

# Exploring the language layer of the dānastuti genre

Georges-Jean Pinault

# ▶ To cite this version:

Georges-Jean Pinault. Exploring the language layer of the dānastuti genre. Lingua Posnaniensis, 2019, 61 (2), pp.83-105. 10.2478/linpo-2019-0016. hal-03906142

HAL Id: hal-03906142

https://hal.science/hal-03906142

Submitted on 19 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



DOI: 10.2478/linpo-2019-0016

# Exploring the language layer of the dānastuti genre

## Georges-Jean Pinault

Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes/Paris Sciences et Lettres (PSL) georges.pinault@wanadoo.fr

**Abstract:** Georges-Jean Pinault. Exploring the language layer of the dānastuti genre. The Poznań Society for the Advancement of Arts and Sciences, PL ISSN 0079-4740, pp. 83-105

The linguistic variedness of the ancient Vedic texts is a well-known fact. This can be observed within the *Rgveda* itself, the most ancient collection of hymns, and if one compares the language of the *Rgveda* with that of the Atharvaveda. Glimpses of Vedic dialects can be detected in several passages and words, although the poetic language displays a high degree of convention and normalisation. Among the hymns of the Rigveda few specific features can be attributed to the different families of bards, even though one can surmise that they belonged to different regions of the Vedic world. It is also likely that some families or so-called "branches" were linguistically mixed. The hymns resort to different genres of discourse.

The *dānastuti*, lit. 'praise of the gift', marks a distinct part of the poetic competence. The passages in question, which are often limited to a single stanza, although others are more developed, making up a substantial part of the poem, are devoted to praise of the generosity of the patron, who is expected to reward the poet appropriately for his work. A comprehensive survey of these parts of the hymns of the Rigveda was made in the dissertation of Manilal Patel (1929), a student of Karl F. Geldner. This meritorious book describes mostly cultural, historical and ritual features. On the other hand, the familiar, and in cases crude or mischievous, tone of these pieces has been noted by several commentators of the Rigveda. It would be too simple, however, to consider that these parts faithfully reflect everyday speech.

The paper aims to explore the linguistic traits of the *dānastutis* which contrast with the standard layer of the Rgvedic language at all levels: phonology, morphology, syntax, vocabulary. On the level of stylistics and poetics, it will be shown that the phraseology of the *dānastutis* relies on sophisticated devices derived from the standard phraseology which was used otherwise for the praise of the gods and goddesses in the core of the hymns.

Keywords: Old Indo-Aryan, Rgveda, Atharvaveda, sociolinguistics, poetics, nominal derivation, syntax, similes

1.

The *dānastuti* is a well-identified genre of the Ancient Vedic literature, and especially of the oldest collection of hymns, the Samhitā of the *Rgveda* (henceforth RV). The notion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gonda (1975: 170-171); see also Oldenberg (1885: 83-90) (Anhang: "Ueber Dānastuti-Hymnen und Verwandtes" = 1967: 505-512).

<sup>© 2019</sup> Pinault G.J. This is an open access article licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

was defined early in works pertaining to Indian philology and commentary on the RV. The term  $d\bar{a}na$ -stuti- 'praise of the gift' occurs first in the Brhaddevat $\bar{a}$ , which attributes several stanzas of the RV to this genre, apparently on the basis of the lists of gifts found therein. The list of these passages overlaps widely with the one given by the Sarv $\bar{a}$ nukrama $\bar{n}$  $\bar{i}$ , which adds the following criterion in its preface (II.23):  $r\bar{a}$ j $\bar{n}$  $\bar{a}$ m ca  $d\bar{a}$ nastutaya $\bar{h}$  "And the  $d\bar{a}$ nastutis belong to kings", i.e., not to the gods who are currently praised as bestowing riches to humans in the core of the hymns, but to the kings or princes who act as patrons of the poets. Accordingly, a  $d\bar{a}$ nastuti ought to mention the patron, and if necessary the patrons, whose gifts are praised by the poet. The name² of the poet is not itself an obligatory constituent of a  $d\bar{a}$ nastuti.

Following these identifications made by the Indian tradition, the standard editions of the RV delineate the parts of hymns, or alternatively the whole hymns, which are deemed to be *dānastuti*. These data are taken into account in the reference translations and commentaries on the text, starting with Geldner (1951). The latest full translation of the RV by Jamison and Brereton (2014) provides many valuable remarks about the content and the style of the *dānastutis*, which I have used for the present study. Notwithstanding the interesting nature of the topic, there has been a single monograph about the *dānastutis*, authored by Manilal Patel (1929), a pupil of Karl Friedrich Geldner (1852-1929). This meritorious essay contains first a comprehensive survey of the parts of the Rgvedic text which belong to the *dānastuti* genre, but it does not devote much space to the language and style of these pieces. Its main concern is to describe cultural, historical and ritual features: the references to the poets, their social entourage, the types of gifts which constitute the reward of the poets, the gods mentioned in these stanzas, etc.<sup>3</sup> On these points, there is no need to revise that most meticulous work.

2.

On the basis of several criteria, in addition to those pertaining to the Indian tradition, Patel has given a revised list<sup>4</sup> of *dānastuti* passages: RV 1.100.16-19, 1.122.7-15, 4.15.7-10, 4.32.22-24, 5.30.12-15, 5.33.8-10, 5.34.9, 5.36.6, 5.61.10, 17-19, 6.27.8, 6.45.31-33, 6.47.22-25, 6.63.9-10, 7.18.22-25, 7.32.10, 8.1.30-33, 8.2.41-42, 8.3.21-24, 8.4.19-21, 8.5.37-39, 8.6.46-48, 8.19.36-37, 8.21.17-18, 8.24.28-30, 8.25.22-24, 8.33.16-19, 8.34.16-18, 8.46.21-24, 29-33, 8.56.1-4, 8.65.10-12, 8.68.14-19, 8.70.13-15, 8.74.13-15, 10.32.9, 10.33.4-5, 10.62.8-11, 10.93.13-15, 10.100.12 – in sum, 123 stanzas in 38 hymns. To this amount should be added the so-called *dānastuti*-hymns, which are entirely devoted to the praise of the generosity of the patron(s): 1.125 (7 stanzas), 1.126 (idem), 5.18 (5 stanzas),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pinault (2014), with further references.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Patel (1929): 81 pages; see the titles of the chapters which make up the bulk of the book: 3. "Die Beziehungen zwischen den Dānastuti's und den damit verbundenen Hymnen", 4. "Das historische Element in den Dānastuti's", 5. "Die Dichter der Dānastuti's", 6. "Die Dakṣiṇā der Dānastuti's", 7. "Die in den Dānastuti's genannten Gottheiten".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Patel (1929: 12-28) (chapter 2: "Versuch, die Dānastuti's im RV zu bestimmen").

5.27 (6 stanzas), 8.55 (5 stanzas). The RV contains several tangent cases, which are better omitted from the corpus, because their identification as *dānastuti* is by no means warranted: 4.24 (allusion to *dānastuti*s),<sup>5</sup> 5.52.17 (resembling a *dānastuti*),<sup>6</sup> 9.58.3-4 (abbreviated *dānastuti*),<sup>7</sup> 9.97.52-54 (dubious),<sup>8</sup> 10.31.11 (pseudo-*dānastuti*, rather a legend imitating the *dānastuti* style).<sup>9</sup> Typically, a *dānastuti* ends a hymn, and consists of several stanzas, rarely only one, often three or four. They are most numerous in maṇḍala VIII, maybe because the structure of the *dānastuti* could conform well with the composition in *tṛca*, i.e., triads of stanzas in the same meter.<sup>10</sup>

3.

The whole corpus amounts, then, to 153 stanzas of the RV. There are stray instances of *dānastutis* in later texts, which ought to be mentioned, even though they would not modify the overall picture. In the *Atharvaveda*, the so-called *kuntāpa* hymns (ŚS 20.127-136)<sup>12</sup> contain a short *dānastuti* in three stanzas, ŚS 20.127.1-3: it praises the gifts of cows, buffaloes, women, jewels, steeds, etc. made by Kaurama among the Ruśama's. In fact, these hymns are assigned by the later Brahmanical literature to the *gāthā nārāśaṃsyaḥ* 'stanzas which sing the praise of men' (*narāśaṃsa*-), te., of munificent patrons of sacrifices, a genre (*nārāśaṃsī*-)<sup>15</sup> which succeeded the older *dānastutis*. The Khilāni of the RV contain hymn III.7 (5 stanzas), which is nearly identical to RV 8.55 (Vālakhilya 7), a *dānastuti* issued by Praskaṇva, from the Kaṇva family, for his patron Dasyave Vṛka. The following hymn (Khilāni III.8, 5 stanzas = RV 8.56, Vālakhilya 8) has the same author and the same addressee, and consists of a *dānastuti*, except for its last

- <sup>5</sup> Geldner, RV I, 452; Jamison & Brereton (2014: 597).
- <sup>6</sup> Geldner, RV II, 59 (while the Maruts act as donors); Jamison & Brereton (2014: 728).
- <sup>7</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1280).
- <sup>8</sup> Geldner, RV III, 101; Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1338). About the interpretation of some problematic words, see Pinault (2008: 371-380).
  - <sup>9</sup> Geldner, RV III, 180; Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1425).
  - 10 Arnold (1905: 234) (§ 245); Gonda (1975: 189).
  - 11 Gonda (1975: 287, 304).
  - <sup>12</sup> Bloomfield (1899: 96-97, 101).
- <sup>13</sup> Bloomfield (1897): 197 (translation) and 688-690 (commentary). The proper name *Rúśama* occurs from RV onwards, where it refers to a protégé of Indra and to his offspring (*Ruśáma*-, plural); cf. Mayrhofer (2003: 76) (2.1.424).
  - <sup>14</sup> RV nárā-śámsa-, see EWAia II, 20-21. See also Horsch (1966: 412-415).
- <sup>15</sup> Patel (1929: 9-11); Gonda (1975: 406). This belongs to the list of genres given in ŚS 15.6.4, ŚS 15.6.4, in one of the *vrātya*-hymns: *itihāsá* 'narrative', *purāṇá* 'story of antique events', *gāthā* 'song', *nārāśaṁsī* 'eulogy of heroes'. About the connection of the related term *nṛśaṁsa* with Vrātyas, see Horsch (1966: 411) and Falk (1986: 53).
  - <sup>16</sup> Geldner RV II, 377; Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1138). About this proper name, see further § 16.

stanza, containing praise to the god Agni.<sup>17</sup> Therefore, the present inquiry can concentrate on the linguistic data found in the *dānastutis* of the RV.

