

A study on wildfire impacts on greenhouse gas emissions and regional air quality in South of Orléans, France

Chaoyang Xue, Gisèle Krysztofiak, Yangang Ren, Min Cai, Patrick Mercier, Frédéric Le Fur, Corinne Robin, Benoit Grosselin, Véronique Daële, Max Mcgillen, et al.

► To cite this version:

Chaoyang Xue, Gisèle Krysztofiak, Yangang Ren, Min Cai, Patrick Mercier, et al.. A study on wildfire impacts on greenhouse gas emissions and regional air quality in South of Orléans, France. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2024, 135, pp.521-533. 10.1016/j.jes.2022.08.032. hal-03903263

HAL Id: hal-03903263 https://hal.science/hal-03903263

Submitted on 16 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Study on Wildfire Impacts on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Regional Air
Quality in South of Orléans, France
Chaoyang Xue ¹ , Gisèle Krysztofiak ¹ , Yangang Ren ^{2, 3} , Min Cai ² , Patrick Mercier ⁴ , Frédéric Le
Fur ⁴ , Corinne Robin ⁴ , Benoit Grosselin ² , Véronique Daële ² , Max R. McGillen ² , Yujing Mu ³ ,
Valéry Catoire ^{1, *} , Abdelwahid Mellouki ^{2, 5, *}
¹ Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace (LPC2E), CNRS –
Université Orléans – CNES (UMR 7328), 45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France
² Institut de Combustion, Aérothermique, Réactivité Environnement (ICARE), CNRS, 45071
Orléans Cedex 2, France
³ Research Centre for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
100085, China
⁴ Lig'Air- Association de surveillance de la qualité de l'air en région Centre-Val de Loire, 45590
Saint-Cyr-en-Val, France
⁵ Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco
Correspondence:

- 18 Abdelwahid Mellouki (<u>mellouki@cnrs-orleans.fr</u>)
- 19 Valéry Catoire (valery.catoire@cnrs-orleans.fr)

20 Abstract

21 Wildfire events are increasing globally which may be partly associated with climate change, 22 resulting in significant adverse impacts on local, regional air quality and global climate. In 23 September 2020, a small wildfire (burned area: 36.3 ha) event occurred in Souesmes (Loir-et-24 Cher, Sologne, France), and its plume spread out over 200 km on the following day as observed by the MODIS satellite. Based on measurements at a suburban site (~50 km northwest of the fire 25 26 location) in Orléans and backward trajectory analysis, young wildfire plumes were characterized. 27 Significant increases in gaseous pollutants (CO, CH₄, N₂O, VOCs, etc.) and particles were found 28 within the wildfire plumes, leading to a reduced air quality. Emission factors of various trace 29 gases and black carbon were determined accordingly and compared with previous studies. 30 Changes in the ambient ions (such as ammonium, sulfate, nitrate, chloride, and nitrite in the 31 particle- and gas- phase) and aerosol properties (e.g., aerosol water content, aerosol pH) were 32 also quantified and discussed. Moreover, we estimated the total carbon and climate-related 33 species (e.g., CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, and BC) emissions and compared them with fire emission 34 inventories. Current biomass burning emission inventories have uncertainties in estimating small 35 fire burned areas and emissions. For instance, we found that the Global Fire Assimilation System 36 (GFAS) may underestimate emissions (e.g., CO) of this small wildfire while other inventories 37 (GFED and FINN) showed significant overestimation. Considering that it is the first time to 38 record wildfire plumes in this region, related atmospheric implications are presented and 39 discussed.

40 Keywords

41 Wildfire; Air Quality; Emission Factor; Emission Inventory; VOLTAIRE Supersite.

42 Highlights

43 1. Young wildfire plumes were observed and documented for the first time in suburban Orléans44 city, France.

- 45 2. Regional air quality was reduced by the wildfire emissions.
- 46 3. Emission factors of various pollutants were derived and compared with the literature.

47 4. Current biomass burning emission inventories have uncertainties in estimating small fire48 emissions.

49 1 Introduction

The frequency of wildfire events as well as the extent of the burned areas shows an increasing trend globally due to climate change (Artés et al., 2019; Barbero et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2010; Randerson et al., 2012). During the combustion of biomass, numerous pollutants, including particles and gases, are emitted into the atmosphere, which could have potential impacts on air quality and global climate (Andreae, 2019; Jaffe and Wigder, 2012; Jost et al., 2003; Randerson et al., 2012).

56 On a regional scale, the emitted pollutants could exacerbate air pollution downwind of the 57 wildfire. For instance, David et al. (2021) found that wildfire events in the US significantly 58 enhanced the nationwide particulate matter with aerodynamic diameters smaller than 2.5 or 10 59 μm (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀). Considering that the number and severity of wildfire events are 60 increasing, they suggested that wildfire impacts should be considered in the national ambient air 61 quality standards and implementations. Besides, the emitted pollutants also affect ground-level 62 O₃ (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). As a secondary pollutant, O₃ could be consumed or accumulated 63 within a biomass burning (wildfire) plume varying from different aging stages. In a very fresh 64 plume, O_3 is typically consumed regarding its rapid reaction with the emitted NO. It is also 65 possible that in the very early stages of plume formation, highly reactive organic molecules may 66 serve to suppress ozone concentrations. As the plume is diluted and transported, O_3 can be 67 produced through chemical reactions involving volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NO_x $(NO + NO_2)$ under sunlight irradiation (Granier et al., 2000; Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). For 68 example, Wang et al. (2021) observed 10-40 ppbv O3 enhancement at 30 km downwind of a 69 70 wildfire in the US. In addition, when the plumes (typically VOC-rich) mix into urban plumes 71 (typically NO_x -rich) substantial enhancement in O_3 formation is observed, which deteriorates 72 urban air quality (Xu et al., 2021).

Carbon monoxide (CO) is considered to be the second-largest primary carbon compound (after carbon dioxide, CO₂) emitted from the wildfire processes, which accounts for nearly half of its global emissions (Zheng et al., 2019). CO is typically treated as a tracer for incomplete combustion, including biomass burning. The tropospheric lifetime of CO against OH with a global mean of 1.5×10^6 molecules cm⁻³ (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016) is about 5 weeks, implying that its concentration in the wildfire plume is dominated by the downwind dilution during transport over one week or so. Hence, the Emission factor (EF (X)= Δ X/ Δ CO, where X represents the target species) of O₃ obtained in the wildfire plume was usually used for estimating the plume age (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). Generally, its values were reported to be negative in a fresh wildfire plume and turned positive and increased progressively with the plume age (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012).

In addition to the long-lived greenhouse gases (GHGs, e.g., CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O), non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) could also be emitted from wildfires. Due to their high reactivity (with OH, O₃, or NO₃), NMHCs play important role in the in-plume photochemistry to produce secondary pollutants like particulate matter (PM), O₃, and other photo-oxidants. Furthermore, numerous NMHCs are toxic and the increase in their ambient concentration levels constitutes a risk to public health. To quantify their emissions from wildfires, their emission factors can be calculated (Andreae, 2019; Jost et al., 2003).

91 On a global scale, wildfires are an important GHG source (Friedlingstein et al., 2020; Saunois et al., 2020). Saunois et al. (2020) have estimated 17 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ emissions from wildfire 92 93 emissions from 2008 to 2017, which represents 5% of the total anthropogenic CH₄ emissions. In 94 addition to GHGs emissions, depending on their size and location, wildfire plumes can be transported to the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere (UTLS) region, and hence, impact the 95 96 global climate and stratospheric ozone layer (Baray et al., 2012; Hirsch and Koren, 2021; 97 Hooghiem et al., 2020; Kloss et al., 2021, 2019; Yu et al., 2019). For example, Hirsch and Koren 98 (2021) reported a record-breaking aerosol level in the stratosphere over the southern hemisphere 99 caused by the intensive Australian bushfires. This wildfire smoke could have a lifetime of days 100 to months, which allows the smoke to be uniformly mixed over most of the southern hemisphere, leading to a significant cooling effect of 1.0 ± 0.6 W m⁻². 101

Satellite observations can be used for estimating the burned area and emissions of wildfires. However, scaling this to small fires remains challenging (Ramo et al., 2021; Saunois et al., 2020). For instance, Ramo et al. (2021) found that the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) images underestimated the burned area of small fires (<100 ha) by 94% in sub-Saharan Africa. Hence, the regional impact on air quality and/or the global impact on climate from both small and large fires should be studied (Ramo et al., 2021), in particular in

108 those regions where few fire events were recorded. Herein, in the middle of September 2020, a 109 wildfire occurred in southern Orléans city where few wildfire events have occurred in the past. 110 To the best of our knowledge, no study has been conducted to quantify the impacts of wildfires 111 on the air quality in this region. Based on atmospheric measurements along with trajectory model 112 simulations, the impact of this wildfire on the air quality in suburban Orléans was quantified. 113 Emissions of this wildfire were evaluated and compared with the fire emission inventories. 114 Atmospheric implications are presented after analysis and discussion of the field measurements and model simulations. 115

116 2 Methods

117 2.1 The Sologne Forest Fire Event

At 15:44 (local time, UTC+2) on 14th September 2020, firemen in Orléans received the information about the fire in the Sologne forest (47.3726°N, 2.12987° E, Figure 1). Its spread was controlled but it was still active at 2:31 on 15th September (information obtained from Firefighters Loire-et-Cher, <u>https://sdis41.fr/</u>, last access: 17 January 2022). On 22nd September, the fire was considered permanently extinguished. In total, about 36.3 hectares of forests (vegetation above ground: coniferous trees, mainly Laricio pines; surface vegetation: heather, moors, etc.) were burned during this event.



