

Search for ²²Na in novae supported by a novel method for measuring femtosecond nuclear lifetimes

Chloé Fougères, François de Oliveira Santos, Jordi José, Caterina Michelagnoli, Emmanuel Clément, Yung Hee Kim, Antoine Lemasson, Valdir Guimarães, Diego Barrientos, Daniel Bemmerer, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Chloé Fougères, François de Oliveira Santos, Jordi José, Caterina Michelagnoli, Emmanuel Clément, et al.. Search for ²²Na in novae supported by a novel method for measuring femtosecond nuclear lifetimes. Nature Communications, 2023, 14 (1), pp.4536. 10.1038/s41467-023-40121-3. hal-03912454v2

HAL Id: hal-03912454 https://hal.science/hal-03912454v2

Submitted on 20 Aug 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

nature communications

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40121-3

Search for ²²Na in novae supported by a novel method for measuring femtosecond nuclear lifetimes

Received: 22 December 2022

Accepted: 13 July 2023

Published online: 05 September 2023

Check for updates

A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper

Classical novae are thermonuclear explosions in stellar binary systems, and important sources of ²⁶Al and ²²Na. While γ rays from the decay of the former radioisotope have been observed throughout the Galaxy, ²²Na remains untraceable. Its half-life (2.6 yr) would allow the observation of its 1.275 MeV γ -ray line from a cosmic source. However, the prediction of such an observation requires good knowledge of its nucleosynthesis. The ²²Na(p, γ)²³Mg reaction remains the only source of large uncertainty about the amount of ²²Na ejected. Its rate is dominated by a single resonance on the short-lived state at 7785.0(7) keV in ²³Mg. Here, we propose a combined analysis of particle-particle correlations and velocity-difference profiles to measure femtosecond nuclear lifetimes. The application of this method to the study of the ²³Mg states, places strong limits on the amount of ²²Na produced in novae and constrains its detectability with future space-borne observatories.

Nuclear reactions between charged particles in astrophysical environments proceed by quantum tunneling. The measurement of these reactions in the laboratory is very difficult because of the very small cross sections ($\sigma \leq 1$ nb). To date, about 10 reactions involving radioactive nuclei and charged particles have been directly measured at low energies. Among them, the ${}^{22}Na(p, \gamma){}^{23}Mg$ reaction has a direct impact on the amount of radioactive ²²Na produced in novae¹⁻⁵. The astrophysical relevance of ²²Na for the diagnosis of nova outbursts was first mentioned by Clayton and Hoyle⁶, in the context of its decay into a short-lived excited state of ²²Ne, and the subsequent emission of a yray photon of 1.275 MeV released during its de-excitation. With the half-life of ²²Na being much longer than the transition time from an optically thick to an optically thin ejecta of a classical nova (about one week), the flux of the 1.275 MeV y-ray line will remain close to its maximum value for months after the ejecta has become transparent to γ rays⁷. A precise determination of the ²²Na(p, γ)²³Mg thermonuclear rate is necessary to improve the predicted abundances of nuclei in the mass region $A \ge 20$ during nova outbursts, including the ²²Na abundance in the ejecta that impacts in turn the predicted ²⁰Ne/²²Ne ratios in presolar grains⁸ of a putative nova origin, as well as, the corresponding γ -ray emission flux. The rate of this reaction is mainly dictated by a single resonance in ²³Mg at 7785.0(7) keV⁵. A direct

measurement of its strength ($\omega\gamma$) has been performed in three different studies with conflicting results: $\omega\gamma = 5.7^{+1.6}_{-0.9}$, 1.8(7)⁹ and <0.36 meV¹⁰. Indirect experimental methods, such as lifetime measurements, have also been employed to determine the strength of this resonance. Our simulations¹¹ show that, in the range of the debated values of the resonance strength, the mass of ²²Na ejected in novae depends on the lifetime (τ) of this key state approximately as $M_{\rm ejec} \propto \tau^{0.7}$. The lifetime of this state was previously measured to be $\tau = 10(3)$ fs⁴, consistent with the recently determined upper limit of 12 fs¹². Yet, this is at odds with the predictions of the nuclear Shell Model (SM), $\tau_{\rm SM} \approx 1$ fs.

Here we propose an experimental method for measuring short \approx fs lifetimes. This method has been applied to the key state in ²³Mg in order to obtain an independent measurement and, so, to reduce systematic uncertainties. As a result, the rate of the ²²Na(*p*, *y*)²³Mg reaction is now well known, and realistic estimates of the maximum detectability distance of novae in 1.275 MeV *y* rays are derived.

Results

The experiment was performed at GANIL, France. A ²⁴Mg beam was accelerated to 110.8(4) MeV and impinged on a ³He target of $\approx 2 \times 10^{17}$ atoms cm⁻² uniformly implanted up to a depth of 0.1 µm below the

e-mail: chloe.fougeres@gmail.com; francois.oliveira@ganil.fr

surface of a 5.0(5) µm gold foil, producing the ²³Mg nucleus by the ³He(²⁴Mg, ⁴He)²³Mg reaction. Both ²⁴Mg and ²³Mg nuclei were then stopped in a 20.0(5) µm gold foil. The two gold foils were mounted back-to-back. About 20 states were populated in ²³Mg with excitation energies between 0 and 8 MeV. The light particles produced by the reaction were identified and measured with the *VAMOS*++ magnetic spectrometer¹³ placed at 0° with respect to the beam direction, using two drift chambers, a plastic scintillator and two small drift chambers placed at the entrance of the spectrometer. This led to an unambiguous identification of the ⁴He particles. The ⁴He particles were detected up to an angle in the laboratory of 10.0(5)° relative to the beam axis. The excitation energy (E_x), the velocity at the time of the reaction ($\beta_{reac} = v_{reac}/c$), and the angle of the ²³Mg nuclei (θ_{recoil}) have been determined by measuring the momentum of the ⁴He ejectiles, with a resolution (FWHM) of ~500 keV, ~0.0005 and ~ 0.05°, respectively.

