

Remote sensing in seagrass ecology: coupled dynamics between migratory herbivorous birds and intertidal meadows observed by satellite during four decades

Maria Laura Zoffoli, Pierre Gernez, Simon Oiry, Laurent Godet, Sébastien Dalloyau, Bede Ffinian Rowe Davies, Laurent Barillé

▶ To cite this version:

Maria Laura Zoffoli, Pierre Gernez, Simon Oiry, Laurent Godet, Sébastien Dalloyau, et al.. Remote sensing in seagrass ecology: coupled dynamics between migratory herbivorous birds and intertidal meadows observed by satellite during four decades. Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation, 2023, 9 (3), pp.420-433. 10.1002/rse2.319. hal-03905797

HAL Id: hal-03905797 https://hal.science/hal-03905797

Submitted on 19 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	Remote sensing in seagrass ecology: coupled dynamics between migratory herbivorous
2	birds and intertidal meadows observed by satellite during four decades
3	Zoffoli, Maria Laura ^{1*} ; Gernez, Pierre ² ; Oiry, Simon ² ; Godet, Laurent ³ ; Dalloyau, Sébastien ⁴ ;
4	Davies, Bede Ffinian Rowe ² ; Barillé, Laurent ²
5	¹ Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Scienze Marine (CNR-ISMAR), 00133, Rome,
6	Italy
7	² Nantes Université, Institut des Substances et Organismes de la Mer, ISOMer, UR 2160, F-
8	44000 Nantes, France
9	³ CNRS, Nantes Université, UMR LETG, B.P. 81223, 44312, Nantes, France
10	⁴ LPO France, Fonderies Royales, 8 rue du Dr Pujos, 17305, Rochefort, France
11	
12	*Corresponding author: laura.zoffoli@artov.ismar.cnr.it
13	
14	
15	Running Title: Brent geese - seagrass beds coupled dynamics
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Word count Summary: 271 words Main text: 4780 words Acknowledgements: 159 words References: 2024 words Tables and figure legends: 291 words
23	Number of tables and figures: 0 tables; 7 figures
24	

25 Abstract

Taking into account trophic relationships in seagrass meadows is crucial to explain and predict 26 27 seagrass temporal trajectories, as well for implementing and evaluating seagrass conservation policies. However, this type of interaction has been rarely investigated over the long-term and at 28 the scale of a whole seagrass habitat. In this work, reciprocal links between an intertidal seagrass 29 30 species, Zostera noltei, and an herbivorous bird feeding on this seagrass species, the migratory goose Branta bernicla bernicla, were investigated using an original combination of long-term 31 32 Earth Observation (EO) and bird census data. Seagrass Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs) such as seagrass abundance and phenology were measured from 1985 – 2020 using high-33 resolution satellite remote sensing over Bourgneuf Bay (France), and cross-analysed with in situ 34 measurements of bird population size during the goose wintering season. Our results showed a 35 mutual relationship between seagrass and Brent geese over the four last decades, suggesting that 36 the relationship between the two species extends beyond a simple grass – herbivore consumptive 37 38 effect. We provided evidence of two types of interactions: (i) a bottom-up control where the latesummer seagrass abundance drives the wintering population of herbivorous geese, and (ii) an 39 indirect top-down effect of Brent goose on seagrass habitat, where seagrass development is 40 41 positively influenced by the bird population during the previous wintering season. Such mutualistic relationship has strong implications for biodiversity conservation because protecting 42 43 one species is beneficial to the other one, as demonstrated here by the positive trajectories 44 observed from 1985 - 2020 in both seagrass and bird populations. Importantly, we also 45 demonstrated here that exploring the synergy between EO and *in situ* bird data can benefit 46 seagrass ecology and ecosystem management.

47 Key words: Time-series; Earth Observation; Essential Biodiversity Variables; *Zostera noltei*;
48 *Branta bernicla bernicla*, trophic relationships

49

50 **Résumé**

Les interactions trophiques sont rarement considérées dans les études à long-terme et macro-51 52 échelle des habitats formés par les angiospermes marines. Pourtant, leur prise en compte permettrait de mieux comprendre les trajectoires temporelles des herbiers marins, d'anticiper leur 53 évolution future, et d'améliorer les mesures de gestion et de protection des écosystèmes côtiers. 54 55 Dans cette étude, nous avons analysé, en combinant de manière originale des séries temporelles à long-terme issues de la télédétection spatiale et du suivi de terrain de l'avifaune marine, les 56 relations mutuelles entre un herbier intertidal de zostère, Zostera noltei, et la bernache cravant, 57 Branta bernicla bernicla, une oie migratrice herbivore. Les variables essentielles de biodiversité 58 telles que l'abondance et la phénologie des zostères ont été mesurées par des satellites 59 60 d'observation de la Terre à haute résolution spatiale de 1985 à 2020. Ces observations ont été analysées conjointement avec les comptages pluriannuels de la population de bernaches 61 hivernant en Baie de Bourgneuf (France). Nous avons mis en évidence une relation entre les 62 63 zostères et les bernaches lors des quatre dernières décennies, suggérant que les interactions entre les deux espèces ne sont pas limitées à une simple relation d'herbivorie. Notre interprétation met 64 65 en lumière deux types d'interactions : (i) une relation de type "bottom-up" par laquelle la 66 population hivernante de bernaches est régulée par l'abondance estivale de zostère, et (ii) une relation indirecte de type "top-down" par laquelle le développement annuel de l'herbier est 67 68 influencé par la population de bernaches séjournant dans l'herbier lors de l'hiver précédent. Dans 69 un contexte général de conservation de la biodiversité, une telle relation mutualiste est

70	particulièrement importante car la protection d'une des deux espèces est bénéfique à l'autre,
71	comme le démontre l'augmentation à long-terme observée de 1985 à 2020 de manière parallèle
72	de l'abondance de zostères et de bernaches. En outre, notre étude met en lumière l'intérêt
73	d'analyser en synergie les suivis d'avifaune et les observations issues de la télédétection spatiale
74	pour l'étude et la gestion des écosystèmes côtiers.
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	1. Introduction

Seagrasses are key components of the coastal ocean. As ecosystem engineers (sensu Jones et al., 80 1994), seagrasses have a structuring role in sedimentation (Bos et al., 2007), tend to stabilize 81 82 benthic communities and food webs (Jankowska et al., 2019), and are attractive feeding grounds 83 for fishes (Spalding et al., 2003), birds (Robin et al., 2015; Balsby et al., 2017) as well as marine mammals (de Iongh et al., 1995; Sheppard, Lawler and Marsh, 2007) and sea turtles (Taquet et 84 al., 2006). Seagrass beds provide important ecosystem services to humankind including carbon 85 86 sequestration, oxygen production, and coastal protection (Nordlund et al., 2016). The ecological relevance and threats to which seagrass meadows are subjected to boosted 87 88 ecosystem conservation managers to include seagrass into priority lists for preservation and 89 observing programs. Many studies have proposed maps of coverage and spatial dynamics of seagrass beds (e.g., Agostini et al., 2003; Duarte and Sand-Jensen, 1990; Godet et al., 2008; 90 91 Robbins, 1997). In particular, seagrass cover and composition are essential oceanic variables 92 (EOVs) for the monitoring of habitat extent and ecosystem health (Miloslavich et al., 2018), and