4.

The "praise of the gift" belonged to the ritualised conventions of the reciprocal exchange between the patron and his employees, be they artisans, poets or priests, according to the notion of hospitality, going back to PIE tradition. 18 In Vedic worship the praise of the gods, accomplished by the poets, is inextricably linked with the offerings prepared by the priests for the enjoyment of the gods. Therefore, the poet celebrates the liberality of his patron, whose riches and prosperity come from the god who has been appropriately worshipped and praised. The fame of the patron is bound with his piety and his expected generosity in performing sacrifices, which are by necessity combined with prayers. The Vedic cult implies as its proper conclusion the sharing of boons and food between the participants of the sacrifice. 19 The key term for the reward of both priests and poets is dáksinā- fem. (RV +); over time the scope of this noun became restricted to the 'priestly gift', which ought to be given to the priests by the sponsor and beneficiary of the sacrifice, the yájamāna- (RV +). In fact, this term does occur in dānastuti hymns as referring to the gift of the patron to the poet; see, with demonstrative pronoun of near deixis reference, iyám dáksinā in RV 1.125.5d and 6.27.8d. Besides, there are of course in the Rgvedic language several derivatives of the roots  $d\bar{a}$ - 'to give' and  $r\bar{a}$ - 'to grant, bestow' which refer to the gifts given to the poets: radhas- nt. 'bounty, gift, favour', rātí- fem. 'gift, favour', dāna- nt. 'giving, granting' (action noun), dāná- masc. 'giving', concrete 'gift'; but those lexemes are not so specific, because they are found in many instances in the RV, and are used for the giving of the gods as well as of the patrons. A more specific term is maghá- nt. 'gift, reward, bounty, wealth'; it is the basis of maghávan-,<sup>20</sup> masc., which designates in the plural the 'generous ones', the patrons, otherwise named sūri-, masc. They receive also the epithet dáksināvant- 'having (and providing) reward, remuneration'. From the diachronic point of view, sūrí- was truly the equivalent of dáksināvant-. Ved. sūrí- 'patron' can be traced back to a compound \*su-Hri- 'having (and providing) good reward'. The term \*Hri, cognate with Av. aši- (< \*árti-) 'reward'<sup>21</sup> survives only in compounds, having been replaced in free use by dáksinā-.<sup>22</sup> The vocabulary of the dānastutis is partly inherited from Indo-Iranian: see OAv. maga- and magauuan- as matches of Ved. maghá- and maghávan-. 23 In Old

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Scheftelowitz (1906: 94-95); Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1139).

<sup>18</sup> Watkins (1995: 68-84).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Oberlies (2012: 238-239, 240-250).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The literal meaning would be: 'concerned with the gift', hence 'bounteous, liberal, munificent'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> From the PIE root \* $h_j$ ar- 'to take, acquire', cf. Gk. ἄρνυμαι, Arm. aor.  $a\bar{r}i$ , pres.  $a\bar{r}nowm$ , etc. = root 2.\* $h_i$ er- in LIV<sup>2</sup>, 270.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See details in Pinault (2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Cf. Schmidt (1991); EWAia II, 289.

Iranian, magauuan- refers to the adherents of the ritual community, the munificent sacrificers. The praising of liberality in the  $d\bar{a}nastutis$  involves also the verbs mamh- 'to be generous, munificent; to give generously', basis of the adjective  $m\acute{a}mhistha$ -, and  $de\acute{s}$ -/  $di\acute{s}$ - 'to show', specifically 'to present something [as a gift] to someone'. For the part of the beneficiary, the favourite root is  $san^i$ - 'to gain', found in many verb forms, in compounds with second governing member  $°s\acute{a}$ -,  $°s\acute{a}ni$ - (with the thing obtained as first member), in the abstract sani- (35 x) masc. 'gain, conquest', in  $d\bar{a}nastutis$  sanibhyah (8.24.28b),  $san\bar{n}n\acute{a}m$  (8.5.37a), sanim  $yat\acute{e}$  (5.27.4c). The latter periphrasis 'going to the gain', 'questing for gain' follows an old syntactic pattern. It is quasi synonymous with the participle of the future/desiderative present  $sanisy\acute{a}nt$ - (7×) 'desiring to gain'.

5.

Since the exchange of gifts and the overall notion of reward was so prevalent in the Rgvedic ideology, one may wonder why the books of the Samhitā do not contain many more dānastuti passages belonging to the text of the hymns. The dānastutis exhibit more or less precise ties with the contents and deities of the hymns to which they belong, by means of vocabulary, phrases, and even wordplay, suggesting for instance connections between the addressed god and the patron, or between the boons granted by the patron and the riches obtained by the god in some mythological venture.<sup>24</sup> Contrary to the superficial assumption which has prevailed for some time in Vedic scholarship, the dānastutis are not appendixes added artificially and subsequently to the hymns, such that they would be interchangeable and follow monotonous patterns. On the contrary, they seem to reflect actual scenes of the environment of the poet, and are vividly adapted to the figures of the god(s) and of the patron(s). One should conceive that these concluding compositions were originally an integral part of the Rgvedic diction. As the process of improvisation of the hymns in performance was waning before the repetition of more or less fixed oral texts which had been memorised by the poets for the purpose of accompanying given rites, the telling of dānastutis, which was bound to historical and social circumstances, started to decline. In addition, many of the dānastuti stanzas were left out, i.e., ceased to be memorised, at the later time when the different collections of hymns were recorded, gathered and arranged for ritual purposes. Along with the evolution and the ongoing systematisation of the different sacrifices, the references to generous lords of the past could be felt superfluous, since they had become mythical figures or had been simply forgotten - or replaced - by later generations, which had become the actual sponsors. The proposed scenario would then be linked with the internal evolution of the textual corpus leading to the RV as it was fixed and transmitted. This diachronic reconstruction remains of course hypothetical, and will not be pursued here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Oldenberg (1885: 84-87).

6.

In addition to the terms referring to granting, rewarding, etc. the *dānastutis* stand out in that they contain lists of various gifts, which are more or less extensive: several items of cattle, women, gold, jewels, clothes, food. They are also remarkable for the numerals referring to fantastic numbers (thousands, hundreds, dozens) of horses or cows. One finds therein several terms referring to the colour of horses: they may be derived from the technical terminology of the breeders of the time. Simultaneously or alternatively, these adjectives can refer to the gifts as being delivered quickly (rjrá-, both 'white, bright' and 'swift'), i.e., immediately after the performance of the hymn, or early, at dawn (cf. arusá-, aruná- 'reddish'), at the early morning sacrifice, which was the time of delivery of the dakşinā to priests and poets.<sup>25</sup> Concerning 'ruddy horses', see also śóna- RV 6×, nom. pl. masc. śónāh # in dānastuti passages 5.33.9a and 1.126.4a.<sup>26</sup> Among the alluring traits, one may mention some sexual and erotic vignettes, at the occasion of the gift of women to the poet: 1.126.7, 8.1.34, 8.2.42. Of course, these lively descriptions have been duly commented on. As a further relevant theme proper to the danastutis it is worth noting the mention of the rivers near which the patrons are living;<sup>27</sup> cf. 1.126.1, 5.52.17, 8.19.37, 8.24.30, 8.74.15. See especially the Gomatī river, whose name (gomatī) evokes the abundance of cows, in 5.61.19, 8.24.30. Besides the well-known Gangā, Yamunā, Sindhu, Sarasvatī, several names of rivers are hapax legomena: RV 8.19.37 Pravíyu-, Vayíyu-, Suvāstu-. These external facts, which are certainly quite intriguing, are not the focus of the present paper.

7.