The excited states in ²³Mg have been also clearly identified via their y-ray transitions measured with the AGATA y-ray spectrometer^{14,15}. The present experimental method has taken advantage of the highest angle sensitivity of AGATA^{14,15}, shown in Fig. 1b. This y-ray spectrometer is based on y-ray tracking techniques in highly segmented HPGe detectors. It consisted of 31 crystals covering an angular range from 120° to 170°, with a total geometrical efficiency \approx 0.6π . With AGATA, it is possible to measure accurately the energy and the emission angle of the γ rays with a resolution of 4.4(1) keV at 7 MeV and $0.7(1)^{\circ}$, respectively. The γ rays were observed Doppler shifted since they were emitted from a ²³Mg nucleus moving at a certain velocity $\beta_{\text{ems}} = v_{\text{ems}}/c$. The measured energy E_v is a function of β_{ems} , of the center-of-mass energy $E_{\gamma,0}$ of the γ ray and of the angle θ between the γ ray and the ²³Mg emitting nucleus: $E_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma,0} \sqrt{1 - \beta_{\text{ems}}^2 / (1 - \beta_{\text{ems}} \cos(\theta))}$. With AGATA, this relativistic Doppler effect can be observed continuously as a function of the angle, as shown in Fig. 1a for the case of the $E_{v,0} = 4840.0^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$ keV (we use energy values from the present measurement throughout this article) y-ray transition emitted from the $E_x = 5292.0(6)$ keV excited state in ²³Mg moving with $\beta_{ems} \approx 0.075$. Conversely, if the center-of-mass energy of the γ ray is known, it is possible to determine the nucleus velocity at the time of emission β_{ems} from the measured E_{γ} and θ (see the "Methods" subsection "Determination of velocities"). Their precise measurement, enabled by the combination of both AGATA and *VAMOS*++, has allowed for the accurate determination of β_{ems} , on an event-by-event basis.

In the present work, both velocities β_{ems} and β_{reac} were measured simultaneously, on an event-by-event basis. The two velocities are not

identical since (i) the ²³Mg nucleus slows down in the target before being stopped (\approx 500 fs) and (ii) there is a time difference between the reaction and the γ -ray emission due to the finite lifetime of the state. Thus, the profile of the velocity differences, $\Delta\beta = \beta_{reac} - \beta_{ems}$, is a function of the lifetime of the state–a longer lifetime gives a larger value of $\Delta\beta$. The technique proposed here, for measuring femtosecond lifetimes, is based on the analysis of velocity-difference profiles.

The results obtained in this work are shown in Fig. 2 for three different excited states of ²³Mg. These measurements were made using an average beam intensity of 2×10^7 pps for a duration of -132 h. In Fig. 2a, β_{reac} is shown as a function of β_{ems} , and in (b), the yields of the corresponding y rays as a function of the velocity difference $\Delta\beta$. The overall shape of the $\Delta\beta$ measured profiles can be explained in a simple way. The γ rays are emitted following the exponential decay law, i.e. $N(t) = N_0 \times e^{-\Delta t/\tau}$, with τ being the lifetime of the state and Δt the time elapsed after the reaction. For short lifetimes ($\tau \leq 100$ fs), the deceleration acting on the ²³Mg ions is almost constant ($dE/dx \approx$ constant) and consequently $\Delta\beta \propto \Delta t$. Therefore, the right side tail of the curves in Fig. 2b would follow the exponential decay function $N(t) \propto e^{-\Delta\beta/\tau}$. This explains the asymmetric shape of the profile shown in blue, which corresponds to $\tau = 40$ fs. Moreover, the experiment has a resolution in $\Delta\beta$, i.e. 0.0032(1), which is measured by the width of the almost Gaussian profile observed for very short lifetimes, see the green profile for $\tau = 4$ fs in Fig. 2b. The overall shape of the measured $\Delta\beta$ distributions is the convolution of the exponential decay with a Gaussian function. The sensitivity of the method, governed by the statistics, can be better than the resolution of $\Delta\beta$. This makes the method sensitive to very short lifetimes, down to 0.8 fs according to simulations. From comparison of the data with Monte Carlo simulations, shown in Fig. 2b by the continuous lines (see also the Supplementary information), the best agreement is obtained with lifetimes of 4^{+1}_{-3} and 40^{+6}_{-7} fs for the states $E_x = 5292.0(6) \text{ keV}$ ($E_{y,0} = 4840.0^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$ keV) and $E_x = 3796.8(12) \text{ keV}$ ($E_{y,0} = 3344.8^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$ keV), respectively, which is in excellent agreement with their known values of $5(2)^{16}$ and 41(6) fs¹⁶. This shows that the present method is a powerful tool to determine lifetimes in the femtosecond range. With the same method, it is also possible to accurately measure the center-of-mass energy of the y-ray transitions. For the transition from the key state ($E_x = 7785.0(7)$ keV), we measured $E_{v,0} = 7333.0_{-0.2}^{+0.5}$ keV, in good agreement with the referenced value of $E_{\gamma,0} = 7333.2(11) \text{ keV}^{16}$.

