

Activation of class 1 integron integrase is promoted in the intestinal environment

Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Macarena Larroudé, William Couet, Solange Uwajeneza, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra da Re

▶ To cite this version:

Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Macarena Larroudé, William Couet, Solange Uwajeneza, et al.. Activation of class 1 integron integrase is promoted in the intestinal environment. PLoS Genetics, 2022, 18 (4), pp.e1010177. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177 . hal-03906694

HAL Id: hal-03906694 https://hal.science/hal-03906694

Submitted on 20 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Citation: Baltazar M, Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Larroudé M, Couet W, Uwajeneza S, Doucet-Populaire F, et al. (2022) Activation of class 1 integron integrase is promoted in the intestinal environment. PLoS Genet 18(4): e1010177. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177

Editor: Melanie Blokesch, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne (EPFL), SWITZERLAND

Received: August 31, 2021

Accepted: March 30, 2022

Published: April 28, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Baltazar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Inserm), Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-12-BSV3-0015-01 to MCP) and Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM DEQ20150331742 to MCP). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Activation of class 1 integron integrase is promoted in the intestinal environment

Murielle Baltazar ^{1,2,3}, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos ^{4,5}, Macarena Larroudé⁴, William Couet⁶, Solange Uwajeneza⁴, Florence Doucet-Populaire^{4,5^a}, Marie-Cécile Ploy^{1,2,3}, Sandra Da Re^{1,2,3}

1 INSERM, RESINFIT, U1092, Limoges, France, 2 Université Limoges, RESINFIT, U1092, Limoges, France, 3 CHU Limoges, Laboratoire de bactériologie-virologie-hygiène, Limoges, France, 4 Institut Micalis, UMR1319, Université Paris-Saclay, INRAe, AgroParisTech, Bactéries Pathogènes et Santé, Chatenay-Malabry, France, 5 AP-HP, Université Paris Saclay, Hôpital Antoine Béclère, Service de Bactériologie-Hygiène, Clamart, France, 6 Université de Poitiers, CHU Poitiers, INSERM U1070, Poitiers, France

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

^a Current address: Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Gif sur Yvette, France

* marie-cecile.ploy@unilim.fr (M-CP); sandra.da-re@unilim.fr (SDR)

Abstract

Class 1 integrons are widespread genetic elements playing a major role in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance. They allow bacteria to capture, express and exchange antibiotic resistance genes embedded within gene cassettes. Acquisition of gene cassettes is catalysed by the class 1 integron integrase, a site-specific recombinase playing a key role in the integron system. In in vitro planktonic culture, expression of int11 is controlled by the SOS response, a regulatory network which mediates the repair of DNA damage caused by a wide range of bacterial stress, including antibiotics. However, in vitro experimental conditions are far from the real lifestyle of bacteria in natural environments such as the intestinal tract which is known to be a reservoir of integrons. In this study, we developed an in vivo model of intestinal colonization in gnotobiotic mice and used a recombination assay and quantitative real-time PCR, to investigate the induction of the SOS response and expression and activity of the class 1 integron integrase, Intl1. We found that the basal activity of Intl1 was higher in vivo than in vitro. In addition, we demonstrated that administration of a subinhibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin rapidly induced both the SOS response and intl1 expression that was correlated with an increase of the activity of Intl1. Our findings show that the gut is an environment in which the class 1 integron integrase is induced and active, and they highlight the potential role of integrons in the acquisition and/or expression of resistance genes in the gut. particularly during antibiotic therapy.

Author summary

Class 1 integrons are genetic systems allowing bacteria to acquire antibiotic resistance genes through the recombination activity of the IntI1 integrase. These genetic platforms are involved in the spread of antibiotic resistance among bacteria. So far, most of the

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

studies for understanding the mechanistic of integrons have been performed *in vitro*, experimental conditions which are far from the lifestyle of bacteria in natural environments such as the gut. We developed a new *in vivo* model using gnotobiotic mice and we showed that in the gut, the basal activity of IntI1 is significantly higher than in *in vitro* conditions. In addition, we showed that a subinhibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin rapidly triggers the SOS response, leading to increased activity of IntI1 in the mouse gut. Our results demonstrate that the intestinal environment promotes the acquisition/expression of antibiotic resistance genes through the integron system and that this phenomenon can be enhanced by antibiotic therapy.

Introduction

Overuse and misuse of antibiotics have led to the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria, causing a major threat worldwide [1,2]. Both pathogenic and commensal microbial species can become multidrug-resistant, and reservoirs of antibiotic resistance (AR) genes are found in various ecosystems, including the animal and human gut, soil and water [3–6]. The human gut hosts a dense microbiota that constitutes a favourable environment for the exchange of genetic material, such as AR genes, through horizontal transfer between commensal bacteria and/or incoming pathogens, and between resistant and susceptible bacteria [6–8]. Intestinal commensals shape a reservoir of AR genes known as "the gut resistome" [6,9,10] and transfer of resistance genes between bacteria has been demonstrated in the gut of gnotobiotic mice [11–14], as well as in the human gut [15].

Integrons are genetic systems that allow bacteria to capture, stockpile, express, and exchange AR genes embedded within gene cassettes. They are non-mobile per se, but are usually associated with mobile genetic elements such as transposons and plasmids, and thus participate actively in the dissemination of AR genes, mainly among Gram-negative bacteria [16]. Integrons are composed of an *intI* gene, which encodes a site-specific recombinase (IntI), a specific recombination site (*att1*) and a functional promoter (Pc) that allows the expression of gene cassettes, which are usually promoterless [17]. More than 130 gene cassettes have been identified, conferring resistance to almost all existing classes of antibiotics [16]. Three main classes of integrons have been associated to clinical setting, the class 1 being the predominant one [18–20]. The integrase is the key element in the integron system, catalysing both insertion and excision of gene cassettes [21,22]. The mechanisms driving its recombination activity have been extensively studied in vitro [23]. We have previously shown that, in in vitro planktonic culture, expression of the class 1 integron integrase gene, *intI1*, is regulated by the bacterial SOS response [24]. The SOS response is a global regulatory network controlled by the transcriptional repressor LexA and induced by the activator RecA when it is bound to singlestrand DNA produced by stress [25]. Widely used antibiotics (trimethoprim, fluoroquinolones, β -lactams, aminoglycosides) and horizontal gene transfer (conjugation and transformation) are known to induce the SOS response in vitro [26-31].

Epidemiological studies have reported the presence of integrons in the healthy animal and human gut, showing that the gut is a natural reservoir of integrons [32–34]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous report on the regulation of the integron integrase and the dynamics of gene cassettes acquisition and exchange *in vivo* in the gut. In this study, we developed a model of intestinal colonization with *Escherichia coli* in gnotobiotic mice to study the expression and activity of the class 1 integron integrase and the induction of SOS regulon genes in the gut, in the absence and presence of antibiotic. Using an *in vivo*

recombination activity assay and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) from mice faeces, we provide the experimental evidence that in the mouse gut, (i) the basal activity of IntI1 is higher than in *in vitro* planktonic culture, indicating that the gut is a stressful environment able to promote antibiotic resistance gene acquisition via integrons, and (ii) administration of a subin-hibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin induces both the SOS response and expression of *intI1* that is correlated with an increase of the integrase activity.

Results and discussion

Colonization of the mouse gut and plasmid stability

Three groups of germ-free mice were inoculated with *E. coli* strain MG1656 carrying two plasmids, p6851 and a pZE1-derivative, both necessary to follow the recombination activity of the class 1 integron integrase (see further and S1 Fig for more details). p6851 plasmid carried the cassette recombination reporter (Table 1 and S1 Fig). For the expression of the IntI1 integrase, we used pZE1-derivatives: pZE1intI1 allowed the expression of *intI1* from the LexA-regulated wild-type integrase promoter P*intI1*, pZE1intI1* allowed the expression of *intI1* from the promoter P*intI1* carrying mutations in the LexA binding site which led to a derepressed expression of the integrase and pZE1 was a control plasmid that did not carry the *intI1* gene, (Table 1 and S1 Fig). The strains are hereafter referred to as MG/intI1, MG/intI1* and MG/pZE1 (control strain) respectively.

