



**HAL**  
open science

# Approximate Lipschitz stability for phaseless inverse scattering with background information

Vladimir Sivkin

► **To cite this version:**

Vladimir Sivkin. Approximate Lipschitz stability for phaseless inverse scattering with background information. *Journal of Inverse and Ill-posed Problems*, 2023, 31 (3), pp.441-454. 10.1515/jiip-2023-0001 . hal-03905043

**HAL Id: hal-03905043**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03905043v1>**

Submitted on 17 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Approximate Lipschitz stability for phaseless inverse scattering with background information

Vladimir Sivkin

December 17, 2022

## Abstract

We prove approximate Lipschitz stability for monochromatic phaseless inverse scattering with background information in dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Moreover, these stability estimates are given in terms of non-overdetermined and incomplete data. Related results for reconstruction from phaseless Fourier transforms are also given. Prototypes of these estimates for the phased case were given in Novikov (2013 J. Inverse Ill-Posed Problems, 21, 813-823).

**Keywords:** Schrödinger equation, phaseless inverse scattering, phaseless Fourier transform, approximate Lipschitz stability, monochromatic and non-overdetermined data.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 35J10, 35P25, 35R30, 81U40.

## 1 Introduction

In this work we continue studies on phaseless inverse scattering for the stationary Schrödinger equation:

$$-\Delta\psi + V(x)\psi = E\psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \geq 2, \quad E > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \text{ and is compactly supported.} \quad (1.2)$$

For equation (1.1), under conditions (1.2), we consider the scattering solutions  $\psi^+ = \psi^+(x, k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , such that

$$\psi^+(x, k) = e^{ikx} + \psi^{\text{sc}}(x, k); \quad (1.3)$$

$$|x|^{(d-1)/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial|x|} - i|k| \right) \psi^{\text{sc}}(x, k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

uniformly in  $x/|x|$ . In particular, we have that

$$\psi^{\text{sc}}(x, k) = \frac{e^{i|k||x|}}{|x|^{(d-1)/2}} c(d, |k|) f \left( k, |k| \frac{x}{|x|} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^{(d+1)/2}} \right) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

$$c(d, |k|) := -\pi i (-2\pi i)^{(d-1)/2} |k|^{(d-3)/2}, \text{ for } \sqrt{-2\pi i} = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-i\pi/4}. \quad (1.6)$$

The coefficient  $f = f[V]$  arising in (1.5) is known as the scattering amplitude for equation (1.1). In turn,  $|f|^2$  is known as the differential scattering cross section for equation (1.1).

Note that  $f$  is defined on

$$\mathcal{M}_E = \{k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d : k^2 = l^2 = E\} = \mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{E}}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}_{\sqrt{E}}^{d-1}. \quad (1.7)$$

We recall that in quantum mechanics complex values of  $\psi^+$  and  $f$  have no direct physical sense, whereas  $|\psi^+|^2$  and  $|f|^2$  admit probabilistic interpretations and can be measured (Born principle going back to [5]). In particular,  $|f(k, l)|^2$  describes the probability density of scattering of the quantum mechanical particle with initial impulse  $k$  into direction  $l/|l| \neq k/|k|$ .

We consider the following monochromatic phaseless inverse scattering problem for equation (1.1) under assumptions (1.2):

**Problem 1.** (A) *Reconstruct a compactly supported potential  $v$  from the differential scattering cross section  $|f[v+w]|^2$  given on some appropriate  $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}_E$  for some known compactly supported background potential  $w$  sufficiently separated from  $v$ .*

(B) Reconstruct a compactly supported potential  $v$  from the differential scattering cross sections  $|f[v]|^2$ ,  $|f[v + w_1]|^2$ , ...,  $|f[v + w_n]|^2$  given on some appropriate  $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}_E$  for some known compactly supported background potentials  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  sufficiently separated from  $v$ .

Actually, in Problem 1(A) we consider the Schrödinger equation (1.1) with  $V = v + w$ , while in Problem 1(B) we consider  $n + 1$  Schrödinger equations (1.1) for  $V = v + w_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $V = v$ .

Approximate reconstruction for Problem 1(A) in dimension  $d \geq 2$  was developed in [20], [9].

Approximate reconstruction for Problem 1(B) in dimension  $d \geq 2$  was developed, in particular, in [1], [2], [9], [18, 19], [20].

We also consider Problems 1(A), 1(B) in the Born approximation, when the phaseless scattering data are reduced to the phaseless Fourier transforms. In this respect, we continue, in particular, studies of [20, 9].

In the present work, we give the first approximate stability results for Problem 1(A) and Problem 1(B) for  $n = 1$ . Related results for reconstruction from phaseless Fourier transforms are also given. Prototypes of these estimates for the phased case were given in [16].

In addition to Problem 1, there are also other phaseless inverse problems for equation (1.1) and for related equations; see, for example, [3], [4], [7], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [21], [22], [23], [24], and references therein.

In particular, in connection with phaseless inverse scattering with background information for equation (1.1) at positive energies  $E$  for  $d = 1$ , we refer to [3] and references therein.

The main results of the present work are formulated in Section 2; see Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, Propositions 2.5 and 2.6. Preliminary results required for the proof of these theorems are given in Section 3. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are proved in Section 4. Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 are proved in Section 5.

## 2 Main results

We assume that:

$$v_1, v_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad d \geq 2, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\text{supp } v_1, \text{supp } v_2 \subseteq D, \text{supp } w \subseteq \Omega, \quad (2.1b)$$

$$D, \Omega \text{ are open convex bounded domains, } D \cap \Omega = \emptyset. \quad (2.1c)$$

We also assume that:

$$v_1 - v_2 \in W^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for some } m > d, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|v_j\|_\infty \leq N_1, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad \|v_1 - v_2\|_{m,1} \leq N_2, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$W^{m,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{u : \partial^J u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), |J| \leq m\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|u\|_{m,1} = \max_{|J| \leq m} \|\partial^J u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|u\|_\infty = \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Finally, we assume that:

$$\|w\|_\infty \leq N_1, \quad \widehat{w}(p) \geq c_1(1 + |p|)^{-\beta}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.6)$$

for some  $c_1 > 0$  and  $\beta > d$ . Here  $\widehat{w}$  is Fourier transform of  $w$ , defined by

$$\widehat{w}(p) = \mathcal{F}u(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ip \cdot x} u(x) dx. \quad (2.7)$$

For examples of  $w$  satisfying (2.6), see [2], [25].