The present method has many advantages. Since the excitation energy of the state is selected with *VAMOS++*, we can ignore any possible top-feeding contribution to the state, and, therefore, the measure is not affected by the lifetime of higher-lying states. Moreover, this method is independent of the reaction mechanism



Fig. 1 | **Identification of \gamma-ray transitions in** ²³**Mg. a** The energy of the measured γ rays is plotted as a function of the angle between the γ ray and the ²³Mg emitter. This matrix is conditioned with the detection of an α particle at 5.2 < E_x < 5.4 MeV in the *VAMOS*++ magnetic spectrometer. The γ -ray transition ($E_{\gamma,0} = 4840.0^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$ keV) from the $E_x = 5292.0(6)$ keV excited state in ²³Mg is clearly observed. Its energy is

Doppler shifted. The background observed here is mostly due to random coincidences between γ rays from the Compton background and α particles produced in fusion-evaporation reactions between the beam and ¹²C and ¹⁶O impurities deposited on the target. **b** Picture of the *AGATA* γ -ray spectrometer used to detect the γ rays emitted during the reaction.



Fig. 2 | **Angle-integrated velocity-difference profiles. a** The ²³Mg velocity at the time of reaction (β_{reac}) is shown against the velocity at the time of the γ -ray emission (β_{ems}), for three excited states. The line corresponds to the prompt γ -ray emission when $\beta_{ems} = \beta_{reac}$. The points observed on the left of the line ($\beta_{ems} < \beta_{reac}$) correspond to delayed γ -ray emissions. **b** The corresponding angle-integrated velocity-difference profiles for the three states compared with simulations (continuous



lines). It shows unambiguously that the key state (in red) has a lifetime $4 < \tau < 40$ fs. The red-shaded area corresponds to the simulations with lifetimes within 1σ uncertainty. The horizontal error bars correspond to the width of the bins, which are larger than the real experimental uncertainty, and the vertical error bars to the statistical uncertainty.

populating the states, i.e. direct transfer or compound-nucleus formation (24 Mg + 3 He \rightarrow 27 Si 3 \rightarrow 23 Mg + 4 He). Furthermore, the result does not depend on the angular distribution of the emitted γ ray and of the charged particles, nor on the angle-dependent detection efficiency. A single spectrum concentrates all the statistics of the experiment, which maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio. The statistical uncertainty on the lifetime of the key state is -50%. The main sources of systematic uncertainties are estimated to be 26% and the individual contributions are presented in Table 1.

The result obtained for the lifetime of the astrophysical state is $\tau = 11^{+7}_{-5}$ fs, including statistical and systematic uncertainties (see Fig. 2), confirming the measured value of Jenkins et al.4 $(\tau = 10(3)$ fs). The reduced magnetic dipole transition probability B(M1) was deduced from the measured lifetime assuming a negligible E2 electric quadrupole contribution: $B(M1) = 0.017^{+0.011}_{-0.008} \mu_N^2$. This value is among the lowest values measured for M1 transitions. To get insight from theory, we have performed shell-model calculations in the sd shell with the well-established phenomenological USDA and USDB^{17,18} interactions using the NushellX@MSU code¹⁹. These calculations confirm that the key state is characterized by a low *B*(*M*1) value of 0.14 μ_N^2 , assuming 7/2⁺ spin and parity assignment (see the discussion below) and optimum values of the effective proton and neutron g-factors. Although this value is almost a factor of 10 larger than the experimentally determined one, the difference is not far from the typical rms error on M1 transition probabilities established for the sd shell with these



Source of uncertainty	Uncertainty on τ in %
γ-ray energy resolution ^a	15
γ-ray absolute angle (1°) ^ь	12
γ-ray angular resolution ^a	12
Stopping powers ^c	10
Beam energy dispersion ^a	6.5
Implementation profile of ³ He ions ^c	6.0
γ -ray energy shift during runs ^d	3.5
Transverse spatial dispersion of the beam ^a	0.3
Total	26

^aMeasured during the experiment.

^bIncluding the uncertainty in the position of the target.

^cFrom SRIM³⁹.EVASIONS⁴⁰ simulations, considering 20% uncertainty in stopping powers tables. ^dFrom the energy calibration stability measured throughout the experiment. interactions^{11,20}. Therefore, the measured values of the lifetime are compatible with shell-model calculations.

The measured lifetime was used to determine the strength of the resonance: $\omega \gamma = \frac{2J_{23}_{Mg}+1}{(2J_{22}_{Na}+1)(2J_p+1)} \times \frac{\Gamma_{y}\Gamma_{p}}{\Gamma_{y}+\Gamma_{p}} = \omega BR_{p}(1 - BR_{p})\frac{\hbar}{\tau}$, with *J* and Γ being the spin and the partial widths of the key state. Actually, the spin of this state has also been a subject of debate^{4,21}, where three values have been proposed: J_{23}^{m} mg = $3/2^{+21}$, $5/2^{+21}$ or $7/2^{+4}$. We assume it to be $7/2^{+}$. This state is only 18(1.5) keV away from the known $5/2^{+}$ isobaric analog state of the ²³Al ground state. In the case of the $5/2^{+}$ assignment, the states would interfere, as predicted by the nuclear shell-model calculations¹¹, and such mixing has not been observed^{5,9,22,23}. Moreover, concerning the *γ*-ray decay pattern, shell-model calculations agree with a $7/2^{+}$ spin¹¹. The proton branching ratio, BR_p, was also measured in this work by detecting protons emitted from the ²³Mg unbound states with an annular silicon detector placed downstream of the target (see Supplementary information). The obtained value, BR_p = 0.65(8)%²³.

