

An interdisciplinary approach to Recent/Final Neolithic coastal gallery graves in Brittany, western France. The 3D-structure, origin of rock material and paleoenvironmental setting of the Kernic and Lerret monuments

Aneta Gorczynska, Bernard Le Gall, Pierre Stéphan, Yvan Pailler

▶ To cite this version:

Aneta Gorczynska, Bernard Le Gall, Pierre Stéphan, Yvan Pailler. An interdisciplinary approach to Recent/Final Neolithic coastal gallery graves in Brittany, western France. The 3D-structure, origin of rock material and paleoenvironmental setting of the Kernic and Lerret monuments. 2022. hal-03909363

HAL Id: hal-03909363 https://hal.science/hal-03909363

Preprint submitted on 21 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. An interdisciplinary approach to Recent/Final Neolithic coastal gallery graves in Brittany,
 western France. The 3D-structure, origin of rock material and paleoenvironmental setting of
 the Kernic and Lerret monuments.

4 Aneta Gorczynska¹*, Bernard Le Gall², Pierre Stéphan³, Yvan Pailler⁴

5 ¹Laboratoire Geo-Ocean, UMR6538, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France

6 ²Laboratoire Geo-Ocean, UMR6538, CNRS-Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France

7 ³ Laboratoire LETG - UMR 6554, CNRS, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France

8 ⁴ Chaire ArMeRIE Inrap-UBO, Laboratoire LETG - UMR 6554, Plouzané, France

9 * corresponding author

10 aneta.gorczynska@hotmail.com

11 Laboratoire Geo-Ocean, UMR6538 UBO, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Place Nicolas

12 Copernic, Technopole Brest-Iroise, 29280 Plouzané - France

13 Abstract :

This article presents an interdisciplinary study carried out on two Recent/Final Neolithic gallery 14 graves (Kernic and Lerret) located on the northwestern coast of Brittany (western France). These 15 16 monuments show striking similarities in terms of architectural style and geographical position. This 17 paper aims to provide a better understanding of the construction strategy of these monuments by : (i) determining the origin of the megalithic blocks from petro-structural analyses, (ii) reconstructing the 18 coastal environments from the analysis of sediment cores, and (iii) defining the place of these 19 20 monuments in the territories from intervisibility analysis. The study reveals important differences 21 between the two monuments. The Lerret gallery grave was erected in direct proximity to a unique source of rock material on the margins of a marshland zone. In contrast, the Kernic monument shows a 22 23 deliberate diversification of stone extraction sites and was established on the edge of an estuary. An 24 intervisibility analysis shows a dense network of visual interconnections between the megalithic tombs 25 in the study area, in which the two monuments occupy very different sites. The social dimensions of stone selection and the geographical location of Recent/Final Neolithic funerary monuments are also 26 discussed in an enlarged regional context. 27

28 Keywords : Gallery grave; Recent/Final Neolithic, megalithic tombs ; rock material; coastal

29 paleoenvironment ; petro-structural, paleoenvironmental and intervisibility analyses

30 1. Introduction

Megalithic monuments are one of the most emblematic manifestations of European Neolithic 31 32 populations. The age, number, and importance of these constructions in western France make this region a key-area for investigating European megalithism (e.g. Patton 1993; Paulsson, 2019). The 33 34 emergence and development of monumental architecture in Brittany as early as the Middle Neolithic 35 (ca. 4700 cal. BC) has been the focus of many recent studies (e.g. Cassen, 2009; Cassen et al., 2000; 36 Tinévez et al., 2012 ; Large, 2014 ; Cousseau, 2016; Cousseau et al., 2020). However, thus far much 37 less attention has been paid to analyses of Recent and Final Neolithic (3800 - 2150 cal. BC) monumental architecture (Laporte et al., 2011). 38

39 The study of the origin of the rocks used to build the megalithic monuments in Brittany is quite new. 40 The identification of stone material was carried out on the Barnenez cairn, Plouezoc'h, Finistère (Giot et al., 1995) and on the great stelae of the Golfe du Morbihan (Querré et al., 2006; Bonniol and 41 Cassen, 2009; Cassen et al., 2016), revealing displacements over several kilometers. 42 43 Micromorphological analyses of megalithic slabs (Sellier, 1995, 2013; Mens, 2008) have shown how the natural outcrops were exploited. The use of different types of rock is also discussed in a 44 45 technological and symbolic interpretation of megalithic monuments (Mens et al., 2021). However, 46 despite the qualitative contribution of all these studies, few publications provide detailed geological 47 data about the areas surrounding the megalithic remains. The location of the exploited rock outcrops is 48 most often based on the 1:50 000 geological maps produced by the BRGM (Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières), while the potential sources of extraction are rarely researched (Chauris, 49 2009, 2021). We propose here a new investigation of the Lerret and Kernic gallery graves, based on 50 the methodology recently applied by Caroff et al. (2016) and Le Gall and Caroff (2018) to Iron Age 51 52 stelae in South Brittany. This approach involves the comparative petro-structural study of the material of both monuments, and the rock outcrops exposed in their surroundings. The micromorphology of 53 each individual megalithic slab is also examined following the approaches developed by Sellier (1995, 54 55 2013) and Mens (2008). In the case of the Kernic gallery grave, our morphological approach was 56 completed by the construction of 3D models.

57 The majority of the megalithic sites in Brittany are located in coastal areas (Giot et al., 1998). Some are currently submerged or overlaid by sand dunes (Giot and Morzadec, 1992; Giot, 1998; Cassen et 58 59 al., 2010; 2019), highlighting significant coastal paleogeographic changes since the Neolithic in response to the relative sea-level rise. However, studies of megalithic monuments also integrating 60 reconstructions of paleo-coastal environments are relatively new, such as those concerning the Molène 61 archipelago (Finistère) (Pailler et al., 2011; Stéphan et al., 2019) and Quiberon Bay (Morbihan) 62 63 (Cassen et al., 2012; Baltzer et al., 2015). Paleoenvironmental reconstructions provide supplementary evidence for understanding the reasoning used by Neolithic people for their site selection and their 64 organisation of coastal territories. The Lerret and Kernic gallery graves studied in this paper are 65 located on the foreshore, submerged daily by tides. During the Neolitic period, these two monuments 66 67 must have occupied a very different position, and in order to examine their similarities and differences in terms of rock supply strategies and choice of location, it is essential to provide information on their 68 69 respective palaeoenvironments. For this objective, four core samples were collected and analysed from 70 Kernic Bay. The palaeoenvironmental reconstructions of the Lerret monument are only constrained by 71 the results of previous research (Zeist, 1963; Giot et al., 1965; Hallégouët et al., 1971; Goslin et al., 2015; Stéphan et al., 2015). 72

73 Our study also includes visibility analyses that are increasingly applied to European megalithic monuments (e.g. Wheatley, 1995; Gillings, 2009; Ortiz, 2016; Caruana and Stroud, 2020). This type 74 75 of investigation helps to identify the spatial and structural relationships between the monuments and their natural and cultural environments (e.g. Caruana and Stroud, 2020). Visibility analyses were never 76 carried out on the megalithic sites in our study area. The few studies performed in Brittany (Roughley 77 and Shell, 2004; López-Romero González de la Aleja, 2008a; 2008b) highlighted the role of 78 79 intervisibility in the organisation of Neolithic landscapes (E. López-Romero González de la Aleja, 2008b). 80

82 2. General setting

83 2.1. Archaeological setting

The earlier gallery graves identified in Brittany were erected during the second half of the 4th millennium, i.e. during the Recent and Late Neolithic. They are the result of a regional evolution of monumental constructions characterized by the progressive enlargement of the burial chamber to the detriment of the access structures and the surrounding barrow (L'Helgouach, 1965; Boujot and Cassen, 1992). They are representative of the collective burial sites that appear during the Recent Neolithic in North-West Europe (Salanova et al., 2017).

In Brittany, the architecture of gallery graves is quite uniform (L'Helgouach, 1965). The monuments consist of a strongly elongated rectangular central burial chamber, usually delimited by megalithic slabs. Located on the main axis of the monument, the entrance is generally marked by a system limiting access and sometimes preceded by a short vestibule. Some gallery graves have an additional chamber next to the burial chamber called a cella. Although rarely preserved, the barrows are mainly composed of loose sediment with a central mass of small blocks. They are frequently delimited by large, vertically positioned slabs, called peristaliths.

Around 140 gallery graves have been documented in Brittany (L'Helgouach, 1965). In contrast to
the passage graves, characteristic of the Middle Neolithic and constructed between ca. 4300-3800 cal
BC mainly along the coast (Cassen et al., 2009), the gallery graves are located both in coastal regions
and inland.

While gallery graves are the prevailing type of funerary architecture during the Recent and Final Neolithic in Brittany, they are not the only manifestation of burial practices during this period (L'Helgouach, 1965; Joussaume and Laporte, 2006). Monuments with similar architectural characteristics (V-shaped passage graves, lateral entrance graves, and angled graves) were also constructed during this period (eg. L'Helgouach, 1965; Patton 1993). The older megalithic tombs are frequently re-used and/or re-arranged by Recent and Final Neolithic populations (e.g. Port-Blanc, Saint-Pierre-Quiberon, S Brittany; Gaillard, 1883; Schulting, 2005; La Torche, Plomeur, W Brittany,
Milon and Giot, 1947; Souc'h, Plouhinec, W Brittany, Le Goffic, 2006)

109 The pottery discovered in the gallery graves places them in the local Recent and Final Neolithic traditions (e.g. Blanchard, 2017). They show a strong affinity with groups identified in the Paris Basin 110 111 between 3350 and 2550 cal BC (Salanova et al., 2011). Bell Beaker pottery (2550-1950 cal BC) is also 112 frequently found in these monuments (Salanova and Sohn, 2007; Nicolas et al., 2019). 113 The funerary practices linked to gallery graves in Brittany are very poorly understood as few of them have yielded human remains (e.g. La Torche, Plomeur, W Brittany; Milon and Giot, 1947; le Tertre de 114 L'Église, Plévenon, N Brittany; Harmois, 1909; Beaumont à Saint-Laurent-sur-Oust, S Brittany, 115 Tinévez, 1988; Tinévez et al., 1990). The closest and most well studied examples come from the 116 funerary ensembles of the Paris Basin (Marçais, 2016; Salanova et al., 2017), which attest to collective 117 burial practices (successive deposition in a single monument). From 2500 cal BC, gallery graves were 118 119 frequently reused by Bell Beaker peoples for individual burials (Salanova and Sohn, 2007).

In NW Armorica, the Leon domain contains 29 gallery graves and/or lateral entrance graves (Fig. 1a)
(Sparfel et al., 2004; Sparfel and Pailler, 2009). About half of them are situated in a small coastal area
between the Tresseny and Kernic bays, where the Kernic and Lerret monuments in this study are
located (Fig. 1b).

124 2.2. Geological setting

125 The Kernic and Lerret monuments are situated on the northwestern coast of the Leon metamorphic domain (LMD) in NW Britanny (Fig. 1a). The rocky substratum exposed in these areas is dominated 126 127 by granitoids and medium-grade metamorphic terranes (gneiss, micaschists, and amphibolites) that recorded strike-slip ductile shearing, synkinematic granitizations, and exhumation processes during a 128 129 collision stage of the Variscan orogeny in the time-span 320-300 Ma (Goré and Le Corre, 1987; Le 130 Gall et al., 2014; Authemayou et al., 2019). During the last 300 Ma, most parts of the Variscan mountainous belt in Armorica, including the LMD, existed as emerged areas that experienced 131 132 erosional processes (Bonnet et al., 2000). During recent times (last 10 ka years), the onshore/offshore

133 boundary of the Armorica island recorded successive fluctuations of the relative sea-level (RSL), in 134 relation to paleo-climate changes. These changes are documented for the Kernic and Lerret 135 monuments under study (see section 2.3). They are both located on the c. 292 Ma-old Brignogan-136 Plouescat granite (Georget, 1986; Marcoux et al., 2009) that fringes part of the LMD to the north (Fig. 1a). The two individual intrusions are sinistrally offset (c. 7 km) on both sides of the Porspoder-137 Guisseny ductile shear zone (Fig. 1a) (Marcoux et al., 2004). These deeply eroded granitic massifs are 138 139 extensively exposed along coastal sections where they are locally overlain by Quaternary-Recent 140 (dominantly sandy) deposits.

141 2.3. Geomorphological setting

142 The coastal morphology in Northern Léon is characterized by a wide rocky platform (Léon plateau) 143 that extends more than 5 km seaward with a gentle slope on the intertidal and subtidal domains (Hallégouët, 1971). The wind and wave climates are energetic and strongly seasonally-modulated 144 (Bentamy and Croize-Fillon, 2014). High-energy winter swells and storm waves come from the W-145 146 NW with wave heights frequently exceeding 5 m. The tidal range reaches up to 7.2 m for spring tides and 3.45 m for neap tides. On the submerged part of the Léon plateau beaches and associated dune 147 148 barriers isolate large wetlands. Contact between the coastal platform and the continental part of the 149 plateau consists of a partly tectonic paleo-scarp 30 m to 50 m-high (Fig. 1b). The map trace of the 150 scarp is cut by a series of deeply incised river valleys in the bedrock, the lower parts of which have 151 been subject to significant sediment filling over the last ca. 7,000 yrs (e.g. Stéphan et al. 2015). The 152 Lerret and Kernic gallery graves are located on the eastern shore of the Tresseny and Kernic bays, respectively (Fig. 1b). 153

The NW coast of Brittany is considered to have been a tectonically stable region during the Holocene (Ters, 1986; Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1995). The main dynamical event results from the hydrostatic loading of the English Channel platform during the Holocene marine transgression. According to Lambeck 1997, the Léon coasts recorded a subsidence of 1.5 m over the last 6 kys. The post-glacial RSL changes recently reconstructed along the western coast of Brittany have shown that the rate of marine transgression slowed down to 1 ±0.2 mm.yr-1 from 7000 cal BP to present times (Stéphan and 160 Goslin, 2014; García-Artola et al., 2018). A RSL reconstruction based on 28 index points and seven 161 freshwater limiting points dates indicates a position at -7.5 \pm 0.8 m at ca. 6900 cal. BP (García-Artola 162 et al., 2018). In response to the RSL rise slowdown, modern coastline and coastal barriers formed with basal Phragmites peats occupying the base of the coastal sequences. In Brittany, these deposits have 163 been dated at between 7,000-4,500 cal BP and suggest a large extension of swampy environments 164 beyond relatively stable coastal barriers (Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974; Goslin et al., 2013; Stéphan et al., 165 166 2015). The sedimentary coastal sequences of Western Brittany record a rapid change in coastal environments between 2,900 and 2,500 cal BP. Sharp erosional contacts and/or sedimentary hiatuses 167 168 are systematically observed, indicating increased hydrodynamics and barrier breaching (Goslin et al., 2013, 2015; Fernane et al., 2014; Stéphan et al., 2015; Ehrhold et al., 2021). From ca. 2,700 cal BP 169 170 until present-day, marsh sedimentary sequences record continuous accretion, and result in a new period of coastal barrier stabilization (Stéphan, 2011; Stéphan et al., 2015). However, coastal sand-171 172 dune systems appear to have experienced several phases of destabilization over the past 6,000 years. 173 Four periods of coastal dune mobilisation have been recently identified at 4,250-4,100 cal BP, 3,250-174 2,400 cal BP, 1,050-700 cal BP, and 350-110 cal BP from the dating of interbedded archaeological remains in sand dunes (Gorczynska et al. 2022). 175

During the 18th and 19th centuries, the inner parts of many estuarine mouths in Brittany were empoldered for agricultural and sanitary issues. As such, the inner part of Tresseny Bay was transformed into meadows by a drainage system after the construction of a tide-mill in the 17th century (Fig. 2), whilst two dikes were built in the 1820s to drain the maritime marshes located in the lower valley of the Kerallé River (Kernic Bay).

181 **3.** Methodology

Our study of the Kernic and Lerret gallery graves is based on an innovative and multidisciplinary approach including a large panel of complementary analyses. First, the architecture of the monuments and the morphology of their constitutive blocks was investigated using a 3D-imagery analysis. Secondly, identification of the nature of the rock material and the location of potential sources of extraction were determined from a comparative petro-structural analysis of megalithic slabs and the

surrounding rocky substratum. The paleoenvironmental setting of the two monuments was 187 reconstructed and then discussed in reference to the Neolithic cultural landscape through an 188 189 intervisibility analysis.

