

# Effects of Three Preseason Training Programs on Speed, Change-of-Direction, and Endurance in Recreationally Trained Soccer Players

Jérôme Koral, Jaume Lloria Varella, Fernando Lazaro Romero, Clément

Foschia

## ▶ To cite this version:

Jérôme Koral, Jaume Lloria Varella, Fernando Lazaro Romero, Clément Foschia. Effects of Three Preseason Training Programs on Speed, Change-of-Direction, and Endurance in Recreationally Trained Soccer Players. Frontiers in Physiology, 2021, 12, 10.3389/fphys.2021.719580. hal-03907766

## HAL Id: hal-03907766 https://hal.science/hal-03907766v1

Submitted on 23 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# Effects of Three Preseason Training Programs on Speed, Change-of-Direction, and Endurance in Recreationally Trained Soccer Players

Jérôme Koral<sup>1\*</sup>, Jaume Lloria Varella<sup>1</sup>, Fernando Lazaro Romero<sup>2</sup> and Clément Foschia<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire Interuniversitaire de Biologie de la Motricité, Université Jean Monnet, Saint-Etienne, France, <sup>2</sup> Albacete Balompie SAD, Albacete, Spain, <sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical and Exercise Physiology, Sports Medicine Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France

**Background:** Modern coaches experience a drastic reduction of the available training time with an increasingly large number of competitions during the competitive season. Thus, they must choose wisely the most efficient methods to improve the physical fitness of their players during the preseason. Among all the methods, this study compared the effects of plyometric training (PT), sprint interval training (SIT), and small-sided games (SSGs) on the performance of recreationally trained soccer players.

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Athos Trecroci, University of Milan, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

David Cristóbal Andrade, University of Antofagasta, Chile Alessio Rossi, University of Pisa, Italy

#### \*Correspondence:

Jérôme Koral jerome.koral@univ-st-etienne.fr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Exercise Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 02 June 2021 Accepted: 20 August 2021 Published: 17 September 2021

#### Citation:

Koral J, Lloria Varella J, Lazaro Romero F and Foschia C (2021) Effects of Three Preseason Training Programs on Speed, Change-of-Direction, and Endurance in Recreationally Trained Soccer Players. Front. Physiol. 12:719580. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.719580 **Methods:** Seventy-three participants were randomly assigned in one of the three experimental groups (i.e., PT [n = 23], SIT [n = 26] or SSGs [n = 24]) and completed two sessions per week for a total of 3 weeks. Meanwhile, the whole group maintained their habitual soccer-specific training program who do not interfere in the preparation of the season. Repeated sprint ability (RSA), maximal aerobic speed (MAS), and a 30-m sprint were assessed at baseline (PRE) and post-training (POST).

**Results:** Performance in SSGs decreased for the average speed from 0 to 10 m ( $V_{0-10m}$ ;  $-0.84 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ ,  $-4 \pm 5\%$ , p < 0.001), the maximal distance ( $D_{max}$ ) covered in the 30-s RSA test (-3.65 m,  $-3 \pm 6\%$ , p < 0.01) and MAS ( $-0.52 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ ,  $-3 \pm 6\%$ , p < 0.01). PT increased the mean distance ( $D_{mean}$ ) covered in the 30-s RSA test (+5.98 m,  $5 \pm 4\%$ , p < 0.001) and MAS ( $+0.58 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ ,  $7 \pm 5\%$ , p < 0.01) while an improvement of all parameters but the maximal sprint speed reached during the 30-m trip ( $V_{max}$ ) was found in the SIT group ( $V_{0-10m}$ :  $+1.462 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ ,  $8 \pm 5\%$ , p < 0.001;  $D_{max}$ : +7.89 m,  $6 \pm 5\%$ , p < 0.001;  $D_{mean}$ : +8.69 m,  $7 \pm 5\%$ , p < 0.001 and MAS:  $+1.74 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ ,  $12 \pm 8\%$ , p < 0.001). All SSG POST values were significantly lower than PT and SIT (p < 0.01).  $D_{mean}$  and MAS in POST were also significantly higher in SIT than in the PT group (p < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that both PT and SIT could be a better alternative to SSGs to boost performances during preseason. Moreover, SIT seems to produce higher improvements in physical performances than PT.

Keywords: repeated sprints, change-of-direction, power, strength, endurance, soccer

1

## INTRODUCTION

Soccer is an invasive team field game with an intermittent activity profile (Drust et al., 2000), which is characterized by around 1,200 acyclical, very variable, and unpredictable actions (Iaia et al., 2009). Those bouts involve various types of linear sprints interspersed with rapid changes-of-direction (CoD), decelerations, sudden starts, stops, jumps, kicks, and tackles (Bloomfield et al., 2007; Iaia et al., 2009; Pavillon et al., 2021). More specifically, it is well-established that during a professional soccer match, an average of 80% of physical activities is considered as low-to-moderate intensities such as standing, walking, or jogging, and the remaining 20% of physical activities are classified as high-intensity activities (running 12-20 km  $h^{-1}$ ) or sprints (Mohr et al., 2003; Bloomfield et al., 2007; Pavillon et al., 2021). Despite those last intense actions that have been reported to occur only each 60 s for high intensities (Strudwick et al., 2002) or every 4-5 min for the sprints (Drust et al., 2000; Strudwick et al., 2002; Rampinini et al., 2007a), they are crucial and nearly always precede match-winning actions such as goal situation (Faude et al., 2012).

Consequently, both coaches and researchers have focused on determining the optimal training methods (i.e., strength training and repeated sprint training) for the development of CoD and repeated maximal linear sprint performance, which constitute the key physical qualities in soccer (Cometti et al., 2001; Markovic et al., 2007; Faude et al., 2012; Trecroci et al., 2016, 2020; Pavillon et al., 2021). To do so, three main approaches are usually considered. The first two are inspired by track and field training methods, one focused on strength training and another on traditional running-based conditional exercises, whereas the last one is more ecological and tries to mimic as much as possible the game conditions.

The strength training approach is based on several studies that reported strong correlations between lower-body strength and short-sprint performance (Seitz et al., 2014). For instance, maximal strength is reported to be one of the most important factors in maximizing power output (Cronin and Hansen, 2005; Weyand et al., 2006, 2010; Thapa et al., 2021). Hence, there is no doubt about the beneficial effects of strength training to increase short-sprint performance (Styles et al., 2016) and/or sprint ability (Markovic et al., 2007). Up to now, practitioners and researchers have mostly focused on heavy resistance training and plyometric training (PT) in soccer (De Hoyo et al., 2016; Bauer et al., 2019; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2020). Most of them tend to agree that PT, due to a rapid eccentric to concentric muscle contraction, represents a method of choice when aiming to enhance a wide range of athletic performance particularly those involving the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) such as jumping, sprinting, and agility (Fatouros et al., 2000; Markovic et al., 2007; Slimani et al., 2016; Negra et al., 2020). Thapa et al. (2021) even considered PT as a bridge between strength and speed. Nevertheless, as the distance traveled during a game is comprised between 9,995 and 11,233 m (Rampinini et al., 2007a), soccer relies primarily on aerobic metabolism for energy (Strøyer et al., 2004). In addition, when measuring the effects of PT on endurance in soccer, the results are more contrasted.

The second approach is a direct consequence of the aforementioned necessity of developing endurance. Coaches usually dedicate a non-negligible amount of time during the preseason to improve this capacity because they are aware that the aerobic pathway and more specifically VO<sub>2</sub>max are of crucial importance in soccer (Mallo and Navarro, 2008). For instance, Helgerud et al. (2001) reported that the higher the VO<sub>2</sub>max and running economy, the better the performances during the game. Moreover, the optimization of VO<sub>2</sub>max has been reported (i) to allow better repeat sprints (Glaister, 2005), (ii) to better recover between each sprint (Aziz et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2007), and therefore (iii) to maintain higher sprint velocity during the game (Bishop and Edge, 2006). In addition, traditional running-based training programs have been broadly studied, especially when based on very high intensities. Those methods seem to be focused on enhancing maximal performance while reducing the total workout time. Among these techniques, sprint interval training (SIT) seems particularly interesting, because (i) it is nearly costless as no special equipment is needed; (ii) it generates improvements not only in all muscle energy pathways (i.e., aerobic and anaerobic; Parra et al., 2000; Rodas et al., 2000; Ross and Leveritt, 2001; Burgomaster et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2014; Milanović et al., 2015) but also on endurance, strength, power, and speed performance (Taylor et al., 2015; Koral et al., 2018). Nevertheless, sports science literature has quite neglected the possible use of this potentially useful explosive exercise for training purposes when various studies suggest that sprint training could lead to improvements in human muscle power capabilities (Malisoux et al., 2005) and dynamic athletic performance in both concentric and SSC muscle function (Markovic et al., 2007). Additionally, repeated sprints have been reported to be more efficient at improving short-sprint performance than methods such as PT (Taylor et al., 2015). Yet, soccer coaches still do not often use the SIT or strength training as they may find it quite distant from their specific activity and/or too demanding in preseason.

