



Complete 1, Mainz November 14-16, 2022

Complex predicates in Wolof (Atlantic): a first overview

Stéphane Robert

stephane.robert@cnrs.fr







INTRODUCTION

Wolof and its typological characteristics

- Niger-Congo (Atlantic branch) Senegal (~10 M speakers)
- SVO(X) (except for focused clauses and clitic pronouns)
- Accusative alignment but no case distinction
 - Syntactic functions : marked by constituent order (± der.)
- A simplified Noun class system :
 - no marking on N: agreement of the N modifiers (important for infinitive)
- A complex verbal system
 - 12 primary inflectional paradigms

NB. No morphological converbs or participles...

No serial verb construction

No light verb construction

Twelve primary inflections

- Focus	Presentative, Zero-tense, Perfect, Future	
+ Focus Verb Focus, Subject Focus, Complement Foc		
+ Negation	Negative, Emphatic Negative, Prohibitive	
+ Jussive	Obligative, Imperative (and Prohibitive)	

Inflections:

 Aspect and tense merged with other verbal specifications (focus, polarity, modality and person)

An aspect-temporal system:

- unmarked perfective, using the speech moment as tense locus

Verbal constituent: two components

V lexical stem + inflectional marker (invariant ± der.) preposed, postposed, or suffixed to V

moo daanu na
 FocS.3sG fall fall PFT.3SG
 's/he is the one who fell down' 's/he has fallen down'
 lekk-uma 'I have not eaten'
 eat-NEG.1SG

Inflections: predicative markers
 Every clause has to contain one and only one of them

→ A criteria for identifying VCP



VCP: THE MOST COMMON CONSTRUCTION



- The clearest case
- VCP identified by
 - A construction with an =a marker ('verbal linker' LINK)
 - Morphosyntactic properties: clitics' raising

The construction:

inflected V1 ...= uninflected V2 (OX)

- =a : a 'special (en)clitic marker': no other use; cf. Zwicky1977
 - Introduces V2 and must preceed it
 - but is incorporated to the last constituent of first V phrase
 (lexical stem ±TAM markers ±clitics)

 Stray Adjunction
- What type of construction is it in the typology of VCP?

EXAMPLES

a. Dama bëgg=a jubbanti tank-u siis bi.

FOC.V.1SG love=LINK straighten leg-GEN chair the.CLb

'I want to straighten the leg of the chair.'

VØN

a'. *Dama bëgg lem*FOC.V.1SG love honey
'I love honey'

V.....=*a* preceeds V2

b. Jaadu-wul-oon=a def
be.legal-NEG.3SG-PST=LINK do
'it was not legal to do'

Here: interveining are TAM but clitic pronoms also possible....

CLITICS' PLACEMENT

cf. ko

(Voisin 2006)

- V2 selects the objects arguments of the VP
- But, when clitic: raising to V1 domain
 - a. Bëgg naa ko:o xam:al.
 want PRF:S1SG O.3SG O.3SG:LINK know:CAUS

'I want to let him know this.'

The two ko are the two object arguments of the ditransitive xamal

Raising: only for clitics, cf ditransitive jox

b. Nanga xaar [...], nga soog <u>ko:o</u> jox <u>caabi woto bi</u>.

OBL.2SG wait ZERO.2SG just.do **O.3SG:**LINK give key car the.CLb

Wait [until he has digested his drunkenness] to hand him the car keys.

TYPES OF V1 IN THIS CONSTRUCTION

- Different types (cover term: 'operator verbs')
- Some can be considered as 'auxiliaries':

TAM values or modalities of realization of **V2** ('nuclear' V)

	in lexical use	in VCP construction		
faral	_	be used to do ≠ IPFV TAM suff		
sog	-	have just done; then do		
xaw		have almost done,		
bëgg	love	want, be about to do		
mës	taste	have experienced doing		
naaje < naaj	be late (morning)	do late in the morning		
gaaw	be fast	be fast in doing		
etc				

but most cannot be considered as grammaticalized auxiliaries

- The full list of possible V1 still to be established (preliminary works)
- Verb types in V1 and their semantic values still to be sorted
- Two subcases of VCP have been identified
- (1) V1: quality verb and VCP has no object (even V2 is transitive)

ndaa li diis naa yenu
canary the.CLl be.heavy PFT.3SG:LINK carry.on.head
'The jar is heavy to carry'