Combining all measured values into the new recommended values: BR_p = 0.66(7)% and $\tau = 10.2(26)$ fs, results in a consolidated resonance strength of $\omega \gamma = 0.24^{+0.11}_{-0.04}$ meV, which is compatible with the direct measurement¹⁰ ($\omega \gamma < 0.36$ meV) but not with the other two direct measurements. It should be pointed out that these direct measurements using radioactive targets are not in agreement with each other, and also that the obtained value is very low, close to the sensitivity limit of these direct experiments. The new value of the resonance strength and a Monte-Carlo approach²⁴ were used to deduce a new rate for the ²²Na(*p*, γ)²³Mg reaction (see Fig. 4 and Table 1 in Supplementary information). The reliably estimated experimental uncertainties of the present method allowed a more accurate determination of this rate. This new rate was found to be very reliable at maximum nova temperatures, with uncertainties reduced to 40% (10%) at *T* = 0.1 GK (0.5 GK) (see Supplementary information).

To quantitatively assess the impact of the new ²²Na(p, γ)²³Mg reaction rate obtained from this work on nova nucleosynthesis, a series of hydrodynamic simulations have been performed. Four physical magnitudes determine the strength of a nova outburst, and, in turn, the synthesis of ²²Na: the white dwarf mass M_{WD} (or radius R_{WD}), its initial luminosity $L_{WD,ini}$, the mass-accretion rate \dot{M} , and the metallicity of the accreted material. The influence of the white dwarf mass on the synthesis of ²²Na has been analyzed. Three different values for the white dwarf mass have been considered: 1.15 M_{\odot} , 1.25 M_{\odot} , and 1.35 M_{\odot} . In these simulations, the star hosting the nova outbursts is assumed to be an ONe white dwarf, with initial luminosity, $L_{WD,ini} = 10^{-2} L_{\odot}$, and

Table 2 Abundances of (Ne, Na) isotopes in the ejecta
obtained for different nova models calculated with the MESA
and SHIVA codes (see text for the parameters)

Model	115a	115b	125	135
HD code	MESA	SHIVA	SHIVA	SHIVA
$M_{\rm WD}~(M_\odot)$	1.15	1.15	1.25	1.35
R _{WD} (km)	4428	4334	3797	2258
Т _{реак} (10 ⁸ К)	2.12	2.27	2.48	3.13
$M_{\rm ejec}~(10^{-5}M_{\odot})$	4.63	2.46	1.90	0.46
X(²⁰ Ne)	1.4 × 10 ⁻¹	1.8 × 10 ⁻¹	1.8 × 10 ⁻¹	1.5 × 10⁻¹
X(²¹ Ne)	2.3×10⁻⁵	3.6×10⁻⁵	3.9×10⁻⁵	4.1×10 ⁻⁵
X(²² Ne)	1.9 × 10 ⁻³	1.3×10 ⁻³	6.4×10 ⁻⁴	3.3×10⁻⁵
X(²²Na)	3.1×10 ⁻⁴	3.2×10 ⁻⁴	3.7×10 ⁻⁴	9.1×10 ⁻⁴
X(²³ Na)	8.2×10 ⁻⁴	9.9×10 ⁻⁴	1.1 × 10 ⁻³	3.4×10 ⁻³

Bold entries highlight the abundance results of the radioisotope ²²Na.

accreting solar composition material²⁵ from the secondary star at a constant mass-accretion rate of $\dot{M} = 2 \times 10^{-10} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$. The accreted matter is assumed to mix with material from the outermost white dwarf layers²⁶. For consistency and completeness, the nova outbursts on 1.15 M_{\odot} white dwarfs have been computed with two different, one-dimensional stellar evolution codes: *MESA*^{27–32} and *SHIVA*^{33,34}.

At the early stages of the outburst, when the temperature at the base of the envelope reaches $T_{\text{base}} \sim 5 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$, the chain of reactions ${}^{20}\text{Ne}(p, \gamma){}^{21}\text{Na}(\beta^+){}^{21}\text{Ne}(p, \gamma){}^{22}\text{Na}$ powers a rapid rise in the ²²Na abundance. When the temperature reaches $T_{\text{base}} \sim 8 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$, 22 Na(*p*, *y*) 23 Mg becomes the main destruction channel. At $T_{\text{base}} \sim 10^8 \text{ K}$, $^{22}\text{Na}(p, \gamma)^{23}\text{Mg}$ becomes the most important reaction involved in the synthesis and destruction of ²²Na, and therefore, its abundance begins to decrease. When $T_{\text{base}} \sim 2 \times 10^8 \text{ K}$, ²¹Na(p, γ)²²Mg becomes faster than ²¹Na(β^+)²¹Ne, such that ${}^{20}\text{Ne}(p, \gamma){}^{21}\text{Na}(p, \gamma){}^{22}\text{Mg}(\beta^{+}){}^{22}\text{Na}$ takes over as the dominant path, favoring the synthesis of ²²Na, which achieves a second maximum after the temperature peak T_{peak} (see Table 2). This pattern continues up to ${}^{22}Na(p, \gamma){}^{23}Mg$ becomes again the most relevant reaction when the temperature drops. During the subsequent and final expansion and ejection stages, as the temperature drops dramatically, the evolution of ²²Na is fully governed by ²²Na(β^+)²²Ne. The most relevant results obtained in these simulations are summarized in Table 2. The larger peak temperatures achieved during nova outbursts on more massive white dwarfs yield larger mean, mass-averaged abundances of ²²Na in the ejecta. However, it is worth noting that the total mass of ²²Na ejected in a nova outburst decreases with the white dwarf mass $(8 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot})$, for Model 115b, $7 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}$, for Model 125, and $4.2 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}$, for Model 135), since more massive white dwarfs accrete and eject smaller amounts of mass, see M_{ejec} in Table 2.