In fact, due to the professionalization of sport that has led to a sharp increase in the number of competitions while reducing training and recovery times (Issurin, 2008, 2010), coaches progressively left out those traditional running-based conditional exercises inspired by track and field training methods (Moran et al., 2019) to focus on more ecological methods but equally effective on fitness and football-specific performance (Hill-Haas et al., 2011; Bujalance-Moreno and Garc-a-Pinillos, 2017) such as small-sided games (SSGs). Those SSGs reproduce on a smaller scale of all the aspects of soccer that are required during competitions (Clemente and Sarmento, 2020; Clemente et al., 2020, Younesi et al., 2021). They encompass the psychological, physical, technical, and tactical aspects of the game (Clemente et al., 2012) and thus are much more accepted by soccer players (Jastrzebski et al., 2014). Moreover, recent studies reported strong relationships between traditional aerobic fitness tests with external and internal training load measures during SSGs (Stevens et al., 2016; Owen et al., 2020). Nevertheless, other authors suggested that SSGs themselves may not be enough to promote the same patterns of the required physical demands during a soccer match, mainly due to the reduced frequency of

Group	Participants (n)	Age	Mass	Height	Maximal aerobic	
		(years)	(kg)	(m)	speed (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )	
SSGs	24	19.3 ± 5.1	$67.9 \pm 8.6$	1.77 ± 0.07	14.3 ± 1.1	
PT	23	$19.5 \pm 4.0$	$68.1 \pm 7.5$	$1.77 \pm 0.06$	$14.7 \pm 1.2$	
SIT	26	$19.2 \pm 3.7$	$67.7\pm7.1$	$1.76\pm0.06$	$14.4\pm1.0$	

#### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the participants.

SSGs, small-sided games; PT, plyometric training; SIT, sprint interval training.

high-intensity distance-based metrics of this training approach (Lacome et al., 2017; Younesi et al., 2021). For instance, Hoff and Helgerud (2004) showed that the most skilled the players are, the lower the aerobic fitness adaptations will be elicited by SSGs. Conversely, SSGs can also be counterproductive for less-skilled players as they may not be able to consistently sustain the technical skill or tactical proficiency to achieve and maintain the required metabolic strain (Castagna et al., 2004). Moreover, Casamichana et al. (2012) reported a low number of sprints performed during SSGs combined with high volumes of aerobic stimuli. This can result in an acute reduction in sprint velocity (Katis and Kellis, 2009) or no improvements in sprint ability (Hill-Haas et al., 2009) after the use of SSGs as the conditioning strategy.

Rampinini et al. (2007a, 2011) characterized soccer as an intermittent activity where brief bouts of high-intensity running are interspersed with longer periods of low-intensity exercise. In other words, when pursuing performance in soccer, speed, power, and aerobic fitness are intimately linked and need to be all upgraded. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the effects on physical performance of the three different approaches (i.e., PT, SIT, and SSGs) performed in the field in recreationally trained soccer players. We hypothesized that soccer players would differently beneficiate from the different types of training, being SIT the most impactful in the measured variables.

## **METHODS**

#### Athletes

Seventy-three recreationally trained soccer players (3-4 soccer training sessions per week) volunteered to take part in the experiment. Participants were randomly assigned to one of the three training groups, namely, PT, SIT, and SSGs. Mean  $(\pm SD)$  age, height, and maximal aerobic speed (MAS) are presented in Table 1. As those interventions were planned during the preseason, none of the players performed intense training (intermittent or not) during the month preceding this intervention. All training was included in addition to their usual soccer training program. The Universities Ethics Board and Human Research Ethics Committee approved this study (IRBN1042020/CHUSTE), and following a routine medical screening, participants were informed of the procedures to be employed and the associated risks and benefits of the intervention. An institutionally approved written consent form was provided and signed by all participants before any training or testing.

#### **Experimental Protocol**

The experimental design included a familiarization procedure, pretests, 3 weeks of training (PT, SIT, or SSGs), and post-tests. Before each test and training session, participants completed a standardized warm-up consisting of light to medium muscular contractions (3 sets of 10 repetitions on knee extensors and knee flexors) and three sets of 25-m progressive runs (60, 70, and 80% of the maximal sprint speed). All familiarization, testing, and training sessions were conducted in the afternoon (5–7 P.M.) to avoid performance fluctuations due to circadian rhythms. Participants were encouraged to drink water before, during, and after each testing and training session. All participants were instructed not to deviate from their current, dietary habits, or hydration patterns throughout the duration of this study. They were asked to refrain from any other kind of exercise during the experiment.

#### Familiarization Procedures

Before taking part in any experimental trial (baseline measurements), all subjects performed familiarization trials to become oriented with all testing procedures. The familiarization also consisted of two to three maximal bouts of 30-s shuttle runs with 4 min of recovery between bouts.

#### Pre- and Post-Testing

Baseline measurements for all subjects consisted of a repeated sprint ability (RSA) test (**Figure 1A**), and 48 h after, a maximal sprint speed test over 30 m (**Figure 1B**), and a MAS test (**Figure 1C**) interspersed by 20-min recovery. An experienced strength and conditioning coach supervised each test session and provided participants with strong verbal encouragement.

#### RSA Test

On a soccer pitch, each line was materialized by placing markers 5 m from each other for a total of 30 m (**Figure 1A**). Based on Koral et al. (2018), participants were asked to perform four bouts of 30 s all-out shuttle sprints during which they had to travel the greatest distance possible making trips of 5, 10, 15 m, and so on (**Figure 1A**). A 4-min recovery was fixed between each bout. All sprints were recorded with an iPhone 7 plus (Apple, 2017) mounted to a tripod at 240 Hz. Based on Romero-Franco et al. (2017), the iPhone was placed in a fixed position at 18 m from the pitch in the frontal plane and at the 15 m marker. The following two variables were obtained for each test session:

1) Maximal distance ( $D_{max}$ ): the longest total distance covered in a 30-s period.



2) Mean distance  $(D_{\text{mean}})$ : the total distance of the session divided by the number of repetitions:  $D_{\text{mean}} = (D_{\text{max1}} + D_{\text{max2}} + D_{\text{max3}} + D_{\text{max4}})/4$ .

#### Maximal Sprint Speed Test

Participants were supervised and instructed to run as fast as possible over 30 m on a flat soccer pitch. They performed two 30-m sprints with 5-min rest. Markers were placed every 10 m (from 0 to 30 m). All sprints were recorded with an iPhone 7 plus (Apple, 2017) mounted to a tripod at 240 Hz. The iPhone was placed in a fixed position at 18 m from the track in the frontal plane and at 15 m from both starting and finishing lines (**Figure 1B**). Based on Romero-Franco et al. (2017), video parallax was corrected to ensure 10, 20, and 30 m split times were measured properly. Data were analyzed using the application *MySprint*, in which validity and reliability have been demonstrated (Romero-Franco et al., 2017) to determine the average speed from 0 to 10 m ( $V_{0-10m}$ ), and the maximal sprint speed reached during the 30-m trip ( $V_{max}$ ) which was determined as the fastest 10 m interval.

#### MAS Test

A continuous running multistage field test, known as the "University of Montreal Track Test" (Léger and Boucher, 1980), was utilized. This protocol was run on a 400-m flat running track, with markers located every 50 m along the track (**Figure 1C**). No warm-up was performed before the test, and the speed of the initial stage was set at  $8 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  and increased by  $1 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  every 2 min. The speed changes were indicated by audio cues from a prerecorded audio file. The test ceased when the subject

fell 5 m short of the designated markers, or when the subject reached volitional failure. The validity and reliability of this test are well-established (Léger and Boucher, 1980).

#### Training Period

The training period started 2 days after baseline testing. Two weekly sessions were performed by each group (i.e., SSGs, PT, and SIT) within their usual soccer training on Mondays and Wednesdays, whereas Fridays were only dedicated to soccer. The total time of the training session (training condition + soccer or only soccer) varied from 90 to 100 min.

#### SSGs

Participants did a standard preseason based on SSGs on the field. Those SSGs were programmed with smaller fields and less players than during a traditional soccer game. Based on Rampinini et al. (2007b), SSGs were played with no goalkeepers, small goals, and free touches. In order to increase the training load in SSGs, the pitch area moved from large  $(1,728 \text{ m}^2)$  to small (480 m<sup>2</sup>), and the number of players was also reduced each week altering, therefore, the difficulty of the technical-tactical task. The training protocol of week 1 consisted of four sets of 4 min with 3 min of passive recovery between sets. In week 2, the number of sets was increased from 4 to 5. And in week 3, the time of work was extended from 4 to 5 min. The organization, modulations, and contents of the 3-week training period are presented in **Table 2**.

#### PΤ

Participants had to perform five different kinds of exercises over the 3-week PT, namely, vertical jumps, squat jumps,

Week	Session	Game	Training	Inter sets	Total	Pitch	
		design	prescription	passive rest	session time	dimensions (m)	
1	1	6 vs. 6	$4 \times 4 \min$	3 min	28 min	36 x 48	
	2	6 vs. 6	$4 \times 4 \min$	3 min	28 min	36 x 48	
2	3	4 vs. 4	$5 \times 4 \min$	3 min	32 min	30 x 34	
	4	4 vs. 4	$5 \times 4 \min$	3 min	32 min	30 x 34	
3	5	3 vs. 3	$5 \times 5  \text{min}$	3 min	37 min	24 x 20	
	6	3 vs. 3	$5 \times 5  \text{min}$	3 min	37 min	24 x 20	

**TABLE 3** | Plyometric training program distribution over the 3-week training.

Week	Session		Exercises				Rest between sets & exercises	Total session
		Vertical jumps	Squat jumps	Counter movement jumps	Horizontal squats on sled	Drop jumps	(min)	time (min)
1	1	3 x 5 on 40 cm box	3 x 5 at BW	3 x 5 at BW	-	3 x 3 from 20 cm at BW	2	~30
	2	3 x 5 on 40 cm box	3 x 5 with SB	-	3 x 5	3 x 3 from 20 cm at BW	2	~30
2	3	3 x 5 on 40 cm box	3 x 5 with SB	-	3 x 5 assisted with EB	3 x 3 from 20 cm at BW	2	~30
	4	4 x 5 on 40 cm box	4 x 5 at BW	4 x 5 at BW	-	4 x 3 from 20 cm at BW	2	~35
3	5	4 x 5 on 40 cm box	4 x 5 with SB	-	4 x 5	4 x 3 from 20 cm at BW	2	~35
	6	4 x 5 on 40 cm box	4 x 5 with SB	-	4 x 5 assisted with EB	4 x 3 from 20 cm at BW	2	~35

BW, bodyweight; EB, elastic band; SB, Swiss ball.

countermovement jumps, horizontal squats on a sled (with or without the help of elastic bands), and drop jumps (Table 3). During the first three sessions, participants did three sets of five repetitions by exercise and went up to four sets of five repetitions in the last three sessions. Due to the high impacts generated during the drop jumps, the total load was lowered to three sets of three repetitions in sessions 1-3 and four sets of three repetitions in sessions 4-6 compared with the other exercises (Table 3). Vertical jumps and squat jumps were used to enhance the concentric muscle function when countermovement jumps and drop jumps were introduced to improve the SSC muscle function. The inclusion of a Swiss-ball in squat jumps and a sledge (assisted or not with an elastic band) in the horizontal squat during sessions 3-6 were proposed to exacerbate the velocity of each repetition by reducing the body weight (Samozino et al., 2018).

