

## Dynamics, Prospects, and Trends in Climate Change Litigation Making Climate Change Emergency a Priority in France

Marta Torre-Schaub

### ▶ To cite this version:

Marta Torre-Schaub. Dynamics, Prospects, and Trends in Climate Change Litigation Making Climate Change Emergency a Priority in France. German Law Journal, 2021, 22 (8), pp.1445-1458. 10.1017/glj.2021.86. hal-03910085

### HAL Id: hal-03910085 https://hal.science/hal-03910085v1

Submitted on 8 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### **Articles**

### Dynamics, Prospects, and Trends in Climate Change Litigation Making Climate Change Emergency a Priority in France

#### Marta Torre-Schaub<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>French National Centre for Scientific Research and the Institute of Legal and Philosophical Sciences, University of Paris 1, Paris, France Corresponding Author Email: <a href="mailto:schaub@univ-paris1.fr">schaub@univ-paris1.fr</a>

#### **Abstract**

This study examines how the climate litigation approach uilds pathways to face climate emergency. In light of recent jurisdictions, de elopments, this article underlines the links between legislation, litigation, at public policies to trace ways, progress and obstacles to face it. Those emergest dynamics contribute to build a lasting and sustainable climate change legal regine. Intertwining the different climate disputes in the world and the progress made through the elaboration of different climate laws allow to have a panor mic visibility on this new mode of climate governance which appears in filigree to lay all over the world and especially in France.

#### **Keywords**

Climate Change Litigation on nate emergency; climate justice; Climate change Law; Climate Governance (Sustainability; Green Transition; Law Carbon; Net zero carbon; Climate Liability: Ecological prejudice

Senior Professor Researcher at the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS) specializing in environmental law and climate change at the University of Paris I. She teaches environmental law at the University of Paris 1 and at Sciences Po Paris. Additionally, she is the Director of Climalex.

Numerous climate trials have emerged in the world since the 2000s and have multiplied, including in Europe since 2015. They bear witness to a trend towards polycentric climate governance which is no longer limited to the framework of UN negotiations. In this evolving context, the courts cannot be an exception to this expansion of forums for discussion and climate governance. This form of approaching the fight against climate change, which is more collaborative—because it involves new alliances between actors (NGOs, citizens, local communities)—nevertheless shows a "pathological" aspect of climate law: Either its absence, its inadequacy, or, in general, its maladjustment to the climate emergency.<sup>2</sup> In order to fill these voids or to to growing demands from civil society, a paradigm shift is occurring through the ourts in an attempt to crystallize a right of access to climate justice.<sup>3</sup>

Several possible definitions of climate disputes coexist. The by is that which includes any remedy in which its object, de facto or de jure, limate change. Here we will retain a more restricted definition whereby climate change is the subject imate litigation is multiof direct appeal or is used as a central argument.4 While

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Elinor Ostrom, Beyond Markets and States: Polycentric Go Complex Economic Systems, 100 THE AMERICAN ECONOMIC REVIEW 641 (2010) (proposing to find "co ation" and "consensus" in the management ena, 'Incantatory' Governance: Global Climate of common resources); Stefan Aykut, Jean Foyer & Eduard M Politics' Performative Turn and Its Wider Significance for G bal Politics, 58 INT'L Pol. 519-40 (2020) (analyzing the issue of climate governance in tern failure in UN negotiations due to the lack of effective civil society). See also Harro Van Asselt & Fariborz presence of interest representatives from mina Zelli, International Governance: Polycentric Go ming by and Beyond the UNFCCC, in GOVERNING CLIMATE dan et al. eds., 2018); Ran Hirschl, The Judicialization of CHANGE: POLYCENTRICITY IN ACTION? 29, 29 Politics, in The Oxford Handbook of Pol NCE, 253, 253 (Robert E. Goodin ed., 2008); Stefan C. Aykut & Lucile Maertens, The Climatization litics: Introduction to the Special Issue, 58 INT'L POL. 501, 501 (2021); STEFAN AYKUT & AMY DAHAN LE CLIMAT? VINGT ANS DE NÉGOCIATIONS INTERNATIONALES 129-66 (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, Ch natique, quand la société civile multiplie les actions en justice [Climate Change, When Civil ociety—steps Up Legal Action], THE CONVERSATION, March 22, 2017, https://theconvers changement-climatique-quand-la-societe-civile-multiplie-les-actions-en-O & BLANCHE LORMETEAU, LES DYNAMIQUES DU CONTENTIEUX CLIMATIQUE. USAGES ET justice-74191; Lu MOBILISATIO R LA CAUSE CLIMATIQUE (Marta Torre-Schaub ed., 2019), http://www.gip-recherche-S DI justice.fr/w oads/2020/01/17.05-RF-contentieux-climatiques.pdf; Marta TORRE-SCHAUB, JUSTICE CLIMA que: Procès et actions (CNRS ed. 2020); Marta Torre-Schaub, Les dynamiques du contentieux SES ET MOBILISATIONS DU DROIT (Mare & Martin eds., 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> JACQUELINE PEEL & HARI M. OSOFSKY, CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION (2015); Mary Robinson Foundation for Climate Justice. Principles of Climate Justice. https://www.mrfcj.org/wpcontent/uploads/2015/09/Principles-of-Climate-Justice.pdf; Marta Torre-Schaub, Justice Climatique: PROCÈS ET ACTIONS (CNRS ed. 2020); Marta Torre-Schaub, Justice climatique, nouvelles tendances, nouvelles opportunité, IDDRI (June 30, 2021), https://www.iddri.org/fr/publications-et-evenements/billet-deblog/justice-climatique-nouvelles-tendances-nouvelles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> United Nations Environment Program, The Status of Climate Change Litigation: A Global Review (2017); David Markell & J.B. Ruhl, An Empirical Assessment of Climate Change in the Courts: A New Jurisprudence or Business as Usual?, 64 FLA. L. REV. 15 (2012); Elizabeth Fisher, Climate Change Litigation, Obsession and Expertise: Reflecting on the Scholarly Response to Massachusetts v. EPA, 35 L. & POL'Y 236 (2013); IVANO

faceted and remedies can be sought against the climate policies of States or against companies, the present study will focus in particular on claims relating to the demand for climate responsibilities from the public administration and the recognition of more effective climate change laws and climate policies. This article seeks to show the role of courts and climate litigation in advancing and promoting the definition of a legal climate regime. By looking at recent developments of climate litigation in France, we will explore them through both specific French Law and a comparative analysis with other climate justice developments around the world.<sup>5</sup>

The recent legislative and policy developments in France are a very good the interrelationship between the evolution of case law and the progre climate change legal regime. Our main purpose in studying French cas that the recent developments are a good example for shedding emergent dynamics between law, policy making, and legal actions broad civil society. Analyzing French case law enables us to underline the ba important legislative courses of action towards the prorecognition of a climate emergency. The two main cases examined in this a icle reflect this interplay and the potential positive synergy between case law and tion. This new scenario in France could be the beginning of a polycentric climate governance. roach

It is useful to situate these case law developments in a global context. In recent months, "climate emergency" declarations have been multiplying in different States<sup>6</sup> and cities<sup>7</sup> as well at the European Up 3.8. France is no exception and the French National Assembly has voted to deckee a state of climate and ecological<sup>9</sup> emergency

ALOGNA, CHRISTINE BAKKER & JEAN-PIERL GAUG. CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION: GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES 1, 1–30 (Ivano Alogna, Christine Bakker & Jean-Larre Cluci eds., 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, Climat Change Litigation in France, in CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION: GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES 124 (Ivano Alog a ed. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For an evolving overal w of statements identified, see *Climate Emergency Declarations*, CEDAMIA, <a href="https://www.cedamia.org.ulobal/">https://www.cedamia.org.ulobal/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Anne-Francoise light, Copenhague, les maires de 94 villes déclarent l'urgence climatique, LE MONDE (Oct. 10, 2019). See also Lafayette, Colo., CODE OF ORDINANCES ch. 43, art. IV (2017); Exeter, Del., Right to a Healthy Climete Ordinance, (2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> EUR. PA. 20 (COP 25) (2019).

