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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the mean square estimate for the logarithmic derivative of the Godement-Jacquet $L$-function $L_{f}(s)$ assuming the Riemann hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$ and Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$, and let $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. A Maass form [Gol06] for $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ of type $v$ is a smooth function $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(S L(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^{n}\right)$ which satisfies

1. $f(\gamma z)=f(z)$, for all $\gamma \in S L(n, \mathbb{Z}), z \in \mathcal{H}^{n}$,
2. $D f(z)=\lambda_{D} f(z)$, for all $D \in \mathfrak{D}^{n}$ where $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the center of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the Lie algebra of $G L(n, \mathbb{R})$,
3. $\int_{(S L(n, \mathbb{Z}) \cap U) \backslash U} f(u z) d u=0$,
for all upper triangular groups $U$ of the form

$$
U=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
I_{r_{1}} & & & \\
& I_{r_{2}} & & * \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & I_{r_{b}}
\end{array}\right)\right\},
$$

with $r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{b}=n$. Here, $I_{r}$ denotes the $r \times r$ identity matrix, and $*$ denotes arbitrary real entries.

A Hecke-Maass form is a Maass form which is an eigenvector for the Hecke operators algebra. Let $f(z)$ be a Hecke-Maass form of type $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ for $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then it has the Fourier expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)= & \sum_{\gamma \in U_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash S L(n-1, \mathbb{Z})} \sum_{m_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_{n-2}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_{n-1} \neq 0} \frac{A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left|m_{j}\right|^{\frac{j(n-j)}{2}}} \\
& \times W_{J}\left(M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right) z, v, \psi_{1, \ldots, 1, \frac{m_{n-1}}{\left|m_{n-1}\right|}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
m_{1} \ldots m_{n-2} \cdot\left|m_{n-1}\right| & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & m_{1} m_{2} & & \\
& & & m_{1} & \\
& & & & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad A(1, \ldots, 1)=1, \\
& \psi_{1, \ldots, 1, \epsilon}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & u_{n-1} & & & \\
& 1 & u_{n-2} & & * \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & 1 & u_{1} \\
& & & & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)=e^{2 \pi i\left(u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n-2}+\epsilon u_{n-1}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$U_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the group of $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ upper triangular matrices with $1 s$ on the diagonal and an integer entry above the diagonal and $W_{J}$ is the Jacquet Whittaker function.

If $f(z)$ is a Maass form of type $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{f}(z):=f\left(w \cdot\left(z^{-1}\right)^{T} \cdot w\right) \\
& w=\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& & \\
& . & (-1)^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \\
& . &
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a Maass form of type $\left(v_{n-1}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)$ for $S L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ called the dual Maass form. If $A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$ is the $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$-Fourier coefficient of $f$, then $A\left(m_{n-1}, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$ is the corresponding Fourier coefficient of $\tilde{f}$.

We note that the Fourier coefficients $A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)$ satisfy the multiplicative relations

$$
A\left(m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{n-1} m_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)=A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right) \cdot A\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

if

$$
\left(m_{1} \ldots m_{n-1}, m_{1}^{\prime} \ldots m_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

$$
A(m, 1, \ldots, 1) A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{\substack{n \\ n=1 \\ l=1 \\ c_{l}=m \\ c_{1}\left|m_{1}, c_{2}\right| m_{2}, \ldots, c_{n-1} \mid m_{n-1}}} A\left(\frac{m_{1} c_{n}}{c_{1}}, \frac{m_{2} c_{1}}{c_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{m_{n-1} c_{n-2}}{c_{n-1}}\right)
$$

and

$$
A\left(m_{n-1}, \ldots, m_{1}\right)=\overline{A\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)}
$$

Definition 1.1. [Gol06] The Godement-Jacquet L-function $L_{f}(s)$ attached to $f$ is defined for $\Re(s)>$ 1 by

$$
L_{f}(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)}{m^{s}}=\prod_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\alpha_{p, i} p^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{p, i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are the complex roots of the monic polynomial