In addition to  $\mathcal{M}_E$  defined by (1.7), we also consider its subsets  $\Gamma_E^\tau \subset \mathcal{M}_E$ , for  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\Gamma_E^\tau = \{k = k_E(p), l = l_E(p) : p \in B_{2\tau\sqrt{E}}\}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$k_E(p) = p/2 + (E - p^2/4)^{1/2}\gamma(p), \quad l_E(p) = -p/2 + (E - p^2/4)^{1/2}\gamma(p),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a piecewise continuous vector-function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , such that

$$|\gamma(p)| = 1, \quad \gamma(p)p = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $C(\mathcal{M}_E)$  denote continuous functions on  $\mathcal{M}_E$ , and  $C(\Gamma_E^\tau)$  denotes their restrictions on  $\Gamma_E^\tau$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let functions  $v_1, v_2$  satisfy assumptions (2.1)–(2.6), and  $\text{dist}(D, \Omega) > \text{diam } D$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ ,*

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq C_1 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \| |f[v_1 + w]|^2 - |f[v_2 + w]|^2 \|_{C(\Gamma_{\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 E^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\frac{m-d}{\beta+d}}, \quad (2.10)$$

for  $E \geq E_1 = E_1(D, N_1, \Omega, \beta, c_1, \tau, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ ,  $E_1$  is defined in (4.29),  $C_1 = 2K_2K_3$ ,  $C_2 = 2K_1$ , and constants  $K_1 = \tilde{K}_1(d, m)N_2$ ,  $K_2 = c_1^{-1}\tilde{K}_2(d, \beta, \varepsilon)$ ,  $K_3 = K_3(d, \beta)$  are defined in (4.25), (4.26).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let functions  $v_1, v_2$  satisfy assumptions (2.1)–(2.6). Then, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ ,*

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq C_1 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \| (|f[v_1 + w]|^2 - |f[v_2 + w]|^2) - (|f[v_1]|^2 - |f[v_2]|^2) \|_{C(\Gamma_{\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 E^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\frac{m-d}{\beta+d}}, \quad (2.11)$$

for  $E \geq E_2 = E_2(D, N_1, \Omega, \beta, c_1, \tau, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ ,  $E_2$  is defined in (4.39),  $C_1 = 2K_2K_3$ ,  $C_2 = 2K_1$ , and constants  $K_1 = \tilde{K}_1(d, m)N_2$ ,  $K_2 = c_1^{-1}\tilde{K}_2(d, \beta, \varepsilon)$ ,  $K_3 = (d, \beta, \alpha)$  are defined in (4.25), (4.26).

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are proved in Section 4.

One can see that Theorem 2.1 is a stability result to Problem 1(A), while Theorem 2.2 is a stability result to Problem 1(B) for  $n = 1$ .

**Remark 2.3.** *For the phased case, the prototype of (2.10), (2.11) is as follows:*

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq A_1 E^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f_1 - f_2\|_{C(\Gamma_{\tau\sqrt{E}})} + A_2 E^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{m-d}{d}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\tau\sqrt{E} = \tau(E)\sqrt{E} = E^{1/(2d)}$ ,  $A_1 = A_1(N_1, D)$ ,  $A_2 = A_2(N_1, N_2, D, m)$ ; see [16].

Following [16], we say that (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) are approximate Lipschitz stability estimates.

One can see that the right hand sides of (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) are sums of two terms. The first one is Lipschitz term with respect to data difference, and the second one is approximate but decaying for high energies. In addition, its decay is very fast for large  $m$ , that is for smooth  $v_1 - v_2$ .

**Remark 2.4.** *The second (approximate) terms in estimates (2.10), (2.11) are similar to the error estimates in formula (3.12) in [9] for iterative reconstructions  $u_E^j$ , when  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Note that in formulas (2.10), (2.11) the norm of difference is taken on  $\Gamma_{\tau\sqrt{E}}$ , where  $\tau$  could be very small. In fact,  $\tau$  can even decrease as  $E \rightarrow +\infty$ , but not very fast, that is  $\tau(E)E^{1/2} \geq E^\gamma$ , for  $\gamma = (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)\frac{1}{\beta+d}$ . Therefore, Theorems 2.1, 2.2 can be considered as stability results for non-overdetermined and non-complete data.

We recall that, for the case of Born approximation for small  $V$ , scattering amplitude reduces to the Fourier transform:

$$f[V](k, l) \approx \widehat{V}(k - l), \quad (k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E. \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, Fourier analogs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 can be summarized as the following result:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let functions  $v_1, v_2, w$  satisfy assumptions (2.1)–(2.6), and  $\text{dist}(D, \Omega) > \text{diam } D$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ ,*

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq C_1 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \| |\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2 \|_{C(B_{2\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 E^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\frac{m-d}{\beta+d}}, \quad (2.14)$$

for  $E \geq E_3 = E_3(D, N_1, \Omega, \beta, c_1, \tau, \varepsilon)$ . In addition, if the condition on supports is relaxed to  $\text{dist}(D, \Omega) > 0$ , then

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq C_1 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \| (|\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2) - (|\mathcal{F}v_1|^2 - |\mathcal{F}v_2|^2) \|_{C(B_{2\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 E^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\frac{m-d}{\beta+d}} \quad (2.15)$$

for  $E \geq E_4 = E_4(D, N_1, \Omega, \beta, c_1, \tau, \varepsilon)$ . Here  $C_1, C_2$  are the same as in (2.10), (2.11), and  $E_3, E_4$  are defined in (5.3).

The estimates (2.14), (2.15) follow from (2.10), (2.11) up to values of  $E_3$  and  $E_4$ .