#### SIT

Following the distribution of the RSA test, the soccer pitch was divided by placing markers every 5 m and up to 30 m (**Figure 1A**). Each training session consisted of repeated 30 s of "all-out" shuttle run efforts interspersed by a period of 4-min of rest (**Table 4**). Regarding the RSA test, the instructions were to travel the greatest distance

TABLE 4 | Sprint interval training distribution over 3 weeks.

Week	Session	Number of sprints	Training sprint time (min)	Total session time (min)
1	1	4	2	14
	2	5	2.5	18.5
2	3	6	3	23
	4	6	3	23
3	5	7	3.5	27.5
	6	4	2	14
	Total	32	16	110

possible in 30 s making trips of 5, 10, 15 m, etc. SIT volume progressively increased from 4 to 7 bouts over the first five sessions and was reduced to four bouts in the last session (**Table 4**). Participants received strong verbal encouragement to continue running maximally without pacing throughout the 30 s bouts. Up to six players performed SIT simultaneously and, during the 4-min recovery period, they walked back to the start line where they waited for the following repetitions.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Data are presented as mean values  $\pm$  SD in the text, tables, and figures and were analyzed using the STATISTICA 2007 version 8.0. (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) software. The normality assumption was verified using Shapiro-Wilk's test. In this study, the performance-related variables studied were analyzed using a two-factor mixed model design ANOVA to test the effect of the training along the time and the effect of each type of intervention on the participants (time × condition). Neuman-Keuls *post-hoc* was performed to determine between means differences if the ANOVA revealed a significant main effect or an interaction. The significance level was set at p < 0.05. Finally, the effect size was calculated using the partial eta square ( $\eta^2$ p). Criteria for evaluating the effect size were 0.01 = small, 0.06 = medium, and 0.14 = large (Cohen, 1988).

## RESULTS

All individual mean PRE-POST changes are reported in **Figure 2**. All individual raw data, descriptive statistics, and effects are presented in **Table 5**.

## **V**<sub>0-10 m</sub>

There was a significant loss of speed for the SSG group ( $-4 \pm 5\%$ ; p < 0.001) when a statistical improvement was found in the SIT group ( $8 \pm 5\%$ ; p < 0.001). No statistical changes were seen in the PT group ( $1 \pm 6\%$ ; p = 0.835). A time × condition interaction was observed (p < 0.001;  $\eta^2 p = 0.47$ ) for  $V_{0-10m}$  where the SSG group was significantly lower from PT and SIT groups in POST (p < 0.001).

## **V**<sub>max</sub>

Only slight and non-significant changes were obtained in  $V_{\text{max}}$  for SSG and SIT groups. In contrast,  $V_{\text{max}}$  significantly decreased in the PT group ( $-2 \pm 4\%$ ; p < 0.05).  $V_{\text{max}}$  showed a time  $\times$  condition interaction (p < 0.05;  $\eta^2 p = 0.12$ ) where the SSG group was significantly different from PT and SIT groups in POST (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively).

#### D<sub>max</sub>

No statistical differences were observed in  $P_{\text{max}}$  for the PT group. There was a significant decrease for the SSG group ( $-3 \pm 6\%$ ; p < 0.01), while the SIT group showed significant improvement with  $6\% \pm 5\%$  (p < 0.001). An interaction time × condition was observed (p < 0.001;  $\eta^2 p = 0.38$ ) for  $P_{\text{max}}$  where SSGs group was significantly different from PT and SIT groups in POST (p < 0.001).

## **D**<sub>mean</sub>

There was no significant change for the SSG group ( $0 \pm 5\%$ ; p = 0.89). In contrast, both PT and SIT groups showed statistical improvements ( $5 \pm 4$  and  $7 \pm 5\%$ , respectively; p < 0.001). In  $P_{\text{mean}}$ , a time × condition interaction was observed (p < 0.001;  $\eta^2 p = 0.34$ ) where the SSG group was significantly lower from PT (p < 0.01) and SIT (p < 0.001) groups in POST. In addition, PT and SIT groups were also different in POST (p < 0.001).

#### MAS

A significant increase in MAS was observed for both PT (7  $\pm$  6%; p < 0.01) and SIT (12  $\pm$  8%; p < 0.001) groups when SSGs registered a significant decrease ( $-3 \pm$  6%; p < 0.001). An interaction time  $\times$  condition was observed (p < 0.001;  $\eta^2 p = 0.52$ ) in MAS where (i) the SSG group was significantly different from PT and SIT groups in POST (p < 0.001) and (ii) PT and SIT groups were also different in POST (p < 0.001).

## DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that PT and SIT improved athletic performance when SSGs did not. More specifically, SIT significantly improved athletic performance (e.g.,  $V_{0-10m}$ ,  $D_{max}$ ,  $D_{mean}$ , and MAS) while PT did not reach such a high impact, and SSGs showed no statistical increases. In fact and contrary to the literature (Impellizzeri et al., 2006; Dellal et al., 2012a; Kunz et al., 2019), the statistical changes in the SSG group were only losses in  $V_{0-10m}$  (-4%),  $P_{max}$  (-3%), and MAS (-3%).

#### Speed

Our results are in line with Bujalance-Moreno and Garc-a-Pinillos (2017), Jastrzebski et al. (2014), and Hill-Haas et al. (2009) as SIT and SSG training did not affect V<sub>max</sub> but in contrast with Chaouachi et al. (2014) who reported a significant increase in  $V_{\text{max}}$  in both sprints and SSG groups. On shorter distance (i.e.,  $V_{0-10m}$ ), Chaouachi et al. (2014) also obtained significant improvements after the sprint and SSG training when we found an increase in the SIT group (8%, p < 0.001) and a decrease in the SSG group (-4%, p < 0.001) where both groups were significantly different in POST. As Bujalance-Moreno et al. (2019) indicated that soccer players could perform up to 250 brief explosive actions during a game, it seems more important to focus on an increase of  $V_{0-10m}$  rather than  $V_{max}$  which would be reached very occasionally during the game. Thus, the results obtained in the PT group (loss in V<sub>max</sub> with no changes in  $V_{0-10m}$ ) are in accordance with the SSG group in which  $V_{max}$ did not change but V<sub>0-10m</sub> decreased. Additionally, SIT seems to offer the best compromise with no change in  $V_{max}$  in POST and a significant increase of  $V_{0-10 \text{ m}}$ .

#### RSA

Improvements in sprint performance (see results) and RSA have been identified as determinant factors of team sports (Reilly et al., 2009; Buchheit et al., 2010; Bujalance-Moreno et al., 2019). Rampinini et al. (2009) even suggested that the ability to complete repeated sprints could be one of the best physical factors differentiating the playing level in soccer players. Despite increases that were reported in previous studies after 2–6 weeks of SSG training (Owen et al., 2012; Bujalance-Moreno and Garc-a-Pinillos, 2017; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2017), in this study, and as for speed, SSGs had no effect on  $D_{\text{mean}}$  and negatively affected  $D_{\text{max}}$  (-3%). It is important to notice that those results were significantly different from PT and SIT groups where PT presented no change in  $D_{\text{max}}$  (1%) and statistical improvements in  $D_{\text{mean}}$  (5%). Once again, SIT exhibited the highest increase in both  $D_{\text{max}}$  (6%) and