The study of the language of the *dānastuti*s should ideally survey the facts which belong to all levels of the language: phonology, inflectional and derivational morphology, syntax, stylistics, lexicon and phraseology.<sup>28</sup> This would exceed the limits of the present essay. I have retrieved the hapax legomena from the corpus as defined above (§§ 2-3). There are around 110 hapax legomena as far as nouns, adjectives, adverbs, pronouns and particles are concerned, not counting the proper names which have been identified. To these one may add a dozen verb forms (§ 8), plus a number of nouns which are not hapax legomena in the strict sense, but which are known by few occurrences, all belonging to *dānastuti* passages (§ 9). The exact numbers are not important *per se*, but the total amount corresponds roughly to the number of *dānastuti* stanzas. This suffices to confirm the impression that *dānastuti*s were the domain of rarities and neologisms. In the field of the vocabulary of gift and reward, I would point out derivatives from the root

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Oberlies (2012): 172 (connection with Uşas 'Dawn'), 249.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> The adjective śóṇa-, which is probably of IE origin, has a secondary retroflex nasal; cf. EWAia II, 656-657.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Oldenberg (1885: 88); Patel (1929: 53).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> If not otherwise indicated, all quotations of texts in the following are from the RV.

dā- 'to give': díva- (8.19.37e), neuter abstract meaning 'gift', in the sentence vásur dívānām pátih # "good [is] the lord of gifts", based on the phrase vásupati- vásūnām (8×), vasupátnī vásūnām (1.164.27a); see also 1.94.13b vásur vásūnām asi, 8.44.24a vásur vásupatih, 2.6.4b vásupate vásudāvan, 1.9.9a vásor ... vásupatim. vásu- díya° may be based on the analysis and reshaping of the abstract vasudéva- (3×, always in the dative); see also vasu-dá- (8.99.4a), vásudávan- (2×), vásu-tti- (2×, always in the dative). The abstract  $d\hat{a}na$ - is normally neuter (quoted above § 4), as expected for a stem in -ana- accented on the root.<sup>29</sup> but all three occurrences of the plural are masculine, as referring to several individualised and concrete 'gifts', i.e., 'gift-horses' of the patron, cf. nom. pl.  $d\hat{a}n\bar{a}h \# (5.27.5c, 7.18.23a), \# d\hat{a}n\bar{a}sah (8.46.24a)$ . The second compound member oray-, referring certainly to 'riches', occurs in the nom. pl. rdhád-rayah # (8.46.23a) 'following wealth to fulfilment', 30 epithet of ten stallions, and in a single further compound, dat. sg. brhád-raye # (1.57.1a) 'bringing lofty wealth', epithet of Indra. Both forms occur in the cadence of eight-syllable lines. Because of the acc. sg. # brhad-ravim (6.49.4b), epithet of Vāyu, it is accepted that ori- is a variant of rayi- masc., due to the loss of a laryngeal in composition.<sup>31</sup> One may however consider whether ori- is not a further reflex of the stem \*Hri- 'reward' (found in sūri- 'patron', dat. sg. sūraye, nom. pl. sūrávah), which has been lost as an independent word (see above § 4). It would have been easy to replace this obsolete term by the nearly synonymous ravi- as second compound member, after the model of sanád-rayi- (9.52.1a) 'gaining wealth', etc. As epithet of vástrā, nt. pl. 'clothes', one finds the compound ádhi-bhojana- 'having delights on top' (6.47.23b), besides a further hapax of the same structure, ádhi-rukma- (8.46.33c), in the feminine sg., epithet of a girl  $(y \acute{o} san \bar{a})$ , covered with ornaments. In the same sphere, compare ádhivastrā vadhū́r iva (8.26.13b) 'like a bride in her (wedding) dress', śvetām̃ ádhinirnijas (8.41.10a) 'white becloaked', epithet of the dawns, as opposed to the nights. From the noun stár-/str- masc. 'star' the core Rgvedic language features only the instr. pl. str'bhih (8×); the expected nom. pl. ought to be  $st\bar{a}rah$ , for which stands  $t\bar{a}rah$  in a dānastuti (8.55.2b), as a metaphor for a hundred gleaming white oxen. This form without the initial sibilant anticipates of course tārakā- fem. 'star', found in later language  $(SS +)^{3}$  A precise craft is referred to in the danastuti context by the derivatives from the root mlā- 'to fade, wither',33 from which the RV has only stray forms: the negative compound án-abhimlāta-varna- (2.35.13c) 'whose colour never fades' (Apām Napāt), the verbal adjective mlātá- in cármāņi mlātáni (8.55.3b) 'tanned hides', and, related to the same technique, carma-mnā- (or °mnā-?, 8.5.38d, nom. pl. masc. °mnāh), probably dissimilated from \*carma-mlá- 'hide-tanning'.34 The compound balbaja-stuká- (8.55.3c) is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Debrunner (1954: 185-190).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1125).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Schindler's teaching transmitted by Widmer (2004: 65). Ved. rayi- itself can be traced back to a stem  $*r\acute{o}h_r-i$ - or  $*r\acute{e}h_r-i$ -.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> EWAia II, 755-756. It is then likely that the allomorph  $t\hat{a}r^{\circ}$  belonged to a Vedic dialect different from the dominant language.

<sup>33</sup> EWAia II, 388.

<sup>34</sup> Scarlata (1999: 395).

used to describe the fleece of a hundred ewes: 'having tufts like the  $b^{\circ}$  [grass]';  $b\acute{a}lbaja$ -masc. (ŚS+) refers to the plant *Eleusine indica*, and sounds as a popular and foreign word.³5 Based on  $st\acute{u}k\bar{a}$ - fem. 'tuft, curl of hair' (in RV only 9.97.17c), there is also  $stuk\bar{a}v\acute{n}$ - (8.74.13c) referring to hairy sheep. In addition, I shall mention a few obscure terms, either because their exact meaning is unknown or because of their strange structure:  $muks\acute{t}j\ddot{a}$ - (1.125.2d),³6  $y\acute{a}dur\bar{\iota}$ , maybe 'copulating' (1.126.6c),³7  $y\acute{a}\acute{s}u$ -, maybe 'semen' (1.126.6d, gen. pl.),³8  $lal\bar{a}m\acute{t}h$  (1.100.16a, describing a mare),³9 etc. The preceding survey aims to be representative, without pretending to be exhaustive.⁴0

8.

The verbs do not present so many remarkable facts. Except for the optative, the use of moods is not restricted: besides the indicative, the subjunctive, the imperative and the injunctive are well attested. The distinction between indicative and injunctive is kept, especially in the agrist of the verb  $d\bar{a}$  'to give'. I have noted some verbal forms which are hapax legomena or nearly so: from kar-/kr- 'to make', optative aor, krivāma (10.32.9a), besides the precative kriyāsma, which is used elsewhere (6.23.6d).<sup>42</sup> The future is quite rare in general: from stav-/stu- 'to praise', one finds only stavisyase (8.70.14b) besides once stavisyāmi (1.44.5a). There are reduplicated formations: from tur- 'to hasten', desiderative tútūrsati (10.100.12d); from jamh- (?), of debated meaning ('to curve upwards' or 'to move quickly'), 43 isolated intensive mid. jángahe (1.126.6b); from kani- 'to find pleasure', pf. imperative \*cākanantu, 44 reflected through haplology by cākantu (RV 1.122.14d); from mand- 'to exhilarate', pl. pf. amamanduḥ (5.30.13c); from raṇ- 'to rejoice, take pleasure', pl. pf. arāranuh (8.4.21a). The verb śrath'- 'to loosen, make slack' occurs once in the meaning of untying cows (4.32.22c), contrasting with the current usage relating to 'loosing' bonds, especially of the sins. As for agrist stems, note agrabhīsma (5.30.12d, 15b, 6.47.22d), from the signatic agrist of grabh<sup>i</sup>- 'to seize, grasp' (the boons given by the patron), see otherwise only grabhīṣṭa (2.29.5d), agrabhīt (1.145.2b)

<sup>35</sup> MW, 724a and EWAia II, 217.

<sup>36</sup> EWAia II, 360; Jamison (1987: 90).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> EWAia II, 411.

<sup>38</sup> EWAia II, 412.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Cf. *lalāma*- (ŚS +) 'having a mark or spun on the forehead'; see MW, 898b and EWAia II, 475.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> A discussion of all retrieved items would exceed the size of the present paper.

<sup>41</sup> Hoffmann (1967: 228-235).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> About the rarity of optative forms and their distribution, see Jamison (2009a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> The latter meaning is recorded in MW, 407c; for the former, cf. Schaefer (1994: 122-125). This verb is known only in this intensive form, otherwise found in ŚS and PS with preverb *vi*. The interpretation is bound with other hapax legomena in the same stanza, and especially with the name of some animal. Compare Jamison & Brereton (2014: 292): 'keeps stinking like a mongoose', which would imply a word play with the root of *gandhá*- 'smell, odour'. Further literature in EWAia I, 563.

<sup>44</sup> Kümmel (2000: 131, 132).

in different contexts. Further present stems are: from  $dh\bar{a}v$ - 'to run', part. pres. mid.  $dh\bar{a}vam\bar{a}na$ - (8.3.21d *úpeva diví dhāvamānam*, compare  $dh\bar{a}vate diví # 1.105.1b$ , 6.48.6b); from ramb- 'to hang down', <sup>45</sup> part. pres. mid.  $avarámbam\bar{a}na$ - (8.1.34b), about the penis, otherwise only 3<sup>rd</sup> sg. mid. rámbate (10.86.16a, 17c); from  $s\bar{a}$ - 'to bind', rare nasal present ut-sināti (RV 1.125.2d 'to bind up'), <sup>46</sup> cf. otherwise  $sin\bar{u}thah$  (7.84.2b). The infrequent root gadh- 'to squeeze, seize' gives an isolated verbal adjective, attested twice with two different preverbs in the same line:  $a\bar{a}$ - $gadhit\bar{a}$  pari- $gadhit\bar{a}$  (1.126.6a), said of a female partner.

9.

