

TiPS: Rapidly simulating trajectories and phylogenies from compartmental models

Gonché Danesh, Emma Saulnier, Olivier Gascuel, Marc Choisy, Samuel Alizon

► To cite this version:

Gonché Danesh, Emma Saulnier, Olivier Gascuel, Marc Choisy, Samuel Alizon. TiPS : Rapidly simulating trajectories and phylogenies from compartmental models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 2022, 14 (2), pp.487-495. 10.1111/2041-210X.14038 . hal-03903561

HAL Id: hal-03903561 https://hal.science/hal-03903561

Submitted on 22 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

TiPS: rapidly simulating trajectories and phylogenies from compartmental models

Gonché Danesh^{1,*}, Emma Saulnier¹, Olivier Gascuel², Marc Choisy^{3,4}, Samuel Alizon^{1,5}

¹ MIVEGEC, CNRS, IRD, Université de Montpellier

² ISYEB, UMR 7205, MNHN, CNRS, SU, UA, Paris, France

³ Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, UK

 4 Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho
 Chi Minh City, Vietnam

⁵ Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Biology (CIRB), Collège de France, CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, Paris, France

* corresponding author: gonche.danesh@gmail.com

Abstract

We introduce TiPS, an R package to generate trajectories and phylogenetic trees associated with a compartmental model. Trajectories are simulated using Gillespie's exact or approximate stochastic simulation algorithm, or a newly proposed mixed version of the two. Phylogenetic trees are simulated from a trajectory under a backward-in-time approach (i.e. coalescent). TiPS is based on the Rcpp package and therefore combines the flexibility of R for model definition and the speed of C++ for simulation execution. The model is defined in R with a set of reactions that allow us to capture heterogeneity in life cycles or any kind of population structure. TiPS converts the model into C++ code and compiles it into a simulator, which is interfaced in R via a function. Furthermore, the package allows one to define time periods in which the model parameters can take different values. This package, available on the CRAN at https://cran.r-project.org/package=TiPS, is particularly well suited for population genetics and phylodynamic studies that require generating a large number of phylogenies used for population dynamics studies.

Keywords: R package; stochastic simulations; phylogenies; compartmental models; population dynamics

Citation: Gonché Danesh, Emma Saulnier, Olivier Gascuel, Marc Choisy, Samuel Alizon. TiPS: Rapidly simulating trajectories and phylogenies from compartmental models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 2023, DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.14038

Introduction

Stochastic simulations of population dynamics are routinely used in ecology and epidemiology to generate trajectories (i.e. time series of population sizes) and genealogies that capture the relatedness between individuals (Otto and Day, 2007; Keeling and Rohani, 2008; Lenormand et al., 2009). The increasing amount of genetic data is fuelling interest in linking population dynamics and genealogies because the former can leave footprints in individuals' genomes (Grenfell et al., 2004; Volz et al., 2013; Frost et al., 2015). Such phylodynamics studies involve computer-intensive methods that can require the simulation of many trajectories and genealogies (Ratmann et al., 2012; Gascuel et al., 2015; Saulnier et al., 2017).

A common method to simulate population dynamics trajectories is Gillespie's exact stochastic simulation algorithm (SSA) (Gillespie, 1976), which is rooted in probability theory (Kurtz, 1970). In the R software environment, it is implemented in packages such as GillespieSSA (Pineda-Krch, 2008), adaptivetau (Johnson, 2014), or epimdr (Bjørnstad, 2018). The computational speed of these software packages is facilitated by the fact that they do not keep track of the history of the process, that is the trajectory. Conversely, in this same environment, geiger (Pennell et al., 2014), phytools (Revell, 2012), ape (Paradis and Schliep, 2019), and TreeSim can simulate phylogenies. However, the underlying model is often very simple, e.g. birth-death model. Also in R, some packages such as nosoi (Lequime et al., 2020) allow the user to implement detailed agent-based models but their computational time is slow and the outputs are difficult to compare to classical compartmental models based on differential equations.

However, some software packages can simulate both trajectories and genealogies. In R, rcolgem, which was updated to phydynR (Volz, 2012), combines an Euler-Maruyama integration and the structured coalescent to allow the user to rapidly simulate phylogenies from any compartmental model. This will be our main reference in the following in terms of accuracy and computational speed. Another exception is the software package MASTER (Vaughan and Drummond, 2013) in the BEAST2 platform (Bouckaert et al., 2014). Although MASTER is a useful tool to simulate both time series and genealogies, the specification of the model of interest in the XML language is not as intuitive as the packages of the R environment. Furthermore, although MASTER is one of the fastest options to simulate a few phylogenies (because it does not need to compile the code), its execution time quickly becomes limiting when simulating thousands (or millions) of phylogenies.

We introduce TiPS, a flexible and easy-to-use R package to rapidly simulate population trajectories and phylogenies using a backwards-in-time, i.e. coalescent, process with either pre-defined sampling dates or a stochastic sampling scheme. We also introduce a new approximate version of the Gillespie algorithm to increase the calculation speed. A brief benchmarking analysis shows that TiPS is faster than adaptivetau to simulate trajectories, especially for large populations (Fig. 3a). It is also at least one order of magnitude faster than phydynR to simulate phylogenies (Fig. 3b).

Methods

Package overview

TiPS generates two types of stochastic simulation output: population dynamics trajectories and phylogenies. These are obtained using a continuous-time individual-based model defined in R as a system of reactions. The model is first transcribed in C++ and then compiled, before being linked back to a simulation function in R thanks to the Rcpp package (Eddelbuettel and Francois, 2011). The general structure of the pipeline is illustrated in Figure 1.

The TiPS package is available on the CRAN at https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ TiPS/index.html and is maintained on GitLab at https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/ete/tips/.



Figure 1: General structure of the TiPS pipeline. The equations and outputs correspond to the *SIR* epidemiological model (Keeling and Rohani, 2008). The functions of the R package are in blue. The simulator of trajectories, which is built as a function, is in orange. The variable *traj*, in red, is the output trajectory of class *simutraj*. It can be plotted using our *plot* method. TiPS used the simulated trajectory and 100 sampling dates that we generated (variable *sampling_dates* in green) to simulate a sampled phylogeny. The output simulated phylogeny is a *phylo* class object from the ape R package (Paradis and Schliep, 2019), which can be used for plotting.

Model description

TiPS simulates trajectories from a user-specified compartmental model. These models divide the population (animals, cells, etc) into distinct categories (geographic, clinical state, etc) or so-called compartments in which the sub-population behaves uniformly. In these models, the population may progress between the different compartments.