#### TABLE 5 | Raw data, descriptive statistics, and effects by groups.

No. 6 (Mm. <sup>11</sup> )         No. 6 (Mm. <sup>11</sup> )           ST         18.728 ± 0.631         20.190 ± 1.047         1.482           PT         20.103 ± 1.066         20.285 ± 1.057         0.122           SSGs         19.994 ± 1.008         11.915 ± 0.874         -0.644           Effects         Sum of Sources         of         Meen Source         4.000         0.049         0.056           Time         2.220         1         2.220         4.020         0.049         0.056           Condition         13.2576         2         8.177         6.273         <0.003         0.152           Vex. (Mm. <sup>1</sup> )         Description statistics         Prie ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference         577         2.9.35 ± 1.066         220.422 ± 1.161         -0.683         578         0.0101         0.015           SGs         27.889 ± 1.380         2.2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.016           SGs         2.2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.021         0.211         MAS (mh. <sup>-1</sup> )           Description statistics         Prie ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference         577         14.412 ± 1.02         13.354 ± 1.031         1.0422         1.0530 <t< th=""><th>Descriptive statistics</th><th>Pre + SD</th><th>Post + SD</th><th>Mean difference</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Descriptive statistics	Pre + SD	Post + SD	Mean difference			
ST         18.728 $\pm$ 0.631         20.190 $\pm$ 1.047         1.482           PT         20.163 $\pm$ 1.066         20.285 $\pm$ 1.057         0.122           SSGs         19.994 $\pm$ 1.068         10.150 $\pm$ 0.874         -0.844           Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P $\eta^2 p$ Time         2.220         1         2.220         4.020         0.049         0.056           Condition         33.576         2         8.17         6.738         <-0.003         0.152           Veac (Mah <sup>-1</sup> )         D         Decorptos datatics         Pre $\pm$ SD         Post $\pm$ SD         Mean difference           ST         28.582 $\pm$ 1.106         29.045 $\pm$ 1.216         -0.063             Veac (Mah <sup>-1</sup> )         23.35 $\pm$ 1.080         28.722 $\pm$ 1.141         -0.030             SSGs         27.889 $\pm$ 1.380         27.801 $\pm$ 1.215         -0.085              Condition         3.356         2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.015           Condition         3.356         2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.021	$V_{0-10}$ (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )			incur uncrenee			
pT         20.183 ± 1.005         20.285 ± 1.067         0.122           SSGa         18.994 ± 1.008         1015 ± 0.874        0.844        0.844           Elletts         Sum of Squares         of d         Mean Square         F         P         n*p*           Time a Condition         33.576         2         8.117         6.273         -0.003         0.162           Condition         16.235         2         8.117         6.273         -0.003         0.162           Descriptive statistics         Pe ± SD         Pest ± SD         Mean difference         -	SIT	$18.728 \pm 0.631$	$20.190 \pm 1.047$	1.462			
SSGs         19.994 + 1.008         19.150 + 0.874        0.84           Effects         Sum of Squares         of         Mean Squares         F         P         n*"p           Time         2.220         1         2.220         4.020         0.049         0.0465           Condition         33.578         2         16.788         16.788         -0.001         0.465           Condition         33.578         2         16.788         16.788         -0.003         0.152           Vas (Mn - T)         Escriptive statistics         Pr ± 5D         Post ± SD         Mean difference         5         7         29.35 ± 1.086         28.722 ± 1.141         -0.638         5         0.005           SGG         27.889 ± 1.300         27.780 ± 1.215         -0.068         7         7         7         9         n*"p           Time x Condition         7.388         2         3.693         4.576         0.001         0.211           Mas (Squares         Gf         Mean Square         F         P         n*"p           Time x Condition         7.388         1         1.345         1.341         1.342         1.301         0.201         0.355         0.001         0.351	PT	20.163 ±1.095	$20.285 \pm 1.057$	0.122			
Ellects         Sum of Squares         of         Mean Square         F         P         q         q           Time & Condition         2.220         1         2.220         4.020         0.049         0.049           Condition         35.676         2         16.788         16.788         <0.003	SSGs	$19.994 \pm 1.008$	$19.150 \pm 0.874$	-0.844			
Time         2.220         1         2.220         4.020         0.046         0.046           Condition         33.576         2         8.17         6.273         <0.003	Effects	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Р	η²p
Time A Condition         33.876         2         16.788         16.788         -0.001         0.465           Condition         16.235         2         8.117         6.273         <.0.03	Time	2.220	1	2.220	4.020	0.049	0.054
Condition         16.235         2         8.117         6.273         -0.003         0.152           Vms (km. <sup>-1</sup> )         Descriptive statistics         Pe ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference           SIT         28.582 ± 1.106         29.045 ± 1.216         0.463               SIG         27.389 ± 1.380         27.204 ± 1.216         0.463               SIG         27.389 ± 1.380         27.204 ± 1.216         -0.006	Time x Condition	33.576	2	16.788	16.788	< 0.001	0.465
Nusc (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )         Pre ± SD         Post ± SD         Med (flernoc)           ST         28.582 ± 1.106         29.045 ± 1.216         0.463           PT         29.35 ± 1.086         28.722 ± 1.141         -0.636           SSGa         27.899 ± 1.380         27.094 ± 1.215         -0.095           Efferts         Sum of Squares         off         Mean Square         F         P         n°p           Time x Condition         7.386         2         3.693         4.576         0.014         0.0121           AS (m.h <sup>-1</sup> )         0.270         1         0.270         3.355         0.565         0.005           Time x Condition         7.386         2         3.693         4.576         0.014         0.0121           AS (m.h <sup>-1</sup> )         0.270         1         0.270         3.355         0.013         0.0121           AS (m.h <sup>-1</sup> )         14.612 ± 1.102         16.364 ± 1.054         1.742         1.742         1.742         1.742         1.742         1.35.98         0.013         0.065         0.013         0.065         0.013         0.065         0.013         0.065         0.013         0.065         0.013         0.065         0.013         0.055         0.013 <td< td=""><td>Condition</td><td>16.235</td><td>2</td><td>8.117</td><td>6.273</td><td>&lt; 0.003</td><td>0.152</td></td<>	Condition	16.235	2	8.117	6.273	< 0.003	0.152
Descriptive statistics $Pe \pm SD$ Post $\pm SD$ Mean differenceSIT28.682 ± 1.10629.045 ± 1.2160.463PT29.35 ± 1.06628.722 ± 1.141-0.636SSGa27.889 ± 1.38027.804 ± 1.215-0.085EffectsSum of SquaresoffMean SquareFP $\eta^2 p$ Time0.27010.2700.3350.0140.016Condition7.38623.6934.5760.0140.016Condition7.38623.6934.5760.0140.016Condition7.38623.6934.5760.0140.016Condition7.38623.6934.5760.0140.016Condition14.612 ± 1.10216.364 ± 1.0541.742555SGa14.738 ± 1.21615.300 ± 1.0560.5820.0110.085SGa13.35961133.5980.0130.085Time x Condition4526.5242263.22239.732<0.001	V <sub>max</sub> (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )						
SIT       28,582 ± 1106       29,045 ± 1216       0.483         PT       29,35 ± 1,086       27,22 ± 1,141 $-0.636$ SSGs       27,889 ± 1,380       27,04 ± 1,215 $-0.085$ Effects       Sum of Squares       of       P $p$ $n^2p$ Time Condition       7,386       2       0.683       4.576       0.014       0.016         Condition       38.356       2       19,178       9.365       <0.001       0.211         MAS (m.h <sup>-1</sup> )       Descriptive statistics       Pe ± SD       Post ± SD       Mean difference       V       V         SIT       14.612 ± 1.102       16.354 ± 1.054       1.742       P $p^*p$ $p^*p$ Time N       133.598       13       134.24 ± 1.091 $-0.516$ Effects       Sum of Squares       of       P $p^*p$ $p^*p$ Time N       133.598       1       133.598       0.03       0.035       0.037       0.0365         Condition       456.524       2       285.925       69.325       <0.001       0.352         SiT       140.346 ± 7.250       Post ± SD       Mean difference       V       P $p^*p$ <th< td=""><td>Descriptive statistics</td><td><math display="block">Pre\pmSD</math></td><td><math display="block">Post\pmSD</math></td><td>Mean difference</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Descriptive statistics	$Pre\pmSD$	$Post\pmSD$	Mean difference			
PT         29.35 ± 1.086         28.72 ± 1.141         -0.636           SSGs         27.894 ± 1.350         27.804 ± 1.215         -0.085           Efferts         Sum of Squares         of         Mean Square         F         P $\eta^2 p$ Time         0.270         1         0.270         0.335         0.565         0.005           Condition         7.386         2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.016           Condition         7.386         2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.016           MS (m.)*)         Descriptive statistics         Pe et SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference         57         14.612 ± 1.02         16.354 ± 1.031         -0.516         52         55Ga         14.3584 ± 1.131         13.842 ± 1.091         -0.516         57         16.3588         1         13.3588         0.013         0.068         57         0.001         0.375         Condition         859.325         2         859.325         <0.001	SIT	$28.582 \pm 1.106$	$29.045 \pm 1.216$	0.463			
SSGs         27.899 ± 1.380         27.894 ± 1.215        0.085           Effects         Sum of Squares         off         Mean Square         F         P $n_1^2 p$ Time x Condition         7.386         2         3.633         4.576         0.014         0.016           Condition         38.566         2         19.178         9.365         <0.001	PT	$29.35 \pm 1.086$	$28.722 \pm 1.141$	-0.636			
Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P         n <sup>2</sup> p           Time         0.270         1         0.270         0.335         0.065         0.005           Condition         7.386         2         3.693         4.576         0.014         0.016           Condition         38.356         2         3.693         4.576         0.014         0.211           MAS         Condition         38.356         2         3.693         4.576         -0.011         0.211           MAS         Pr         14.612 ± 1.102         16.354 ± 1.054         1.742         -	SSGs	$27.889 \pm 1.380$	$27.804 \pm 1.215$	-0.085			
Time $0.270$ 1 $0.270$ $0.335$ $0.565$ $0.005$ Time x Condition $7.386$ 2 $3.938$ $4.576$ $0.014$ $0.016$ Conditon $38.356$ 2 $3.9178$ $9.365$ $<0.001$ $0.211$ MAS (um.)*) $Mas (um.)*$ $Mas (um.)*$ $Nas (um.)*$ <td>Effects</td> <td>Sum of Squares</td> <td>df</td> <td>Mean Square</td> <td>F</td> <td>Р</td> <td>η<sup>2</sup>p</td>	Effects	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Р	η <sup>2</sup> p