In the area of the lexicon, one can circumscribe *dānastuti* words, which are found often or even exclusively in *dānastutis: ayúta*-, a very large number (6×, 4× in *dānastuti*); *úṣṭra*- masc. 'camel' (5×, but in the plural 4×, always in *dānastuti*); *práṣṭi*- masc. 'side-horse', <sup>48</sup> instr. pl. in 1.100.17c, *práṣṭi-mant*- in 6.47.24a; *śatāśva*- (8.4.19a, 10.62.8c) 'having horses by hundreds'; *vadhūmant*- (1.126.3b, 6.27.8b, 7.18.22b, 8.68.17b)<sup>49</sup> 'provided with brides', i.e., 'girls'; *ánasvant*- (1.126.5d, 5.27.1a), derived from *ánas*- 'cart', <sup>50</sup> for carrying goods; *hiraṇín*- (5.33.8b, 6.63.9c) 'provided with gold', see further below § 11; *kṛśana*- 'pearl', which occurs otherwise in late hymns (1.35.4a, 10.68.11a, 10.144.2c), is the basis of derivatives describing the harness of horses, *kṛśanāvant*- (1.126.4c), *kṛśanín*- (7.18.23b); *káśāvant*- 'furnished with a whip' (8.25.24a, 8.68.18c); *smád-abhīśú*- (8.25.24a) 'along with the reins', *sv-abhīśu*- (8.68.16b, 18c) 'well provided with reins', *surādhas*- (14×, 4× in *dānastuti*) 'having nice presents'; *smád-diṣṭi*- (4×, 3× in *dānastuti*: RV 6.63.9c, 7.18.23b, 10.62.10b) 'along with the allotted (gear)'. The latter pertains to the phrases involving the root *deś-/diś*- in the meaning 'to present' (see above § 4).

Several of these terms belong as expected to the technical vocabulary about horses and riding. It is striking that in the list of granted animals there appear kinds of cattle which are not in the centre of poetic invention, by means of metaphors and similes, in the core of the hymns, as are currently cows, bulls and horses: the goat, more precisely the nanny goat (fem.  $aj\hat{a}$ -, hapax legomenon in RV 8.70.15c),<sup>52</sup> and the camel, ustra-,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Besides the younger and better attested variant *lamb*-; cf. EWAia II, 436-437.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Jamison (1987: 90) and Jamison & Brereton (2014: 290).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Discussion of the meaning in EWAia I, 480-461.

<sup>48</sup> Sparreboom (1985: 32, 52, 135-136); EWAia II, 185-186.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> This adjective occurs also in the list of gifts of the *kuntāpa*-hymn, ŚS 20.127.2; it was prudishly understood by Bloomfield as referring to the females of the twenty (*dvirdaśá* 'twice ten') 'buffaloes' (actually 'camels', *úṣṭrāḥ*) which are mentioned at the beginning of the stanza: 'together with their cows' (1897: 197). In the similar lists from the RV, the reference to real women is unmistakable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Sparreboom (1985, 122); EWAia I, 71.

<sup>51</sup> Compounds with abhītu- masc. (RV +), usually plural, 'reins', cf. Sparreboom (1985: 131); EWAia I, 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> This unique form occurs in a satirical passage, mocking a cheap gift from the patron; see Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1163). The masculine *ajá*- 'billy goat' (= Av. *aza*-, etc., inherited IE term, cf. EWAia I, 51) is well attested in the RV, in ritual and mythical passages.

see references above. The latter noun is well-attested later and has a match in Iranian, Av.  $u\bar{s}tra$ - masc., OP  $u\bar{s}a$ -, YAv. fem.  $u\bar{s}tr\bar{a}$ -, the masculine being found also in proper names, among them in  $Zara\theta u\bar{s}tra$ -. This noun has no IE etymology and belongs most probably to the Indo-Iranian substratum. One should not doubt that camels were part of the wealth of householders from Indo-Iranian times on, but this animal was largely absent from the elevated phraseology. This single observation would confirm again, if necessary, that poetic discourse does not reflect faithfully the social and economic reality.

10.

The noun formation shows interesting peculiarities. The dānastutis have a significant number of -ka-derivatives. This suffix has a variety of nuances (diminutive, deprecatory, pejorative, etc.) depending on the base noun,<sup>56</sup> but it was first of all a marker of colloquial and informal speech in the oldest stages of Indo-Aryan and Iranian. While it proliferated in the intermediate periods of the two branches, Middle Indic and Middle Iranian, its representation was restricted in genres of highly dignified literature, where it was reserved for marked circumstances, and often associated with speech by and about women.<sup>57</sup> The proper name sómaka- (4.15.9c) certainly has some antiquity.<sup>58</sup> since it is based on sóma- (with parallels in Iranian), the designation of the sacred beverage. The dānastuti where it occurs alludes then to sóma-, which is present earlier in the hymn (4.15.6b). The adjective arbhaká- (6×, in dānastuti 4.32.23b) reinforces the meaning of the adjective árbha- 'little, small', which is somehow diminutive itself. In the dānastuti, it is associated with another -ka-derivative: kanīnaké (4.32.23a),<sup>59</sup> dual feminine form based on the expressive derivative from kantina- masc. 'young boy, lad', cf. the masculine kanīnakáh (hapax, 10.40.9a), which is nearly synonymous with kumāraká- (8.30.1b, 8.69.15a), from kumārá-, masc.; it is actually qualified once by arbhaká- in a simile (8.69.15a).

The passage is effectively connected with the female sex, although one may hesitate between two women offered to the poet, or the two breasts of a single woman, which would be referred to by the 'two brown ones'  $(babhr\acute{u})$ , immediately before and afterwards:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Literature in EWAia I, 237.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Cf. Lubotsky (2001: 307, 313), minus the initial laryngeal, for which there is no cogent proof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> This observation would lead to the notion that this phraseology reflects the archaic pastoral environment of IE ancestry which was anterior to the encounters of Indo-Iranian speaking people with herds of camels in Central Asia, and probably in the Oxus region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Debrunner (1954: 515-518).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> See in general Jamison (2009b). About the prevalence of *-ka-*forms in speech of women and about women, see Jamison (2008: 155-159).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Mayrhofer (2003: 104) (2.1.588).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> The text has *kanīnakéva*, which is due to the special treatment of *iva* after some finals, even though the Padapātha has restored *kanīkakā*; cf. Grassmann (1872-1875; 312) and Geldner; RV I, 462.

4.32.23: kanīnakéva vidradhé, náve drupadé arbhaké | babhrű vấmesu śobhete ||

"Like two little-baby-dolls on a post – the two little ones, undressed – the two brown ones go in beauty on their travels." 60

I would personally assume that  $babhr\vec{u}$  in 22a and 24c can simultaneously refer to two horses,<sup>61</sup> which are a commonplace gift to the poets. In some sense, this formal ambiguity condenses the usual list of boons, which includes cattle and women.

It has been duly noted that the -ka-derivatives tend to appear in clusters in the context of marked speech, especially in this  $d\bar{a}nastuti$  to the patron Citra, who is exalted even more highly than Indra:

8.21.18: cítra íd rájā rājaká id anyaké, yaké sárasvatīm ánu | parjánya iva tatánad dhí vṛṣṭyá, sahásram ayútā dádat | "Citra is the only king; the other petty little ones who (live) along the Sarasvatī are only kinglets – for like Parjanya with rain, he will thunder [/stretch forth] as he gives a thousand ten thousands."62

The pronominal derivative anyaká- is recent, cf. 8.39.1 and refrain, gen. pl. anyakéṣām 6× in RV 10.133 (refrain); rājaká- and yaká- (rare example of a derivative from the relative pronoun) are hapax legomena. The sexual reference is certainly present in further passages. The dānastuti of Kakṣīvant, RV 1.126, alludes to the grant of women in the third stanza (3b vadhūmanto dáśa ráthāsaḥ 'ten chariots carrying brides', before a thousand and sixty cows, 3c) and the two obscene last stanzas refer first to a sexual partner compared to a mongoose (?), kaśīká (1.126.6b),63 and second to another one who speaks about herself in crude words:

1.126.7cd: sárvāhám asmi romaśā, gandhārīṇām ivāvikā || "I am entirely hairy, like a little ewe of the Gandhāris." 64

This derivative of *ávi*-, masc. 'sheep', fem. 'ewe', is also a hapax legomenon in the RV.

It happens often that the reference of these marked terms is not entirely clear at first reading:

8.33.19: adháḥ paśyasva mópári, saṃtarấm pādakaú hara | mấ te kaśaplakaú dṛśan, strī hí brahmấ babhúvitha ||

<sup>60</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014): 609 (translation) and 607 (commentary).

<sup>61</sup> See Jamison (2009b: 319) and implicitly Geldner: RV I, 462.

<sup>62</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014): 1073 (translation) and 1071 (commentary).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> About this animal, referred to by a hapax, and identified with some hesitation (EWAia I, 330), see discussion by Jamison (1987: 89), with further references.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014): 292 (translation) and 291 (commentary).