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{j} A(\overbrace{1, \ldots, 1}^{j-1 \text { terms }}, p, 1, \ldots, 1) X^{n-j}+(-1)^{n} \in \mathbb{C}[X], \quad \text { and } \\
A(\overbrace{1, \ldots, 1}^{j-1}, p, 1, \ldots, 1)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{j} \leq n} \alpha_{p, i_{1}} \ldots \alpha_{p, i_{j}}, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$L_{f}(s)$ satisfies the functional equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{f}(s) & :=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{\frac{-s+\lambda_{i}\left(v_{f}\right)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\lambda_{i}\left(v_{f}\right)}{2}\right) L_{f}(s) \\
& =\Lambda_{\tilde{f}}(1-s)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is the Dual Maass form.
In the case of Godement-Jacquet $L$-function, Yujiao Jiang and Guangshi Lü [JiLu17] have studied cancellation on the exponential sum $\sum_{m \leq N} \mu(m) A(m, 1) e^{2 \pi i m \theta}$ related to $S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$ where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
Throughout the paper, we assume that $f$ is self dual i.e., $\tilde{f}=f$.
$\epsilon, \epsilon_{1}$ and $\eta$ always denote any small positive constants.
If $N_{f}(T)$ denotes the number of zeros of $L_{f}(s)$ in the rectangle mentioned below, then from the functional equation and the argument principle of complex function theory we have,

$$
N_{f}(T) \sim c(n) T \log T
$$

where $c(n)$ is a non zero constant depending only on the degree $n$ of $L_{f}(s)$.


## (i) The generalized Ramanujan conjecture:

It asserts that

$$
|A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)| \leq d_{n}(m)
$$

where $d_{n}(m)$ is the number of representations of $m$ as the product of $n$ natural numbers. The current best estimates are due to Kim and Sarnak [Kim03] for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ and Luo, Rudnick and Sarnak for $n \geq 5$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|A(m)| \leq m^{\frac{7}{64}} d(m), \\
|A(m, 1)| \leq m^{\frac{5}{14}} d_{3}(m), \\
|A(m, 1,1)| \leq m^{\frac{9}{22}} d_{4}(m), \\
|A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)| \leq m^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}} d_{n}(m) .
\end{array}
$$

We note that the generalized Ramanujan conjecture is equivalent to

$$
\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right|=1 \quad \forall \text { primes } p \text { and } i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Other estimates are equivalent to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right| \leq p^{\theta_{n}} \quad \forall \text { primes } p \text { and } i=1,2, \ldots, n \text { where } \\
\theta_{2}:=\frac{7}{64}, \quad \theta_{3}:=\frac{5}{14}, \quad \theta_{4}:=\frac{9}{22}, \quad \theta_{n}:=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}(n \geq 5) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## (ii) Ramanujan's generalized weak conjecture:

We formulate this conjecture as:
For $n \geq 2$, the inequality

$$
\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right| \leq p^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon_{1}}
$$

holds for some small $\epsilon_{1}>0$, for every prime $p$ and for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Of course, this weak conjecture holds good for $n=2$. For $n \geq 3$, this conjecture is still open.

Taking the logarithmic derivative of $L_{f}(s)$, we have

$$
-\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m)}{m^{s}}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m) a_{f}(m)}{m^{s}}
$$

where $a_{f}(m)$ is multiplicative and

$$
a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{p, i}^{r}
$$

for any integer $r \geq 1$.
In particular,

$$
a_{f}(p)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{p, i}=A(p, 1, \ldots, 1) .
$$

(iii) Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture:

For any fixed integer $r \geq 2$,

$$
\sum_{p} \frac{\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}(\log p)^{2}}{p^{r}}<\infty
$$

We know that this conjecture is true for $n \leq 4$. (See [Ki06, RuSa96].)
(iv) Riemann hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$ :

It asserts that $L_{f}(s) \neq 0$ in $\Re(s)>\frac{1}{2}$.