190 3.1. 3D-imagery

191 To define the structural arrangement of the two monuments, 3D surveys were conducted at low tides 192 using photogrammetry. The images were captured by a ground-based operator with a Nikon D5000 193 camera (number of images = 465 and 453 for the Kernic and Lerret monuments, respectively) and the 194 point clouds were calculated using Agisoft Metashape 1.6.3. software. The merging, cleaning, 195 meshing, and interpolation of the point clouds to produce a digital elevation model (DEM) were conducted using CloudCompare v2.12 software. Unfortunately, the point cloud acquired on the Lerret 196 197 monument was unusable. Concerning the Kernic monument, a second 3D-model was produced with a 198 terrestrial laser scanner (TLS, Riegl VZ-400) from nine point clouds.

199 The scale and positioning of the elaborated DEMs were provided by accurate measurements of targets 200 scattered on the ground by the Differential Global Positioning System (Topcon Hiper V). All 201 measurements have been calibrated using the geodesic marker from the French datum and the 202 geodesic network provided by the National Institute of Geography (IGN).

203

3.2. Morphology of the slabs

204 Megalithic slabs are known to show structures of both natural (erosional) or anthropogenic origins 205 which can pre- or post-date their erection. Pre-megalith features are commonly evidenced on opposite 206 facets displaying contrasted morphologies as a function of their inner vs external position in the initial 207 source-rock massif. External or weathered faces usually show a curved surface which may further bear erosional features, such as bowl-like depressions, grooves, or striaes (Sellier, 1991, 1995, 2013; 208 209 Mens, 2008). On the other hand, inward-facing or fresh faces generally display a more planar and 210 sometimes slightly concave geometry, with a more angular shape. When showing erosional features, the latter are necessarily post-megalith structures. One major interest of post-megalith structures is that 211 212 they may provide diagnostical criteria about natural (geological/erosional) or human (transport, reusing, restoration) events post-dating the megalith building stage. Evidence for minor human reshaping was recognized from typical structures such as: (i) notches, mortises, or splinters resulting from pull-out, handling, or removal activities, and (ii) modification of block surfaces from polishing, hammering, or sculpted icnography (Boujot and Cassen, 1992; Boujot and Mens, 2000; Hinguant and Boujot, 2008, 2010; Cassen et al., 2014, 2016). In the present case, the entire microstructure pattern observed on the Kernic and Lerret megalithic slabs has been systematically diagnosed and referenced following rules established by Sellier (1991, 1995, 2013).

220

3.3. Identification of potential source-rock material

221 One main objective of the present work is to identify potential extraction sites for the slab material 222 involved in the two studied megaliths. That should in turn provide insights into the strategy used by 223 Neolithic people to select and transport source-rock material. For this purpose, the comparative 224 petrographic and structural analyses of the megalithic slabs and their respective surrounding rocky 225 substratum was performed, both in the field and in laboratory. The petrographic diagnosis of hand-226 specimens in the field has been completed by the microscopic inspection of collected rock samples. 227 This study chiefly concerns country-rock samples and only a small number of thin sections were made 228 from the slab material (in nearly detached fragments) for preservation purposes. As mentioned above 229 (see section 3.2), the morphological analysis of each individual megalithic slab was performed with 230 the goals of: (i) establishing correlations with geological structures observed in the surrounding 231 country-rocks and (ii) discriminating geological vs anthropometric structures. The great majority (if 232 not all) of the megalithic stones typically display a 3D-slab morphology that results from the 233 intersection of three nearly orthogonal (2 x 2) and planar surfaces. Most of the 3D-shaped slabs show two prominent dimensions, referred to as the length (L) and the height (H), and one minor thickness 234 235 dimension (T), with L > H >> T. Each dimension (L, H, and T) of a given 3D-slab is determined by 236 the spacing of one facet population. Without any strong evidence for significant human reshaping, most of the slab facet patterns are assumed to correspond to geological structures in the initial source-237 238 rock material. The magmatic (granitic) vs tectonic origin of these planar surfaces is deduced from the 239 Variscan geological context of the studied megaliths. The tectonic structures are genetically related to either a ductile and pervasive strain (foliation, shear zones,...), or a brittle and more widely spaceddeformation (fractures *sensu lato*).

242 *3.4. Slabs weight estimates*

The volume of the exposed parts of the Kernic gallery grave slabs was estimated from the TLS 243 surveys. The volume determination was executed with CloudCompare software via the volume 2.5D 244 245 function. For the Lerret gallery grave, the volume of the slabs was estimated from their dimensions (W 246 x H x T) measured on site. Since this method overestimates the real volume of the slabs, the initial 247 values have been corrected. Considering that the geometry of the slabs in the two monuments is similar, a correction coefficient has been defined from the data collected on the Kernic monument. It is 248 249 defined as the average difference in block volumes calculated by two methods (3D surveys and block 250 dimensions) and expressed as a percentage. From the volume of the blocks, the weight was determined using the mean density of granitic material estimated at 2667 kg/m³ (Daly et al., 1966). 251

252

3.5. Paleoenvironmental reconstructions

For the Lerret monument, paleogeographic interpretations were based on previous studies of the Holocene deposits in the inner part of the mouth of the Tresseny River (Goslin, 2014; Goslin et al., 2015; Stephan et al., 2015). They were complemented by geomorphological and archaeological data collected in both the northern and western parts of the estuary (Zeist, 1963; Giot et al., 1965; Hallégouët et al., 1971).

For the Kernic monument, a set of four vibracores was collected in the inner part of the mouth of the Kerralé River (Kernic Bay) in order to reconstruct the lithostratigraphy of the Holocene deposits and to deduce the paleoenvironmental changes that occurred in the time-span from the Neolithic tothe present day. The ground surface elevation was measured relative to the French ordnance datum (NGF) using a TopCon HyperV Differential GPS. The lithofacies that compose the Holocene sedimentary successions were described in terms of texture, organic content, and foraminiferal assemblages.

Their interpretation as depositional environments was done in agreement with classic models (Reineck
and Singh, 1980; Allen, 2000, 2003), regional studies of estuarine and coastal areas (Billeaud et al.,

266 2007, 2009; Lespez et al., 2010; Stéphan, 2011; Stéphan et al., 2015) and by comparisons with modern 267 coastal sediments in NW Brittany (Hallégouët, 1971; Guilcher et al., 1990). Seventeen carbon-rich 268 sediment samples were collected for AMS 14C dating performed at the « Laboratoire de Mesure du 269 Carbone 14 » (Saclay, France) and at the « Beta Analytic » (Miami, USA). As recommended by 270 several authors (Gehrels et al., 1996; Törnqvist et al., 1998; Gehrels, 1999), the radiocarbon 271 measurements have been limited to in situ detrital fragments of halophilic plants in order to minimise 272 errors due to possible contamination by older or younger carbon from rootlet penetration or washed material. All conventional radiocarbon dates (this work, previous studies) were calibrated with OxCal 273 274 v.4.4.4 software (Bronk Ramsey, 2021) using the IntCal20 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2020). All 275 dates are reported with a 2σ (95%) confidence interval in Tab. 1. The paleogeographic analysis in the 276 Kernic Bay area was completed by geomorphological and archaeological data previously acquired in the northern part of the estuary by Briard et al. (1970) and Morzadec-Kerfourn (1974), in addition to a 277 series of geotechnical surveys referenced by BRGM (https://infoterre.brgm.fr/). 278

279 3.6. Intervisibility analysis

280 The intervisibility analysis was conducted on all gallery graves (or/and lateral entrance graves) dating 281 to the Recent and Final Neolithic. It also includes the only Middle Neolithic passage grave of 282 Brétouaré. In fact, the re-use of passage graves during the Early/Final Neolithic has been documented 283 at numerous sites (see section 2.1) and their importance in the patterning of landscapes during the 284 Recent and Final Neolithic is frequently highlighted (eg. Blanchard, 2017, p. 297). Without 285 archaeological research, it is not possible to confirm that the Brétouaré passage tomb has been re-used but its barrow is still partly preserved (about 2 m height). In view of its topographical position, it must 286 287 have been largely visible in the landscape throughout the Recent and Final Neolithic.

A DEM at 5 m resolution was obtained by interpolating data from the Litto3D© (for the coastal area) provided by the SHOM (https://data.shom.fr) and BDAlti (for the inland areas) provided by the IGN. The sea surface was simulated at -3.39 m asl corresponding to the mean tidal level in this region at around 2900 cal BC (García-Artola et al., 2018). The intervisibility analysis was conducted with the QGIS 3.10.13 A Coruña software using the Viewshed analysis plugin (Čučković, 2016). The observation points were positioned in the centre of the monuments. In the case of destroyed monuments, their position was estimated according to the available documentation. The observer height was systematically set at 1.7 m corresponding to the average height of a mature adult. The target height selected in the parameters was 1 m for the gallery graves and 1.4 for the Brétouaré passage tomb. This target sizes are within the barrows size estimated for these structures. The extent of view was set arbitrarily at 15 km.

299 In order to describe the resulting visibility network and to identify the relationships between the place 300 of the monuments within it and their architectural characteristics, six indices partly inspired by social 301 network analysis (SNA) were calculated. Degree (i), closeness (ii), and betweenness (iii) centrality 302 indexs are classic tools of SNA and were calculated using the Visone 2.20 software 303 (https://visone.ethz.ch/index.html). Connection success index (iv) is defined as the percentage of visible target sites in a set of evaluated target sites (Čučković, 2014a). The visual connection of the 304 305 gallery graves with the Brétouaré passage tomb was also expressed as the passage grave connection 306 index (v): 1 = presence of the visual connection to the Brétouaré monument, 0 = no connection. Lastly, 307 the architectural characteristics of the gallery graves have been synthesised in an « architectural complexity index » (vi) defined as the sum of the values arbitrarily attributed to each structural 308 309 element (1 = presence of cella; 0.5 = supposed cella; 1 = presence of peristhalit; 0.5 = supposed 310 peristhalit). To evaluate the association between the resulting indices, a Sperman correlation analysis was performed with XLSTAT software (https://www.xlstat.com/fr/) and significant differences were 311 312 accepted at p < 0.05.

313 4. **Results**

314

4.1. The Lerret gallery grave

The Lerret gallery grave is located at the mouth of the small Quillimadec River, on the northern edge of the Tresseny embayment, west of the study area (Fig. 1b). Because of its low topography (1.50 m asl) on the beach, the basal and southern parts of the monument continuously lie in sea-water whilst the entirety of the monument is intermitently submerged during high tides (Fig. 2b).

319

4.1.1.Architectural and archaeological data

Though being long recognized by previous archeologists (Devoir, 1913; L'Helgouach, 1965; Giot et 320 321 al., 1998; Sparfel and Pailler, 2009), the Lerret megalith has never benefitted so far from accurate 322 investigations. Only the central part of the 13 m-long monument, i.e. the burial chamber, is currently 323 preserved. No barrow neither peripheral structures have been yet identified. The grave is oriented N-S 324 with the entrance to the north (Fig. 2a). The chamber is limited by 18 supporting stones, 13 of them 325 still stand up in their original position, whereas the five remaining ones are laying down inside the 326 chamber. The width of the monument increases from c. 1.50 m over its 5 m-long northern part up to 3 327 m southward (Fig. 2a). Its 1.30 m height is determined by the dimension of the orthostats. The three 328 cover slabs are preserved, but in a collapsed position (slabs n° 9, 23, 24 in Fig. 2a). Lastly, the bedside 329 slab which closes the chamber to the south is currently inaccessible as it is constantly submerged. No 330 radiometric age dating yet exists for the Lerret monument, but its Recent/Late Neolithic age is confidently deduced from its architectural style. 331

332

4.1.2.3D-morphostructural analysis and weight determination

333 Only 18 (of 25) slabs of the Lerret megalith have been accurately studied (Supporting Information 1), 334 as the others are inaccessible. They all display regular slab 3D-shapes (Fig. 3a). The dimensions (L, H, 335 T) of the pilars are slightly lower than those of the cover slabs and are in the ranges 0.48-2.1 m (1.8 m in average) for L, 0.20-0.50 m (0.36 m in average) for T and 0.36-1.76 m for H (0.92 m in average). 336 337 The cover slab dimensions are 1.0-1.5 m (1.3 m in average) for L, 1.8-2.45 m (2.11 m in average) for H and 0.4-0.5 m (0.45m in average) for T (Supporting Information 1). The morphological analysis of 338 the blocks is difficult because most of their surfaces are highly weathered and further covered by 339 340 seaweeds and marine organisms (barnacles), especially the partly immerged ones. As a result, 12 341 blocks (of 24) show at least one facet of undetermined nature (Supporting Information 1). Nevertheless, 21 fresh faces (over 34) have been identified, principally in the southern part of the 342 343 monument (Supporting Information 1).

344 Very few marks of stone extraction made by Neolithic people have been identified (Fig. 3b), and from 345 their discrete location on individual blocks they rather suggest that the latter were only locally 346 reshaped, probably for a better fitting of juxtaposed blocks. Similarly, no evidence of modification of 347 the slabs surfaces was observed. Evidence for post-megalith damage and sampling have been noted. 348 Though being partly collapsed, the eastern wall appears to be laterally disrupted (Fig. 2a). 349 These empty spaces might correspond tooriginal parts of the dray stone wall that are no longer preserved. A mixed technique like this, using both rocky slabs and dry stone, is very rare, but has been 350 observed in similar monuments elsewhere in NW France (L'Helgouach, 1965). A more likely 351 352 hypothesis is that the missing pilars and cover slabs were removed during post-megalithic times. The 353 uppermost parts of two pilars (slabs 3 and 4) from the western wall have been sliced off, probably 354 relatively recently, as suggested by the identification of metallic edge marks (Fig. 3c). More generally, 355 the fact that the Lerret monument shows very little evidence of human reshaping during Neolithic 356 times might reflect the will of these people to directly extract granitic slabs displaying regular 3D-357 shapes.

The average weight of the slabs is estimated at 1.88 T. The heaviest slabs were used to cover the monument. The two well-preserved capstones (no. 23 and 24 in Fig. 2a) are approximately 3.21 and 2.28 T respectively, while the mean weight of the pillars is 0.77 T. The total weight of the monument's slabs is estimated at 17.87 T.

362

4.1.3. Petrographic and structural analyses of the megalithic slabs

The existence of dense coating materials excludes the exhaustive petro-structural analysis of the 24 363 364 slabs, and only 18 of them have been accurately determined. They are all composed of a leucogranitic material, dominated by a quartz-K/feldspar-biotite porphyroblast assemblage, averaging 1 cm in size 365 and depicted on the thin section of the slab n°24 in Fig. 3e. This rock corrolates with the finer-grained 366 367 facies of the Brignogan porphyroid granitic country-rock. The proportion of strained and unstrained (or little) slabs is equal (x 9). The two types of rock material show no specific distribution in the 368 megalith arrangement (Fig. 2a). The strained ones display an internal planar fabric systematically 369 370 parallel to the greatest facet (H x L) of the slabs. The tectonic origin of this fabric is argued by the 371 alignment of deformed porphyroblasts in pervasive foliation (flattening plane) and/or shear surfaces 372 imaged on the thin section in Fig. 3e. The remaining, and much less deformed (or unstrained), granitic 373 slabs are composed of >2 cm K-feldspar porphyroblasts and smaller quartz-biotite assemblages that 374 tend to be oriented in a weak foliation planar fabric, as shown in the microscopic view of slab $n^{\circ}19$ in 375 Fig. 3d.

376

4.1.4.Potential source-rock material

377 The Lerret megalith occurs on the northern flank of the N110°-oriented, and c. 1 km-wide Tresseny 378 coastal embayment, at the transition between: (i) the westernmost edge of the Brignogan granite (NE) 379 and (ii) its metamorphic country-rocks (dominantly migmatites) and intrusive granitoids (SW) (Fig. 380 1a). The two parallel (N110°E) faults that limit the Tresseny graben-like depression are probably extensional structures that post-date to the south the N70°E Porspoder-Guisseny sinistral shear zone 381 382 separating migmatites (N) and the c. 300 Ma-old Ploudalmezeau granite (S) (Marcoux et al., 2004). The rocky substratum of the Lerret gallery grave is exposed as a discrete inlier of Brignogan 383 porphyroid granite (Fig. 4a). Its petrology is relatively homogeneous and dominated by quartz, K-384 385 felspar porphyroblasts, commonly >5 cm-long, and abundant biotite (Fig. 4c).

Strong correlations between the petrography of the Lerret gallery grave slabs and their immediate granitic country-rocks suggest a local origin of the megalithic material. The potential extraction sites must also satisfy two supplementary structural criteria dealing with the presence of (1) orthogonal joint networks and (2) a pervasive foliation/shear planar fabric parallel to one joint network as observed in the nine strained slabs.