<sup>9</sup> Proposition de Résolution déclarant l'état d'urgence climatique et écologique [Motion for a resolution declaring a climate and ecological state of emergency] Assemblée Nationale [National Assembly], 1943, May 14, 2019 (Fr.); Marta Torre-Schaub & B. Lormeteau, Les contentieux climatiques en France [Climate disputes in France] (Dossier special) Environnement, Energie, Infrastructures (2019); Marta Torre-Schaub, Les procès climatiques gagnent la France: quatre initiatives à suivre de près [Climate Trials are Winning Over France: Four Initiatives to Follow Closely], THE CONVERSATION, Jan. 10,  $\underline{https://theconversation.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-initiatives-a-suivre-de-pression.com/les-proces-climatiques-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-quatre-gagnent-la-france-gagnent-la-f$ 109543; R. Radiguet, Objectif de réduction des émissions de gaz . . . à effet normatif? [Objective of Reducing Gas Emissions . . . with a Normative Effect?], LA SEMAINE JURIDIQUE ADMINISTRATIONS ET COLLECTIVITÉS TERRITORIALES, Dec. 21, 2020, at 28-33.

following a motion for a resolution. Likewise, a climate resilience bill has just been published on August 22 to deal with the climate emergency. At the same time, the opportunity to include the "climate priority" in the French Constitution was missed. The fact remains, however, that several new climatic remedies are sketching out interesting avenues. The purpose of this article is thus to show different pathways, methods, and conceptual solutions taken in France and Europe to underline the importance of fighting against climate change in the courts.<sup>10</sup>

The year 2021 marked a milestone for climate justice around the world. With twenty cases resolved during the year and more than 150 recent app phenomenon—which was still in the minority until 2015—saw its number What about in France? The latest legislative developments show that t has taken on an unexpected but deserved scale. After the Citizen Climate and its 149 proposals, the announcement made by Pr ▲Macron of an "unfiltered" resumption of these proposals in a law was foll billsclimate and resilience, the other on constitutional reform. a large part of environmentalist doctrine and for many environmental ad these bills were far from sufficient and did not take up in their entirety, of ι the literality the proposals of the Convention, it must be recognized that of the climate emergency westi has been taken seriously by government and lav o say the least. The litigation activity, meanwhile, does not have to be ashamed either, with two major climate cases in progress<sup>11</sup>. These developments, both legislative and judicial, clearly show the great dynamism that is being deployed in Fr around the climate issue12. However, can we conclude that these two dynamics ave antributed to improving the fight against global warming and facing the clim ergency? If so, in what way?

Some recent legal development illustrate as well a tendency and progress in this direction. On one hand, "climate emergency" has nourished, even indirectly, new judicial developments in climate litigation. We think here at the *Affaire de Grande Synthe* before the Adamstrative High Court (Conseil d'Etat) that we will analyze and develop further in these pages<sup>13</sup>. On the other hand, some legal concepts, already

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Mart Tort - Shau & B. Lormeteau, Aspects juridiques du changement climatique: de la gouvernance du climat à q justification justification (Legal Aspects of Climate Change: From Climate Governance to Climate Justice], 39 L. SEMAINE JURIDIQUE 1674, pt. 1–2 (2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Consell at [CE], 6e-5e ch., Jan. 7, 2021, 427301 [hereinafter *Grande-Synthe*]; **Tribunaux administratifs** [TA] Paris, Feb. 3, 2021, 1904967, 1904968, 1904972, 1904976/4-1 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, Les contentieux climatiques. Du passé vers l'avenir (comments on the two French climate cases Grande Synthe and Affaire du siècle) REVUE FRANÇAISE DE DROIT ADMINISTRATIF (2021) (upcoming).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> CE, 6e-5e ch., Jan. 7, 2021, 427301; C. Huglo & T. Bégel, *Le recours de la commune de Grande-Synthe et de son maire contre l'insuffisance des actions mises en oeuvre par l'Etat pour lutter contre le changement climatique* [The Appeal of the Municipality of Grande-Synthe and Its Mayor Against The Insufficiency of The Actions Implemented By The State To Fight Against Climate Change], 5 Environnement, Energie, Infrastructures 38 (Apr. 2019); R. Radiguet, *supra* note 9; B. Parence & J. Rochfeld, *Tsunami juridique au Conseil d'Etat: Une première décision « climatique » historique* [Legal Tsunami At The Council of State: A First

present in the French legal system, but initially thought for other matters—as, for example, ecological prejudice and civil liability—have recently been successfully used to fight climate emergency before the courts. In this group, the Affaire du Siècle<sup>14</sup> is the most advanced example of this trend. This case law will also be presented and further analyzed in this article.

It is useful also to briefly recall some French contextual elements related to climate change recent developments.<sup>15</sup> After the publication of the annual report issued by the High Council for the Climate in June 2019—which critically underlined the act that France was falling far short of its ambitions in terms of greenhouse, g reduction—it became apparent that the goal of carbon neutrality, although by the government since 2018, was far from becoming a political at even less so from being reflected in a legally binding text. Yet the Energy—after nearly nine months of tough negotiations—was pr on November 9, 2019.16 Whilst it was initially designed as a "small law," v the months it became a law with 69 Articles. Beyond the it sets out a certain number of energy objectives for France, including a objective of "carbon neutrality" by 2050, the law sets in stone the is limate emergency by determining, in Article L100-1 A of the Energ a law will determine the th targets and establishes the priorities for action tional energy policy to meet the ecological and climate emergency"17.

In spite of these advances, NGOs on t ne hand, and the youth mobilized for the climate on the other, as well as a large art of the academic community, are wondering how these legislative objectives w enforced and how they will be linked to the

Historic "Climatic" Decision], 49 LA PROIT-EDITION GENERALE 2138 (2020); Marta Torre-Schaub, Plainte de Grande-Synthe pour inaction climatique: pourquoi la décision du Conseil d'Etat fera date [Grande-Yhy The Decision Of The Council Of State Will Be Milestone], THE Synthe Complaint For Climat CONVERSATION, Nov. ttps://theconversation.com/plainte-de-grande-synthe-pour-inactionclimatique-pourquoi-la onseil-detat-fera-date-150654; Marta Torre-Schaub, L'affaire de Grande Synthe, une pren décision emblématique dans le contentieux climatique français [The Grande First Decision In French Climate Litigation], 12 Environnement, Energie, Synthe Case, An 2020); Hubert Delzangles, Le premier « recours climatique » en France: une INFRASTRUCTURES Climate Remedy" in France: A Case To Follow!], 4 L'ACTUALITÉ JURIDIQUE DROIT affaire à si ADMINISTRA

<sup>2021, 1904967, 1904968, 1904972, 1904976/4-1 (</sup>Fr.).