125

126 Figure 1. (A): Locations of Paris and Orléans (obtained from <u>https://www.arcgis.com/</u>, last

127 access: 25 February 2022); (B): Locations of the forest fire (red star) and the VOLTAIRE

128 129 supersite (black star). Map base: © Google Map. (C) Photo of the VOLTAIRE supersite (© Chaoyang Xue); (D): Photo of the fire (© SDIS41).

130 2.2 Measurement Site and Instrumentation

Measurements used in this study were conducted at the VOLTAIRE supersite. It is located on the campus of the Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (CNRS, 47.8377° N, 1.9445° E) which is about 10 km south from Orléans city center and about 120 km southwest from Paris (Figure 1). Details about this station can be found in previous studies based on measurements at this site (Cai et al., 2022; Jiang et al., 2017).

136 At this site, NO_x (NO + NO₂), O₃, and meteorological parameters (temperature, pressure, relative 137 humidity, solar radiation, wind speed, and wind direction) are continuously measured by a 138 chemiluminescence technique (Model 42i, Thermo Fisher Scientific), a UV photometric analyzer 139 (Model 49i, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and an auto meteorological station (Model CR800, 140 Campbell Scientific), respectively. VOCs were measured online by a gas chromatographic (GC) 141 system (airmoSCAN XPERT, Chromatotec[®]), with two different separation columns and three 142 detectors (two flame ionization detectors and one quadrupole mass spectrometer). CO, NO₂, 143 CH₄, and N₂O were measured by a three-channel infrared absorption spectrometer with quantum 144 cascade lasers named SPIRIT, with a time resolution of 1.6 s (Brocchi et al., 2019; Catoire et al., 145 2017; Krysztofiak et al., 2018). Particulate matter was measured by an aerosol spectrometer Fidas[®] 200 (Palas®, Germany), analyzing ambient air in the size range of 180 nm - 18 µm 146 (recording on PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₄, and PM₁₀). A URG-9000D Ambient Ion Monitor (AIM) was 147 148 operated to measure gaseous pollutants (acetate, formate, NH₃, HONO, etc.) and PM₁₀ composition (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, NH₄⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, etc.). Black carbon (BC) was measured by a black 149 carbon monitor (Magee Scientific Aethalometer® Model AE33), which also includes the 150 151 aethalometer model to quantify the contributions of wood-burning (BCwd) and fossil fuel (BCff) 152 (Herich et al., 2011).

153 2.3 Models

The Lagrangian transport and diffusion model, FLEXible PARTicle (FLEXPART) v10.4, was used to calculate back trajectories of air masses reaching our measurement site (Brocchi et al., 2018; Pisso et al., 2019). The model simulation was based on ERA5 reanalysis data (grid resolution: 0.25°×0.25°, https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era5, last access: 17 January 2022) from European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasts
(ECMWF, <u>https://www.ecmwf.int/</u>, last access: 17 January 2022) was used for FLEXPART
simulations (Hersbach et al., 2020). To obtain the trajectory frequency in the whole of September
2020, the HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model (HYSPLIT,
<u>https://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php</u>, last access: 17 January 2022) was used.
To estimate the aerosol pH, an aerosol thermodynamic model, ISORROPIA II,

(<u>http://nenes.eas.gatech.edu/ISORROPIA/index_old.html</u>, last access: 17 January 2022), was
 used (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). The model was run based on the AIM data (particle- and gas-phase), temperature, and relative humidity.

167 2.4 Inventories

168 Data from three fire emission inventories were used in this study. The Global Fire Assimilation 169 System (GFAS, https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/dataset/global-fire-assimilation-system, last 170 access: 17 January 2022) can provide daily emissions with a spatial resolution of $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ (Di 171 Giuseppe et al., 2018). The FINN inventory (Fire INventory from NCAR) was developed by 172 Wiedinmyer (2011), is et al. and the data available at 173 https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/modeling/finn-fire-inventory-ncar (last access: 17 January 2022). 174 Here we used a file configuration of FINNv2.4 with fire count of MODIS+VIIRS or MODIS, 175 year of 2020, and speciation of GEOS-Chem. Daily emissions with a horizontal resolution of 176 1km are provided by this inventory. The GFED inventory (Global Fire Emissions Database, 177 GFED4.1s, https://www.globalfiredata.org/, last access: 17 January 2022) developed by Van Der 178 Werf et al. (2017) was also used in this study. It has a spatial resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$. Monthly 179 emissions with daily fractions are provided in this inventory. In addition, both GFAS and GFED 180 inventories use emission factors from Andreae and Merlet (2001) and its corresponding update 181 (Andreae, 2019) while the FINN inventory uses emission factors from Akagi et al. (2011).

182 3 Results and Discussion

183 3.1 General Overview of the Measurements

All the observations in the whole of September 2020 are presented and overviewed here to represent the typical meteorological and air quality conditions, while detailed analysis was mostly focused on the wildfire plume and its comparison with measurements at the same hourson other days of September.

188 3.1.1 Meteorological Conditions

189 During the measurement period, it was mostly sunny and cloud-free except for a limited number of days $(5^{th} - 6^{th}$ Sept. and 28^{th} Sept. -1^{st} Oct.) when it was rainy or cloudy as reflected by the 190 measured photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, Figure 2). The air temperature was typically 191 around 20 °C except for the last week (25th Sept. – 1st Oct.) when the temperature decreased to 192 193 around 10 °C caused by a cold front. The campaign-averaged temperature is 18.0 ± 6.0 °C, 194 respectively (Table 1). Throughout the campaign, air masses observed at this site originated from 195 various directions (but few from South/Southeast/East), as reflected by the wind rose plot (Figure 196 S1A) and the trajectory frequencies obtained from the HYSPLIT model (Figure S1B). Wind speed was generally less than 2 m s⁻¹ except for the periods of 17th and from 23rd to 28th Sept. 197 when the wind speed reached 4 m s⁻¹. The average wind speed was 1.3 ± 1.0 m s⁻¹ during the 198 199 campaign.

In particular, the highest temperature of 35.4 °C and the lowest RH of 21.8% during the campaign occurred at 16:45 on 14th September, which is close to the occurrence of the forest wildfire. Daily average T of 22.6 ± 8.8 °C and RH of $53 \pm 22\%$ on this day were the highest and lowest ones during the campaign, respectively. The wind direction was dominated by the south (the direction of the forest fire location) on the following day after the occurrence of the forest wildfire, which blew the emitted pollutants to the north of the fire, including the VOLTAIRE supersite.



Figure 2: Meteorological parameters measured at the VOLTAIRE supersite. RH: relative
humidity; P: pressure; WS: wind speed; WD: wind direction; T: temperature.