"Keep your eyes to yourself: look below, not above. Bring your two little feet closer together: do not let them see your two little "lips" [?]. For you, a brahmin, have turned into a woman!" 65

Two terms are in the dual masculine, which could refer to two animals, as usual in dānastutis, but this part of the stanza is rather a satire<sup>66</sup> using the codes of the dānastuti: pādakaú (8.33.19b), dual of pādaká- 'little foot', hapax legomenon, is of course based on pāda- masc, 'foot' (RV +), but the second dual, from kaśaplaká-, masc., remains quite unclear.<sup>67</sup> The analysis as governing compound kaśa-plaká- with thematic derivative as second member (of the type ap-savá- 'giving water', vājam-bhará- 'carrying off the prize', dhanam-jayá- 'winning the booty')68 leads nowhere, because \*plaka- is non-existent, neither is there any root \*prak- or \*plak-.69 From the mere formal point of view, it seems safer to analyse this word as a diminutive of \*kaśapla-, 70 consistent with the former diminutive: kaśaplaka- would be based on kaśaplá-\*, vulgar variant and thematic match of \*kaśa-prā-'filling the whip' (of the owner of the beasts and of the cart),  $^{71}$  cf.  $k\bar{a}$ ma-prá- (RV +) 'fulfilling the desire'. See also káśāvant- 'provided with a whip' (2×, a dānastuti word, s. above § 9), the derivative based on the usual form káśā- fem. 'whip' (RV+). This would primarily refer to the hinder part of beasts of burden, 72 here diverted to a sexual application for humans. The sexual interpretation has already been entertained, independently of any etymological analysis, as pointing to female genitalia.<sup>73</sup> I would propose an alternative, being perfectly compatible with the formal analysis which has been claimed. The whip can be understood as a metaphor for the strong penis. The first counsel (19b) of the poet to his adversary would bear on joining the feet and the legs, so as to conceal the testicles, and the next (19c) would be to avoid presenting one's two buttocks, in the position for passive sodomy. Then the following statement ('you have become a woman') would be the logical conclusion: the mocked person has been deemed as unmanly, and thus humiliated.

- 65 Jamison & Brereton (2014): 1098 (translation).
- <sup>66</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1096). I agree on this point, while interpreting the images somewhat differently.
  - <sup>67</sup> EWAia I, 329, with previous literature.
  - 68 Wackernagel (1905: 174-178); Debrunner (1954: 92-97).
- <sup>69</sup> *Pace* Grassmann (1872-1875: 320), who connected Lith. *plakù*, inf. *plàkti* 'to beat, whip, thrash'; cf. ALEW: 785-786, with further literature about other IE languages.
  - <sup>70</sup> Thus Oldenberg (1912: 105).
- 71 The governing compounds with -prá- 'filling' as second member are well attested in the RV; see complete list and references in Scarlata (1999: 330-335): antarikṣa-prá-, rodasī-prá-, carṣaṇi-prá-, ratha-prá-, kaksya-prá-, etc.
- $^{72}$  As assumed by Grassmann (1872-1875: 320), with the gloss 'parts struck by the whip'; see also MW, 265a.
- <sup>73</sup> Thus, PW IV, 1183 ("verborgene Theile (des Weibes)"); and with additional arguments Jamison (2008: 159; 2011: 3-4); see also Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1096).

11.

The derivatives with possessive suffixes are used in specific ways in the dānastuti context. The first case in point is the adjective hiranin- (2×), 'provided with gold', 'characterised by the possession of gold', i.e., golden ornaments, harness, etc., hence 'gold-bedecked'. In both occurrences, the mention of horses remains implicit. This adjective is derived according to the productive pattern of oin-possessive derivatives from thematic stems, cf. vāiin- 'provided with the victory prize' (hence 'victorious, conquering'), based on vāja- 'victory prize'. 75 Compare in the same sphere of the listing of goods sahasrín-(49×) based on sahásra- 'thousand', śatín- (14×) based on śatá- 'hundred'. Note that hiranín-, epithet of horses, contrasts with hiranya-vant- (13×), which has likewise basic possessive meaning: the latter is actually an epithet of the person 'provided with gold' (8.32.9b, 9.112.2d) and of the wealth (vásu) 'consisting in gold'. Accordingly, it is substantivised as nt. híranyavat 'wealth in gold', parallel to gómat 'wealth in cows', áśvāvat 'wealth in horses', ráthavat 'wealth in chariots', vájavat 'wealth in victory-prizes', etc. 76 The basis of hiranin- is evidently hiranya- nt. 'gold', much present in the description of riches. Hence hiranín- stems from \*hiranyín-, which implies a genuine phonological development, although rarely attested in Rgvedic language; for later examples, see caturmāsin- based on caturmāsya- (Vt. 5 ad A 5.1.94), śākāyanín- (ŚBM) based on PN Śākāvanva-, etc.<sup>77</sup>

12.

The *dānastutis* feature -*vant*-adjectives such as *vājinī-vant*- (1.122.8c, 5.36.6a, 8.24.28c) and *vṛṣaṇ-vant*- (1.100.16c, 8.68.18a), which have some traits in common.<sup>78</sup> Both are used as epithets of gods, when they are not used for qualifying the patrons: *vājinīvant*-is said of the Aśvins, and mostly, in the feminine, of Uṣas and of Sarasvatī; *vṛṣaṇvant*- is said of Indra, of the wind, and of the chariot of the gods.

They are clearly possessive: 'provided with (rich in) prizewinning mares' ( $v\bar{a}jin\bar{\imath}$ -) and 'provided with (accompanied by) bulls' ( $v\dot{\imath};san$ -). Both coexist with compounds in "vasu-:  $v\bar{a}jin\bar{\imath}$ -vasu- (17×) vs.  $v\bar{a}jin\bar{\imath}$ -vasu- (22×),  $v\dot{\imath};san$ -vasu- (5×) vs.  $v\dot{\imath};san$ -vasu- (18×); besides, this coexistence is met only with  $\dot{s}\dot{a}c\bar{\imath}$ -vant- (17×) vs.  $\dot{s}ac\bar{\imath}$ -vasu- (3×), which are built on  $\dot{s}\dot{a}c\bar{\imath}$ - fem. 'power, ability'. The adjective  $\dot{s}\dot{a}c\bar{\imath}vasu$ - 'endowed with power', mostly (13×) used in the vocative sg.  $\dot{s}\dot{a}c\bar{\imath}vas$  (Indra, Agni, Soma), has nearly the same meaning as  $\dot{s}\dot{a}c\bar{\imath}$ - $p\dot{a}ti$ - (16×) 'master of power' (Indra). The compound  $\dot{s}\dot{a}c\bar{\imath}vasu$ - 'whose good is power' is found only in the vocative: singular, addressed to Agni (8.60.12c), or dual, addressed to the Aśvins (1.139.5a, 7.74.1c), both at the end of pāda. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 699, 862).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Debrunner (1954: 328-330).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Debrunner (1954: 872-873).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Debrunner (1954: 328).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> In the hymn 1.122, the latter occurs also before (3b vṛṣaṇvān #) the dānastuti, which uses vājinīvān # (8c).

distribution shows that the °vasu-compounds are used mostly, and almost exclusively, in the vocative dual °vas $\bar{u}$ , and always at the end of the pāda:  $v\dot{r}$ sanvas $\bar{u}$  # (17×), always addressed to the Aśvins, and  $v\bar{a}jin\bar{v}$ as $\bar{u}$  #, 19× addressed to the Aśvins, once (1.2.5b) to Vāyu and Indra.

The use of these compounds is then highly frozen and formulaic. This form stands for the expected dual °vantā based on the -vant-stem, which is never used for the adjectives in -vant- as epithets of possessors of wealth, but cf. śáśvantā (1×) for śáśvant-'continual, perpetual', no more felt as possessive. By contrast, the corresponding -vant-derivatives are found in *dānastutis*, for describing wealth; see also the adj. gománt-, áśvāvant-, substantivised nt. gómat 'possession of cows', áśvāvat 'wealth in horses', etc. It seems likely that the dānastuti language reflects in that case the basic use of the -vant-derivatives, while the compounds in °vasu- belong to a more sophisticated register. The latter reflect however a genuine process bound with the formation of possessive compounds. There has been some hesitation about the interpretation of vrsan-vasu-, 79 but it is safer to interpret it as a bahuvrīhi with second member vásu- nt., exactly parallel to vājinī-vasu- and śácī-vasu-.80 The point of departure resided in possessive compounds of the type \*vrsan-vat-vasu- 'provided with good consisting in possession of bulls'. The first member was built according to the model of the substantivised neuters of possessive adjectives, of the áśvāvat type. Then, this compound underwent the ellipsis of the possessive suffix of the first member, hence vṛṣaṇ-vasu-, according to the archaic process found in the so-called double possessive compounds. 81 The vocative sg. masc. vṛṣaṇ-vaso was formally close to the voc. sg. masc. vrsan-vas of the corresponding -vant-stem. As a consequence, the vocative dual vṛṣan-vasū, providing a correct final with iambic rhythm, could be used instead of the form \*vṛṣaṇ-vantā, and similarly for vājinī-vasū instead of \*vajinī-vantā. The alternative forms were excluded from the cadence of any metric type. In that case, the dānastuti language shows the expected and ordinary possessive forms, while the current poetic and ritual language has developed the ovasucompounds for the requirements of the address to pairs of deities.

13.

In the area of syntax and phraseology, the *dānastutis* use a very large array of devices. Some of them consist in reusing old material in a new shape. One finds a wordplay based on the phonological variation -h- vs. -gh-, thus associating the root mainh- 'to be generous', the noun maghá- 'gift, bounty' and the adjective mahā-/máh- 'great, big', etc.; see

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Compare 'tüchtig wie Stiere' with question mark (Grassmann 1872-1875: 1345), 'possessing or bringing great wealth' (MW, 1012c), left untranslated by Geldner (RV I, 481); see also Wackernagel (1905: 235), but cf. Nachträge (Debrunner 1957: 68).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> However, this does not imply by necessity a metaphorical interpretation of *vṛṣan*-, as per Jamison and Brereton (2014), who render it consistently by 'bullish' or 'bull-like'; see 'you who have bullish goods' (: 635), 'you who bring bullish goods' (: 1083, 1084, 1169, 1183), 'o you whose goods are bull-like' (: 756, 758). Bulls in fact constituted a valued item of riches.