First, we verified the capability of *E. coli* strain MG1656 to colonize the mouse gut, as well as the stability of the plasmids throughout the duration of the experiments. Colony-forming

Strains and plasmids	Relevant genotype or description	Reference
Escherichia coli strains		
MG1656	$\Delta lacMluI$ derivative of <i>Escherichia coli</i> MG1655 (K-12F ⁻ λ ⁻ <i>ilvG</i> ⁻ <i>rfb</i> -50 <i>rph</i> -1)	[35]
MG/pZE1	MG1656 carrying p6851 and pZE1 plasmids	This work.
MG/intI1	MG1656 carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1 plasmids	This work.
MG/intI1*	MG1656 carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1* plasmids	This work.
MG1656λatt::gfp	MG1656 containing the <i>gfpmut3</i> gene at the λatt site; expression of <i>gfp_{mut3}</i> from $P\lambda$ promoter. Green fluorescent bacteria.	[36]
Plasmids		
p6851	Cassette recombination reporter (pSU38:: $aac(6')$ - Ib^* :: $attC_{aadA7}$ - $cat(T4)$ - $attC_{VCR2}$); Cm ^R , Km ^R	[24]
Recombined p6851	Plasmid resulting from deletion of the resistance gene cassette $cat(T4)$ - $attC_{VCR2}$ in native p6851; expression of the resistance gene cassette $aac(6')$ - Ib^* from $PlacZ$ promoter; Tobra ^R , Km ^R	This work.
pZE1	Promoterless derivative of pZE12 plasmid, carrying a multiple cloning site (mcs1); Amp^{R}	[24]
pZE1intI1	<i>attI</i> site + <i>intI1</i> gene from In40 class 1 integron cloned into pZE1 plasmid; this <i>intI1</i> gene encodes the most active variant of the integrase, IntI1 _{R32_H39} ; Amp ^R	[24,37]
pZE1intI1*	pZE1intI1 plasmid carrying the LexAmut2 mutation in the LexA binding site of the class 1 integron integrase promoter P <i>intI1</i> , leading to constitutive expression of the <i>intI1</i> gene; Amp ^R	[24]

Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

Cm^R: confers resistance to chloramphenicol; Km^R: confers resistance to kanamycin; Tobra^R: confers resistance to tobramycin; Amp^R: confers resistance to ampicillin

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177.t001

units (CFU) counting from mice faeces on non-selective medium, showed that MG/pZE1, MG/intI1 and MG/intI1* readily colonized the mouse gut and established at high levels throughout the 21 days of experiment, at an average population level of $2.9 \times 10^8 \pm 1.4 \times 10^8$, $1.3 \times 10^9 \pm 4.4 \times 10^8$ and $1.3 \times 10^9 \pm 7.3 \times 10^8$ CFU/g of faeces respectively (Fig 1). The stability of plasmids p6851 and pZE1-derivatives in bacteria, was monitored by CFU counting on selective media supplemented with kanamycin or ampicillin respectively. Plasmid instability was defined by a recovery of bacteria on selective media significantly lower (p < 0.05) than the recovery of the total bacterial population on non-selective medium. Control plasmid pZE1 was stable throughout the experiment, pZE1intI1 and pZE1intI1* were stable in bacteria up to day 17 and day 14 post inoculation respectively (Fig 1). The stability of p6851 plasmid was variable from up to day 10 to up to day 17 post inoculation according to the experiments. The observed differences in the stability of the plasmids expressing the integrase, pZE1intI1 and pZE1intI1* suggests that integrase overexpression might be deleterious and that the fitness cost might be too high for bacteria, leading to the loss of the plasmid in the bacterial population. Previous studies suggested that an unregulated integrase activity was disadvantageous for bacteria [38,39]. Recently we showed that the fitness cost of the class 1 integrase was correlated to its catabolic activity and that the SOS response prevented the expression of this costly integrase in E. coli [36]. Similarly, Starikova et al. observed that the expression of an active integrase reduced host fitness in Acinetobacter baylyi in the absence of regulation of the intIl gene [40].

Class 1 integron integrase is active in the gut

In order to estimate the activity of the IntI1 integrase in the mouse gut, we assessed the capability of IntI1 to catalyse specific recombination between *attC* sites located on a synthetic array of two cassettes $(attC_{aadA7}-cat(T4)-attC_{VCR2}-aac(6')-Ib^*)$ carried by plasmid p6851, resulting in the deletion of the chloramphenicol resistance gene cassette cat(T4)-attC_{VCR2}, and the expression of the tobramycin resistance gene cassette aac(6')-Ib^{*} (S1 Fig). The recombination activity of the integrase was thus determined by monitoring the emergence of tobramycin-resistant (Tobra^R) bacteria, hereafter referred to as recombinants, as a function of the total bacterial population carrying the two plasmids and was reported as the frequency of recombinants (FR). As expected, when no integrase was expressed (MG/pZE1 strain), no recombinants were recovered. In mice colonized with MG/intI1, recombinants were detected on day 2 post inoculation (S2A Fig), reflecting that the IntI1 integrase carried out recombination events. The average FR increased gradually from day 2 $(1.2 \times 10^{-6} \pm 6.3 \times 10^{-7})$ to day 9 $(3.6 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-5})$, then it stabilized until day 17 post inoculation (Fig 2A). The gradual increase of the FR corresponded to an increase of the number of recombinants (tobramycin-resistant) and their stable establishment in the mouse gut (S2A Fig). In addition, we observed a variability of the FR from one mouse to another, as well as a temporal variability in the detection of recombinants, where the number of mice exhibiting recombinants varied from one to four mice depending on the sampling day. Indeed, before day 14 post inoculation, 50% of mice (10/20) were colonized, then 81% of mice (13/16) were colonized until the end of the experiment (Fig 2A). To assess whether the variation in recombinants detection was not due to differences in fitness cost between the native non-recombined p6851 and the recombined plasmid, we performed in vitro pairwise competition assays between two MG1656 strains carrying either the native nonrecombined p6851 or the recombined plasmid. As shown in S3 Fig, both plasmids had similar fitness cost, with no strain being fitter than the other. During the first ten days, the population of recombinants did not seem to only maintain itself at a constant level but rather resulted from a combination of the maintenance of the recombinants and the appearance of new recombination events over time. PCR and sequencing analysis confirmed that recombinants



Fig 1. Bacterial colonization and plasmid stability in the mouse gut. On day 0, three groups of germ-free mice were inoculated with 10^8 CFU of MG/pZE1 control strain (carrying p6851 and pZE1 that did not carry the *intI1* gene, n = 4) (**A**), MG/intI1 strain (carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1 allowing the expression of *intI1* SOS-regulated, n = 4) (**B**) or MG/ intI1* strain (carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1* allowing the constitutive expression of *intI1*, n = 3) (**C**). Bacterial colonization in the mouse gut was monitored by counting the CFU/g of faeces on non-selective medium (MG1656).

Plasmid stability was evaluated by counting the CFU/g of faeces on selective media supplemented with kanamycin (p6851) or ampicillin (pZE1, pZE1intI1 and pZE1intI1*). Symbols represent the average of the CFU/g of faeces per day and error bars indicate the SD.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177.g001

resulted mainly from recombination between the two *attC* sites of plasmid p6851. Indeed, most of the recombinants were susceptible to chloramphenicol (Cm^S). These results contrast with previous data obtained in planktonic culture, where recombination events mediated by IntI1, mainly generated plasmid co-integrates, i.e. recombination between *attC* sites of two copies of the same plasmid and/or duplicated gene cassettes [22,41,42]. Our results provide the first experimental evidence that the IntI1 integrase is active in the gut and that it efficiently ensures recombination events.