Excepting a few large-scale boulders of isotropic (unstrained) granites (outcrop $n^{\circ} 4$ in Figs. 4a, h), 391 392 most of the granitic rocks exposed on the c. 100 m-long coastal section in the Lerret site are intensely 393 fractured, and thus satisfy, at first approximation, the first structural criteria above. However, a number 394 of 3D-fractured granitic zones have been cancelled because of the greater (outcrop n° 5, Figs. 4a, g) or smaller (outcrop n° 6, Figs. 4a, f) dimensions of the resulting slab-like blocks. Site n° 3 (Fig. 4a) has 395 396 also been ruled out because of the lack of one joint population, which results in over-sized (L) slabs. In 397 suitable fractured areas (outcrops n°1 and 2, Figs. 4a, b, i), the porphyroid granite is cut by a steeply-398 dipping (70°) joint network, oriented N80°E with an average spacing of 20-40 cm, that parallels a 399 pervasive shear fabric. The latter is well expressed in the thin section in Fig. 4d as cm-spaced surfaces, 400 the sinistral sense of displacement along them is deduced from the sigmoid shape of the foliation 401 planes (elongated quartz-biotite assemblage). This ductile shear-related strain is attributed to the 402 regional-scale Porspoder sinistral shear zone that extends c. 1 km further south. In the field, the 403 composite (brittle/ductile) planar fabric is dissected at high angle by a more widely-spaced and 404 steeply-dipping joint pattern, oriented N135°E (outcrop n°1) and N160°E (outcrop n° 2) (Figs. 4b, i). 405 Their intersection results, in addition to a much less regular orthogonal joint system, to 3D-slabs 406 showing comparable dimensions (lengths in the range 0.95-1.80 m) with the Lerret megalithic slabs 407 (Supporting Information 1). It is thus argued that the sheared granite exposed in sites 1-2, c. 50 m 408 away from the Lerret gallery grave, represents a potential source-rock for its strained constitutive 409 slabs. A second possible extraction zone of deformed granites with suitable 3D-fabrics is observed at 410 site 7 (Figs. 4a, e). There, the dominant joint pattern is nearly vertical and oriented N120°E, with a 411 regular spacing of 25-50 cm, parallel to foliation planes. The second submeridian joint network is 412 vertical (Fig. 4e). Site 7 is located at c. 200 m away from the Lerret megalith (Fig. 4a). Potential extraction sites of the remaining (x 8) little deformed slab population should occur in the unsheared 413 414 granitic bands observed within the PGSZ shear zone in the vicinity of the Lerret monument (Fig. 4a).

415

4.1.5.Paleoenvironmental setting

416 In the inner part of Tresseny Bay, south of the Lerret gallery grave, a set of 19 cores was previously 417 studied by Goslin (2014), Goslin et al. (2015), Stéphan et al. (2015), four of which are presented in 418 Figs. 5 and 7 (G-C11, G-C2, G-C1, G-C3). The base of the succession consists of well-humified basal 419 peat (with a mean thickness of 1 to 1.5 m) covering a weathered granite. The base of this deposit was 420 dated to 4452-4346 cal BC at core G-C2 and to 2842-2476 cal BC at core G-C3. The presence of 421 Phragmitus Australis macroremains and a very low amount of foraminifera both indicate a back-422 barrier brackish marsh (Stéphan et al., 2015). This basal deposit evolves into a 0.15 m thick black-peat layer, dated at 2800-2600 cal BC, that indicates the onset of slightly regressive conditions towards the 423 highest marsh deposit environments on the site (Goslin, 2014; Goslin et al., 2015). A coarse sand unit 424 overlies the basal peat at a depth of -2.2 m asl. The sharp transition suggests a rapid change toward 425 426 high hydrodynamic conditions. The age of this environmental change at ca. 950-750 cal BC is argued by a series of five radiocarbon dates obtained for the sandy-silty unit overlying the coarse sand layer
and indicates a very high sedimentation rate (Fig. 7). The absence of foraminifera and the low density
of detrital plant fragments suggest a sandflat environment (Goslin et al., 2013; Stephan et al., 2015).
The upper part of the succession is formed by an organic-rich fine sand unit within the seaward cores
and reed peat containing *Phragmitus Australis* macroremains in the landward cores. The foraminiferal
assemblages indicate a gradual change from a sandflat to a salt-marsh between 230 and 1400 cal AD
(Stéphan et al., 2015).

On Vougot beach (Fig. 5), in the northwestern part of Tresseny Bay, two cores (V-1 and V-3) were analysed by Goslin et al. (2013, 2015) and Goslin (2014). Core V-3 revealed a 0.65 m thick basal peat deposit overlying a pre-Holocene (Pleistocene loess) surface at -3.5 m asl (Fig. 7). The base of this deposit was dated to 5008-4838 cal BC (Goslin et al., 2013) and its top is eroded and currently exposed (Goslin, 2014). The macroremains of *Phragmitus Australis* and the absence of foraminifera suggest a marsh or brackish swamp environment.

The base of the V-1 succession is also formed by a 60 cm thick peat deposit overlying a pre-Holocene surface (Pleistocene loess) at -1.25 m altitude and dated to 2841-2467 cal BC (Goslin et al., 2013). The absence of foraminifera and the macroremains of *Phragmitus Australis* indicate a former marsh or brackish swamp environment. This basal unit is overlaid by a 1.6 m thick layer of white sand (between -0.55 and 0.75 m asl) and becomes gradually more organic at the top. The 0.1 m upper section corresponds to the peat deposit exposed at the surface (Goslin et al., 2013; Goslin, 2014).

446 At Curnic (Fig. 5), to the west of Vougot beach, a paleosoil formed on the Pleistocene silt (loess) and 447 containing numerous archaeological remains (ceramic pieces, knapped and polished stone tools, and a 448 series of fireplaces) was discovered in the intertidal zone (Briard et al., 1960; Giot et al., 1965; Giot, 1966). Analysis of the archaeological remains and radiocarbon dating indicate a Middle to Recent 449 450 Neolithic settlement (ca. 4800-3200 cal BC). All the remains were subsequently overlaid by a 12 cmthick peat deposit containing macroremains of Phragmitus Australis (Giot et al., 1965) (Fig. 7). 451 452 Palynological analysis of the peat indicates a marsh environment with occasional freshwater ponds 453 (Zeist, 1963).

At Tresseny (Fig. 5), to the north of the Lerret gallery grave, an archaeological settlement with similar characteristics as the Curnic site was documented in the intertidal area (Hallégouët et al., 1971). The ceramic pieces and the knapped and polished stone tools are contained in a paleosoil developed on Pleistocene silt (loess) and overlaid by a 0.1 m thick peat deposit (Fig.7). No radiocarbon dating was obtained, but the analysis of the archaeological remains indicates a Middle to Late Neolithic settlement (ca. 4600-2150 cal BC) (Hallégouët et al., 1971).

460 4

4.2. The Kernic gallery grave

The Kernic gallery grave is located on the northern edge of Kernic Bay where the Kerallée River flows
into the sea (Fig.1b). Because of its low altitude (2.30-3.50 m asl), the monument is partly submerged
during high tides (Fig. 4b).

464 *4.2.1.Architectural and archaeological data*

The Kernic monument is one of the only coastal megaliths which has been the subject of previous 465 466 archaeological investigations (Lecerf, 1983, 1984, 1985). The Kernic gallery grave is a 13.6 m 467 elongated monument, oriented NNE-SSW (Fig. 8a). It is composed of a tomb chamber and a terminal cell also referred to as a 'cella' (L'Helgouach, 1965). The 9.7 x 1.3 m tomb chamber is 1.2-1.6 m high 468 469 as deduced from the dimensions of the orthostats. It is bounded by 15 pilars (Fig. 8a). Two blocks are 470 currently missing (n W6 and E6), but their respective dug pits have been identified during previous 471 archaeological investigations. The entrance to the south is limited by two blocks (PFw and S on Fig. 8a). On its northern part, a 2.9 x 1.50 m annex cell, located behind the bedside slab, displays a 472 473 subtriangular shape (Fig. 8a). None of the initial capstones is still present.

The Kernic gallery grave was probably originally enclosed in a barrow no longer preserved. The only preserved remains are parts of : i) the internal wall, still present on the cella area and ii) a peristalith composed of slabs along most of the length of the monument, with the exception of its northern part. The initial dimensions of the barrow are estimated at 15-16 x 6 m.

The archaeological artefacts collected during previous investigations are ceramic pieces, knapped andpolished stone tools, in addition to ornamental elements (Lecerf, 1985). The Kernic gallery grave has

480 not been dated by radiocarbon methods, but its archaeological style, as well as its composite 481 archaeological remnants, all indicate its Recent/Late Neolithic age. Evidence exists for the re-use of 482 the Kernic galley graveas a funeral monument by Bell Beaker populations (Nicolas et al., 2013), 483 while Bronze age ditches, bounded by standing stones, present in the vicinity of the Kernic site, are 484 tentatively regarded as parts of a field system (Lecerf, 1985; Blanchet et al., 2019) (Fig.8a).

485

1.1.1.3D-morphostructural analysis and weight determination of slabs

486 In its present state, the Kernic megalith is composed of 62 individual blocks standing up vertically in 487 the ground as the preserved parts of the tomb chamber, the cella, the internal walls and the peristalith (Fig. 8a). Nearly all of them display regular 3D-shapes (Fig. 9), but with variable dimensions 488 489 accurately measured from our 3D-model (Supporting Information 2). L ranges from 0.31 to 1.87 m 490 (0.80 m in average) and T from 0.12 to 0.68 m (0.37 m in average). Because of recent damage, the 491 initial H dimension is not always preserved (see below) and it currently ranges from 0.30 to 1.65 m. (Supporting Information 2). The slabs forming the gallery grave systematically show greater 492 493 dimensions than those used in the peristalith. The average height of the preserved slabs constituting 494 the burial chamber and the cella is 1.23 m, while that of the slabs of the peripheral parts is only 495 0.69 m.

496 Discriminating initial weathered and fresh faces, as well as identifying natural microforms or human 497 reshaping, are made difficult because most of the block facets are relatively eroded under atmospheric 498 agents and sea action, and also because those partly immerged are commonly covered by seaweeds and lichens. Most of the studied slabs (39) display both weathered and fresh faces (eg. Figs. 9a, b). 499 500 Eight of them show two opposite *fresh faces* whereas two opposite exposed surfaces are observed on 501 four slabs. There are 11 slabs that display one or more surfaces of undetermined origin. The most planar surfaces (fresh faces) are principally pull-out ones forming the internal wall of the grave gallery 502 503 and the cella. This pattern is not systematically observed in the peristalith, since eight slabs (of 30) show a reverse orientation. Following criteria used by Sellier (2013), erosional forms have been 504 505 evidenced on only 18 slabs (Supporting Information 2). They chiefly consist of upper grooves, the pre-506 or post-megalith origin of which is not firmly established.

507 Very few slabs show evidence for artefacts synchronous to the building of the megalith. A few splinter 508 marks are present on slabs from the grave gallery (eg. Figs. 9b, c) and might have been made for a 509 better jointing of the slabs. No evidence for hammering or polishing has so far been observed. By 510 contrast, the re-use of slabs in post-megalith times has been argued by Lecerf (1985) in the grave gallery by two missing pilars (n°W6 and E4 in Fig. 8a) currently deduced from their dug pits. 511 Evidence for recent re-use is also found in the sliced uppermost parts of pilars in the cella (n°Wa, Wb, 512 513 Wc, and Ea in Fig. 8a) and in the burial chamber (n° W7, W8, E6, and E7 in Fig. 8a). That results in 514 their reduced height in the range 0.30-0.98 m. However, traces of slicing from metallic edges are not 515 present, contrary to reshaped megaliths observed in other sites. These sliced upper parts usually form a 516 horizontal surface, further intersected by a smaller and inclined surface (Fig. 9d). The techniques used 517 for these cuttings remain unknown. The presence of capstones over the burial chamber is also questionable, but is here confidently predicted from comparisons with other megalithic monuments in 518 519 Britanny (L'Helgouach, 1965a). A number of slabs are also currently missing on the eastern and western parts of the peristalith, while they have been totally removed to the north (Fig. 8a). 520

521 The weight of 61 of the 62 slabs of the Kernic gallery grave has been estimated and averages 0.63 T 522 (Supporting Information 2), but it changes significantly in relation to a slabs position in the monument. The average weight of the interior parts of the monument (burial chamber + cella + front) 523 is 1.04 T and those of the peristhalith and the internal walls is 0.32 T. The heaviest element is the 524 525 "table de chevet" (n°N1 in Fig.8a), which weights 4 T. The total weight of the slabs is estimated at 38.49 T. The weight of porphyroid facies and medium-grained facies slabs is nearly equal and 526 527 represents 14.74 and 13.34 T, respectively. The total weight of the fine-grained facies slabs is slightly 528 lower at only 10.41 T.

529

1.1.2.*Petrographic and structural analyses of the megalithic slabs*

The 61 slabs involved in the Kernic megalith are all composed of a leucogranitic material, dominated by quartz and K-feldspar porphyroblasts. However, the size and relative abundance of these constitutive minerals and those of white (muscovite) vs black (biotite) micas allow us to discriminate three distinct petrographic facies with porphyroblasts > 2-3 cm in porphyroid facies, averaging 1 cm in medium-grained facies, and < 1 cm in fine-grained facies (Figs. 10a, b, c, d). The microscopic inspection of a fine-grained granitic slab (Pw5 in Fig. 10d) confirms the modest size of the porphyroblasts and shows the relative abundance of biotites and plagioclases.

The spatial distribution and relative proportion of each type of granitic material in the Kernic megalith are depicted in Figs. 8a, c. The medium-grained granitic slabs are more numerous (27, i.e. 44%) and preferentially form the peristalith. The porphyroid and fine-grained slabs are equally present (17, i.e. 28%), the former ones appear as the main component of the gallery grave. Such a distribution is assumed not to be hazardous and to have been dictated from the greater, and more suitable, dimensions of the porphyroid slabs for the construction of the gallery grave.

543 Strong correlations between the three granitic facies identified both in the megalithic slabs and the 544 various types of granites in the surrounding Plouescat intrusion allow us to regard the latter as the 545 potential source-rocks of the Kernic megalith slabs. Then, identifying specific extraction sites can be 546 attempted using complementary structural criteria.

547 The facet pattern of the Kernic slabs shows no evidence of human reshaping, except for the very 548 recent (post-megalith) reworking of a few of them. They are thus assumed to correspond to natural planar fabrics that were exploited by Neolithic people for easier spliting of the granitic country-rocks 549 (eg. Figs. 9a, b). Evidence for internal pervasive ductile strains have not been observed in the slab 550 551 granitic material. The planar fabric outlined by aligned K-feldspar porphyroblasts on the upper surface 552 of slab n° Pw2 (Fig. 10d) has a magmatic origin and results from the magma flowing during the 553 intrusion of the pluton. Consequently, nearly the entirety of the facet patterns in the Kernic megalith 554 correspond to brittle fractures (joints), as those typically form during the cooling stage of any 555 magmatic intrusions. A similar 3D-orthogonal joint network should be necessarily displayed by the three petrographic facies of the Plouescat granitic country-rocks in order to be regarded as potential 556 557 extraction sites.

1.1.3.Potential extraction sites

The Kernic site occurs at the western extremity of the ca. 30 x 15 km Plouescat granitic pluton, on the 560 561 northern flank of an EW-oriented coastal embayment occupied by recent sediments (Fig. 11a). The metamorphic host-rocks of the granite are only exposed as a small patch of orthogneiss (Plounevez-562 563 Lochrist formation) at the southern end of Porz Meur beach (Fig. 11a) (Chauris et al., 1998). The 564 Plouescat leucocratic granite comprises three distinct map-scale petrographic facies that differ about 565 the dimensions of their common constitutive minerals, i.e. quartz, K-feldspar, white (muscovite) and 566 black (biotite) micas (Fig. 11a, b, c, e). (I) In a central position, the Brignogan facies sensu stricto is a 567 porphyroid granite with porphyroblasts usually > cm's (Fig. 11c). It is surrounded by two narrow belts 568 of (ii) medium-grained granite (Cleder facies) (Fig.11b) (iii) A third fine-grained facies (Mogueriec) 569 with <2 cm's porphyroblasts is extensively exposed further south (Fig. 11e). The only tectonic 570 deformation recorded by the Plouescat intrusion are variously-oriented fracture networks, without any evidence of ductile strain. The Kernic site occurs on the southernmost edge of the Brignogan 571 porphyroid granite (Fig. 11a). The closest potential extraction site forms a shallow platform in the 572 573 intertidal zone at the western extremity of the Porz Meur beach (outcrop $n^{\circ}5$ in Fig. 11a). There, the 574 Brignogan granite is dissected by three regular and orthogonal joint networks (Fig. 11c): (i) the most prominent joint pattern is oriented N135°E, in a nearly vertical attitude, and with a regular spacing of 575 0.4-0.7 m. These surfaces are disrupted by (ii) a steeply-dipping joint network, oriented N60°E, that 576 577 results in slab lengths in the range 0.2-2 m. (iii) A third, and less regular fracture population, perpendicular to the two former ones, determines slab heights of c. 1 m (Fig. 11c). The 3D-578 579 morphology of the resulting fractured blocks is quite similar to those of the porphyroid megalithic slabs, hence allowing us to identify a first potential extraction site at c. 600 m NW of the Kernic site 580 581 (Fig. 11a). Extraction sites for the medium-grained megalithic slabs are documented in the Cleder-type 582 granite exposed at the northeastern end of the Porz Meur beach (outcrop n°6 in Fig. 11a). There, the morphology of the shallow granitic platform is shaped by a joint network dipping shallowly at 20° to 583 584 the west, with an average spacing of 0.6 m (Fig. 11b). A second joint population, oriented N150°E in a 585 vertical position, determines, in addition to a more irregular third one, 3D-blocks displaying dimensions slightly greater than, but still suitable with, those of the petrologically similar slabs in the megalith. It is thus argued that the NE granitic shore of the Porz Meur beach represents a second extraction site, c. 700 m away from the Kernic megalith (Fig. 11a).