210 3.1.2 Pollutants

The VOLTAIRE supersite is located in a relatively clean area with the average $PM_{2.5}$ (6.7 µg m⁻ 211 ³), PM₁₀ (12.8 μ g m⁻³), NO₂ (7.4 μ g m⁻³), and O₃ (63.6 μ g m⁻³) being lower than the World 212 Health Organization (WHO) air quality guideline values 213 (see WHO website: 214 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health, last access: 7 March 2022) of 15 μg m $^{-3}$ (24 h mean) for $PM_{2.5},$ 45 μg m $^{-3}$ (24 h mean) for $PM_{10},$ 25 215 μ g m⁻³ (24 h mean) for NO₂, and 100 μ g m⁻³ (8 h daily maximum) for O₃. All the pollutants 216 exhibited much lower values during the period from 23rd to 28th Sept., as a result of fast dilution 217 218 caused by high wind speeds (Figure 2). Regarding the mass distribution of different particle sizes, average concentrations of PM₁, PM₁. 219

220 _{2.5}, PM_{2.5-4}, and PM₄₋₁₀ were 5.0 ± 3.9 , 1.7 ± 0.8 , 1.6 ± 0.8 , and $4.5 \pm 3.7 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ (Table 1), with 221 their contributions of 39%, 13%, 13%, and 35% to PM₁₀, respectively. BC was generally lower 222 than 2 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$, with an average of $0.6 \pm 0.4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$. Significant enhancements in PM and BC were 223 found in the wildfire plume observed on the morning of 15th Sept. and more analysis is presented 224 in Section 3.2.



225

Figure 3: Time series of pollutants (PM, O₃, NO, NO₂ and BC, in µg m⁻³) concentrations at the
VOLTAIRE supersite (data from Lig'Air, <u>https://www.ligair.fr/</u>, last access: 7 March 2022). The
green and red vertical lines indicate the occurrence of the forest fire in Souesmes and the
observation of the wildfire plume at the VOLTAIRE supersite.

Table 1: Statistic description of the observations at the VOLTAIRE supersite during the whole of September 2020. Except for the meteorological parameters, all the pollutants listed in this table are in μ g m⁻³. For AIM data, p and g represent particle- or gas- phases, respectively.

Parameters	Average	Range	Parameters	Average	Range
T (°C)	18.0±6.0	6.6-35.4 Sulfate (p) 1.1±0.5		1.1±0.5	≤2.9
PAR (µmol m ⁻²	17.7±24.2	0-91.2 Ammonium (p)		1.0±0.4	0.3-2.2
s ⁻¹)					
WS (m s^{-1})	1.3±1.0	0-5.9	Calcium (p)	0.3 ± 0.3	≤2.6
RH (%)	70.6±21.0	21.8-100	Magnesium (p) 0.04±0		≤0.19
P (mBar)	1018 ± 5.9	1001-1030	Potassium (p) 0.2±0.1		≤0.8
BC	0.6 ± 0.4	≤3.0	0 Sodium (p) 0.8±0.3		0.6-2.1
NO	0.8±1.9	≤29.7	Acetate (g)	2.4±1.3	0.7-7.4
NO_2	7.4±6.1	0.9-44.6	Formate (g)	1.1±0.9	0.1-4.1
O ₃	63.6±26.5	2.4-146.4	Chloride (g)	0.19±0.14	0.05-0.90
PM_1	5.0±3.9	0.6-42.1	Nitrate (g)	0.4 ± 0.2	≤1.1
PM _{1-2.5}	1.7±0.8	0.4-9.0	Nitrite (g)	0.7 ± 0.6	0.1-4.4
PM _{2.5-4}	1.6±0.8	0.3-7.8	Sulfate (g)	0.5 ± 0.8	≤4.9
PM ₄₋₁₀	4.5±3.7	0.1-22.9	Ammonium (g)	$2.7{\pm}1.4$	0.1-4.4
Acetate (p)	(8.7±2.8)×10 ⁻⁴	≤0.002	Calcium (g)	0.04 ± 0.02	0.01-0.16
Formate (p)	(23±8.4)×10 ⁻³	≤0.04	Magnesium (g)	(1.8±1.4)×10 ⁻³	≤0.02
Chloride (p)	0.13±0.21	≤1.2	Potassium (g)	0.12±0.07	≤0.36
Nitrate (p)	0.5 ± 0.5	≤3.0	Sodium (g)	0.08 ± 0.06	0.03-0.31
Nitrite (p)	0.02 ± 0.01	≤0.06			

²³³

Ambient ions in the particle or gas phase are presented in Figure 4. In terms of the measured concentrations, sodium and ammonium were the most important cations in the particle phase, while nitrate and sulfate were the most important anions. On average, concentrations of sodium, ammonium, nitrate, and sulfate were 0.8 ± 0.3 , 1.0 ± 0.4 , 0.5 ± 0.5 , and $1.1\pm0.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ (Table 1),

with their mass contributions of 19.9%, 24.8%, 13.0%, and 25.9% to the total particle-phase ions (Figure S2), respectively. In contrast, the measured organics (acetate and formate), chloride, nitrite, calcium, magnesium, and potassium showed much lower levels, with a sum of their contributions of 16.4%. In the gas phase, the dominant ions were ammonium (33.2%), acetate (28.6%), formate (13.6%), nitrite (8.6%), and sulfate (6.1%) while contributions of other ions were all less than 5% (Figure S2).



244

Figure 4: Gas- (upper panel) and particle-phase compositions of PM₁₀ (lower panel) measured at
 the VOLTAIRE supersite. The green and red vertical lines indicate the occurrence of the forest
 fire in Souesmes and the observation of the wildfire plume at the VOLTAIRE supersite,

248

respectively.

249 3.2 Observations of the wildfire Plume

Figure S3 shows the concentrations of CO, NO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O measured by SPIRIT at the supersite. Stable measurements started at 9:27 on 15th September and the first measured CO concentration was 837 ppbv, which is much higher than the background level of around 100 ppbv. Later, the highest CO concentration of 1050 ppbv was observed at 09:38. High concentrations of NO₂ (9.3 ppbv), N₂O (334 ppbv), and CH₄ (2203 ppbv) were also observed within 10 mins around the CO peak. Meanwhile, the levels of other measured pollutants (e.g., PM, BC, sulfate) showed a simultaneous increase (Figure 3 and Figure 4). For example, the PM₁₀ level of 75.9 μ g m⁻³ was the highest one in September and more than 6 times higher than the campaign average value of 12.7 μ g m⁻³, revealing the significant impact of this forest fire on the air quality in this region. In addition, a view from the satellite (Figure S4) also observed the wildfire and the regional spread of its emissions.

261 3.2.1 Emission Factors (EF)

As the forest fire occurred around 15:35 on 14th September and the wildfire plume was observed 262 at 9:38 (local time, the occurrence of the CO peak) on 15th September, the plume age should be 263 264 <18 h (from the trajectory a plume age <12 h could be obtained and mostly during night-time). 265 Besides, a significant O₃ decrease by ca. 13 ppbv was observed in this wildfire plume indicating 266 the plume did not undergo much photochemistry that could lead to O_3 production. For instance, 267 Jost et al. (2003) found a significant O_3 enhancement in a wildfire plume after 2 h 268 photochemistry. Hence, the wildfire plume observed here should be relatively fresh (also see the 269 trajectory in Section 3.3). However, previous field and laboratory studies have reported the 270 impact of near-source transformation on the properties of wildfire plumes, which may influence 271 the EF values (Collier et al., 2016; Hodshire et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). The derived EF values 272 are focused on gas species, for which the atmospheric oxidization process may cause major 273 uncertainties. Hence, we employed a method to constrain the uncertainties, which are generally 274 caused by the chemical loss mainly through OH oxidization. Take toluene as an example, its reaction rate with OH is 5.6×10^{-12} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K (Mellouki et al., 2021). Assuming 275 a relatively high OH concentration of 5×10^5 molecule cm⁻³, a negligible amount of CO but 11% 276 277 of toluene will be lost through OH oxidization in 12 hours, leading to an underestimation by 278 <11% in EF(toluene). Similarly, other EF uncertainties caused by the OH oxidization process 279 can be estimated, which is summarized in the updated Table 2. Please note that we used a higher 280 OH concentration to constrain the upper limit of the uncertainties.

- 281
- 282
- 283
- 284

285

286

Table 2: Emission factors (EF) inferred from the wildfire plume. Units: ppbv ppbv⁻¹ for Efs of NO₂, CH₄, and N₂O (measured by SPIRIT); μ g m⁻³ ppbv⁻¹ for all the others. BTEX = Benzene + Toluene + Ethylbenzene + m/p/o -Xylenes. Comparisons with temperate forest fire summarized in Andreae (2019) were also shown. Note that the original values in Andreae (2019) are given in gram species per kilogram dry matter burned and they are converted to EFs for comparison. Atmospheric OH rate constants were taken from Mellouki et al. (2021) or Atkinson and Arey (2003).