We also estimated the FR in mice colonized with MG/intI1* (constitutive expression of *intI1*), considering only the period during which both plasmids were stable (from day 2 to day 14 post inoculation) (Fig 1C). Contrary to the experiment carried out with MG/intI1, when colonized with MG/intI1*, all mice were colonized with recombinants since day 2 post inoculation (Fig 2B), that reflects that IntI1 catalysed recombination events and that recombinants established quickly in the mouse gut. On day 2, the average number of recombinants was 1.5 log₁₀ higher than the one observed in the gut of mice colonized with MG/intI1 (S2B Fig), indicating that the disruption of the LexA binding site in PintI1 had the same effect in vivo as in planktonic cultures. This observation suggests that in the gut PintI1 could also be under the control of LexA. The FR was stable over the nine first days $(9.4 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5})$, and then increased up to $9.9 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ on day 14 post inoculation (Fig 2B). Although the integrase was constitutively expressed in MG/intI1*, the population of recombinants did not increase over the nine first days but reached $2.3 \times 10^4 \pm 1.9 \times 10^3$ CFU/g of faeces (on day 9 post inoculation), which was 4.0 \log_{10} lower than the total bacterial population (S2B Fig). Then, there was a further increase of the FR from day 10 to day 14 post inoculation (Fig 2B) that correlated with an increase of the number of recombinants (S2B Fig), suggesting that some recombinants



Fig 2. Recombination activity of Intl1 integrase in the mouse gut. On day 0, two groups of germ-free mice were inoculated with 10^8 CFU of MG/intl1 (carrying p6851 and pZE1intl1 allowing the expression of *intl1* SOS-regulated, n = 4) (**A**) or MG/intl1* (carrying p6851 and pZE1intl1 allowing the expression of *intl1* SOS-regulated, n = 4) (**A**) or MG/intl1* (carrying p6851 and pZE1intl1* allowing the constitutive expression of *intl1*, n = 3) (**B**). Recombination activity of Intl1, reflected by the frequency of recombinants (FR), was estimated by determining the frequency of emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants, as a result of specific recombination between *attC* sites located on a synthetic array of two cassettes (*attC*_{aadA7}-*cat*(*T*4)-*attC*_{VCR2}-*aac*(6')-*Ib**) carried on plasmid p6851. Each symbol represents the FR in the gut calculated from a single mouse exhibiting recombinants. For each sampling day, the average FR is shown as a black horizontal line.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177.g002

started to proliferate in the gut. This latter bacterial population still carrying both plasmids, was predominant towards the end of the experiment: on day 17 post inoculation, the total bacterial population and the population of recombinants were respectively $1.3 \times 10^7 \pm 7.6 \times 10^6$ and $2.6 \times 10^6 \pm 3.5 \times 10^6$ CFU/g of faeces (p > 0.05) (S2B Fig).

Interestingly, the average FR determined in MG/int11, once stabilized (between days 10 to 17 post inoculation), was similar to the average FR determined in MG/int11* between days 2 to 9 post inoculation $(7.0 \times 10^{-5} \pm 8.7 \times 10^{-5} versus 9.6 \times 10^{-5} \pm 7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ respectively; p > 0.05) (Fig 2). This observation suggested that in both groups of mice, a similar equilibrium of the population of recombinants was reached and resulted from both excision events and the establishment of recombinants in the gut. In addition, we compared the activity of Int11 *in vivo* (considering days 2 and 3 post inoculation) and in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures. In MG/int11, the average FR was 2.6-fold higher in the mouse gut than in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures ($2.1 \times 10^{-6} \pm 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ and $8.0 \times 10^{-7} \pm 2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ respectively, p < 0.05) (Fig 3A), whereas it was similar in both experimental conditions in MG/int11 in both experimental conditions (p < 0.05 and p < 0.0001 for the mouse gut and 24-h-old-planktonic cultures respectively) (Fig 3A). Altogether, our results indicate that the lifestyle of bacteria in the gut promotes the induction of the expression of *int11* and thus the activity of the Int11 integrase.

We then quantified by qRT-PCR the transcript levels for *intl1* and three SOS regulon genes: dinD, recN and sfiA, in the mouse gut (considering days 2 and 3 post inoculation) and in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures. Interestingly, in MG/intI1, transcript levels of intI1 and dinD genes did not differ significantly (p > 0.05) (Fig <u>3B</u> and <u>3C</u>), while those of *sfiA* and *recN* genes were respectively 1.6- and 2.3-fold significantly lower in the mouse gut than in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures (p < 0.05) (Fig 3C). As expected, the constitutive expression of *intI1* was correlated with a level of transcription significantly higher in MG/intI1* than in MG/intI1, both in the mouse gut and in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures (respectively 2.9-fold and 6.0-fold; p < 0.01and p = 0.05 respectively) (Fig 3B). Thus, as previously described in *in vitro* planktonic culture, the regulation of class 1 integron integrase expression by the SOS response via the repressor LexA, appears to be efficient in the mouse gut. In planktonic culture, SOS genes are known for showing different expression profiles: they do not respond to the same level of stress, at the same time, and they are not expressed at the same level [43,44]. Our results confirm that in the mouse gut as observed in vitro, the basal expression of SOS-regulated genes varies, depending on the gene, with expression levels that are similar (intI1, dinD) whatever the condition, or lower (sfiA, recN) in vivo than in in vitro planktonic cultures (Fig 3B and 3C).

In a previous study, we showed in a biofilm model that in addition to the SOS-dependent regulation of IntI1, the stringent response exerts a biofilm-specific regulation of *intI1* expression, part of this regulation being SOS-dependent and the other one involving the protease Lon [45]. In the gut, bacteria form biofilms on the epithelial mucosa and on various particles present in the lumen [46,47]. The stringent response, which is induced upon nutrient starvation [48–50], might also participate in the upregulation of *intI1* in the intestinal tract. Biofilms are known to have a heterogeneous spatial structure with differences in nutrient availability [51], and several studies have shown that available nutrients in the gut vary in time and space [52]. It is thus more than likely that, individually, bacteria do not experience the same nutrient deprivation at the same level and/or at the same time within the gut. We can hypothesize that the induction of the *intI1* expression by the stringent response, has occurred in a minority of bacteria dispersed within the total population. As a result, the induction of *intI1* expression could not have been detected from the total bacterial population using the quantification of transcript levels; however, it could be estimated via the recombination activity assay of the



Fig 3. Comparisons of recombination activity of Int11 and expression of *int11* and SOS regulon genes, *in vivo versus in vitro*. (A) Recombination activity of Int11, reflected by the frequency of recombinants (FR), was estimated by determining the frequency of emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants, as a result of specific recombination between *attC* sites located on a synthetic array of two cassettes $(attC_{aadA7}-cat(T4)-attC_{VCR2}-aac(6))-Ib^*)$ carried on plasmid p6851. The FR was calculated in MG/int11 (carrying p6851 and pZE1int11 allowing the expression of *int11* SOS-regulated) and MG/int11* (carrying p6851 and pZE1int11* allowing the constitutive expression of *int11*, in the mouse gut and in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures. Each symbol represents the FR calculated from a single mouse exhibiting recombinants on days 2 and 3 post inoculation (n = 3 for MG/int11 and n = 4 for MG/int11*) and from planktonic cultures (n = 28 for MG/int11 and n = 15 for MG/ int11*). For each condition, the average FR is shown as a black horizontal line. Transcript levels of (B) the *int11* gene in MG/int11 and MG/ int11* and (C) the SOS regulon genes *dinD*, *recN* and *sfiA*, in MG/int11 in the mouse gut and in 24-h-old-planktonic cultures are represented. Transcript levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene *dxs*. Error bars indicate the SD. Differences were determined using the Mann-Whitney *U* test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ****p < 0.0001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177.g003

integrase using antibiotic selection and counting of individual bacteria having experienced recombination events.