<sup>15</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, Les contentieux climatiques, quelle efficacité en France? Analyse des léviers et difficultés [Climate Disputes, How Effective in France? Analysis of Levers and Difficulties], 5 ENVIRONNEMENT, ENERGIE, INFRASTRUCTURES 28 (Apr. 2019); A. Epstein & E. Deckert, Climate Change Litigation in France, in CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION: A HANDBOOK 336-62 (Wolfgang Kahl & Marc-Philippe Weller eds., 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> LOI 2019-1147 du 8 novembre 2019 relative à l'énergie et au climat [Law 2019-1147 of November 8, 2019 relating to Energy and Climate], Journal Officiel de la République Française [J.O.] [Official Gazette of FRANCE], Nov. 9, 2019, p. 0261.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Torre-Schaub & Lormeteau, supra note 10.

wave of climate litigation that now seems unavoidable given that it is already well under way. <sup>18</sup> This two-fold dynamic draws our attention by being both international—in the form of the Paris Agreement negotiations <sup>19</sup>—an established dialogue between different domestic courts concerning climate justice, and cross-border—in the form of a dialogue between litigants. This is our main point and this article will focus on the analysis and discussion around these different dynamics.

In order to better show these different evolutions and dynamics, and understand the links between them and the progress made, this article is organized arg following steps. First, this article will discuss—by outlining new legal boundary question of the development of a judicial component of the Climate Justice the notion of "climate obligation," in order to see how climate emerger litigation dimension—enables a legal climate regime to move forv present a view to consider, subsequently, how the question of th to act" exerts an influence on the law. Third, we will present t vulnerabilities" at stake as well as new judicial developing Fourth, as part of judicial innovations, it is also interesting to observe how moved from "climate emergency to climate priority," through the example the ande Synthe Case. Fifth and finally, it is important to underscore how assical legal concepts for moi "new climate" purposes is a fruitful path to resp mate emergency. This can be ological prejudice" in the Affaire du observed within the example of the concept of siècle case.20

#### A. Towards a Concept of "Climate Origation in Times of Emergency"

Whilst the Paris Agreement<sup>21</sup> on Clima e Change does not contain any legal obligations a virtuous dynamic whereby States develop as such, it does, howeve be reviewed every five years, setting out their national contributions accounting method. Thus, while their legal nature is reduction targets and still not very preci bligations go beyond mere statements of "soft law." establishing climate obligations should be regarded as a However, this prointernational and national law, case law on the subject, and dynamic in it be described as "soft" law but are in the process of becoming some tex this background, many States have embarked on a legislative "hard" la rder to get their act together with the final objective of the Agreement—to process in pelow 2°C of global temperature compared to 1990 levels, and preferably remain

<sup>19</sup> See C. Voigt, Climate Change Litigation and International Governance, in CLIMATE CHANGE LITIGATION: A HANDBOOK 2–19 (Wolfgang Kahl & Marc-Philippe Weller eds., 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id.* at pt. 1.

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  TA Paris, Feb. 3, 2021, 1904967, 1904968, 1904972, 1904976/4-1 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Conference Report: Framework Convention on Climate Change, https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/10a01.pdf.

within +1.5°C. This is an internationally binding target, as it is part of the Agreement ratified by more than 196 countries. Furthermore, the issue with keeping within this threshold, and if possible within +1.5°C, has been recalled by the international experts at the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) on a number of occasions this year.<sup>22</sup> The 2019 French Act on Climate and Energy contains several objectives that are in line with the Agreement. Nonetheless, the whole question that arises at present is that of determining the legal nature of these objectives. Are they legally binding? Do they involve absolute obligations or obligations of conduct? A number of court cases clearly show how difficult it is to enshrine a climate obligation that includes both precise results and a range of means to achieve them. Consequent process of developing this new concept is complex and incomplete.

There is currently a long path towards the establishment of a clim on in the form of positive legal obligations. While this may even const new general principle of law in some countries, in others, such obligat om rights of a diverse nature.

Therefore, as a kind of "model," the *Urgenda*<sup>23</sup> appeal de sion of October 2018 affirmed the existence of two types of general ations: one relating to the rte ob duty of care, under national law (in this case the de and the Constitution), and the other to international human rights law, as ontained in Articles 2 and 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR).<sup>2</sup> The latter argument paves the way for future legal actions that can be bro on these fundamental rights. Such is the case in Ireland, where the High Court ruled hat a right to an environment consistent with human dignity and the well of citizens is an essential condition for the fulfilment of all human rights. cision stated that this right was not so much the a "utopian" and that it would forceable once it had been realized through the

<sup>°</sup>C, THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE (IPCC), <sup>22</sup> Special Report: Global W t visited Oct. 29, 2021). http://www.ipcc.ch/repg

<sup>9</sup> oktober 2018, 200.178.245/01; Rechtbank Den Haag, 24 juni 2015 (Urgenda 23 Rechtbank's-Gra Bas, ECLI:NL:RBDHA:2015:7196 (Neth.); Jolene Lin, The First Successful Climate Fondation/l'État ent on Urgenda Foundation v. the State of the Netherlands, 5 CLIMATE L. 65-81 Negligence (2015); J. F 1. H. Jans, The Urgenda Decision: Netherlands Liable for Role in Causing Dangerous Change, 27(3) J. OF ENV'T L. 517–527 (2015); J. Van Zeben, Establishing a Governmental Duty of Global Climat Change Mitigation: Will Urgenda Turn the Tide?, 4 TRANSNAT'L ENV'T L. 339-357 (2015); R. hange Litigation Precedent: Urgenda Foundation v. the State of the Netherlands, 34 J. ENERGY & NAT. RES. L. 143-163 (2016); Conference Report: Samvel Varvaštian, Climate Change Litigation, Liability and Global Climate Governance - Can Judicial Policy-making Become a Game-changer?, BERLIN CONFERENCE: Transformative Global Climate Governance Après Paris (2016); Marta Torre-Schaub, La justice climatique. À propos du jugement de la Cour de district de la Haye du 24 juin 2015 [Climate Justice. Regarding the Judgment of the District Court of The Hague of June 24, 2015], 68(3) REVUE INTERNATIONALE DE DROIT COMPARE 672-693 (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) art. 2, 8 (Sept. 3, 1953).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Friends of the Irish Environment v. Gov. of Ireland [2019] IEHC 747 (H. Ct.) (Ir.).

definition and delineation of specific rights and obligations. The Court dismissed the appeal but held that Article 15 of the Constitution creates a climate obligation.<sup>26</sup> In the same vein, but targeting a private actor, an application was filed in the Netherlands in May 2019 by the environmental group Milieudefensie suing Shell. The claim alleges that Shell's contribution to climate change violates its human rights obligations and duty of care under Dutch law.<sup>27</sup> The Plaintiffs asked the court in The Hague to compel Shell to reduce its CO2 emissions by forty-five percent by 2030, and to reduce them to zero by 2050, in accordance with the Paris Agreement. In this action, the Plaintiffs broadened their duty of care argument to include private companies, claiming that, in light of the Paris Agreement objectives and scientific evidence, Shell has a du measures aimed at reducing its greenhouse gas emissions. The May 26 dec with the NGO and accepts the responsibility of the company for nonce with its duty of care towards consumers concerning the reduc tions of greenhouse emissions in their scopes 1, 2 and even 3.28

