

Modelling spreading with degassing using anisotherm viscoplastic multiphase shallow water approximation

Thomas Schiano, Barbara Bigot, Jean-François Haquet, Pierre Saramito, Claude Smutek

To cite this version:

Thomas Schiano, Barbara Bigot, Jean-François Haquet, Pierre Saramito, Claude Smutek. Modelling spreading with degassing using anisotherm viscoplastic multiphase shallow water approximation. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 2023, 167, pp.104527. $10.1016/j.ij$ multiphaseflow.2023.104527 . hal-03893508

HAL Id: hal-03893508 <https://hal.science/hal-03893508v1>

Submitted on 11 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Modelling spreading with degassing using anisotherm viscoplastic multiphase shallow water approximation

Thomas Schiano^{a,b}, Barbara Bigot^{a,∗}, Jean-François Haquet^a, Pierre Saramito^b, Claude Smutek^c

^aCEA, DES, IRESNE, DTN, SMTA, LMAG, Cadarache F-13108 St Paul Lez Durance France

^bLaboratoire Jean Kuntzmann, Grenoble-Alpes University, 700 Avenue Centrale, 38400 Saint Martin d'Hères ^cLaboratoire GéoSciences Réunion, Réunion University, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Sorbonne Paris-Cité, 15 av. René Cassin, 97400 Saint-Denis

Abstract

A tridimensional multiphase viscoplastic anisotherm free surface model is developed, it is reduced to an height-averaged bidimensional model and its use for molten nuclear core spreading is argued with dimensionless numbers. It describes the tridimensional flow as non-Newtonian, non-isothermal and a mixture of a continuous phase and gas released from a substrate. Using an adaptive finite element method based on C_{++} library Rheolef, simulations are ran. A sensitivity analysis on the numerical parameters and the gas inflow rate are conducted. Tridimensional solution of the problem is computed from the bidimensional height-averaged solution.

Keywords: Spreading, Free-surface, Shallow Water, Degassing, Phase field, Modelling, Numerical Simulation

1. Introduction

High density and temperature fluid spreading is an issue met in many situations such as volcanic lava flows $[1, 2]$ and nuclear severe accidents $[3, 4]$. These are described by complex multiphase flows characterized by coupled multi-physics phenomena such as solidification due to heat transfers (at the surface by radiation or by convection in the presence of water, with the substrate by conduction), the rheology of the fluid (possibly non Newtonian) or interactions with the substrate (ablation, degassing). A viscoplastic (non Newtonian) description of corium has been gathering interest since the viscosity and yield stress measurements made by Roche et al. [5]. They lead us to consider a greater range of fluid behavior. Fig. 1 presents the stress tensor according to the deformation rate tensor and allows illustrating the different behaviors of a fluid according to the Herschel-Bulkley $[6]$ viscoplastic model that depends on two parameters: the fluid index, n, and yield stress, τ_y . For $n = 1$ and $\tau_y = 0$, this fluid is considered as Newtonian, for $n = 1$ and $\tau_y \neq 0$ as viscoplastic Binghamian [7], and for $n \neq 1$ and $\tau_y \neq 0$ as general viscoplastic.

As pointed out by Saramito and Wachs [8], the regularization approach currently used in industrial codes lacks a general convergence result of the solution with the regularization parameter and cannot follow the unyielded regions of a yield stress fluid flow with the deformation rate tensor $\dot{\gamma}=0.$

[∗]This is to indicate the corresponding author.

Email address: barbara.bigot@cea.fr (Barbara Bigot)

Figure 1: Stress tensor according to deformation rate tensor illustrating the different behaviors of a fluid according to the Herschel-Bulkley model with two parameters: the fluid index, n, and yield stress, τ_y . For $n = 1$ and $\tau_y = 0$, fluid is considered as Newtonian, for $n = 1$ and $\tau_y \neq 0$ as Binghamian, and for $n \neq 1$ as viscoplastic.