The potential source-rocks of the fine-grained megalithic material was investigated in the closest 589 590 Mogueriec-type granite exposed south of the Kerallée River (outcrop $n^{\circ}8$ in Fig. 11a). Under the 591 microscope, the exposed leucogranitic rock shows a mineral assemblage composed of quartz-592 K/feldspar-biotite porphyroblasts, < 1 cm, and subsidiary plagioclases (Fig. 11e), i.e. similar to the 593 petrology of the slab sample PW5. Most of the granitic outcrops are dissected by two orthogonal joint 594 networks, a vertical one (oriented N100°E) and a second one in a horizontal position. The resulting 595 3D-fractured blocks (Fig. 11e) correlate with many of the megalith slabs, hence suggesting the 596 location of a third source-rock, at c. 1 km south of the Kernic megalith (Fig. 11a).

597

4.2.2. Paleoenvironmental setting

598 Four vibracores were collected in the inner part of the Kerallé River (Fig. 12).

599 In core ANE-C1, sampled in the most seaward part of the Kerallé river valley (Fig. 12), the base of the 600 Holocene deposits was not reached (Fig.13). The lowermost part of the sedimentary sequence is 601 composed of a 5.4 m-thick silty sand unit containing estuarine carbonate foraminifera assemblages 602 typical of the intertidal zone (dominated by species *Elphidium sp.* and *Milliammina fusca*) (Fig. 13). 603 This deposit, dated between 4653-4452 and 1609-1437 cal BC, is thus confidently interpreted as an 604 intertidal sand flat. This unit is in turn overlain by 0.2 m-thick sandy silt deposit. The foraminiferous assemblage dominated by J. macrescens and T. inflata indicates the salt-march environment. The 605 606 upper part of the core ANE-C1 contains 2.2 m-thick alternating deposits of organic-rich silt and silty 607 peat dated between 1609-1437 cal BC and 434-601 cal AD. The absence of foraminifera indicates a 608 freshwater marsh environment (Fig.13).

609 Core ANE-C3 was collected in the inner part of the Kerallé river valley (Fig. 12). The lower part of 610 the sequence consists of a peat silt unit 0.7 m-thick (Fig.13) covering a weathered granite at -6.39 m 611 asl. The absence of foraminifera suggests a freshwater marsh environment. This unit is overlain by a 612 0.78 m-thick sandy silt layer, and becomes more organic at the top. The foraminiferal assemblages indicate a gradual change from a tidal flat to a salt-marsh environment. This deposit was dated from 613 614 5305-5064 cal BC to 5310-5079 cal BC. Between -4.87 and -5.58 m asl a peat layer devoid of 615 foraminifera indicates the development of freshwater wetlands. This peat is in turn overlain by a new deposit of sandy silt 0.71 m thick evolving to an organic silt. The foraminiferal assemblages suggest a 616 progressive transition from a tidal flat to a salt marsh environment between 4707-4509 cal BC and 617 618 4234-3990 cal BC. The upper part of the core ANE-C3 contains 7.8 m-thick alternating deposits of organic-rich mud and muddy peat. The absence of foraminifera indicates a freshwater marsh 619 environment (Fig.13). 620

621 Cores ANE-C2 and ANE-C4 were collected in the Le Kerrus river valley, a tributary of the Kerallé 622 River (Fig. 12). At core ANE-C2, the Holocene deposits overlay a weathered granite surface at -4.9 m 623 asl (Fig. 13). The lower part of the sequence is composed of a 1.73 m-thick peaty silt, the base of which dates to 5008-4838 cal BC. The absence of foraminifera suggests a freshwater marsh 624 625 environment. This basal unit is overlaid by a 2.21 m thick layer of silt and peat silt containing 626 estuarine foraminifera assemblages typical of the upper part of salt marsh (dominated by species 627 Jadammina macrescens and Trochammina inflata). At -0.74 m asl this deposit then evolved into a 0.83 m-thick silt unit dated between 3628-3375 cal BC and 2343-2136 cal BC. The characteristics of 628 the sediments and the absence of foraminifera suggest the formation of a floodplain. Its eroded surface 629 630 is overlain by a sandy layer dated around 2342-2136 cal BC and interpreted as a channel-fill deposits. The upper part of the sequence is composed of a peat and peat silt unit. The nature of the deposit and 631 632 the absence of foraminifera both indicate a freshwater marsh environment in perennially saturated flood basin. 633

Core ANE-C4 comprises 4.35 m-thick Holocene sediments resting on top of a granite surface at 1.85
m asl (Fig. 14). The lower part of the sequence is composed of a 3 m-thick peat silt unit. Its base dates
to 1218-1016 cal BC. The upper part of the sequence is composed of a peat unit. No marine influences
have been detected in this sequence.

West of Kernic Bay, four geotechnical surveys (B1, B2, B3, B4 in Figs.12 and 14) were conducted in the back of the Kerrema dune complex (https://infoterre.brgm.fr/). At B4, the 1 m-thick Holocene deposits overlie a granite surface at 5.1 m asl. Its position and nature are indicative of terrestrial sediments (Fig.14). At B1 and B3, fine sand deposits overlay the pre-Holocene altered granite at -6.5 and 0.6 m asl, respectively (Fig. 16). Their sand flat or aeolian environment is not firmly established. At B2, a 7 m-thick peat deposit overlying a granite surface at -1.9 m asl (Fig.16) may represent a marine or a freshwater marsh environment.

North of the Kernic gallery grave, a 0.6 m-thick zone of peat deposits exposed in the intertidal zone
overlies Pleistocene loess at about 2.4 m asl. (Briard et al., 1970; Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974a)
(Figs.13, 16). Its base is a charcoal level that dates to 2874-2574 cal BC. Palynological analyses
indicate a freshwater marsh environment (Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974a). The top of the unit, dating to
2016-1430 cal BC, contains sparse dinoflagellate cysts that reflect periodic intrusions of seawater into
the marsh at that time (Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974a).

651 *4.3.* Intervisibility analyses

652 The results of the intervisibility analysis of 12 monuments (including the Brétouaré passage grave and 653 11 gallery graves) are synthesized in Fig. 17. Of the 133 evaluated connections, 32 are positive. All 654 monuments are visually linked and eight of them have more than one connection. The two gallery graves under study show a strongly contrasted pattern. The Lerret gallery grave has only one visual 655 656 connection with the Languerc'h monument (locality Kerlouan). It is characterized by low values of the centrality index (degree = 0.18, closeness = 0.32, betweenness = 0) and low connection success index 657 (10%). By contrast, the Kernic gallery grave is connected to three other sites, including the Brétouaré 658 659 passage grave. The used indexes show higher values as compared to the Lerret monument (degree = 0.55, closeness = 0.41, betweenness = 0.02, connection successes = 27%) (Supporting Information 3). 660

The analysis reveals the central place of the Brétouaré passage grave (Plounévez-Lochrist) in this theoretic network. It is connected to the largest number of sites (n = 6) and has the highest index values (Fig. 15). A Spearman's rank test shows a significant positive correlation between the architectural complexity of gallery graves and their visual connection to the Brétouaré passage grave $(\rho = 0.025, r = 0.469).$

666 5. Discussions and interpretations

667 5.1. Two strategies for the exploitation of rock material

668 This study reveals contrasting strategies of rock exploitation for the Lerret and Kernic gallery graves.

669 Petrographic and structural analyses of the Lerret monument slabs show strong correlations with the 670 granitic outcrops present in proximity to the site. Three potential extraction sites (outcrops 1, 2, and 7 in Fig. 4) have been identified. They all supply similar rock material and are located at a short distance 671 from the monument (50 m for outcrops 1 and 2, 200 m for outcrop 7). Each of them was able to 672 673 provide a sufficient quantity of slabs for the total construction. The micromorphological analysis of the slabs reveals a dominance of *fresh faces*, suggesting the exploitation of a single rock outcrop 674 675 (Mens, 2008). Thus, parties that constructed the Lerret monument appeared to have focused their 676 efforts on the intensive exploitation of a single, local rock outcrop. This strategy potentially reduced 677 the energy required for construction by limiting the transport of the megalithic slabs. The availability 678 of building material may also have determined (at least in part) the erection site of the monument.

679 The petro-structural analysis of the Kernic monument slabs shows a very different pattern of rock exploitation. Our study confirms the local origin of granitic material in agreement with Chauris 680 (2021), but it also suggests the existence of three extraction sites in three facies of the Brignogan-681 682 Plouescat granitic complex. The three identified outcrops could have supplied all of the slabs for the 683 construction of the Kernic monument and neither of them is located in the immediate proximity of the 684 monument. This result seems to be confirmed by the morphological analysis of the slabs since a large 685 number of them present weathered faces indicating primary exploitation of the rocky outcrops (Mens, 686 2008) and a multi-source supply. The distance between the megalithic monument and the potential 687 extraction sites (up to 1 km) also indicates that the choice of the monument implantation was not 688 determined by the availability of construction material. However, the location of potential sites should 689 be considered with caution. Indeed, it is possible that several of the outcrops exposed during the 690 construction period are no longer visible, either as a result of quarrying, or due to recent overlying by 691 sand dune deposits after the Neolithic period (see Section 5.5). Thus, the distance between the supply 692 sources and the Kernic monument may have been shorter than estimated by this study. However, it is 693 clearly established that the three facies were not collected from a single site. The intentional 694 diversification of the supply sources, which implies displacement of blocks over several hundred 695 meters, appears very likely and required increased energy for their transport.

696 In agreement with the particular architecture of the two monuments, the differences in the choice of 697 stone extraction strategies between the two gallery graves may reflect the different status of the two 698 communities at their origin. Indeed, the Kernic gallery grave has a much more monumental 699 appearance than the Lerret monument, mainly due to the existence of external structures such as the 700 peristalith and the monumental facade. As a result, its construction required about three times as many 701 megalithic blocks. The total weight of the lithic material transported is thus twice as great. The 702 builders of the Kernic megalith were able to mobilize more resources, which also suggests greater 703 political and economical power.

704

4 5.2. A functional and symbolic interpretation of the construction material diversification

705 Regarding the selection of stones in the passage grave construction, it is a pivotal issue to understand 706 whether the decision to use certain stone types was based on functional considerations or rather on 707 esthetic or symbolic reasons. In fact, the use of different rocks can be based on the slab size or on the 708 mechanical constraints they should support in the construction. This interpretation has been applied to 709 various monuments, such as the passage grave of Puigseslloses, Barcelona, Spain (Vicens et al., 2010), 710 the monument of La Varde in Guernsey, Channel Islands, United Kingdom (Bukach, 2003) and to the 711 megalithic complex of Bougon, Deux-Sèvres, France (Mohen and Scarre, 1993; Scarre, 2004). 712 Concerning the two Neolithic monuments under study, this interpretation may only be applied to the Kernic grave. Indeed, the granitic rocks used in the Kernic gallery grave appear to have very similar 713 714 mechanical characteristics. No organisation of granitic facies according to block size or location was 715 identified, so the mechanical properties of the rocks clearly did not determine the constructors' choice.

716 A second issue concerns the potential inclusion of architectural elements from older monuments. This 717 kind of re-use has been frequently documented in Brittany, particularly in passage graves (Laporte et 718 al., 2011), such as the sculpted stele of Locmariaquer, which was broken and incorporated into two 719 burial monuments (Le Roux, 1985). However, this type of re-use appears unlikely in the case of the 720 Kernic gallery grave. Indeed, none of the slabs show modifications (engravings, removals or regularisation of the surfaces) in an irregular position with regard to the architecture. The study area 721 722 also seems to have been sparsely occupied during the Middle Neolithic as only one passage grave (the 723 Brétouaré monument) has been documented so far (Sparfel and Pailler, 2010).

724 Lastly, the diversification of construction material may also result from practical constraints linked to 725 work organisation. It is possible that each outcrop was successively quarried after the abandonment of 726 the previous one. This abandonment of supply sources may be due to the depletion of natural outcrops, 727 but also to changes in the construction project. However, the data collected in this study do not confirm this hypothesis, as it was previously highlighted that each outcrop identified was able to 728 729 supply all of the blocks necessary for the construction. Similarly, the Kernic monument shows a 730 coherent and uniform architectural plan and appears to be the result of a single architectural project. 731 The 'random' arrangement of the facies also suggests that the three supply sources were used simultaneously. Thus, the choice to use different rock material seems to be deliberate and determined 732 at the beginning of the monument's construction. 733

734 The symbolic and aesthetical qualities of the rocks have also often been considered in the megalithic 735 monumets in France (e.g. Gouézin, 2017; Mens et al., 2021). Some monuments, such as the Dissignac 736 tumulus (Saint-Nazaire, Loire-Atlantique) and the Dolmen de la Croix (Pornic, Loire-Atlantique), are composed of very contrasting rock types and colours (Mens et al., 2021). The disposition of the 737 738 different stones is very ordered and certain characteristics such as the preferential placement of the light-coloured stones at the entrance are present in many monuments. Therefore, the physical 739 characteristics of the rocks seem to contribute to a particular symbolism. However, Scarre (2004) 740 741 attenuates this interpretation and notes that the diversity of rocks used in the monuments might only 742 refer to the diversity of the surrounding geological context. It is perhaps the symbolic value of the natural outcrop that is incorporated into a monument beyond these physical characteristics (Scarre,
2004). That is supported by the integration of a few worked blocks in a number of megalithic
monuments. The aim to include natural rocks in monuments appears especially strong for Recent and
Final Neolithic periods, as a number of them were built on the basis of natural rock outcrops (Gouézin,
2015, 2017).

The three granitic facies used for the Kernic gallery grave do not display particularly contrasting visual characteristics, and the arrangement of stones is also very irregular. It is perhaps the symbolic value of rocks as much as the element of the surrounding landscape which was significant for those constructing the Kernic gallery grave, a symbolic value whose nature remains to be specified.

752 The identity value of specific rock types has been argued by Bukach (2003) about the Guernsey and 753 Jersey Islands passage graves. According to this author, stones from prominent geological complexes 754 could be imbued with both the sacred and mythical nature of the landscape. They can provide symbols 755 of identity and place, both within and between communities. The Kernic gallery grave may show this 756 type of association between places and different human groups unified during the construction of a 757 collective funeral monument. The quantity of each facies block and their irregular arrangement seem 758 to confirm this hypothesis. It was previously highlighted that the extraction of the slabs from the three 759 outcrops was probably carried out simultaneously. This suggests the existence of three distinct human 760 groups engaged in the extraction and transport of stones. The number and total weight of blocks on 761 each facies is nearly equal. It is therefore possible that each of these groups contributed a similar effort 762 to the construction of the Kernic gallery grave. The construction and utilization of collective burials, 763 such as gallery graves, is generally attributed to societies based on lineage (Gallay, 2011) or clan 764 systems (Testart, 2005, 2012). In this regard, it can be questioned whether the Kernic monument was 765 built as a collective burial of three clans (or lineages) forming part of a single community.

766

5.3. The distribution of gallery graves in the study area

Analyzing the spatial distribution of collective burials in the study area reveals differences between the
Lerret and Kernic gallery graves. It seems that the constructors of the Lerret monument had a much
smaller territory than those of the Kernic gallery grave.