seagrass taxonomic diversity, species distribution, population abundance and phenology have 93 been recognized as essential biodiversity variables (EBVs, Pereira et al., 2013). A better 94 95 understanding of seagrass ecosystem functioning including environmental drivers and trophic interactions is therefore required to mitigate anthropic impacts and implement biodiversity 96 conservation (Valentine and Duffy, 2006). 97 98 Previous works have identified several drivers of seagrass trajectories, such as solar radiation and tidal exposure (Unsworth et al., 2012), diseases (Rasmussen, 1977; Den Hartog, 1987), as well 99 100 as anthropic pressures related to eutrophication (Jones et al., 2018), coastal development (Dunic 101 et al., 2021), a variety of human activities (Desmonts et al., 2009), water quality (Dunic et al., 2021, Turschwell et al., 2021), and fisheries (Turschwell et al., 2021). While many 102 environmental drivers have been documented in the literature, less attention has been paid to 103 investigate the influence of trophic interactions on seagrass dynamics (e.g., Balsby et al., 2017; 104 105 Horn et al., 2020; Kollars et al., 2017). Herbivory is a crucial interaction to consider because 106 grazing influence seagrass biomass via top-down control and, in turn, changes in seagrass abundance affect herbivore population size via bottom-up control (Unsworth and Butterworth, 107 2021; Valentine and Duffy, 2006). 108 109 Seagrass – herbivores interactions can be investigated at several scales to provide different types

of ecological information (Jacobs *et al.*, 1981). One type of information relates to the direct
effect of grazing on the individual plant, i.e., the immediate reduction of vegetal biomass by
herbivore consumption (Ganter, 2000; Sato *et al.*, 2020). Another type of information concerns
the seagrass – herbivores relationship at habitat/population level over larger time scales. This
interaction is much more complex to investigate because it depends on grazing magnitude and
frequency, on seagrass physiological responses with long-term effects (e.g., compensatory

growth mechanisms, investment in sexual reproduction), and on indirect mechanisms associated 116 to herbivory (e.g., soil fertilization with faeces, seed propagation, relief of competition by other 117 vegetal species) (Shaughnessy et al., 2021; Valentine and Duffy, 2006). 118 Interestingly, most herbivorous birds feeding on seagrass are migratory birds. Herbivory by 119 migratory animals is a particular case of plant – herbivore interaction. On the one hand, 120 121 consumers exert pressures over the food resource only during a certain period of time (e.g., a few 122 months). On the other hand, the population of migratory herbivores is affected by factors related 123 to a large geographical range that includes wintering, stop-over, and breeding sites (Newton, 124 2007). Thus, both the timing of seasonal cycles of grazers' population and food resource, as well as regional components that drive herbivore population are fundamental factors to understand 125 their complex relationship in a specific site. 126 127 The dark-bellied Brent goose (*Branta bernicla bernicla*, Fig. 1a, b) is an herbivore waterfowl that migrates from arctic Russia to the French Atlantic coast (Fig. 1c). It breeds during summer 128 129 in the Taymyr Peninsula (~76.3° N) and migrates south- and westward in order to spend the autumn and winter in Western Europe. Sixty percent of the global Brent goose population winter 130 in France across 18 sites, down to Arcachon Bay (~ 44.6° N) in the Atlantic Coast (Dalloyau and 131 132 Robin, 2013). Brent goose has several stop-overs in the White, Baltic, and Wadden Seas. Its

main food resource is constituted by seagrass of the *Zostera* genus (either *Z. marina* or *Z. noltei*).

134 When seagrass is not available, Brent goose can switch diet to feed on green macroalgae (*Ulva*

spp.), saltmarsh vegetation (*Puccinellia maritima*), or agricultural crops (Inger *et al.*, 2006;

136 Mathers and Montgomery, 1997; Tinkler *et al.*, 2009).

137 Several works already documented the crucial role of megaherbivores (waterfowls, turtles, and

dugongs) on seagrass ecosystem structure, biomass, and primary production (Scott *et al.*, 2018).

139 Also, there are numerous examples of the potential of Earth Observation (EO) to study seagrass 140 EBVs worldwide (Barillé et al., 2010; Calleja et al., 2017; El-Hacen et al., 2020; Zoffoli et al., 2020). However, to our knowledge, combined analyses of long-term dynamics in herbivorous 141 population and remotely-sensed seagrass distribution have never been attempted. This study 142 focused on Bourgneuf Bay (France, Fig. 1c), an important Brent goose wintering site (Valéry 143 144 and Schricke, 2013) where satellite observations revealed an increasing trend in both seagrass density and areal extent since the 1980s (Zoffoli et al., 2021). Our objective was to investigate, 145 for the first time, the relationship between remotely-sensed decadal changes in seagrass habitat 146 147 and bird population. More generally, our work seeks at demonstrating the potential of highresolution satellite remote sensing for the conservation and ecology of two intertwined and 148 149 protected species.





150

Figure 1. *Branta b. bernicla* individuals (a – b) and its migration flyway (c). The main breeding,
stopover, and wintering sites are highlighted in yellow (adapted from (Ebbinge *et al.*, 2013). The
red dot shows the location of Bourgneuf Bay (France), a wintering site where seagrass spatial
distribution has been documented using satellite remote sensing since 1985.

156 2. Material and methods

157 2.1 Remote sensing of seagrass essential biodiversity variables

- 158 Along the French Atlantic coast, Bourgneuf Bay is a macrotidal bay hosting a large intertidal
- 159 seagrass meadow dominated by *Zostera noltei*, which is part of a Natura 2000 protected area. At
- this latitude (~47° N), seagrass displays a seasonal cycle with a late summer peak (Zoffoli *et al.*,
- 161 2020). In a previous work, several seagrass EBVs were computed from long-term high-

resolution remote sensing over this site (Zoffoli *et al.*, 2021). Briefly, a multi-mission satellite 162 time-series was compiled from Landsat, SPOT, and Sentinel-2 acquisitions. Images were 163 selected for every summer from 1985 – 2020. Maps of seagrass percent cover (Fig. 2) were 164 computed from the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) with an uncertainty of about 165 14% (Zoffoli *et al.*, 2020), thus making it possible to measure several seagrass indicators at 166 167 ecosystem scale. Because seagrass data was missing during 7 years in the initial dataset of Zoffoli et al. (2021), we completed the time-series using additional Landsat and SPOT images in 168 169 1989, 1992, 1994, 1999, 2000, 2007, and 2014 in order to get a continuous time-series. The new 170 images were slightly suboptimal in terms of acquisition time (i.e., they were marginally out-oftime compared to the date of seagrass summer peak) or cloud cover (and in that case seagrass 171 quantification has been made by a combination of two images acquired during the same 172 summer). Through these data might be subjected to higher uncertainties, they still remained 173 174 among the range of variability observed during the 29 other years, and can be consistently used 175 for time-series analysis.

In the present study, we used the following seagrass data: the meadow-averaged seagrass density 176 as an indicator of seagrass above-ground biomass, and two proxies of habitat extent (A20 and 177 178 A50) corresponding to the surface of the whole and dense seagrass meadow, defined as the area where % cover is higher than 20% or 50% respectively. Seagrass density was computed as the 179 180 mean % cover over the whole meadow. A 20% threshold in seagrass cover was applied to reduce 181 mapping uncertainties (Dolch et al., 2017; Zoffoli et al., 2021). Interestingly, this value is also 182 biologically meaningful for Brent goose as it is close to the percent cover of 15% indicated as a 183 "giving-up" density below which seagrass leaf cover is not profitable for feeding (Jacobs et al., 184 1981; Percival and Evans, 1997).