Note that estimates (2.14), (2.15) have considerable similarity with some of results of [9] and, in particular, with estimate (1.11). These results of [9] can be specified also as the following approximate Lipschitz stability estimates:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let functions  $v_1, v_2, w$  satisfy assumptions (2.1)–(2.6), and  $\text{dist}(D, \Omega) > \text{diam } D$ . Then*

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq C_1 \tau^{\beta+d} E^{\frac{\beta+d}{2}} \| |\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2 \|_{C(B_{2\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 \tau^{-(m-d)} E^{-\frac{m-d}{2}}, \quad (2.16)$$

for  $E \geq E_5$ . In addition, if the condition on supports is relaxed to  $\text{dist}(D, \Omega) > 0$ , then

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq C_1 \tau^{\beta+d} E^{\frac{\beta+d}{2}} \| (|\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2) - (|\mathcal{F}v_1|^2 - |\mathcal{F}v_2|^2) \|_{C(B_{2\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 \tau^{-(m-d)} E^{-\frac{m-d}{2}}, \quad (2.17)$$

for  $E \geq E_5$ , where  $E_5 = E_5(\tau)$  is defined in (5.10);  $C_1 = C_1(d, \beta, c_1)$  is defined in (5.8),  $C_2 = C_2(d, m, N_1, N_2, D, \Omega, \beta, c_1)$  is defined by (5.9) for (2.16), and by (5.11) for (2.17).

Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 are proved in Section 5. These proofs are based on the explicit reconstruction formulas of [20]. The proof of Proposition 2.5 follows the scheme of proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2. The proof of Proposition 2.6 is more straightforward. This straightforward scheme can be also used for the case of Proposition 2.5. This approach leads to somewhat different constants  $C_1, C_2, E_4, E_5$  in formulas (2.14), (2.15).

### 3 Preliminaries

#### 3.1 Direct scattering

Starting from  $v$ , in order to find  $\psi^+$  and  $f$ , one can use, in particular, the Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation

$$\psi^+(x, k) = e^{ikx} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^+(x-y, k)V(y)\psi^+(y, k)dy, \quad (3.1)$$

$$G^+(x, k) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{i\xi x} d\xi}{\xi^2 - k^2 - i0}, \quad (3.2)$$

and the relation

$$f[V](k, l) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ily}V(y)\psi^+(y, k)dy, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2 = E$ ; see, for example, [6].

To deal with equation (3.1) and formula (3.3), it is convenient to use the following Agmon estimate:

$$\|\Lambda^{-s}G^+(k)\Lambda^{-s}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq a_0(d, s)|k|^{-1}, \quad |k| \rightarrow \infty, \quad s > 1/2, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the multiplication operator by the function  $(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $G^+(k)$  denotes integral operator with Schwartz kernel  $G^+(x-y, k)$ .

In particular, it follows from (3.4) that (3.1) is uniquely solvable in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for fixed  $k$ , for  $|k| = E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, s, \|V\|_{\infty, s})$ , where

$$\rho_1(d, s, N) = \max(2a_0(d, s/2)N, 1), \quad (3.5)$$

and the following estimate holds:

$$\|\Lambda^{-s/2}\psi^+(x, k) - \Lambda^{-s/2}e^{ikx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq b_1(d, s)\|V\|_{\infty, s}|k|^{-1}, \quad (3.6)$$

for  $|k| \geq \rho_1(d, s, \|V\|_{\infty, s})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Here

$$\|u\|_{\infty, s} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|)^s |u(x)|, \quad s > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} f[V](k, l) &= \widehat{V}(k-l) + \delta f[V](k, l), \\ |\delta f[V](k, l)| &\leq b_2(d, s)(\|V\|_{\infty, s})^2 E^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|k| = |l| \geq \rho_1(d, s, \|V\|_{\infty, s})$ .

In connection with (3.4), (3.6), (3.8), see [17].

#### 3.2 Estimates for direct scattering

We consider scattering potentials  $V_j$  of the form

$$V_j = v_j + w, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $v_1, v_2, w$  satisfy the assumptions of Section 2. Note that the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_j\|_\infty &= \|v_j + w\|_\infty \leq N_1, \quad \|V_2 - V_1\|_\infty = \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty, \\ \operatorname{supp} V_j &\subseteq (D \cup \Omega), \quad \operatorname{supp}(V_1 - V_2) \subseteq D, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for  $j = 1, 2$ ; see (2.1)-(2.6). Note also that:

$$\begin{aligned} |V_2 - V_1| &= |v_2 - v_1| \text{ are bounded on } D, \\ V_2 = V_1 &= w \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

We also consider

$$f_j := f[V_j] = f[v_j + w], \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.12)$$

In view of (2.1), (2.3), (2.6), (3.8), (3.9), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(k, l) &= f[V_j](k, l) = \widehat{V}_j(k - l) + \delta f_j(k, l), \\ |\delta f_j| &\leq a_1(D \cup \Omega)N_1^2 E^{-1/2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for  $(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E$ ,  $E \geq (\rho_1(d, s, \lambda_s(D \cup \Omega)N_1))^2$ , where  $\rho_1$  is defined in (3.5),  $a_1(D \cup \Omega) = b_2(d, s)\lambda_s^2(D \cup \Omega)$ ,  $s > d$ , and

$$\lambda_s(\mathcal{U}) := (1 + \max_{x \in \mathcal{U}} |x|)^s. \quad (3.14)$$

We also have the following Lemma (see [16]):

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $v_j$ ,  $V_j$ , and  $f_j = f[V_j]$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be as in (2.1), (3.9), (3.12). Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(k, l) - f_1(k, l) &= \widehat{v}_2(k - l) - \widehat{v}_1(k - l) + \Delta(k, l), \\ |\Delta(k, l)| &\leq a_2(D \cup \Omega)N_1 \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty E^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\sqrt{E} = |k| = |l| \geq \rho_1(d, s, \lambda_s(D \cup \Omega)N_1)$  and some positive  $a_2(D \cup \Omega)$ . Here  $N_1$ ,  $D$ ,  $\Omega$  are as in (3.10), (3.11).