<sup>81</sup> Schindler (1986: 395-396, 398-399).

*máhi-magha-* hapax (1.122.8a) "having (and providing) great present(s)" and the following stanza of self-exhortation of the poet Medhyātithi, who enumerates his patrons:

8.1.30b-d: mámhiṣṭhāso maghónām |
ninditāśvaḥ prapathī paramajyā |
maghásya medh(i)yātithe ||
"They are the most bounteous of bounty among your bounteous ones: Ninditāśva,

Prapathin and Paramajyā, o Medhyātithi."82

These associations make a reflex of some piece of Indo-Iranian phraseology, bound with alliteration and paronomasia, cf. OAv. *mazōi magāi* (Y. 46.14), *mazōi magāi.ā pai-ti.zānatā* (Y. 29.11).<sup>83</sup>

The poets who compose the  $d\bar{a}nastuti$  like to show that they have a perfect mastery of high poetry. The author, Bharadvāja Bārhaspatya, concludes his poem, after the listing of the goods granted by the patrons, with a transposition of their acts into the mythical sphere:

6.63.10d: hatá rákṣāmsi purudamsasā syuḥ ||
"Slain may the demons be, o you two of many wondrous deeds." (to Indra and Agni)

This appears to be an imitation of the epic style of the 'song of victory',84 proper to the praise of the exploits of Indra, who has slain (han-) the demons, and especially Vṛtra; compare also the celebration of victory by the same poet in

6.59.1cd: hatāso vām pitáro deváśatrava índrāgnī jīvatho yuvám ||
"Slain are your fathers whose rivals were the gods, but, o Indra and Agni, you are
(still) alive."85

The same phrasing occurs in a later hymn, of Atharvanic character:

10.155.4cd: hatá indrasya śátravaḥ, sárve budbudáyāśavaḥ ||
"Slain are all the rivals of Indra – with their ejaculations ["spurts"] (dissipated) like bubbles "86"

As a common device of these passages, the verbal adjective in the nominative plural masc. is fronted, before the mention of the enemies which have been killed. The construction with verbal adj. *hatá*- as predicate replaces the rare passive forms of *han*-, used mostly in the negative absolute phrase *ná hanyate* (3.59.2, 5.54.7, etc.).87

```
82 Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1024).
```

<sup>83</sup> See EWAia II, 286, 289, with further references.

<sup>84</sup> Watkins (1995: 513-515, 544).

<sup>85</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 655).

<sup>86</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1639).

<sup>87</sup> Watkins (1995: 514 n. 8).

The practice of taking over and recycling old material may lead to some odd reinterpretation. The core of Rgvedic poetry resorts to the frequent metaphorical phrase  $s\acute{a}hasas$   $putr\acute{a}$ -,  $s\acute{a}hasa\dot{h}$   $s\bar{u}n\acute{u}$ - 'son of strength', about gods. The genitive case does not express the actual descent, but the reference to the essence of the being in question. This device was reused in a  $d\bar{a}nastuti$ , while using a further kinship term:  $napt\acute{t}$ - (6×) 'granddaughter', fem. of  $n\acute{a}p\bar{a}t$ - 'grandson', more generally 'offspring, descendant'. But the poet made a special use of this noun and of the genitival phrase: 8.2.42b  $r\acute{a}nasya$   $napt(\acute{t})y\ddot{a}$  'two daughters of delight' (alias Fr. filles de joie), referring to two loveable girls offered to the poet, or the two breasts of a single woman (cf.  $payovr\acute{t}h$ - $\bar{a}$  'milk-strong', 42a). The innovative phrase  $r\acute{a}nasya$   $napt\acute{t}$ - is some kind of learned periphrasis for the superlative of  $ranv\acute{a}$ -,  $r\acute{a}nya$ - 'delightful, pleasant'.

14.

On the other hand, it is to be admitted that the syntax of the *dānastutis* reveals in certain cases some borderline phenomena, which point to everyday speech. See for instance the brusque introduction of direct speech inside a *dānastuti*:

10.33.4: kuruśrávaṇam āvṛṇi, rấjānaṃ trấsadasyavam |
máṁhiṣṭhaṃ vāghátām ṛṣṭḥ ||
"I choose Kuruśravaṇa of Trasadasyu's line as my king, most liberal to his cantors
- I a seer."

Kuruśravana was the former patron of the poet, who now wants to be protected by his son, while using the same lavish praise; see the following:

10.33.5: yásya mā haríto ráthe, tisró váhanti sādhuyấ | stávai sahásradakṣine ||
"(Saying,) 'He whose three tawny (horses) convey me on this chariot along the straight way' – I shall praise him at a (sacrifice) with a priestly gift of a thousand (cows)."89

In this latter stanza, note the disconnected complex sentence. See also 8.24.30, quoted below in § 16.

The āmredita of verb forms<sup>90</sup> is extremely rare in the RV. The single instance taken by the tradition as a true āmredita would be the following:

2.11.11a: píbā-pibéd indra śūra sómam "Drink, drink (or: Drink over and over) the soma, o hero Indra."

<sup>88</sup> Cf. Jamison & Brereton (2014): 1026 (commentary), 1028 (translation).

<sup>89</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1429).

<sup>90</sup> Cf. Klein (2003: 775, 792, 793).

This is unanimously analysed as  $piba-pib\bar{a}$  (/pibă) + id. One may add one further instance, against the Padapāṭha analysis (stuhi), stuhi), and precisely in a  $d\bar{a}nastuti$ :

8.1.30ab: stuhí stuhíd eté ghā te mámhisthāso maghónām | "Praise, praise, indeed! These are for sure the most liberal ones to thee." "92

15.

A specific area of poetic art in the Rgvedic diction consisted in the construction of similes. Some interesting traits of similes are precisely found in *dānastutis*. The following passage contains a simile with monosyllabic reading of the particle *iva*, according to the so-called "Verschleifung":

10.62.9: ná tám aśnoti káś caná, divá (i)va sắnu ārábham | sāvarn(i)yásya dákṣiṇā, ví síndhur iva paprathe ||

"No one succeeds in taking hold of him any more than the back of heaven. The priestly gift of Sāvarnya spreads out like a river."93

The sequence of 9b is based on the reshaping of a commonplace  $upam\bar{a}na$ , by replacement of the normal (and older) particle  $n\acute{a}$  (in the core Rgvedic language) by iva, while keeping the same number (5) of syllables; compare 1.58.2d, 9.16.7a #  $div\acute{o}$   $n\acute{a}$   $s\acute{a}nu$ , 10.70.5a #  $div\acute{o}$   $v\bar{a}$   $s\acute{a}nu$ , 5.60.3b #  $div\acute{a}\acute{s}$  cit  $s\acute{a}nu$ , 7.2.1c  $div(i)y\acute{a}m$   $s\acute{a}nu$ . The particle  $n\acute{a}$  was normal and expected after °as (yielding °o  $n\acute{a}$ ), while iva was found after some consonants and after long final vowel ° $\bar{a}$  and short final vowel °a (yielding °eva). 94

A major issue lies in the relationship between simile and comparative compound, the former being the analytic version of the latter. Two instances are found in the *dānastuti* of the same hymn to the patron Brbu, who is praised in a hyperbolic fashion:

```
6.45.31: ádhi bṛbúḥ paṇīnām, várṣiṣṭhe mūrdhán asthāt | urúḥ kákṣo ná gāng(i)yáḥ || "Bṛbu has stood upon the highest head of the niggards [/Paṇis]; (he) is as broad of girth as the Ganges."<sup>95</sup>
```