- 185 The Landsat time-series was also used to detect possible long-term shift in seagrass phenology
- 186 over Bourgneuf Bay. A total number of 238 cloud-free images acquired during low tide was
- 187 selected from 1984 2020. Temporal changes in NDVI were used to characterize seagrass
- 188 seasonal cycle, as in Zoffoli *et al.* (2020). Due to limitations in temporal resolution, it was not
- 189 possible to measure seagrass seasonal variability every year. Monthly-averaged composite
- annual cycles were then reconstructed over a 10-year period, and compared between the start
- 191 (1984 1993) and end (2011 2020) of the Landsat time-series.



192

Figure 2. Examples of satellite-derived seagrass map in Bourgneuf Bay, showing seagrass %
cover (SPC) at high-resolution over the whole meadow: a) SPOT-2 image on 28 August 1991; b)
Sentinel-2 image on 16 September 2019. The complete series of SPC maps from 1985 – 2020 is
shown in Zoffoli *et al.* (2021).

197

198 2.2 Brent goose data

199 In this work we used two Brent goose datasets in France: one for the goose size population at

- 200 Bourgneuf Bay, and the other one at national level. For Bourgneuf Bay, we used monthly records
- of Brent goose size population from September to April (period later referred as "goose season"),

from 1976 – 2021. Bird counts were performed during high tide in 6 different sighting sites
within Bourgneuf Bay from the Noirmoutier Island to the Port du Collet. For each goose season,
a log-normal model was fitted to the monthly bird numbers (*p*-value < 0.05 for all years). The
date of maximal goose number was then computed from the fitted curve. The date of arrival and
departure was defined as the days when the goose number corresponded to 50% of the seasonal
maximum (Valéry and Schricke, 2013).

The national Brent goose wintering population data was available for the period 1990 - 2020, as

209 part of the French Brent network (Goose Specialist Group / Wetlands International) framework.

210 Monthly counts were based on the Wetlands protocol and were carried out at mid-month from

211 September to April. The data retained correspond to the sum of each site performed in all French

wintering sites at the time of the national abundance peak, which may occur mainly in

213 November, less frequently in December.

The world population of Brent goose from 1976 - 2011 was compiled from previously published

results. It corresponded to the sum of all mid-January counts performed in France, United

Kingdom, The Netherlands, Germany and Denmark (Ebbinge *et al.*, 2002, 2013).

217

218 **2.3. Environmental datasets**

219 The potential influence of environmental parameters on seagrass extent and density was

investigated from 1984 – 2020 using monthly time-series of abiotic factors such as air

temperature, sea surface temperature, cumulative rainfall, flow of the nearby Loire River, surface

solar radiation, wave height, and sea level. The details regarding the environmental data are

223 provided in Supplementary Information.

224

225 **2.4. Statistical analyses**

Two methods were used to identify the most important factors (environmental and goose 226 abundance) influencing seagrass variables (Density, A20 and A50): the BIOENV method 227 (Clarke and Ainsworth, 1993) and the Conditional Interference Tree (CIT; Hothorn et al., 2006). 228 The BIOENV method identifies the best subset of environmental variables with the Euclidian 229 230 distance of scaled environmental variables having the maximum Pearson correlation with the 231 similarity matrix calculated from seagrass parameters. The CIT is a random forest approach used to assess the relative importance of multiple explanatory variables with respect to a single 232 233 response variable. With the BIOENV method, explanatory variables that are correlated must be removed while the CIT is not affected by multicollinearity. The CIT also allows for non-linear 234 relationships unlike BIOENV which only performs linear relations. BIOENV was run on 235 PRIMER 7 (Clarke and Gorley, 2006) and the CIT analysis with R (Party Kit, Hothorn and 236 Zeileis, 2015). The winter-, spring- and summer-averages of all abiotic parameters were tested 237 238 using both methods. The tested goose data corresponded to the maximum number recorded during the goose season preceding the seagrass peak (i.e., September – April). 239 The association between Brent Goose monthly counts and Seagrass Density was assessed using 240 241 Generalized Linear Mixed Effect Models (GLMM). Brent Goose counts were modelled as a function of Month (October to March), normalised Seagrass Density (N_i , Eq. 1), Year as a 242 243 random factor, and using a temporal autocorrelation structure based on Year. Modelling was 244 performed using the "glmmTMB" package in the R programming language (R Core Team, 2022; Brooks et al., 2022; Chadsuthi et al., 2022) assuming that Brent Goose counts followed a Poisson 245 246 distribution.

247
$$N_i = \frac{S_i - \mu_S}{\sigma_S}$$
(Eq. 1)

where S_i corresponds to seagrass Density in each individual year, μ_S to the mean, and σ_S to its standard deviation. Sample *vs*. fitted residuals, quartile-quartile, and autocorrelation of temporally sequential samples were visually assessed to evaluate model assumptions. Seagrass A20 and A50 were likewise modelled.

252

253 **3. Results**

3.1. Explanatory variables for seagrass variability

The BIOENV analysis indicated that the Pearson correlation between seagrass EBVs and the 255 similarity matrices of the investigated factors was maximized at $\rho = 0.33$ (*p*-value < 0.05) for 256 Maximum Goose Abundance, suggesting that among all the seagrass drivers tested, it was the 257 258 main factor contributing to seagrass variability. This was confirmed by the result of the CIT 259 model, which showed that seagrass variability was significantly associated in a first order to Maximum Goose Abundance (p-value < 0.01), and in a second order, to average Wave Height in 260 261 spring (*p*-value < 0.01), suggesting that a higher number of wintering geese promoted a larger and denser seagrass at the end of the following summer. 262

263

3.2. Mutual interactions between seagrass and Brent geese

Seagrass and Brent goose counts presented increasing trajectories from 1985 – 2020 (Fig. 3).

266 Monthly goose counts were significantly related to all seagrass parameters (GLMM, *p*-value <

267 0.001). Interestingly, the relationship between Monthly Goose Counts and seagrass Density was

268 positive from October to January with the strongest relationships in October and November as

evidenced by the steepest slopes in the modelling response (Fig. 4): higher seagrass biomass in

summer attracted a higher number of birds during the subsequent goose wintering season. On the

271 contrary, the relationship was negative in March when most of the geese already started their way back towards the Northern breeding sites. GLMM results for seagrass Density were similar 272 to the ones obtained for the other seagrass parameters analysed such as A20 and A50 (figures not 273 274 shown).

275







(orange dots) represented in the left y-axis, and maximum Brent Goose counts (black squares) in 278 the right y-axis.

- 279
- 280



281

Figure 4. Modelled change in Brent Goose counts with Normalised Seagrass Density across
Months. Lines and shaded areas show GLMM model estimates and standard errors.

20.