X	EF (X)	Uncertainty	\mathbf{R}^2	Andreae (2019)
		%0		
O_3	-4.9E-2		0.70	
NO	8.8E-4		0.47	2.5E-2
CH_4	2.3E-1		0.79	8.2E-2
N_2O	1.7E-2		0.84	1.4E-3
BC	2.8E-4		0.70	1.2E-2
123-TMB	7.8E-6	51	0.99	
124-TMB	1.7E-5	50	0.80	
135-TMB	2.7E-6	71	0.86	
BTEX	1.2E-4		0.62	2.5E-3
Ethylbenzene	1.6E-5	14	1.00	9.7E-5
Toluene	5.2E-5	11	0.90	7.5E-4
α-Pinene	1.3E-5	68	0.69	
n-Octane	8.7E-5	16	0.88	
n-Propylbenzene	7.5E-6	12	0.67	
p-Ethytoluene	3.5E-6	22	0.92	
m-Diethylbenzene	6.8E-7		0.45	
m-Ethyltoluene	6.2E-6	33	0.95	
methyl ethyl ketone	2.5E-4	35	0.35	
n-Decane	3.5E-6	21	1.00	

294

295 The molar enhancement ratio of compound X compared to CO was defined as $EF(X) = \Delta X / \Delta CO$ 296 (Andreae, 2019; Jost et al., 2003), which was used here to estimate the wildfire emissions. To 297 avoid the uncertainty caused by the selection of background levels, we used the slope of the 298 regression line between species X and CO as the EF (X) during the pollution case (Jost et al., 299 2003). Table 2 shows the derived EFs and the correlations for numerous species. As mentioned before, a decreased O_3 resulted in a negative EF(O_3) of -0.05 µg m⁻³ ppbv⁻¹ (equivalent to -0.026 300 301 ppbv ppbv⁻¹) was obtained here, indicating a young wildfire plume. Most correlation coefficients 302 are high, implying that the increase in species X and CO are caused by the same source, the 303 wildfire plume. Poor correlations between some species (e.g., xylenes, benzene) and CO are also 304 found, which might be caused by the complexity of the emissions as well as the ambient dilution 305 process. In particular, significant CH₄ emission reflected by a high CH₄ peak of 2203 ppbv and a positive EF(CH₄) of 0.23 ppbv ppbv⁻¹ was found in this wildfire plume, implying the burning of 306 307 the herbaceous layer (Andreae, 2019; Jost et al., 2003). This may also explain the higher 308 $EF(CH_4)$ and $EF(N_2O)$ obtained here than those from the averages of temperate forest fires (0.08) and 0.001 ppbv ppbv⁻¹, respectively) (Andreae, 2019). Other EFs are lower than those from 309 310 temperate fires (Andreae, 2019), indicating the potential impact of dilution and highlighting the 311 complexity of the wildfire emissions and their impacts on ambient pollutant levels. Although this 312 fire was small (36.3 ha burned area) compared with the fires summarized in Andreae (2019), it 313 has been suggested that the relatively high EFs for greenhouse gases (N₂O and CH₄) from small 314 fires may be of importance to the global greenhouse gas budget (Randerson et al., 2012).

315 3.2.2 Impacts on BC and Particle Size Distribution

316 We explored the possible impact of this forest fire, even though a small one, on the measured BC levels and its sources. Figure 5 shows the average concentration of measured BC_{wb} (BC derived 317 318 from wood burning) and BC_{ff} (BC derived from fossil fuel) in the wildfire plume or non-wildfire 319 plumes at the same hours (9:00-11:00). BC_{ff} was the major source for the measured total BC at 320 this site and about one order of magnitude higher than BC_{wd} in non-wildfire plumes. Considering 321 that the measurements covered rush hours, the source of BC in non-wildfire plumes was attributed to fossil fuel combustion. The corresponding BCwb/BCff ratio of 0.08 was in the range 322 323 of 0.02 - 0.11 reported in other sites in Europe during summertime (Herich et al., 2011). However, BC_{wd} increased significantly in the wildfire plume $(0.3\pm0.19 \text{ µg m}^{-3}, \text{ non-wildfire})$ 324 plume: $0.06\pm0.05 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$) while BC_{ff} kept a similar level (0.95±0.38 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}) to that in non-325

wildfire plumes $(0.77\pm0.57 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$, leading to an increasing BC_{wb}/BC_{ff} ratio of 0.32, which is in the range of values (0.3 - 0.5) impacted by wildfire emissions (Helin et al., 2018; Herich et al.,

328 2011; Kalogridis et al., 2018; Yttri et al., 2014). This indicates the potential impact of this

329 wildfire on regional BC levels.



330

Figure 5: Levels of (A) BC_{wb} and BC_{ff} , and (B) PM at different sizes, and (C) their relative mass contributions of different PM sizes to PM_{10} in the wildfire or non-wildfire plumes during the same hours (9:00 – 11:00) considering that the detection of the plume covered rushing hours.

334 Figure 5B and C show PM mass concentrations at different sizes (PM₁, PM_{1-2.5}, PM_{2.5-4}, and 335 PM₄₋₁₀) and their relative contributions in the wildfire or non-wildfire plumes during the same 336 hours (9:00 – 11:00). Average $PM_{2.5}$ (or PM_{10}) in the non-wildfire plumes during the same hours of the day was 7.5 (or 14.7) µg m⁻³, which is much lower than the WHO air quality guideline 337 PM_{25} (or PM_{10}) value of 15 (or 45) µg m⁻³ (24 h mean). However, in the wildfire plume, the 338 average $PM_{2.5}$ (or PM_{10}) increased to 29.6 (or 42.6) µg m⁻³, which exceeds (or becomes close to) 339 the WHO air quality guideline PM_{2.5} (or PM₁₀) value, indicating a potential public health risk 340 341 caused by wildfire emissions (PM, BC, VOCs, etc.). Moreover, wildfire emissions can cause changes in particulate size distribution. For instance, mass concentrations of PM₁, PM_{1-2.5}, PM_{2.5}-342 4, and PM₄₋₁₀ in the non-wildfire plumes were 5.5, 2.1, 2.0, and 5.2 μ g m⁻³, with relative 343 344 contributions of 37%, 14%, 13%, and 36%, respectively. All their mass concentrations showed a significant increase (from 5.5 to 25.0, 2.1 to 4.6, 2.0 to 3.9, and 5.2 to 9.1 μ g m⁻³ for PM₁, PM₁. 345

346 $_{2.5}$, PM_{2.5-4}, and PM₄₋₁₀, respectively), while their contributions changed remarkably within the 347 biomass burning plume, particularly PM₁ and PM₄₋₁₀ whose contributions increased to 59% or 348 decreased to 21%, respectively. As reported in previous studies, wildfire can emit various sizes 349 of particles. However, particles with a smaller diameter (i.e., submicron particles) hold a 350 relatively longer atmospheric lifetime against deposition so that they may undergo a regional 351 transportation process (Gallagher et al., 2002).

352 3.2.3 Impacts on Ambient Ions in the Particle- and Gas-Phase

353 Figure 6A displays the relative mass contribution of each particle-phase cation or anion to their total masses. During the non-wildfire period, anions were dominated by SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} , with 354 contributions of 49% and 40%, respectively. Within the young wildfire plume, SO_4^{2-} was 355 enhanced from 1.1 to 2.3 μ g m⁻³ while NO₃⁻ reduced from 0.9 to 0.2 μ g m⁻³, resulting in a 356 dominant role of SO_4^{2-} (89%) in the total particle anion mass. NH_4^+ and Na^+ were the two most 357 358 important cations in the particle phase with contributions of 45% and 34% in the non-wildfire plumes, respectively, which is similar to other European locations (Tang et al., 2021). NH₄⁺ was 359 slightly enhanced from 1.2 to 1.4 μ g m⁻³ while Na⁺ slightly decreased from 0.9 to 0.7 μ g m⁻³ in 360 the wildfire plume compared to the non-wildfire plumes, leading to a larger NH_4^+ contribution of 361 362 51%.