Here, we illustrate the use of TiPS with the SIR epidemiological model, where individuals can have three clinical states: susceptible (S), infected (I), and removed (R) (Keeling and Rohani, 2008). The

model can be captured with a system of two individual-based reactions:

$$S \xrightarrow{\beta SI} I$$
 (1a)

$$I \xrightarrow{\gamma I} R$$
 (1b)

where β and γ are the transmission and recovery rates. The rate of occurrence of each reaction is indicated above the transition arrow and the corresponding population-based system of ordinary differential equations (ODE) is shown in the top-right panel of Figure 1.

A simulating function is generated from the individual-based reactions of the model of interest. These are entered by the user as a string vector (top left box of Figure 1).

Simulating trajectories

The simulation function takes as arguments a named numeric vector that contains the initial number of individuals in each compartment, a named list with the parameter values, a vector of the time limits of the simulation, and the type of algorithm to use for the simulation. Users can also enter a vector of breakpoints, which allows parameter values to vary over time. These breakpoints are indicated in the time limits vector, and the corresponding parameter values are ordered chronologically in the named list of parameter values.

Three simulation algorithms are implemented in the Rcpp simulating function:

- 1. Gillespie's Direct Algorithm (GDA, the default option) (Gillespie, 1976) is an exact algorithm that simulates the time until the next event δ_t by assuming that waiting times are exponentially distributed. A limitation is that its computational complexity scales linearly with the number of simulated events.
- 2. Gillespie's Tau-Leap Algorithm (GTA) (Gillespie, 2001) is an approximate algorithm that introduces a fixed time step τ during which the number of events of each type is assumed to be Poisson distributed. This algorithm is limited in terms of computation time if the time step is small compared to the rate at which events occur.
- 3. The Mixed Simulation Algorithm (MSA) is a new algorithm that switches from GDA to GTA depending on the respective values of δ_t and τ . The algorithm switches from GDA to GTA if 10 successive estimations of δ_t are shorter than $\tau/10$. The algorithm switches back to GDA if the total number of realised events is less than the number of possible events. The MSA shares similarities with the slow-scale stochastic simulation algorithm (Cao et al., 2005) or the adaptive explicit-implicit tau-leaping method for an optimised tau-leap selection (Cao et al., 2007).

The output of a trajectory simulation is a named list containing the simulated trajectory and some details about the model and the simulation, such as the reactions of the model, the parameter values, the time range, the algorithm used to perform simulations, the time step in case the algorithm is the GTA or the MSA, and, for reproducibility purposes, the random seed used to initialise a pseudorandom number generator. If specified by the user, instead of storing the trajectory as a Rcpp data frame, TiPS can write the simulated trajectory (i.e. at each time step, the time, the size of each compartment, the reaction and the number of reactions simulated) directly into an tab delimited output file.

Simulating phylogenies

A phylogeny is the representation of the evolutionary history and relationships between genes, organisms, or groups of organisms. The root of the tree represents the ancestor of all lineages and the leaves represent the most recent descendants of that ancestor. TiPS simulates binary phylogenies rooted in time.

Infectious disease transmission models

In the context of infectious diseases, under models such as the SIR model, when simulating phylogenies, TiPS traces back the epidemiological history of a sampled pathogen infection, where a forward-in-time transmission event is represented as a coalescence between two lineages under a backward-in-time process, and an end of infection (e.g. caused by death, treatment, or sampling) is represented by a leaf in the phylogeny.

In the SIR model, the pathogen is only present in infected individuals that belong to the I compartment, referred to as 'deme' individuals in the deme compartment. The other compartments (i.e. S and R) are referred to as 'non deme' compartments.

There are four types of reactions in epidemiological models in TiPS:

- transmission: this reaction corresponds to the generation of a new deme individual (Equation 1a in the SIR model);
- removal: this corresponds to the removal of a deme individual from its deme compartment or the displacement of a deme individual from its deme compartment to a non-deme compartment caused, for example due to treatment or death (Equation 1b the SIR model);
- migration: this corresponds to the displacement of a deme individual from its deme compartment to another deme compartment (e.g. from exposed to infectious following the reaction $E \to I$ in a SEIR model);
- sampling: this corresponds to the sampling of deme individuals (I in the SIR model) and leads to the end of the infectious period (e.g. through quarantine or change of behaviour).

Note that not all deme individuals can be sampled. For example, in an SEIR model where the E and I individuals are demes, one can consider that only infectious I individuals can be sampled. In other models, the sampled individuals can be only hospitalised individuals, or those in a chronic phase of a disease.

TiPS uses a coalescent approach (Kingman, 1982) to simulate phylogenetic trees based on trajectories, which correspond to a list of dated events (or 'reactions') and sampling dates (e.g. based on observed data). Each node in the simulated phylogenetic tree is associated with a state, i.e. a compartment name, and its height, i.e. its distance to the root.

TiPS can simulate the phylogeny of the entire trajectory or that of a sampled phylogeny if sampling dates are provided. These dates can either be provided by the user as a vector (bottom left panel of Fig. 1) or generated at random during trajectory simulation by adding a sampling reaction in the model. Since parameter values can vary over time, TiPS can reproduce temporal variations in sampling intensity. If sampled individuals can belong to more than one deme compartment, the user can choose between defining the state associated with each sampling event or defining a proportion of sampling events associated with each state. In the last case, TiPS randomly associates a state with each sampling date.

After this preprocessing, the sampling dates are organised as a named list containing a vector of decimal dates (with a column named 'Date') and a vector containing the reactions indicating the state of individuals to sample (a column named 'Reaction'). TiPS then incorporates these pieces of information into the recorded trajectory (which also contains dates and reactions) in chronological order.

The simulation of a phylogeny (sampled or not) starts from the most recent sampling date (or the most recent death event) and progresses through the simulated trajectory backward-in-time.

Each of the four types of reactions previously mentioned can result in a modification in the simulated tree. A forward-in-time transmission event can be represented by a coalescence between two lineages under a backwards-in-time process (or a branching under a forward-in-time process). A sampling event interrupting the transmission of the pathogen is represented as an external node (or leaf) in the phylogeny, and a death event, if observed, is also represented as a leaf. A migration event of an



Figure 2: Tree simulation for a SIR model. The trajectory shows the series of epidemiological events and sampling events. The phylogeny represents the epidemiological history of the individuals carrying sampled viruses in solid lines. The rest of the history is shown in dashed lines. In this representation, at each transmission event (branching), the donor's pathogen lineage is deviated to the right side and the recipient's (i.e. newly infected individual) pathogen lineage to the left side.

individual from one deme compartment to another will change and update the state of its corresponding lineage.