The aim of this paper is to establish:

Theorem 1.1. Ramanujan's weak conjecture implies Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 is indicated in [Ki06].
Theorem 1.3. Assume $n \geq 5$ be any arbitrary but fixed integer. Let $\epsilon$ be any small positive constant and $T \geq T_{0}$ where $T_{0}$ is sufficiently large. Assume the Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture and Riemann hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$. Then the estimate:

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t<_{f, n, \epsilon, \eta} T(\log T)^{2 \eta}
$$

holds for $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon$ with $\eta$ being some constant satisfying $0<\eta<\frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 1.4. Since Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture is true for $2 \leq n \leq 4$, Theorem 1.3 holds just with the assumption of Riemann hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$ whenever $2 \leq n \leq 4$.

Remark 1.5. It is not difficult to see from our arguments that only assuming Riemann Hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$, Theorem 1.3 can be upheld for any $\sigma_{0}$ satisfying $1-\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}+\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon$ by using the bound $\theta_{n}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}$ of Luo, Rudnick and Sarnak.
It is also not difficult to see from our arguments that the generalized Ramanujan conjecture and the Riemann hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$ together imply the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t<_{f, n, \epsilon} T \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to hold for any $\sigma_{0}$ satisfying $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon$.
Though we expect the bound stated in Equation 1.1 to hold unconditionally for $\sigma_{0}$ in the said range, this seems to be very hard.

## 2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. If $f(s)$ is regular and

$$
\left|\frac{f(s)}{f\left(s_{0}\right)}\right|<e^{M} \quad(M>1)
$$

in $\left|s-s_{0}\right| \leq r_{1}$, then for any constant $b$ with $0<b<\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}(s)-\sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{s-\rho}\right| \ll b \frac{M}{r_{1}}
$$

in $\left|s-s_{0}\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}-b\right) r_{1}$, where $\rho$ runs over all zeros of $f(s)$ such that $\left|\rho-s_{0}\right| \leq \frac{r_{1}}{2}$.

Proof. See Lemma $\alpha$ in Section 3.9 of [TiHe86] or see [RaSa91].

Lemma 2.2. Let $N_{f}^{*}(T)$ denote the number of zeros of $L_{f}(s)$ in the region $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq T$. Then,

$$
N_{f}^{*}(T+1)-N_{f}^{*}(T)<_{n} \log T
$$

Proof. Let $n\left(r_{1}\right)$ denote the number of zeros of $L_{f}(s)$ in the circle with centre $2+i T$ and radius $r_{1}$. By Jensen's theorem,

$$
\int_{0}^{3} \frac{n\left(r_{1}\right)}{r_{1}} d r_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|L_{f}\left(2+i T+3 e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta-\log \left|L_{f}(2+i T)\right| .
$$

From the functional equation, we observe that

$$
\left|L_{f}(s)\right|<_{f} t^{A} \quad \text { for }-1 \leq \sigma \leq 5 \text { where } A \text { is some fixed positive constant, }
$$

and hence we have,

$$
\log \left|L\left(2+i T+3 e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \ll A \log T
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1-\frac{\alpha_{p, i}}{p^{2+i t}}\right| & \geq 1-\frac{\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right|}{p^{2}} \\
& \geq 1-\frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p^{2}} \\
& =1-\frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L_{f}(2+i t)\right| & =\prod_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{p, i}}{p^{2+i t}}\right)\right|^{-1} \\
& \leq \prod_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq\left(\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)^{n} \\
& \ll_{n} 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{3} \frac{n\left(r_{1}\right)}{r_{1}} d r_{1} \ll A \log T+A \ll \log T \\
& \int_{0}^{3} \frac{n\left(r_{1}\right)}{r_{1}} d r_{1} \geq \int_{\sqrt{5}}^{3} \frac{n\left(r_{1}\right)}{r_{1}} d r_{1} \geq n(\sqrt{5}) \int_{\sqrt{5}}^{3} \frac{d r_{1}}{r_{1}} \geq \text { c.n }(\sqrt{5})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
N_{f}^{*}(T+1)-N_{f}^{*}(T) \ll n_{n} \log T
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $a_{m}(m=1,2, \ldots, N)$ be any set of complex numbers. Then

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{N} a_{m} m^{-i t}\right|^{2} d t=\sum_{m=1}^{N}\left|a_{m}\right|^{2}(T+O(m))
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $b_{m}$ be any set of complex numbers such that $\sum m\left(\left|b_{m}\right|\right)^{2}$ is convergent. Then

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_{m} m^{-i t}\right|^{2} d t=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}(T+O(m))
$$

Proof. See [MoVa74] or [Ram79] for Montgomery and Vaughan theorem.

