

Calibration of subsurface dynamic parameters and fault geometry from surface fault rupture observations: an example from the shallow 2019 Mw4.9 Le Teil (France) event.

Sassi Rihab, Hok Sébastien, Klinger Yann, Delouis Bertrand

▶ To cite this version:

Sassi Rihab, Hok Sébastien, Klinger Yann, Delouis Bertrand. Calibration of subsurface dynamic parameters and fault geometry from surface fault rupture observations: an example from the shallow 2019 Mw4.9 Le Teil (France) event.. SSA2022 - Seismological Society of America Annual Meeting, Apr 2022, Bellevue, United States. SEISMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA, SEISMOLOGICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, 93 (2B), pp.1308-1309, 2022, 10.1785/0220220087. hal-03914284

HAL Id: hal-03914284 https://hal.science/hal-03914284

Submitted on 28 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Calibration of Subsurface Dynamic Parameters and Fault Geometry From Surface Fault Rupture Observations: An Example From the Shallow 2019 mw4.9 Le Teil, France, Event Rihab Sassi ⁽¹⁾, Sébastien Hok ⁽¹⁾, Yann Klinger ⁽²⁾, Bertrand Delouis ⁽³⁾











Le Teil (Mw 4.9) Earthquake, France

On November 2019, a damaging Mw 4.9 earthquake stroke the Rhone valley river, close to the city of Montelimar in the south east of France, a densely populated area with several operating nuclear power plants.

Abstract

We investigate the impact of several friction, stress drop and fault geometry on surface fault rupture amount and patterns. Based on a shallow reverse surface rupturing Mw4.9 earthquake which occurred in southeastern France in November 2019, and models derived from data collected (InSAR, waveforms), we set up a rupture scenario that is consistent with the observations. From this kinematic scenario we constrain the dynamic parameters of the deeper part of the rupture (300-2 km depth), while we test the shallow part parameters (<300m). The surface rupture produced by the different models are then compared to the surface deformation patterns and amplitude.

We show that the shallow surface layers are likely slip-strengthening, but also that the surface rupture is not a passive marker of the deeper rupture process: they are both linked. The frictional behavior (Dc, Stress drop, weakening or strengthening) directly modulates the amount of surface rupture. Dynamic rupture history notably differs from the kinematic model, although the friction evolution of the first was directly derived from the second. Adding a secondary structure in the northern part improves significantly the surface rupture fit, as well as the rupture history. Finally, such a shallow reverse fault earthquake seen through its dynamics emphasizes a puzzling question: what is the absolute level of stress on a seismogenic fault so close to the surface?

Fig. 1 The Le Teil earthquake context

In this study, we investigate the surface rupture associated to the Le Teil earthquake through physics-based source modelling. The question addressed is how can surface deformation can be related to deep rupture features and fault geometry? In a first part, we study the impact of subsurface frictional properties on permanent surface displacement. In the second part, we examined the impact of fault geometry on ground surface deformation. We used InSAR surface deformation data to validate our theoretical models.

Method

- We simulate both kinematic and dynamic rupture propagation on a fault plane using a 3D Boundary Integral Equation Method to compute the rupture history and the permanent ground surface deformation (Hok & Fukuyama 2011). Due to this simple formulation, we use homogeneous elastic half space for those models.
- The fault geometry : Planar fault in agreement with geological mapping InSAR inversion and focal mechanism.
- The initial stress state : We assume a compressive stress field stress then estimate normal and shear stress considering the fault orientation.
- The fault is NOT well oriented to generate a thrustmechanism rupture... + quite SMALL asperities ($\Delta \sigma \sim 20$ MPa at 500m) => large stresses at shallow depth ?



Fig.2 Initial stress field and fault geometry used for dynamic simulations





estimated from kinematic simulation.