S is **not** the agent of V2

- (2) V1: stative or dynamic V1 and the VCP can have an object
 - a. àttan-uma <u>ko</u>o def be.able-NEG.3SG O.3SG:**LINK** do I am not able to do it'

b. noppi naa liggééy stop PFT.3SG:**LINK** work' 'He has stopped working'

S is the agent of V2 (S animate)

A FEW OTHER CASES

Vx=a Vx (same verb): intensive meaning

```
Dafa réy-oon=a réy 'He was really fat' FOC.V.3SG be.fat-PST=LINK be.fat
```

Another construction: V1 ak=a V2 (V1 with-LINK V2) ak: comitative prep.!

```
Dañu ko jàpp, mu:y tëb ak=a dal

FOC.V.3SG him catch, ZERO.3SG:IPFV jump with=LINK land

'they caught him, he was struggling ~ stomping' (lit. jump with land)

tëb ak a dal 'jump with land' = to struggle

dem ak a dikk 'go with come' = move back and forth

yéeg ak a wàcc 'raise with descend'' = 'to yo-yo' → A single event

ak=a ~ ak di (free variants!)
```





- General alternation between =a, di and \varnothing : not so clear!
- =a is the marker of VCP but it is not always present
 (1) 'alternates' with di: imperfective copula ~-y suffix (! check)
 - both are in complementary distribution
 - Aspectual contrast
 - a. Dafa bëgg=**a** séy ak moom V2: single action 'She wants to marry him'
 - b. Dafa bëgg di ànd ak tëggkat yi V2: iterative, pluriactional, 'He likes to (lit. accompany with) be around musicians.'
 - Free variant
 - c. Ci suba si laa-y gën-a faral=a/di xaw-a sonn
- **4** Verbs *gën*: be more, *faral*: be used to do, *xaw*: do almost, *sonn*: be tired 'It is especially in the morning that I am (episodically) a little **tired**'

di pluriactional vs. =a aspectually neutral (punctual or pluriact.)

(2) Second case: no marker between V1 and V2

V1 Ø V2 : rarely but when ?

Difficult question, blurred by variation:

- (rarely) dialectal variation (e.g in Saint-Louis, Church 1981)
- (mostly) new trend of omitting =a in urban Wolof (vehicular) incorrect for elders; never happens in the villages (rural Wolof: =a is even inserted between FOC.V and V)

But some clear cases where =a is prohibited:

- After 'come' and 'go' (only motion verbs)
- a. *noo now o sanc foofa* 'they're the one who came to settle there '
- b. maa ngiy dem Øbéy-i
 'I'm going/leaving to farm'

Why? Because V2 is the **goal/endpoint** of the motion verb? But then...

Why there is no =a after jàng 'learn'? A pending question... jàng ∅ daw:al awyon / awyon bi Dafa FOC.V.3SG learn run:CAUS plane plane the.CLb 'He learned to fly a plane / the plane' Consultant: 'here dawal is not a verb, it is a noun' cf. many stems are bivalent in Wolof: V/N (añ 'lunch') However: it is not an ordinary 'noun' because of its complement $N-\mathbf{U}$ N genitival connector $\neq dawal \varnothing awyon$ dawal is a nominal form of the verb: an infinitive Voisin 2006: Wolof has two infinitive forms the bare infinitive (lexical verb stem) and the **=a** infinitive // Engl. bare infinitive vs. to infinitive

THE INFINITIVE(S) IN WOLOF

The two are used in different constructions (Voisin 2006, Guérin 2016)

Bare infinitive, unlike the =a infinitive

```
clitic objects always come after the verb (no raising)

Dinaa la telefone ngir [wóor:al ko]

FUT.1SG 0.2SG phone for [be.sure:CAUS 0.3SG]

'I will phone you to (PREP) [confirm it]'
```

• Qualifying the VCP =a construction as an infinitive construction? Not very satisfying considering this 'special clitic' and its Stray adjunction.