Ciprofloxacin induces the SOS response and expression of IntI1 in the gut

Ciprofloxacin is known to induce the SOS response and thereby the expression of *int11* in *E. coli in vitro* [24]. To evaluate the effect of ciprofloxacin on the integrase induction in the gut, we continuously exposed mice colonized with MG/int11 to ciprofloxacin in the drinking water. Based on a previous study about the impact of ciprofloxacin in a human-flora-associated mouse model [53], we first assessed three different doses of ciprofloxacin: 0.1, 1 and 10 mg of ciprofloxacin/kg of body weight per day, which corresponded to ciprofloxacin

concentrations in the drinking water of 0.4 μ g/ml, 4 μ g/ml and 40 μ g/ml respectively (S1 Table). Ciprofloxacin doses of 1 and 10 mg/kg were lethal to bacteria (S1 Table) whereas a dose of 0.1 mg/kg led to only a slight decrease of the intestinal bacterial population that however remained high in the mouse gut (S1 Table and S4A Fig). Thus, this ciprofloxacin dose has been used to study its effect on the *in vivo* expression and activity of IntI1. Once the bacterial population was stably established in the mouse gut (S4B Fig), on day 11 post inoculation, ciprofloxacin was added to the drinking water of mice until the end of the experiment. We determined the antibiotic concentration in the mouse gut: $0.3 \pm 0.2 \,\mu$ g/g of faeces were detected throughout the experiment, showing that ciprofloxacin concentration was stable in the gut over time (S1 Table). We found that ciprofloxacin induced a 0.9 log₁₀ significant increase of the FR of IntI1, within 48h following its introduction in the drinking water (p < 0.05) (Fig 4A). Although ciprofloxacin induced a slight decrease in the total bacterial population, the population of recombinants remained stable (S4B Fig), reflecting the appearance of new recombination events and the establishment and proliferation of the recombinants in the mouse gut. In addition, we quantified the *in vivo* transcript levels of *intI1* and *sfiA* genes. The *sfiA* gene was used as a positive control of the SOS response as it is known to be strongly induced following bacterial stress [54]. As shown in Fig 4B, 24h following the antibiotic introduction (day 12 post inoculation), we observed an increase of transcript levels of sfiA and *intI*1, 18.5-fold and 2.4-fold respectively (p = 0.05). Then, the levels of transcription of both genes decreased gradually, returning almost to their basal expression level (Fig 4B), suggesting that bacteria have adapted to the ciprofloxacin-containing environment, restoring the LexA control that allowed them to respond to new incoming stress. These results are consistent with a previous study showing that the SOS response induced by sublethal ciprofloxacin concentrations increased the fitness of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa in vitro* [55]. The authors found that the



Fig 4. Effect of ciprofloxacin on the induction of the SOS response and the Intl1 integrase expression and activity in the mouse gut. On day 0, three germ-free mice were inoculated with 10^8 CFU of MG/intl1 (carrying p6851 and pZE1intl1 allowing the expression of *intl1* SOS-regulated). Ciprofloxacin was added to the drinking water of mice on day 11 post inoculation, just after the fecal sampling on that day. (A) Recombination activity of Intl1, reflected by the frequency of recombinants (FR), was estimated by determining the frequency of emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants, as a result of specific recombination between *attC* sites located on a synthetic array of two cassettes ($attC_{aadA7^-}cat(T4)-attC_{VCR2^-}aac(6')-Ib^*$) carried on plasmid p6851. Each symbol represents the FR in the gut calculated from a single mouse exhibiting recombinants. For each sampling day, the average FR is shown as a black horizontal line. (**B**) Transcript levels of *sfiA* and *intl1* genes in the mouse gut are represented. Transcript levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene *dxs*. Error bars indicate the SD. Differences were determined using the Mann-Whitney *U* test. *p < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010177.g004

SOS response expression in P. aeruginosa increased substantially upon exposure to ciprofloxacin but then declined rapidly by 50%, concluding that adaptation to stress decreased the expression of the SOS response pathway [55]. In our experiment, the FR remained high after ciprofloxacin exposure (Fig 4A). This might be explained by the proliferation and the maintenance of the recombinants, resulting from the induction of *int11* expression just after the introduction of the antibiotic (Fig 4B), in addition to ongoing new recombination events, intI1 still being expressed at its basal level. Throughout the experiment, no ciprofloxacin-resistant isolates were recovered. The minimal inhibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin for the recombinants recovered prior and following ciprofloxacin administration was unchanged (0.012 μ g/ ml), indicating that bacteria have adapted to the ciprofloxacin-containing environment in the gut without acquiring ciprofloxacin resistance. Thus, a ciprofloxacin dose as low as 0.1 mg/kg of body weight per day, which was more than 100-fold lower than the usual human therapeutic dose, was sufficient to induce the SOS response and stimulate the upregulation of the class 1 integron integrase in the mouse gut. In the human and animal intestinal tract, commensal bacteria can encounter subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics [56,57], that can affect gene transcription [58], trigger the transfer of mobile genetic elements carrying AR genes [59,60], and select resistant bacteria as well as multidrug-resistance plasmids [61-65]. Herein, we showed that a subinhibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin is sufficient to trigger the SOS response in the gut, inducing the integrase expression that could thus potentially lead to antibiotic resistance gene cassette acquisition, or promote shuffling of gene cassettes within integrons, bringing a distal gene cassette closer to the Pc promoter and thereby allowing or enhancing its expression. A such event has been observed in a hospitalized patient infected by a P. aeruginosa strain carrying a class 1 integron [66]. Indeed, following treatment with ceftazidime and metronidazole (to treat a secondary infection by anaerobes), metronidazole led to the SOS induction in *P. aeruginosa*, which promoted the excision of a gene cassette by IntI1, thereby enabling the full expression of a downstream bla_{OXA-28} gene cassette encoding an extended-spectrum beta-lactamase responsible for the high resistance to ceftazidime of this clinical isolate [66].

It had been suggested that integrase expression yields some toxicity and that bacteria lacking LexA would be more prone to display a loss of integrase functionality [38]. We thus investigated whether MG/intI1 bacteria colonizing the mice gut acquired mutations inactivating/ lowering the expression of the integrase by sequencing the region encompassing the *PintI1* promoter to the end of *intI1* gene from recombinant clones (resistant to tobramycin and susceptible to chloramphenicol) that were recovered at different times after the addition of ciprofloxacin to the drinking water of mice (between days 12 and 18 post inoculation; Fig 4). We found no mutation, either in the promoter or in the *intI1* gene. This might not be so surprising since the induction of the integrase expression by the ciprofloxacin is restricted in time, with bacteria adapting to the stress (Fig 4B). Furthermore, the cost of the integron integrase is not very high in *E. coli* [36].

In this study, we used germ-free mice colonized with a single *E. coli* strain (MG/pZE1, MG/ intI1 or MG/intI1*). This animal model hosting a very simple microbiota is obviously much less complex than the human gut microbiota. However, this first simple model was useful as proof of concept to study the dynamics of expression of the integron integrase and the SOS response induction *in vivo*. It allowed us to show that the gut itself, in the absence of competition or influence from other microbial strains/species, is an environment that promotes (i) the integron integrase activation, potentially allowing bacteria to acquire antibiotic resistance genes from the gut resistome, (ii) the quick induction of the SOS response in the presence of SOS-inducer antibiotics, enabling bacteria to cope with and adapt to exogenous stress such as low antibiotic concentrations that they could potentially encounter in the gut [56,57]. Next step would be to develop a human microbiota-associated mouse model, to investigate to which extend the human intestinal microbiota could affect the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes via integrons in the gut resistome.