Hereafter, $Y \geq 10$ is an arbitrary parameter depending on $T$ which will be chosen suitably later. Also, $\sigma_{0}$ satisfies the inequality $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon$ for any small positive constant $\epsilon$.

Lemma 2.5. For $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon$, we have

$$
\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{m\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \ll 1
$$

Proof. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{m\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} & \ll \sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{m\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{m}{Y} \frac{Y^{2}}{m^{2}}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \\
& \ll Y^{2} \sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{1+2 \sigma_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{m}{Y} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\log Y)^{2}$ for $m \geq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}$, we have $e^{\frac{m}{Y}} \gg Y^{B}$ for any large positive constant $B$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{m\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \ll \frac{Y^{2}}{Y^{B}} \sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2}}{m^{1+2 \sigma_{0}}}
$$

$\ll 1$.

Lemma 2.6. Assuming Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture and taking $Y$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}} \ll(\log Y)^{2}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}} \leq \sum_{p \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{2 \sigma_{0}}}+\sum_{r=2}^{\left[\frac{\log \frac{Y}{2}}{\log 2}\right]+1} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(p^{r}\right)^{2 \sigma_{0}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{f}(p)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{p, i}\right|=|A(p, 1, \ldots, 1)|
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \leq Y} \frac{c_{m}}{m^{l}} & =\int_{1}^{Y} \frac{d\left(\sum_{m \leq u} c_{m}\right)}{u^{l}} \\
& =\left.\frac{\sum_{m \leq u} c_{m}}{u^{l}}\right|_{1} ^{Y}-\int_{1}^{Y}(-l) \frac{\sum_{m \leq u} c_{m}}{u^{l+1}} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

From Remark 12.1.8 of [Gol06], we have

$$
\sum_{m_{1}^{n-1} m_{2}^{n-2} \ldots m_{n-1} \leq Y}\left|A\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)\right|^{2}<_{f} Y
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{m \leq Y}|A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)|^{2} \leq \sum_{m_{1}^{n-1} m_{2}^{n-2} \ldots m_{n-1} \leq Y}\left|A\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n-1}\right)\right|^{2} \ll_{f} Y
$$

Taking $l=2 \sigma_{0}$ and $c_{m}=|A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)|^{2}$,

$$
\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{|A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)|^{2}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \ll 1
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{p \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \ll(\log Y)^{2} \sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{|A(m, 1, \ldots, 1)|^{2}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \ll(\log Y)^{2} .
$$

By Rudnick-Sarnak conjecture and the bound $\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right| \leq p^{\theta_{n}}$ with $\theta_{n}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}$,

$$
\sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{r}}
$$

converges (as in proof of Theorem 1.1) and in particular,

$$
\sum_{r=2}^{\left[\frac{\log \frac{Y}{2}}{\log 2}\right]} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{r}} \ll 1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \ll(\log Y)^{2}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assuming $\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right| \leq p^{\theta_{n}}$ with $\theta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{4}-\epsilon_{1}$, we need to prove that for every integer $n \geq 5$ and for every integer $r \geq 2$,

$$
\sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{r}}<\infty
$$

It is enough to show that

$$
\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{r}}<\infty
$$

Using

$$
a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{p, i}^{r} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right| \leq p^{\theta_{n}}
$$