Time x Condition         7.386         2         3.683         4.576         0.014         0.016           Condition         38.356         2         19.178         9.365         <.0.01         0.211           MAS (um.h <sup>-1</sup> )         Descriptive statistics         Pre ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference         V         V           SIT         14.612 ± 1.102         16.354 ± 1.054         1.742         V         V         V           PT         14.748 ± 1.216         15.330 ± 1.086         0.882         V         V         V         V           SIGs         14.358 ± 1.131         13.842 ± 1.091         -0.516         V         V         P         n°p         n°p           Time         133.598         1         133.598         133.598         0.013         0.085           Time x Condition         859.325         2         859.325         469.325         <0.001         0.375           Condition         140.346 ± 7.250         148.231 ± 7.506         7.885         97         197.4778 ± 5.907         193.174 ± 4.969         1.696         3.633         0.013         0.085           Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P	Time	0.270	1	0.270	0.335	0.565	0.005
Condition         38.366         2         19.178         9.365         <0.01         0.211           MAS (m,h^-1)         Descriptive statistics         Pe ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference         V	Time x Condition	7.386	2	3.693	4.576	0.014	0.016
MAS (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )         Pre ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference           ST         14.612 ± 1.102         16.364 ± 1.054         1.742           PT         14.748 ± 1.216         15.330 ± 1.086         0.582           SSGs         14.358 ± 1.131         13.642 ± 1.091         -0.516           Effects         Sum of Squares         off         Mean Square         F         P         n <sup>2</sup> p           Time         133.598         1         133.598         0.013         0.035           Condition         456.524         2         263.325         <0.01	Condition	38.356	2	19.178	9.365	< 0.001	0.211
Descriptive statistics         Pre $\pm$ SD         Post $\pm$ SD         Mean difference           ST         14.612 $\pm$ 1.102         16.354 $\pm$ 1.054         1.742           PT         14.748 $\pm$ 1.216         15.330 $\pm$ 1.086         0.582           SGGs         14.358 $\pm$ 1.131         13.842 $\pm$ 1.091         -0.516           Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P $\eta^2 p$ Time         133.598         1         133.598         0.013         0.085           Condition         859.325         2         259.325         859.325         <0.001	MAS (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )						
SIT       14.612 $\pm$ 1.102       16.354 $\pm$ 1.054       1.742         PT       14.748 $\pm$ 1.216       15.330 $\pm$ 1.086       0.582         SSGs       14.358 $\pm$ 1.131       13.842 $\pm$ 1.091       -0.516         Effects       Sum of Squares       df       Mean Square       F       P $\eta^2 \rho$ Time       133.598       1       133.598       133.598       0.013       0.085         Time x Condition       859.325       2       859.325       859.325       <0.001	Descriptive statistics	$Pre\pmSD$	$Post\pmSD$	Mean difference			
PT $14.748 \pm 1.216$ $15.330 \pm 1.086$ $0.582$ SSGs $14.358 \pm 1.131$ $13.842 \pm 1.091$ $-0.516$ Effects       SUm of Squares       df       Mean Square       F       P $n^2p$ Time $13.3.598$ $13.598$ $133.598$ $0.013$ $0.085$ Time x Condition $859.325$ $22$ $859.325$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.375$ Condition $4526.524$ $22$ $283.822$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.582$ Dmax (m)       T $140.346 \pm 7.250$ $148.231 \pm 7.506$ $7.885$ $7$ $7$ $7^2p$ SIT $140.346 \pm 7.250$ $148.231 \pm 7.506$ $7.885$ $7$ $P$ $n^2p$ SGs $137.478 \pm 5.907$ $139.174 \pm 4.969$ $-1.663$ $0.013$ $0.085$ $0.013$ $0.085$ SGs $133.598$ $128.917 \pm 4.969$ $-3.653$ $0.013$ $0.085$ $0.013$ $0.085$ Time $\lambda$ $059.325$ $2$ $429.663$ $21.026$ $<0.001$ $0.335$ $0.013$ $0.085$ $0.013$	SIT	$14.612 \pm 1.102$	$16.354 \pm 1.054$	1.742			
SSGs $14.358 \pm 1.131$ $13.842 \pm 1.091$ $-0.516$ Effects       Sum of Squares       df       Mean Square       F       P $\eta^2 p$ Time $133.598$ 1 $133.598$ $133.598$ $0.013$ $0.065$ Time x Condition $859.325$ $259.325$ $859.325$ $<0.001$ $0.375$ Condition $4526.524$ $2$ $2263.262$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.375$ Denx (m)       T $2$ $2263.262$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.375$ Descriptive statistics       Pre $\pm$ SD       Post $\pm$ SD       Mean difference $T$ </td <td>PT</td> <td><math>14.748 \pm 1.216</math></td> <td><math>15.330 \pm 1.086</math></td> <td>0.582</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	PT	$14.748 \pm 1.216$	$15.330 \pm 1.086$	0.582			
EffectsSum of SquaresoffMean SquareFP $\eta^2 \rho$ Time133.5981133.598133.5980.0130.085Time x Condition859.3252859.325859.325<0.001	SSGs	$14.358 \pm 1.131$	$13.842 \pm 1.091$	-0.516			
Time133.5981133.598133.5980.0130.085Time x Condition859.3252859.325859.325<0.001	Effects	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Р	η <sup>2</sup> p
Time x Condition $859.325$ $2$ $859.325$ $859.325$ $<0.001$ $0.375$ Condition $4526.524$ $2$ $2263.262$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.532$ $\mathbf{P}_{max}$ (m) $\mathbf{V}_{max}$ $\mathbf{P}_{max}$	Time	133.598	1	133.598	133.598	0.013	0.085
Condition         4526.524         2         2263.262         39.732         <0.001         0.532           Dmax (m)	Time x Condition	859.325	2	859.325	859.325	< 0.001	0.375
$D_{max}$ (m)         Descriptive statistics $Pre \pm SD$ $Post \pm SD$ Mean difference           SIT         140.346 ± 7.250         148.231 ± 7.506         7.885           PT         137.478 ± 5.907         139.174 ± 4.969         1.696           SSGs         132.750 ± 6.187         128.917 ± 4.966 $-3.653$ Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P $\eta^2 p$ Time         133.598         1         133.598         6.538         0.013         0.085           Condition         859.325         2         429.663         21.026         <0.001	Condition	4526.524	2	2263.262	39.732	< 0.001	0.532
Descriptive statistics $Pre \pm SD$ $Post \pm SD$ Mean difference           SIT         140.346 ± 7.250         148.231 ± 7.506         7.885           PT         137.478 ± 5.907         139.174 ± 4.969         1.696           SSGs         132.750 ± 6.187         128.917 ± 4.966 $-3.653$ Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P $n^2p$ Time         133.598         1         133.598         6.538         0.013         0.085           Condition         859.325         2         429.663         21.026         <0.001	D <sub>max</sub> (m)						
SIT140.346 $\pm$ 7.250148.231 $\pm$ 7.5067.885PT137.478 $\pm$ 5.907139.174 $\pm$ 4.9691.696SSGs132.750 $\pm$ 6.187128.917 $\pm$ 4.966 $-3.653$ EffectsSum of SquaresdfMean SquareFP $\eta^2 p$ Time133.5981133.5986.5380.0130.085Condition859.3252429.66321.026<0.001	Descriptive statistics	$Pre\pmSD$	$Post\pmSD$	Mean difference			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SIT	$140.346 \pm 7.250$	$148.231 \pm 7.506$	7.885			
SSGs $132.750 \pm 6.187$ $128.917 \pm 4.966$ $-3.653$ Effects         Sum of Squares         of         Mean Square         F         P $\eta^2 p$ Time $133.598$ 1 $133.598$ $6.538$ $0.013$ $0.085$ Time x Condition $859.325$ 2 $429.663$ $21.026$ $<0.001$ $0.375$ Condition $4526.524$ 2 $2263.262$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.532$ Dmean (m)         Descriptive statistics         Pre $\pm$ SD         Post $\pm$ SD         Mean difference         SIT $136.692 \pm 6.981$ $145.385 \pm 4.464$ $8.693$ $$	PT	$137.478 \pm 5.907$	$139.174 \pm 4.969$	1.696			
EffectsSum of SquaresdfMean SquareFP $\eta^2 \rho$ Time x Condition133.5981133.5986.5380.0130.085Time x Condition859.3252429.66321.026<0.001	SSGs	$132.750 \pm 6.187$	$128.917 \pm 4.966$	-3.653			
Time133.5981133.5986.5380.0130.085Time x Condition859.3252429.66321.026<0.001	Effects	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Р	η²p
Time x Condition859.3252429.66321.026<0.0010.375Condition4526.52422263.26239.732<0.0010.532 $\mathbf{P}_{mean}$ (m)Version of the statisticsPre ± SDMean differenceSIT136.692 ± 6.981145.385 ± 4.4648.693Version of the statisticsPreYerYerSIGS117.369 ± 10.029117.506 ± 9.8690,137Version of the statisticsP $\mathbf{P}$ $\mathbf{P}^2 \mathbf{p}$ EffectsSum of SquaresdfMean SquareFP $\mathbf{P}^2 \mathbf{p}$ Time x Condition471.9802235.99017.978<0.0010.339Condition16100.58428050.29280.086<0.0010.696	Time	133.598	1	133.598	6.538	0.013	0.085
Condition $4526.524$ $2$ $2263.262$ $39.732$ $<0.001$ $0.532$ $\mathbf{p}_{mean}$ (m)Descriptive statisticsPre $\pm$ SDMean differenceSIT $136.692 \pm 6.981$ $145.385 \pm 4.464$ $8.693$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ PT $118.370 \pm 6.941$ $124.347 \pm 5.332$ $5.977$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ SIGs $117.369 \pm 10.029$ $117.506 \pm 9.869$ $0,137$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ EffectsSum of SquaresdfMean SquareFP $\eta^2 p$ Time $886.902$ 1 $886.902$ 1 $886.902$ $17.978$ $<0.001$ $0.339$ Condition $471.980$ $2$ $8050.292$ $80.086$ $<0.001$ $0.696$	Time x Condition	859.325	2	429.663	21.026	< 0.001	0.375
Dmean (m)         Descriptive statistics         Pre ± SD         Post ± SD         Mean difference           SIT         136.692 ± 6.981         145.385 ± 4.464         8.693           PT         118.370 ± 6.941         124.347 ± 5.332         5.977           SSGs         117.369 ± 10.029         117.506 ± 9.869         0,137           Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P         η²p           Time         886.902         1         886.902         17.978         <0.001	Condition	4526.524	2	2263.262	39.732	< 0.001	0.532
Descriptive statistics $Pre \pm SD$ $Post \pm SD$ Mean difference           SIT $136.692 \pm 6.981$ $145.385 \pm 4.464$ $8.693$ PT $118.370 \pm 6.941$ $124.347 \pm 5.332$ $5.977$ SSGs $117.369 \pm 10.029$ $117.506 \pm 9.869$ $0,137$ Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P $\eta^2 p$ Time x Condition $471.980$ 2 $235.990$ $17.978$ $<0.001$ $0.399$ Condition $16100.584$ $2$ $8050.292$ $80.086$ $<0.001$ $0.696$	D <sub>mean</sub> (m)						
SIT $136.692 \pm 6.981$ $145.385 \pm 4.464$ $8.693$ PT $118.370 \pm 6.941$ $124.347 \pm 5.332$ $5.977$ SSGs $117.369 \pm 10.029$ $117.506 \pm 9.869$ $0,137$ EffectsSum of SquaresdfMean SquareFP $\eta^2 p$ Time $886.902$ 1 $886.902$ 67.567<0.001	Descriptive statistics	$Pre\pmSD$	$Post\pmSD$	Mean difference			
PT         118.370 ± 6.941         124.347 ± 5.332         5.977           SSGs         117.369 ± 10.029         117.506 ± 9.869         0,137           Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P         η²p           Time         886.902         1         886.902         67.567         <0.001	SIT	$136.692 \pm 6.981$	$145.385 \pm 4.464$	8.693			
SSGs         117.369 ± 10.029         117.506 ± 9.869         0,137           Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P         η <sup>2</sup> p           Time         886.902         1         886.902         67.567         <0.001	PT	$118.370 \pm 6.941$	$124.347 \pm 5.332$	5.977			
Effects         Sum of Squares         df         Mean Square         F         P         η²p           Time         886.902         1         886.902         67.567         <0.001	SSGs	117.369 ± 10.029	$117.506 \pm 9.869$	0,137			
Time         886.902         1         886.902         67.567         <0.001         0.491           Time x Condition         471.980         2         235.990         17.978         <0.001	Effects	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Р	η²p
Time x Condition         471.980         2         235.990         17.978         <0.001         0.339           Condition         16100.584         2         8050.292         80.086         <0.001	Time	886.902	1	886.902	67.567	<0.001	0.491
Condition 16100.584 2 8050.292 80.086 <0.001 0.696	Time x Condition	471.980	2	235.990	17.978	< 0.001	0.339
	Condition	16100.584	2	8050.292	80.086	< 0.001	0.696