363 Figure 6B shows the relative mass contribution of each gas-phase cation or anion to their total 364 masses. The gas-phase anions are typically in their acid forms, i.e., the measured gas-phase 365 HCOO⁻, CH₃COO⁻ and NO₂⁻ were in the form of HCOOH, CH₃COOH, and HONO in the 366 atmosphere, respectively. A remarkable increase in organic acids (CH₃COOH and HCOOH) was 367 found within the wildfire plume. Their concentrations increased from 2.0 to 5.7 or from 1.2 to 3.7 µg m⁻³, respectively, suggesting strong emissions of organic acids from the wildfire (Burling 368 et al., 2010; Chaliyakunnel et al., 2016; R'Honi et al., 2013). Interestingly, significant gas-phase 369 SO₄²⁻ (i.e., H₂SO₄) enhancement was also found within this wildfire plume as its concentration 370 increased from 0.8 μ g m⁻³ to 1.7 μ g m⁻³, which may have an impact on sulfate aerosol formation 371 372 and new particle formation.

No apparent enhancement of HONO (gas-phase NO₂⁻) was observed in the wildfire plume, contrary to previous studies (e.g., Nie et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2020; Theys et al., 2020), which presented significant HONO emissions from biomass burning process. Although this does not preclude the possibility of HONO emissions from the fire since HONO concentrations will be affected by dilution and photochemical loss processes (Wang et al., 2021). Gas-phase cation NH₄⁺ (in the form of NH₃) predominated, with a concentration of 3.1 and 3.5 μ g m⁻³ in the nonwildfire and wildfire plumes, respectively, which is comparable to other European cities (Tang et al., 2021).



381

Figure 6: Relative contributions (%) of each cation/anion in the particle-phase (A) and gas-phase (B) masses. Percentages less than 5% were not shown. In the gas-phase, concentrations of the only cation source, NH₃, are 3.1 and 3.5 μ g m⁻³ during non-wildfire and wildfire periods, respectively.

386 3.3 Emission Estimation and Comparison with GFAS

387 3.3.1 FLEXPART Simulations

Figure 7 illustrates the 12-h back trajectory (starting from CO peak at 9:38 local time) obtained from the FLEXPART simulation. It was found that the air mass at the VOLTAIRE supersite mainly originated from the south and southwest, which is consistent with the wind measurements at this station on 14th and 15th September (Figure 2). Some clusters originated from the area above the wildfire (Figure 7). Hence, the large increase in pollutants, such as CO from ~100 ppbv to 1050 ppbv, is attributed to the transportation of the wildfire plume. The satellite also captured the widespread plumes (Figure S4), indicating the impacts of wildfire emissions on regional air quality. Besides, quantification of emissions from this wildfire is of significance for understanding the role and impacts of small wildfires on regional air quality and global climate.



Figure 7: FLEXPART back trajectory with clusters. The black and the yellow stars represent the
 locations of the VOLTAIRE supersite and the wildfire.

400 3.3.2 Emission Estimation vs. GFAS vs. GFED vs. FINN

397

401 We estimated carbon emissions from this fire event and compared them with current biomass 402 burning inventories. A standard equation, developed by Seiler & Crutzen (1980), was popularly 403 used for calculating the total carbon emission from a forest fire (C_{total}).

$$404 \qquad C_{total} = A * B * f_c * \beta, \tag{Eq-1}$$

where A, B, f_c , and β represent the total burned area (36.3 ha), biomass density (t ha⁻¹), the fraction of carbon of the biomass, and the fraction of carbon consumed during the burning process, respectively. Considering the composition differences, such as organic mat on the forest 408 floor and in peatlands, components on the ground layer and above the ground layer are estimated 409 separately. French et al. (2002) furtherly modified the equation to:

410
$$C_{total} = A * (B_a * f_{ca} * \beta_a + C_g * \beta_g),$$
 (Eq-2)

where B_a , f_{ca} , β_a , C_g , and β_g denote the average biomass density of the above vegetation (t ha⁻¹), 411 412 the carbon fraction of the aboveground biomass with an assumed value of 0.50, the fraction of the aboveground biomass consumed during the fire, the carbon density (15 t-C ha⁻¹ from French 413 414 et al. (2002)) of the organic mat exposed to the fire, and the fraction of the organic mat consumed during the fire, respectively. B_a was set as 50 t ha⁻¹ obtained from the European Space 415 Agency BIOMASS mission (Quegan et al., 2019). 50-year average values of β_a (0.33) and β_g 416 417 (0.25) for forest fires obtained from French et al. (2002) were adopted in this calculation. Then 418 we obtained the C_{total} of 435.6 t for this forest fire. This leads to an average carbon emission of 12 t-C ha⁻¹, which is comparable to previous studies of 5 - 40 t-C ha⁻¹ (French et al., 2002; 419 420 Randerson et al., 2012).

Emission factors of CO₂, CO, and CH₄ during flaming (EF_f, kg gas (g total carbon)⁻¹) and 421 smoldering (EF_s, kg gas (g total carbon)⁻¹) periods were taken from French et al. (2002) in which 422 423 they calculated the average of values reported by several previous studies (Table S1). For 424 emission factors of BC and N₂O, the derived Emission factors in Table 2 were used. As shown in 425 Table 3, the calculated emissions of CO₂, CO, CH₄, BC, and N₂O were 1370 (1128), 82.8 (200), 426 2.4 (6.6), 0.017 (0.048), and 0.019 (0.054) t (unit: ton), assuming the fire was always at the

427 flaming (or smoldering) stage, respectively. Note that the actual emission should be between 428 those two numbers as a wildfire event typically undergoes both flaming and smoldering stages.

For the GFAS inventory, emissions were only detected on 14th September, with a daily average 429 of grid CO emission of 1.5×10^{-9} kg m⁻² s⁻¹. The total CO emission based on the GFAS inventory

430

431 was ca. 11 t. Similarly, the GFAS-derived total carbon, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, and BC emissions from 432

this wildfire were about 161.4, 1.0, 0.01, 0.05 t, respectively. All the GFAS-derived emissions 433 except for BC and N₂O were much lower than the estimated ones, either M_f or M_s.

GFED and FINN inventories captured the wildfire on both 14th and 15th. However, the burned 434 435 area in the FINN inventory is largely overestimated, either for fire counted by MODIS (175.5 ha) 436 or MODIS+VIIRS (270.6 ha). This leads to larger emissions such as total carbon of 2210.7 437 (1455.6) t, CO₂ of 7283.8 (4791.0) t, CO of 493.3 (328.0) t, etc. in FINN with fire counted by

438 MODIS+VIIRS (or by MODIS only). Likewise, for the GFED inventory, similar emission levels 439 of total carbon (2553.8 t), CO_2 (8554.2 t), CO (475.2 t), and CH_4 (20.2 t) were obtained. 440 However, emissions of BC (2.9 t) and N_2O (0.77 t) from the GFED inventory are much higher 441 than others.

- 442
- 443
- 444

Table 3: Emission factors during flaming (EF_f , g gas (kg total carbon)⁻¹) and smoldering (EF_s , kg

446 gas (g total carbon)⁻¹) periods, and the total released mass of each gas/particle during the two

447

periods (M_f and M_s , in t).

Emissions	Burned Area	C _{total}	CO_2	CO	CH_4	BC	N ₂ O
	(ha)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)	(ton)
Estimation	36.3 ^a	453.6	1128 ^s -3145 ^f	$82.8^{\rm f} - 200^{\rm s}$	2.4^{f} - 6.6^{s}	$0.017^{\rm f}$ - $0.048^{\rm s}$	$0.019^{\rm f}$ - $0.054^{\rm s}$
GFAS		53.1	161.4	11.0	1.0	0.05	0.011
GFED		2553.8	8554.2	475.2	20.2	2.9	0.77
FINN (MODIS+VIIRS)	270.6	2210.7	7283.8	493.3	17.0	0.035	
FINN (MODIS)	175.5	1455.6	4791.0	328.0	11.1	0.022	
FINN ^c	187.5	1560.9	5135.5	352.9	12.0	0.024	

448 ^{*a*}: obtained from Sapeurs-Pompiers Loire-et-Cher; ^s: smoldering period; ^f: flaming period. Note that differences between FINN

449 (MODIS) and FINN (MODIS+VIIRS) were possibly caused by the fact that the fire was detected twice on 15th Sept. in FINN

450 (MODIS+VIIRS), which can be obtained from Table S2; ^b: sum of carbon in the form of CO₂, CO, CH₄, and BC; ^c: using the

451 *average of the twice detection.*

452 Previous studies reported underestimation of small fire emissions possibly due to the 453 uncertainties in burned area estimation (Ramo et al., 2021; Saunois et al., 2020). In the present 454 study, we found a similar underestimation by the GFAS inventory. In contrast, significant 455 overestimation in the burned area, and total carbon, CO₂, CO, and CH₄ emissions were found in 456 the GFED and the FINN inventories. Regarding the significant overestimation in the burned 457 area, in general, the reason is that current inventories use a coarse spatial resolution, such as 458 0.25×0.25 for GFED. For the FINN inventory, the burned area was classified according to land 459 cover. For instance, in our case, woody savanna/shrublands, temperate forest, and temperate 460 evergreen forest were identified in the FINN inventory, then the burned area can be 461 overestimated when summing up all the burned areas. As far as we know, a new version of the 462 FINN inventory is forthcoming (https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/modeling/finn-fire-inventory-ncar, 463 last access: 11 July 2022), which should improve the performance in estimating small fire

464 emissions. Hence, improvement of the inventory performance in small fire emissions is needed
465 to quantify emissions of small fires and their environmental impacts. Differences between
466 different inventories also suggest the necessary inter-comparison between inventories.