CONCLUSION

Several constructions have been identified

- V1-V2 construction with its 'enigmatic' linker
 The canonical construction VCP
 - A few subtypes identifed
 - V1 : TAM auxiliary
 - The 'tautological' or 'stuttering' construction (Vx-linker Vx) with intensive value
 - The 'hard to digest' construction with non-agentive \$
- V1 with linker V2
 - i.e the 'yo-yo' construction: VCP= a single composite event
- V1 imperfective copula V2: aretan aspectually marked variant of the neutral linker
- The zero linker or bare (infinitive) construction...
- On which so many things are still to investigate

Last word

Bëgga dem taxula mëna dem. Mëna dem ay taxa dem (Prov.) il ne suffit pas de vouloir partir, il faut pouvoir le faire!

 Continuity between VCP and complement clause constructions tax: 'to cause'

(Nouguier Voisin 2002, 192 sqq)

- Periphrastic causative (regular complement clause)
- a. Nit kii, yaa ko tax-a jóg.
 human this.CLk FOC.S.2SG O.3SG cause-LINK get.up
 'This man, it is for you that he came.'
 Lit. 'it is you who caused him to come'
- VCP causative
- b. Tax nga [mu jooy].cause PFT.2SG [ZERO.2SG cry]'You made him cry.' Lit. 'you caused he cried'

No semantic differences (< semantics of tax (auxil.)?

ARGUMENT CONTROL

- V2 selects the properties of the VCP arguments
 - Subject : no restriction on the selection of S
 - a. Saxaar angi bëgg-a deqi.

 train PRES(3SG) want-LINK start

 'The train is about to start.'
 - Object: no restriction on the selection of S
 - b. Nanga xaar [...], nga soog <u>koo</u> jox <u>caabi</u> woto bi.

 OBL.2SG wait ZERO.2SG just.do O.3SG:LINK give key car the.CLb

 Wait [until he has digested his drunkenness] to hand him the car keys.
- But arguments are syntactically controlled by V1: pronoun raising cf. ko in b.

b. Dama xaw=a sonnFOC.V.1SG almost.do=LINK be.tired'I am a little bit tired'

The 12 primary conjugations for lekk 'eat'

	PERFECT	Presentative	VERB FOCUS	Subject focus	COMPLEMENT FOCUS
1 sg	lekk naa	maa ngi lekk	dama lekk	maa lekk	loolu laa lekk
2	lekk nga	yaa ngi lekk	dang lekk	yaa lekk	loolu nga lekk
3	lekk na	mu ngi lekk	da(fa) lekk	moo ~ NP-a lekk	loolu la lekk
1 pl	lekk nanu	nu ngi lekk	danu lekk	noo lekk	loolu lanu lekk
2	lekk ngeen	yeena ngi lekk	dangeen lekk	yeena lekk	loolu ngeenlekk
3	lekk nañu	ñu ngi lekk	dañu lekk	ñoo lekk	loolu lañu lekk
	ZERO-TENSE a.k.a NARRATIVE	(FUTURE) cf. PERFECT	OBLIGATIVE	NEGATIVE	EMPHATIC NEGATIVE
1 sg	ma lekk	di-naa lekk	naa lekk	lekk-uma	duma lekk
2	nga lekk	di-nga lekk	nanga lekk	lekk-uloo	doo lekk
3	mu~Ø lekk	di-na lekk	na lekk	lekk-ul	du lekk
1 pl	nu lekk	di-nanu lekk	nanu lekk	lekk-unu	dunu lekk
2	ngeen lekk	di-ngeen lekk	nangeen lekk	lekk-uleen	dungeen lekk
3	ñu ~Ø lekk	di-nañu lekk	nañu lekk	lekk-uñu	duñu lekk
	IMPERATIVE	PROHIBITIVE ³	4-00-011110000-01111000-01111		
2 sg	lekk-al	bul lekk			
2 pl	lekk-leen	buleen lekk			