In France, the legal action before the Administrative Cour aris instituted by the of a general climate Affaire du siècle in March 2019 aims to affirm the exist hich does not yet exist, obligation on the part of public authorities. This obligation, thus I would be the basis for the failure to act which oached to the State. The court is asked to rule on a general principle of n would operate as the legal basis for this obligation. To that end, the acti underlines the rights and duties included in the Charter of the Environment—in particular, Articles 1 and 2 concerning the right to live in a healthy environme d the duty to conserve the environment. If the French courts are faced with a mple ly new task because of this ambitious obligations in the field of air pollution can be appeal, other case law relating to as already held that the State had an obligation brought forward. The Council of els in accordance with the 2008 Directive on to observe and enforce air air for Europe.<sup>29</sup> The CJEU then ruled in October 2019 ambient air quality and cl absolute obligations under the Directive.30 At the same that France had breach

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> CONSTITUTION OF IRELAIN 1937 art. 15, <a href="https://www.irishstatutebook.ie/eli/cons/en/html">https://www.irishstatutebook.ie/eli/cons/en/html</a> (Un organisme compétent doit, dans la ventue de ses fonctions, prendre en considération: la poursuite de l'objectif national de transition et l'objectif de réduction des émissions de gaz à effet de serre et d'adaptation aux effets du changemen clim t que) ("A competent body shall, in performing its duties, take into consideration: the pursuit of the national transition objective and the target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to the effects of climate change."] (Unofficial translation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Milieudefense et al c/ Royal Dutch Shell 9, Rechtbank's-Gravenhage, 5 Apr. 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Milieudefensie et al. v Royal Dutch Shell, Rechtbank's-Gravenhage, 26 mei 2021, NL:RBDHA:2021:5339, at para. 4.2.3, <a href="https://uitspraken.rechtspraak.nl/inziendocument?id=ECLI:NL:RBDHA:2021:5339">https://uitspraken.rechtspraak.nl/inziendocument?id=ECLI:NL:RBDHA:2021:5339</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> CE, July 12, 2017, 394254; 2008 O.J. (L 152) 1; Agathe Van Lang, *Protection de la qualité de l'air: de la transformation d'un droit gazeux en droit solide* [Protection of Air Quality: From The Transformation Of A Gaseous Right Into A Solid Right], 12 REVUE FRANÇAISE DE DROIT ADMINISTRATIF 1135 (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> ECJ, Case C-636/18, European Comm'n v. French Republic, ECLI:EU:C:2019:900 (Oct. 24, 2019), https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&td=ALL&num=C-636/18.

time, the Court has had to rule on the issue in several actions brought by private individuals against the Administration on the grounds of State responsibility for inaction and wrongful failure to comply with air quality thresholds. <sup>31</sup> In the February 3, 2021 *Affaire du siècle* decision, the Tribunal Administratif of Paris ruled, in a preliminary ruling judgment, partially in favor of the requesting NGOs. <sup>32</sup> The court accepted the responsibility of the State for faulty deficiency due to its inaction, and for having caused ecological damage due to the alteration of the atmosphere, but only for the period between 2015 and 2018. <sup>33</sup> We will develop in detail the decision on the question of extending the concept of ecological damage to the climate in the following points of this article.

Before going further with the French cases, it is important to compare in France with other countries. In this respect, it is useful to recal le United Kingdom, attempts have been made to assert climate obligation ne part of the State and private actors, but without success.<sup>34</sup> One such e e Plan B Earth complaint, which brought a legal action against the Secret State for Business, vernment had breached Energy and Industry Strategy on the grounds that the UK the Climate Change Act of 2008 by failing to review a arget or carbon reduction by 2050 in light of new international law and so opments. The application was dismissed on appeal as well,35 which l inference that the courts be "non-binding." considered the obligations under the Paris Accord

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See TA Montreuil, June 25, 2019, 1802202 (Fr.). See also TA Paris, July 4, 2019, 1709333, 1810251, 1814405 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> TA Paris, Feb. 3, 2021, 1904967, 1904, 68, 1904, 972, 1904976/4-1 (Fr.).

<sup>904972, 1904976/4-1 (</sup>fr.); LEXIS KIOSQUE, L'affaire du siècle, <sup>33</sup> TA Paris, Feb. 3, 2021, 1904967, ? A propos de la décision du TA du 3 février 2021 (n° 1904967, une révolution pour la justice matic 1904968, 1904972, 1904976 Case of the Century, A Revolution for Climate Justice? About the 1, 10 LA SEMAINE JURIDIQUE GÉNÉRALE, Mar. 8, 2021, at 247; Marta Torre-Decision of the TA of Febr Schaub, l'Affaire du sièch ne affaire à suivre [The Case Of The Century, A Case To Follow], 3 ENVIRONNEMENT, ENERGIE, INFRASTRU Q-12 (Mar. 2021); Denis Mazeaud, L'affaire du siècle un petit pas vers le solidarisme climo ase of The Century A Small Step Towards Climate Solidarity], 6 LA SEMAINE JURIDIQUE ( 2021, at 139; Marta Torre-Schaub, Décryptage juridique de l'affaire du siècle [Legal D Case Of The Century], THE CONVERSATION, Feb. 10, https://theo versation.com/decryptage-juridique-de-l-affaire-du-siecle-155053; M. Torre-Schaub & P. lu siècle, un jugement en clair-obscur ? [The Case of The Century, A Chiaroscuro Judgment?], ridique Administrations et Collectivités territoriales, Mar. 8, 2021, at 31; Mathilde Hautereau-Boutonnet, L'affaire du siècle, de l'audace, encore de l'audace, toujours de l'audace! [The Business of The Century, Daring, Still Daring, Always Daring!], 6 RECUEIL DALLOZ 281 (2021); M. Deffairi, L'affaire du siècle : le préjudice écologique saisi par les juridictions administratives, Dr. adm., juin 2021, comm.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 34}$  Plan B Earth v. Sec'y of State for Bus., Energy & Indus. Strategy [2018] EWHC 1892.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Court of Appeal, Civil Division, Re: The Queen on the application of Plan B. Earth and Ors v. Sec'y of State for Bus., Energy & Indus. Strategy and Anr, C1/2018/1750, (Jan. 25, 2019), <a href="https://planb.earth/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Court-of-Appeal-decision.pdf">https://planb.earth/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Court-of-Appeal-decision.pdf</a>.