467 4 Conclusion and Implication

In the middle of September 2020, a forest wildfire occurred in the Sologne forest in Souesmes (Loir-et-Cher, Sologne, France) and its plume spread to 200 km in the following day, as observed by the MODIS satellite. At the VOLTAIRE supersite in suburban Orléans (about 50 km northwest of the wildfire location), instruments for various trace gases and particle compositions detected young biomass burning plumes from this wildfire. The data obtained enabled us to quantify the emissions from that fire and evaluate the possible impact on air quality in suburban Orléans.

475 Average concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} in September were 6.7 and 12.8 µg m⁻³, respectively, 476 which are close to or lower than the updated WHO air quality guideline values of 5 and 15 µg m⁻ 477 ³, respectively. However, $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} levels in the periods that were affected by wildfire 478 emissions increased substantially (29.6 and 42.6 µg m⁻³, respectively) and exceeded the WHO air 479 quality guideline values (Figure 3). Additionally, levels of a series of VOCs including BTEX 480 were also enhanced by the wildfire event.

481 Besides, the impacts of the wildfire on the size, composition, and properties of aerosol were 482 quantified. Within the wildfire plume, an increase in BC was observed and the observed BC_{wb}/BC_{ff} ratio of 0.32 was in the range of values (0.3 – 0.5) impacted by wildfire emissions. 483 484 Aerosol pH was significantly reduced in the plume as a result of the increase in sulfate. Besides, 485 Emission factors compared to CO were calculated for various gaseous pollutants and compared 486 with previous studies. Relatively higher EF ratios for GHGs (CH₄ and N₂O) were found within 487 the plume from this wildfire, indicative of the possibly important role of small fires in GHGs 488 burden.

Furthermore, emissions of this wildfire were estimated and compared with GFAS emission inventory. We found that the GFAS emission inventory significantly underestimated the emission from this small fire, which agrees with other studies (Ramo et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). This study suggested that the detection and prediction of small fire emissions still need further assessment and improvement.

494 The year 2020 was a warm year in Europe, with the autumn having the highest recorded 495 1950 ECWMF since (see temperatures news at 496 https://climate.copernicus.eu/esotc/2020/temperature, last access: 17 January 2022). Higher 497 temperatures are expected to lead to wildfire events in wildfire hotspot regions as well as those 498 regions where few wildfires were recorded before (e.g., Orléans) (Liu et al., 2010; Randerson et 499 al., 2012), which may be exacerbated by climate change. This not only reduces regional air 500 quality near those wildfires but also increases global greenhouse gas emissions. We suggest that 501 besides the major wildfire regions, attention should also be paid to the regions with few wildfires 502 recorded so far, in order to better understand their environmental effects and how their 503 distribution may change over time.

504 Acknowledgments

505 We thank Ministère de l'Agriculture et de l'Alimentation for providing information (including 506 the photo) about the wildfire.

507 Author Contribution

A.M. and V.C. led this study. C.X., B.G., Y.R., M.C., P.M., F.L.F., and C.R. performed the measurements. C.X. and G.K. performed the model simulations. C.X. took the lead in data analysis and manuscript writing with input from all co-authors. V.D., Y.M., MMG, A.M., and V.C. revised the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and approved the final manuscript.

512 Funding

- 513 This study was supported by the VOLTAIRE project (ANR-10-LABX-100-01) funded by the
- 514 ANR and the PIVOTS project provided by the Region Centre Val de Loire (ARD 2020
- 515 program and CPER 2015 2020).

516 Competing Interests

517 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

518 Data Availability

519 All the data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding authors.

520 References

- Akagi, S.K., Yokelson, R.J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M.J., Reid, J.S., Karl, T., Crounse, J.D., Wennberg, P.O.,
 2011. Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models. Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. 11, 4039–4072. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011
- Andreae, M.O., 2019. Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning An updated assessment. Atmos.
 Chem. Phys. 19, 8523–8546. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8523-2019
- Andreae, M.O., Merlet, P., 2001. Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning. Global Biogeochem.
 Cycles 15, 955–966. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001382
- Artés, T., Oom, D., de Rigo, D., Durrant, T.H., Maianti, P., Libertà, G., San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., 2019. A global
 wildfire dataset for the analysis of fire regimes and fire behaviour. Sci. Data 6, 296.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0312-2
- Atkinson, R., Arey, J., 2003. Atmospheric Degradation of Volatile Organic Compounds. Chem. Rev. 103, 4605–
 4638. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0206420
- Baray, J.L., Duflot, V., Posny, F., Cammas, J.P., Thompson, A.M., Gabarrot, F., Bonne, J.L., Zeng, G., 2012. One
 year ozonesonde measurements at Kerguelen Island (49.2°S, 70.1°E): Influence of stratosphere-to-troposphere
 exchange and long-range transport of biomass burning plumes. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 117, 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016717
- Barbero, R., Abatzoglou, J.T., Larkin, N.K., Kolden, C.A., Stocks, B., 2015. Climate change presents increased
 potential for very large fires in the contiguous United States. Int. J. Wildl. Fire 24, 892–899.
 https://doi.org/10.1071/WF15083
- Brocchi, V., Krysztofiak, G., Catoire, V., Guth, J., Marécal, V., Zbinden, R., El Amraoui, L., Dulac, F., Ricaud, P.,
 2018. Intercontinental transport of biomass burning pollutants over the Mediterranean Basin during the
 summer 2014 ChArMEx-GLAM airborne campaign. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18, 6887–6906.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6887-2018
- Brocchi, V., Krysztofiak, G., Deroubaix, A., Stratmann, G., Sauer, D., Schlager, H., Deetz, K., Dayma, G., Robert,
 C., Chevrier, S., Catoire, V., 2019. Local air pollution from oil rig emissions observed during the airborne
 DACCIWA campaign. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 19, 11401–11411. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11401-2019
- 547 Burling, I.R., Yokelson, R.J., Griffith, D.W.T., Johnson, T.J., Veres, P., Roberts, J.M., Warneke, C., Urbanski, S.P.,
- Reardon, J., Weise, D.R., Hao, W.M., De Gouw, J., 2010. Laboratory measurements of trace gas emissions
 from biomass burning of fuel types from the southeastern and southwestern United States. Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. 10, 11115–11130. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11115-2010
- 551 Cai, M., Ren, Y., Gibilisco, R.G., Grosselin, B., McGillen, M.R., Xue, C., Mellouki, A., Daële, V., 2022. Ambient
- BTEX concentrations during the COVID-19 lockdown in a peri-urban environment (Orléans, France).
 Atmosphere (Basel). 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13010010
- Catoire, V., Robert, C., Chartier, M., Jacquet, P., Guimbaud, C., Krysztofiak, G., 2017. The SPIRIT airborne
 instrument: a three-channel infrared absorption spectrometer with quantum cascade lasers for in situ