Let  $\mu(\mathcal{U})$  be Lebesgue measure of a domain  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

We also have the following Lemma, which will be used in Section 4.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $V_j = v_j + w$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be as in Lemma 3.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &|f[v_2 + w](k, l)|^2 - |f[v_1 + w](k, l)|^2 + |(\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w})(k - l)|^2 - |(\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w})(k - l)|^2 \leq \\ &\leq 2 \left( (2\pi)^{-d} (a_1 \mu(D) + a_2 \mu(D \cup \Omega)) + a_1 a_2 N_1 E^{-1/2} \right) N_1^2 E^{-1/2} \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

$$(k, l) \in \mathcal{M}_E, \quad E^{1/2} \geq \rho_1(d, s, \lambda_s(D \cup \Omega)N_1), \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$|\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}|^2 - |\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}|^2(p) \leq 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) \mu(D) N_1 \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.18)$$

$$|\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}|^2 - |\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}|^2(p) \leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 |\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1|(p), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.19)$$

$$|\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}|^2 - |\widehat{v}_2|^2 - |\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}|^2 + |\widehat{v}_1|^2(p) \leq 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D) \mu(\Omega) N_1 \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.20)$$

$$|\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}|^2 - |\widehat{v}_2|^2 - |\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}|^2 + |\widehat{v}_1|^2(p) \leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(\Omega) N_1 |\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1|(p), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  are as in (3.13), (3.15),  $D$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $N_1$  are as in (3.10),  $\rho_1$  is as in (3.5),  $\lambda_s$  is as in (3.14),  $s > d$ .

This Lemma is a variation of Lemma 3.6 in [9].

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* Note that, for  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|z_2|^2 - |z_1|^2 = \bar{z}_2(z_2 - z_1) + z_1(\overline{z_2 - z_1}). \quad (3.22)$$

Using (3.22) for  $f_j$  in place of  $z_j$ , and (3.13), (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f_2|^2 - |f_1|^2 &= \bar{f}_2(f_2 - f_1) + f_1(\overline{f_2 - f_1}) = (\overline{\widehat{V}_2} + \overline{\delta f_2})(\widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_1 + \Delta) + (\widehat{V}_1 + \delta f_1)(\overline{\widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_1 + \Delta}) = \\ &= |\widehat{V}_2|^2 - |\widehat{V}_1|^2 + \delta f_1(\overline{\widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_1}) + \overline{\delta f_2}(\widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_1) + \delta f_1 \bar{\Delta} + \overline{\delta f_2} \Delta + \widehat{V}_1 \bar{\Delta} + \overline{\widehat{V}_2} \Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.23), using (3.10), (3.13), (3.15), (3.11), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |f_2|^2 - |f_1|^2 - (|\widehat{V}_2|^2 - |\widehat{V}_1|^2) &\leq 2a_1 N_1^2 E^{-1/2} |\widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_1| + (|\widehat{V}_1| + |\widehat{V}_2|) a_2 N_1 \|V_2 - V_1\|_\infty E^{-1/2} + \\ &+ 2a_1 N_1^2 E^{-1/2} a_2 N_1 \|V_2 - V_1\|_\infty E^{-1/2} \leq 2 \left( (2\pi)^{-d} (a_1 \mu(D) + a_2 \mu(D \cup \Omega)) + a_1 a_2 N_1 E^{-1/2} \right) N_1^2 E^{-1/2} \|V_2 - V_1\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Formula (3.16) follows from (3.9), (3.24).

Using (2.1), (2.7), (3.11), (3.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}|^2 - |\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}|^2 = \left| (\overline{\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}})(\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1) + (\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w})(\overline{\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1}) \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 |\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1|(p) \leq 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 \mu(D) \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Analogously to (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}|^2 - |\widehat{v}_2|^2 - |\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}|^2 + |\widehat{v}_1|^2 \leq \left| (\overline{\widehat{v}_2 + \widehat{w}}) \widehat{w} + \widehat{v}_2 \overline{\widehat{w}} - (\overline{\widehat{v}_1 + \widehat{w}}) \widehat{w} - \widehat{v}_1 \overline{\widehat{w}} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| (\overline{\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1}) \widehat{w} + (\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1) \overline{\widehat{w}} \right| \leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(\Omega) N_1 |\widehat{v}_2 - \widehat{v}_1| \leq 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D) \mu(\Omega) N_1 \|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Thus, (3.18)–(3.21) are also proved.

### 3.3 Phase retrieval formulas of [20]

Let  $v = v_1$  and  $w$  be as in (2.1). Then

$$\widehat{v}(p) = (\widehat{w}(p))^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\chi_{D-\Omega} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\mathcal{F}(v+w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v)|^2) - W)), \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.27)$$

and if  $\text{dist}(D, \Omega) > \text{diam } D$ , then

$$\widehat{v}(p) = (\widehat{w}(p))^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\chi_{D-\Omega} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\mathcal{F}(v+w)|^2) - W)), \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$W(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w(x+y) \overline{w(y)} dy. \quad (3.29)$$

Formulas (3.27), (3.28) were given in Section 3 of [20].

In formulas (3.27), (3.28),  $D - \Omega$  is defined by

$$D - \Omega = \{x - y, x \in D, y \in \Omega\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.30)$$

and  $\chi_{D-\Omega}$  is a function such that

$$\begin{cases} \chi_{D-\Omega}(x) = 1, & x \in D - \Omega, \\ \chi_{D-\Omega}(x) = 0, & \text{dist}(x, D - \Omega) > \varepsilon, \\ \chi_{D-\Omega}(x) \in [0, 1], & 0 < \text{dist}(x, D - \Omega) < \varepsilon, \\ \chi_{D-\Omega}(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), & \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

for some

$$\varepsilon \in \begin{cases} (0, \text{dist}(D - \Omega, B_{\text{diam } D})), & \text{for Theorem 2.1,} \\ (0, \text{dist}(D - \Omega, \Omega - D)), & \text{for Theorem 2.2.} \end{cases} \quad (3.32)$$

In particular, we have that

$$|\widehat{\chi}_{D-\Omega}(p)| \leq \frac{C(\sigma)}{(1 + |p|)^\sigma}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.33)$$

for any  $\sigma \geq 0$ , and some  $C(\sigma) = C(\chi_{D-\Omega}, \sigma) > 0$ ; see formula (82) of [20].