3.3. Seasonal variability in seagrass and Brent geese

The seagrass phenology did not change over the past 40 years. The averaged seasonal NDVI 286 cycle was the same during the first (1984 - 1993) and last decade (2011 - 2020) of the studied 287 time-series (Fig. 4). At this latitude, seagrass biomass increases during spring, peaks at the end of 288 289 summer (late August / early September), and decreases during autumn and winter. While there was no change in the timing of the seagrass seasonal cycle, there was a difference in its 290 amplitude. NDVI reached a higher peak during the 2011 - 2020 decade compared to the 1984 -291 1993 decade, consistently with the afore-mentioned long-term increase in seagrass density and 292 extent. Interestingly, a phenological shift in the Brent goose season occurred from 1984 – 2020. 293

294 Whereas most of the wintering population generally used to arrive in October and depart before 295 the end of March, during the last decade an increasing number of geese have been spotted as early as September and as late as April (Fig. 4). In average, the date of the maximum goose 296 297 counts shifted from January to November between the first and last considered decades. The analysis of the whole Brent goose time-series from 1976 – 2020 further confirmed the 298 phenological shift of the goose wintering season. According to the log-normal model, the date of 299 maximum goose counts moved about 19 days earlier, from 12 January in 1976 to 24 December 300 in 2020 (Fig. 5). This trend was accompanied by an extension of the whole wintering season of 301 302 at least 2 months, with an advance of about 56 days in the date of arrival (from 12 November to 17 September), and a delay of about 17 days in the date of departure (from 16 March to 2 April). 303 304





Figure 5. Monthly mean NDVI representing seagrass seasonal cycle (orange circles, left axis),
 and monthly mean Brent goose counts (black bars, right axis in log-scale). Data corresponds to
 10-year average during two time periods: (a) 1984 – 1993, and (b) 2011 – 2020. Error bars
 correspond to standard deviation.





314	3.4. Long-term variability in Brent goose regional, national and global population
315	The global Brent goose population showed an increasing trend since 1976, peaking to
316	approximately 329,000 individuals in 1992, followed by a reduction and stabilization around
317	220,000 individuals since the 2000s (Fig. 6; Ebbinge et al., 2013). The number of Brent geese
318	wintering in France and in Bourgneuf Bay also increased up to the 1990s, but contrary to the
319	world population, continued to increase afterwards. The French population thus regularly
320	increased up to the early 2010s, stabilizing around 142,000 individuals over the past decade. The
321	French population thus now represents about 60% of the global goose population. The
322	population in Bourgneuf Bay also displayed an overall increasing trend, reaching a total number
323	of geese fluctuating around 11,500 individuals over the last years. Compared to the national
324	population, the population in Bourgneuf Bay displayed a high degree or interannual variability,
325	with drastic decreases in 1999, 2001, 2008, 2009 and 2014. Interestingly, the years of strong
326	declines in Brent goose abundance coincided with significant reductions in seagrass surface area
327	and meadow-averaged density, suggesting that a reduction of food supply prompted the
328	migratory birds to overwinter in other sites in France.
329	

330



331

Figure 7. Brent goose population in Bourgneuf Bay from 1976 – 2020 (black circles), in France
 from 1990 – 2020 (grey diamonds), and in the world from 1976 – 2011 (white squares). For
 comparative purpose, the French population was divided by 10, and the world population was
 divided by 30. The vertical axis is graduated in log-scale.

- 337
- 338 **4. Discussion**

4.1. Data requirements and challenges to interpret seagrass trajectory

340 While catastrophic seagrass loss has been globally documented (Waycott et al., 2009; Green et al., 2021) a recent increase in the status of intertidal meadows dominated by Z. noltei was 341 342 reported in several European sites (de los Santos et al., 2019) including Bourgneuf Bay (Zoffoli et al., 2021). The knowledge of seagrass status and its ecological drivers is required to develop 343 predictive models and optimize conservation strategies of these protected habitats, but the 344 determination of such drivers is extremely challenging due to the lack of both seagrass and 345 346 environmental measurements (Unsworth et al., 2019). In the present study, a long-term seagrass time-series has been analysed using satellite observations acquired during its annual maximum to 347 avoid biases caused by seasonal variations. The status of the seagrass meadow at this time 348 corresponds to an ecological response to environmental drivers that acted over the previous 349

months. Thus, the effect of environmental factors should be analysed taking into account the 350 conditions experienced by seagrass during the whole growing season. For that purpose, 351 352 environmental observations have to be performed at high temporal resolution. Here, the investigated environmental datasets were either acquired using EO, autonomous systems such as 353 meteorological stations and tide gauges, or resulting from modelling. This highlights the 354 355 importance of maintaining coordinated continuous monitoring programs (e.g., satellite missions, 356 in situ monitoring networks, autonomous platforms; Papathanasopoulou et al., 2019) collecting 357 data on both EBV and abiotic factors at large spatial and temporal scales to develop an improved 358 understanding of biodiversity and ecosystem functioning (Navarro et al., 2017; Paganini et al., 2016). Here, monthly data of solar irradiance, air temperature and SST, rainfalls, river flow, 359 wave height and sea level were available. These parameters, however, were not the main 360 explanatory variables for the seagrass dynamics observed in Bourgneuf Bay from 1985 – 2020. 361 Similarly, Lebrasse et al. (2022) did not find any significant relationship between seagrass long-362 363 term trends and climatic factors in a subtidal meadow in Florida. Other environmental factors such as sedimentation rate, nutrient concentration, and sediment composition have been pointed 364 out as important seagrass drivers (Guerrero-Meseguer et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2021; Soissons et 365 366 al., 2018); they were not considered here because of the absence of available long datasets at the required temporal resolution. 367

While the investigated abiotic factors were not correlated with seagrass variability in Bourgneuf
Bay, some synchronous events are noteworthy. This is the case of a drastic seagrass decline in
2003, which coincided with an intense summer heatwave in Europe (Garcia-Herrera *et al.*,
2010). The low seagrass status observed in 2010 occurred several months after the so-called
Xynthia storm, which resulted in dramatic coastal erosion and flooding in Bourgneuf Bay and

nearby towns (Chadenas et al., 2014). The limited seagrass extent during the 1980s and early 373 1990s also coincided with a higher occurrence of frost days during the preceding winter whose 374 375 influence on intertidal seagrass has been previously documented in northern Brittany (Fournier et al., 2006). Due to the absence of correlation between abiotic factors and seagrass trajectory, 376 examples of synchronous decline in seagrass and environmental parameters are however to be 377 378 interpreted with caution, all the more as it is generally easier to highlight coincident abrupt losses rather than to identify other types of changes. Nevertheless, the projected frequency increase of 379 380 extreme climatic events such as heatwaves, cold waves and droughts in the forthcoming decades 381 (IPCC, 2021) calls for a continuous monitoring of EBVs and environmental drivers. Unlike the investigated abiotic data, Brent goose abundance was significantly related to seagrass EBVs. 382 Long-term datasets of waterbirds represent valuable indicators of conservation status of coastal 383 habitats (Ogden et al., 2014; Brandis et al., 2018). In previous studies, the lack of seagrass time-384 series was highlighted as a limitation to interpret the long-term dynamics in Brent goose 385 386 population (Valéry and Schricke, 2013), as well as to document plant – herbivore relationships over large temporal scales (Balsby et al., 2017). In this regard, our work demonstrates that EO 387 makes it possible to further investigate reciprocal interactions between Z. noltei and B. b. 388 389 bernicla at the scale of a whole seagrass meadow over several decades.