770 In contrast to the Middle Neolithic monuments, the collective burials never occur in great 771 concentrations (L'Helgouach, 1956, 1965). Exceptionally, they are grouped by two or three 772 monuments as at Laniscat, Côtes-d'Armor (Le Roux, 1975, 1977). More generally, there is no more 773 than one gallery grave in a current locality (L'Helgouach, 1956). A collective tomb (or more rarely a 774 small grouping) seems to be representative of a single community (of the village type) in a given 775 territory. Furthermore, the access to the tomb may be reserved for the entire community or, on the 776 other hand, only for the members of one or several clans (or lineages) (Masset, 1997; Chambon, 2003; 777 Marçais, 2016). The existence of clustered monuments in relatively limited spatial areas can be 778 understood in two ways. Firstly, there may exist a chronological gap between each of the monuments 779 in the same group. A new monument could be erected if the older one is considered insufficient or 780 inadequate for the needs of the community that increased with time. Secondly, within each 781 community, several clans (or lineages) of similar importance and perhaps in competition, may coexist. 782 In this case, each of them may have its own burial monument located on the territory of the 783 community. The two hypotheses can also be combined with the emergence of important new clans (or 784 lineages) over time, possibly causing a territorial division in some cases.

785 The spatial distribution of gallery graves in our study area seems to support such a general pattern. If we consider monuments less than one kilometre distant as groupings, the distribution of collective 786 burials is very uniform. For the majority, the closest monuments are located at a distance between 3.9 787 788 and 4.3 km. However, there are exceptions to this pattern, of which the Lerret monument is an example. It is located only 1.3 km from the Languerc'h gallery grave. It is impossible to determine 789 790 whether the two monuments represent two distinct communities or two clans (or lineages) forming 791 part of the same community. However, in both cases, the constructors of the Lerret gallery grave 792 probably occupied a smaller territory than the communities at the origin of other burial monuments in 793 the study area, such as the Kernic gallery grave.

794

5.4. Intervisibility analysis and territory patterning

The intervisibility analysis carried out in this study reveals a relatively dense visual connection
network furthermore strongly structured by the Brétouaré passage grave (Fig. 15). It also emphasizes

797

798

some differences between the two monuments. The Kernic gallery grave seems to have an important place in this theoretical network, while the Lerret monument appears as a more subsidiary feature.

799

5.4.1.Limits of the method

800 Three main limits of this analysis can be highlighted. (i) Firstly, the visual barriers represented by the 801 vegetation are complex to consider. However, palynological research indicates that the coastal areas of 802 Brittany were cleared during Middle Neolithic times (e.g. Morzadec-Kerfourn 1974; Marguerie 1992). 803 In particular, in the study area, fire clearing has been documented as early as ca. 2900-2600 cal BC 804 (Morzadec-Kerfourn 1974a). It is therefore possible that the densely populated coastal areas in the 805 Neolithic period displayed an open landscape, largely devoid of dense forest vegetation. Cummings 806 and Whittle (2004) also highlight the high variability of vegetation cover, partly as a result of seasonal 807 variations. Thus, due to the spatial and temporal complexities of the vegetation patterns through time, 808 analyses conducted on uncovered ground seem appropriate (Čučković, 2014b). (ii) Additional visual 809 barriers may result from palaeogeographical changes that have occurred since the Neolithic period. 810 Indeed, the sea level variations as well as morphological and climatic factors have led to major 811 changes on the coastal zone (see section 5.5). In our study, only sea level rise was considered and 812 simulated at the mean tidal level around 2900 cal BC. There is a large amount of paleographical 813 evidence for this area (see section 5.5). Unfortunately, the evidence is insufficient to permit the 814 creation of an accurate DTM that would have taken into account all the environmental changes (filling 815 of coastal valleys, formation of dune complexes...). From this point of view, the results presented in 816 this paper are preliminary and will need to be developed in the future. (iii) Thirdly, the intervisibility analysis is based on an inherently incomplete set of archaeological data. Some monuments have 817 818 disappeared; their architecture and location are only recorded in ancient documents. In addition, in the 819 study area, only the Kernic gallery grave has benefited from previous archaeological investigations. 820 The monuments' architecture is mainly known from descriptions and analysis of the exposed 821 structures.

For these reasons, and considering the character of the investigated sites, the intervisibilityconnections identified should be interpreted with caution. The resulting network is considered here as

824 a synthetic picture of possible connections (perhaps even symbolic) rather than an established825 communication network.

826

5.4.2. Intervisibility links with the passage grave - a prestige element for the gallery graves?

Our intervisibility analysis shows the cohesion of the monument group under study. Indeed, all the megalithic tombs in the study zone are potentially visually interconnected. The density and the architectural resemblance, as well as the strong visual connectivity of the monuments, may indicate a coherent territorial and/or political unit composed of several distinct, but strongly connected communities.

Secondly, our analysis highlights the centrality of the Brétouaré passage grave in this theoretical network and the visually linked gallery graves are also the most architecturally complex monuments. In a society where reference to the ancestors (real or mythical) must have been very strong, it is possible that the visual link to an older monument may have been an important element when selecting the erection sites of new monuments (e.g. Wheatley 1995). The co-visibility with a passage grave may thus have increased the significance of the gallery grave.

838 In this perspective, and with regards to the existing monument groups described in section 5.3, it is 839 possible to detect a hierarchy between the gallery graves under study with 3 types of sites: (i) The 840 most important and architecturally complex monuments have a direct link to the Brétouaré passage 841 grave and show more visibility links with other monuments (e.g. Kernic, Mentoull Kereoc, Cosquer; Fig. 15) Some of them occupy a central place in a group as described in section 5.3 (e.g. Queran, 842 Languerc'h; Fig.15). (ii) The gallery graves forming part of a group and considered as subsidiary 843 features are architecturally less complex and present links only with the central tomb of the group (e.g. 844 845 Dievet, Lerret; Fig. 15). (iii) Lastly, the discrete monuments without any visible link with the Brétouaré passage grave also present less complex architectures (e.g. Kerbervez, Crec'h ar Vren, Fig. 846 847 15).

According to this interpretation, the Lerret and Kernic monuments are assumed to occupy a distinctposition within this theoretical network.

850 5.5. Paleoenvironmental reconstructions and their implications

851

5.5.1. Palaeogeography of the Tresseny Bay (Lerret gallery grave)

852 The paleogeographic reconstruction of Tresseny Bay (Lerret gallery grave) for the Neolithic period is incomplete, but it can be partly achieved by combining morphological and core sedimentological data. 853 854 Similar to other coastal areas in Brittany (e.g. Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974; Goslin et al., 2013, 2015; Stéphan et al., 2015), the first phragmites-dominated swamps formed at around 4900 cal BC in the 855 856 lower part of Tresseny Bay (core V-3, Figs. 5, 7), and then at around 4400 cal BC in its inland section (core G-C2 Figs. 5, 6). The development of the oldest basal peat occurred under the combined 857 influence of the slowing down of the Holocene sea level rise (Goslin et al., 2013, 2015; García-Artola 858 859 et al., 2018) and the stabilization of the first coastal barriers (Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974; Stéphan et al., 860 2015, Gorczynska et al., 2022).

Around 2700 cal BC, the sedimentary records show an expansion of wetlands throughout the bay. In 861 862 the lower part of the bay, phragmite-dominated swamps and freshwater ponds developed (core V-1 863 and Curnic site Figs. 5, 7). In the inner part of the valley, the peat deposit records a decrease in marine 864 influence (core G-C2, Figs. 5, 6). Stéphan et al. (2015) also revealed the extent of salt-marshes in other 865 Brittany coastal systems during this period. This expansion probably resulted from the development of gravelly or sandy coastal barriers that provided sheltered conditions for high-marsh development 866 867 (Stephan et al., 2015). Unfortunately, determining the exact position of such a system in Tresseny Bay from current data is a difficult task. However, the formation of freshwater marshes on Curnic Beach 868 869 (Van Zeist, 1963) and the development of peat deposits at the Tressenv site (Hallégouët et al., 1971) 870 indicate that the bay was largely protected from marine influence during Final Neolithic times and that 871 a coastal barrier system may have developed in its lower part. With respect to this pattern, the Lerret gallery grave, which is currently located in the upper part of the bay, was constructed in a protected 872 873 environment close to a high salt-marsh or freshwater swamps.

5.5.2. Palaeogeography of the Kernic Bay (Kernic gallery grave)

875

876 The paleoenvironmental evolution of Kernic Bay during the Neolithic-Bronze Age was defined from877 the analysis of sedimentary cores recorded in the inner part of the mouth of the Kerrallé.

The presence of foraminifera in the organic deposits at the base of the sedimentary sequences in core ANE-C3 attests to marine influence in the lower Kerrallé valley as early as 5400-5200 cal BC. As mentioned by many authors (Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1969; Goslin et al., 2015; Stéphan et al., 2015), the deposition of peat material at the base of the NW Brittany coastal sedimentary sequences is associated to the formation of extensive phragmite marshes around the present-day shoreline position at the beginning of the Neolithic period (see section 5.4.1).

From ca. 4500 cal BC, the lower Kerralé river valley was transformed into an estuarine mouth composed of (i) an intertidal sand flat at the ANE-C1 coring point, (ii) a salt marsh at the ANE-C2 coring point, and (iii) a freshwater marsh environment at the ANE-C3 coring point. This spatial distribution of depositional environments persisted until ca. 2200 to 1500 cal BC. Located more seaward, the Kernic archaeological remains were built along this estuarine mouth. Although no coring was done in front of the archaeological site, we can assume that the Kernic gallery grave topographically dominated a coastal landscape characterized by intertidal sand-flats.

891 The development of large transgressive dune complexes in Brittany, such as the Keremma complex 892 (Fig. 12), post-dates the Neolithic period (Gorczynska et al., 2022). Archaeological remains of the Iron Age and/or Medieval periods discovered in palaeosols located at the base of the aeolian deposits 893 confirm that the principal phase of dune formation occurred after the construction of the Kernic 894 895 monument (Giot and Marguerie, 1994). It is possible that in the Neolithic period, small coastal sand 896 barriers were formed, establishing an evolutive basis for the present dune complex. However, their 897 development in this period seems insufficient as to permit the formation of wetlands in the 898 southwestern part of the estuary. Indeed, the geotechnical surveys (core B-3 an B-1 in Figs. 12, 14) 899 conducted in this area reveal mainly sandy sedimentation, hence suggesting a sand-flat environment. 900 In accord with this data, it appears that Kernic Bay was significantly more opened to the sea at the 901 moment of the monument's construction than at the present.

5.5.3. The role of valleys and estuaries in Recent/Final Neolithic landscape patterns

Our paleogeographic analysis reveals that the two monuments under study were built and used in quite 903 904 different paleo-environments. The Lerret gallery grave was erected close to a marshland zone 905 (brackish or freshwater), while the Kernic monument was constructed along an estuary, widely open to 906 the sea. This suggests a quite distinct role for the two coastal systems in the Recent/Final Neolithic 907 cultural landscape. Tresseny Bay appears to be at the periphery of the group of monuments. It may 908 have been a territorial limit to all the communities established in this area. In contrast, Kernic Bay and, 909 more largely Goulven Bay, seem to be in the centre of this territory. The orientation of the gallery 910 graves suggests the importance of this maritime area for all of these communities. Indeed, the Kernic 911 monument, but also those of Mentoull Kereoc and Cosquer, present facades facing towards the bay. 912 The Brétouaré passage grave is a major landmark in this coastal landscape. It appears that in the case 913 of Kernic Bay (and more generally Goulven Bay) the maritime space is integrated into the 914 communities' territory and has a particular importance in its organisation. Anthropological studies 915 have highlighted that maritime spaces (and also lakes or rivers), in some geographical and cultural 916 contexts, can be the subject of a physical and mental territorialization by communities (e.g. Bataille 917 Benguigui, 1992; Calandra, 2018; Cormier-Salem and Mbaye, 2018). With this perspective, the 918 inclusion of rocks from the two shores of the estuary in the Kernic monument could be very symbolic. 919 It involves the transport of blocks over a maritime zone. The monument could thus be a visible and 920 lasting demonstration of navigation techniques. But it may also indicate a symbolic integration of this 921 maritime territory into the Kernic monument and thus perhaps into the territorial system of the 922 community(ies) that constructed it.

923 6. Conclusions

The Kernic and Lerret gallery graves under study were previously regarded as identical monuments, constructed in similar environments. Our study, based on a number of interdisciplinary approaches, instead reveals important differences between the two monuments in terms of architecture, source-rock material, and geographical position. The Lerret monument was probably erected close to the stone extraction sites in a marsh environment. The spatial analysis also indicates its subsidiary and

929 peripheral place in a group of megalithic monuments located in the study area. In contrast, the Kernic 930 gallery grave shows a deliberate diversification of rocky material with three potential supply sources, 931 the furthest of which is situated approximately 1 km from the monument. The Kernic monument was 932 built on the border of an estuary widely exposed to the sea and its construction required the transport 933 of the megalithic blocks through this maritime zone. This tomb also seems to occupy a more central 934 position in a group of clustered monuments.

We also propose a social interpretation of the obtained results. We suggest that in the case of the Kernic monument the rocks carry a particular symbolism, referring to specific places in the landscape and/or to human groups gathered in its construction. It also appears that some maritime areas such as Kernic Bay had an important role in the patterning of landscapes during the Recent/Final Neolithic. With regards to their architecture and geographical position, we also suppose that the visual connection with the other megalithic sites, and in particular with the only passage grave, was an important factor in the choice of the gallery graves location in this zone.

942 The new approach applied here to megalithic monuments is based on the precise identification of the 943 source-rock material, a fine reconstruction of the paleoenvironment and intervisibility analyses carried 944 out on a coherent set of sites. Addressing the petro-structural properties when combined to a 3D-945 micro-morphological study provides a characterization of each block of the monuments. The 946 identification of potential sources of extraction has been carried out by a comparative study of the 947 petrographic, structural, and micromorphological characteristics of the blocks and those of the 948 surrounding country-rocks. This approach suggests that some megalithic sites show a deliberate 949 diversification of local source-rock material. It is also shown that 3D monument models are an 950 interesting support for this type of study, particularly in the case of sites with limited access. The 951 palaeogeographic research, conducted at the scale of each coastal system adjacent to the sites, shows 952 that major palaeo-environmental changes occurred in the two coastal areas and it further highlights the disparities in their Holocene evolution, underlining the various responses of coastal systems to 953 954 Holocene sea level rise and climatic variations. Thus, it appears that using locally obtained data is a 955 pre-requisite for elaborating accurate palaeogeographical reconstructions to individual archaeological sites. The intervisibility analysis carried out on all the Neolithic funerary megalithic monuments
present in the study area shows a dense network of visual connections, which seems to be a strong
element in the patterning of Neolithic coastal territories.

Our study shows the high potential of a multidisciplinary approach for studying megalithic monuments, and the overlapping scales of the applied analyses allow the elaboration of several new hypotheses about the social and territorial organisation of Neolithic societies. Finally, it demonstrates that despite their extreme degradation, the Kernic and Lerret monuments are able to provide quite fruitful information about the Recent/Final Neolithic societies and their relationships with the natural environment.

966 Acknowledgements

This work was part of a PhD thesis (Aneta Gorczynska) financed by the University of Brest (UBO). 967 968 The authors would like to thank the LTSER-France "Zone Atelier Brest-Iroise" (CNRS-INEE) and ArMeRIE programs funded by the University of Brest (UBO) for their fruitful interdisciplinary 969 exchanges about human ecodynamics. This research was supported by the ISblue project, the 970 interdisciplinary graduate school for the blue planet (ANR-17-EURE-0015), co-funded by a grant 971 from the French government under the program "Investissements d'Avenir". We also benefited from 972 the support of GEOPRAS project (GEOarchaeology and PRehistory of Atlantic Societies, ANR-21-973 CE27-0024-01). The investigation of the archaeological sites was conducted with support and 974 975 supervision of the Service Régional d'Archéologie (SRA) and the Département des recherches 976 archéologiques subaquatiques et sous-marines (DRASSM, OA2865).