## 4 Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We start with the following inequalities:

$$|v_1 - v_2|(x) \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ipx} (\widehat{v}_1(p) - \widehat{v}_2(p)) dp \right| \leq I_1(\kappa) + I_2(\kappa), \quad (4.1)$$

$$I_1(\kappa) := \int_{|p| \geq \kappa} |\widehat{v}_1(p) - \widehat{v}_2(p)| dp, \quad I_2(\kappa) := \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} |\widehat{v}_1(p) - \widehat{v}_2(p)| dp, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $x \in D$ ,  $\kappa \in (0, \tau\sqrt{E})$ ,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ . Here and below  $\tau$  is the parameter of Theorem 2.1.

Estimating  $I_1$  is as in [16]. Due to (2.2), (2.3), we have that

$$|\widehat{v}_1(p) - \widehat{v}_2(p)| \leq a_3(m, d) N_2 (1 + |p|)^{-m}, \quad (4.3)$$

and, therefore,

$$I_1(\kappa) \leq \frac{c(d) a_3(m, d) N_2}{m - d} \frac{1}{\kappa^{m-d}}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $c(d) = |\mathbb{S}^d|$ .

Estimating  $I_2$  is as follows. Due to (3.28), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{v}_1(p) - \widehat{v}_2(p)| &= |(\widehat{w}(p))^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\chi_{D-\Omega}(x) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2))| \leq \\ &\leq |(\widehat{w}(p))^{-1}| (|\mathcal{F}\chi_{D-\Omega}| * ||\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2|), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $*$  denotes the convolution

$$\nu_1 * \nu_2(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu_1(x - y) \nu_2(y) dy, \quad (4.6)$$

for test-functions  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ . In (4.5) we also used the following property of the Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2) = (\mathcal{F}\varphi_1) * (\mathcal{F}\varphi_2), \quad (4.7)$$

for test-functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ .

Using formulas (2.6), (3.33), (4.5), we obtain that:

$$I_2(\kappa) \leq \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} c_1^{-1} (1 + |p|)^\beta (|\mathcal{F}\chi_{D-\Omega}| * ||\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2|) dp \leq c_1^{-1} C(\sigma) (I_3(\kappa, \delta) + I_4(\kappa, \delta)); \quad (4.8)$$

$$I_3(\kappa, \delta) = \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p'| \leq \delta\sqrt{E} + \kappa} \frac{g(p')}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma} dp', \quad (4.9)$$

$$I_4(\kappa, \delta) = \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p'| \geq \delta\sqrt{E} + \kappa} \frac{g(p')}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma} dp', \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$g(p') := ||\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)(p')|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)(p')|^2|, \quad (4.11)$$

and  $\delta\sqrt{E} \in (\kappa, 2\tau\sqrt{E} - \kappa)$ .

Applying formula (3.16) for  $|p'| \leq B_{\delta\sqrt{E} + \kappa}$  and formula (3.18) for  $|p'| \geq B_{\delta\sqrt{E} + \kappa}$ , we obtain that

$$g(p') \leq \begin{cases} G_1, & \text{for } |p'| \leq \delta E^{1/2} + \kappa, \\ G_2, & \text{for } |p'| \geq \delta E^{1/2} + \kappa, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$G_1 := |||f[v_1 + w]|^2 - |f[v_2 + w]|^2||_C + 2 \left( (2\pi)^{-d} (a_1 \mu(D) + a_2 \mu(D \cup \Omega)) + a_1 a_2 N_1 E^{-1/2} \right) N_1^2 E^{-1/2} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty, \quad (4.13)$$

$$G_2 := 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D) \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty. \quad (4.14)$$

Here and further  $\|\cdot\|_C$  denotes the uniform norm for functions on  $C(\Gamma_E^{(\delta + \kappa E^{-1/2})/2})$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is defined by (2.5).  
*Estimating  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ .* To estimate  $I_3$  defined by (4.9), we use that

$$I_3 \leq G_1 (A_1 + A_2), \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$A_1 := \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p - p'| \leq \kappa} \frac{dp'}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$A_2 := \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p - p'| \geq \kappa, |p'| \leq \delta E^{1/2} + \kappa} \frac{dp'}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma}. \quad (4.17)$$

Note that in (4.16) the condition  $|p'| \leq \delta E^{1/2} + \kappa$  is fulfilled automatically, due to the choice of  $\kappa$  and  $\delta$ .

We have that

$$\int_{|p - p'| \leq \kappa} \frac{dp'}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma} \leq \int_{0 < r \leq \kappa} \frac{c(d) r^{d-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\sigma} = c(d) B(d, \sigma - d), \quad (4.18)$$

where  $c(d) = |\mathbb{S}^d|$ ,  $B$  is the beta-function.



Therefore,

$$A_1 \leq \int_{0 < r_1 \leq \kappa} c(d)r_1^{d-1}(1+r_1)^\beta dr_1 \int_{0 < r \leq \infty} \frac{c(d)r^{d-1}dr}{(1+r)^\sigma} \leq \frac{c^2(d)B(d, \sigma-d)}{\beta+d} (1+\kappa)^{\beta+d}. \quad (4.19)$$

In addition, for arbitrary  $\alpha > 0$ , such that  $\sigma - d - \alpha \geq 1$ , we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\leq \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p-p'| \geq \kappa} \frac{dp'}{(1+|p-p'|)^\sigma} \leq \\ &\leq \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p-p'| \geq \kappa} \frac{dp'}{(1+\kappa)^{\sigma-d-\alpha}(1+|p-p'|)^{d+\alpha}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+\kappa)^{\sigma-d-\alpha}} \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dp'}{(1+|p-p'|)^{d+\alpha}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+\kappa)^{\sigma-d-\alpha}} \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \int_{r \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c(d)r^{d-1}dr}{(1+r)^{d+\alpha}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+\kappa)^{\sigma-d-\alpha}} \int_{0 < r \leq \kappa} c(d)r^{d-1}(1+r)^\beta dr c(d)B(d, \alpha) \leq \frac{c^2(d)B(d, \alpha)}{\beta+d} \frac{(1+\kappa)^{\beta+d}}{(1+\kappa)^{\sigma-d-\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Therefore, we estimate  $A_1 + A_2$  of (4.15) as

$$A_1 + A_2 \leq \frac{c^2(d)(1+\kappa)^{\beta+d}}{\beta+d} \left( B(d, \sigma-d) + \frac{B(d, \alpha)}{(1+\kappa)^{\sigma-d-\alpha}} \right). \quad (4.21)$$