The new and reliable reaction rate obtained in this work also opens the door to further advanced sensitivity studies on astrophysical parameters, performed here for the first time. The flux has been obtained in a series of nova simulations for a large range of mass-accretion rates \dot{M} and white dwarf luminosities $L_{\rm WD,ini}$. For example, Fig. 3 shows the expected flux of ²²Na for a nova event located 1 kpc from the Earth, with $M_{\rm WD}$ = 1.2 M_{\odot} and accreting solar composition material. This figure shows that the amount of ²²Na does depend on the two parameters, varying smoothly by up to a factor of 3. The change is abrupt only on the lower right side of the figure. In this region, the ²²Na/²²Mg and ²²Na/²¹Ne ratios around the peak temperatures have the same values as in the other regions, which means that the production pathways are unchanged. On the contrary, the ²³Mg/²²Na ratio is found



Fig. 3 | Prediction of the 1.275 MeV γ -ray flux emitted from a nova. The ²²Na γ -ray emission flux is shown as a function of the white dwarf initial luminosity and the mass-accretion rate. This is calculated from the ²²Na mass-averaged abundance within the ejected shells. Computations were done for a 1.2 M_{\odot} ONe white dwarf located 1 kpc from the Earth, using the *MESA* code²⁷⁻³².

4 times larger, indicating that the destruction by the ${}^{22}Na(p, \gamma){}^{23}Mg$ reaction is more intense in this region. This graph provides a link between the unknown astrophysical parameters of the novae and the predicted ${}^{22}Na$ flux. In the future, precise measurements of the ${}^{22}Na \gamma$ -ray flux will constrain these astrophysical parameters.

New instruments for y-ray astronomy are under study or under construction: ESA's enhanced e-ASTROGAM³⁵ and NASA's COmpton Spectrometer and Imager, COSI³⁶. Both are, in principle, capable of detecting the 1.275 MeV γ rays released in the decay of ²²Na produced in nova outbursts, since they are being designed with a higher efficiency than the past missions, INTEGRAL-SPI³⁷ and COMPTEL-CGRO³⁸. The very high precision in the determination of the ${}^{22}Na(p, y){}^{23}Mg$ rate reported in this work permits deriving, for the first time, realistic estimates of the maximum detectability distance of novae in γ rays, through the 1.275 MeV line. With the expected sensitivities of e-ASTROGRAM $(3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and COSI $(1.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ at 1 MeV, and assuming model 125 (Table 2), the new maximum detectability distances of 2.7 and 4.0 kpc, respectively, have been derived, on which the reaction rate uncertainty obtained here leads to an uncertainty of 18%. These new estimates suggest a large chance for the possible detection of the $^{22}Na \gamma$ rays produced in ONe novae by the next generation of space-borne γ -ray observatories.

Methods

Determination of velocities

The velocity β_{reac} of the ²³Mg nuclei at the reaction time was derived from the momentum of the α particles measured with the *VAMOS++* magnetic spectrometer and from the kinematics laws of energy and momentum conservation in the case of the ³He(²⁴Mg, α)²³Mg two-body reaction

 $\beta_{\rm reac} = \sqrt{1 - \gamma_{\rm reac}^{-2}}$

with

$$\gamma_{\text{reac}} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\frac{m_{a}^{2}\beta_{\alpha}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{\alpha}^{2}} + \frac{m_{\text{beam}}^{2}\beta_{\text{beam}}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{\text{beam}}^{2}} - 2\cos(\theta_{\alpha})m_{\text{beam}}m_{\alpha}\sqrt{\gamma_{\alpha}^{2} - 1}\sqrt{\gamma_{\text{beam}}^{2} - 1}}{m_{\text{recoil}}^{2}}}$$
(2)

(1)

where m_{α} , m_{beam} and m_{recoil} are the rest-mass energies of the α , ²⁴Mg, and ²³Mg nuclei, θ_{α} the angle between the α particle and the beam axis. The parameter γ_{α} was measured with *VAMOS*++ and corrected for the energy losses in the target using the *SRIM* code³⁹. The parameter γ_{beam} was measured prior to the experiment, γ_{reac} was then determined from the measured γ_{α} and a γ -ray transition was detected in coincidence.

The velocity β_{ems} of the ²³Mg nuclei at the γ -ray emission time was derived from the measured γ rays. Since the ²³Mg nuclei are moving at the time of the γ -ray emission, the γ -ray energy is Doppler shifted, with the measured energies E_{γ} shifted from the center-of-mass energy $E_{\gamma,0}$ according to

$$E_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma,0} \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{\text{ems}}^2}}{1 - \beta_{\text{ems}} \cos(\theta)}$$
(3)