977 CRediT author statement.

Aneta Gorczynska: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing, Original draft preparation, Data
curation, Visualization, Investigation. Bernard Le Gall: Conceptualization, Methodology, WritingReviewing and Editing, Investigation, Supervision. Pierre Stéphan: Conceptualization, Methodology,
Writing-- Reviewing and Editing, Supervision. Yvan Pailler: Conceptualization, Methodology,
Writing-- Reviewing and Editing, Supervision

984 **References:**

- Allen, J. R. L., (2000). Holocene coastal lowlands in NW Europe: Autocompaction and the uncertain
 ground. *Geological Society, Special Publication, 175, 239–252.*https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2000.175.01.18
- Allen, J. R. L., (2003). An eclectic morphostratigraphic model for the sedimentary response to
 Holocene sea-level rise in northwest Europe. *Sedimentary Geology*, 161(1-2), 31–54.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00394-9
- Authemayou, C., Le Gall, B., Caroff, M., & Bussien Grosjean, D., (2019). Wrench-Related Dome
- 992 Formation and Subsequent Orogenic Syntax Bending in a Hot Orogen (Variscan Ibero-Armorican
- 993 Arc, the Ouessant Island, France). Tectonics, 38(10), 3563-3585.
- 994 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005189
- 995 Baltzer, A., Cassen, S., Walter-Simonnet, A.-V., Clouet, H., Lorin, A., & Tessier, B., (2015).
- 996 Variations du niveau marin Holocène en Baie de Quiberon (Bretagne sud) : marqueurs archéologiques
- 997 et sédimentologiques. Quaternaire, Revue de l'Association française pour l'étude du Quaternaire,
- 998 26(2), 105–115. <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/quaternaire.7201</u>
- Bataille Benguigui, M.-C., (1992). Pêcheurs de mer, pêcheurs de terre. La mer dans la pensée
 tongienne. *Études rurales*, 127, 55–73. https://doi.org/10.3406/rural.1992.3380
- Bentamy, A., & Croize-Fillon, D., (2014). Spatial and temporal characteristics of wind and wind
 power off the coasts of Brittany. *Renewable Energy*, 66, 670–679.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.01.012
- 1004 Billeaud, I., Tessier, B., Lesueur, P., & Caline, B., (2007). Preservation potential of highstand coastal
- sedimentary bodies in a macrotidal basin: Example from the Bay of Mont-Saint-Michel, NW France.
- 1006 Sedimentary Geology, 202(4), 754–775. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2007.09.002
- 1007 Billeaud, I., Tessier, B., & Lesueur, P., (2009). Impacts of late Holocene rapid climate changes as
- 1008 recorded in a macrotidal coastal setting (Mont-Saint-Michel Bay, France). Geology 37(11), 1031–
- 1009 1034. https://doi.org/10.1130/G30310A.1

- 1010 Blanchard, A., (2017). Néolithique récent de l'Ouest de la France: IVe IIIe millénaires avant J.-C.
 1011 Presses universitaires de Rennes.
- Blanchet, S., Favrel, Q., Fily, M., Nicolas, C., Nicolas, T., Pailler, Y., & Ripoche, J., (2019). Le
 Campaniforme et la genèse de l'âge du Bronze ancienen Bretagne : vers une nouvelle donne ?. In:
 Montoya, C., Fagnart, J.-P., Locht, J.-L.(Eds.) *Préhistoire de l'Europe du Nord-Ouest : mobilités, climats et identités culturelles: Vol. 3, Néolithique Age du Bronze, 28e Congrès préhistorique de France, Amiens, 30 mai 4 juin 2016* (pp. 269–288) *Société préhistorique française.*Bonnet, S., Guillocheau, F., Brun, J.-P., & Driessche, J. Van Den, (2000). Large-scale relief
- development related to Quaternary tectonic uplift of a Proterozoic-Paleozoic basement: The
 Armorican Massif, NW France. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(B8), 19273-19288.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900142
- Bonniol, D., & Cassen, S., (2009). Les orthostates de la Table des Marchands et les stèles en orthogneiss à l'entrée de l'estuaire des rivières d'Auray et de Vannes. In: Cassen, S. (Ed.). Autour de La *Table. Explorations Archéologiques et Discours Savants Sur Des Architectures Néolithiques à*Locmariaquer, Morbihan (pp. 685–701) LARA, Université de Nantes.
- Boujot, C., & Cassen, S., (1992). Le développement des premières architectures funéraires
 monumentales en France occidentale. In: Le Roux Ch.-T. (Ed.) *Paysans et bâtisseurs : l'émergence du Néolithique atlantique et les origines du mégalithisme, Revue Archéologique de l'Ouest. Supp. 5, 17ème Colloque interrégional sur le Néolithique, Vannes, 28-31 octobre 1990,* (pp. 195–211) *Association pour la diffusion des recherches archéologiques dans l'Ouest de la France.*
- Boujot, C., & Mens, E., (2000). Base documentaire "alignements de Carnac": rapport sur
 l'opération de terrain (mai-juin 1998, juin-août 1999, janvier-avril 2000). Service régional de
 l'Archéologie.
- Briard, J., Gautier, M., & Leroux, G., (1995). Les mégalithes et les tumulus de Saint-Just Ille-et-*Vilaine: évolution et acculturations d'un ensemble funéraire, 5000 à 1500 ans avant notre ère.*Comité des travaux historiques et scientifiques.

- Briard, J., Guerin, C., Morzadec-Kerfourn, M.-T., & Plusquellec, Y., (1970). Le site de Porsguen en
 Plouescat (Finistère nord). Faune, flore, archéologie. Bulletin de la Société géologique et
 minéralogique de Bretagne, 2, 45–60.
- 1039 Briard, J., Warerbolk, T., Van Zeist, W., Müller-Mille, M., Giot, P.-R., & L'Helgouach, J., (1960).
- 1040 Une station du Néolithique primaire Armoricain: Le Curnic en Guisseny (Finistère). Bulletin de la
- 1041 *Société préhistorique française, 1,* 38–50.
- 1042 Bronk Ramsey, Ch., (2021). OxCal Project. https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal/OxCal.html
- 1043 Bukach, D., (2003). Exploring identity and place: An analysis of the provenance of passage grave
- stones on Guernsey and Jersey in the Middle Neolithic. Oxford Journal of Archaeology, 22(1), 23–33.
- 1045 https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0092.00002
- 1046 Calandra, M., (2018). Une horticulture maritime? Pratiques et perceptions de la mer à Tongoa
 1047 (Vanuatu). *Revue d'ethnoécologie*, *13*, 170-191. https://doi.org/10.4000/ethnoecologie.3405
- 1048 Caroff, M., Grall, B., Moysan, M., Le Gall, B., & Cherel, A.-F., (2016). Aires d'extraction et
- 1049 façonnage des stèles de l'âge du Fer de Cornouaille (Finistère, France). Apports de la pétrographie et
- 1050 de l'analyse structurale des roches. *Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française*, *113*(4), 765–784.
- 1051 Caroff, M. & Le Gall, B. (2013). *Curiosités géologiques du Léon. De l'île d'Ouesant à l'île de Batz.*1052 BRGM Editions.
- 1053 Caruana, J., & Stroud, K., (2020). Megalithic site intervisibility: a novel phenomenological approach.
- 1054 In: Malone, C., Grima, R., Mc Laughlin, R., Parkinson, E. W., Stoddart, S., & Vella, N. (Eds.). Temple
- 1055 Places. Excavating cultural sustainability in prehistoric Malta (pp. 447–456). McDonald Institute for
- 1056 Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge. <u>https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.62630</u>
- 1057 Cassen, S., Baltzer, A., Lorin, A., Fournier, J., & Sellier, D., (2012). Submarine Neolithic stone rows
- 1058 near Carnac (Morbihan), France: preliminary results from acoustic and underwater survey, in:
- 1059 Benjamin, J., Bonsall, C., Pickard, C., & Fischer, A. (Eds.), Submerged Prehistory (pp. 99-110.)
- 1060 Oxbow Books

Cassen, S., Boujot, C., Errera, M., Menier, D., Pailler, Y., Pétrequin, P., Marguerie, D., Veyrat, E.,
Vigier, E., Poirier, S., Dagneau, C., Degez, D., Lorho, T., Neveu-Derotrie, H., Obeltz, C., Scalliet, F.,
& Sparfel, Y., (2010). Un dépôt sous-marin de lames polies néolithiques en jadéitite et sillimanite, et
un ouvrage de stèles submergé sur la plage dite du Petit Rohu près Saint-Pierre-Quiberon (Morbihan). *Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française 107*(1), 53–84. https://doi.org/10.3406/bspf.2010.13910
Cassen, S., Chaigneau, C., Lescop, L., Querré, G., Rousset, J.-M., Grimaud, V., & Viger, E., (2016).
Déplacement des mégalithes extraordinaires sur le littoral morbihanais. Modèles d'embarcations et

- 1068 questions relatives à la navigation atlantique dès le V ème millénaire av J.-C. In: *La maritimisation du*
- 1069 monde de la préhistoire à nos jours. GIS d'histoire maritime (pp. 237–306.) Presses de l'université de
- 1070 Paris-Sorbonne
- 1071 Cassen, S., Grimaud, V., Lescop, L., Morel, D., & Querré, G., (2014). Bienfaits et limites d'un
- 1072 enregistrement lasergrammétrique dans la tombe à couloir de Gavrinis (Morbihan, France). In : Costa
- 1073 L., Djindjian F., Giligny F. (Eds.) Actes des 3èmes Journées d'Informatique et Archéologie de Paris –
- 1074 JIAP 2012 (pp. 47–59.). Archeologia e Calcolatori, supplemento 5
- 1075 Cassen, S., Grimault, V., & Obeltz, C., (2019). Architectures monumentales néolithiques submergées
 1076 en Morbihan. *Les nouvelles de l'archéologie 156*, 60–66. <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/nda.7021</u>
- 1077 Cassen, S., Lanos, P., Dufresne, P., Oberlin, C., Delqué-Kolic, E., & Goffic, M.L., (2009). Datations
- 1078 sur site (Tables des Marchands, alignement du Grand Menhir, Er Grah) et modélisation chronologique
- 1079 du néolithique morbihanais. In : Cassen, S. (Ed.). Autour de la table. Explorations archéologiques et
- 1080 discours savants sur des architectures néolithiques à Locmariaquer, Morbihan (pp. 737–768) LARA,
- 1081 Université de Nantes.
- 1082 Chambon, P., (2003). Les morts dans les sépultures collectives néolithiques en France: du cadavre
 1083 aux restes ultimes. CNRS Éditions.
- 1084 Chambon, P., & Salanova, L., (1996). Chronologie des sépultures du IIIe millénaire dans le bassin de
 1085 la Seine. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française 93(1), 103–118.
 1086 https://doi.org/10.3406/bspf.1996.10104

- 1087 Chauris, L., (2009). Lithologie et mégalithes, impacts de la géologie sur le mégalithisme, In: Sparfel,
- 1088 Y., & Pailler Y., *Les Mégalithes de l'arrondissement de Brest* (pp. 46–51). Centre Régional
 1089 d'Archéologie d'Alet, Institut Culturel de Bretagne.
- 1090 Chauris, L., (2021). Pour une géoarchéologie du patrimoine : pierres, carrières et constructions en
- 1091 Bretagne. Revue archéologique de l'Ouest 37, 323-361. https://doi.org/10.4000/rao.6699
- 1092 Chauris, L., & Hallégouët, B., (1989). *Carte géologique de la France (1/50 000), Feuille Le Conquet*1093 (273). BRGM Éditions.
- 1094 Chauris, L., Marcoux, É., Le Goff, É., Thiéblemont, D., & Carn, A., (1998). *Notice explicative, Carte*
- 1095 géologique de la France (1/50 000), feuille Saint-Pol-de-Léon (201). BRGM Éditions.
- 1096 Cormier-Salem, M.-C., & Mbaye, A., (2018). Les «terroirs» maritimes revisités. Revue
- 1097 *d'ethnoécologie, 13*, 145-169. <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/ethnoecologie.3433</u>
- 1098 Cousseau, F., Nicholls, J., Besse, M., (2020). Discovery of a multi-chambered long cairn at
 1099 Goasseac'h, Carhaix-Plouguer, central Brittany, France. Antiquity 94(378).
 1100 https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2020.204
- 1101 Čučković, Z., (2014a). Exploring intervisibility networks : a case study from Bronze and Iron Age
- 1102 Istria (Croatia and Slovenia). In: Giligny, F., Djindjian, F., Costa, L., Moscati, P., & Robert, S., CAA
- 1103 2014 21st Century Archaeology : Concepts, Methods and Tools (pp. 469–478). Archaeopress.
- 1104 Čučković, Z., (2014b). De l'analyse de visibilité à la culture visuelle : un apport des systèmes
- 1105 d'information géographique (SIG) à l'archéologie sociale. In : Nordez, M., Rousseau, L. & Cervel,
- 1106 M., (Eds.), 2015, Recherches sur l'âge du Bronze. Nouvelles approches et perspectives, Actes de la
- 1107 *journée d'étude de l'APRAB, 28 février 2014, Musée d'Archéologie Nationale* (pp. 30–40). Bulletin de
- 1108 l'Association pour la Promotion des Recherches sur l'Age du bronze, Supplément n° 1.
- 1109 Čučković, Z., (2016). Advanced viewshed analysis: a Quantum GIS plug-in for the analysis of visual
- 1110 landscapes. The Journal of Open Source Software, 1(4), 32. <u>https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00032</u>
- 1111 Cummings, V., & Whittle, A.W.R., (2004). Places of special virtue: megaliths in the Neolithic
- 1112 *landscapes of Wales*. Oxbow Books. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvh1djh5</u>

- 1113 Daly, R.A., Manger, G.E., & Clark, S.P., (1966). Section 4: Density of rocks. In: Sydney, P., & Clark,
- Jr., Handbook of Physical Constants, GSA Memoirs (pp.19-26). Geological Society of America.
 https://doi.org/10.1130/MEM97-p19
- 1116 Devoir, A., (1913). Première contribution à l'inventaire des monuments mégalithiques du Finistère.
- 1117 Bulletin de la Société archéologique du Finistère, 40, 264–270.
- Gaillard, F., (1883). Les fouilles des dolmens de Port-Blanc à Saint-Pierre-Quiberon. *Bulletin de la Société polymathique du Morbihan*, 6–19.
- 1120 Gallay, A., (2011). Les sociétés mégalithiques: pouvoir des hommes, mémoire des morts. Presses
- 1121 polytechniques et universitaires romandes.
- 1122 García-Artola, A., Stéphan, P., Cearreta, A., Kopp, R.E., Khan, N.S., & Horton, B.P., (2018).
- 1123 Holocene sea-level database from the Atlantic coast of Europe. Quaternary Science Reviews, 196,
- 1124 177–192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.07.031</u>
- 1125 Gehrels, W.R., (1999). Middle and Late Holocene sea-level changes in Eastern Maine reconstructed
- 1126 from foraminiferal saltmarsh stratigraphy and AMS 14C dates on basal peat. Quaternary Research,
- 1127 52(3), 350–359. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.1999.2076</u>
- 1128 Gehrels, W.R., Belknap, D.F., & Kelley, J.T., (1996). Integrated high-precision analyses of Holocene
- 1129 relative sea-level changes: Lessons from the coast of Maine. Geological Society of America Bulletin,
- 1130 108(9), 1073–1088. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1996)108<1073:IHPAOH>2.3.CO;2</u>
- 1131 Georget, Y., (1986). *Nature et origine des granites peralumineux à cordiérite et des roches associées.*
- 1132 Exemples des granitoides du Massif Armoricain (France) : Pétrologie et géochimie. [Doctoral
- dissertation, Université Rennes 1]. Mémoires et documents du Centre armoricain d'étude structurale
 des socles, n°9.
- 1135 Gillings, M., (2009). Visual affordance, landscape, and the megaliths of Alderney. Oxford Journal of
- 1136 Archaeology, 28(4), 335–356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0092.2009.00332.x</u>
- 1137 Giot, P.-R., (1966). Chronique des datations radiocarbone armoricaines. Annales de Bretagne et des
- 1138 pays de l'Ouest, 73(1), 124–129. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/abpo.1966.4561</u>

- 1139 Giot, P.-R., (1998). La dune ancienne de la baie d'Audierne. *Norois, 179*, 487–494.
 1140 https://doi.org/10.3406/noroi.1998.6889
- Giot, P.-R., Chauris, L., & Morzadec, H., (1995). L'apport de la pétrographie à l'archéologie
 préhistorique sur l'exemple du cairn de Barnenez en Plouezoc'h (Finistère). *Revue Archéologique de*
- 1143 *l'Ouest, 12*, 171–176. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/rao.1995.1032</u>
- 1144 Giot, P.-R., & Cogné, J., (1955). Étude pétrographique des haches polies de Bretagne. IV.- Les haches
- 1145 de combat en métahornblendite. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française, 52(7), 401–409.
 1146 https://doi.org/10.3406/bspf.1955.3226
- 1147 Giot, P.-R., L'Helgouach, J., Briard, J., Talec, L., Leroux, C.-T., Onnée, Y., & Zeist, W. van, (1965).
- 1148 Le site du Curnic en Guissény (Finistère). Annales de Bretagne, 72(1), 49–70.
 1149 https://doi.org/10.3406/abpo.1965.2245
- Giot, P.-R., & Marguerie D., (1994). Les traces d'aménagement et d'agriculture en Armorique. *Penn ar Bed*, *153/154*, 44-55.
- Giot, P.-R., Monnier, J.-L., & L'Helgouach, J., (1998). *Préhistoire de la Bretagne*. Éditions OuestFrance Université.
- Giot, P.-R., & Morzadec, H., (1992). Des dolmens à couloir au péril des mers actuelles. *Revue Archéologique de l'Ouest*, 9, 57–66. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/rao.1992.979</u>
- 1156 Giot, P.-R., & Querré, G., (1987). Premiers apports de la Pétro-Archéologie à l'étude des poteries
- 1157 préhistoriques et protohistoriques armoricaines. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française, 84(6),
- 1158 177–182. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/bspf.1987.9828</u>
- Goré, B., & Le Corre, C., (1987). Cinématique hercynienne du cisaillement nord-armoricain à la
 bordure du granite syntectonique de Saint Renan-Kersaint (Finistère). Bulletin de la Société *Géologique de France, 3*(5), 811–819. <u>https://doi.org/10.2113/gssgfbull.III.5.811</u>
- 1162 Goslin, J., (2014). Reconstitution de l'évolution du niveau marin relatif holocène dans le Finistère
- 1163 (Bretagne, France): dynamiques régionales, réponses locales [Unpublished doctoral dissertation].
- 1164 Université de Bretagne Occidentale.