In addition to extend our understanding of the regulation and the dynamics of expression of the integron integrase in the intestinal tract, our results also raise again questions as to the impact of the use of antibiotics known to be SOS-inducers, on bacterial genetic adaptation and on the acquisition of genetic determinants of drug resistance within the gut. In agreement with previous studies [67,68], our findings highlight the potential of the SOS system as a good candidate for the development of new therapeutic strategies to prevent the acquisition and spread of antibiotic resistance genes among bacteria.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The protocols involving animals and their care were conducted in conformity with the institutional guidelines that are in compliance with national and international laws and policies. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments n°26 of the University of Paris-Sud (n°2012–120). Experiments were conducted according to the European Union regulations (Directive 210/63 UE) for animal experiments and complied with our institution's guidelines for animal care and handling.

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. *E. coli* MG1656 cells were freshly electroporated simultaneously with plasmid p6851 and a pZE1 derivative plasmid *i.e.* pZE1 (MG/ pZE1 strain), pZE1intI1 (MG/intI1 strain) or pZE1intI1* (MG/intI1* strain) (Table 1 and S1 Fig), then plated on Lysogeny Broth (LB) agar medium supplemented with ampicillin (Amp, 100 μ g/ml) and chloramphenicol (Cm, 25 μ g/ml), and incubated at 37°C overnight. For inoculation of germ-free mice with MG/pZE1, MG/intI1 or MG/intI1*, four colonies were grown in brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth without antibiotics at 37°C for seven hours statically. For competition assays, bacterial strains were grown in Davis Minimal (DM) broth supplemented with 25 μ g/ml glucose (DM25 medium).

Model of intestinal colonization in gnotobiotic mice

Mice. C3H germ-free female mice (6–8 weeks, 20 g) obtained from Cryopreservation, Distribution, Typage et Archivage animal (CDTA) (CNRS, Orléans, France), were housed in sterile isolators, provided with sterilized bedding and fed *ad libitum* with a commercial diet sterilized by gamma irradiation (4 Mrad) and autoclaved drinking water. The germ-free status was confirmed by testing fecal samples for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and yeast.

Experimental design. As usually done for axenic mice, batches of three to four mice were used per experiment. On day 0, each mouse was inoculated individually and intragastrically with 10^8 CFU of *E. coli* MG/pZE1, MG/intI1 or MG/intI1* in 500 µl BHI. In parallel, 100 µl of each bacterial culture were plated on LB agar supplemented with tobramycin (Tobra, 100 µg/ml) to control the absence of tobramycin-resistant recombinant within the inoculum. Fecal pellets from each mouse were collected individually in sterile tubes after spontaneous emission, at least three days a week. For each mouse, one fresh pellet was weighed and used directly for CFU counting and the remaining pellets were kept at -80°C for further analysis. For the experiment with ciprofloxacin, the antibiotic was added directly into the drinking water of

mice on day 11 post inoculation, just after the sampling of fecal pellets on that day. The water bottles were changed every 72h. Ciprofloxacin concentration in mice faeces was measured as described in <u>S1 Materials and Methods</u>.

Bacterial counting and plasmid stability in mice gut

Fecal pellets were resuspended at 10 mg/ml in sterile 1X phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and 10-fold dilutions in 1X PBS were plated on non-selective LB agar medium to monitor the intestinal bacterial colonization, and on selective LB agar media supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics to monitor the stability of plasmids pZE1, pZE1intI1 and pZE1intI1* (Amp, 100 μ g/ml) and p6851 (kanamycin (Km), 25 μ g/ml). CFU were counted using the Wasp 2 spiral system (AES Chemunex, Bruz, France). The detection limit was 100 CFU/g of faeces. For each experiment, results were expressed as the average CFU/g of faeces ± standard error (SD) for all mice (data-sheets A, H and J in S1 Data).

Ciprofloxacin susceptibility testing

The minimal inhibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin was determined with E-test strips following the supplier's instructions (BioMérieux, France).

Recombination activity assay

The activity of the $IntI_{R32 H39}$ integrase was determined as previously described [24] (S1 Fig). Briefly, for in vitro recombination activity assay, planktonic cultures of MG/intI1 and MG/ intI1* were grown in LB for 24 hours with shaking. Ten-fold dilutions of cultures were plated on LB agar + Km + Amp and LB agar + Tobra (10 µg/ml), to estimate CFU/ml. For *in vivo* recombination activity assay, fecal pellets collected from mice colonized with MG/intI1 and MG/intI1* were weighed and resuspended at 10 mg/ml in sterile 1X PBS. Ten-fold dilutions of samples were plated on LB agar + Km + Amp and LB agar + Tobra to estimate CFU/g of faeces (data-sheets B and F in S1 Data). The frequency of recombinants (FR) was calculated as the ratio of the CFU/ml or CFU/g of faeces on LB agar + Tobra to the CFU/ml or CFU/g of faeces on LB agar + Amp + Km for *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, respectively. All assays were performed at least five times in triplicate for 24-h-old planktonic cultures (data-sheet C in S1 Data), and with one fecal pellet from each mouse on each sampling day (average of three to four mice each day depending on the experiment; data-sheets B and F in S1 Data). Detection limit was 100 CFU/g of faeces in the mouse model. Deletion of the synthetic cassette cat(T4)- $attC_{VCR2}$ was verified by PCR and Sanger sequencing using primers aac(6')-Ib-R and MRV-D2 primers (S2 Table).

Competition assays

Competition experiment. The recombined p6851 plasmid was first purified from a recombinant clone (resistant to tobramycin and susceptible to chloramphenicol (Tobra^RCm^S)) recovered from faeces of mice colonized with MG/intI1 strain and treated with ciprofloxacin. The proper recombination was verified by PCR and Sanger sequencing, and the plasmid was transformed in strain MG1656 λ *att::gfp* (strain expressing constitutively the green fluorescent protein GFP; Table 1). The fitness of the MG1656 strain carrying the native non-recombined p6851 plasmid, relative to the MG1656 λ *att::gfp* carrying the recombined p6851 plasmid, was estimated in pairwise competition assays as previously described [36]. Briefly, independent pre-cultures of both competitors were grown in DM25 medium overnight and mixed at a 1:1 volume ratio the following day. Fresh DM25 cultures were then inoculated with this mix

performing a 100-fold dilution. Cultures were then propagated for 4 days with a daily 100-fold dilution in fresh medium. Samples were collected each day to follow the relative frequency of the two competitors by flow cytometry.

Flow cytometry analysis. The relative frequency of bacteria expressing GFP was determined with a Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX LX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Measurements were performed directly on bacterial cultures. Bacterial cells were first gated on the basis of their distribution on forward (FSC-A) versus side scatter (SSC-A) plots. Only single-cell bacteria were counted using a FSC-W versus SSC-A plot. GFP single cells were then separated from non-GFP single cells by using the fluorescent marker. Green fluorescence was acquired on the B525-FITC-A channel (525 ± 15 nm). A fluorescence threshold was determined based on the fluorescence distribution of a GFP-positive control and GFP- negative control; this threshold remained constant throughout all the experiments. The relative frequency of the two competitors was calculated by counting 50 000 single cells. All measurements were made in triplicate. Controls were performed to assess the level of false positives and false negatives in GFP quantification. Both were shown to be negligible and constant throughout independent daily measurements. For each competition assay, the selection coefficient of the non-GFP strain was determined from the slope of the regression ln [freq_{nonGFP}/ freq_{GFP} plotted against the time course in generations [69]. Experiments were performed twice with 6 replicates per experiment (data-sheet I in S1 Data). The fluorescent marker used in the MG1656*\att::gfp* strain had no cost when compared with the parental MG1656 strain [36].