In some cases, especially with the tau-leap method, more than one event may occur on the same date (e.g. three new transmission events). To determine the number of events that lead to a change in the phylogeny (e.g. a coalescence), we draw a number from a hypergeometric distribution, which is appropriate since it describes the number of successful events (k) when drawing n times (without replacement) from a total population of size N that contains K elements corresponding to a 'success'. For example, when a transmission event in the trajectory is encountered in the phylogeny simulation, the algorithm draws, using the hypergeometric distribution, the number of sampled child lineages and the number of sampled parent lineages to coalesce into one sampled parent lineage. If, at time t, the number of sampled individuals (i.e., sampled nodes) is smaller than the number of simulated transmission events (n) in the trajectory, then the number of coalescence events between two sampled lineages is smaller than n. Therefore, all transmission event is encountered, the algorithm draws the number of sampled lineages associated with a change in state. Fig. 2 illustrates how the tree is simulated from the trajectory and further details can be found in the Appendix.

The output simulated phylogeny is an R object of class *phylo* as defined in the ape package (Paradis and Schliep, 2019). The simulated phylogenetic tree can be written in an output file in Newick (by default) or Nexus format if asked and specified by the user.

An illustration of how to simulate a phylogeny can be found in the 'Simulating phylogenies' box in Fig. 1 and in the Appendix for a logistic growth ecological model.

Ecological population dynamics models

The use of TiPS to simulate phylogenetic trees can also be applied to ecological population dynamics models. As in epidemiological models, there are 4 types of reactions: generation of new demes, migrations, removals, and samplings.

For example, TiPS can simulate the demographic history and the underlying phylogeny of two populations $(N_1 \text{ and } N_2)$ belonging to the same species living in different patches under a logistic growth assumption. In such a case, both compartments are deme compartments. The generation of a new deme individual (or 'birth') in a compartment depends on the growth rate and on the population size, and can be represented as coalescence between two lineages in a backward-in-time process. Migration corresponds to the actual migration of a deme individual from one patch to the other and leads to an update of the state of the corresponding lineage in the tree. A removal event corresponds to the death and, if observed, is represented as a leaf. Finally, sampling events correspond to an observation process that interrupts the biological process (e.g. birth) and are also represented as leaves in the tree. These events can correspond to the sterilisation or capture of the sampled individuals.

Table 1: Description of demes and reactions for an ecological and an epidemiological model. 'ODEs' stands for ordinary differential equations.

Model	Description	ODEs	Deme	Non-deme	Individual-based	Tree deme individual-based	Tree reaction
			compartments	compartments	reactions	reactions	type
N_1N_2	Logistic growth in two patches model :		N_1, N_2	-	$\emptyset \xrightarrow{r_1 N_1(1-N_1/K_1)} N_1$	$N_1 \rightarrow N_1 + N_1$	new deme generation
	Two population from the same species	$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = r_1 N_1 (1 - \frac{N_1}{K_1}) + \mu (N_2 - N_1)$			$\emptyset \xrightarrow{r_2 N_2(1-N_2/K_2)} N_2$	$N_2 \rightarrow N_2 + N_2$	new deme generation
	that live in different patches (1 and 2)	$\frac{dN_2}{dt} = r_2 N_2 (1 - \frac{N_2}{K_2}) + \mu (N_1 - N_2)$			$N_1 \xrightarrow{\mu N_1} N_2$	$N_1 \rightarrow N_2$	migration
	that are linked by migration events.				$N_2 \xrightarrow{\mu N_2} N_1$	$N_2 \rightarrow N_1$	migration
					$N_1 \xrightarrow{dN_1} \emptyset$	$N_1 \rightarrow \emptyset$	removal
					$N_2 \xrightarrow{dN_2} \emptyset$	$N_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$	removal
SEIR	Epidemiological model:		E, I	S, R	$S \xrightarrow{\beta SI} E$	$I \rightarrow I + E$	new deme generation
	S: susceptible	$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$			$E \xrightarrow{\sigma E} I$	$E \rightarrow I$	migration
	E: exposed	$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta SI - \sigma E$			$I \xrightarrow{\gamma I} R$	$I \rightarrow \emptyset$	removal
	I: infectious	$\frac{dI}{dt} = \sigma E - \gamma I$					
	R: removed	$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I$					

Table 1 illustrates demes and reaction types for specific ecological and epidemiological models.

Benchmarking

To evaluate TiPS's performances, we designed a benchmarking analysis on both modules of the software package, i.e. the trajectory and the phylogeny simulators, comparing with existing R packages (adaptivetau and phydynR). Table S1 summarises the main features of the approaches used.

We first evaluated the computational speed and the accuracy of the trajectories (i.e. populating dynamics). For five initial population sizes and three different R packages, we simulated 10,000 trajectories of the epidemiological Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) model and measured the execution time.

We then compared the time to simulate phylogenies under an SIR model. We varied the sampling proportions to obtain target phylogenies of various sizes (10, 100, 500, 1000, and 1500 leaves). We simulated 1,000 phylogenies under each sampling scheme using phydynR and our package.

Furthermore, assuming a more detailed epidemiological model with two host types, as described in (Danesh et al., 2021), we simulated an epidemiological trajectory using the tau-leap algorithm and a complete phylogeny, such that each end of infection event corresponded to a leaf in the tree. The simulation generated a full transmission chain corresponding to a phylogeny with 154, 507 leaves. From this complete phylogeny, we generated 10 subtrees by randomly sampling and keeping 1,000 leaves for each subtree. We then used TiPS and phydynR to simulate 1,000 phylogenies with each package under the same epidemiological model with the same parameter values. Note that the 1,000 dates of each target subtree were imposed when simulating these phylogenies using a backwards-in-time approach. To compare the 2,000 simulated phylogenies with the target one, we computed 60 summary statistics for each of them using the methods described in (Saulnier et al., 2017).

Results

In Figure 3(a), we show the median execution time for one trajectory simulation and the 50% interquartile envelope. TiPS and adaptivetau rely on Gillespie's Direct method (GDA), whereas phydynR





Figure 3: Benchmark analyses of trajectories simulations. a) Median computation speed and 50% confidence intervals (CI) for one simulation using GDA or EMI methods, b) median computation speed and 50% CI for one simulation using approximating GDA methods, and c) mean resulting trajectories for prevalence time series and the 90% CI. GDA stands for Gillespie's Direct Method and EMI for Euler-Maruyama Integration. 10,000 trajectories simulations were performed under an epidemiological SIR model, for five initial population sizes N varying from 10^3 to 10^7 and with parameter values $\mathcal{R}_0 = 2$, $\gamma = 1/3$ and $\beta = \frac{\mathcal{R}_0 \gamma}{N}$.

uses the Euler-Maruyama integration (EMI). As expected, the population size, and hence the number of events per unit of time, increases the execution time for GDA-based packages but not for the EMI-based package. However, TiPS remains faster than the other two software packages for large populations (10⁷ individuals). In Figure 3(b), we perform the same simulations using approximations of the Gillespie algorithm with fixed time steps. The computational speed of this GTA implemented in TiPS and adaptivetau is comparable and much faster than the GDA. Our new MSA algorithm outperforms existing methods and improves computational speed compared to our GTA, especially for small population sizes.