In order to estimate  $I_4$  defined by (4.10), we use (4.14) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq G_2 \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p'| \geq \delta E^{1/2} + \kappa} \frac{dp'}{(1+|p-p'|)^\sigma} \leq G_2 \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \int_{r \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c(d)r^{d-1}dr}{(1+r)^\sigma} \leq \\ &\leq G_2 \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1+|p|)^\beta dp \frac{c(d)B(d, \alpha)}{(1+\delta E^{1/2})^{\sigma-d-\alpha}} \leq G_2 \frac{c^2(d)B(d, \alpha)}{\beta+d} \frac{(1+\kappa)^{\beta+d}}{(1+\delta E^{1/2})^{\sigma-d-\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

*Final part of the proof.* Let

$$\kappa = E^\gamma, \quad \gamma = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \right) \frac{1}{\beta+d}, \quad \sigma = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \right)^{-1} (\beta+d)(d+1), \quad \alpha = d, \quad s = s(d) = d+1/2, \quad \delta = \tau. \quad (4.23)$$

Then, for  $E \geq \tau^{-\frac{1}{1/2-\gamma}}$ , we have that  $\kappa \in (0, \tau\sqrt{E})$  and  $\delta\sqrt{E} \in (\kappa, 2\tau\sqrt{E} - \kappa)$ .

Using formulas (4.1), (4.4), (4.8)–(4.10), (4.13), (4.14), (4.21), (4.22), and (4.23), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty &\leq K_1 E^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\frac{m-d}{\beta+d}} + \\ &+ K_2 \left( K_3 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \left( \| |f[v_1+w]|^2 - |f[v_2+w]|^2 \|_C + K_4 E^{-1/2} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty \right) + \frac{K_5 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty}{(1+\tau E^{1/2})^{\sigma-d-\alpha}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$$K_1 := K_1(d, m, N_2) = \frac{c(d)a_3(m, d)N_2}{m-d}, \quad K_2 := K_2(w, \sigma) = c_1^{-1}C(\sigma), \quad (4.25)$$

$$K_3 := K_3(d, \beta) \leq 2 \frac{c^2(d)}{\beta+d} (B(d, \sigma-d) + B(d, d)), \quad (4.26)$$

$$K_4 := K_4(D, \Omega, d, N_1) \leq 2 \left( (2\pi)^{-d} (a_1(d, D \cup \Omega)\mu(D) + a_2(D \cup \Omega)\mu(D \cup \Omega)) + \frac{a_1(d, D \cup \Omega)a_2(D \cup \Omega)}{2a_0(d, s(d)\lambda_s(d)(D \cup \Omega))} \right) N_1^2, \quad (4.27)$$

$$K_5 := K_5(D, \Omega, N_1, d, \beta) = 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D)\mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 \frac{c^2(d)B(d, d)}{\beta+d}. \quad (4.28)$$

Let

$$E_1 = \max(E_{root}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{1/2-\gamma}}, \rho_1^2(d, s, \lambda_s(D \cup \Omega)N_1)), \quad (4.29)$$

where  $E_{root}$  is the maximal root of equation for  $E$

$$K_2 K_3 K_4 E^{-\varepsilon} + K_2 K_5 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (1+\tau E^{1/2})^{-\sigma+d+\alpha} = 1/2, \quad (4.30)$$

$\rho_1$  is defined in (3.5),  $\lambda_s$  is defined in (3.14). Note that  $E_{root}$  exists, since

$$\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon - (\sigma - d - \alpha)/2 < 0. \quad (4.31)$$

Therefore, for  $E \geq E_1$ ,

$$K_2 K_3 K_4 E^{-\varepsilon} + K_2 K_5 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (1 + \tau E^{1/2})^{-\sigma+d+\alpha} \leq 1/2. \quad (4.32)$$

In view of (4.32), the coefficient with  $\|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty$  in the right-hand side of (4.24) is less than 1/2. Therefore,

$$\|v_2 - v_1\|_\infty \leq 2K_1 E^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)\frac{m-d}{\beta+d}} + 2K_2 K_3 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \| |f_2|^2 - |f_1|^2 \|_C, \quad (4.33)$$

for  $E \geq E_1$ .

Note that  $\tau\sqrt{E} \geq \kappa$ , for  $E \geq E_1$ , see (4.23), (4.29). Therefore,  $\Gamma_E^{(\tau+\kappa E^{-1/2})/2} \subseteq \Gamma_E^\tau$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_C = \|\cdot\|_{C(\Gamma_E^{(\tau+\kappa E^{-1/2})/2})} \leq \|\cdot\|_{C(\Gamma_E^\tau)}$ . This completes the proof.