SIT, sprint interval training; TG, plyometric training; SSGs, small-sided games.

 $D_{\text{mean}}$  (7%). Nonetheless, SIT enhancements were in the lower part of the bulk of the literature on 2–4 week SIT which experienced a 5–17% improvement in  $D_{\text{max}}$  and a 4–17% in  $D_{\text{mean}}$  (Burgomaster et al., 2005, 2006; Hazell et al., 2010; Whyte et al., 2010; Bayati et al., 2011; Willoughby et al., 2016).

Besides the previously discussed differences in subject training experience, it is important to notice that this study performed tests and training on the field, which do not allow for the same level of accuracy as direct measures of power on a cycle ergometer.

### MAS

Maximal aerobic speed in the SIT group improved significantly by 12% following the 3-week intervention. The PT group also progressed (7%, p < 0.01) but slightly less than the SIT group. Compared with the literature that utilized a 2-4-week SIT intervention period (-2 to 9.5% Burgomaster et al., 2006; Iaia et al., 2009; Hazell et al., 2010; Whyte et al., 2010; Bayati et al., 2011; Astorino et al., 2012; Denham et al., 2015; Willoughby et al., 2016), the results of this study are higher. Furthermore, these studies were conducted in untrained or active subjects, and our results are then even more valuable since our participants were already trained soccer players. Potentially, this type of training could have enhanced neuromuscular capacity in soccer players as supported by the improvements obtained in  $D_{\text{max}}$  and  $D_{\text{mean}}$ (see results). It can be assumed that the PT group which also increased both MAS and D<sub>mean</sub> may share part of the adaptive mechanisms. Those may result in a better running economy and therefore higher performances (Helgerud et al., 2001; Rowan et al., 2012). Interestingly, the SSG group presented a significant decrease in MAS (-3%, p < 0.001). As players were not wearing any GPS system associated with a heartbeat monitor, the internal training load could not be quantified. Nevertheless, it can be speculated that the technical-tactical level of the players was not good enough to deal with the SSGs proposed by coaches (Dellal et al., 2011, 2012b). Consequently, as proposed by Castagna et al. (2004), players were not able to maintain the required metabolic strain even if the coaches chose SSGs involving possession in which heart rate was supposed to be higher (Castellano et al., 2013). Moreover, contrary to Rampinini et al. (2007b), the 3-min recovery between sets during SSG sessions was passive. This may also have participated to lower much of the metabolic strain. In line with Bujalance-Moreno et al. (2019), another hypothesis is that contrary to SIT and PT, two sessions of SSGs per week over 3 weeks were not enough to develop any change in players or as in our case lead to a significant decrease.

However, players require well-developed aerobic endurance to maintain intense levels of activity and to limit fatigue at the same time (Köklö et al., 2015). Furthermore, improvement in MAS allows for greater involvement with the ball, total distance covered, and an increase in the number of sprints performed during match play (Radziminski et al., 2013). Developing MAS still is one of the main objectives in soccer, and this study highlighted SIT as a very adequate method.

#### Limitations

As this study was intentionally field-related, direct measures of  $VO_{2max}$  and heart rate were not performed so that the internal load could not be assessed. This is a potential limitation when trying to explain some of the results found notably in the SSG group.

Another point is that even if PT and SIT enhanced the performance in speed, RSA, and MAS, it can only be speculated that participants of PT and SIT groups will be more efficient during a real soccer game. Objectively, it would be hard to determine (i) if those 3–12% improvements depending on the parameter and the training group (PT or SIT) will have a real

impact on a game situation, and (ii) what would be the magnitude of real competitive effects due to those improvements.

It could also be speculated that with a longer training time (i.e., 4–6 weeks) and a higher frequency (three times per week), PT would give results that would be closer to SIT.

Moreover, since the SIT group performed training sessions that were close to the RSA testing procedure, the number of repetitions achieved during SIT sessions might have positively influenced the performance in  $D_{\text{max}}$  and  $D_{\text{mean}}$ .

Finally, the results reported, in this study, can also be a reflection of faster adaptations in one training compared with the others as it is now well-admitted that adaptations induced by the different training methods require a different amount of time to appear. Future studies should consider this parameter and program different post-testing sessions (i.e., 1 and 2 weeks after the first post-tests).

## **Practical Applications**

Overall, all performance parameters presented a time  $\times$  condition interaction where the SSG group was significantly different from PT and SIT groups in POST. More specifically, those interactions expressed significant opposite evolutions where SIT and PT maximized performance parameters where SSGs tended to diminish them. Moreover, they also suggest that SIT seems to be more efficient than PT when attempting to improve  $D_{\text{mean}}$  and MAS.

As a result and contrary to the literature, SSGs, even though they could have some positive aspects on physical performance, should not be considered as a training method but mainly as a way to improve technical-tactical aspects. In this precise case, it is possible that the principle of specificity as defined by Reilly et al. (2009) would not allow reaching maximum benefits. Effectively, if SSGs are very similar to the conditions of a soccer match, they do not always simulate the high-intensity efforts and repeated sprints that the full game demands (Casamichana et al., 2012).

## CONCLUSION

As modern coaches must constantly deal with an increasingly congested fixture period of competitions which subsequently reduces the preparation time available, the results of this study demonstrate that SIT could be a more efficient alternative than SSGs and PT when aiming to enhance both endurance and anaerobic performances in preseason. In addition, as the ability to complete repeated sprints could be one of the best physical factors differentiating the playing level in soccer players, SIT could be used as an efficient training and as a testing method indifferently.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

#### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by French Ethics Committee. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JK contributed to the conception, design of this study, and drafted the manuscript. FLR collected the data. JK, JLV,

#### REFERENCES

- Astorino, T. A., Allen, R. P., Roberson, D. W., and Jurancich, M. (2012). Effect of high-intensity interval training on cardiovascular function, VO2max, and muscular force. J. Strength Cond. Res. 26, 138–145. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318218dd77
- Aziz, A. R., Mukherjee, S., Chia, M. Y., and Teh, K. C. (2007). Relationship between measured maximal oxygen uptake and aerobic endurance performance with running repeated sprint ability in young elite soccer players. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness 47, 401–407.
- Bauer, P., Uebellacker, F., Mitter, B., Aigner, A. J., Hasenoehrl, T., Ristl, R., et al. (2019). Combining higher-load and lower-load resistance training exercises: a systematic review and meta-analysis of findings from complex training studies. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 22, 838–851. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2019.01.006
- Bayati, M., Farzad, B., Gharakhanlou, R., and Agha-Alinejad, H. A. (2011). Practical model of low-volume high-intensity interval training induces performance and metabolic adaptations that resemble 'allout' sprint interval training. J. Sports Sci. Med. 10, 571–576.
- Bishop, D., and Edge, J. (2006). Determinants of repeated-sprint ability in females matched for single-sprint performance. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 97, 373–379. doi: 10.1007/s00421-006-0182-0
- Bloomfield, J., Polman, R., and O'Donoghue, P. (2007). Physical demands of different positions in FA premier League soccer. J. Sports Sci. Med. 6, 63–70.
- Brown, P. I., Hughes, M. G., and Tong, R. J. (2007). Relationship between VO2max and repeated sprint ability using non-motorised treadmill ergometry. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness 47, 186–190.
- Buchheit, M., Mendez-Villanueva, A., Delhomel, G., Brughelli, M., and Ahmaidi, S. (2010). Improving repeated sprint ability in young elite soccer players: repeated shuttle sprints vs. explosive strength training. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 24, 2715–2722. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181bf0223
- Bujalance-Moreno, P., Garc-a-Pinillos, F. and Latorre-Romin, P. A. (2017). Effects of a small-sided game-based training programme on repeated sprint and change of direction abilities in recreationally trained football players. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 58, 1021–1028. doi: 10.23736/S0022-4707.17.07044-X
- Bujalance-Moreno, P., Latorre-Román, P. Á., and García-Pinillos, F. (2019). A systematic review on small-sided games in football players: Acute and chronic adaptations. J. Sports Sci. 37, 921–949. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2018. 1535821
- Burgomaster, K. A., Heigenhauser, G. J., and Gibala, M. J. (2006). Effect of short-term sprint interval training on human skeletal muscle carbohydrate metabolism during exercise and time-trial performance. J. Appl. Physiol. 100, 2041–2047. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01220.2005
- Burgomaster, K. A., Howarth, K. R., Phillips, S. M., Rakobowchuk, M., Macdonald, M. J., McGee, S. L., et al. (2007). Similar metabolic adaptations during exercise after low volume sprint interval and traditional endurance training in humans. *J. Physiol.* 586, 151–160. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2007.142109
- Burgomaster, K. A., Hughes, S. C., Heigenhauser, G. J., Bradwell, S. N., and Gibala, M. J. (2005). Six sessions of sprint interval training increases muscle oxidative potential and cycle endurance capacity in humans. J. Appl. Physiol. 9, 1985–1990. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01095.2004
- Casamichana, D., Castellano, J., and Castagna, C. (2012). Comparing the physical demands of friendly matches and small-sided games in