In Figure 3(c), we show the deterministic trajectory and, for each algorithm used, the mean simulated trajectory and its 90% confidence envelope. Among the packages studied, TiPS (in blue) is the one that yields the trajectories that are the closest to the deterministic prediction (in black). Note that there is a temporal shift for all the stochastic simulations, with a more rapid increase in population size compared to the deterministic model. This comes from the fact that stochasticity tends to favours trajectories that spread faster than average because they are less likely to go extinct. This known effect in population genetics models has also been described in epidemiology (Hartfield and Alizon, 2014).

We then analyse the median execution time to simulate phylogenies for each tool and each sampling



Figure 4: Benchmarking analysis of phylogenies simulations. a) Median computation speed for simulating one phylogeny of a given size and b) First two axes of a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of phylogenies summary statistics. In a, the shaded area shows the 95% confidence intervals. In b, the cross shows the target phylogeny. The three colors represent three analyses using different target sub-trees. The phylogenies summary statistics used for the PCA are the same as in (Saulnier et al., 2017).

scheme (Figure 4(a)). TiPS's median simulation time is several orders of magnitude faster than that of the other method, with a more pronounced advantage for large phylogenies.

Regarding the accuracy of the simulations, we see in Figure 4(b) that the cross, which indicates the projection of the summary statistics from the target phylogeny, is contained in the envelope containing 90% of the phylogenies simulated using TiPS but not of those simulated using phydynR, and that for three different target phylogenies used represented by distinct colours. We observed the same results for seven other target phylogenies used. This means that the target phylogeny cannot be distinguished from phylogenies simulated using the same model with the same parameters using our package. The discrepancy observed for phydynR could originate from the trajectory, which strongly differs from the deterministic prediction (Figure 3c). Furthermore, TiPS is favoured in this analysis because the same algorithm (Gillespie's Tau-Leap) was used to simulate the target phylogeny and the 1,000 sub-trees. Supplementary Figure S7 shows the distributions of summary statistics computed from the phylogenies simulated using TiPS and phydynR for each analysis using a different target tree.

Discussion

We developed a flexible R package to rapidly simulate trajectories and phylogenies from compartmental models. Its structure allows the user to include several sources of heterogeneity between individuals or between populations, e.g. different life stages or metapopulation structures. The simulation of the phylogeny relies on the trajectory and involves a coalescent approach.

Our benchmarking analyses show that TiPS is comparable to or outperforms existing R packages in terms of speed when generating numerous trajectories or phylogenies. The same is true for the accuracy of the simulation outputs.

The first asset of this software package is its flexibility since it can readily be used for any compartmental model. Another asset is its computation speed as it can simulate trajectories in a matter of milliseconds on a regular desktop computer. These properties have already been used for infection phylodynamics studies involving Approximate Bayesian Computing (ABC) (Danesh et al., 2021) or to illustrate the effect of superspreading events (Alizon, 2021).

In the context of infectious diseases, when simulating phylogenetic trees with TiPS, we assume that the time of a transmission event is the same as the time of coalescence in the tree. However, this is a simplification since the time of coalescence in the phylogenetic tree should take place before transmission, during the infection of the 'donnor' host (Ypma et al., 2013). A variety of withinhost evolutionary processes may further weaken this assumption. This limitation is common to virus phylodynamics studies and an active line of research (Volz et al., 2017).

Beyond epidemiology, this software package can be used more broadly to simulate population dynamics and associated genealogies. Some future extensions of TiPS will consist in introducing non-Markovian dynamics, simulating multifurcating phylogenies, and implementing other optimised stochastic simulation algorithms (Cao et al., 2005, 2007).

References

Alizon, S. 2021. Superspreading genomes. Science 371(6529):574-575.

Bjørnstad, O. N. 2018. Epidemics. Models and Data using R. Springer.

- Bouckaert, R., J. Heled, D. Kühnert, T. Vaughan, C. H. Wu, D. Xie, M. A. Suchard, A. Rambaut and A. J. Drummond. 2014. BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. PLoS Computational Biology 10(4):1–6.
- Cao, Y., D. T. Gillespie and L. R. Petzold. 2005. The slow-scale stochastic simulation algorithm. The Journal of Chemical Physics 122(1):014116.
- Cao, Y., D. T. Gillespie and L. R. Petzold. 2007. Adaptive explicit-implicit tau-leaping method with automatic tau selection. Journal of Chemical Physics 126(22):1–10.
- Cumming, D. H., M. B. Fenton, I. L. Rautenbach, R. D. Taylor, G. S. Cumming, M. S. Cumming, J. M. Dunlop, A. G. Ford, M. D. Hovorka, D. S. Johnston et al. 1997. Elephants, woodlands and biodiversity in southern Africa. South African Journal of Science 93(5):231–236.
- Danesh, G., V. Virlogeux, C. Ramière, C. Charre, L. Cotte and S. Alizon. 2021. Quantifying transmission dynamics of acute hepatitis C virus infections in a heterogeneous population using sequence data. PLoS Pathogens 17(9):e1009916.
- Eddelbuettel, D. and R. Francois. 2011. Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ Integration. J Stat Software 40(1):1–18.
- Frost, S. D., O. G. Pybus, J. R. Gog, C. Viboud, S. Bonhoeffer and T. Bedford. 2015. Eight challenges in phylodynamic inference. Epidemics 10:88–92.
- Gascuel, F., R. Ferrière, R. Aguilée and A. Lambert. 2015. How Ecology and Landscape Dynamics Shape Phylogenetic Trees. Systematic Biology 64(4):590–607.