Nonetheless, not all hope is lost with regards to the recognition of climate obligations. In February 2020, a group of German youth filed a legal challenge to Germany's Federal Climate Protection Act ("Bundesklimaschutzgesetz" or "KSG"), arguing that the KSG's target of reducing GHGs fifty-five percent by 2030 from 1990 levels was insufficient. The complainants alleged that the KSG therefore violated their human rights as protected by the Basic Law, Germany's constitution. On April 29, 2021, the Federal Constitutional Court struck down the parts of the KSG as incompatible with fundamental rights for failing to set sufficient provisions for emissions cuts beyond 2030. The Court found that Article 20a of the Basic Law obliges the legis protect the climate and aim towards achieving climate neutrality. The judg the arguments that the Climate law must follow a carbon budget as warming to well below 2°C and, if possible, to 1.5°C. The Court four not proportionally distributed the budget between current and fu nerations. The Court ordered the legislature to set clear provisions for re ets from 2031 onward by the end of 2022.36

a climate obligation is As a result of those different decisions, we can observe that emerging from a plethora of case law, based undations. On one hand, arioù. these foundations draw on a specific legislative , along the lines of the Paris Agreement. On the other, they draw on fundame al rights, as well as already existing climate laws, considered insufficiently ambitiou. We can then see how a general climate obligation emerges, slowly.

sult from even more specific and sectoral Further climate obligations may requirements as for instance the I in the Environmental Impact Assessments<sup>37</sup>. e use

#### gency to Act": Judiciary Developments on Climate B. Moving Towards the Em **Impacts Assessment** France

fic reports on the present and future effects of climate change on Four alarmist scien ocieties were published recently.38 All four reports stress the the ecosysten re of the impacts of human activities on the climate, biodiversity underest

sungsgericht [BVerfG] [Federal Constitutional Court], 1 BvR 2656/18 (Mar. 24, 2021), desverfassungsgericht.de/SharedDocs/Entscheidungen/EN/2021/03/rs20210324\_1bvr2 65618en.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Torre-Schaub & Lormeteau, supra note 10. See also D'AMBROSIO & LORMETEAU, supra note 2; TORRE-SCHAUB E, supra note 2.

<sup>38</sup> IPCC, SPECIAL REPORT CLIMATE CHANGE AND LAND (P.R. Shukla et al. eds., 2019); IPCC, SPECIAL REPORT ON THE OCEAN AND CRYOSPHERE IN A CHANGING CLIMATE (H.-O. Pörtner et al. eds., 2019); INTERGOVERNMENTAL SCIENCE-POLICY PLATFORM ON BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (IPBES), GLOBAL ASSESSMENT REPORT ON BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (E. S. Brondizio et al. eds., 2019); Health and Climate Change Survey Report, WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION [WHO] (2019).

and the ecosystem, and similarly stress the impacts of climate change on our social systems. The reports also acknowledge the urgency for humanity to both reduce GHG emissions and adapt to future climate change. Moreover, 2021 is the year of the new IPCC report, which urges action in the face of the irreversible progress of global warming.<sup>39</sup>

Yet, and despite those declarations and reports about the necessity of "urgent action," climate governance experiences difficulties fitting this emergency in the political agendas, at least in a binding fashion. In the latest example to date, the European Parliament adopted a resolution on November 28, 2019 that acknowledges the strong link between scientific expertise and the diplomatic and legal response that should follow, recalling that the current citizen commitment calls for "greater collective ambition and swift action in order to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement." Climate emergency has indeed become the basis of a judicial activism that calls also for "short-term" action by challenging the so-called "climaticide" projects (those laving negative effects on the atmosphere and the normal functioning of the chapter system) within an extensive use of Environmental Impacts Assessment.

Aiming to take more rapid action on the cause of climate anange—for example, GHG emissions linked to projects—judicial activish is also emerging and growing in France. In other countries, the cases are numerous, and courts do not deal with them in the same manner, particularly with regards to the question of the causal link between global warming and local emissions from projects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> IPCC, supra note 22.

<sup>40</sup> Special Report, IPCC, Global Warming of 1.5 °C, https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/.

<sup>41</sup> See TORRE-SCHAUB, supro 2. See also D'AMBROSIO & LORMETEAU, supra note 2; note Bundesverwaltungsg BVerwG] [Federal Administrative Court] Feb. 2, 2017, W109 2000179-1/291; Earthlife Africa Jo Ministry of Environmental Affairs 2017 (2) SA 519 (GP); Gloucester Resources Limited v nning [2019] NSWLEC 7 (Austl.); T. Thuilier, Dialogues franco-australiens sur la justice clir nco-Australian Dialogues on Climate Justice], 3 ENVIRONNEMENT, ENERGIE, ES 46 (Mar. 2019); Austl. Conservation Found. v Minister for Plan. [2004] VCAT 2029; Wildlife INFRASTRUCT eensl. v Min. for Env't & Heritage (2006) FCA 736; In France, see M. Lucas, Les études d'impact, tentieux pour le climat [Impact Studies, A New Litigation Tool for The Climate], in LES DYNAMIQUES DU CONTENTIEUX CLIMATIQUE. USAGES ET MOBILISATIONS DU DROIT 399-416 (Mare & Martin eds., 2021); CHRISTIAN HUGLO, MÉTHODOLOGIE DE L'ÉTUDE D'IMPACT CLIMATIQUE [Climate Impact Study Methodology] (2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Groundwork Trust v. Minister of Environmental Affairs 2017 High Court of South Africa, Gouteng Division Pretoria, no. 54087/17; Earthlife Africa Johannesburg v. Ministry of Environmental Affairs 2017 (2) SA 519 (GP); Client Earth c/ Polska Grupa Energetyszna, appeal lodged in 2019 (decision pending); Plan B Heathrow aeroport, [2020] EWCA Civ 214. See Marta Torre-Schaub, Les procès climatiques à l'étranger [Climate Trials Abroad], 4 REVUE FRANÇAISE DE DROIT ADMINISTRATIF 665 (2019). See also C. Cournil, "L'affaire du siècle" in Actes du colloque Justice climatique. Université de Lausanne, June 2021.

In France, the challenge of those projects also relies on the inadequacy of the Environmental Impact Assessment regarding the foreseeable environmental 43 and climate effects.<sup>44</sup> The new field of climate action was foreseeable, as the scope of the facilities likely to directly or indirectly generate GHGs subject to an impact study prior to their administrative authorizations is relatively broad.<sup>45</sup> Nevertheless, it is only at the beginning. The climate emerged for the first time in the content of the impact study at the end of 2011,46 being identified as one of the "factors likely to be significantly affected by the project,"47 with the impact study having to consider "the significant impacts of the project on the climate and the project's vulnerability to change."48 It is worth noting that the climate will only be studied if impact direct or indirect—are significant. This inclusion in the legislation is crit context of some big projects for the construction or modifical infrastructures, especially transportation—as, for example, in Fra re-Damedes-Landes airport project. 49 In this case, the claimants point among other things, the shortcomings of the impact document accomp application for authorization of the road construction program "on the sideration of climate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See S. Hébrard, Les études d'impact sur l'environnement des nt l'auge administratif [Environnemental Impact Studies Before The Administrative Judge], 2 REVUE JURIDIQUE D. ÉNVIRONNEMENT 129, 131 (Feb. 1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> See M. Lucas, Les études d'impact, nouvel outil pour le climat? Impact Studies, A New Tool for The Climate], in Les contentieux climatiques. Dynamiques en Jaans et dans le monde (Marta Torre-Schaub et al. eds., 2021). See also D'Ambrosio & Lormeteau, supra et e 2.