It follows that

$$\beta_{\rm ems} = \frac{R^2 \cos(\theta) + \sqrt{1 + R^2 \cos^2(\theta) - R^2}}{R^2 \cos^2(\theta) + 1}$$
(4)

with $R = E_{\gamma}/E_{\gamma,0}$. Here, R < 1 since the *AGATA* detector was located upstream of the target. The angle θ between the γ ray and the ²³Mg recoil nucleus was derived from the measured (θ , ϕ) of the γ ray and the α particle using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\theta) &= \sin(\theta_{\gamma}) \sin(\theta_{\text{recoil}}) [\cos(\phi_{\gamma}) \cos(\phi_{\text{recoil}}) \\ &+ \sin(\phi_{\gamma}) \sin(\phi_{\text{recoil}})] + \cos(\theta_{\gamma}) \cos(\theta_{\text{recoil}}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_{\text{recoil}} = \arccos\left(\frac{m_{\text{beam}}\sqrt{\gamma_{\text{beam}}^2 - 1} - m_{\alpha}\cos(\theta_{\alpha})\sqrt{\gamma_{\alpha}^2 - 1}}{m_{\text{recoil}}\sqrt{\gamma_{\text{recoil}}^2 - 1}}\right) \text{ and } \phi_{\text{recoil}} = \pi + \phi_{\alpha}$$

Fit of velocity-difference profiles

Velocity-difference profiles were numerically simulated with a Monte-Carlo approach developed in the *EVASIONS* C++/ROOT code⁴⁰. Simulated velocity-difference profiles were normalized to the measured ones via the profile integrals. The goodness of fit between experimental and simulated profiles was quantified with the Pearson χ^2 tests where the lifetime and the γ -ray center-of-mass energy were taken as free parameters.

Branching ratios

The $E_x = 7785.0(7)$ keV astrophysical state can decay via proton or γ -ray emission. Therefore, after applying a selection on E_x in ²³Mg by using the measured α particles, the number of detected protons and γ rays allowed us to determine the proton and γ -ray branching ratios. These values were corrected for detection efficiencies. On the one hand, the geometrical efficiency of the silicon detector was estimated by numerical simulations. The angular distribution was considered isotropic for the emitted $\ell = 0$ protons. On the other hand, the *AGATA* efficiency was measured at low energies with a radioactive ¹⁵²Eu source, and simulated with the *AGATA Geant4* code library^{41,42} to determine the efficiency at high energies after scaling the simulations to the measured efficiencies at low energies.

Determination of the ²²Na flux in novae

The amount of ²²Na ejected in a nova outburst was obtained with the simulation codes $MESA^{27-31}$ and $SHIVA^{33,34}$ from its abundance in the ejected layers. *SHIVA* and *MESA* use two basic criteria for the ejection of a specific layer: if its velocity achieves the escape velocity (-1000 km s⁻¹), or if its luminosity becomes higher than the Eddington

limit (when the force exerted by radiation exceeds the gravitational pull) and hence, the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium no longer holds.

Data availability

The data used in this study originate from the E710 GANIL dataset⁴³. The ownership of data generated by the *AGATA* γ -ray spectrometer resides with the *AGATA* collaboration as detailed in the *AGATA* Data Policy⁴⁴. The source data of the figures⁴⁵ are provided in the Supplementary information/Source Data file.

Code availability

The *EVASIONS* code⁴⁰, used in this study to analyze the experimental data, is available at https://github.com/CFougeres/EVASIONScode. The code is briefly described in Supplementary information. Other codes employed here, i.e. *SRIM*³⁹, *NushellX*@*MSU*¹⁹, *MESA*²⁷⁻³¹ and *RatesMC*²⁴, are freely available.

References

- José, J., Coc, A. & Hernanz, M. Nuclear uncertainties in the NeNa–MgAl cycles and production of ²²Na and ²⁶Al during Nova outbursts. Astrophys. J. 520, 347–360 (1999).
- Iliadis, C., Champagne, A., José, J., Starrfield, S. & Tupper, P. The effects of thermonuclear reaction-rate variations on nova nucleosynthesis: a sensitivity study. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 142, 105–137 (2002).
- Hix, W. R., Smith, M. S., Starrfield, S., Mezzacappa, A. & Smith, D. L. Impact of nuclear reaction rate uncertainties on Nova models. *Nucl. Phys. A* **718**, 620–622 (2003).
- 4. Jenkins, D. G. et al. Reevaluation of the 22 Na(p, γ) reaction rate: implications for the detection of 22 Na gamma rays from Novae. *Phy. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 031101 (2004).
- 5. Sallaska, A. L. et al. Direct measurements of 22 Na(p, γ) 23 Mg resonances and consequences for 22 Na production in classical Novae. *Phy. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 152501 (2010).
- Clayton, D. D. & Hoyle, F. Gamma-ray lines from novae. Astrophys. J. 187, L101 (1974).
- Gómez-Gomar, J., Hernanz, M., José, J. & Isern, J. Gamma-ray emission from individual classical novae. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 296, 913–920 (1998).
- Amari, S. et al. Presolar grains from novae. Astrophys. J. 551, 1065–1072 (2001).
- Stegmüller, F. et al. ²²Na(p,γ)²³Mg resonant reaction at low energies. Nucl. Phys. A 601, 168–180 (1996).
- Seuthe, S. et al. Resonances in the ²²Na(p,γ)²³Mg reactions. *Nucl. Phys. A* **514**, 471–502 (1990).
- Fougères, C., de Oliveira Santos, F., Smirnova, N. A. & Michelagnoli, C. Understanding the cosmic abundance of ²²Na: lifetime measurements in ²³Mg. *EPJ Web Conf.* **279**, 09001 (2023).
- Kirsebom, O. S. et al. Measurement of lifetimes in ²³Mg. *Phy. Rev. C* 93, 025802 (2016).
- Rejmund, M. et al. Performance of the improved larger acceptance spectrometer: Vamos++. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 646, 184–191 (2011).
- Akkoyun, S. et al. AGATA—Advanced GAmma tracking array. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 668, 26–58 (2012).
- Clément, E. et al. Conceptual design of the AGATA 1π array at GANIL. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 855, 1–12 (2017).
- Shamsuzzoha Basunia, M. & Chakraborty, A. Nuclear data sheets for A = 23. Nucl. Data Sheets 171, 1–252 (2021).
- Brown, B. A. & Richter, W. A. New "USD" Hamiltonians for the sd shell. *Phys. Rev. C* 74, 034315 (2006).
- Ormand, W. E. & Brown, B. A. Empirical isospin-nonconserving hamiltonians for shell-model calculations. *Nucl. Phys. A* 491, 1–23 (1989).