Fig.6 Stress variations

(1) Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), (2) Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, (3) Geoazur-Université Côte d'Azur

Changing subsurface frictional properties homogenizing frictional properties for the shallow layer (300 meters), keeping the heterogeneous frictional properties at depth (Fig. 8) Simulation of the rupture propagation under diffrenet shallow stress drops, strength excess and critical slip distance values (Fig. 9). Fig.9 Exemples of FL used for the homogenized simulations a) Stress drop effect b) dc effect $\leftarrow \diamond \Delta \sigma = -6MPa$ $\sim \sigma = -4MPa$ \checkmark $\Delta \sigma = -2MPa$ •—• $\Delta \sigma = 0 M P a$ $\triangleleft \Delta \sigma = 2MPa$ $\sim \sigma = 4MPa$ $\Delta \sigma = 6MPa$ 0.4 0.2 0.6 Ŏ.O slip (m SHALLOW LAYER STRESS DROP Snapshots of dynamic rupture propagation under different shallow stress drop levels (Fig. 10) show: There is a strong interaction between shallow and deep rupture in terms of rupture front propagation and slip amounts. Rupture duration depends on 3 fault mechanical shallow properties. Amplification or attenuation of the rupture velocity all over the fault plane. Change of the rupture history. Horiz dip parallal slip-weakening behavior slip-strengthening behavior Vertical

SHALLOW LAYER dc (and FRICTION LAW SLOPE)

Final slip distribution under different shallow stress drop and dc levels for slip-weakening and slip-strengthening behaviours in shown on Figs. 12a and 12c. Each panel represents a single simulation.

larger than dc.

Funded by ANR DISRUPT project https://anr.fr/Project-ANR-18-CE31-0012 Deformation and Earthquake Surface Ruptures: from Observation to Processes | ANR

Results : WHAT IS IMPACTING SURFACE RUPTURE?

is more important in the slip-weakening behaviour than slip-strengthening behaviour

Considering a single fault geometry, the surface vertical offset (~20 cm) observed during the 2019 Mw 4.9 Le Teil EQ is conditioned by a slip-strengthening shallow frictional behaviour and a stress drop amount of about -3 MPa.

de la recherche

FAULT GEOMETRY : A SECONDARY STRUCTURE ACTIVATION?

According to mapped surface ruptures (Fig. 14a) and InSAR unwrapped deformation (Fig. 15), in the northern part of the rupture a secondary fault accommodates more displacement than the main fault.

To test the propagation of the rupture on 2 faults, we first distribute the slip on secondary fault (Fig. 14c), use the kinematics to determine the friction evolution in each model. then compute the spontaneous rupture propagation

We assume a principal fault (PLF) geometry based on geological studies (ritz et al 2020)

test three different geometries for the unknown secondary fault (SF): M2, M3, M4 (Fig. 14b)

Free surface displacement of the Le Teil EQ from spontaneous rupture propagation

Introducing the secondary fault leads to a better

qualitative agreement between InSAR and synthetic

surface vertical deformation, especially in the northern

part of the rupture, where a single fault does not match

the observations, for all models. Based on profiles P10

and 12, M4 model seems to show best agreement.

Fig.16 Comparison of synthetic surface vertical displacement associated to different fault models M1 (single fault), M2, M3 and M4 having different secondary fault geometries

Fig.15 Permanent vertical surface displacement from InSAR (from Ritz et al

0 Displacement (cm)

M2

Conclusions & Discussions

The shallow layer frictional behaviour (stress drop, slip weakening or slip strengthening distance) directly modulates the amount of surface rupture. The slope of the friction law seems to be the scaling parameter. In turns, the rupture history and the slip amount at depth depends on shallow slip amount.

Based on the amount of slip observed during Le Teil EQ, it seems that the shallow layer behaviour of the fault was slightly slip strengthening.

Dynamic rupture models show a great sensitivity to initial stress, shallow surface parameters and secondary fault rupture, and although governed by kinematic-derived FL, have propagations histories different than kinematics. Dynamic rupture needs large initial stresses to propagate ; this questions the initial kinematic model, or the physics of rupture that was used in our study (rate-dependent friction, fluids)

Adding the northern secondary structure improves qualitatively the agreement of surface deformation and the rupture propagation (bilateral like kinematics). Dynamic branching on the secondary fault is very sensitive to the fault orientation and occurs for connected branch close to the hypocenter.