<sup>45</sup> Code de l'environnement [Environment a co ] a. L. 121-1 II (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Decree No. 2011-2019 of 29 December 2011 reforming the impact studies of works, structures or development projects (Fr.). *Décret n* 1011-2019 du *29 décembre 2011* portant réforme des *études* d'*impact* des projets de travaux, d'ouvrages ou d'a pénagements <u>JORF n°0302 du 30 décembre 2011</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Code de l'environneme (Environ Jental Code) art. R. 122-5 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Code de l'environnement Environmental Code] art. L. 122-1 III et R. 122-5 (Fr.).

<sup>49</sup> The Gran es-Notre-Dame-des-Landes airport project is an operation, launched in 1963 and abandoned ded to ensure service to the Brittany and Pays de la Loire regions by responding to the foreseea e saturation of the Nantes-Atlantique international airport by transferring its commercial new airport northwest of Nantes, France. The opposition to the airport project has been 972 and has given rise to lively local and national controversies between its supporters and opponents. On June 1, 2017, the government set up a mediation mission to compare the two options (construction of Notre-Dame-des-Landes or modernization of the current airport). It submitted its report in December 2017. On January 17, 2018, the Prime Minister announced the definitive abandonment of the Notre-Dame-des-Landes project. Along social and cultural reasons, the opponents commissioned several environmental impact studies to show the unviability of the project and its negative impacts on the environment. See Marta Torre-Schaub, La prise en compte des enjeux climatiques dans le bilan des grands projets. Contribution à l'étude critique du projet d'aéroport Notre-Dame-des-Landes [Taking Climate Issues into Account in The Assessment of Major Projects. Contribution to The Critical Study of The Notre-Damedes-Landes Airport Project], in L'Abandon du projet Notre-Dame-des-Landes: Quels enseignements? 137-169 (B. Lormeteau & A. Van Lang eds., 2021).

change." Yet, in light of the legislation in force, the court simply dismissed this argument. $^{50}$ 

At present, two decisions are of interest. In February 2019, the Administrative Court of Cergy dismissed an application for summary proceedings brought by the municipality of Sinnamary, requiring the court to cancel the authorization for drilling permits in French Guiana that had previously been awarded to Total by the Prefect of French Guiana.<sup>51</sup> The municipality alleged, in particular, that the impact study on the effects of drilling on the climate was inadequate—an issue that was not discussed by t hearing the application for summary proceedings.<sup>52</sup> In another context, it must underlined the case concerning the extension of the Charles de Gaulle In Airport in Paris and the construction of a Mold and a Train Static neighborhood. This case concerned the authorization given by authorities for the creation of the ZAC (a joint development zon e Triangle de Gonesse, the natural and agricultural area next to the curr de Gaulle Paris International airport.53 Here, although the court did not direct address the issue of climate change, it nevertheless found several shortcomings the impact study directly e emerging and urgent related to climate change factors. All those cases enlighten question of the need to include the study of and consequences on the effect climate and the atmosphere as a legal obli the Environmental Impact Assessments before any industrial, energetic, mmercial or transportation field activity.

At present, climate impacts are the efore of yet identified by French courts as quantitative data to be linked to mathe all climate commitments.<sup>54</sup> Rather, they are linked to the information that the authorities need to make their decision, without the court being able to interfere with the administrative authorities' discretionary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Cour administrative d'a pel [CAA] Nantes, Nov. 14, 2016, 15NT02883, 15NT02884, 15NT02864. *See also* CAA, 5° ch., Apr. 9, 2008, 10, 100624.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Followih an whori ation given by the Prefect of French Guiana for the company Total to drill and exploit oil in the Search Guiana, the case was protested by Greenpeace before the Administrative Court of Nantyre in order to have the authorization cancelled. The basis of this request was the fact that the environ explainment study was incomplete and inaccurate because it did not take into account the impacts of the project of CO2 emissions. See TA Cergy-Pontoise, Feb. 1, 2019, 1900066. See also the applications filed by the NGOs, L. Monier, Quel rôle pour la justice administrative dans la lutte contre les projets "climaticides"? [What Role for Administrative Justice in The Fight Against "Climaticide" Projects?], 5 ENVIRONNEMENT, ENERGIE, INFRASTRUCTURES 34 (Apr. 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> See, e.g., Jean C. Rotoullié, Le contentieux de la légalité [Legality Litigation], 4 REVUE FRANÇAISE DE DROIT ADMINISTRATIF 644 (2019).

<sup>53</sup> TA Cergy-Pontoise, Mar. 6, 2018, 1610910, 1702621 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> See Lucas, supra note 44. See also Torre-Schaub, supra note 49.

power.<sup>55</sup> Nonetheless, one might hope that the increase in scientific knowledge regarding the links between macro and microclimate and the administrative authorities' climate commitments will lead to the development of this type of argument, thus underlining the urgency of acting against certain projects. Furthermore, climate is being introduced into the administrative culture—even if only timidly. For instance, in the case of the expansion of the Charles de Gaulle Paris International Airport (Gonesse affaire cit.), the French National Commission for Public Debate pointed out that "the State did not justify the discrepancy identified by the participants concerning the increase in air traffic and France's climate commitments." It seems then that there is still a long way to go before it ecomes mandatory to include climate change in the Environmental Assessments and to a duce substantial changes in this aspect of climate change regime law.

#### C. The Emergency of New Climate Vulnerabilities at Stake

At the same time, in the litigation brought and the various prolitigation procedures, there is a demand for anticipatory and preventive State action, which is growing in response to the urgent need to adapt to the consequences of contact change.  $^{57}$ 

It was also the urgency to provide for adapt easures given their special vulnerability that partly underpinned the petitic lodged by sixteen children against Argentina, Brazil, France, Germany, and Turkey following the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. They argued that th is a "duty to cooperate at the international level to address the global climate emergency as a means of protecting the human and tion,58 as well as the duty of States to "ensure children's rights enshrined in the intergenerational equity for chi dren and the future."59 The petitioners called on the their efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate Committee to urge States change, to take coording international action to put in place binding and enforceable climate m and to guarantee the right of children to be heard in all efforts to mitigate of he climate crisis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> CAA Nancy, Nov. 4, 1993, 92NC00611 (Fr.); CE, Oct. 14, 2011, 323257 (Fr.); CE Ass., Dec. 23, 2011, 335033 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Flora. Auga neur, Bilan des garants. Projet d'aménagement du terminal 4 et développement de l'aéroport Paris-Charles ac-Gaulle à l'horizon 2035-2050 [Review of guarantors. Terminal 4 layout project and development of Paris-Charles-de-Gaulle Airport to be completed by 2035-2050], COMMISSION NATIONALE DU DEBAT PUBLIC (2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Torre-Schaub & Lormeteau, *supra* note 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> J. Knox, Human Rights Council, Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Issue of Human Rights Obligations Relating to the Enjoyment of a Safe, Clean, Healthy and Sustainable Environment, U.N. Doc. A/HRC/37/58§57, at 52 (2016).

<sup>59</sup> Id. at 54.