- Gillespie, D. T. 1976. A general method for numerically simulating the stochastic time evolution of coupled chemical reactions. Journal of Computational Physics 22(4):403–434.
- Gillespie, D. T. 2001. Approximate accelerated stochastic simulation of chemically reacting systems. Journal of Chemical Physics 115(4):1716–1733.
- Grenfell, B. T., O. G. Pybus, J. R. Gog, J. L. N. Wood, M. Janet, J. A. Mumford and E. C. Holmes. 2004. Unifying the Epidemiological and Evolutionary Dynamics of Pathogens. Science 303(5656):327–332.
- Hartfield, M. and S. Alizon. 2014. Epidemiological feedbacks affect evolutionary emergence of pathogens. Am Nat 183(4):E105–E117.
- Johnson, P. 2014. adaptivetau: efficient stochastic simulations in R. R Package Version.
- Keeling, M. J. and P. Rohani. 2008. Modeling infectious diseases in humans and animals. Princeton University Press.
- Kingman, J. F. C. 1982. The coalescent. Stochastic processes and their applications 13(3):235-248.
- Kurtz, T. G. 1970. Solutions of ordinary differential equations as limits of pure jump markov processes. Journal of Applied Probability 7(1):49–58.
- Lenormand, T., D. Roze and F. Rousset. 2009. Stochasticity in evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24(3):157–165.
- Lequime, S., P. Bastide, S. Dellicour, P. Lemey and G. Baele. 2020. nosoi: A stochastic agent-based transmission chain simulation framework in r. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 11(8):1002–1007.
- Otto, S. and T. Day. 2007. A biologist's guide to mathematical modeling in ecology and evolution. Princeton University Press.
- Paradis, E. and K. Schliep. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics 35:526–528.
- Pennell, M., J. Eastman, G. Slater, J. Brown, J. Uyeda, R. Fitzjohn, M. Alfaro and L. Harmon. 2014. geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 30:2216–2218.
- Pineda-Krch, M. 2008. GillespieSSA: implementing the stochastic simulation algorithm in R. Journal of Statistical Software 25(12):1–18.
- Ratmann, O., G. Donker, A. Meijer, C. Fraser and K. Koelle. 2012. Phylodynamic inference and model assessment with approximate bayesian computation: influenza as a case study. PLoS Comput Biol 8(12):e1002835.
- Revell, L. J. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3:217–223.
- Saulnier, E., O. Gascuel and S. Alizon. 2017. Inferring epidemiological parameters from phylogenies using regression-ABC: A comparative study. PLOS Computational Biology 13(3):e1005416.
- Vaughan, T. G. and A. J. Drummond. 2013. A stochastic simulator of birth-death master equations with application to phylodynamics. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30(6):1480–1493.
- Volz, E. M. 2012. Complex population dynamics and the coalescent under neutrality. Genetics 190(1):187-201.
- Volz, E. M., E. Romero-Severson and T. Leitner. 2017. Phylodynamic Inference across Epidemic Scales. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34(5):1276–1288.
- Volz, E. M., K. Koelle and T. Bedford. 2013. Viral phylodynamics. PLoS Comput Biol 9(3):e1002947.
- Whyte, I., R. van Aarde and S. L. Pimm. 1998. Managing the elephants of Kruger National Park. Animal Conservation 1(2):77–83.
- Ypma, R. J. F., W. M. van Ballegooijen and J. Wallinga. 2013. Relating Phylogenetic Trees to Transmission Trees of Infectious Disease Outbreaks. Genetics 195(3):1055–1062.

Supplementary Information

S1 Phylogenies simulation algorithm

TiPS simulates rooted and binary phylogenies, which means that every internal node has exactly two daughter nodes. The root of the tree represents the ancestor of all lineages, and the tips of the branches (or leaves) represent the most recent descendants of that ancestor. A coalescence between two lineages using a backwardin-time process is equivalent to a branching event in the phylogeny in the forward-in-time process. Under the hypothesis of neutral evolution that phylodynamics relies on, a branching event can represent, for example, a viral transmission event, and a leaf can represent the end of viral infection. Note that we will refer to the height of a node as its distance to the root.

In this section, we distinguish two types of compartments: the deme compartments, where individuals contribute directly or indirectly to the phylogeny, and the non-deme compartments that cannot be placed in the genealogical process. For example, in a SIR epidemiological model, the deme and non-deme compartments would be respectively the I compartment and the S and R compartments. Each deme compartment is denoted X_i , with *i* ranging from 1 to the number of deme compartments in the model. The sampled individuals belong to the sub-compartment X'_i ($X'_i \subset X_i$) and are all associated with a leaf in the tree. We also introduce X''_i , the sub-compartment of X'_i ($X''_i \subset X'_i$) corresponding to individuals in X'_i that have not yet been but may be sampled in a backwards-in-time process. The discrete size of compartments X_i , X'_i and X''_i at time t are denoted as $|X_i|$, $|X'_i|$ and $|X''_i|$, respectively. A non-deme compartment is denoted Z and its discrete size |Z|.

The tree simulation starts from the last (i.e. most recent) sampling date and progresses through the simulated trajectory backward-in-time. The number of events that lead to a change in the phylogeny is drawn from a hypergeometric distribution. The hypergeometric distribution is appropriate as it describes the number of events k from a sample n drawn from a total population N without replacement. Each of the four types of reactions (sampling, new deme generation (or birth), removal, and migration) can result in a modification in the simulated tree : a new external node (or leaf) or the coalescence of two lineages.

Sampling event. We define a sampling event as an event that interrupts the biological process of an individual in the population of interest and a re-sampling event as an observation event. In an ecological context, the sterilisation of an animal would be a sampling event whereas marking the animal would be a re-sampling event. In an epidemiological context, that is, when tracing back the history of pathogen spread, a sampling event usually corresponds to a host individual being detected. Usually, this is assumed to coincide with the end of the infectious period, because the individual will be isolated or use adequate protection to prevent further transmission. However, if this assumption does not apply, it is possible to treat the event as a re-sampling event, such that the host individual will continue to transmit the pathogen to other individuals.

When we know the sampling dates, we know the number of sampling events occurring at time t (n_{rep}^S) and, therefore, the number of tips to create with height t. However, since we allow sampled lineages to continue to have an offspring, we need to determine if the nodes we sampled at time t are associated with re-sampling events or not (i.e. if they should be linked to a node that has already been sampled after time t in our coalescent approach). The number of re-sampling (n_{RS}) and sampling events (n_S) at time t is governed by the following relationships:

$$n_{RS} \sim \text{HyperGeom}\left(n_{\text{rep}}^{S}, |X_i''|, |X_i| - (|X_i'| - |X_i''|)\right)$$
(S1a)

$$n_S = n_{\rm rep}^S - n_{RS} \tag{S1b}$$

where n_{rep}^S is the total number of phylogeny nodes generated at t. Using the hypergeometric distribution, we compute the number of re-sampling events n_{res} if we sample n_{rep}^S times without replacement in a sample of size $|X_i| - (|X'_i| - |X''_i|)$ containing $|X''_i|$ individuals. The number of 'classical' samplings, n_S , is the difference between n_{RS} and the number of tips to be generated (n_{rep}^S) .