390

4.2. Ecological interactions between Brent goose and seagrass

One of the most striking results of the present study was that long-term changes in the size of the Brent goose population was related with seagrass EBVs. On the first hand, the positive association between both the seagrass meadow extent and density and goose counts during the subsequent wintering season has a straightforward bottom-up interpretation: a higher seagrass

biomass (i.e., higher food supply) attracts a higher number of consumers (Ganter, 2000). 396 397 Furthermore, the results from the GLMM demonstrated that the relationship between goose 398 population and seagrass was the strongest shortly after the time of the seagrass maximum, also corresponding to the month of maximal goose counts (October and/or November). On the other 399 hand, grazing pressure could be expected to negatively impact seagrass population (Sato et al., 400 401 2020). As a matter of fact, the influence of grazing by birds on seagrass can be divided into short- and long-term effects (Jacobs et al., 1981). In Bourgneuf Bay, as well as in other 402 403 temperate wintering sites, the absence of a long-lasting grazing impact could be related to differences in timing between seagrass growth and consumption by migratory birds. Brent geese 404 arrive at the end of the seagrass growing season, and depart before the start of the seagrass 405 reproduction, thus causing little impact on seagrass development (Ganter, 2000). Indeed, Brent 406 geese consume Zostera during seagrass senescent phase, and at a time of the year with a higher 407 frequency of storms. It means that seagrass biomass, if not consumed by geese, would be 408 409 eventually reduced by the decomposition of tissues by microorganisms as well as by hydrodynamical processes (Jacobs et al., 1981). 410 Our results of BIOENV and CIT evidenced a positive, long-lasting effect of Brent geese on 411

seagrass ecology, suggesting that the two species extends beyond a simple plant – herbivore consumptive effect (Heck and Valentine, 2006; Kollars *et al.*, 2017; Valentine and Heck, 1999).
Shaughnessy *et al.* (2021) experimentally demonstrated that Brent goose grazing can stimulate seagrass flowering and compensatory growth mechanisms via leaf clipping and fertilization by faecal pellets addition. Previous field experiments in the Wadden Sea showed that Brent geese could promote *Z. noltei* growth by seed propagation and sediment reworking, thus avoiding plant burial by sedimentation (Nacken and Reise, 2000). However, this observational evidence

remains to be experimentally evaluated to address the question of whether or not seagrass 419 indicators would continue to show increasing trends in the absence of Brent geese. 420 421 Interestingly, the increase in Brent goose population along the 36-years studied was also associated with an extension of their wintering season by about 2 months since the 1980s. While 422 the reasons behind a longer wintering season in Bourgneuf Bay remain unknown, the increase in 423 424 food supply likely played a role. The analysis of decadal-averaged seagrass cycles using the Landsat archive did not reveal long-term changes in seagrass phenology (comparing the 1984 – 425 426 1993 vs. 2011 - 2020 cycles), but Landsat limited temporal resolution as well as the 10-year 427 compositing process might have masked variations shorter than one month. The higher temporal resolution of the S2 time-series is expected to improve further analyses of seagrass dynamics, 428 429 thus bringing new insights on Brent goose ecology to assess whether their later departure (in case it continues to happen) impacts seagrass distribution in Bourgneuf Bay. At larger spatial scales, a 430 cross-analysis of seagrass dynamics and Brent goose migration patterns could also be performed 431 432 over several stopover sites all along the north-western European coastline to get a broader picture of the Brent goose migration flyway. While the "green wave hypothesis" (i.e., the spring 433 migration towards breeding sites is triggered by plant growth) has been recently investigated for 434 435 Branta leucopsis using MODIS time-series of terrestrial vegetation dynamics at continental scale (Shariatinajafabadi et al., 2014), to our knowledge it remains an open question in the case of 436 437 Brent goose B. b. bernicla.

438

439 **4.3.** Conservation and management perspectives

440 Beyond its regional implications in ecology and biodiversity, the present study aims at

441 demonstrating the benefits of a synergetic use of two atypical datasets: time-series of satellite

derived seagrass EBVs and bird census data. By providing continuous, long-term, and spatially 442 resolved measurements of seagrass dynamics (Paganini et al., 2016), EO made it possible to get 443 444 a consistent picture of biodiversity changes in the (seasonal) habitat of a migratory waterfowl over four decades. As both B. b. bernicla and Zostera noltei are protected species, such a 445 mutualistic plant – herbivore relation has implications for conservation because protection of the 446 447 seagrass habitat is beneficial to Brent goose. Then, documenting the ecological status of an ecosystem/meadow that can guide conservation actions expected to protect seagrass meadows, 448 449 herbivorous waterfowl, as well as a variety of marine avifauna (Stillman et al., 2015; Unsworth 450 and Butterworth, 2021). On the other hand, knowledge about the effect of the seagrass food webs is fundamental for an efficient management of these habitats (Valentine and Duffy, 2006). 451 As migratory bird, Brent geese are subjected to different biological controls along the whole 452 flyway, thus interconnecting very distant coastal ecosystems (Newton, 2007). Habitat 453 degradation or destruction in any point of the flyway can have far reaching consequences in the 454 455 Brent goose global population (Newton, 2007). As those long routes usually overpass national frontiers, the protection of biodiversity calls for coordinated conservation partnerships at 456 continental and global scales (Navarro et al., 2017). 457 458 As mentioned earlier, the mutually positive effect of the relationship between seagrass beds and geese is probably due to the fact that geese start their wintering during the senescence of the 459 460 seagrass bed. However, since the wintering period of the geese is getting longer, we can assume

a future potential phenological mismatch of this mutually positive effect. Such a temporal

462 mismatch between a predator and its trophic resource, often attributed to climate change, has

463 already been documented in different bird species, such as blue tits, great tits and pied

464 flycatchers (Burgess *et al.*, 2018).

Finally, the conservation of this "seagrass-goose tandem" seems necessary in terms of
conservation management in the coastal area. Like other herbivorous birds, geese can indeed
move to other habitats when their trophic resource becomes scarce. Leaving their original
habitats (*sensu* Martínez-Abraín and Jiménez, 2016), the substitute habitats (*sensu* MartínezAbraín and Jiménez, 2016) will be probably the peripheral agricultural fields, which may lead to
conflicts with farmers. The conservation of seagrass beds is therefore necessary so that geese are
not considered in the future as simple pest species creating agricultural damages.

472

473 **5.** Conclusions

Using a 36-year time-series of seagrass EBVs (namely seagrass extent and meadow-averaged 474 density) derived from high-resolution satellite remote sensing, we analysed the drivers of 475 biodiversity changes in an intertidal Natura 2000 and RAMSAR protected area along the French 476 477 Atlantic coast. While none of the investigated abiotic factors (solar irradiance, air temperature 478 and SST, rainfall, river flow, wave height, and sea level) was explanatory of the seagrass dynamics, we evidenced a relationship between Zostera noltei and B. b. bernicla, a migratory 479 herbivorous bird. Our results suggest that a complex and mutualistic plant-herbivore interaction, 480 481 extending beyond consumption grazing, is key in the increasing trend observed in both species over the last decades. In complement to the increase in bird abundance observed since the 1980s, 482 483 we observed a phenological change in the goose wintering season. Investigating the reasons of 484 such phenological change would require to perform a global analysis of Brent goose ecology 485 along its entire flyway, from the Taymyr Peninsula to Arcachon Bay. 486 As a proof-of-concept, our work demonstrates that the original combination of EO with bird

487 census data is of interest to develop an improved understanding of seagrass ecology and

biodiversity, as well as to assess the efficiency of any protective measures. As migratory species
use resources overpassing administrative and political boundaries, it is noteworthy to remind
here that EO makes it possible to provide seamless measures of seagrass status worldwide.