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from fecal pellets by using the PowerMicrobiome RNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) and from 24-h-old-planktonic cultures using the NucleoSpinRNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), according to the manufacturers' instructions. RNA samples were treated with DNase (Turbo DNase; Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). The quality, integrity and concentration of total prokaryotic RNA were evaluated with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Böblingen, Germany). cDNA was synthesized with the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Perfect Real Time) (Takara, Otsu, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR were performed on an Mx3005P apparatus (Stratagene-Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) in triplicate in a 25µl reaction mix with PerfectaqPCR SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), following the supplier's instructions, and with specific primers and Taqman probes for dinD, recN, sfiA, intI1 genes and the housekeeping gene dxs (S2 Table). The PCR cycling conditions consisted of one cycle at 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec and 60°C for 1 min. The absolute quantification of transcripts for dinD, recN, sfiA and intI1 genes was normalized to the absolute quantification of the housekeeping gene dxs for each sample (at least three 24-h-oldplanktonic cultures or three mice per condition; data-sheets D, E and G in S1 Data). dxs is a single-copy chromosomal E. coli gene encoding for D-1-deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate synthase and it has been previously used for normalization [38,70].

Verification of the integrase gene integrity after ciprofloxacin treatment

Ten recombinant clones resistant to tobramycin and susceptible to chloramphenicol were recovered from faeces of mice colonized with MG/intI1 strain and treated with ciprofloxacin. Plasmid extractions were performed using the NucleoSpin mini kit (Macherey Nagel) and pZE1intI1 plasmid was further isolated. The region encompassing the PintI1 promoter to the end of *intI1* gene was first amplified by PCR using primers ApXFP3 and pZE12rev (S2 Table)

with the PrimeSTAR Max DNA Polymerase (Takara) following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR products were analysed by Sanger sequencing with primers ApXFP3, Int1LC18 and pZE12rev (S2 Table).

Statistical analysis

Differences in bacterial colonization and plasmid stability in the gut were determined using the Student's paired *t* test. Differences in recombination frequencies and quantification of transcript levels were determined using the Mann-Whitney *U* test (comparing two groups).

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Recombination activity assay. (A) Description of pZE1 derivative plasmids: plasmid pZE1 (control plasmid that did not carry the *intI1* gene), plasmid pZE1intI1 (expression of intI1 from the LexA-regulated (SOS-regulated) wild-type integrase promoter PintI1), and plasmid pZE1intI1* (constitutive expression of *intI1* from the derepressed PintI1 carrying mutations in the LexA-binding site (indicated by a black star) inhibiting LexA binding); (B) Description of p6851 plasmid before (native p6851) and after recombination (Recombined p6851). Native p6851 carries a constitutively expressed cat(T4) gene located between two attC sites ($attC_{aadA7}$ and $attC_{VCR2}$), a PlacZ promoter upstream of $attC_{aadA7}$ and the non-expressed aac(6')-Ib* gene downstream of $attC_{VCR2}$. Recombined p6851 has lost the $attC_{aadA7}$ -cat(T4) $attC_{VCR2}$ structure and allows the constitutive expression of the aac(6')-Ib^{*} gene from PlacZ. For each plasmid, the resistance phenotype is indicated below in bold: *bla*, aphA3, cat(T4) and aac(6')-Ib* genes confer resistance to ampicillin (Amp^R), kanamycin (Km^R), chloramphenicol (Cm^R) and tobramycin (Tobra^R) respectively. The integrase activity assay was performed as previously described [24]. E. coli MG1656 cells were freshly electroporated simultaneously with native p6851 plasmid and one of the pZE1-derivative. The assay is based on the IntI1_{R32 H39} integron integrase capability to excise the synthetic cassette cat(T4)- $attC_{VCR2}$ (carried on native p6851) by catalysing the specific recombination between the $attC_{aadA7}$ and attC_{VCR2} sites, allowing functional aminoglycoside acetyltransferase-6' synthesis that confers selectable resistance to tobramycin (recombined p6851; expression of aac(6')-Ib^{*} from PlacZ promoter). Integrase activity was determined as the frequency of recombinants calculated as the ratio of the CFU/ml or CFU/g of faeces (respectively for in vitro and in vivo assays) on LB + Tobra to the CFU/ml or CFU/g of faeces on LB + Amp + Km. (PDF)

S2 Fig. Emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants in the mouse gut. On day 0, two groups of germ-free mice were inoculated with respectively 10^8 CFU of MG/intI1 (carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1 allowing the expression of *intI1* SOS-regulated, n = 4) (A) or MG/intI1* (carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1* allowing the constitutive expression of *intI1*, n = 3) (B). Emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants in the mouse gut was monitored by counting the CFU/g of faeces on selective medium supplemented with tobramycin. Total bacterial population carrying the two plasmids was monitored by counting the CFU/g of faeces on selective medium supplemented with kanamycin (p6851) and ampicillin (pZE1intI1 and pZE1intI1*). Symbols represent the average of the CFU/g of faeces per day and error bars indicate the SD. (PDF)

S3 Fig. Fitness cost of native p6851 versus recombined p6851. Strain MG1656 λ *att::gfp* carrying the recombined p6851 plasmid was competed against strain MG1656 carrying the native non-recombined p6851 in pairwise competition assays. The median selection coefficient of the MG1656/native p6851 is represented by a black line (s = 0.002) and was not statistically

different from zero (p = 0.62 using one Sample Wilcoxon signed rank test,) indicating that the two strains had similar fitness. Data represent results of 12 independent competition assays. (PDF)

S4 Fig. Effect of ciprofloxacin on bacterial survival, plasmid stability and emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants in the mouse gut. On day 0, three germ-free mice were inoculated with 10^8 CFU of MG/intI1 (carrying p6851 and pZE1intI1 allowing the expression of *intI1* SOS-regulated). Ciprofloxacin was added to the drinking water of mice on day 11 post inoculation just after fecal sampling on that day. (A) Bacterial colonization in the mouse gut was monitored by counting the CFU/g of faeces on non-selective medium (MG1656). Plasmid stability was evaluated by counting the CFU/g of faeces on selective media supplemented with kanamycin (p6851) or ampicillin (pZE1intI1). (B) Emergence of tobramycin-resistant recombinants in the mouse gut was monitored by counting the CFU/g of faeces on selective medium supplemented with tobramycin. Total bacterial population carrying the two plasmids was monitored by counting the CFU/g of faeces on selective medium supplemented with kanamycin and ampicillin. Symbols represent the average of the CFU/g of faeces per day and error bars indicate the SD. Differences were determined using the Student's paired *t* test. * p < 0.05. (PDF)

S1 Table. Ciprofloxacin concentration in mice faeces and its effect on bacterial survival in the gut.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Primers and probes used in this study. (DOCX)

S1 Materials and Methods. Measurement of ciprofloxacin concentration in mice faeces. (DOCX)

S1 Data. Raw data of bacterial counts, transcripts levels estimation, frequency of recombinants estimation and fitness cost. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Sandra Hoys and Patrice Gobin for their assistance with mouse experiments and measurement of ciprofloxacin concentration in mice faeces, respectively.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.

- Formal analysis: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, William Couet, Sandra Da Re.
- Funding acquisition: Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.
- **Investigation:** Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Macarena Larroudé, Solange Uwajeneza, Sandra Da Re.
- Methodology: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, William Couet, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.

Project administration: Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.

Resources: Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.

Supervision: William Couet, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.

- Validation: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, William Couet, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Sandra Da Re.
- Visualization: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Sandra Da Re.
- Writing original draft: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, Sandra Da Re.
- Writing review & editing: Murielle Baltazar, Nadège Bourgeois-Nicolaos, William Couet, Florence Doucet-Populaire, Marie-Cécile Ploy, Sandra Da Re.