FLR, and CF contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the data. JLV, FLR, and CF critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the department of clinical and exercise physiology (Myology and Sports Medicine Unit) of the University Hospital of Saint-Etienne for logisitcal support.

semi- professional soccer players. J. Strength Cond. Res. 26, 837–843. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31822a61cf

- Castagna, C., Belardinelli, R., and Abt, G. (2004). The oxygen uptake and heart rate response to training with a ball in youth soccer players. *J. Sports Sci.* 22, 532–533.
- Castellano, J., Casamichana, D., and Dellal, A. (2013). Influence of game format and number of players on heart rate responses and physical demands in small-sided soccer games. J. Strength Cond. Res. 27, 1295–1303. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318267a5d1
- Chaouachi, A., Chtara, M., Hammami, R., and Chtara, H. (2014). Multidirectional sprints and small-sided games training effect on agility and change of direction abilities in youth soccer. J. Strength Cond. Res. 28, 3121–3127. doi: 10.1519/JSC.000000000000505
- Clemente, F., Couceiro, M. S., Martins, F. M. L., and Mendes, R. U. I. (2012). The usefulness of small-sided games on soccer training. *J. Phys. Educ. Sport* 12, 93–102.
- Clemente, F., and Sarmento, H. (2020). The effects of small-sided soccer games on technical actions and skills: a systematic review. *Hum. Mov.* 21, 100–119. doi: 10.5114/hm.2020.93014
- Clemente, F. M., Afonso, J., Castillo, D., Arcos, A. L., Silva, A. F., and Sarmento, H. (2020). The effects of small-sided soccer games on tactical behavior and collective dynamics: a systematic review. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 134:109710. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109710
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (2nd Ed)*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cometti, G., Maffuletti, N. A., Pousson, M., Chatard, J. C., and Maffulli, N. (2001). Isokinetic strength and anaerobic power of elite, subelite and amateur French soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 22, 45–51. doi: 10.1055/s-2001-11331
- Cronin, J. B., and Hansen, K. T. (2005). Strength and power predictors of sports speed. J. Strength Cond. Res. 19, 349–357. doi: 10.1519/00124278-200505000-00019
- De Hoyo, M., Gonzalo-Skok, O., Sañudo, B., Carrascal, C., Plaza-Armas, J. R., Camacho-Candil, F., et al. (2016). Comparative effects of in-season fullback squat, resisted sprint training, and plyometric training on explosive performance in U-19 elite soccer players. J. Strength Cond. Res. 30, 368–377. doi: 10.1519/JSC.000000000001094
- Dellal, A., Hill-Haas, S., Lago-Penas, C., and Chamari, K. (2011). Small-sided games in soccer: Amateur vs. professional players' physiological responses, physical, and technical activities. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 25, 2371–2381. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181fb4296
- Dellal, A., Owen, A., Wong, D., Krustrup, P., Van Exsel, M., and Mallo, J. (2012b). Technical and physical demands of small vs. large sided games in relation to playing position in elite soccer. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 31, 957–969. doi: 10.1016/j.humov.2011.08.013
- Dellal, A., Varliette, C., Owen, A., Chirico, E. N., and Pialoux, V. (2012a). Small-sided games versus interval training in amateur soccer players: Effects on the aerobic capacity and the ability to perform intermittent exercises with changes of direction. J. Strength Cond. Res. 26, 2712–2720. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31824294c4
- Denham, J., Feros, S. A., and O'Brien, B. J. (2015). Four weeks of sprint interval training improves 5-km run performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. 29, 2137–2141. doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000000862

- Drust, B., Reilly, T., and Cable, N. T. (2000). Physiological responses to laboratorybased soccer- specific intermittent and continuous exercise. J. Sports Sci. 18:885–892. doi: 10.1080/026404100750017814
- Fatouros, I. G., Jamurtas, A. Z., Leontsini, D., Taxildaris, K., Aggelousis, N., Kostopoulos, N., et al. (2000). Evaluation of plyometric exercise training, weight training, and their combination on vertical jumping performance and leg strength. J. Strength Cond. Res. 4, 470–476. doi: 10.1519/00124278-200011000-00016
- Faude, O., Koch, T., and Meyer, T. (2012). Straight sprinting is the most frequent action in goal situations in professional football. J. Sports Sci. 30, 625–631. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2012.665940
- Glaister, M. (2005). Multiple sprint work: physiological responses, mechanisms of fatigue and the influence of aerobic fitness. *Sports Med.* 35, 757–777 doi: 10.2165/00007256-200535090-00003
- Hazell, T. J., MacPherson, R. K., Gravelle, B. R., and Lemon, P. R. (2010). 10 or 30-s sprint interval training bouts enhance both aerobic and anaerobic performance. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 110, 153–160. doi: 10.1007/s00421-010-1474-y
- Helgerud, J., Engen, L. C., Wisløff, U., and Hoff, J. (2001). Aerobic endurance training improves soccer performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 33, 1925–1931. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200111000-00019
- Hill-Haas, S. V., Coutts, A. J., Rowsell, G. J., and Dawson, B. T. (2009). Generic versus small-sided game training in soccer. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 30, 636–642. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1220730
- Hill-Haas, S. V., Dawson, B., Impellizzeri, F. M., and Coutts, A. J. (2011). Physiology of small-sided games training in football: a systematic review. Sports Med. 41, 199–220. doi: 10.2165/11539740-000000000-00000
- Hoff, J., and Helgerud, J. (2004). Endurance and strength training for soccer players. Sports Med. 34, 165–180. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200434030-00003
- Iaia, F. M., Hellsten, Y., Nielsen, J. J., Fernstro, M., Sahlin, K., and Bangsbo, J. (2009). Four weeks of speed endurance training reduces energy expenditure during exercise and maintains muscle oxidative capacity despite a reduction in training volume. J Appl Physiol 106, 73–80. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.90676.2008
- Impellizzeri, F., Marcora, S., Castagna, C., Reilly, T., Sassi, A., Iaia, F., et al. (2006). Physiological and performance effects of generic versus specific aerobic training in soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 27, 483–492. doi: 10.1055/s-2005-865839
- Issurin, V. (2008). Block periodization versus traditional training theory: a review. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 48, 65–75.
- Issurin, V. B. (2010). New horizons for the methodology and physiology of training periodization. Sports Med. 40, 189–206. doi: 10.2165/11319770-00000000-00000
- Jastrzebski, Z., Barnat, W., Dargiewicz, R., Jaskulska, E., Szwarc, A., and Radziminski, L. (2014). Effect of in-season generic and soccer-specific highintensity interval training in young soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Sci. Coach.* 9, 1169–1180. doi: 10.1260/1747-9541.9.5.1169
- Katis, A., and Kellis, E. (2009). Effects of small-sided games on physical conditioning and performance in young soccer players. J. Sports Sci. Med. 8, 374–380.
- Köklö, Y., Sert, Ö., Alemdaroglu, U., and Arslan, Y. (2015). Comparison of the physiological responses and time-motion characteristic of young soccer players in small-sided games, The effect of goalkeeper. J. Strength Cond. Res. 29, 964–971. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182a744a1
- Koral, J., Oranchuk, D. J., Herrera, R., and Millet, G. Y. (2018). Six Sessions of Sprint Interval Training Improves Running Performance in Trained Athletes. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 32, 617–623. doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000002286
- Kunz, P., Engel, F. A., Holmberg, H. C., and Sperlich, B. (2019). A metacomparison of the effects of high-intensity interval training to those of small-sided games and other training protocols on parameters related to the physiology and performance of youth soccer players. *Sports Med. Open* 5:7. doi: 10.1186/s40798-019-0180-5
- Lacome, M., Simpson, B. M., Cholley, Y., Lambert, P., and Buchheit, M. (2017). Small-sided games in elite soccer: does one size fits all? *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 13, 568–576. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2017-0214
- Léger, L., and Boucher, R. (1980). An indirect continuous running multistage field test: the Université de Montréal track test. *Can. J. Appl. Sport Sci.* 5, 77–84.
- Malisoux, L., Francaux, M., Nielens, H., and Theisen, D. (2005). Stretchshortening cycle exercises: an effective training paradigm to enhance power

output of human single muscle fibers. J. Appl. Physiol. 100, 771-779. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01027.2005