Geldner's translation (RV II, 142) does not make much sense as a simile: "Hoch erhaben über den Paṇi's steht Bṛbu wie das weite Dickicht an der Gaṅgā". It is certainly preferable to see here the body part kákṣa-, masc. 6 It meant originally 'armpit', 'girth',

```
91 Lubotsky (1997: xii).
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Compare Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1024): "Praise (them)! Just praise (them)! They are the most bounteous of bounty among your bounteous ones."

<sup>93</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1479).

<sup>94</sup> Cf. Pinault (1997: 356-359), with previous literature.

<sup>95</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 831).

<sup>96</sup> See Jamison (1987: 81-91).

and became a slang word for 'crotch, groin'. Its derivative kaksyā- (RV 4×) referred to some piece of horse tackle, 'related to the armpit' > 'girth'. The homophonous noun káksa- 'thicket, wood' (RV 10.28.4 +) was an independent word in synchrony, albeit perhaps ultimately related.<sup>97</sup> The phrasing of 6.45.31c is based on an otherwise unattested compound \*urú-kaksa 'having broad girth', but its existence can be assumed with some safety. Compare the poet's name śrutá-kaksa- (8.92.25) 'having a famous armpit', hence 'famous for his armpit' (or groin). See also sukaksa- āṅgirasa- as poet of RV 8.92 and 8.93 according to the Anukramanī. 98 There are numerous compounds with urú- as first member, some yielding names, cf. uru-kṣáya-, epithet and name of the poet of RV 10.118, uru-cakri-, name of the poet of RV 6.69-70. Note that this interpretation requires us to surmise the position of ná before the upamāna, which is rare in the RV, but probably archaic.<sup>99</sup> The regular position of the comparative particle (ná, iva, and rarely vathā) is immediately after the *upamāna*, or after the first term of the *upamāna*. The instances of ná before the upamāna point to negative parallelism, which was at the source of the reinterpretation of  $n\acute{a}$  as comparative particle. In the present case, one can restore the original simile as follows: "His girth [is] broad, not the Gangā river [is] (as broad)."

The next (and penultimate) stanza of the same hymn contains a further simile, which is not formulated in the most straightforward way:

6.45.32: yásya vāyór iva dravád, bhadrấ rātíḥ sahasríṇī | sadyó dānấya mámhate || "(He) whose propitious gift in the thousands, at a speed like the wind's, is ready for giving all at once."

The adverb dravát (7×) is based on the pres. part. act. drávant- 'running', which appears in clear possessive compounds: dravác-cakra- (8.34.18b) 'having speeding wheels', dravád-aśva- (4.43.2c) 'having speeding horses', dravát-pāṇi- (2×) 'having speedy forefeet'. The phrase in fact mixes alternative constructions of similes for speed, having as referent the wind, the falcon, the mind, etc.: 'speeding like x', 'with the speed of x'.¹00 See the compounds: vắta-raṃhas-, vắta-jūta-; the phrases vắtasya pátman, vắta-sya dhrájyā, vắtasya ánu dhrájim; the particle simile vắto ná jūtáḥ, etc. There are parallel constructions with the falcon or the bird as reference: śyená-jūta-, śyená-patvan-, ví-patman-; śyenásya jávasā (once śyenó javásā); śyenó ná taktáḥ. The text of RV 6.45 shows also the use of vāyú- in the meaning of vắta- 'wind', and not as god's name, as in most occurrences. Accordingly, the poet knows this whole repertoire and relies on the competence of his audience.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> EWAia I, 288, with alternative hypothesis. About the relationship of *kakṣyà*- to *kákṣa*-, see already *Nirukta* II.2 (of which I was kindly reminded by Tiziana Pontillo).

<sup>98</sup> Mayrhofer (2003: 146) (2.2.507).

<sup>99</sup> Pinault (1985: 112-116, 132-137).

<sup>100</sup> Pinault (1985: 138-142).

16.

The preceding observations lead us to the synchronic analysis of compounds, of which the composers of dānastutis seem to have been particularly fond. See for instance 5.18.3c áristo vésām ráthah "whose (= you, the patrons) chariot speeds away (ví ... īvate) undamaged", cf. árista-ratha- (hapax, 10.6.3d) "having a chariot remaining undamaged", epithet of the devotee of Agni, the chariot being a metaphor for the sacrifice. The poet's name pairá-sāman-, lit. 'having a solid melody', is effectively given by the text in an analytic version: pairāva sāmne # 8.4.17d, 8.6.47c "for Pairasāman". 101 In the latter example, the two parts of the broken name have undergone case levelling. In further examples, the poets resort to various devices for the surface rendering of compound names: \*dasyu-vrka- '(being) a wolf for the Dasyu(s)', \*dásyu-sahas- 'having (hence opposing) strength to the Dasyu(s)', always attested with independent first member in the dative sg.: dásyave vŕkah # (8.51.2d, 8.56.2b, vocative dasyave vrka # 8.55.1c, 8.56.1a), dásyave sáhah # (1.36.18d).<sup>102</sup> The proper name \*járat-karna- 'having an old donkey', is recorded by the Anukramanī as jaratkarna-, poet of RV 10.76. This involves of course a play with karṇá- AV + '(long-)eared' > 'donkey' through metonymy. 103 The name is delivered to the audience through an alternative gloss, playing with the basis noun kárna-'ear', in 10.80.3a agnír ha tyám járatah kárnam āva "Agni helped this Jaratkarna". The underlying phrase was '[having] the ear of an old [donkey]'.

With these premises in mind, one may attempt to settle the bizarre case of the proper name Varo Suṣāman, 104 occurring three times in the same set of hymns, among them twice in *dānastuti*, always in the dative sg. of the second term:

- 8.23.28ab:  $t(u)v\acute{a}m$  varo suṣām(a)ṇe, (á)gne jánāya codaya | "O Agni, give the impetus to generosity to Varo Suṣāman and to his people." 105
- 8.24.28: yáthā varo suṣām(a)ṇe, saníbhya āvaho rayím |
  v(i)yaśvebhyaḥ subhage vājinīvati ||
  "Just as you conveyed wealth to Varo Suṣāman for this gain and to the Vyaśvas,
  o well-portioned (Dawn) rich in prizewinning mares."
- 8.24.30: yát tvā pṛchắd ījānáḥ, kuhayấ kuhayākrte | eṣó ápaśrito **való**, gomatấm áva tiṣṭhati ||

```
<sup>101</sup> Mayrhofer (2003: 52) (2.1.286).
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Mayrhofer (2003: 43) (2.1.227, 228).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> R. Schmitt apud Mayrhofer (2003: 37) (2.1.184).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> See Mayrhofer (2003: 103) (2.1.583) for previous literature, but without explanation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1078). The noun for 'generosity' ( $r\bar{a}ti$ -) occurs in fact in pāda c. Note that the related noun  $r\bar{a}dhas$ - is the key word of the hymn 8.24, to be quoted later.

"When the sacrificer will ask you: 'Where (is he), you where-actor?' (you will answer): 'This *Vala* [= the patron Varo Suṣāman), who is set apart, is descending toward the Gomatī (River) [/pen full of cows]." 106

8.26.2: yuvám varo suṣām(a)ṇe, mahé táne nāsat(i)yā |
ávobhir yātho vṛṣaṇā vṛṣaṇvasū ||
"O Nāsatyas, to Varo Suṣāman for his great extension do you drive with your help, you bull who bring bullish goods."<sup>107</sup>

The form *varo*, otherwise not attested, has been seemingly taken by the Padapāṭha as the vocative sg. of a stem \**varu*-, which was possibly deemed as a doublet of *vará*- masc. 'suitor' (?). This is theoretically possible, but this word does not have any function in the context. If it were a real nominal stem, it could have been adapted to the context, as \**varáve suṣāmne*, somehow comparable to *pajrāya sāmne*, discussed above. The Padapāṭha analysis was probably supported by the superficial resemblance with the proper name *suṣāman*-, lit. "having (and providing) a good melody" (?), which may refer to a different person, instead of featuring an abbreviation of the same name:

8.25.22c: ráthaṃ yuktám asanāma suṣāmaṇi "We have gained a yoked chariot at Suṣāman's." 108

This is not to be confused with the epithet susāmán-, occurring once in 8.60.18a śárman ... susāmáni "in the shelter made of good melody". 109 In any case, the univerbated form \*varosusāmne would be wrong for the sandhi. The dānastuti of RV 8.24 obviously plays with the distant and mutual echo of the forms varo (28a) and való (30c), nom. sg. of valá-, through paronomasia. The underlying idea is that the patron, Varosuṣāman, is an unlimited source of goods, as was the mythical cave, valá- masc. (personified as demon, lit. "restrainer", variant of vará-),110 where the cows and other goods were shut in, before it was opened by Indra (alternatively by Brhaspati) with the help of mythical singers, the Angiras. I leave aside the option of the adaptation of a foreign name. As a matter of fact, all components of this name belong to Indo-Aryan. Due to the exchange between the suffixes -man- and -van-, 111 one may consider an alternative to the interpretation involving sāman- nt. 'melody'. Let us assume a name \*vasu-sā-van-, doublet of \*vasu-sa-' 'gaining good, riches', cf. the numerous governing compounds with this second member, 112 e.g.  $go-s\dot{a}-(6\times)$ ,  $pa\dot{s}u-s\dot{a}-(2\times)$ ,  $v\bar{a}ja-s\dot{a}-(6\times)$ , plus  $10\times$  superlative),  $\dot{s}ata-\dot{s}a-\dot{a}$  (6×),  $sahasra-\dot{s}a-\dot{a}$  (14×) and  $su-\dot{s}a-\dot{a}$  (8.78.4). The second compound member ending in  $\circ \vec{a}$ - is often enlarged with a further suffix of agent, cf.  $\circ d\vec{a}$ -van- besides

```
    Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1080).
    Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1082).
    Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1082).
    Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1182).
    Jamison & Brereton (2014: 1145).
    EWAia II, 524.
```

<sup>111</sup> Debrunner (1954: 768) (§ 609.e).

<sup>112</sup> Scarlata (1999: 577-585).

°dā-'giving', °prāvan- besides °prā-'filling', etc.¹¹³ The variant \*vasu-ṣāman- was further intensified with an elative prefix, meaning 'better, best, excellent', based on the adverb vāram (RV 28×) 'according to the wish' and 'better, preferable': \*vara-vasu-ṣāman-, meaning 'gaining goods better (than anyone)' or 'following one's wish, unlimited', cf. varā-śikha- (6.27.4-5), name of a ruler,¹¹⁴ and the adjective vara-dā- (ŚS +) 'granting wishes'. Now, the current use of names in the vocative gave occasion for some further evolution: vernacular and allegro form varosu° < \*varavasu°, in \*varavasuṣāman. See the contraction ava- > -o- in the vocatives bhagos (< \*bhagavas), allegro bhoḥ (ŚBM +) which becomes later a standard particle of polite address. There existed so-called "Prākritic" forms at the Vedic stage.¹¹¹⁵ The form varosuṣāman, maybe originally in the vocative, remained frozen, and no more understood, so that the stem varosuṣāman- was kept, until its mistaken analysis. This example confirms that the dānastuti language may reflect current and low-level speech.

## Conclusion

The *dānastuti* language layer was not significantly more recent than the language of the average Rgvedic poetry, nor less sophisticated. It was not "popular", neither was it vulgar by essence. But it was closer to the colloquial and vernacular speech of the times, and hence prone to integrate some "progressive" forms, or alternative formations from various Vedic dialects, and even from neighbouring, maybe non Indo-Aryan, languages.