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to the Proof of Theorem 2.1 up to the following changes:

- For estimate of  $I_2$  we use formula (3.27) in place of (3.28).
- Formulas (4.5) and (4.11) are replaced by

$$|\widehat{v}_1(p) - \widehat{v}_2(p)| \leq \leq |(\widehat{w}(p))^{-1}| (|\mathcal{F}\chi_{D-\Omega}| * (|(\mathcal{F}(v_1+w))^2 - \mathcal{F}(v_2+w)^2| - (|\mathcal{F}v_1|^2 - |\mathcal{F}v_2|^2))), \quad (4.34)$$

$$g(p') := |(\mathcal{F}(v_1+w)(p'))^2 - \mathcal{F}(v_2+w)(p')^2| - (|\mathcal{F}v_1(p')|^2 - |\mathcal{F}v_2(p')|^2). \quad (4.35)$$

- Taking into account (3.20), formulas (4.13), (4.14) are replaced by

$$G_1 := \|(|f[v_1+w]|^2 - |f[v_2+w]|^2) - (|f[v_1]|^2 - |f[v_2]|^2)\|_C + 4 \left( (2\pi)^{-d} (a_1\mu(D) + a_2\mu(D \cup \Omega)) + a_1 a_2 N_1 E^{-1/2} \right) N_1^2 E^{-1/2} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty, \quad (4.36)$$

$$G_2 := 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D)\mu(\Omega) N_1 \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty. \quad (4.37)$$

- In formula (4.24), the term  $\| |f[v_1+w]|^2 - |f[v_2+w]|^2 \|_C$  should be replaced by  $\| (|f[v_1+w]|^2 - |f[v_2+w]|^2) - (|f[v_1]|^2 - |f[v_2]|^2) \|_C$ .
- In formula (4.27) constant  $K_4$  should be replaced by  $2K_4$ .
- Due to (4.37), in formula (4.28) constant  $K_5$  should be replaced by

$$K_5 = 2(2\pi)^{-2d} \mu(D)\mu(\Omega) N_1 \frac{e^2(d)B(d,d)}{\beta+d}. \quad (4.38)$$

- We define

$$E_2 := \max(E_{root}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{1/2-\gamma}}, \rho_1^2(d, s, \lambda_s(D \cup \Omega)N_1)), \quad (4.39)$$

where  $E_{root}$  is the maximal root of equation for  $E$

$$2K_2 K_3 K_4 E^{-\varepsilon} + K_2 K_5 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (1 + \delta E^{1/2})^{-\sigma+d+\alpha} = 1/2, \quad (4.40)$$

for  $K_2, K_3, K_4$  defined by (4.25)-(4.27), and  $K_5$  defined by (4.38).

- For  $E \geq E_2$  we have the following formula in place of (4.32)

$$2K_2 K_3 K_4 E^{-\varepsilon} + K_2 K_5 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (1 + \tau E^{1/2})^{-\sigma+d+\alpha} \leq 1/2, \quad (4.41)$$

for  $K_2, K_3, K_4$  defined by (4.25)-(4.27), and  $K_5$  defined by (4.38).

## 5 Proof of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6

### 5.1 Proof of Proposition 2.5

We repeat the proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 up to the following changes:

- In formulas (4.13), (4.36),  $G_1$  should be replaced by

$$G_1 := \|\ |\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2 \|_{C(B_{\tau\sqrt{E+E\gamma}})}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$G_1 := \|\ (|\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2) - (|\mathcal{F}v_1|^2 - |\mathcal{F}v_2|^2) \|_{C(B_{\tau\sqrt{E+E\gamma}})}, \quad (5.2)$$

respectively.

- Consequently, formula (4.27) should be replaced by  $K_4 = 0$ .
- We define  $E_3, E_4$  as

$$E_3 = \max(E_{root,3}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{1/2-\gamma}}, 1), \quad (5.3)$$

$$E_4 = \max(E_{root,4}, \tau^{-\frac{1}{1/2-\gamma}}, 1),$$

where  $E_{root,3}, E_{root,4}$ , are the maximal roots of the equations for  $E$ :

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 K_6 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (1 + \tau E^{1/2})^{-\sigma+d+\alpha} &= 1/2, \\ K_2 K_7 E^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (1 + \tau E^{1/2})^{-\sigma+d+\alpha} &= 1/2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

respectively; if there are no roots, we take  $E_{root,j} = 0$ , for  $j = 3$  or  $4$ . Here,  $K_2$  is as in (4.25),  $K_6 = K_5$  defined in (4.28), and  $K_7 = K_5$  defined in (4.38).

### 5.2 Proof of Proposition 2.6

We repeat the proof of Section 4.1, till to formula (4.21), where we use change (5.1). We estimate  $I_4$  of (4.10) via formula (3.19) and formula (4.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_4(\kappa, \delta) &\leq \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p'| \geq \delta\sqrt{E+\kappa}} \frac{2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 |\widehat{v}_2(p) - \widehat{v}_1(p)|}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma} dp' \leq \\ &\leq 2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1 \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p'| \geq \delta\sqrt{E+\kappa}} \frac{a_3(m, d) N_2}{(1 + |p - p'|)^\sigma (1 + |p'|)^m} dp' \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1}{(1 + \tau\sqrt{E})^\sigma} \int_{|p| \leq \kappa} (1 + |p|)^\beta dp \int_{|p'| \geq \delta\sqrt{E+\kappa}} \frac{a_3(m, d) N_2}{(1 + |p'|)^m} dp' \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2(2\pi)^{-d} \mu(D \cup \Omega) a_3(m, d) N_1 N_2 c^2(d)}{(1 + \tau\sqrt{E})^{\sigma-\beta-d} (\beta + d)} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{3}{2}\tau\sqrt{E})^{m-d} (m - d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

We fix our parameters as follows:

$$\kappa = (\tau/2)E^{1/2}, \quad \sigma = \beta + d + 1, \quad \alpha = d, \quad \delta = \tau. \quad (5.6)$$

Using formulas (4.1), (4.4), (4.8)–(4.10), (4.21), (5.1), (5.5) and (5.6), we obtain that

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty \leq C_1 \tau^{\beta+d} E^{\frac{\beta+d}{2}} \|\ |\mathcal{F}(v_1 + w)|^2 - |\mathcal{F}(v_2 + w)|^2 \|_{C(B_{2\tau\sqrt{E}})} + C_2 \tau^{-(m-d)} E^{-\frac{m-d}{2}}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$C_1 := C_1(d, \beta, c_1) \leq c_1^{-1} C(\beta + d + 1) \frac{c^2(d)}{\beta + d} \left( B(d, \beta + 1) + \frac{B(d, d)}{2^{\beta-d+1}} \right), \quad (5.8)$$

$$C_2 := C_2(d, m, N_1, N_2, D, \Omega, \beta, c_1) \leq \frac{2^{m-d} c(d) a_3(m, d) N_2}{m - d} \left( 1 + \frac{2(2\pi)^{-d} c_1^{-1} c(d) C(\beta + d + 1) \mu(D \cup \Omega) N_1}{3^{m-d+1} (\beta + d)} \right), \quad (5.9)$$

for

$$E \geq E_5 := E_5(\tau) = 4/\tau^2. \quad (5.10)$$

Formula (2.16) is proved.

