. Detecting Multicollinearity. *Am. Stat.* **36**: 158–160.
- 714 McGraw, E.A., Ye, Y.H., Foley, B., Chenoweth, S.F., Higgie, M., Hine, E., et al. 2011. High-
- 715 dimensional variance partitioning reveals the modular genetic basis of adaptive divergence
- in gene expression during reproductive character displacement. *Evolution (N. Y).* 65: 3126–
- 717 3137. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 718 Miró, A., O'Brien, D., Tomàs, J., Buchaca, T., Sabás, I., Osorio, V., et al. 2020. Rapid amphibian

719 community recovery following removal of non-native fish from high mountain lakes. *Biol.*

720 *Conserv.* **251**: 108783.

- Montgomerie, R. 2006. Analyzing colors. In: *Bird Coloration Vol. I: Mechanisms and Measurements*, pp. 90–147. Harvard University Press.
- 723 Morrissey, M.B. & Ruxton, G.D. 2018. Multiple regression is not multiple regressions: the
- meaning of multiple regression and the non-problem of collinearity. *Philos. Theory, Pract. Biol.* 10.
- 726 Ortíz-Barrientos, D. & Noor, M.A.F. 2005. Evidence for a One-Allele Assortative Mating Locus.
- 727 *Science (80-.).* **310**: 1467. American Association for the Advancement of Science
- Pabijan, M., Zieliński, P., Dudek, K., Stuglik, M. & Babik, W. 2017. Isolation and gene flow in a
 speciation continuum in newts. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **116**: 1–12.
- Parry, G.S., Yonow, N. & Forman, D. 2015. Predation of newts (Salamandridae, Pleurodelinae)
 by Eurasian otters Lutra lutra (Linnaeus). *Herpetol. Bull.* 132: 9–14.
- 732 Pérez i De Lanuza, G., Carazo, P. & Font, E. 2014. Colours of quality: Structural (but not pigment)
- coloration informs about male quality in a polychromatic lizard. *Anim. Behav.* **90**: 73–81.
- 734 Pfennig, K.S. & Pfennig, D.W. 2009. Character displacement: ecological and reproductive

responses to a common evolutionary problem. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **84**: 253–276.

736 Price, N., Green, S., Troscianko, J., Tregenza, T. & Stevens, M. 2019. Background matching and

737 disruptive coloration as habitat-specific strategies for camouflage. *Sci. Rep.* **9**: 1–10.

- 738 Roberts, J. 1990. Reproductive isolation in Smooth and Palmate newts (*Triturus vulgaris vulgaris*
- and *T. helveticus helveticus*). Unpublished PhD thesis, Open University.
- 740 Rosa, G.M., Laurentino, T. & Madeira, M. 2012. Field observation of foraging behavior by a
- 741 group of adult diving beetles Agabus (Gaurodytes) bipustulatus preying on an adult
- Lissotriton boscai. *Entomol. Sci.* **15**: 343–345.

- Rosenblum, E.B. 2006. Convergent evolution and divergent selection: lizards at the White Sands
 ecotone. *Am. Nat.* 167: 1–15.
- Rudh, A. & Qvarnström, A. 2013. Adaptive colouration in amphibians. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 24:
 553–561.
- Ryan, M.J. & Rand, A.S. 1993. Species recognition and sexual selection as a unitary problem in
 animal communication. *Evolution (N. Y).* 47: 647–657.
- Sasaki, A. & Ellner, S. 1995. The evolutionarily stable phenotype distribution in a random
 environment. *Evolution (N. Y)*. 49: 337–350.
- 751 Schlüpmann, M., Guido, W., Evelyn, L. & Veith, M. 1999. Nachweis eines Freilandbastardes von
- 752 Teichmolch (Triturus vulgaris) und Fadenmolch (Triturus helveticus). Zeitschrift für
- 753 *Feldherpetologie* **6**: 203–217.
- Schluter, D. 2000. Ecological Character Displacement in Adaptive Radiation. *Am. Nat.* 156: S4–
 S16.
- 756 Secondi, J., Aumjaud, A., Pays, O., Boyer, S., Montembault, D. & Violleau, D. 2007. Water
- turbidity affects the development of sexual morphology in the palmate newt. *Ethology* **113**: 711–720.
- 759 Secondi, J., Bretagnolle, V., Compagnon, C. & Faivre, B. 2003. Species-specific song convergence
- in a moving hybrid zone between two passerines. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **80**: 507–517.
- Secondi, J., Dupont, V., Davranche, A., Mondy, N., Lengagne, T. & Théry, M. 2017. Variability of
- surface and underwater nocturnal spectral irradiance with the presence of clouds in urban
 and peri-urban wetlands. *PLoS One* **12**: e0186808.
- 764 Secondi, J., Johanet, A., Pays, O., Cazimajou, F., Djalout, Z. & Lemaire, C. 2010. Olfactory and
- visual species recognition in newts and their role in hybridization. *Behaviour* **147**: 1693–
- 766 1712.