In the case of a re-sampling, we randomly pick a node from X''_i (the pool of individuals who have not yet been sampled), update its height to t, and link it to a node from X'_i (the pool that has been sampled). Each re-sampling event decreases $|X''_i|$ by one. In the case of a 'classical' sampling event, a new node with height t is created in X'_i and $|X'_i|$ increases by one.

Birth event. A birth reaction corresponds to the generation of a new individual of the deme and can be written as follows: $X_i \rightarrow X_j + X_i$ where *i* and *j* range from 1 to the number of deme compartments. The birth

reaction can also be written as $Z + X_i \to X_j + X_i$ if it includes a non-deme individual. In this section, we will refer to a donor lineage as the parent lineage and a recipient lineage as the child lineage. A birth reaction leads to one of two types of modification in the tree: a coalescence or an 'invisible' coalescence. A coalescence corresponds to the coalescence of two sampled lineages from two individuals, the recipient (or child) and the donor (or parent), into one sampled individual lineage (the donor). An 'invisible' coalescence is defined as the coalescence of the sampled lineage of the recipient (here X'_j) and an unsampled lineage of the donor (X''_j) into one unsampled lineage (the donor, X''_i).

First, we need to determine the number of recipient lineages $n_{\text{recipient}}$. Again, assuming a hypergeometric distribution, we compute the number of recipient lineages $n_{\text{recipient}}$ if we sample n_{rep} times without replacement in a sample of size $|X_j|$ containing $|X'_j|$ individuals (see equation S2a). If there are no recipient lineages $(n_{\text{recipient}} = 0)$, there is no coalescence or invisible coalescence and, therefore, no change in the tree. Otherwise, we need to determine the number of donor lineages n_{donor} . Since the sampling is performed without replacement, the total sample size is updated to $|X_j| - n_{\text{rep}}$ and the number of daughter lineages Y represented by nodes that are available for the coalescence becomes $|X'_j| - n_{\text{recipient}}$. Thus, we compute the number of donor lineages n_{donor} if we sample n_{rep} times without replacement in a sample of size $|X_j| - n_{\text{rep}}$ containing $|X'_j| - n_{\text{recipient}}$. Thus, we compute the number of donor lineages n_{donor} if we sample n_{rep} times without replacement in a sample of size $|X_j| - n_{\text{rep}}$ containing $|X'_j| - n_{\text{rep}}$ containing $|X'_j| - n_{\text{rep}}$ containing $|X'_j|$ individuals with i = j S2b, or in a sample of size $|X_j|$ containing $|X'_j|$ individuals with $i \neq j$ S2c. Mathematically, we can write:

$$n_{\text{recipient}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |X'_j|, |X_j|)$$
 (S2a)

 $n_{\text{donor}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |X'_i| - n_{\text{recipient}}, |X_i| - n_{\text{rep}}) \quad \text{if} \quad i = j$ (S2b)

$$n_{\text{donor}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |X'_i|, |X_i|) \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j$$
(S2c)

Knowing the number of recipient lineages, we need to determine the number of coalescences (n_C) , *i.e.* the number of lineages among the n_{donor} sampled donor lineages that will coalesce with the recipient ones. The number of visible coalescences is drawn from a hypergeometric law where we sample $n_{\text{recipient}}$ times in a sample of total size n_{rep} containing n_{donor} sampled donor lineages S3a. The number of invisible coalescences, n_{IC} , is the number of remaining recipient lineages that have not coalesced with sampled donor lineages S3b.

$$n_C \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{recipient}}, n_{\text{donor}}, n_{\text{rep}})$$
 (S3a)

$$n_{IC} = n_{\text{recipient}} - n_C \tag{S3b}$$

Upon a coalescence event, $|X'_j|$ is decreased by one, a node is randomly picked in X'_i , its height is updated to t, and it is linked to another node that is removed from X'_j . For an invisible coalescence event, $|X'_j|$ is decreased by one and both $|X''_i|$ and $|X'_i|$ are increased by one. In this case, a new node from X'' is created with height t and linked to a node randomly picked in X'_j . In both cases, $|X_i|$ is decreased by one (which is already known from the trajectory).

Removal event. By default, a removal reaction does not require any tree modification. However, if we want to simulate a full tree instead of a sampled one, the sampling events will correspond to the death events, which will therefore lead to the addition of a node. In this case, the number of sampled removal events is $n_{SD} = n_{rep}$.

Migration event. Only migration events involving deme individuals can lead to a modification in the tree. These migration reactions can be written as $X_i \to X_j$, with $j \neq i$. We assume that the number of migrations that lead to a tree modification is given by the following hypergeometric distribution:

$$n_M \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |X'_j|, |X_j|)$$
 (S4a)

A migration increases $|X'_i|$ and decrease $|X'_j|$ by one. A new node is created in X'_i with height t and linked to a node randomly picked in X'_j , which is then removed from X'_j . Furthermore, X_i is incremented by one and X_j is decremented by one.

S2 Application to an epidemiological SI_aI_cR model

We illustrate the functioning of TiPS using an SI_aI_cR epidemiological compartmental model, where individuals can be susceptible (with density S), infected in acute phase (I_a) , infected in chronic phase (I_c) , and removed

(R). The corresponding ODE system is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\beta \ S(t) \ I_a(t) - \beta \ S(t) \ I_c(t) \tag{S5a}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I_a(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \beta \ S(t) \ I_a(t) + \beta \ S(t) \ I_c(t) - \sigma \ I_a(t) \tag{S5b}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I_c(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sigma \ Ia(t) - \gamma \ I_c(t) - \alpha \ I_c(t) \tag{S5c}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \gamma \ I_c(t) \tag{S5d}$$

where β is the infectious contact rate, γ the recovery rate, α the virulence, and $1/\sigma$ the expected duration of the acute phase.

The model can be described as an individual-based model using a system of reactions:

$$S \xrightarrow{\beta S I_a} I_a$$
 (S6a)

$$S \xrightarrow{\beta S I_c} I_a$$
 (S6b)

$$I_a \xrightarrow{\sigma I_a} I_c$$
 (S6c)

$$I_c \xrightarrow{\gamma I_c} R$$
 (S6d)

$$I_c \xrightarrow{\alpha I_c} \emptyset$$
 (S6e)

where the rate of occurrence of each reaction is indicated above the transition arrow.

Reactions S6a and S6b are transmission (i.e. new deme individual creation) reactions, S6c and S6d are migration reactions, and finally S6e is a removal reaction event.

TiPS will first build and generate a function to simulate trajectories using this system of reactions. Population dynamics are simulated by providing parameter values using one of the algorithm described in the main text. In the following, we focus on the model captured by system of equations S6.

In this approach, under this epidemiological model, we trace back the epidemiological history of the sampled virus. Hence, the deme compartments, i.e. the ones sampled, where the virus is present and then contributing to the phylogeny, are I_a and I_c .