Article

- Brown, B. A. & Rae, W. D. M. The shell-model code NuShellX@MSU. Nucl. Data Sheets 120, 115–118 (2014).
- 20. Richter, W. A., Mkhize, S. & Brown, B. A. *sd*-shell observables for the usda and usdb hamiltonians. *Phys. Rev. C* **78**, 064302 (2008).
- Kwag, M. S. et al. Spin assignments for ²³Mg levels and the astrophysical ²²Na(p,y)²³Mg reaction. *Eur. Phys. J. A* 56, 108 (2020).
- 22. Saastamoinen, A. et al. Experimental study of β -delayed proton decay of ²³Al for nucleosynthesis in novae. *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 045808 (2011).
- Friedman, M. et al. Low-energy ²³Alβ-delayed proton decay and ²²Na destruction in novae. *Phys. Rev. C* **101**, 052802 (2020).
- Longland, R. et al. Charged-particle thermonuclear reaction rates: I. Monte Carlo method and statistical distributions. *Nucl. Phys. A* 841, 1–30 (2010).
- Lodders, K., Palme, H. & Gail, H. P. Abundances of the elements in the solar system. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Trümper, J.E. 4B, 712 (2009).
- Ritossa, C., Garcia-Berro, E. & Iben, J. I. On the evolution of stars that form electron-degenerate cores processed by carbon burning. II. Isotope abundances and thermal pulses in a 10M_{sun} model with an ONe Core and Applications to long-period variables, classical novae, and accretion-induced collapse. *Astrophys. J.* 460, 489 (1996).
- 27. Paxton, B. et al. Modules for experiments in stellar astrophysics (MESA). Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **192**, 3 (2011).
- Paxton, B. et al. Modules for experiments in stellar astrophysics (MESA): planets, oscillations, rotation, and massive stars. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 208, 4 (2013).
- Paxton, B. et al. Modules for experiments in stellar astrophysics (MESA): binaries, pulsations, and explosions. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 220, 15 (2015).
- Paxton, B. et al. Modules for experiments in stellar astrophysics (MESA): convective boundaries, element diffusion, and massive star explosions. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 234, 34 (2018).
- Paxton, B. et al. Modules for experiments in stellar astrophysics (MESA): pulsating variable stars, rotation, convective boundaries, and energy conservation. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 243, 10 (2019).
- Denissenkov, P. et al. MESA and NuGrid simulations of classical novae: CO and ONe nova nucleosynthesis. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 442, 2058–2074 (2014).
- José, J. & Hernanz, M. Nucleosynthesis in classical novae: CO versus ONe white dwarfs. Astrophys. J. 494, 680–690 (1998).
- José, J. Stellar explosions: hydrodynamics and nucleosynthesis. CRC, Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA 10, b19165 (2016).
- De Angelis, A. et al. Science with e-ASTROGAM. A space mission for MeV–GeV gamma-ray astrophysics. J. High Energy Astrophys. 19, 1–106 (2018).
- Tomsick, J. et al. The Compton spectrometer and imager. *Bull. AAS* 51, 7 (2020).
- Vedrenne, G. et al. Spi: The spectrometer aboard integral. Astron. Astrophys. 411, L63-L70 (2003).
- Schoenfelder, V. et al. Instrument description and performance of the imaging gamma-ray telescope COMPTEL aboard the Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 86, 657 (1993).
- Ziegler, J. F., Biersack, J. P. & Ziegler, M. D. SRIM—The Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter 6th edn (SRIM Co., USA, 2013).
- Fougères, C. et al. Search for ²²Na in novae supported by a novel method for measuring femtosecond nuclear lifetimes https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.8044973 (2023).
- Labiche, M. et al. AGATA Geant4 simulations Library http://npg.dl. ac.uk/svn/agata/ (2022).
- Farnea, E. et al. Conceptual design and Monte Carlo simulations of the AGATA array. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A* 621, 331–343 (2010).

- 43. Michelagnoli, C. et al. E710 GANIL dataset. https://u.ganil-spiral2. eu/10.26143/GANIL-2016-E710.html (2016).
- 44. The AGATA collaboration. *AGATA Data Policy* https://www.agata. org/acc/data_policy (2023).
- Fougères, C. et al. Search for ²²Na in novae supported by a novel method for measuring femtosecond nuclear lifetimes. Figshare. Dataset. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22666537 (2023).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the GANIL accelerator staff for their beam delivery and support as well as the *AGATA* collaboration. This work was supported by the Normandie Region, the European project ChETEC-INFRA (101008324), ASTRANUCAP IRN, NuAG IRP, SPIRAL2-CZ, and the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work was also partially supported by the MINECO grants PID2020-117252GB-I00, PID2020-118265GB-C41 and PID2020-118265GB-C44, and by the AGAUR/Generalitat de Catalunya grant SGR-386/2021. V.G. would like to thank São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) (grant 2016/02863-4) and CNPq (grant 302969/2013-6). Y.H.K. would like to acknowledge the support of the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R031-D1). M.S. would like to acknowledge that this work has been partially supported by the OASIS project no. ANR-17-CE31-0026.