- Secondi, J., Lepetz, V. & Théry, M. 2012. Male attractiveness is influenced by uv wavelengths in
 a newt species but not in its close relative. *PLoS One* **7**: e30391.
- Secondi, J., Okassa, M., Sourice, S. & Théry, M. 2014. Habitat-dependent species recognition in
 hybridizing newts. *Evol. Biol.* 41: 71–80.
- Secondi, J. & Théry, M. 2014. An ultraviolet signal generates a conflict between sexual selection
 and species recognition in a newt. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 68: 1049–1058.
- Servedio, M.R. & Noor, M.A.F. 2003. The Role of Reinforcement in Speciation: Theory and Data.

774 *http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132412* **34**: 339–364. Annual

775 Reviews 4139 El Camino Way, P.O. Box 10139, Palo Alto, CA 94303-0139, USA .

- 576 Shultz, A.J. & Burns, K.J. 2017. The role of sexual and natural selection in shaping patterns of
- sexual dichromatism in the largest family of songbirds (Aves: Thraupidae). Evolution (N. Y).

778 **71**: 1061–1074.

- Smadja, C. & Ganem, G. 2005. Asymmetrical reproductive character displacement in the house
 mouse. *J. Evol. Biol.* 18: 1485–1493.
- 781 Snell-Rood, E.C. 2012. The effect of climate on acoustic signals: Does atmospheric sound
- absorption matter for bird song and bat echolocation? *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **131**: 1650–1658.
- 783 Speybroeck, J., Beukema, W., Dufresnes, C., Fritz, U., Jablonski, D., Lymberakis, P., et al. 2020.
- Species list of the European herpetofauna-2020 update by the Taxonomic Committee of
 the Societas Europaea Herpetologica.
- 786 Stern, D.L. 2013. The genetic causes of convergent evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14**: 751–764.
- 787 Stuart–Fox, D.M. & Ord, T.J. 2004. Sexual selection, natural selection and the evolution of
- dimorphic coloration and ornamentation in agamid lizards. *Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. B*

789 *Biol. Sci.* **271**: 2249–2255.

790 Stuart, Y.E. & Losos, J.B. 2013. Ecological character displacement: glass half full or half empty?

- *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **28**: 402–408.
- 792 Toledo, L.F. & Haddad, C.F.B. 2009. Colors and some morphological traits as defensive
- mechanisms in anurans. *Int. J. Zool.* **2009**: 1–12.
- 794 Verrell, P.A. 1986. Limited male mating capacity in the smooth newt, Triturus vulgaris vulgaris
- 795 (Amphibia). J. Comp. Psychol. **100**: 291–295.
- 796 Wilkens, H. 2001. Convergent adaptations to cave life in the Rhamdia Laticauda catfish group
- 797 (Pimelodidae, Teleostei). *Environ. Biol. Fishes* **62**: 251–261.
- 798 Wolfenbarger, L.L.R. 1999. Red coloration of male northern cardinals correlates with mate
- quality and territory quality. *Behav. Ecol.* **10**: 80–90.

Table 1. Description of the morphological and colorations included in the study. Is specified if these

traits are expected to be sexual or not (supporting literature in the Material and Methods section of the

817 manuscript). * indicates morphological and patterns traits only measured on males and included in the

818 Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Is also specified the correlations between these traits and the first

three PCA axes (values in bold indicate correlations above 0.5). Overall the first axes accounted for 67%

of the total variance, the second axis for 9% and the third axis for 8%.