The use of asymptotic analysis to provide shallow approximations for free surface viscoplastic flows has been introduced by Liu and Mei [9] and further experimental and mathematical analysis was performed by Balmforth et al. [10]. Spread over an arbitrary topography was studied by Bernabeu et al. [11]. Adding thermal effects has shown to be more complex as the energy equation does not reduce to a bidimensional one using the asymptotic analysis approach. Bercovici and Lin investigated this particular issue for Newtonian fluids in [12] and Balmforth et al. [13] built upon it to propose a model with temperature-dependent consistency and temperature-independent yield stress for shallow viscoplastic flows. Then, Bernabeu et al. [14] proposed a model with heightaveraged temperature-dependent consistency and yield-stress comparing second ad third order polynomial vertical distribution of temperature.

Here, we propose to adapt this viscoplastic model to shallow anisotherm multiphase spreading with a second-order temperature polynomial approximation with non-constant viscosity using a model based on Shaw law [15] and first-order volume fraction polynomial approximation.

To account for the effect of degassing, we base our method on the phase field method which has been introduced by Cahn and Hilliard [16] then enhanced in Allen and Cahn [17]. We use a mixture model as described in [18, 19], using relative velocity models from the works of Hibiki and Ishii [20, 21, 22] and the continuum surface force model by Brackbill et al. [23].

We first present the tridimensional model, then perform a dimensional analysis on it before giving its reduced form by expanding on the process provided by Bernabeu et al. [14]. Then, using the C_{++} library Rheolef [24], sensitivity analysis is performed on numerical parameters and gas inflow velocity. Finally, we discuss the tridimensional reconstructed results.

2. Mathematical model

In this section, we present the multiphase viscoplastic model. Fig. 2 shows the spreading scheme with the melt spread. It involves three domains, denoted by the indices f,s and ext that represent respectively the melt, the substrate and the ambient environment of the spread. Let Q be an open set of \mathbb{R}^3 of regular border ∂Q be the whole domain. It splits as $Q = Q_f(t) \cup Q_s$ where $Q_f(t)$ is the melt flow region, and Q_s the substrate. The boundary of the melt flow region also splits as $\partial Q_f(t) = \Gamma_f(t) \cup \Gamma_s \cup \Gamma_w$ where $\Gamma_f(t)$ is the free surface of the flow, Γ_s the horizontal plane where the melt is in contact with the substrate where degassing happens and Γ_w the vertical walls. Finally, a part $\Gamma_e \subset \Gamma_s$ corresponds to the melt alimentation region, where there is an inflow.

Figure 2: Multiphase spreading: its geometry and notations

2.1. Tridimensional mixture model

We use the phase field method equation used by Chiu [25], replacing the curvature proportional term by a relative velocity dependent one in order to track the volume fraction of the melt ϕ , such as:

$$
\partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u}.\nabla \phi + \nabla \phi (1 - \phi) \mathbf{w} = 0 \tag{1a}
$$

where $u = \phi u_1 + (1 - \phi)u_2$ is the volumetric mixture velocity with u_1 and u_2 respectively the continuous and dispersed velocities and $w = u_1 - u_2$ is the relative velocity between phases, following the model from Damian and Nigro [18], we model it by neglecting relative velocity along the x and y directions with a constitutive law:

$$
w_z = w_s \phi (1 - \phi) \tag{1b}
$$

the w_s constant can be interpreted as in [18] by the terminal velocity of a single bubble moving in a continuous phase and we can determine it using the drag laws provided by Hibiki and Ishii in [22] for bubbly flows from which we use $w_s =$ sing the drag laws provided by Hibiki and Ishii
 $\sqrt{2}(\frac{|g|\sigma_{cap}(\rho_1-\rho_2)}{\rho_1^2})^{1/4}$, with ρ_1 and ρ_2 the density of media 1 and 2 and σ_{cap} the surface tension. This assumption is justified for our application in section §3.

We model the two phases using a mixture model that uses the Herschel-Bulkley constitutive equation, which expresses the deviatoric part τ of the Cauchy stress tensor in the melt as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\boldsymbol{\tau} = \left(K(\theta, \phi) \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{n-1} + \frac{\tau_y(\theta, \phi)}{|\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}|} \right) \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} & \text{when } \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \neq 0, \\
|\boldsymbol{\tau}| \le \tau_y(\theta, \phi) & \text{otherwise,} \n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1c)