491

492 Acknowledgments

493 We thank the *Ligue de Protection des Oiseaux* for providing the Brent goose data used in this work, and more particularly the French Brent network volunteers who are mobilized each month 494 495 to carry out the counts on the entire Channel/Atlantic coastline. SST data were provided by 496 GHRSST, Met Office and CMEMS. Meteorological data were acquired from INFOCLIMAT. SSRD and height wave data were provided by the Copernicus Climate Change Service within the 497 Climate Data Store (CDS). River flow data were provided by the Eulerian Network, *Institut* 498 Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer (IFREMER) and sea level data by the 499 Service hydrographique et océanographique de la Marine (SHOM). This research was supported 500 501 by the BiCOME project (Biodiversity of the Coastal Ocean: Monitoring with Earth Observation) funded by the European Space Agency under "Earth Observation Science for Society" element 502 of FutureEO-1 BIODIVERSITY+ PRECURSORS call, contract No. 4000135756/21/I-EF. 503 504 Thanks to anonymous reviewers for their critic comments to improve the manuscript. 505

506 Author contribution

507 MLZ, PG, LG and LB conceived the ideas and designed methodology; MLZ, PG, SO, SD and LB

- collected the data; *MLZ*, *PG*, *SO*, *BFRD* and *LB* analysed the data; *MLZ* and *PG* led the writing
- of the manuscript; *LG*, *SO*, *SD*, *BFRD* and *LB* made edits to the manuscript. All authors
- 510 contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

511 **References**

- 512 Agostini, S. *et al.* (2003) "Distribution and estimation of basal area coverage of subtidal seagrass
- meadows in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon," *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 56, pp.
 1021–1028. doi:10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00327-X.
- 515 Balsby, T.J.S. et al. (2017) "Long-term patterns of eelgrass (Zostera marina) occurrence and
- associated herbivorous waterbirds in a danish coastal inlet," *Frontiers in Marine Science*,
- 517 3(JAN). doi:10.3389/FMARS.2016.00285.
- 518 Barillé, L. *et al.* (2010) "Increase in seagrass distribution at Bourgneuf Bay (France) detected by 519 spatial remote sensing," *Aquatic Botany*, 92, pp. 185–194. doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2009.11.006.
- 520 Bos, A.R. et al. (2007) "Ecosystem engineering by annual intertidal seagrass beds: Sediment
- accretion and modification," *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 74, pp. 344–348.
- 522 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2007.04.006.
- 523 Brandis, K.J. *et al.* (2018) "Decline in colonial waterbird breeding highlights loss of Ramsar
- wetland function," *Biological Conservation*, 225, pp. 22–30. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2018.06.022.
- 525 Brooks, Mollie, Ben Bolker, Kasper Kristensen, Martin Maechler, Arni Magnusson, Hans Skaug,
- 526 Anders Nielsen, Casper Berg, and Koen van Bentham. 2022. glmmTMB: Generalized Linear
- 527 *Mixed Models Using Template Model Builder*. https://github.com/glmmTMB/glmmTMB.
- Burgess, M.D. *et al.* (2018) "Tritrophic phenological match-mismatch in space and time," *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2, pp. 970–975. doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0543-1.
- 530 Calleja, F. *et al.* (2017) "Long-term analysis of *Zostera noltei*: A retrospective approach for
- understanding seagrasses' dynamics," *Marine Environmental Research*, 130, pp. 93–105.
- 532 doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.07.017.
- Chadenas, C., *et al.* (2014) "The impact of storm Xynthia in 2010 on coastal flood prevention
 policy in France," *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, 18, pp. 529–538. doi:10.1007/s11852-0130299-3.
- 536 Chadsuthi, S., *et al.* (2022). "Spatial-temporal patterns and risk factors for human leptospirosis
- 537 in Thailand, 2012–2018." *Scientific Reports* 12, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-538 09079-y.
- 539 Clarke, K.R. and Ainsworth, M. (1993) "A method of linking multivariate community structure
- to environmental variables," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 92, pp. 205–219.
- 541 doi:10.3354/meps092205.
- 542 Clarke, K.R. and Gorley, R.N. (2006). PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial (Plymouth Routines
 543 in Multivariate Ecological Research). PRIMER-E, Plymouth.
- 544 Dalloyau S., and Robin M. (2013). Distribution des Bernaches cravants à ventre sombre (*Branta bernicla bernicla*) et disponibilité alimentaire des herbiers à Zostère naine (*Zostera noltei*): vers
 546 une caractérisation de la qualité des habitats intertidaux des Pertuis Charentais. LPO, 77p.
- 547 de Iongh, H.H., *et al.* (1995) "Seagrass distribution and seasonal biomass changes in relation to
- 548 dugong grazing in the Moluccas, East Indonesia," *Aquatic Botany*, 50, pp. 1–19.
- 549 doi:10.1016/0304-3770(94)00438-R.

- de los Santos, C.B. et al. (2019) "Recent trend reversal for declining European seagrass
- 551 meadows," *Nature Communications*, 10, pp. 1–8. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11340-4.
- 552 Den Hartog, C. (1987) "Wasting disease' and other dynamic phenomena in Zostera beds,"
- 553 *Aquatic Botany*, 27(1), pp. 3–14. doi:10.1016/0304-3770(87)90082-9.
- 554 Desmonts, D. et al. (2009) "Rise in human activities on the mudflats and Brent Geese (Branta
- *bernicla*) wintering distribution in relation to *Zostera* spp. beds: A 30-year study," *Journal of Ornithology*, 150, pp. 733–742. doi:10.1007/s10336-009-0391-5.
- 557 Dolch, T. et al. (2017) Wadden Sea Quality Status Report.
- 558 Duarte, C.M. and Sand-jensen, K. (1990) "Seagrass colonization: patch formation and patch
- growth in Cymodocea nodosa," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 65, pp. 193–200.
- 560 Dunic, J.C. *et al.* (2021) "Long- term declines and recovery of meadow area across the world's 561 seagrass bioregions," *Global Change Biology*, 27, pp. 4096–4109. doi:10.1111/gcb.15684.
- Ebbinge, B.S. *et al.* (2002) "Density dependent population limitation in dark-bellied brent geese *Branta b. bernicla*," *Avian Science*, 2, pp. 63–75.
- Ebbinge, B.S. *et al.* (2013) "Population development and breeding success of Dark-bellied Brent
 Geese *Branta b. bernicla* from 1991-2011," *Wildfowl*, (Sp. Iss. 3), pp. 74–89.
- 566 El-Hacen, E.H.M. et al. (2020) "Long-term changes in seagrass and benthos at Banc d'Arguin,
- 567 Mauritania, the premier intertidal system along the East Atlantic Flyway," *Global Ecology and* 568 *Conservation*, 24, p. e01364. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01364.
- 506 Conservation, 24, p. co1304. doi:10.1010/j.geec0.2020.co1504.
- 569 Fournier, J. *et al.* (2006) "Impact de la variabilité climatique sur l'évolution spatio-temporelle
- 570 des biocénoses benthiques: le cas des herbiers médiolittoraux de phanérogames marines," in
- 571 *Annales de l'Association Internationale de Climatologie*. Epernay, France, pp. 255–260.
- 572 Ganter, B. (2000) "Seagrass (Zostera spp.) as food for brent geese (*Branta bernicla*): An 573 overview," *Helgoland Marine Research*, 54, pp. 63–70. doi:10.1007/s101520050003.
- 574 Garcia-Herrera, R. et al. (2010) "A review of the European summer heat wave of 2003," Critical
- 575 *Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 40, pp. 267–306.
- 576 doi:10.1080/10643380802238137.
- 577 Godet, L. *et al.* (2008) "Before and after wasting disease in common eelgrass Zostera marina
- along the French Atlantic coasts: A general overview and first accurate mapping," *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 79, pp. 249–255. doi:10.3354/dao01897.
- Green, A.E. *et al.* (2021) "Historical analysis exposes catastrophic seagrass loss for the United
 Kingdom," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, doi:10.3389/fpls.2021.629962.
- 582 Guerrero-Meseguer, L. *et al.* (2021) "Sediment characteristics determine the flowering effort of
- 583 *Zostera noltei* meadows inhabiting a human-dominated lagoon," *Plants*, 10, pp. 1–13.
- 584 doi:10.3390/plants10071387.
- 585 Heck, K.L. and Valentine, J.F. (2006) "Plant-herbivore interactions in seagrass meadows,"
- *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 330, pp. 420–436.
- 587 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2005.12.044.