## Abbreviations and symbols

A – Pāṇini's *Aṣṭādhyāyī*; Av. – Avestan; IE – Indo-European; RV – Samhitā of the *Rgveda*; OAv. – Old Avestan; OP – Old Persian; PIE – Proto-Indo-European; PN – proper name; PS – *Atharvaveda, Paippalā-da-Samhitā*; ŚBM – Śatapatha-Brāhmaṇa (*Mādhyaṃdina* rec.); ŚS – *Atharvaveda*, Śaunakīya-Samhitā; Y. – *Yasna*; YAv. – Young Avestan; Vt. – Vārttika; # – limit of pāda

#### References

ALEW = Hock, Wolfgang (dir.) 2015. *Altlitauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. 3 Vols. (Studien zur Historisch-Vergleichenden Sprachwissenschaft 7). Hamburg: Baar Verlag.

Arnold, Edward Vernon. 1905. Vedic Metre in its historical development. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bloomfield, Maurice. 1897. *Hymns of the Atharva-Veda*. Together with extracts from the ritual books and the commentaries, translated (Sacred Books of the East, Vol. XLII). Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bloomfield, Maurice. 1899. *The Atharvaveda and the Gopatha-Brāhmaṇa* (Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde, II. Band, 1. Heft, B). Strassburg: Trübner.

<sup>113</sup> Debrunner (1954: 894-895) (§ 716.a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Mayrhofer (2003: 80) (2.1.440).

<sup>115</sup> Further data in von Hinüber (2001: 39-42).

- Debrunner, Albert. 1954. Altindische Grammatik. II.2: Die Nominalsuffixe. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Elizarenkova, Tatyana J. 1993. *Language and style of the Vedic rsis*. Albany: State University of New York. EWAIA = Mayrhofer, Manfred. 1986-2001. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen*. 3 Vols. Heidelberg: Carl Winter.
- Falk, Harry. 1986. Bruderschaft und Würfelspiel: Untersuchungen zur Entwicklungsgeschichte des vedischen Opfers. Freiburg: Falk.
- Geldner, Karl Friedrich. 1951. Der Rig-Veda aus dem Sanskrit ins Deutsche übersetzt und mit einem laufenden kommentar versehen. 3 Vols. (Harvard Oriental Series 33-35). Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard University Press
- Gonda, Jan. 1975. Vedic literature (History of Indian Literature, I.1). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Grassmann, Hermann. 1872-1875. Wörterbuch zum Rig-Veda. Leipzig: Brockhaus.
- von Hinüber, Oskar. 2001. Das ältere Mittelindisch im Überblick. 2., erweiterte Auflage. Wien: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Hoffmann, Karl. 1967. Der Injunktiv im Veda. Eine synchronische Funktionsuntersuchung. Heidelberg: Winter. Horsch, Paul. 1966. Die vedische Gäthä- und Śloka-Literatur. Bern: Francke.
- Jamison, Stephanie. W. 1987. Linguistic and philological remarks on some Vedic body parts. In Watkins, Calvert, *Studies in memory of Warren Cowgill (1929-1985)*, 66-91. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Jamison, Stephanie. W. 2008. Women's language in the Rig Veda? In Kulikov, Leonid & Rusanov, Maxim (eds.), Indologica. T.Ya. Elizarenkova Memorial Volume. Book 1 (Orientalia et Classica. Papers of the Institute of Oriental and Classical Studies. XX), 153-165. Moscow: Russian State University for the Humanities.
- Jamison, Stephanie. W. 2009a. Where are all the optatives? In Yoshida, Kazuhiko & Vine, Brent. (eds.), East and West: Papers in Indo-European studies, 27-46. Bremen: Hempen Verlag.
- Jamison, Stephanie. 2009b. Sociolinguistic remarks on the Indo-Iranian \*-ka-suffix: A marker of colloquial register. Indo-Iranian Journal 52. 311-329.
- Jamison, Stephanie. 2011. The Secret Lives of Texts. Journal of the American Oriental Society 131 (Presidential address, March 14, 2010, St. Louis, Missouri). 1-7.
- Jamison, Stephanie W. & Brereton, Joel P. (transl.). 2014. *The Rigveda: The earliest religious poetry of India*. 3 Vols. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Klein, Jared S. 2003. Amreditas and related constellations in the Rigveda. Journal of the American Oriental Society 123, 773-802.
- Kümmel, Martin J. 2000. Das Perfekt im Indoiranischen. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- LIV<sup>2</sup> = Rix, Helmut (dir.). 2001. Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben: Die Wurzeln und ihre Primärstammbildungen. Zweite, erweiterte und verbesserte Auflage bearbeitet von Martin Kümmel und Helmut Rix. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Lubotsky, Alexander. 1997. A Rgvedic word concordance. 2 Vols. (American Oriental Series, Vol. 82). New Haven (Conn.): American Oriental Society.
- Lubotsky, Alexander. 2001. The Indo-Iranian substratum. In Carpelan, Christian & Parpola, Asko & Koski-kallio, Petteri (eds.), Early contacts between Uralic and Indo-European: linguistic and archaeological considerations, 301-317. Helsinki: Société Finno-Ougrienne.
- Macdonell, Arthur Anthony. 1904. Bṛhaddevatā attributed to Śaunaka: A summary of the deities and myths of the Rgveda. Part I Text, Part II Translation and notes (Harvard Oriental Series, 5-6). Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Mayrhofer, Manfred. 2003. Die Personennamen in der Rgveda-Samhitā. Sicheres und Zweifelhaftes (Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften. Philosophisch-historische Klasse. Sitzungsberichte. Jg. 2002, Heft 3). München: Beck
- MW = Monier-Williams, Monier. 1899. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Oberlies, Thomas. 2012. Der Rigveda und seine Religion. Berlin: Verlag der Weltreligionen.
- Oldenberg, Hermann. 1885. Ākhyāna-Hymnen im *Rgveda. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 39: 52-90 (= 1967. Janert, Klaus L. (ed). *Kleine Schriften*. Vol. I. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner, 474-512).
- Oldenberg, Hermann. 1909-1912. Rgveda. Textkritische und exegetische Noten. 2 Vols. I. Erstes bis sechtes Buch.- II. Siebentes bis zehntes Buch. Berlin: Weidmann.
- Patel, Manilal. 1929. Die Dānastuti's des Rigveda: Inaugural-Dissertation zur Erlangung der Doktorwürde. Philipps-Universität zu Marburg-L. Marburg: Bauer.

- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 1985. Négation et comparaison en védique. Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris 80/1. 103-144.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 1995-1996 [1997]. Distribution des particules comparatives dans la Rk-Samhitā. Bulletin d'Études Indiennes 13-14. 307-367.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 1999-2000 [2001]. Le nom primitif de la rétribution rituelle en védique ancient. Bulletin d'Études Indiennes 17-18: 427-476.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 2008. About the slaying of Soma: Uncovering the Rigvedic witness. In Kulikov, Leonid & Rusanov, Maxim (eds.), *Indologica. T.Ya. Elizarenkova Memorial Volume*. Book 1 (Orientalia et Classica. Papers of the Institute of Oriental and Classical Studies. XX), 353-388. Moscow: Russian State University for the Humanities.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. 2014. About the names of some Vedic poets. In Hock, Hans Heinrich (ed.), Vedic studies: Language, texts, culture and philosophy. Proceedings of the 15th World Sanskrit Conference, Vol. I: Veda Section (Delhi, 5-10 January 2012), 57-77. New Delhi: D.K. Printworld.
- PW = Böhtlingk, Otto & von Roth, Rudolf. 1855-1875. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch. 7 Vols. St. Petersburg: Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Scarlata, Salvatore. 1999. Die Wurzelkomposita im Rg-Veda. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Schaefer, Christiane. 1994. Das Intensivum im Vedischen (Historische Sprachforschung, Ergänzungsheft 37). Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Scheftelowitz, Isidor. 1906. Die Apokryphen des Rgveda (Indische Forschungen, Heft 1). Breslau: M. & H. Marcus.
- Schindler, Jochem. 1986. Zu den homerischen ῥοδοδάκτυλος-Komposita. In Etter, Annemarie. (ed.). *o-o-pe-ro-si: Festschrift für Ernst Risch zum 75. Geburtstag*, 393-401. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Schmidt, Hanns-Peter. 1991. Gathic maga and Vedic maghá. In Proceedings of the International Congress (5th to 8th January 1989), 220-239. Bombay: K.R. Cama Oriental Institute.
- Sharma, Umesh Chandra. 1977. Rgveda-Sarvānukramaņī of Kātyāyana and Anuvākānukramaņī of Śaunaka. Aligarh: Viveka Publications.
- Sparreboom, Max. 1985. Chariots in the Veda (Memoirs of the Kern Institute 3). Leiden: Brill.
- Tokunaga, Muneo. 1997. *The Bṛhaddevatā*, Text reconstructed from the manuscripts of the shorter recension with introduction, explanatory notes and indices. Kyoto: Rinsen Book Co.
- Wackernagel, Jacob. 1905. Altindische Grammatik. II.1: Einleitung zur Wortlehre. Nominalkomposition. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Watkins, Calvert. 1995. How to kill a dragon. Aspects of Indo-European poetics. New York Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Widmer, Paul. 2004. Das Korn des weiten Feldes. Interne Derivation, Derivationskette und Flexionsklassenhierarchie (Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft 111). Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachen und Literaturen der Universität Innsbruck.