References

- Davies J, Davies D. Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2010; 74:417– 33. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00016-10 PMID: 20805405
- Michael CA, Dominey-Howes D, Labbate M. The antimicrobial resistance crisis: causes, consequences, and management. Front Public Health 2014; 2:145. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2014</u>. 00145 PMID: 25279369
- Baquero F, Martinez JL, Canton R. Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in water environments. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2008; 19:260–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2008.05.006 PMID: 18534838
- Nesme J, Simonet P. The soil resistome: a critical review on antibiotic resistance origins, ecology and dissemination potential in telluric bacteria. Env Microbiol 2015; 17:913–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1462-2920.12631 PMID: 25286745
- Perry JA, Westman EL, Wright GD. The antibiotic resistome: what's new? Curr Opin Microbiol 2014; 21:45–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014.09.002 PMID: 25280222
- 6. van Schaik W. The human gut resistome. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2015; 370:20140087. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0087 PMID: 25918444
- Hu Y, Yang X, Qin J, Lu N, Cheng G, Wu N, et al. Metagenome-wide analysis of antibiotic resistance genes in a large cohort of human gut microbiota. Nat Commun 2013; 4:2151. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms3151 PMID: 23877117</u>
- Sommer MO, Dantas G, Church GM. Functional characterization of the antibiotic resistance reservoir in the human microflora. Science 2009; 325:1128–31. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176950 PMID: 19713526
- Penders J, Stobberingh EE, Savelkoul PH, Wolffs PF. The human microbiome as a reservoir of antimicrobial resistance. Front Microbiol 2013; 4:87. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00087</u> PMID: 23616784
- Salyers A, Shoemaker NB. Reservoirs of antibiotic resistance genes. Anim Biotechnol 2006; 17:137– 46. https://doi.org/10.1080/10495390600957076 PMID: 17127525
- Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Moubareck C, Mangeney N, Butel M-J, Doucet-Populaire F. Comparative study of vanA gene transfer from Enterococcus faecium to Enterococcus faecalis and to Enterococcus faecium in the intestine of mice. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2006; 254:27–33. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2005.00004.x PMID: 16451175
- Doucet-Populaire F, Trieu-Cuot P, Andremont A, Courvalin P. Conjugal transfer of plasmid DNA from *Enterococcus faecalis* to *Escherichia coli* in digestive tracts of gnotobiotic mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1992; 36:502–4. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.36.2.502 PMID: 1605622
- Lester CH, Frimodt-Moller N, Sorensen TL, Monnet DL, Hammerum AM. In vivo transfer of the vanA resistance gene from an *Enterococcus faecium* isolate of animal origin to an *E. faecium* isolate of human origin in the intestines of human volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50:596–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.2.596-599.2006 PMID: 16436715
- Moubareck C, Lecso M, Pinloche E, Butel MJ, Doucet-Populaire F. Inhibitory Impact of Bifidobacteria on the Transfer of β-Lactam Resistance among Enterobacteriaceae in the Gnotobiotic Mouse Digestive Tract. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73:855–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02001-06</u> PMID: 17122392

- Karami N, Martner A, Enne VI, Swerkersson S, Adlerberth I, Wold AE. Transfer of an ampicillin resistance gene between two *Escherichia coli* strains in the bowel microbiota of an infant treated with antibiotics. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007; 60:1142–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm327 PMID: 17768176
- Partridge SR, Tsafnat G, Coiera E, Iredell JR. Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2009; 33:757–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00175.x</u> PMID: 19416365
- Stokes HW, Hall RM. A novel family of potentially mobile DNA elements encoding site-specific geneintegration functions: integrons. Mol Microbiol 1989; 3:1669–83. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958. 1989.tb00153.x PMID: 2560119
- Boucher Y, Labbate M, Koenig JE, Stokes HW. Integrons: mobilizable platforms that promote genetic diversity in bacteria. Trends Microbiol 2007; 15:301–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2007.05.004 PMID: 17566739
- Cury J, Jove T, Touchon M, Neron B, Rocha EP. Identification and analysis of integrons and cassette arrays in bacterial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2016; 44:4539–50. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw319 PMID: 27130947
- Mazel D. Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006; 4:608–20. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nrmicro1462 PMID: 16845431
- Collis CM, Grammaticopoulos G, Briton J, Stokes H w., Hall RM. Site-specific insertion of gene cassettes into integrons. Mol Microbiol 1993; 9:41–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993.tb01667.x</u> PMID: 8412670
- Collis CM, Hall RM. Site-specific deletion and rearrangement of integron insert genes catalyzed by the integron DNA integrase. J Bacteriol 1992; 174:1574–85. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.174.5.1574-1585. 1992 PMID: 1311297
- 23. Escudero JA, Loot C, Nivina A, Mazel D. The Integron: Adaptation On Demand. Microbiol Spectr 2015; 3:MDNA3-0019–2014. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0019-2014 PMID: 26104695
- Guerin E, Cambray G, Sanchez-Alberola N, Campoy S, Erill I, Da Re S, et al. The SOS response controls integron recombination. Science 2009; 324:1034. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172914 PMID: 19460999
- Erill I, Campoy S, Barbé J. Aeons of distress: an evolutionary perspective on the bacterial SOS response. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2007; 31:637–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00082.x</u> PMID: 17883408
- Baharoglu Z, Bikard D, Mazel D. Conjugative DNA transfer induces the bacterial SOS response and promotes antibiotic resistance development through integron activation. PLoS Genet 2010; 6: e1001165. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001165 PMID: 20975940
- Phillips I, Culebras E, Moreno F, Baquero F. Induction of the SOS response by new 4-quinolones. J Antimicrob Chemother 1987; 20:631–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/20.5.631 PMID: 3323160
- Shaw KJ, Miller N, Liu X, Lerner D, Wan J, Bittner A, et al. Comparison of the changes in global gene expression of *Escherichia coli* induced by four bactericidal agents. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2003; 5:105–22. https://doi.org/10.1159/000069981 PMID: 12736533
- Miller C, Thomsen LE, Gaggero C, Mosseri R, Ingmer H, Cohen SN. SOS response induction by betalactams and bacterial defense against antibiotic lethality. Science 2004; 305:1629–31. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1101630</u> PMID: 15308764
- Baharoglu Z, Krin E, Mazel D. RpoS plays a central role in the SOS induction by sub-lethal aminoglycoside concentrations in *Vibrio cholerae*. PLoS Genet 2013; 9:e1003421. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1003421 PMID: 23613664
- Baharoglu Z, Krin E, Mazel D. Connecting environment and genome plasticity in the characterization of transformation-induced SOS regulation and carbon catabolite control of the *Vibrio cholerae* integron integrase. J Bacteriol 2012; 194:1659–67. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05982-11 PMID: 22287520
- Mokracka J, Koczura R, Kaznowski A. Transferable integrons of Gram-negative bacteria isolated from the gut of a wild boar in the buffer zone of a national park. Ann Microbiol 2012; 62:877–80. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13213-011-0369-4 PMID: 22661922
- Ravi A, Avershina E, Ludvigsen J, L'Abee-Lund TM, Rudi K. Integrons in the intestinal microbiota as reservoirs for transmission of antibiotic resistance genes. Pathogens 2014; 3:238–48. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pathogens3020238 PMID: 25437798
- Ravi A, Avershina E, Foley SL, Ludvigsen J, Storro O, Oien T, et al. The commensal infant gut metamobilome as a potential reservoir for persistent multidrug resistance integrons. Sci Rep 2015; 5:15317. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15317 PMID: 26507767
- Espeli O, Moulin L, Boccard F. Transcription attenuation associated with bacterial repetitive extragenic BIME elements. J Mol Biol 2001; 314:375–86. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2001.5150 PMID: 11846552