- Horn, S. et al. (2020) "Species composition of foraging birds in association with benthic fauna in
- four intertidal habitats of the Wadden Sea," *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 233, p.
- 590 106537. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106537.
- 591 Hothorn, T., et al. (2006) "Unbiased recursive partitioning: A conditional inference framework,"
- *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 15, pp. 651–674.
- 593 doi:10.1198/106186006X133933.
- Hothorn, T., et al. (2015). Partykit: A modular toolkit for recursive partytioning in R. J. Mach.
- 595 Learn. Res. 16, 3905–3909. Available at: https://cran.r-
- 596 project.org/web/packages/partykit/index.html [Accessed April 7, 2022].
- 597 Inger, R. et al. (2006) "Using daily ration models and stable isotope analysis to predict biomass
- depletion by herbivores," *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43, pp. 1022–1030. doi:10.1111/j.1365 2664.2006.01207.x.
- 600 IPCC, 2021: Summary for Policymakers. In: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*.
- 601 *Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel*
- 602 on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger,
- N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R.
- Matthews, T. K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge
- 605 University Press. In Press.
- Jacobs, R.P.W.M. *et al.* (1981) "Grazing of the seagrass *Zostera noltii* by birds at Terschelling
 (Dutch Wadden Sea)," *Aquatic Botany*, 10, pp. 241–259.
- Jankowska, E. et al. (2019) "Stabilizing effects of seagrass meadows on coastal water benthic
- 609 food webs," *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 510, pp. 54–63.
- 610 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2018.10.004.
- Jones, B.L., *et al.* (2018) "Tracking nitrogen source using $\delta 15$ N reveals human and agricultural
- drivers of seagrass degradation across the British Isles," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, pp. 1–10.
- 613 doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00133.
- Jones, C.G., *et al.* (1994) "Organisms as Ecosystem Engineers," *Oikos*, 69(3), pp. 373–386.
- Kollars, N.M. *et al.* (2017) "Meta-analysis of reciprocal linkages between temperate seagrasses
- and waterfowl with implications for conservation," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, pp. 1–14.
- 617 doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.02119.
- Lebrasse, M.C. *et al.* (2022) "Temporal stability of seagrass extent, leaf area, and carbon storage
- 619 in St. Joseph Bay, Florida: a semi-automated remote sensing analysis," *Estuaries and Coasts*.
 620 doi:10.1007/s12237-022-01050-4.
- Martínez-Abraín, A. and Jiménez, J. (2016) "Anthropogenic areas as incidental substitutes for original habitat," *Conservation Biology*, 30, pp. 593–598. doi:10.1111/cobi.12644.
- Mathers, R.G. and Montgomery, W.I. (1997) "Quality of food consumed by overwintering pale-
- bellied Brent geese *Branta bernicla hrota* and wigeon *Anas penelope*," *Biology and*
- 625 *Environment*, 97, pp. 81–89.
- 626 Miloslavich, P. *et al.* (2018) "Essential ocean variables for global sustained observations of
- biodiversity and ecosystem changes," *Global Change Biology*, 24, pp. 2416–2433.
- 628 doi:10.1111/gcb.14108.

- 629 Nacken, M. and Reise, K. (2000) "Effects of herbivorous birds on intertidal seagrass beds in the
- 630 northern Wadden Sea," *Helgoland Marine Research*, 54, pp. 87–94.
- 631 doi:10.1007/s101520050006.
- 632 Navarro, L. M., et al. (2017). Monitoring biodiversity change through effective global
- 633 coordination. *Current opinion in environmental sustainability*, 29, 158-169.
- 634 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.02.005
- Newton, I. (2007) The migration ecology of birds. Academic Press, Waltham, Massachusetts.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-517367-4.X5000-1, 947 pp.
- Nordlund, L.M. *et al.* (2016) "Seagrass ecosystem services and their variability across genera
 and geographical regions," *PLoS ONE*, 11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163091.
- 639 Ogden, J.C. *et al.* (2014) "Waterbirds as indicators of ecosystem health in the coastal marine
- habitats of Southern Florida: 2. Conceptual ecological models," *Ecological Indicators*, 44, pp.
 128–147. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.03.008.
- Paganini, M. *et al.* (2016) "The role of space agencies in remotely sensed essential biodiversity
 variables," *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 2, pp. 132–140. doi:10.1002/rse2.29.
- 644 Papathanasopoulou, E. *et al.* (2019). Satellite-based water quality monitoring, reporting and
- management for the Water Framework Directive. *White Paper from EU Projects EOMORES & CoastObs*, 26 pp.
- 647 Percival, S.M. and Evans, P.R. (1997) "Brent Geese *Branta bernicla* and *Zostera*; factors
- affecting the exploitation of a seasonally declining food resource," *Ibis*, 139, pp. 121–128.
 doi:10.1111/j.1474-919x.1997.tb04511.x.
- Pereira, H.M. *et al.* (2013) "Essential Biodiversity Variables," *Science*, 339, pp. 277–278.
 doi:10.1126/science.1229931.
- 652 Qin, L.Z., et al. (2021). "Growth and reproductive responses of the seagrass Zostera marina to
- sediment nutrient enrichment", *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 78, 1160–1173.
- 654 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsab031
- R Core Team. 2022. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna,
 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Rasmussen, E. (1977). "The wasting disease of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) and its effects on
- environmental factors and fauna". In: McRoy CP, Hellferish C (eds) Seagrass ecosystems, a
 scientific perspective. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 1–51
- Robbins, B.D. (1997) "Quantifying temporal change in seagrass areal coverage: The use of GIS
 and low resolution aerial photography," *Aquatic Botany*, 58, pp. 259–267. doi:10.1016/S03043770(97)00039-9.
- Robin, F. *et al.* (2015) "Black-tailed godwit *Limosa Limosa Islandica* feeding on seagrass beds," *Revue d'Ecologie (Terre et Vie)*, 70, pp. 134–147.
- Sato, F. *et al.* (2020) "The influence of migratory birds on the distribution of the seagrass
 Zostera japonica," *Botanica Marina*, 63, pp. 521–525. doi:10.1515/bot-2020-0045.
- 667 Scott, A.L. et al. (2018) "The role of herbivory in structuring tropical seagrass ecosystem service
- delivery," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, pp. 1–10. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00127.