- 1165 Goslin, J., Van Vliet Lanoë, B., Spada, G., Bradley, S., Tarasov, L., Neill, S., & Suanez, S., (2015). A
- 1166 new Holocene relative sea-level curve for western Brittany (France): Insights on isostatic dynamics
- along the Atlantic coasts of north-western Europe. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 129(1), 341–365.
- 1168 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.10.029</u>
- 1169 Goslin, J., Vliet-Lanoë, B.V., Stéphan, P., Delacourt, C., Fernane, A., Gandouin, E., Hénaff, A.,
- 1170 Penaud, A., & Suanez, S., (2013). Holocene relative sea-level changes in western Brittany (France)
- 1171 between 7600 and 4000 cal. BP: Reconstitution from basal-peat deposits. *Géomorphologie : relief*,
- 1172 processus, environnement 19(4), 425–444. <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/geomorphologie.10386</u>
- 1173 Gouézin, P., (2007). Les mégalithes du morbihan littoral (au sud des Landes de Lanvaux, de Guidel à
- 1174 *Quiberon*). Centre Régional d'Archéologie d'Alet, Institut Culturel de Bretagne.
- 1175 Gouézin, P., (2015). Houses of the dead and natural rocks: new evidence from western France. In:
- 1176 Laporte, L., Scarre Ch. (Eds.), *The Megalithic Architectures of Europe* (pp. 175–182.) Oxbow Books.
- 1177 Gouézin, P., (2017). Structures funéraires et pierres dressées: analyses architectorales et spaciales
- 1178 [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Université de Rennes 1.
- 1179 Guilcher, A., Bodéré, J.-C., & Hallégouët, B., (1990). Coastal evolution in western, southwestern and
- 1180 northern Brittany as a regional test of impact of sea level rise. Journal of Coastal Research, special
- 1181 *issue* n° 9, 67–90.
- Hallégouët, B., (1971). *Le Bas Léon (Finistère, France): étude géomorphologique*. [Unpublished
 doctoral dissertation]. Université de Bretagne occidentale.
- Hallégouët, B., & Moign, A., (1976). Historique d'une évolution de littoral dunaire : la baie de
 Goulven (Finistère). *Penn ar Bed 10*, 263–276.
- Hallégouët, B., (1978). L'évolution des massifs dunaires du Pays du Léon. *Penn ar Bed*, *11*, 417–430.
- 1187 Hallégouët, B., Talec, L., & Giot, P.-R., (1971). Trouvailles néolithiques à Kerlouan (Finistère).
- 1188 Annales de Bretagne, 78(1), 7–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/abpo.1971.2593</u>

- Harmois, A.-L., (1909). Inventaire des découvertes archéologiques du département des Côtes-duNord. *Bulletin de la Société d'émulation des Côtes du Nord*, 57, 1–86.
- Hinguant, S., & Boujot, C., (2008). L'Ensemble mégalithique de Kerdruellan à Belz (Morbihan).
 Service Régional d'Archéologie Bretagne, INRAP Rennes.
- 1193 Hinguant, S., & Boujot, C., (2010). Les pierres couchées de Belz ou la découverte d'un ensemble
- 1194 mégalithique, in: Demoule, J.-P. (Ed.), *La Révolution Néolithique Dans Le Monde*, (pp. 383–397)
 1195 CNRS Éditions.
- 1196 Joussaume, R., & Laporte, L., (2006). Monuments funéraires néolithiques dans l'ouest de la France.
- 1197 In: Joussaume, R., Laporte, L., Scarre, Ch., Origine et Développement Du Mégalithisme de l'ouest de
- 1198 *l'Europe* (pp. 319–344) Musée des Tumulus de Bougon.
- 1199 Lambeck, K., (1997). Sea-level change along the French Atlantic and Channel coasts since the time of
- 1200 the Last Glacial Maximum. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 129(1-2), 1-22.
- 1201 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(96)00061-2</u>
- 1202 Laporte, L., Jallot, L., & Sohn, M., (2011). Mégalithismes en France. Nouveaux acquis et nouvelles
- 1203 perspectives de recherche. Gallia Préhistoire, 53, 289–334. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/galip.2011.2490</u>
- 1204 Le Gall, B., Authemayou, C., Ehrhold, A., Paquette, J.-L., Bussien, D., Chazot, G., Aouizerat, A., &
- 1205 Pastol, Y., (2014). LiDAR offshore structural mapping and U/Pb zircon/monazite dating of Variscan
- 1206 strain in the Leon metamorphic domain, NW Brittany. Tectonophysics 630, 236-250.
- 1207 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.05.026</u>
- 1208 Le Gall, B., & Caroff, M., (2018). Iron Age stelae in the Flinn strain diagram. Terra Nova 30(6), 393-
- 1209 398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12354</u>
- 1210 Le Goffic, M., (2006). La nécropole mégalithique de la pointe du Souc'h en Plouhinec. Rapport final
- 1211 *de synthèse 2004-2006*, Service Régional de l'Archéologie, Bretagne.
- 1212 Le Roux, C.-T., (1975). Circonscription de Bretagne. *Gallia préhistoire*, 18(2), 511–539.
- 1213 Le Roux, C.-T., (1977). Circonscription de Bretagne. Gallia préhistoire, 20(2), 407–432.

- 1214 Le Roux, C.-T., (1999). L'outillage de pierre polie en métadolérite du type A: les ateliers de Plussien,
- 1215 *Côtes-d'Armor*. Travaux du Laboratoire d'Anthropologie de Rennes, n°43.
- 1216 Le Roux, C.-T., Lecerf, Y., & Giot, P.-R., (1980). Le cairn de Ty-Floc'h à Saint-Thois (fouilles de
 1217 1978-1979). Bulletin de la Société archéologique du Finistère 108, 27–49.
- 1218 Lecerf, Y., (1983). Fouille de sauvetage sur l'allée couverte de Kernic en Plouescat (Finistère).
- 1219 Service Régional de l'Archéologie, Bretagne.
- 1220 Lecerf, Y., (1984). Fouille de sauvetage sur l'allée couverte de Kernic en Plouescat (Finistère).
- 1221 Service Régional de l'Archéologie, Bretagne.
- 1222 Lecerf, Y., (1985). L'Allée couverte de Kernic à Plouescat (Finistère). Bulletin de la Société
 1223 archéologique du Finistère, 114, 17–34.
- 1224 Lecornec, J., (1996). L'Alée couverte de Bilgroix Arzon, Morbihan. Bulletin de Société Polymathique
 1225 *du Morbihan*, 122, 15–60.
- 1226 Lespez, L., Clet-Pellerin, M., Davidson, R., Hermier, G., Carpentier, V., & Cador, J.-M., (2010).
- 1227 Middle to Late Holocene landscape changes and geoarchaeological implications in the marshes of the
- 1228
 Dives
 estuary
 (NW
 France).
 Quaternary
 International,
 216(1-2),
 23–40.

 1229
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2009.06.018
- 1230 L'Helgouach, J., (1956). La civilisation des allées couvertes en Armorique. In: Congrés Préhistorique
- *de France, compte-rendu de la XVe session Poitiers-Angoulème* (pp. 692–703) Société Préhistorique
 Française.
- 1233 L'Helgouach, J., (1965). Les sépultures mégalithiques en Armorique (dolmens à couloir et allées
 1234 couvertes). Travaux du laboratoire d'Anthropologie Préhistorique de la Faculté de Sciences, Rennes.
- 1235 López-Romero, E., (2008a). Monuments néolithiques de la région de Lorient (Morbihan, Bretagne) : à
- 1236 propos des modes d'organisation des territoires. L'Anthropologie, 112(4-5), 572-597.
- 1237 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anthro.2008.06.002</u>

- López-Romero, E., (2008b). Characterizing the Evolution of Visual Landscapes in the Late Prehistory
 of South-West Morbihan (brittany, France). *Oxford Journal of Archaeology* 27(3), 217–239.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0092.2008.00305.x
- 1241 Marçais, A.-S., (2016). Des morts ensemble : étude du recrutement des inhumés dans les sépultures
- 1242 collectives dans le Bassin parisien à la fin du néolithique [Unpublished doctoral dissertation].
- 1243 Université Paris 10.
- Marcoux, E., Chauris, L., Hallégouët, B., Guennoc, P., & Thiéblemont, D., (2004). *Notice explicative, Carte géologique de la France (1/50000), feuille Plouguerneau (200), BRGM Éditions.*
- 1246 Marcoux, E., Cocherie, A., Guerrot, C., Ruffet, G., & Darboux, J., (2009). Géochronologie revisitée
- 1247 du dôme du Léon (Massif armoricain, France). *Geologie de la France*, 1, 17–37.
- 1248 Marguerie, D., (1992). Evolution de la végétation sous l'impact humain en Armorique du Néolithique
- 1249 *aux périodes historiques* [Doctoral dissertation, Université Rennes 1]. Travaux du Laboratoire
 1250 d'Anthropologie de Rennes, n°40.
- 1251 Masset, C., (1997). Les dolmens: sociétés néolithiques, pratiques funéraires. Éditions Errance.
- Mens, E., (2008). Refitting megaliths in western France. Antiquity, 82(315), 25–36.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00096411
- 1254 Mens, E., (2013). Technologie des premières architectures en pierre dans l'ouest de la France, in:
- 1255 Guyodo J.-N., & Mens E. Les Premièrs Architectures En Pierre En Europe Occidentale, Du Ve Au IIe
- 1256 *Millénaire Avant J.-C.* (pp. 39–52.) Presses Universitaires de Rennes.
- 1257 Mens, E., Ard, V., Poncet, D., Kerdivel, G., Bichot, F., Marticorena, P., Laurent, A., Leroux, V.-E., &
- 1258 Baleux, F., (2021). Systèmes techniques et productions symboliques du mégalithisme funéraire de la
- 1259 façade atlantique entre Bretagne et Pays basque. In: Ard, V., Mens E., Gandelin M., Mégalithismes et
- 1260 Monumentalismes Funéraires : Passé, Présent, Futur (pp. 79–129.) Sidestone Press.
- 1261 Milon, Y., & Giot, P.-R., (1947). IVe Circonscription préhistorique. Gallia, 5(1), 162–170.
- 1262 Mohen, J.-P., & Scarre, Ch., (1993). *Les tumulus de Bougon*. Editions Errance.

- Morzadec, H., (1995). Pétro-archéologie des céramiques armoricaines du néolithique à la fin de l'âge
 du fer [Doctoral dissertation, Université Rennes 1]. Travaux du Laboratoire d'Anthropologie de
 Rennes, n°41.
- 1266 Morzadec-Kerfourn, M.-T., (1969). Variations de la ligne de rivage au cours du Post-glaciaire le long
- de la côte nord du Finistère. Analyses polliniques de tourbes et de dépôts organiques littoraux. *Bulletin de l'Association française pour l'étude du quaternaire* 6, 285–318.
 https://doi.org/10.3406/quate.1969.1140
- Morzadec-Kerfourn, M.-T., (1974). Variations de la ligne de rivage armoricaine au Quaternaire :
 analyses polliniques de dépôts organiques littoraux, [Doctoral dissertation, Université Rennes 1].
 Mémoires de la Société géologique et minéralogique de Bretagne.
- Morzadec-Kerfourn, M.-T., 1995. Coastline changes in the Armorican massif (France) during the
 Holocene. *Journal of Coastal Research, special issue n°17*, 197–203.
- Nicolas, C., Favrel, Q., Rousseau, L., Ard, V., Blanchet, S., Donnart, K., Fromont, N., Manceau, L.,
 Marcigny, C., Marticorena, P., Nicolas, T., Pailler, Y., & Ripoche, J., (2019). The introduction of the
- 1277 Bell Beaker culture in Atlantic France: an overview of settlements. In: Gibson, A. M., (Ed.), Bell
- 1278 Beaker Settlement of Europe: The Bell Beaker Phenomenon from a Domestic Perspective (pp. 329–
- 1279 352) Prehistoric Society Research Paper 8. Oxbow Books.
- Nicolas, C., Pailler, Y., Stephan, P., & Gandois, H., (2013). Les reliques de Lothéa (Quimperlé,
 Finistère): une tombe aux connexions atlantiques entre Campaniforme et âge du Bronze ancien. *Gallia Préhistoire*, 55, 181–227. https://doi.org/10.3406/galip.2013.2501
- 1283 Ortiz, N.S., (2016). Towards a definition of the prehistoric landscape in the Plateau of Sigarra:
- 1284 Visibility and territoriality between the Middle Neolithic and Bronze Age. In: Ard, V., & Pillot L.,
- 1285 Giants in the Landscape: Monumentality and Territories in the European Neolithic. Proceedings of
- 1286 the XVII UISPP World Congress (1-7 September, Burgos, Spain): Volume 3 / Session A25d (pp. 85–
- 1287 94) Archaeopress Archaeology.

- 1288 Pailler, Y., Stéphan, P., Gandois, H., Nicolas, C., Sparfel, Y., Tresset, A., Donnart, K., Fichaut, B.,
- 1289 Suanez, S., Dupont, C., Le Clézio, L., Marcoux, N., Pineau, A., Salanova, L., Sellami, F., Debue, K.,
- 1290 Josselin, J., & Dietsch-Sellami, M.-F., (2011). Évolution des paysages et occupation humaine en mer
- 1291 d'Iroise (Finistère, Bretagne) du Néolithique à l'Âge du Bronze. Norois, 220, 39-68.
- 1292 <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/norois.3662</u>
- 1293 Paulsson, B.S., (2019). Radiocarbon dates and Bayesian modeling support maritime diffusion model
- 1294 for megaliths in Europe. *PNAS*, *116*(9), 3460–3465. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1813268116</u>
- 1295 Querré, G., Pioline, N., & Le Roux, C.T., (2006). III. La géologie du socle et ses implications. In : Le
- 1296 Roux Ch.-T. (Ed.) Monuments mégalithiques à Locmariaquer (Morbihan). Le long tumulus d'Er Grah
- 1297 *dans son environnement* (pp. 25–3). Gallia Préhistoire, Supp. 38.
- 1298 Reimer, P.J., Austin, W.E.N., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Blackwell, P.G., Ramsey, C.B., Butzin, M.,
- 1299 Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Hajdas, I., Heaton, T.J.,
- 1300 Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kromer, B., Manning, S.W., Muscheler, R., Palmer, J.G., Pearson, C.,
- 1301 Plicht, J. van der, Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Turney, C.S.M., Wacker,
- 1302 L., Adolphi, F., Büntgen, U., Capano, M., Fahrni, S.M., Fogtmann-Schulz, A., Friedrich, R., Köhler,
- 1303 P., Kudsk, S., Miyake, F., Olsen, J., Reinig, F., Sakamoto, M., Sookdeo, & A., Talamo, S., (2020).
- 1304 The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0-55 cal kBP).
- 1305 *Radiocarbon*, 62, 725–757. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2020.41</u>
- Reineck, H.-E., & Singh, I. B., (1980). *Depositional sedimentary environments* (2nd ed.), SpringerVerlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-81498-3
- 1308 Roughley, C., & Shell, C., (2004). Views of Carnac: applications of visibility analysis and dynamic
- 1309 visualisation for understanding the Neolithic monuments of southern Brittany. Internet Archaeology,
- 1310 *16*. <u>https://doi.org/10.11141/ia.16.8</u>
- 1311 Le Roux, C.-T., (1985). New excavations at Gavrinis. Antiquity 59(227), 183–187.
 1312 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00057240</u>

Salanova, L., Brunet, P., Cottiaux, R., Hamon, T., Langry-François, F., Martineau, R., Polloni, A.,
Renard, C., & Sohn, M., (2011). Du Néolithique récent à l'âge du Bronze dans le centre nord de la