Once the trajectory is simulated, to simulate a sampled phylogeny, TiPS requires the sampling dates and the proportion of the sampling dates to be associated with each type of deme. Let us assume, for example, that 15% of the sampling dates are associated with the I_a deme and 85% with the I_c deme compartment. TiPS randomly assigns each sampling date to a deme compartment based on these ratios and adds the dates to the list of events in the simulated trajectory (see Supplementary Figure S5). The R code to build the simulator, simulate a trajectory and a phylogeny are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

Deme compartments $(I_a \text{ and } I_c)$ can be composed of individuals represented by nodes in the simulated tree (belonging to the sub-compartments I'_a and I'_c). These individuals represented by nodes can also be still unsampled (belonging to I''_a and I''_c).

TiPS starts the simulation of the phylogeny from the most recent sampling event and follows the trajectory backwards in time.

If the backward step in the trajectory leads to one or multiple migration events, i.e., in this model, from the acute phase compartment (I_a) to the chronic infectious phase compartment (I_c) as in reaction S6c, the number of tree modifications is given by the following hypergeometric distribution:

$$n_M \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |I_c'|, |I_c|)$$
 (S7)

An illustration of the tree update is shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

If the backward step in the trajectory leads to a transmission reaction, two tree modifications are possible: a coalescence and an invisible coalescence. Here, we consider the donor as the host transmitting the pathogen, and the recipient the one that has been infected. In this model, there are two different demes and two different transmission reactions (see reactions S6a and S6b). Given the transmission reaction S6a, the number of coalescences n_C and invisible coalescences n_{IC} leading to tree modifications are governed by the following



Figure S1: Simulating a trajectory and a phylogeny using TiPS. The equations and outputs correspond to the SI_aI_cR model. The functions of the R package are in blue. The simulator of trajectories built as a function is in orange. The variable *traj* in red is the output trajectory. The phylogeny is plotted using using the plot method of the *phylo* class. Paradis and Schliep (2019).

relationships:

$$n_{\text{recipient}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |I_a'|, |I_a|)$$
 (S8a)

$$n_{\text{donor}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |I'_a| - n_{\text{recipient}}, |I_a| - n_{\text{rep}})$$
 (S8b)

$$n_C \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{recipient}}, n_{\text{donor}}, n_{\text{rep}})$$
 (S8c)

$$n_{IC} = n_{\text{recipient}} - n_C \tag{S8d}$$

Given birth reaction S6b, the number of coalescences and invisible coalescences leading to tree modifications are governed by the following relationships:

$$n_{\text{recipient}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |I'_a|, |I_a|)$$
 (S9a)

$$n_{\text{donor}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |I'_c| - n_{\text{recipient}}, |I_c| - n_{\text{rep}})$$
 (S9b)

 $n_C \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{recipient}}, n_{\text{donor}}, n_{\text{rep}})$ (S9c)

$$n_{IC} = n_{\text{recipient}} - n_C \tag{S9d}$$

Supplementary Figure S3 illustrates possible tree updates.



Figure S2: Migration event in the SI_aI_cR model. The evolution of a pathogen lineage in an individual during the acute phase of its infection is represented in red. The individual then enters the chronic phase of his infection and the pathogen lineage continues to evolve (represented in blue branches). The solid branch represents the evolution of the sampled pathogen lineage and the dashed branch represents the evolution of an unsampled pathogen lineage. The unsampled lineage is eventually removed and not represented in the final simulated phylogeny.



Figure S3: **Transmission events in the** SI_aI_cR **model.** Each transmission event from a living infectious individual to a susceptible individual can be represented by a branching. We show the donnor lineage on the right side of the branching and the recipient pathogen lineage (i.e. the newly-infected individual) on the left side. Dots correspond to nodes in the resulting phylogeny. Color and branch line codes are identical to Figure S2.

This model features two types of sampling reactions: the sampling of an I_a individual and the sampling of an I_c individual. If the backward step in the trajectory leads to one or multiple sampling reactions of I_a individuals, the number of re-samplings $(n_{RS(I_a)} \text{ and } n_{RS(I_c)})$ and classical samplings $(n_{S(I_a)} \text{ and } n_{S(I_c)})$ are governed by the relationships S10a and S10b, respectively. An illustration of the possible modifications in the tree are shown in Supplementary Figure S4. Note that the user can allow for re-sampling or not.

$$n_{RS(I_a)} \sim \text{HyperGeom}\left(n_{\text{rep}}^{S(I_a)}, |I_a''|, |I_a| - (|I_a| - |I_a''|)\right)$$
 (S10a)

$$n_{S(I_a)} = n_{\rm rep}^{S(I_a)} - n_{RS(I_a)}$$
(S10b)

$$n_{RS(I_c)} \sim \text{HyperGeom}\left(n_{\text{rep}}^{S(I_c)}, |I_c''|, |I_c| - (|I_c| - |I_c''|)\right)$$
 (S10c)

$$n_{S(I_c)} = n_{\rm rep}^{S(I_c)} - n_{RS(I_c)}$$
(S10d)



Figure S4: **Samplings in the** SI_aI_cR **model.** Colors, branches, and dots code are identical to Figure S3. Each sampling event leads to the addition of a node. Re-sampling events (left side of the figure) occur when the pathogen lineage has already been sampled but the individual currently carrying it has never been sampled (individuals can only be sampled once but can transmit after sampling). Otherwise, we have a classical sampling event (right side of the figure), i.e. the pathogen has not been sampled yet.



Figure S5: **Tree simulation.** We represent the epidemiological history of the individuals carrying sampled viruses in solid lines and the rest in dashed lines. Colors are identical to Figure S3. In this representation, at each transmission event (branching), the donor is deviated to the right side and the recipient to the left side. All possible tree modifications are represented in this figure: (1) Coalescence ; (2) Migration ; (3) Re-sampling ; (4) Invisible Coalescence ; (5) Sampling.

S3 Application to a logistic growth model

In this section we use the African Savannah elephant (*loxodonta africana*) population in the Kruger National Park as an example that has demonstrated an important increase in the last two decades. A study has shown that a high number of the elephants can damage the Park's ecosystem Cumming et al. (1997). To prevent that, until the early 90's, a solution was the culling of elephants. Due to ethical issues, another solution has been proposed where female elephants would be on contraceptives Whyte et al. (1998).

Here we use a logistic growth model to study the population dynamics. The ODE system is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = r \ N \ \left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\right) \tag{S11}$$

where N is the elephant population density, K is the carrying capacity of the environment, and r is the intrinsic growth rate of the population where r = b - d with b the birth rate and d the death rate.