we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{f}\left(p^{r}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{r}} & \leq \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{r \theta_{n}}\right)^{2}}{p^{r}} \\
& =\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2} n^{2} p^{2 r \theta_{n}}}{p^{r}} \\
& \leq n^{2} \sum_{p}(\log p)^{2} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{2 r\left(\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon_{1}\right)}}{p^{r}} \\
& =n^{2} \sum_{p}(\log p)^{2} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{r}{2}+2 r \epsilon_{1}}} \\
& =n^{2} \sum_{p}(\log p)^{2} \frac{p^{-\left(1+4 \epsilon_{1}\right)}}{1-p^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+2 \epsilon_{1}\right)}} \\
& =n^{2} \sum_{p}(\log p)^{2} \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{2}+2 \epsilon_{1}}\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}+2 \epsilon_{1}}-1\right)} \\
& \ll n, \epsilon_{1} 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves Theorem 1.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

First, we wish to approximate $\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)$ uniformly for $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{1}<1$ when $T \leq t \leq 2 T$. We assume throughout below the Riemann hypothesis for $L_{f}(s)$.

From the work of Godement-Jacquet [GoJa06], it is known that the function $L_{f}(s)$ is of finite order in any bounded vertical strip. Hence, we can very well assume that

$$
L_{f}(s) \ll T^{A}=e^{A \log T}
$$

for $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2, T \leq t \leq 2 T$ and $A$ some fixed positive constant.

Taking $s_{0}=2+i t$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
L_{f}(2+i t)=\prod_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{p, i}}{p^{2+i t}}\right)^{-1}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1-\frac{\alpha_{p, i}}{p^{2+i t}}\right| & \leq 1+\frac{\left|\alpha_{p, i}\right|}{p^{2}} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{p^{\theta_{n}}}{p^{2}} \\
& =1+\frac{1}{p^{2-\theta_{n}}} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\theta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \geq 2$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|L_{f}(2+i t)\right| & \geq \prod_{p} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{-n} \\
& =\prod_{p}\left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{1-\frac{1}{p^{3}}}\right)^{n} \\
& =\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a constant depending only on $n$. Therefore, $L_{f}(2+i t) \neq 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Hence from Lemma 2.1, with $r=12, s_{0}=2+i T, f(s)=L_{f}(s), M=A \log T$, we obtain

$$
-\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)=\sum_{\left|s-s_{0}\right| \leq 6} \frac{1}{s-\rho}+O(\log T)
$$

For $\left|s-s_{0}\right| \leq 3$ and so in particular for $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2, t=T$, replacing $T$ by $t$ in the particular case, we obtain

$$
-\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)=\sum_{\left|\rho-s_{0}\right| \leq 6} \frac{1}{s-\rho}+O(\log t) .
$$

Any term occurring in $\sum_{|t-\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{1}{s-\rho}$ but not in $\sum_{\left|s-s_{0}\right| \leq 6} \frac{1}{s-\rho}$ is bounded and the number of such terms does not exceed

$$
N_{f}^{*}(t+6)-N_{f}^{*}(t-6) \ll \log t,
$$

where $N_{f}^{*}(t)$ is the number of zeros of $L_{f}(s)$ in the region $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. Thus, we get

$$
-\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)=\sum_{|t-\gamma| \leq 1} \frac{1}{s-\rho}+O(\log t) .
$$

Assume $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma<1$ and $T \leq t \leq 2 T$, then

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m)}{m^{s}} e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s+w) \Gamma(w) Y^{w} d w
$$

Note also that from the above reasoning

$$
\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s) \ll \log t \quad \text { on any line } \sigma \neq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Also,

$$
\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s) \ll \frac{\log t}{\min (|t-\gamma|)}+\log t \quad \text { uniformly for }-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2
$$

From Lemma 2.2, we observe that each interval $(j, j+1)$ contains values of $t$ whose distance from the ordinate of any zero exceeds $\frac{A}{\log j}$, there is a $t_{j}$ in any such interval for which

$$
\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s) \ll(\log t)^{2} \quad \text { where }-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2 \text { and } t=t_{j}
$$