- 669 Shariatinajafabadi, M. *et al.* (2014) "Migratory herbivorous waterfowl track satellite-derived 670 green wave index," *PLoS ONE*, 9, pp. 1–11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108331.
- 671 Shaughnessy, F.J. *et al.* (2021) "Growth and flowering responses of eelgrass to simulated
- 672 grazing and fecal addition by Brent Geese," *Ecosphere*, 12. doi:10.1002/ecs2.3690.
- 673 Sheppard, J.K., *et al.* (2007) "Seagrass as pasture for seacows: Landscape-level dugong habitat
- evaluation," *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 71, pp. 117–132.
- 675 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.07.006.
- 676 Soissons, L.M. *et al.* (2018) "Latitudinal patterns in European seagrass carbon reserves:
- 677 influence of seasonal fluctuations versus short-term stress and disturbance events," *Frontiers in*
- 678 *Plant Science*, 9, pp. 1–12. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00088.
- Spalding, M. *et al.* (2003) "The distribution and status of seagrasses," *World atlas of seagrasses.*,
 pp. 5–26.
- 681 Stillman, R.A. et al. (2015) "Predicting effects of environmental change on a migratory
- 682 herbivore," *Ecosphere*, 6, p. art114. doi:10.1890/es14-00455.1.
- Taquet, C. *et al.* (2006) "Foraging of the green sea turtle Chelonia mydas on seagrass beds at
- 684 Mayotte Island (Indian Ocean), determined by acoustic transmitters," *Marine Ecology Progress*
- 685 *Series*, 306, pp. 295–302. doi:10.3354/meps306295.
- Tinkler, E., et al. (2009) "Foraging ecology, fluctuating food availability and energetics of
- wintering brent geese," *Journal of Zoology*, 278, pp. 313–323. doi:10.1111/j.14697998.2009.00578.x.
- Turschwell, M.P. et al. (2021) "Anthropogenic pressures and life history predict trajectories of
- seagrass meadow extent at a global scale," 118, pp. 1–11.
- 691 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2110802118.
- Unsworth, R.K.F. *et al.* (2012) "Solar radiation and tidal exposure as environmental drivers of
- *Enhalus acoroides* dominated seagrass meadows," *PLoS ONE*, 7, p. e34133.
- 694 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034133.
- Unsworth, R.K.F. *et al.* (2019) "Global challenges for seagrass conservation," *Ambio*, 48, pp.
 801–815. doi:10.1007/s13280-018-1115-y.
- Unsworth, R.K.F. and Butterworth, E.G. (2021) "Seagrass meadows provide a significant resource in support of avifauna," *Diversity*, 13, pp. 1–10. doi:10.3390/d13080363.
- Valentine, J.F. and Duffy, J.E. (2006) "The central role of grazing in seagrass ecology,"
- Seagrasses: Biology, Ecology and Conservation, pp. 463–501. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-2983 7_20.
- Valentine, J.F. and Heck, K.L. (1999) "Seagrass herbivory: Evidence for the continued grazing
- of marine grasses," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 176, pp. 291–302.
- 704 doi:10.3354/meps176291.
- Valéry, L. and Schricke, V. (2013) "Trends in abundance and wintering phenology of the Dark-
- bellied Brent Goose *Branta b. bernicla* in France between 1982 and 2012," *Wildfowl*, 63, pp. 57–
 73.

- 708 Waycott, M. et al. (2009) "Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal
- ecosystems," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, pp. 12377–12381.
- 710 doi:10.1073/pnas.0905620106.
- 711 Zoffoli, M.L. et al. (2020) "Sentinel-2 remote sensing of Zostera noltei-dominated intertidal
- seagrass meadows," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 251, p. 112020.
- 713 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2020.112020.
- 714 Zoffoli, M.L. *et al.* (2021) "Decadal increase in the ecological status of a North-Atlantic
- intertidal seagrass meadow observed with multi-mission satellite time-series," *Ecological*
- 716 *Indicators*, 130. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108033.
- 717

718 Supplementary material

719 Environmental datasets

720 Monthly average of air temperature (AT, in °C) and Cumulative precipitations (PP, in mm)

corresponded to *in situ* measurements acquired at the meteorological station in Saint Sauveur, Ile

d'Yeu (France) ($46.69^{\circ}N - 2.33^{\circ}W$). They corresponded to monthly measurements spanning the

- period 1/Jan/1984 31/Mar/2021. Meteorological data were downloaded from
- 724 www.infoclimat.fr.

Sea Surface Temperature (SST, in °C): The "European North West Shelf/Iberia Biscay Irish
Seas" product produced by the Copernicus Marine Service (CMEMS,

727 https://marine.copernicus.eu/) was used. The product is based on satellite data from infrared and

microwave radiometers. Its spatial resolution was 0.05° for 1/Jan/1984 - 31/Dec/2019 and 0.02°

for 1/Jan/2020 – 31/Mars/2021. The acquired SST product consisted on daily values and was

aggregated as a monthly average for the area comprising the coordinates: $46.8 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{N} - 47.1 \text{}^{\circ}\text{N}$ and

731 $2^{\circ}W - 2.5^{\circ}W.$

732 **Loire river flow (in m^3 sec⁻¹):** It corresponded to the daily average of flux of the Loire River

measured *in situ* at the station located in Monjean-sur-Loire (France) $(47.39^{\circ}N - 0.86^{\circ}W)$. This

station is located at approximately 120 km from the Loire River mouth and is the latest fluvial

- station, i.e., it is not affected by tides and, then, it provides information about freshwater inputs
- to the bay. Data were downloaded from the *Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de*

737 *la Mer* (FREMER) portal (http://www.ifremer.fr/co-

- raily en/eulerianNetwork?contextId=386&lang=en) from January/1984 March/2021. From daily
- river flow measurements, monthly averages were computed.

740	Surface solar radiation downwards (SSRD, in J m ⁻²) and Significant height of combined
741	wind waves and swell (Height waves, in m): They were produced as a part of the reanalysis
742	dataset of the fifth generation of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
743	(ERA5), provided by the Copernicus Climate Change Service within the Climate Data Store
744	(CDS) (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/search?type=dataset). SSRD corresponds to
745	the amount of total solar radiation (including direct and diffuse components) that reaches the
746	horizontal Earth surface. Heigh waves represented the surface ocean and sea waves produced by
747	wind and swell. Hourly data from Jan/1984 - Mar/2021 were downloaded in a spatial resolution
748	of 0.25° x 0.25° for SSRD and 0.5° x 0.5° for height waves, and aggregated as the monthly
749	average of the area comprising the coordinates: $46.5^{\circ}N - 47.5^{\circ}N$ and $2^{\circ}W - 3^{\circ}W$.
750	Sea level (in m): Hourly data were acquired from the Service hydrographique et
751	océanographique de la Marine (SHOM). They corresponded to measurements at the in situ
752	station closest to the seagrass meadow "L'Herbaudière" (47.025°N – 2.299°W). Missed data
753	were filled out either with measurements at the station "Pointe Saint Gildas" (47.140°N $-$
754	2.246°W) or modelled data. Hourly sea level data were monthly averaged.