1315 France : les étapes de l'évolution chrono-culturelle. In: Bostyn, F., Praud, I., Martial, E., Martial, E.

1316 (Eds.), Le Néolithique du Nord de la France dans son contexte européen. Habitat et économie aux 4e

1317 et 3e millénaires avant notre ère, (actes 29e coll. interrégional sur le Néolithique, Villeneuve-d'Ascq,

1318 *oct.* 2009) (pp. 77–102). Revue archéologique de Picardie, Numéro spécial, 28.
1319 https://doi.org/10.3406/pica.2011.3323

- Salanova, L., Chambon, P., Pariat, J.-G., Marçais, A.-S., & Valentin, F., (2017). From one ritual to
 another: The long-term sequence of the Bury gallery grave (northern France, fourth-second millennia
 BC). *Antiquity*, *91*(355), 57–73. https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2016.256
- Salanova, L., & Sohn, M., (2007). Mobilier funéraire et modes de différenciation des morts à la fin du
 Néolithique en Europe occidentale. In: Baray, L., Brun, P., & Testart A., *Pratiques Funéraires et Sociétés. Nouvelles approches en archéologie et en anthropologie Sociale* (pp. 77–90). Éditions
 Universitaires de Dijon.
- Scarre, Ch., (2004). Choosing stones, remembering places: geology and intention in the megalithic
 monuments of Western Europe. In: Boivin, N., & Owoc M. A. *Soils, Stones and Symbols : Cultural Perceptions of the Mineral World* (pp. 187–202). Routledge.
- 1330 Schulting, R., (2005). Comme la mer qui se retire: les changements dans l'exploitation des ressources
- 1331 marines du Mésolithique au Néolithique en Bretagne. In: Marchand, G., & Tresset, A., (Eds.), Unité et
- 1332 diversité des processus de néolithisation sur la façade atlantique de l'Europe (6e-4e millénaires av. J.-
- 1333 C.). Table ronde de Nantes, 26-27 avril 2002 (pp. 163–171). Mémoire de la Société Préhistorique
 1334 Française n°36.
- 1335 Sellier, D., (1995). Eléments de reconstitution du paysage prémégalithique sur le site des alignements
- 1336 de Kerlescan (Carnac, Morbihan) à partir de critères géomorphologiques. Revue Archéologique de
- 1337 *l'Ouest, 12*, 21–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/rao.1995.1023</u>

Sellier, D., (1991). Analyse morphologique des marques de la météorisation des granités à partir de
mégalithes morbihannais. L'exemple de l'alignement de Kerlescan à Carnac. *Revue archéologique de l'ouest 8*, 83–97. https://doi.org/10.3406/rao.1991.1137

Sellier, D., (2013). L'analyse géomorphologique des mégalithes granitiques: principes
méthodologiques et applications. In: Guyodo J.-N., & Mens E. *Les Premièrs Architectures En Pierre En Europe Occidentale, Du Ve Au IIe Millénaire Avant J.-C.* (pp. 13–37). Presses Universitaires de
Rennes.

- 1345 Soler, L., (2009). Les dépôts humains du littoral charentais, in: Laporte L., Des premiers paysans aux
- 1346 premiers métallurgistes sur la façade atlantique de la France (3500-2000 av. J.-C.) (pp. 647–655)
- 1347 Mémoires de l'Association des Publications Chauvinoises, n° 33.
- 1348 Sparfel, Y., Leroux, V.-E., Pailler, Y., Boujot, C., & Le Goffic, M., (2004). Inventaire des mégalithes
- 1349 *du Néolithique à l'Age du bronze dans le Finistère*. Service Régional de l'Archéologie, Bretagne
- 1350 Sparfel, Y., & Pailler Y., (2009). *Les Mégalithes de l'arrondissement de Brest*. Centre Régional
 1351 d'Archéologie d'Alet, Institut Culturel de Bretagne.
- 1352 Sparfel, Y., & Pailler, Y., (2010). Inventaire des monuments du Néolithique et de l'âge du Bronze
- 1353 dans le Finistère. Arrondissements de Morlaix, Chateaulin et Quimper. Rapport de prospection
- 1354 thématique. Volume II. Service Régional de l'Archéologie, Bretagne.
- 1355 Stéphan, P., (2011). Colmatage sédimentaire des marais maritimes et variations relatives du niveau
 1356 marin au cours des 6 000 dernières années en rade de Brest (Finistère). Norois, 220, 9–37.
- 1357 <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/norois.3659</u>
- 1358 Stéphan, P., Dodet, G., Tardieu, I., Suanez, S., & David, L., (2018). Dynamique pluri-décennale du
- 1359 trait de côte en lien avec les variations des forçages météo-océaniques au nord de la Bretagne (baie de
- 1360 Goulven, France). Géomorphologie: relief, processus, environnement 24(1), 79–102.
- 1361 <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/geomorphologie.11908</u>
- 1362 Stéphan, P., Fichaut, B., Suanez, S.S., Aoustin, D., & Marguerie, D., (2019). Changements
- 1363 paléogéographiques dans l'archipel de Molène, du Néolithique à aujourd'hui. In: Pailler, Y., &

- Nicolas, C. (Eds.), Une Maison Sous Les Dunes : Beg Ar Loued, Île Molène, Finistère. Identité et
 adaptation des groupes humains en mer d'Iroise entre les IIIe et IIe millénaires avant notre ère (pp.
 83–121). Sidestone Press.
- 1367 Stéphan, P., & Goslin, J., (2014). Évolution du niveau marin relatif à l'Holocène le long des côtes
- 1368 françaises de l'Atlantique et de la Manche : réactualisation des données par la méthode des « sea-level
- 1369 index points ». *Quaternaire* 25(4), 295–312. <u>https://doi.org/10.4000/quaternaire.7269</u>
- 1370 Stéphan, P., Goslin, J., Pailler, Y., Manceau, R., Suanez, S., Van Vliet-Lanoë, B., Hénaff, A., &
- 1371 Delacourt, C., (2015). Holocene salt-marsh sedimentary infilling and relative sea-level changes in
- 1372 West Brittany (France) using foraminifera-based transfer functions. *Boreas* 44(1), 153–177.
- 1373 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12092</u>
- 1374 Suanez, S., & Cariolet, J.-M., (2010). L'action des tempêtes sur l'érosion des dunes : les 1375 enseignements de la tempête du 10 2008. Norois, 215, 77-99. mars 1376 https://doi.org/10.4000/norois.3212
- Ters, M., (1986). Variations in Holocene sea level on the french Atlantic coast and their
 climatic significance, in: Rampino, M. R., Sanders, J. E., Newman, W. S., and Konigsson L. K., *Climate: History, Periodicity and Predictability* (pp. 204–237). Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- 1380 Testart, A., (2005). *Eléments de classification des sociétés*. Éditions Errance.
- 1381 Testart, A., (2012). Avant l'histoire: l'évolution des sociétés, de Lascaux à Carnac. Gallimard.
- 1382 Tinévez, J.-Y., (1988). La sépulture à entrée latérale de Beaumont en Saint-Laurent-sur-Oust. Revue
- 1383 Archéologique de l'Ouest, 5, 55–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/rao.1988.920</u>
- 1384 Tinévez, J.-Y., Baud, C., Grévin, G., Lagier, R., Giot, P.-R., & Morzadec, H., (1990). La sépulture
 1385 mégalithique à entrée latérale de Beaumont à Saint-Laurent-sur-Oust (Morbihan): Etudes
 1386 anthropologique et pétrographique. Données complémentaires. *Revue Archéologique de l'Ouest*, 7,
- 1387 41–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/rao.1990.948</u>
- 1388 Tinévez, J.-Y., Nicolas, É., Gaumé, É., Querré, G., Quesnel, L., Le Provost, F., Blanchet, S., Oberlin,
- 1389 C., Van Der Plicht, J., Bardel, V., & Pustoc'h, F., (2012). Le cairn de Croaz Dom Herry et ses

- 1390 carrières de schiste (Saint-Nicolas-du-Pelem Côtes-d'Armor). *Gallia Préhistoire*, 54, 191–238.
 1391 <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/galip.2012.2495</u>
- 1392 Törnqvist, T. E., Ree, M. H. M. van, Veer, R. van't, & Geel, B. van, (1998). Improving methodology
- 1393 for high-resolution reconstruction of sea-level rise and neotectonics by paleoecological analysis and
- 1394 AMS 14C dating of basal peats. *Quaternary Research* 49(1), 72–85.
 1395 https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.1997.1938
- Valentin, F., (1997). Variabilité humaine au Néolithique récent final dans le Bassin parisien. *Gallia Préhistoire, 39*, 239–254. <u>https://doi.org/10.3406/galip.1997.2153</u>
- 1398 Vicens, E., Arribas, M.E., Clop, X., Estrada, M.R., Maestro, E., Oms, O., Serrat, D., & Molist, M.,
- 1399 (2010). Characterization and provenance of the slabs of the Puigseslloses Megalith, Barcelona, Spain.
- 1400 Geoarchaeology, 25, 195–219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gea.20304</u>
- Wheatley, D., (1995). Cumulative Viewshed Analysis: a GIS-based method for investigating
 intervisibility, and its archaeological application, in: Lock, G. R., Stancic G., *Archaeology and geographic information systems. A European perspective.* (pp. 171–185). Taylor & Francis.
- 1404 Zeist, W. van, (1963). Recherches palynologiques en Bretagne occidentale. Norois, 37, 5-19.
- 1405 https://doi.org/10.3406/noroi.1963.1411

1406

1408 Figure captions:

Figure 1 : Location maps of Neolithic megaliths (including the Lerret and Kernic sites under study) in the Léon domain, western France. (a) Simplified geological map, modified from Caroff and Le Gall (2013). The location of the megalithic tombs is from Sparfel et al. (2004) and Sparfel and Pailler (2009). NASZ., North Armorican shear zone ; PGSZ., Porspoder-Guisseny shear zone. (b) Topobathymetric map of the Lerret-Kernic coastal area under study, modified from Hallégouët and Moign (1976), Hallégouët (1978), Suanez and Cariolet (2010), and Stéphan et al. (2018). HAT., Highest astronomical tide.

1416 Figure 2: Main structural features of the Lerret gallery grave. (a) Plan view showing the spatial 1417 distribution of the various granitic rock types as defined in Fig. 2c, modified from Sparfel and Pailler 1418 (2009). Some of the numbered slabs are cited in the text. (b) View (looking to the NW) of the megalith 1419 at low tide. (c) Graph showing the respective number of each petro-structural rock type population.

Figure 3: Morphological and geological structures of individual granitic slabs in the Lerret gallery 1420 1421 grave. Location of slabs in Fig. 2a. (a). Fresh and weathered faces identified on slab n° 25. (b) Mark of 1422 removed material attributed to the Neolithic period on slab n° 17. (c) Metallic edge marks identified 1423 on block n° 3. (d) Thin section of the little strained granitic slab n° 19. Discrete foliation planes (ductile 1424 strain) are outlined by elongated minerals such as quartz (Q), K-feldspars (KF) and biotites (B). 1425 Crossed nicols, x 25. (e) Thin section of the little strained slab n° 24. Traces of foliation planes outlined by elongated quartz (Q) and biotites (B). Crossed nicols, x 25. (f). Macroscopic view of the 1426 strained granitic slab n° 1. (g) Macroscopic view of the unstrained granitic slab n° 2. 1427

Figure 4: Potential source-rock material for the Lerret gallery grave. (a) Detailed geological map of the Lerret monument area with the location of all investigated outcrops. The arrows indicate the distance between the megalith and possible extraction zones. (b). Structure of the highly fractured granitic country-rocks exposed at the potential extraction site n° 1. (c), (d) Macroscopic (c) and microscopic (d) views of the granite at site n° 1. Crossed nicols, x 25. Same abbreviations as in Figs. 3d, e. (e) Highly fractured granitic country-rocks exposed at the potential extraction site n° 7. (f) Fractured granitic country-rocks exposed at site n°6 and assumed not to represent potential source material because of the small dimensions of the blocks. (g) Fractured granitic country-rocks exposed at site n°5. Its oversized blocks do not fit with the dimensions of the megalith slabs. (h) Unstrained granitic rocks exposed at site n°4, NW of the Lerret gallery grave. (i) Structure of the fractured granitic country-rocks occurring at the potential extraction site n° 2. Location of sites in Fig. 4a.

Figure 5: Geomorphological map of the Tresseny Bay area showing the location of archaeological
sites (including the Lerret gallery grave) and the cores, modified from Hallégouët (1978) and Suanez
and Cariolet (2010).

1442 Figure 6: Major sedimentological and paleontological attributes of the sedimentary succession cored
1443 at site G-C2 in Tresseny Bay, modified from Goslin et al. (2015) and Stéphan et al. (2015).

Figure 7: Lithology, dating and elevation of all records in the Tresseny Bay area (according to data
from Zeist, 1963; Giot et al., 1965; Hallégouët et al., 1971; Goslin et al., 2013, 2015; Stéphan et al.,
2015). HSTL, high spring tide level; MTL, mean tide level.

Figure 8: Main structural features of the Kernic gallery grave. (a) Plan view of the megalith (obtained from the photogrammetric 3D-model and completed by information collected during excavation stages) showing the spatial distribution of the various granitic rock types as defined in Fig. 8c. Some of the numbered slabs are cited in the text. (b) View (looking to the SW) at low tide. (c) Graph showing the population of slabs of each granitic facies.

Figure 9: Morphological features of the slabs forming the Kernic gallery grave, based on TSL 3Dsurveys. (a) Fresh and weathered faces identified on slab n° N1. (b) Fresh and weathered faces, as well as marks of removed material attributed to the Neolithic period on slab n° E3. (c) Marks of removed material attributed to the Neolithic period on slab n° W1. (d) Marks of removed material, expressed by two surfaces in horizontal and inclined attitudes, and attributed to modern or contemporary human acts on slab n° E6. Location of slabs in Fig. 8a. Figure 10: Petrography of the granitic material involved in the Kernic slabs. (a-d). Macroscopic views
of : the porphyric slab n° Pw17 (a), the medium-graded slab n° W4 (b), the fine-grained slab n° E3 (c),
and the medium-grained slab n° Pw2 (d). (e). Thin-section of the slab n° Pw5. Crossed nicols, x 25.
Same abbreviations as in Figs. 3d, e. Location of slabs in Fig. 8a.

1462 Figure 11: Potential source-rock material of the Kernic gallery grave. (a) Detailed geological map of the Kernic area with the location of all investigated outcrops. Arrows indicate the distance between the 1463 1464 megalith and possible extraction zones. (b) Petrography and structure of the Cleder medium-grained granite at the potential extraction site n° 6. (b₁) 3D-shaped blocks in a shallowly-dipping attitude 1465 1466 position. (b₂) Macroscopic view. (b₃) Microscopic view. Crossed nicols, x 25. Same abbreviations as in Figs. 3d, e. (c) Petrography and structure of the Brignogan porphyric granite at the potential 1467 extraction site n° 5. (c₁) 3D-shaped blocks in a vertical attitude displaying dimensions that fit with 1468 1469 those of the megalith slabs. (c₂) Macroscopic view. (c₃) Microscopic view. Crossed nicols, x 25. Same 1470 abbreviations as in Figs. 3d, e. (d) Petrography and structure of the Brignogan porphyric granite. (d_1) 1471 Vertical fracture pattern at site n° 1. (d₂) 3D-shaped blocks in a vertical position at site n° 3. (e) 1472 Petrography and structure of the Mogueriec fine-grained granite. (e₁) 3D-shaped block displaying 1473 dimensions that fit with those of the megalith slabs. (e₂) Macroscopic view. (e₃) Microscopic view. 1474 Crossed nicols, x 25. Same abbreviations as in Figs. 3d, e.

Figure 12: Geomorphological map of the Kernic Bay area showing the location of archaeological sites
(including the Kernic gallery grave) and cores, modified from Hallégouët and Moign (1976) and
Stéphan et al. (2018).

1478 Figure 13: Major sedimentological, paleontological, and radiometric data of the sedimentary
1479 succession cored at sites ANE-C1 (a), ANE-C2 (b) and ANE-C3 (c) in Kernic Bay.

Figure 14: Lithology, ages, and elevation data of all records in the Kernic Bay area (Briard et al.,
1481 1970; Morzadec-Kerfourn, 1974 and from BRGM, <u>https://infoterre.brgm.fr/</u>). Same abbreviations as in
Fig. 7.

Figure 15: Intervisibility of the funerary megaliths in the study area with their centrality index and the
connection success index. (a) Map of intervisibility connections identified between the megalithic
sites; (b) Degree index for all investigated monuments; (c) Connection success index for all
investigated monuments; (d) Betweenness index for all investigated monuments.

1487 **Table captions:**

Table 1: Details of all radiocarbon dates (this work, previous studies). Calibrated age based on
IntCal20 calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2020)