- Mallo, J., and Navarro, E. (2008). Physical load imposed on soccer players during small-sided training games. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness. 48, 166–171.
- Markovic, G., Jukic, I., Milanovic, D., and Metikos, D. (2007). Effects of sprint and plyometric training on muscle function and athletic performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. 21, 543–549. doi: 10.1519/00124278-200705000-00044
- Milanović, Z., Sporiš, G., and Weston, M. (2015). Effectiveness of high-intensity interval training (HIT) and continuous endurance training for VO2max improvements: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. Sports Med. 45, 1469–1481. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0365-0
- Mohr, M., Krustrup, P., and Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance of highstandard soccer players with special reference to development of fatigue. J. Sports Sci. 21, 519–528. doi: 10.1080/0264041031000071182
- Moran, J., Blagrove, R. C., Drury, B., Fernandes, J. F. T., Paxton, K., Chaabene, H., et al. (2019). Effects of small-sided games vs. conventional endurance training on endurance performance in male youth soccer players: a meta-analytical comparison. Sports Med. 49, 731–742. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01086-w
- Negra, Y., Chaabene, H., Sammoud, S., Prieske, O., Moran, J., Ramirez-Campillo, R., et al. (2020). The increased effectiveness of loaded versus unloaded plyometric jump training in improving muscle power, speed, change of direction, and kicking-distance performance in prepubertal male soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 15, 189–195. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2018-0866
- Owen, A. L., Newton, M., Shovlin, A., and Malone, S. (2020). The use of smallsided games as an aerobic fitness assessment supplement within elite level professional soccer. J. Hum. Kinet. 71, 243–253. doi: 10.2478/hukin-2019-0086
- Owen, A. L., Wong del, P., Paul, D., and Dellal, A. (2012). Effects of a periodized small-sided game training intervention on physical performance in elite professional soccer. J. Strength Cond. Res. 26, 2748–2754. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318242d2d1
- Parra, J., Cadefau, J., Rodas, G., Amigó, N., and Cussó, R. (2000). The distribution of rest periods affects performance and adaptations of energy metabolism induced by high-intensity training in human muscle Acta Physiol Scand 169, 157–165. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-201x.2000.00730.x
- Pavillon, T., Tourny, C., Ben Aabderrahman, A., Salhi, I., Zouita, S., Rouissi, M., et al. (2021). Sprint and jump performances in highly trained young soccer players of different chronological age: Effects of linear vs. change-of-direction sprint training. J. Exerc. Sci. Fit. 19, 81–90 doi: 10.1016/j.jesf.2020.10.003
- Radziminski, L., Rompa, P., Barnat, W., Dargiewicz, R., and Jastrzebski, Z. (2013). A comparison of the physiological and technical effects of high-intensity running and small-sided games in young soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Sci. Coach.* 8, 455–465. doi: 10.1260/1747-9541.8.3.455
- Ramirez-Campillo, R., Sanchez-Sanchez, J., Romero-Moraleda, B., Yanci, J., García-Hermoso, A. and, Manuel-Clemente, F. (2020). Effects of plyometric jump training in female soccer player's vertical jump height: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *J. Sports Sci.* 38, 1475–1487. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2020.1745503
- Rampinini, E., Bosio, A., Ferraresi, I., Petruolo, A., Morelli, A., and Sassi, A. (2011). Match-related fatigue in soccer players. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 43, 2161–2170. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821e9c5c
- Rampinini, E., Coutts, A., Castagna, C., Sassi, R., and Impellizzeri, F. (2007a). Variation in top level soccer match performance. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 28, 1018–1024. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-965158
- Rampinini, E., Impellizzeri, F. M., Castagna, C., Abt, G., Chamari, K., Sassi, A., et al. (2007b). Factors influencing physiological responses to small-sided soccer games. J. Sports Sci. 25, 659–666. doi: 10.1080/02640410600811858
- Rampinini, E., Sassi, A., Morelli, A., Mazzoni, S., Fanchini, M., and Coutts, A. J. (2009). Repeated-sprint ability in professional and amateur soccer players. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 34, 1048–1054. doi: 10.1139/H09-111
- Reilly, T., Morris, T., and Whyte, G. (2009). The specificity of training prescription and physiological assessment: a review. *J. Sports Sci.* 27, 575–589. doi: 10.1080/02640410902729741
- Rodas, G., Ventura, J. L., Cadefau, J. A., Cussó, R., and Parra, J. (2000). A short training programme for the rapid improvement of both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 82, 480–486. doi: 10.1007/s004210000223
- Rodríguez-Fernández, A., Sánchez, J., Rodríguez-Marroyo, J., Casamichana, D., and Villa, J. (2017). Effects of 5-week pre-season small-sided-game- based

training on repeat sprint ability. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness 57, 529-536. doi: 10.23736/S0022-4707.16.06263-0

- Romero-Franco, N., Jiménez-Reyes, P., Castaño-Zambudio, A., Capelo-Ramírez, F., Rodríguez-Juan, J. J., González-Hernández, J., et al. (2017). Sprint performance and mechanical outputs computed with an iPhone app: Comparison with existing reference methods. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 17, 386–392. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2016.1249031
- Ross, A., and Leveritt, M. (2001). Long-term metabolic and skeletal muscle adaptations to short-sprint training: implications for sprint training and tapering. Sports Med. 31, 1063–1082. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200131150-00003
- Rowan, A. E., Kueffner, T. E., and Stavrianeas, S. (2012). Short duration highintensity interval training improves aerobic conditioning of female college soccer players. *Int. J. Ex. Sci.* 5, 232–238.
- Samozino, P., Rivière, J. R., Rossi, J., Morin, J. B., and Jimenez-Reyes, P. (2018). How fast is a horizontal squat jump? *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 13, 910–916. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2017-0499
- Seitz, L. B., Reyes, A., Tran, T. T., Saez de Villarreal, E., and Haff, G. G. (2014). Increases in lower-body strength transfer positively to sprint performance: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 44, 1693–1702. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0227-1
- Slimani, M., Chamari, K., Miarka, B., Del Vecchio, F. B., and Chéour, F. (2016). Effects of plyometric training on physical fitness in team sport athletes: a systematic review. J Hum Kinet. 53, 231–247. doi: 10.1515/hukin-2016-0026
- Stevens, T. G., De Ruiter, C. J., Beek, P. J., and Savelsbergh, G. J. (2016). Validity and reliability of 6-a-side small-sided game locomotor performance in assessing physical fitness in football players. J. Sports Sci. 34, 527–534. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1116709
- Strøyer, J., Hansen, L., and Klausen, K. (2004). Physiological profile and activity pattern of young soccer players during match play. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 36, 168–174. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000106187.05259.96
- Strudwick, A., Reilly, T., and Doran, D. (2002). Anthropometric and fitness profiles of elite players in two football codes. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fit. 42, 239–242. doi: 10.4324/9780203474235-11
- Styles, W. J., Matthews, M. J., and Comfort, P. (2016). Effects of strength training on squat and sprint performance in soccer players. J. Strength Cond. Res. 30:1534–9. doi: 10.1519/JSC.000000000001243
- Taylor, J., Macpherson, T., Spears, I., and Weston, M. (2015). The effects of repeated-sprint training on field-based fitness measures: A metaanalysis of controlled and non-controlled trials. *Sports Med.* 45, 881–891. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0324-9
- Thapa, R. K., Lum, D., Moran, J., and Ramirez-Campillo, R. (2021). Effects of complex training on sprint, jump, and change of direction ability of soccer players: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Psychol.* 11:627869. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.627869
- Trecroci, A., Bongiovanni, T., Cavaggioni, L., Pasta, G., Formenti, D., and Alberti, G. (2020). Agreement between dribble and change of direction deficits to assess directional asymmetry in young elite football players. *Symmetry*. 12:787. doi: 10.3390/sym12050787

- Trecroci, A., Milanović, Z., Rossi, A., Broggi, M., Formenti, D., and Alberti, G. (2016). Agility profile in sub-elite under-11 soccer players: is SAQ training adequate to improve sprint, change of direction speed and reactive agility performance? *Res. Sports Med.* 24, 331–340. doi: 10.1080/15438627.2016.1228063
- Weston, M., Taylor, K. L., Batterham, A. M., and Hopkins, W. G. (2014). Effects of low-volume high-intensity interval training (HIT) on fitness in adults: a metaanalysis of controlled and non-controlled trials. *Sports Med.* 44, 1005–1017. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0180-z
- Weyand, P. G., Lin, J. E., and Bundle, M. W. (2006). Sprint performanceduration relationships are set by the fractional duration of external force application. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 290, 758–765. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00562.2005
- Weyand, P. G., Sandell, R. F., Prime, D. N. L., and Bundle, M. W. (2010). The biological limits to running speed are imposed from the ground up. J Appl Physiol 108, 950–961. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00947.2009
- Whyte, L. J., Gill, J. M., and Cathcart, A. J. (2010). Effect of 2 weeks of sprint interval training on health-related outcomes in sedentary overweight/obese men. *Metab. Clin. Exp.* 59, 1421–1428. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2010.01. 002
- Willoughby, T. N., Thomas, M. P., Schmale, M. S., Copeland, J. L., and Hazell, T. J. (2016). Four weeks of running sprint interval training improves cardiorespiratory fitness in young and middle-aged adults. *J. Sport Sci.* 34(13):1207–14. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1102316
- Younesi, S., Rabbani, A., Clemente, F. M., Silva, R., Sarmento, H., and Figueiredo, A. J. (2021). Relationships between aerobic performance, hemoglobin levels, and training load during small-sided games: a study in professional soccer players. *Front. Physiol.* 12:649870. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021. 649870

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Koral, Lloria Varella, Lazaro Romero and Foschia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.