It was also from the perspective of urgency for action that the Human Rights Committee received the petition from the Torres Strait Islanders (Australia) alleging violations resulting from State inaction on climate change, based on a violation of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. 60 Australia had been the subject of a previous recommendation by the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights stating that a State that fails to adopt adequate measures to effectively address climate change is in breach of the Covenant. The Committee had already recommended that Australia should take immediate measures to tackle the increase in domestic GHG emissions. 61 The petitioners allege that Australia's inadequate action on climate change has infringed their human rights. This complaint alleges that these lations are linked to inadequate funding for defense, resilience, and adaptation me

In France, the Grande-Synthe case exemplifies the slow but ce ess that climate change litigation is doing in that field. This case show importance of taking seriously climate risks assessment in public policies w. The *Grande* Synthe case was brought before the Council of State by the city north of France by the sea) and its mayor in 2019. It was appeal questioning the legality of measures taken by the administration in clinatic patters. In particular, the arbon State was accused of not having respected to adgets established in the National Low Carbon Strategy (a legal text th the number of emissions in France by year and by groups of 4 years). The a dicants considered that the climate policies carried out by the State were not consisted, on the basis of this text, with the climate emergency. The Council of State red a first decision on November 19, 2019, in which the applicants were partial vinds ated and in which the text at issue was identified as having a mandatory be decision was confirmed on July 1, 2021.

This case constitutes inde and important step in French climate change litigation concerning clip vulnerabilities and climate risks. Those risks and vulnerabilities were ne ught before in court and were not taken seriously by a court until this case

e, was launched with the filing of expedited expert report The Grande S 2019 before the High Administrative Court (Conseil d'Etat) with proceedi an expert whose mandate would be to anticipate climate risks by them. The purpose of this mandate would be to "protect the territory of the identifying from changes in the climate situation," with the report having to "assess the risks of damage associated with climate change on its territory as well as the structures, works and measures that may be undertaken in order to prevent or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Human Rights Committee, Petition of Torres Strait Islanders to the United Nations Human Rights Committee Alleging Violations Stemming from Australia's Inaction on Climate Change (May 2019).

<sup>61</sup> U.N., Comm. on Econ., Soc. & Cultural Rights, Concluding Observations on the Fifth Periodic Report of Australia, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/AUS/CO/5 (July 11, 2017).

mitigate the occurrence of such damage."<sup>62</sup> Despite a very promising first ruling on November 20, 2020 accepting some "climate obligations" for attenuation and later confirmed in July 2021, the adaptation part of the petition was dismissed by the court. However, the adaptation claims and the "climate vulnerabilities" cases will probably multiply in France and the rest of Europe for years to come.

## D. From "Climate Emergency to Climate Priority": The Example of the *Grande Synthe* Case

The Grande Synthe final decision of July 1, 2021 is quite remarkable because only consider that the municipality, by its exposure to climate vulnerabilities, is legitimate to act and therefore has an interest in a positions itself as a pioneer on three main points.<sup>63</sup> First, it stre international law committing France to the climate plan (frame Paris Agreement) must be considered. Second, the decision of the programming documents on carbon targets and tr and the various periods to be observed. Finally, the decision ettles on the non-respect of the reduction trajectories for the period 2015–2018, ased n the binding nature of the documents determining intermediate period the de of "climate priority" the subject of one of the main demands of the a as not dealt with directly, the argent need to act." On one hand, judges nevertheless recognize that there is an despite the pandemic and the cessation of activities during the year 2020, the GHG reduction trajectory will probably not be to the quantities required for 2030 and to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050. n the other hand, given the current state of reduction budgets do not correspond climate policies and legislation coherently with the European es set for 2030. According to the courts, these two aspects highlight a delay by France and a certain difficulty to update, in ided by 2030. Therefore, even indirectly, the judges terms of the efforts to be Re emergency" and that it would be a priority to act recognize that there quickly.64

# E. Using Classical Legal Concepts for "New Climate" Purpose: The Example of the "Ecological Prejuditie" in the Affaire du siècle Case

The *affaire du siècle* is a case brought before the tribunal administratif de Paris (TA) in Februa. 2019 claiming that the State is responsible for causing ecological damage on

<sup>62</sup> Torre-Schaub, supra note 15.

<sup>63</sup> CE, 6e-5e ch., Jan. 7, 2021, 427301 (Fr.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, *Bilan et perspectives pour la justice climatique. Dynamiques et tendances* [Assessment and Perspectives for Climate Justice. Dynamics and Trends], 10 ENVIRONNEMENT, ENERGIE, INFRASTRUCTURES 6 (Oct. 2021).

the atmosphere.65 Previously, an online petition filed by the four NGOs that wished to bring the appeal had been signed by almost one million people. Consequently, the case garnered very large media coverage. The failure of the State is due to the ecological damage it would have committed by altering the atmosphere with an excess of emissions. The fault of the State and thus the damage produced to the atmosphere come from the fact the State did not take the necessary steps to avoid the excess of CO2 emissions. This excess would lead France on a trajectory far from the one marked in both the European Union commitments and the Paris Agreement. This argument central in this case—pushes new boundaries on climate justice in general a more specifically in Europe and France.

Both decisions, in l'affaire du siècle opened up a new avenue by recogn logical damage due to the "alteration produced in the atmosphere" cumulative GHG emissions.<sup>66</sup> This issue of ecological damage udice, largely unexplored for the time being on the climate issue, will une come a strong trend for future litigation.

As a reminder, four NGOs (the Oxfam France association, reenpeace France, the Fondation pour la Nature et l'Homme and Na ged a request before the had administrative court in March 2019 asking for t be ordered to pay them the sum of one euro in compensation for the moral d mage suffered, to order the State to pay them the symbolic sum of one euro for ecological damage. Further, they sought to order the Prime Minister and the comp ministers to put an end to the whole State al and specific— in the fight against climate failure to meet its obligations -ger an end to ecological damage. The four NGOs change or to mitigate its effects a hieve France's objectives in terms of reducing also requested to take measure g renewable energies, and increasing energy greenhouse gas emissions et by various laws, regulations, and decrees, as well as efficiency, and to reach tag in relation to European law. They also demanded that the necessary measures be taken to adapt the territory to the effects of climate change as well as those the protection of the life and health of citizens against the risks necessary to ensure associated wit change.

<sup>3, 2021, 1904967, 1904968, 1904972, 1904976/4-1 (</sup>Fr.).

<sup>66</sup> LEXIS KIC L'affaire du siècle, une révolution pour la justice climatique ? A propos de la décision du TA du 3 février 2021 (n° 1904967, 1904968, 1904972, 1904976/4-1) [The Case of The Century, A Revolution For Climate Justice? About the TA Decision of February 3, 2021], 10 LA SEMAINE JURIDIQUE GÉNÉRALE, Mar. 8, 2021, at 247; Marta Torre-Schaub, l'Affaire du siècle, une affaire à suivre [The Case of the Century, A Case to Follow], 3 ENVIRONNEMENT, ENERGIE, INFRASTRUCTURES 10-12 (Mar. 2021); Mazeaud, supra note 33; Marta Torre-Schaub, Décryptage juridique de l'affaire du siècle [Legal Decryption of The Case of The Century], THE CONVERSATION, Feb. 10, 2021, https://theconversation.com/decryptage-juridique-de-l-affaire-du-siecle-155053; Torre-Schaub & Bozo, supra note 33; Hautereau-Boutonnet, supra note 33; Deffairi, supra note 33; Jean M. Pastor, L'affaire du siècle, un constat et toujours pas de réponses [The Case of The Century, An Observation and Still No Answers], DALLOZ ACTUALITÉS (Oct. 18, 2021), https://www.dallozactualite.fr/flash/affaire-du-siecle-un-constat-et-toujours-pas-de-reponse#.YXyoGmLMKUk.