Author contributions

F.O.S. and C.M. were PIs of the experiment during which F.O.S., C.M., E.C., Y.H.K., A.L., V. Guimarães, D. Bemmerer, F.B., I.C., M.C., C.D., J.D., Z.F., S.L., J.L., A.L.-M., J.M., D.R., N.R., A.M.S.-B, M. Stanoiu, and P.U. participated in person. Targets making was performed by R.B. C.F., C.M., E.C., A.L., D. Barrientos, G.B., A.J.B., A.B., B.C., C.D.-P., J.D., J.E., V. González, A.G, J.G., H.H., A.J., A. Kaskas, A. Korichi, S.M.L., S.L., H.L., J.L., A.L.-M., R.M., D.M., B.M., D.R.N., J.N., Zs.P., A.P., B.Q., D.R., P.R., K.R., F.S., M.D.S., E.S., M. Senyiĝ, M. Siciliano, D.S., Ch.T., J.J.V.-D., and M.Z. have been involved to the AGATA collaboration. Experimental analysis and simulations were undertaken by C.F. and F.O.S. Experimental results were in particular discussed by C.F., F.O.S., E.C., A.L., and A.N. Theoretical calculations on nuclear structure were done by C.F., N.S., and F.O.S. Astrophysical calculations and analysis were performed by C.F., J.J. and F.O.S. F.O.S., C.F., and J.J. were involved in the primary manuscript. All co-authors discussed the final results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40121-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Chloé. Fougères or François de Oliveira Santos.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2023

Chloé Fougères ^{1,2} , François de Oliveira Santos ¹ , Jordi José ^{3,4}, Caterina Michelagnoli ^{1,5}, Emmanuel Clément¹, Yung Hee Kim ^{1,6}, Antoine Lemasson ¹, Valdir Guimarães ⁷, Diego Barrientos ⁸, Daniel Bemmerer ⁹, Giovanna Benzoni¹⁰, Andrew J. Boston¹¹, Roman Böttger⁹, Florent Boulay¹, Angela Bracco¹⁰, Igor Čeliković ¹², Bo Cederwall ¹³, Michał Ciemala ¹⁴, Clément Delafosse ¹⁵, César Domingo-Pardo¹⁶, Jérémie Dudouet ¹⁷, Jürgen Eberth¹⁸, Zsolt Fülöp ¹⁹, Vicente González ²⁰, Andrea Gottardo ²¹, Johan Goupil¹, Herbert Hess¹⁸, Andrea Jungclaus²², Ayşe Kaşkaş ²³, Amel Korichi¹⁵, Silvia M. Lenzi ^{24,25}, Silvia Leoni ^{10,26}, Hongjie Li¹, Joa Ljungvall¹⁵, Araceli Lopez-Martens ¹⁵, Roberto Menegazzo ²⁴, Daniele Mengoni^{24,25}, Benedicte Million ¹⁰, Jaromír Mrázek ²⁷, Daniel R. Napol²¹, Alahari Navin¹, Johan Nyberg ²⁸, Zsolt Podolyák ²⁹, Alberto Pullia ^{10,26}, Begoña Quintana ³⁰, Damien Ralet ^{1,15}, Nadine Redon¹⁷, Peter Reiter ¹⁸, Kseniia Rezynkina^{24,31}, Frédéric Saillant¹, Marie-Delphine Salsac³², Angel M. Sánchez-Benítez³³, Enrique Sanchis ²⁰, Menekşe Şenyiğit ²³, Marco Siciliano ^{2,32}, Nadezda A. Smirnova³⁴, Dorottya Sohler ¹⁹, Mihai Stanoiu ³⁵, Christophe Theisen ³², Jose J. Valiente-Dobón²¹, Predrag Ujić¹² & Magdalena Zielińska³²

¹Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL), CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France. ²Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA. ³Departament de Física, EEBE, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain. ⁴Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain. ⁵Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France. ⁶Center for Exotic Nuclear Studies, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon, Republic of Korea. ⁷Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, CEP 05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil. ⁸CERN, Geneva, Switzerland. ⁹Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Materials Research, Dresden, Germany.¹⁰Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy.¹¹Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ¹²Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia. ¹³Department of Physics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁴Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-31342 Kraków, Poland. ¹⁵Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France, ¹⁶Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC-Universidad de Valencia. Valencia, Spain. ¹⁷Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IP2I Lyon, UMR 5822, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France. ¹⁸Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, Köln, Germany. ¹⁹Institute for Nuclear Research (ATOMKI), Debrecen, Hungary. ²⁰Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica, Universitat de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.²¹Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro INFN, Legnaro, Italy.²²Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Madrid, Spain. ²³Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey. ²⁴Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy. ²⁵Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Universitá degli Studi di Padova, Padova, Italy. ²⁶Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy. ²⁷Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Řež, Czech Republic.²⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ²⁹Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK. ³⁰Laboratorio de Radiaciones Ionizantes, Departamento de Física Fundamental, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain. ³¹Institut pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Strasbourg, France. ³²Irfu, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ³³Department of Integrated Sciences, Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Fisica, Matematicas y Computacion (CEAFMC), University of Huelva, Huelva, Spain. ³⁴Université de Bordeaux, CNRS/IN2P3, LP2IB, Gradignan, France. ³⁵Horia Hulubei National Institute for R&D in Physics and Nuclear Engineering, IFIN-HH Bucharest, Măgurele, Romania. 🖂 e-mail: chloe.fougeres@gmail.com; francois.oliveira@ganil.fr