Applying Cauchy's residue theorem to the rectangle, we get


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{2-i t_{j}}^{2+i t_{j}}+\int_{2+i t_{j}}^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma+i t_{j}}+\int_{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma+i t_{j}}^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma-i t_{j}}+\int_{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma-i t_{j}}^{2-i t_{j}}\right) \frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s+w) \Gamma(w) Y^{w} d w \\
& =\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)+\sum_{-t_{j}<\gamma<t_{j}} \Gamma(\rho-s) Y^{\rho-s}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the sum appearing on the right hand side above, zeros $\rho$ are counted with its multiplicity if there are any multiple zeros. The integrals along the horizontal lines tend to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$ since gamma function decays exponentially and $Y$ is going to be at most a power of $T$ only, so that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m)}{m^{s}} e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma-i \infty}^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma+i \infty} \frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s+w) \Gamma(w) Y^{w} d w-\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)-\sum_{\rho} \Gamma(\rho-s) Y^{\rho-s}
$$

Note that $\Gamma(w) \ll e^{-A|v|}$ so that the integral on $\Re(w)=\frac{1}{4}-\sigma$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-A|v|} \log (|t+v|+2) Y^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} d v \\
& \ll \int_{0}^{2 t} e^{-A|v|} \log (10|t|+2) Y^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} d v+\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0}+\int_{2 t}^{\infty}\right) e^{-A|v|} \log (|v|+10) Y^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} d v \\
& \ll Y^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} \log T+Y^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} \\
& \ll Y^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma} \log T .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{1}<1$,

$$
|\Gamma(\rho-s)|<A_{1} e^{-A_{2}|\gamma-t|}
$$

uniformly for $\sigma$ in the said range. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{\rho}|\Gamma(\rho-s)|<A_{1} \sum_{\rho} e^{-A_{2}|\gamma-t|}=A_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m-1 \leq \gamma \leq m} e^{-A_{2}|t-\gamma|}
$$

The number of terms in the inner sum is

$$
\ll \log (|t|+m) \ll \log |t|+\log (m+1)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\rho}|\Gamma(\rho-s)| \ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-A_{2} m}(\log |t|+\log (m+1)) \ll \log T \\
\left|\sum_{\rho} \Gamma(\rho-s) Y^{\rho-s}\right| \ll Y^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \log T
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus for $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{1}<1$, we have

$$
-\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m)}{m^{s}} e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}+O_{f}\left(Y^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \log T\right)
$$

Thus for $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma_{0} \leq 1-\epsilon$ and $T \leq t \leq 2 T$, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} \ll\left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2}+\left(Y^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma_{0}} \log T\right)^{2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t<_{f} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2} d t+Y^{1-2 \sigma_{0}} T(\log T)^{2}
$$

We note that

$$
\left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2} \ll\left|\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2}+\left|\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t & \ll \int_{f} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2}+\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{f}(m) e^{-\frac{m}{Y}}}{m^{\sigma_{0}+i t}}\right|^{2} \\
& +Y^{1-2 \sigma_{0}} T(\log T)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Montgomery-Vaughan theorem (Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4) and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t & \ll \sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}}(T+O(m)) \\
& +\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}}(T+O(m))+Y^{1-2 \sigma_{0}} T(\log T)^{2} \\
& <_{f} T \sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}}+\sum_{m \leq \frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} m \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \\
& +T \sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}}+\sum_{m>\frac{Y}{2}(\log Y)^{2}} m \frac{\left|\Lambda_{f}(m)\right|^{2} e^{-\frac{2 m}{Y}}}{m^{2 \sigma_{0}}} \\
& +Y^{1-2 \sigma_{0}} T(\log T)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we obtain

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t<_{f, n, \epsilon} T(\log Y)^{2}+Y(\log Y)^{4}+Y^{1-2 \sigma_{0}} T(\log T)^{2}
$$

We choose $Y=\exp \left\{(\log T)^{\eta}\right\}$ with any $\eta$ satisfying $0<\eta<\frac{1}{2}$ so that we obtain

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\frac{L_{f}^{\prime}}{L_{f}}\left(\sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|^{2} d t<_{f, n, \epsilon, \eta} T(\log T)^{2 \eta}
$$

This proves Theorem 1.3.
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