Traits	Variables	Description and trait coding	Sexual	Axis1	Axis2	Axis3
	SVL	Snout to vent length (mm)	No	-0.67	-0.34	0.51
	Tail	Maximum tail height (mm)	yes	-0.72	-0.36	0.44
	Fil *	Caudal filament length (mm)	yes	0.87	-0.23	0.15
	Webshape *	Shape of the web: (0) no web, (1) non-finger- binding web, (2) finger-binding web	yes	0.82	-0.27	0.23
	Crestshape *	Shape of the dorsal crest: (0) no crest, (1) serrated crest	yes	-0.98	0.09	-0.03
	Caudalshape *	Shape of the caudal crest: (0) no crest, (1) smooth crest, (2) serrated crest,	yes	-0.95	0.10	-0.01
Morphology and Pattern	Throat number *	Density of dark spotting on throat: (0) no points, (1) few spots, (2) many spots	yes	-0.92	0.13	-0.01
	Throat size *	Size of dark spots on throat: (0) no points, (1) depigmented, (2) small spots, (3) medium spots, (4) small and large spots, (5) large spots, (6) mottling	yes	-0.90	0.09	-0.03
	Belly *	Number of dark spots on belly	yes	-0.79	0.22	0.09
	Flank number *	Spotting on flanks: (0) no spot, (1) few spots, (2) many spots	yes	0.40	0.70	0.54
	Flank size *	Size of dark spots on flank: (0) no spots, (1) small spots, (2) medium spots, (3) large spots, (4) continuous line	yes	-0.80	-0.25	-0.15
	S6_visible	Saturation in visible spectrum	No	-	-	-
Dorsal	B2_visible	Brightness in visible spectrum		-	-	-
coloration	B2_UV	Brightness in UV spectrum		-	-	-
	S1_UV	Saturation in UV spectrum		-	-	-
	S9	Saturation for carotenoids coloration	yes	-	-	-
	B2_visible	-		-	-	-
Ventral	H3_visible	Hue in visible spectrum		-	-	-
coloration	B2_UV	-		-	-	-
	S1_UV	-		-	-	-
	S6_visible	-		-	-	-
	B2_visible	-		-	-	-
Caudal	H3_visible	-	yes	-	-	-
coloration	B2_UV	-		-	-	-
	S1_UV	-		-	-	-



Figure 1. Map of the distribution ranges of *Lissotriton helveticus* (Lh) and *L. vulgaris* (Lv) with the
location and the date of sampling of the sites included in the study. Sampling size in each sympatric and
allopatric zones is indicated between brackets. Species' distributions were obtained from IUCNRed List
maps (IUCN 2018).



Figure 2. Mean spectral reflectance of female (a) and male (b) dorsal, ventral and caudal patches for
Lissotriton vulgaris and Lissotriton helveticus originating from the sympatric and the allopatric zone.
Dashed lines and shaded area represent the standard error around each spectrum. The black vertical

839 dashed lines separate the UV range (left) from the visible range (right).



842

Figure 3. Score of the convergence / divergence index for morphological and color variables in males 844 845 and females of Lissotriton helveticus and L. vulgaris obtained from (A) analysis 1, without inclusion of 846 environmental variables, or (B) analysis 2, with environmental variables included. Index = |marginal 847 mean LH sympatry - marginal mean LV sympatry |- |marginal mean LH allopatry - marginal mean LV 848 allopatry | (LH = L. helveticus, LV = L. vulgaris). For the analysis without environmental variables, the 849 marginal means were equal to the mean value of species traits. For the analysis with environmental 850 variables, the marginal means were computed based on residual values after accounting for all linear 851 environmental effects and their interaction with species, included in the final model. Positive values 852 represent species divergence in sympatry, and negative values species convergence. To allow for 853 comparison, all traits were standardized. Stars indicate significant interactions between species and 854 context (sympatry vs allopatry) i.e. significant pattern of convergence or divergence.







Figure 4. Saturation of ventral body parts in males and females of *Lissotriton helveticus* (Lh) and *L. vulgaris* (Lv) in sympatry and allopatry. See methods for the computation of the variables. The left panel represents the raw color data for each individual and the right panel the values predicted for each individual from linear mixed models when accounting for the effects of environmental variables and species but without the effect of the context (sympatry or allopatry). The difference between the two graphs shows how sympatry change species coloration (on the left), compared to what is predicted from species-specific responses to the environmental conditions (on the right).

857