- atmospheric trace-gas measurements. Appl. Phys. B 123, 244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-017-6820-x
- 557 Chaliyakunnel, S., Millet, D.B., Wells, K.C., Cady-Pereira, K.E., Shephard, M.W., 2016. A Large Underestimate of
- Formic Acid from Tropical Fires: Constraints from Space-Borne Measurements. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50,
 5631–5640. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06385
- 560 Collier, S., Zhou, S., Onasch, T.B., Jaffe, D.A., Kleinman, L., Sedlacek, A.J., Briggs, N.L., Hee, J., Fortner, E.,
- 561 Shilling, J.E., Worsnop, D., Yokelson, R.J., Parworth, C., Ge, X., Xu, J., Butterfield, Z., Chand, D., Dubey,
- M.K., Pekour, M.S., Springston, S., Zhang, Q., 2016. Regional Influence of Aerosol Emissions from Wildfires
 Driven by Combustion Efficiency: Insights from the BBOP Campaign. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 8613–8622.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01617
- David, L.M., Ravishankara, A.R., Brey, S.J., Fischer, E. V, Volckens, J., Kreidenweis, S., 2021. Could the exception
 become the rule? "Uncontrollable" air pollution events in the U.S. due to wildland fires. Environ. Res. Lett.
 16, 034029. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe1f3
- Di Giuseppe, F., Rémy, S., Pappenberger, F., Wetterhall, F., 2018. Using the Fire Weather Index (FWI) to improve
 the estimation of fire emissions from fire radiative power (FRP) observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18, 5359–
 5370. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5359-2018
- Fountoukis, C., Nenes, A., 2007. ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for
 K+-Ca2+-Mg2+-NH4+-Na+-SO42--NO3--Cl--H2O aerosols. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 7, 4639-4659.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007
- 574 French, N.H.F., Kasischke, E.S., Williams, D.G., 2002. Variability in the emission of carbon-based trace gases from
 575 wildfire in the Alaskan boreal forest. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 8151. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000480
- 576 Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M.W., Andrew, R.M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G.P., Peters, W.,
 577 Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J.G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R.B., Alin, S., Aragão, L.E.O.C.,
- Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N.R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig, H.C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra,
 N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L.P., Evans, W., Florentie, L., Forster, P.M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D.,
- N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L.P., Evans, W., Florentie, L., Forster, P.M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D.,
 Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris, I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R.A., Ilvina, T., Jain,
- 581 A.K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J.I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton,
- 582 A., Lienert, S., Liu, Z., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D.R., Nabel, J.E.M.S., Nakaoka,
- 583 S.I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P.I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E.,
- 584 Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A.J.P., Sutton, A.J., Tanhua, T., Tans, P.P.,
- 585 Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Van Der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A.P., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A.J., Willis,
- 586 D., Wiltshire, A.J., Yuan, W., Yue, X., Zaehle, S., 2020. Global Carbon Budget 2020. Earth Syst. Sci. Data
- 587 12, 3269–3340. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020
- 588 Gallagher, M.W., Nemitz, E., Dorsey, J.R., Fowler, D., Sutton, M.A., Flynn, M., Duyzer, J., 2002. Measurements 589 and parameterizations of small aerosol deposition velocities to grassland, arable crops, and forest: Influence of 590 surface roughness length on deposition. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 107, 4154. 591 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000817
- 592 Granier, C., Müller, J.-F., Brasseur, G., 2000. The Impact of Biomass Burning on the Global Budget of Ozone and

- 593 Ozone Precursors. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 69–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47959-1_5
- Helin, A., Niemi, J. V., Virkkula, A., Pirjola, L., Teinilä, K., Backman, J., Aurela, M., Saarikoski, S., Rönkkö, T.,
 Asmi, E., Timonen, H., 2018. Characteristics and source apportionment of black carbon in the Helsinki
- 596 metropolitan area, Finland. Atmos. Environ. 190, 87–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.022
- Herich, H., Hueglin, C., Buchmann, B., 2011. A 2.5 year's source apportionment study of black carbon from wood
 burning and fossil fuel combustion at urban and rural sites in Switzerland. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 4, 1409–1420.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1409-2011
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz- Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu,
 R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G.,
 Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J.,
 Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R.J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley,
- 604 S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., Thépaut,
- 605 J., 2020. The ERA5 global reanalysis. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 146, 1999–2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
- Hirsch, E., Koren, I., 2021. Record-breaking aerosol levels explained by smoke injection into the stratosphere.
 Science 371, 1269–1274. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe1415
- Hodshire, A.L., Akherati, A., Alvarado, M.J., Brown-Steiner, B., Jathar, S.H., Jimenez, J.L., Kreidenweis, S.M.,
 Lonsdale, C.R., Onasch, T.B., Ortega, A.M., Pierce, J.R., 2019. Aging Effects on Biomass Burning Aerosol
 Mass and Composition: A Critical Review of Field and Laboratory Studies. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 10007–
 10022. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02588
- Hooghiem, J.J.D., Popa, M.E., Röckmann, T., Grooß, J.-U., Tritscher, I., Müller, R., Kivi, R., Chen, H., 2020.
 Wildfire smoke in the lower stratosphere identified by in situ CO observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20, 13985–14003. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13985-2020
- Jaffe, D.A., Wigder, N.L., 2012. Ozone production from wildfires: A critical review. Atmos. Environ. 51, 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.063
- Jiang, Z., Grosselin, B., Daële, V., Mellouki, A., Mu, Y., 2017. Seasonal and diurnal variations of BTEX
 compounds in the semi-urban environment of Orleans, France. Sci. Total Environ. 574, 1659–1664.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.08.214
- Jost, C., Trentmann, J., Sprung, D., Andreae, M.O., McQuaid, J.B., Barjat, H., 2003. Trace gas chemistry in a young
 biomass burning plume over Namibia: Observations and model simulations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 108.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002431
- Kalogridis, A.C., Vratolis, S., Liakakou, E., Gerasopoulos, E., Mihalopoulos, N., Eleftheriadis, K., 2018.
 Assessment of wood burning versus fossil fuel contribution to wintertime black carbon and carbon monoxide
 concentrations in Athens, Greece. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18, 10219–10236. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-1810219-2018
- Kloss, C., Berthet, G., Sellitto, P., Ploeger, F., Bucci, S., Khaykin, S., Jégou, F., Taha, G., Thomason, L.W., Barret,
 B., Le Flochmoen, E., Von Hobe, M., Bossolasco, A., Bègue, N., Legras, B., 2019. Transport of the 2017
 canadian wildfire plume to the tropics via the asian monsoon circulation. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 19, 13547–

- 630 13567. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-13547-2019
- Kloss, C., Sellitto, P., von Hobe, M., Berthet, G., Smale, D., Krysztofiak, G., Xue, C., Qiu, C., Jégou, F.,
 Ouerghemmi, I., Legras, B., 2021. Australian Fires 2019–2020: Tropospheric and Stratospheric Pollution
 Throughout the Whole Fire Season. Front. Environ. Sci. 9, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.652024
- Krysztofiak, G., Catoire, V., Hamer, P.D., Marécal, V., Robert, C., Engel, A., Bönisch, H., Grossmann, K., Quack,
 B., Atlas, E., Pfeilsticker, K., 2018. Evidence of convective transport in tropical West Pacific region during
 SHIVA experiment. Atmos. Sci. Lett. 19, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.798
- Li, S., Liu, D., Hu, D., Kong, S., Wu, Y., Ding, S., Cheng, Y., Qiu, H., Zheng, S., Yan, Q., Zheng, H., Hu, K.,
 Zhang, J., Zhao, D., Liu, Q., Sheng, J., Ye, J., He, H., Ding, D., 2021. Evolution of Organic Aerosol From
 Wood Smoke Influenced by Burning Phase and Solar Radiation. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 126, 1–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD034534
- Liu, Y., Stanturf, J., Goodrick, S., 2010. Trends in global wildfire potential in a changing climate. For. Ecol.
 Manage. 259, 685–697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.002
- 643 Mellouki, A., Ammann, M., Cox, R.A., Crowley, J.N., Herrmann, H., Jenkin, M.E., McNeill, V.F., Troe, J., 644 Wallington, T.J., 2021. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: volume VIII -645 of organic species with four, more, carbon gas-phase reactions or atoms (\geq 646 4797-4808. C<sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 21. 647 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-4797-2021
- Nie, W., Ding, A.J., Xie, Y.N., Xu, Z., Mao, H., Kerminen, V.-M., Zheng, L.F., Qi, X.M., Huang, X., Yang, X.-Q.,
 Sun, J.N., Herrmann, E., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Fu, C.B., 2015. Influence of biomass burning plumes on
 HONO chemistry in eastern China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 1147–1159. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11472015
- Peng, Q., Palm, B.B., Melander, K.E., Lee, B.H., Hall, S.R., Ullmann, K., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A.J., Apel,
 E.C., Hornbrook, R.S., Hills, A.J., Montzka, D.D., Flocke, F., Hu, L., Permar, W., Wielgasz, C., Lindaas, J.,
 Pollack, I.B., Fischer, E. V., Bertram, T.H., Thornton, J.A., 2020. HONO Emissions from Western U.S.
 Wildfires Provide Dominant Radical Source in Fresh Wildfire Smoke. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 5954–5963.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00126
- Pisso, I., Sollum, E., Grythe, H., Kristiansen, N.I., Cassiani, M., Eckhardt, S., Arnold, D., Morton, D., Thompson,
 R.L., Groot Zwaaftink, C.D., Evangeliou, N., Sodemann, H., Haimberger, L., Henne, S., Brunner, D.,
 Burkhart, J.F., Fouilloux, A., Brioude, J., Philipp, A., Seibert, P., Stohl, A., 2019. The Lagrangian particle
 dispersion model FLEXPART version 10.4. Geosci. Model Dev. 12, 4955–4997. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd12-4955-2019
- Quegan, S., Le Toan, T., Chave, J., Dall, J., Exbrayat, J.F., Minh, D.H.T., Lomas, M., D'Alessandro, M.M., Paillou,
 P., Papathanassiou, K., Rocca, F., Saatchi, S., Scipal, K., Shugart, H., Smallman, T.L., Soja, M.J., Tebaldini,
 S., Ulander, L., Villard, L., Williams, M., 2019. The European Space Agency BIOMASS mission: Measuring
 forest above-ground biomass from space. Remote Sens. Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.03.032
- 666 R'Honi, Y., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., Duflot, V., Turquety, S., Ngadi, Y., Coheur, P.F., 2013.