Note that, increasing  $E_5$ , we can down constant  $C_2$ .

In order to prove formula (2.17), it is sufficient to replace (3.19) by (3.21) in (5.5), and (5.1) by (5.2) in (5.7). In this case  $C_2$  should be defined as

$$C_2 := C_2(d, m, N_1, N_2, \Omega, \beta, c_1) \leq \frac{2^{m-d} c(d) a_3(m, d) N_2}{m - d} \left( 1 + \frac{2(2\pi)^{-d} c_1^{-1} c(d) C(\beta + d + 1) \mu(\Omega) N_1}{3^{m-d+1} (\beta + d)} \right). \quad (5.11)$$

# Acknowledgements

The author thanks R.G. Novikov for supervising the work.

The author is supported by RSF, grant № 20-11-20261 and is a fellow of the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics “BASIS”.

# References

- [1] A.D. Agaltsov, T. Hohage, R.G. Novikov, *An iterative approach to monochromatic phaseless inverse scattering*, Inverse Problems 35, 24001 (24 pp.) (2019)
- [2] A. D. Agaltsov, R.G. Novikov, *Error estimates for phaseless inverse scattering in the Born approximation at high energies*, J. Geom. Anal. (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-017-9872-6>, e-print: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01303885v2>
- [3] T. Aktosun, P. E. Sacks, *Inverse problem on the line without phase information*, Inverse Problems 14, 211–224 (1998)
- [4] A.H. Barnett, Ch.L. Epstein, L.F. Greengard, J.F. Magland, *Geometry of the phase retrieval problem—graveyard of algorithms*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2022)
- [5] M. Born, *Quantenmechanik der Stossvorgänge*, Zeitschrift für Physik 38 (11-12), 803-827 (1926)
- [6] F.A. Berezin, M.A. Shubin, *The Schrödinger Equation*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 66, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, (1991)
- [7] V.A. Dedok, A.L. Karchevsky, V.G. Romanov, *A Numerical Method of Determining Permittivity from the Modulus of the Electric Intensity Vector of an Electromagnetic Field*, J. Appl. Ind. Math. 13, 436–446 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1990478919030050>
- [8] T. Hohage, R.G. Novikov, *Inverse wave propagation problems without phase information*, Inverse Problems 35, 070301 (4 pp.)(2019)
- [9] T. Hohage, R. G. Novikov, V. N. Sivkin, *Reconstruction from differential scattering cross section with background information*, hal-03806616 (2022)
- [10] M.V. Klibanov, *Phaseless inverse scattering problems in three dimensions*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 74(2), 392-410 (2014)
- [11] M.V. Klibanov, N.A. Koshev, D.-L. Nguyen, L.H. Nguyen, A. Brettin, V.N. Astratov, *A numerical method to solve a phaseless coefficient inverse problem from a single measurement of experimental data*, SIAM J. Imaging Sci. 11(4), 2339-2367 (2018)
- [12] M.V. Klibanov, P.E. Sacks, A.V. Tikhonravov, *The phase retrieval problem*, Inverse Problems 11, 1–28 (1995)
- [13] M.V. Klibanov, V. G. Romanov, *Two reconstruction procedures for a 3D phaseless inverse scattering problem for the generalized Helmholtz equation*, Inverse Problems, 32(1), 015005 (2015)
- [14] M.V. Klibanov, V.G. Romanov, *Reconstruction procedures for two inverse scattering problems without the phase information*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 76(1), 178-196 (2016)
- [15] B. Leshem, R. Xu, Y. Dallal, J. Miao, B. Nadler, D. Oron, N. Dudovich, O. Raz, *Direct single-shot phase retrieval from the diffraction pattern of separated objects*, Nature Communications 7(1), 1-6 (2016)
- [16] R. G. Novikov, *Approximate Lipschitz stability for non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy*, Journal of Inverse and Ill-posed Problems. 21(6), 813–823 (2013)
- [17] R. G. Novikov, *An iterative approach to non-overdetermined inverse scattering at fixed energy*, Sbornik: Mathematics 206(1), 120-134 (2015)
- [18] R. G. Novikov, *Inverse scattering without phase information*, Seminaire Laurent Schwartz - EDP et applications (2014-2015), Exp. No16, 13p
- [19] R. G. Novikov, *Explicit formulas and global uniqueness for phaseless inverse scattering in multidimensions*, J. Geom. Anal. 26(1), 346-359 (2016), e-print: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01095750v1>

- [20] R. G. Novikov, V. N. Sivkin, *Phaseless inverse scattering with background information*, Inverse Problems, 37(5), 055011 (2021)
- [21] R. G. Novikov, V. N. Sivkin, *Fixed-distance multipoint formulas for the scattering amplitude from phaseless measurements*, Inverse Problems, 38(2), 025012 (2022)
- [22] V. G. Romanov, *The problem of recovering the permittivity coefficient from the modulus of the scattered electromagnetic field*, Siberian Math. J., 58:4, 711–717 (2017)
- [23] V. G. Romanov, *Phaseless inverse problems for Schrödinger, Helmholtz, and Maxwell equations*, Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 60 (6), 1045-1062 (2020)
- [24] Y. Shechtman et al., *Phase retrieval with application to optical imaging: a contemporary overview* IEEE signal processing magazine, 32(3), 87-109 (2015)
- [25] H. Wendland, *Error estimates for interpolation by compactly supported radial basis functions of minimal degree*, J. Approx. Theory 93, 258–72 (1998)

Vladimir N. Sivkin, CMAP, CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France & Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lomonosov MSU & Center of Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Lomonosov MSU, Moscow, 119991, Russia  
E-mail: sivkin96@yandex.ru