- Lacotte Y, Ploy MC, Raherison S. Class 1 integrons are low-cost structures in *Escherichia coli*. ISME J 2017; 11:1535–44. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.38 PMID: 28387772
- Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D, Ploy MC. Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 2010; 6:e1000793. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000793</u> PMID: 20066027
- Cambray G, Sanchez-Alberola N, Campoy S, Guerin E, Da Re S, Gonzalez-Zorn B, et al. Prevalence of SOS-mediated control of integron integrase expression as an adaptive trait of chromosomal and mobile integrons. Mob DNA 2011; 2:6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-2-6 PMID: 21529368
- Collis CM, Recchia GD, Kim MJ, Stokes HW, Hall RM. Efficiency of recombination reactions catalyzed by class 1 integron integrase Intl1. J Bacteriol 2001; 183:2535–42. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.8. 2535-2542.2001 PMID: 11274113
- 40. Starikova I, Harms K, Haugen P, Lunde TT, Primicerio R, Samuelsen O, et al. A trade-off between the fitness cost of functional integrases and long-term stability of integrons. PLoS Pathog 2012; 8: e1003043. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003043 PMID: 23209414
- Aubert D, Naas T, Nordmann P. Integrase-mediated recombination of the veb1 gene cassette encoding an extended-spectrum beta-lactamase. PLoS One 2012; 7:e51602. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051602</u> PMID: 23251590
- Barraud O, Ploy M-C. Diversity of Class 1 Integron Gene Cassette Rearrangements Selected under Antibiotic Pressure. J Bacteriol 2015; 197:2171–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02455-14</u> PMID: 25897031
- Courcelle J, Khodursky A, Peter B, Brown PO, Hanawalt PC. Comparative gene expression profiles following UV exposure in wild-type and SOS-deficient *Escherichia coli*. Genetics 2001; 158:41–64. https:// doi.org/10.1093/genetics/158.1.41 PMID: 11333217
- Friedman N, Vardi S, Ronen M, Alon U, Stavans J. Precise temporal modulation in the response of the SOS DNA repair network in individual bacteria. PLoS Biol 2005; 3:e238. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pbio.0030238 PMID: 15954802
- Strugeon E, Tilloy V, Ploy M-C, Da Re S. The Stringent Response Promotes Antibiotic Resistance Dissemination by Regulating Integron Integrase Expression in Biofilms. MBio 2016; 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1128/mBio.00868-16 PMID: 27531906
- Macfarlane S, Dillon JF. Microbial biofilms in the human gastrointestinal tract. J Appl Microbiol 2007; 102:1187–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03287.x PMID: 17448154
- Macfarlane S, Bahrami B, Macfarlane GT. Mucosal biofilm communities in the human intestinal tract. Adv Appl Microbiol 2011; 75:111–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387046-9.00005-0</u> PMID: 21807247
- Sands MK, Roberts RB. The effects of a tryptophan-histidine deficiency in a mutant of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 1952; 63:505–11. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.63.4.505-511.1952 PMID: 14938323
- 49. Stent GS, Brenner S. A genetic locus for the regulation of ribonucleic acid synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1961; 47:2005–14. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.47.12.2005 PMID: 13916843
- Boutte CC, Crosson S. Bacterial lifestyle shapes the regulation of stringent response activation. Trends Microbiol 2013; 21:174–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2013.01.002 PMID: 23419217
- Nadell CD, Drescher K, Foster KR. Spatial structure, cooperation and competition in biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016; 14:589–600. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.84 PMID: 27452230
- Pereira FC, Berry D. Microbial nutrient niches in the gut: Microbial nutrient niches in the gut. Environ Microbiol 2017; 19:1366–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13659 PMID: 28035742
- Perrin-Guyomard A, Poul JM, Corpet DE, Sanders P, Fernandez AH, Bartholomew M. Impact of residual and therapeutic doses of ciprofloxacin in the human-flora-associated mice model. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 2005; 42:151–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2005.03.001 PMID: 15963836
- 54. Lovett ST. The DNA damage Response. In: Storz G, Hengge R, editors. Bact. Stress Responses. 2nd ed., Washington, DC: ASM press; 2011, p. 205–28.
- 55. Torres-Barcelo C, Kojadinovic M, Moxon R, MacLean RC. The SOS response increases bacterial fitness, but not evolvability, under a sublethal dose of antibiotic. Proc Biol Sci 2015; 282:20150885. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0885 PMID: 26446807
- Andersson DI, Hughes D. Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics. Nat Rev Microbiol 2014; 12:465–78. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3270 PMID: 24861036
- Nogueira T, David PHC, Pothier J. Antibiotics as both friends and foes of the human gut microbiome: The microbial community approach. Drug Dev Res 2019; 80:86–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ddr.21466</u> PMID: 30370682

- Davies J, Spiegelman GB, Yim G. The world of subinhibitory antibiotic concentrations. Curr Opin Microbiol 2006; 9:445–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2006.08.006 PMID: 16942902
- Beaber JW, Hochhut B, Waldor MK. SOS response promotes horizontal dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. Nature 2004; 427:72–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02241 PMID: 14688795
- Doucet-Populaire F, Trieu-Cuot P, Dosbaa I, Andremont A, Courvalin P. Inducible transfer of conjugative transposon Tn1545 from *Enterococcus faecalis* to *Listeria monocytogenes* in the digestive tracts of gnotobiotic mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1991; 35:185–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.35.1.185</u> PMID: 1849709
- Barr V, Barr K, Millar MR, Lacey RW. Beta-lactam antibiotics increase the frequency of plasmid transfer in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Antimicrob Chemother 1986; 17:409–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/17.4. 409 PMID: 3710955
- Gullberg E, Cao S, Berg OG, Ilbäck C, Sandegren L, Hughes D, et al. Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. PLoS Pathog 2011; 7:e1002158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002158</u> PMID: 21811410
- Gullberg E, Albrecht LM, Karlsson C, Sandegren L, Andersson DI. Selection of a multidrug resistance plasmid by sublethal levels of antibiotics and heavy metals. MBio 2014; 5:e01918–14. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/mBio.01918-14 PMID: 25293762
- Liu A, Fong A, Becket E, Yuan J, Tamae C, Medrano L, et al. Selective advantage of resistant strains at trace levels of antibiotics: a simple and ultrasensitive color test for detection of antibiotics and genotoxic agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2011; 55:1204–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01182-10</u> PMID: 21199928
- Moubareck C, Bourgeois N, Courvalin P, Doucet-Populaire F. Multiple antibiotic resistance gene transfer from animal to human enterococci in the digestive tract of gnotobiotic mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003; 47:2993–6. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.9.2993-2996.2003 PMID: 12937011
- Hocquet D, Llanes C, Thouverez M, Kulasekara HD, Bertrand X, Plesiat P, et al. Evidence for induction of integron-based antibiotic resistance by the SOS response in a clinical setting. PLoS Pathog 2012; 8: e1002778. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002778 PMID: 22719259
- Recacha E, Machuca J, Díaz-Díaz S, García-Duque A, Ramos-Guelfo M, Docobo-Pérez F, et al. Suppression of the SOS response modifies spatiotemporal evolution, post-antibiotic effect, bacterial fitness and biofilm formation in quinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli*. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky407</u>.
- Mo CY, Culyba MJ, Selwood T, Kubiak JM, Hostetler ZM, Jurewicz AJ, et al. Inhibitors of LexA Autoproteolysis and the Bacterial SOS Response Discovered by an Academic–Industry Partnership. ACS Infect Dis 2018; 4:349–59. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.7b00122 PMID: 29275629
- **69.** Lenski RE, Rose MR, Simpson SC, Tadler SC. Long-Term Experimental Evolution in *Escherichia coli*. I. Adaptation and Divergence During 2,000 Generations. Am Nat 1991; 138:1315–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/285289</u>.
- 70. Lee C, Lee S, Shin SG, Hwang S. Real-time PCR determination of rRNA gene copy number: absolute and relative quantification assays with *Escherichia coli*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2008; 78:371–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-007-1300-6 PMID: 18074129