The tribunal administratif de Paris ruled partially in favor of the applicants in both a first decision on February 3, 2021, and a second decision on October 14, 2021, reaffirming the first. In its decision on February 3, 2021, the court ruled on three points. It first ruled on the admissibility of the action for ecological damage. It then ruled on the existence of ecological damage and moral damage. Finally, the judges expressed their opinion on the failure and responsibility of the State as well as the "causal link" between the cited prejudices and the absence or insufficiency of State action.

The Tribunal has established the existence of an ecological damage derived deficiencies of the State.<sup>67</sup> As a result, the failings committed by the state be deficiency have been established as being the source of environ damage characterized by the worsening of climate change. This damage a change in the atmosphere and impair its ecological functions.<sup>68</sup>

Regarding the establishment of the damage, the decision g ite far, asserting that the damage is not only "established" but that it is also "agg (§ 31). Relying on various works by the IPCC, those of the National Observator on the effects of global warming, and those of CITEPA, the TA consideration constant increase in the that average global temperature of the Earth is r for a "modification of the atmosphere and its ecological functions" which h s already accelerated the melting of continental ice and permafrost and the warming of the oceans, resulting in turn in rising sea levels combined with the in se, in frequency and severity, of extreme climatic phenomena, and damage eco. stems, with serious and irreversible so follows from these reports that global consequences on human activity 30 and 2052 if anthropogenic greenhouse gas warming will reach 1.5 ° C bety een 2 emissions continue to incre current rates, and that it will persist for several ase, due to the persistence of greenhouse gases in the centuries even if emission ded that a warming of 2 ° C rather than 1.5 ° C would atmosphere. The repo seriously increase th mena and their consequences.

esults from these studies that each additional half-degree of For the judge global w significantly reinforces the associated risks, for the most ems and populations, and that limiting this warming requires vulnerabl 2030, greenhouse gas emissions by forty-five percent compared to 2010 reducing, carbon neutrality by 2050 at the latest. Finally, in France, the increase in average temperature, which rose 1.14°C during the decade 2000-2009 compared to the period between 1960-1990, caused the acceleration of the loss of mass of the

<sup>67</sup> See G. J. Martin, The Ecological Damage in the Civil Code: Reflections Around the New Regime of COMPENSATION FOR ECOLOGICAL DAMAGE INTRODUCED BY THE BIODIVERSITY LAW 415-21 (Mélanges F. Collart-Dutilleul ed., DALLOZ 2017).

<sup>68</sup> TA Paris, Feb. 3, 2021, 1904967, at § 16 (Fr.).

glaciers. In particular, since 2003, the worsening of coastal erosion, which affects a quarter of the French coasts, and the risk of submersion, poses serious threats to the biodiversity of glaciers and the coast, and leads to an increase in extreme climatic phenomena—risks that affect sixty-two percent of the population. On this point, the court concluded that "in view of all of these elements, the ecological damage invoked by the applicant associations must be regarded as established."

The situation is different with reparations. This became an issue as the TA believes that elements are still missing to substantiate the impossibility of his compensation in kind. Reparations will therefore be more of a "symbolic" recognition than op itional. which nonetheless constitutes significant progress for the law responsibility.<sup>70</sup> The court considers, in fact, that compensation for ec is carried out as a priority in kind and that it is only in the event of sibility or insufficiency of the compensation measures that the judge of the person responsible to pay damages and interests to the plaintiff, the reparation of the environment.71 However, according to the associations in this case did not demonstrate that the state would be unable to grovide compensation in kind. With regards to the amount of the sum reques d in spect of his pecuniary compensation, the judges consider that the req for p ent of a symbolic euro is unrelated to the importance of what the part nbolises. It will be on this ation.72 reasoning that the TA rejects this point of the app

The last decision of the affaire du siècle. October 2021 confirms the solution of the first ruling but clarifies the question of the reparation.

the administration should repair the ecological The October 14 ruling establish compensating the excess of emissions emitted prejudice caused to the atmo 2018.73 The judges considered that even though in the past period, from ced during the confinement period due to the Covid greenhouse emissions pandemic (all activ down during that period of time), that alone was not satisfactory enough nd the global amount of emissions in France has not met levels arbon neutrality by 2050. Considering this fact, the court necessary to 'take all necessary actions" in order to reduce emissions from sentence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, *Le préjudice écologique au secours du climat, ombres et lumières* [The Ecological Damage to The Relief of The Climate, Shadows and Lights], 11 LA SEMAINE DU DROIT-EDITION GENERALE, Mar. 15, 2021, at 520; B. Parance, *Décision majeure sur la réparation du préjudice écologique* [Major Decision on Compensation for Ecological Damage], 27 LA SEMAINE DU DROIT-EDITION GENERALE, JULY 6, 2020.

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$  Reparation of ecological damage in practice, Report edited by L. Neyret, Association of professionals in economic and financial litigation, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> TA Paris, Feb. 3, 2021, 19049679, at §§ 36, 37 and 39 (Fr.).

<sup>73</sup> CE, Oct. 14, 2011, 323257 (Fr.).

now until December 31, 2022, in order to attain the trajectory of emissions according to the Paris Agreement mandatory limit of 2°C.

Undoubtedly, the *affaire du siècle* last decision will mark the public climate disputes in France. However, this case has to be understood with the *Grande S*ynthe case. They both are complementary and coherent with the present and dynamic evolution that French climate change litigation is experimenting.

Indeed, the Conseil d'Etat in the *Grande Synthe* affair, with the ruling of July 2, 2020, developed its reasoning that the State must pay respect to its "climate obligation." The *affaire du siècle* decision follows this open path, considering that the State has a comate obligation both in relation to its past activities (for the period 2015–2018) and to the means of implementing the reduction of the emissions trajectors (for the period between now and December 31, 2022).

This article wanted to show how climate justice, which is g taking place in the State's obligations in world and particularly in France, is changing the content of the climate change area. This article also shows that imate obligations" are ew emerging everywhere in the world. In obligations and legal commitments are both. On the one hand, thos e Law and Climate National Planification (Low Carbon Strategy) must show In the other hand, those that must also be imposed by the Environmental Assessment Impact studies. This article also shows that the issue of consider territorial, geographical, and physical vulnerabilities is important to proper asses climate risks in public policies. Finally, this article wanted to present e new dynamics of climate change—both policy—are built from small steps, sometimes legislative, jurisprudential and slow but lasting at the end. Clin stice is assuredly a promising path to make the change happen in the clim ange fight. French climate change justice litigation is a "to be continued" story rery promising future before it.74

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Marta Torre-Schaub, *Les dynamiques juridiques et judiciaires de la gouvernance climatique. Libres propos autour de la construction d'un droit du changement climatique* [The Legal and Judicial Dynamics of Climate Governance. Free Talk Around the Construction of a Climate Change Law], 22. September-October 2021, REVUE JURIDIQUE D'ASSAS, P.P. 35-49.