- Exceptional emissions of NH₃ and HCOOH in the 2010 Russian wildfires. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 4171–
 4181. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-4171-2013
- Ramo, R., Roteta, E., Bistinas, I., van Wees, D., Bastarrika, A., Chuvieco, E., van der Werf, G.R., 2021. African
 burned area and fire carbon emissions are strongly impacted by small fires undetected by coarse resolution
 satellite data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 118, e2011160118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011160118
- 672 Randerson, J.T., Chen, Y., van der Werf, G.R., Rogers, B.M., Morton, D.C., 2012. Global burned area and biomass
- burning emissions from small fires. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 117, G4012.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JG002128
- Saunois, M., Stavert, A.R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J.G., Jackson, R.B., Raymond, P.A., Dlugokencky,
 E.J., Houweling, S., Patra, P.K., Ciais, P., Arora, V.K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D.R.,
 Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K.M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P.M.,
- 678 Covey, K., Curry, C.L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M.I., Höglund-Isaksson, L.,
- Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K.M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel,
- 680 P.B., Langenfelds, R.L., Laruelle, G.G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K.C., McNorton, J.,
- 681 Miller, P.A., Melton, J.R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty,
- 682 S., Parker, R.J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G.P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W.J.,
- 683 Rosentreter, J.A., Segers, A., Simpson, I.J., Shi, H., Smith, S.J., Steele, L.P., Thornton, B.F., Tian, H.,
- Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F.N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T.S., van Weele, M., van der
 Werf, G.R., Weiss, R.F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y.,
- 686 Zheng, B., Zhu, Qing, Zhu, Qiuan, Zhuang, Q., 2020. The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017. Earth Syst.

687 Sci. Data 12, 1561–1623. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020

- Seiler, W., Crutzen, P.J., 1980. Estimates of gross and net fluxes of carbon between the biosphere and the
 atmosphere from biomass burning. Clim. Change. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00137988
- 690 Seinfeld, J.H., Pandis, S.N., 2016. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change,
 691 John Wiley & Sons. John Wiley & Sons.
- Tang, Y.S., Flechard, C.R., Dämmgen, U., Vidic, S., Djuricic, V., Mitosinkova, M., Uggerud, H.T., Sanz, M.J.,
 Simmons, I., Dragosits, U., Nemitz, E., Twigg, M., van Dijk, N., Fauvel, Y., Sanz, F., Ferm, M., Perrino, C.,
 Catrambone, M., Leaver, D., Braban, C.F., Cape, J.N., Heal, M.R., Sutton, M.A., 2021. Pan-European rural
 monitoring network shows dominance of NH₃ gas and NH₄NO₃ aerosol in inorganic atmospheric pollution
 load. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 21, 875–914. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-875-2021
- Theys, N., Volkamer, R., Müller, J.-F., Zarzana, K.J., Kille, N., Clarisse, L., De Smedt, I., Lerot, C., Finkenzeller,
 H., Hendrick, F., Koenig, T.K., Lee, C.F., Knote, C., Yu, H., Van Roozendael, M., 2020. Global nitrous acid
 emissions and levels of regional oxidants enhanced by wildfires. Nat. Geosci. 13, 681–686.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0637-7
- Van Der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Van Leeuwen, T.T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B.M., Mu, M., Van Marle,
 M.J.E., Morton, D.C., Collatz, G.J., Yokelson, R.J., Kasibhatla, P.S., 2017. Global fire emissions estimates
 during 1997-2016. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 9, 697–720. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-697-2017

- 704 Wang, S., Coggon, M.M., Gkatzelis, G.I., Warneke, C., Bourgeois, I., Ryerson, T., Peischl, J., Veres, P.R., Neuman,
- 705 J.A., Hair, J., Shingler, T., Fenn, M., Diskin, G., Huey, L.G., Lee, Y.R., Apel, E.C., Hornbrook, R.S., Hills,
- 706 A.J., Hall, S.R., Ullmann, K., Bela, M.M., Trainer, M.K., Kumar, R., Orlando, J.J., Flocke, F.M., Emmons,
- 707 L.K., 2021. Chemical Tomography in a Fresh Wildland Fire Plume: A Large Eddy Simulation (LES) Study. J. 708
- Geophys. Res. Atmos. 126. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035203
- 709 Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S.K., Yokelson, R.J., Emmons, L.K., Al-Saadi, J.A., Orlando, J.J., Soja, A.J., 2011. The 710 Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): A high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open 711 burning. Geosci. Model Dev. 4, 625-641. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011
- 712 Xu, L., Crounse, J.D., Vasquez, K.T., Allen, H., Wennberg, P.O., Bourgeois, I., Brown, S.S., Campuzano-Jost, P.,
- 713 Coggon, M.M., Crawford, J.H., DiGangi, J.P., Diskin, G.S., Fried, A., Gargulinski, E.M., Gilman, J.B.,
- 714 Gkatzelis, G.I., Guo, H., Hair, J.W., Hall, S.R., Halliday, H.A., Hanisco, T.F., Hannun, R.A., Holmes, C.D.,
- 715 Huey, L.G., Jimenez, J.L., Lamplugh, A., Lee, Y.R., Liao, J., Lindaas, J., Neuman, J.A., Nowak, J.B., Peischl,
- 716 J., Peterson, D.A., Piel, F., Richter, D., Rickly, P.S., Robinson, M.A., Rollins, A.W., Ryerson, T.B., Sekimoto,
- 717 K., Selimovic, V., Shingler, T., Soja, A.J., St. Clair, J.M., Tanner, D.J., Ullmann, K., Veres, P.R., Walega, J.,
- 718 Warneke, C., Washenfelder, R.A., Weibring, P., Wisthaler, A., Wolfe, G.M., Womack, C.C., Yokelson, R.J., 719 2021. Ozone chemistry in western U.S. wildfire plumes. Sci. Adv. 7. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abl3648
- 720 Yttri, K.E., Lund Myhre, C., Eckhardt, S., Fiebig, M., Dye, C., Hirdman, D., Ström, J., Klimont, Z., Stohl, A., 2014. 721 Quantifying black carbon from biomass burning by means of levoglucosan - A one-year time series at the 722 Arctic observatory Zeppelin. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14, 6427–6442. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6427-2014
- 723 Yu, P., Toon, O.B., Bardeen, C.G., Zhu, Y., Rosenlof, K.H., Portmann, R.W., Thornberry, T.D., Gao, R.-S., Davis, 724 S.M., Wolf, E.T., de Gouw, J., Peterson, D.A., Fromm, M.D., Robock, A., 2019. Black carbon lofts wildfire 725 smoke high into the stratosphere to form a persistent plume. Science 365, 587-590. 726 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax1748
- 727 Zheng, B., Chevallier, F., Yin, Y., Ciais, P., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Deeter, M.N., Parker, R.J., Wang, Y., Worden, 728 H.M., Zhao, Y., 2019. Global atmospheric carbon monoxide budget 2000-2017 inferred from multi-species
- 729 atmospheric inversions. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 11, 1411–1436. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1411-2019
- 730