The model can be described as an individual-based model using a system of reactions:

$$N \xrightarrow{bN} N + N$$
 (S12a)

$$N \xrightarrow{(d+(b-d)N/K)/N} \emptyset$$
(S12b)

where the rate of occurrence of each reaction is indicated above the transition arrow. Reaction S12a is a birth reaction and S12b a removal reaction.

TiPS allows to simulate a phylogeny without sampling dates, where events interrupting the biological process, such as removal events or here sterilisation events, simulated in the trajectory are represented as leaves in the phylogeny. To illustrate this module of the tool, we add a sterilisation rate to the model to simulate the events in the trajectory. The system of reactions becomes:

$$N \xrightarrow{bN} N + N$$
 (S13a)

$$N \xrightarrow{d+(b-d)N/K} \emptyset \tag{S13b}$$

$$N \xrightarrow{\epsilon N} \emptyset \tag{S13c}$$

where reaction S13c is the sterilisation reaction with a rate of its occurrence indicated above the transition error.

Using this system of reactions, TiPS will first build and generate a function to simulate trajectories. Population dynamics are simulated by providing parameter values using one of the algorithm described in the main text. The R code to build the simulator, simulate a trajectory and a phylogeny are shown in Supplementary Figure S6.

We assume that a sampling event is an event that interrupts a biological process. In this example, where no sampling dates are required, the removal events and the elephant sterilisation events will be considered as the sampling events and will be represented as leaves in the simulated phylogeny.

We introduce here the compartments N, N' and N'' where $N'' \subseteq N' \subseteq N$. All the elephants are in compartment N, the sampled elephants are sub-compartment N' and the elephants that in N' that have not yet been but may be sampled are in sub-compartment N''.

TiPS starts the simulation of the phylogeny from the most recent sampling event and follows the trajectory backwards-in-time.

When the backward step in the trajectory at time t leads to n death or sterilisation events, n new nodes are created with height t in N' and |N'| increases by one. Note that, each node is labelled with the corresponding reaction, so we can distinguish and visualise them when plotting the phylogeny.

If the backward step in the trajectory leads to a birth reaction, two tree modifications are possible: a coalescence and an invisible coalescence. A coalescence in the phylogeny corresponds to the coalescence of two sampled lineages each representing an elephant, into one sampled individual (the donor). An 'invisible' coalescence is the coalescence of the sampled lineage of the recipient individual (N') and an unsampled lineage of the donor individual (N'') into one unsampled lineage (the donor, N'').

Given the birth reaction S13a, the number of coalescences n_C and invisible coalescences n_{IC} leading to tree modifications are governed by the following relationships:

$$n_{\text{recipient}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |N'|, |N|)$$
 (S14a)

 $n_{\text{donor}} \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{rep}}, |N'| - n_{\text{recipient}}, |N| - n_{\text{rep}})$ (S14b)

$$n_C \sim \text{HyperGeom}(n_{\text{recipient}}, n_{\text{donor}}, n_{\text{rep}})$$
 (S14c)

$$n_{IC} = n_{\text{recipient}} - n_C \tag{S14d}$$

where n_{rep} is the number of birth events in the trajectory at time t of the trajectory, $n_{recipient}$ is the number of recipient lineages and n_{donor} the number of donor lineages. Upon a coalescence, |N'| is decreased by one, a node is randomly picked from N' with height t and is linked to another node that is removed from N'. For an invisible coalescence, |N'| is decreased by one both and N'' and N' are increased by one. A new node from N'' is created with height t and is linked to a node randomly picked in N'. In both cases, |N| is decreased by one as recorded already in the simulated trajectory.

S4 Benchmarking

S4.1 Benchmarking: trajectories

To evaluate our simulator we performed a benchmarking analysis on both modules of the tool, i.e. the trajectory and the phylogeny simulators, using two existing R packages. adpativetau performs simulations of trajectories of continuous-time Markov processes by using Gillespie's stochastic simulation algorithms. phydynR is a package for phylodynamic inference using population genetic models and performs simulations of trajectories as well using the Euler-Maruyama integration method, and provides methods for simulating trees conditional on a demographic process. The different algorithms of each package are presented in Table S1.



Figure S6: Simulating a trajectory and a phylogeny using TiPS given a logistic growth model. The equations and outputs correspond to the logistic growth model. The functions of the R package are in blue. The simulator of trajectories built as a function is in orange. The variable *traj* in red is the output trajectory. The phylogeny is plotted using a function from the ape R package. Paradis and Schliep (2019).

S4.2 Benchmarking: phylogenies

To evaluate the accuracy of phylogeny simulations, we generated 10 different sub-trees of 1,000 leaves, using detailed epidemiological model with two host types, as described in (Danesh et al., 2021). We then used TiPS and phydynR to simulate 1,000 phylogenies with each package under the same epidemiological model with the same parameter values, imposing the 1,000 dates of each target sub-tree under a backwards-in-time approach. To compare the simulated phylogenies with the target one, we computed summary statistics for each of them using the methods described in (Saulnier et al., 2017). Supplementary Figure S7 shows the distributions of summary statistics computed from the phylogenies simulated using TiPS (in red) and using phydynR (in orange) for each analysis using a different target tree (in black).

to the summary statistics computed from the phylogenies simulated using TiPS, orange distributions correspond to the summary statistics computed from Figure S7: Distributions of summary statistics. The summary statistics are grouped into three families : branch lengths (denoted BL), tree topology (TOPO) and Lineage-Through-Time plot (LTT). The dots represent the median and the horizontal lines represent the 95% HPD. Red distributions correspond the phylogenies simulated using phydynR, for each target tree analysis. Black dots represent the values of summary statistics computed from each target tree.





Ы



В

ς. Ο.ς

0. 2 5.

0.030 6.07.0

20-0-20-0-V

\$.6.5°.0.1

varlE_3

varlE_2

varl_3

tpsT_3

tpsT_2

meanl_3 meanlE_3 medianl_6 redianlE_

ydynR TiPS

varlE_1

varl_2

varl_1

tpsT_1

meanlE_1 meanlE_2 medianl_1 medianl_2 redianlE_

meanl_2

Table S1: Main algorithms of the R packages compared. GDA stands for Gillespie's Direct Algorithm, GTA for Gillespie's Tau-Leap Algorithm, and MSA for Mixed simulation algorithm.

Package	Methods	Features
TiPS	GDA (exact)	
	GTA (approximate)	Requires a fixed time-step τ
	MSA (mixed)	Requires a fixed time-step τ
adaptivetau	GDA (exact)	
	GTA (approximate)	Requires a fixed time-step τ
phydynR	Euler-Maruyama	Requires a time-step τ