where $\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^T$ is the shear rate tensor and θ is the temperature. Also $K(\theta, \phi)$ is the temperature and volume fraction-dependent consistency index, $\tau_y(\theta, \phi)$, the temperature-dependent yield stress and n is the power-law index, As depicted on Fig. 1, for $\tau_y = 0$ and $n = 1$, the fluid is Newtonian, and $K(\theta, \phi)$ is the temperature and volume fraction-dependent viscosity. Here, the temperature dependence of both consistency index and yield stress follow the Shaw model with the same coefficient [15]:

$$
K(\theta, \phi) = \phi K_e \exp\left(Arr \left(\frac{\theta_e - \theta}{\theta_e - \theta_a} \right) \right) + (1 - \phi) K_2
$$

$$
\tau_y(\theta, \phi) = \phi \tau_{y,e} \exp\left(Arr \left(\frac{\theta_e - \theta}{\theta_e - \theta_a} \right) \right)
$$

with K_e and $\tau_{y,e}$, the value of $K(\theta, \phi)$ and $\tau_y(\theta, \phi)$ at the inlet reference temperature θ_e and with $\phi = 1$. The values of the constants $\tau_{y,e}$, K_e and Arr are determined through the viscosity and yield stress measurements of Roche et al. [5] and Ramacciotti et al. [26] for the application in this article. K_2 is the value of $K(\theta, \phi)$ for $\phi = 0$. Assuming a constant density for both phases for the continuous phase and the dispersed phase and a mixture density of $\rho(\phi) = \phi \rho_1 + (1 - \phi) \rho_2$, the mass conservation equation of the mixture yields:

$$
\nabla \mathbf{.} \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{1d}
$$

and using the continuum surface force (CSF) model by Brackbill et al. [23], the momentum conservation equation becomes:

$$
\partial_t(\rho(\phi)\mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho(\phi)\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = \nabla \cdot (-p\mathbb{I} + \boldsymbol{\tau}) - \sigma_{cap} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|}\right) \nabla \phi + \rho(\phi) \mathbf{g}
$$
(1e)

where p is the pressure and q the gravity vector. The energy conservation equation reads:

$$
c_p(\partial_t(\theta \rho(\phi)) + \mathbf{u}.\nabla \theta \rho(\phi)) - \nabla \cdot (k(\phi) \nabla \theta) = 0 \tag{1f}
$$

with c_p the specific heat capacity and k the volume fraction-dependent heat conduction coefficient, with $k(\phi) = \phi k_e + (1 - \phi)k_2$, k_e its value for the first phase and k_2 its value for the second one. As in [14] and [27], we neglect the friction dissipation term and temperature-driven buoyancy. Considering the substrate Q_s as a rigid solid that is not ablated, the energy conservation equation in the substrate is:

$$
\rho_s c_{ps} \partial_t \theta - \nabla \cdot (k_s \nabla \theta) = 0 \tag{1g}
$$

where ρ_s is the density of the substrate, c_{ps} , its specific heat capacity, and k_s , its heat conduction coefficient. Finally, we close the set of equations $(1c)-(1g)$ with initial and boundary conditions. We assume that the free surface $\Gamma_f(t)$ can be explicitly described by the mixture height function h by:

$$
\Gamma_f(t) = \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \; ; \; z = h(t, x, y) \right\}
$$

where, by convention, the plane $z = 0$ coincides with the melt-substrate interface Γ_s , see Fig. 2. Note that the height $h(t, x, y)$ is defined for all $t > 0$ and $(x, y) \in \Gamma_s \cup \Gamma_e$. Then, the transport of the free surface by the flow writes:

$$
\partial_t h + u_x \partial_x h + u_y \partial_y h - u_z = 0 \tag{1h}
$$

The initial conditions on velocity, height, temperature and volume fraction read:

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(t=0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0 \text{ in } Q_f(t=0) \tag{1}
$$

$$
h(t=0) = h_0 \text{ on } \Gamma_s \tag{1j}
$$

$$
\theta(t=0) = \theta_0 \text{ in } Q_f(t=0) \cup \Gamma_s \cup Q_s \tag{1k}
$$

$$
\phi(t=0) = \phi_0 \text{ in } Q_f(t=0) \cup \Gamma_s \cup Q_s \tag{11}
$$

where u_0 , h_0 , θ_0 and ϕ_0 are known from experimental measurements. In the following study, they correspond to $h_0 = 0$, $u_0 = 0$, $\theta_0 = \theta_a$, the atmospheric temperature and $\phi_0 = 0$. A Dirichlet condition for velocity on the substrate plane Γ_s and the inlet plane Γ_e is used, as they are considered as no-slip boundaries. It is completed with the inlet velocity condition for the z-component:

$$
u_x = u_y = 0 \text{ and } u_z = w_e \text{ on } (\Gamma_e \cup \Gamma_s) \cap \partial Q_f(t)
$$
\n(1m)

where w_e is the inlet velocity, which is deduced from the measured flow rate for the region $\Gamma_e \cap$ $\partial Q_f(t)$ and from the degassing flow rate for the substrate contact region $\Gamma_s \cap \partial Q_f(t)$. On the vertical walls Γ_w , the no-slip boundary condition simply becomes $u = 0$. On the free surface $\Gamma_f(t)$, surface tension effects are neglected, so the normal Cauchy stress is just zero, i.e.:

$$
(\boldsymbol{\tau} - p\boldsymbol{I}).\boldsymbol{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_f(t) \tag{1n}
$$

where *n* denotes the outward unit normal at $Q_f(t)$ on the free surface $\Gamma_f(t)$. A Dirichlet condition for temperature on Γ_s is given to model inlet temperature in its inlet region Γ_e .

$$
\theta = \theta_e \text{ on } \Gamma_e \tag{10}
$$

On Γ_s but outside of this inlet region Γ_e , the melt is in contact with the substrate: the temperature is continuous across Γ_s while the heat transfer is considered to be dominantly conductive:

$$
\theta_{|Q_f} = \theta_{|Q_s} \text{ on } \Gamma_s \backslash \Gamma_e \tag{1p}
$$

$$
k \, \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla(\theta_{|Q_f}) = k_s \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla(\theta_{|Q_s}) \text{ on } \Gamma_s \backslash \Gamma_e \tag{1q}
$$

where $\theta_{|Q_f}$ (resp. $\theta_{|Q_s}$) denotes the restriction of the temperature θ in the melt (resp. substrate) region and **n** is the outward unit normal at $Q_f(t)$ on the substate plane Γ_s . On the free surface $\Gamma_f(t)$, both radiative and convective heat transfers with the environment are taken into account:

$$
k \, \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \theta + \epsilon \sigma_{SB} \left(\theta^4 - \theta_a^4 \right) + \lambda (\theta - \theta_a) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_f(t)
$$
 (1r)

with ϵ is the emissivity, σ_{SB} , the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and λ , the convective heat transfer coefficient. Finally, the temperature at the bottom of the substrate is assumed to tend to the atmospheric one:

$$
\theta(z = -\infty) = \theta_a. \tag{1s}
$$

A Dirichlet condition for volume fraction is given on Γ_s and Γ_e , presuming that each border can release only one type of phase:

$$
\phi = 1 \text{ on } \Gamma_e \tag{1t}
$$

$$
\phi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_s \tag{1u}
$$

The set of equations $(1c)-(1u)$ defines the tridimentional multiphase viscoplastic melt spreading problem with six unknowns $(\tau, \mathbf{u}, p, h, \theta, \phi)$ that is time and space-dependent, while the computational space is time-dependent since it involves a free-surface.

2.2. Dimensional analysis

In 2013, Bernabeu et al. [11] has shown that the tridimensional isothermal viscoplastic free-surface problem could be reduced as a bidimensional one in terms of the height h only as unknown This reduction bases on a dimensional and asymptotic analysis, assuming that the aspect ratio of the height versus the horizontal length is small. This result was extended in 2016 in Bernabeu et al. $[14]$ for anisotherm viscoplastic free-surface flows, with the height h and the height-averaged temperature $\bar{\theta}$ as unknowns. We define the height average $\bar{\xi}$ of any quantity ξ by:

$$
\overline{\xi}(t,x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h(t,x,y)} \int_0^{h(t,x,y)} \xi(t,x,y,z) \, dz & \text{when } h(t,x,y) \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Here we perform the same reduction process for tridimensional anisotherm multiphase viscoplastic free-surface flows. We neglect the effect of temperature and volume fraction vertical variation on density, consistency index, yield stress and heat conduction coefficient, so they depend only on the vertical averaged temperature and volume fraction: $\rho(\phi) = \rho(\overline{\phi})$, $K(\theta, \phi) = K(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})$, $\tau_y(\theta, \phi) =$ $\tau_y(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})$ and $k(\phi) = k(\overline{\phi})$. The problem is rewritten using dimensionless quantities and unknowns that are compared in order to simplify it using physical arguments. Those dimensionless unknowns are denoted with tildes. Let H be the characteristic flow height and L its characteristic horizontal length, we introduce the aspect ratio:

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{H}{L}.
$$

Temperature is written as $\theta = \tilde{\theta}(\theta_e - \theta_a) + \theta_a$, volume fraction is already dimensionless. Hence density, consistency index, yield stress and heat conduction coefficient are expressed as $\rho(\phi)$ =

 $\rho_1\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\phi}),\ K(\theta,\phi)\ =\ K_eK(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\phi})\ \ \text{and}\ \ \tau_y(\theta,\phi)\ =\ \tau_{y,e}\tilde{\tau}_y(\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\phi}),\ k(\phi)\ =\ k_ek(\tilde{\phi})\ \ \text{with}\ \ \rho_e\ =\ \rho(1),\ K_e\ =\ \rho(\tilde{\phi}),\ K_e\ =$ $K(1,1), \tau_{y,e} = \tau_y(1,1)$ and $k_e = k(1)$. Horizontal velocity scaling is taken from viscous gravity balance as in Huppert (1982) [28] and Bernabeu et al. (2013) [11]:

$$
U = \left(\frac{\rho_e g H^2}{K_e L}\right)^{1/n} H
$$

and the vertical velocity scaling is $W = \varepsilon U$. A characteristic viscosity is also given in Huppert (1982), $\eta = K_e(U/H)^{n-1}$. We also consider the time scale $t = T\tilde{t}$ with $T = \frac{L}{U}$ $\frac{L}{U}$ and the pressure scale $p = P\tilde{p}$ with $P = \rho_e gH$. Using the variable change:

$$
x=L\tilde{x},\ y=L\tilde{y},\ z=H\tilde{z},\ h=H\tilde{h},\ u_x=U\tilde{u}_x,\ u_y=U\tilde{u}_y,\ u_z=W\tilde{u}_z,
$$

we rewrite the set of equations $(1c)$ - $(1u)$ in a dimensionless manner, omitting the tildes thereafter.

2.2.1. Dimensionless constitutive equation

Following the work in Bernabeu et al. (2013) [11], we introduce the Bingham number Bi :

$$
Bi = \frac{\tau_{y,e}H}{\eta U},
$$

and we give the non-dimensional version of Herschel-Bulkley constitutive equation 1c:

$$
\begin{cases} \tau_{ij} = \left(\frac{B(\theta,\phi)}{\varepsilon|\dot{\gamma}|} + \varepsilon|\dot{\gamma}|^{n-1}\right) \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \\ \varepsilon|\boldsymbol{\tau}| < Bi \end{cases} \tag{2a}
$$

with $B(\theta, \phi) = Bi\tau_y(\theta, \phi)$.

2.2.2. Dimensionless conservation equations

The dimensionless mass conservation equation (1d) becomes:

$$
\nabla \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{2b}
$$

Introducing the Reynolds Re , Froude Fr and Weber We numbers:

$$
Re = \frac{\rho_e U L}{K_e},
$$

$$
Fr = \frac{U}{\sqrt{gL}},
$$

$$
We = \frac{\rho_e U^2 L}{\sigma_{cap}},
$$

the momentum conservation equation along x_i -axis with $i \in \{x, y\}$ becomes:

$$
(\partial_t \rho(\phi)u_i + u_x \partial_x \rho(\phi)u_i + u_y \partial_y \rho(\phi)u_i + u_z \partial_z \rho(\phi)u_i) = -\frac{1}{Fr^2} \partial_i p + \frac{1}{Re} \left(\partial_x \tau_{ix} + \partial_y \tau_{iy} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_z \tau_{iz} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon We} \left(-\nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) \partial_i \phi, \quad (2c)
$$

and the momentum equation along z-axis is:

$$
(\partial_t \rho(\phi)u_z + u_x \partial_x \rho(\phi)u_z + u_y \partial_y \rho(\phi)u_z + u_z \partial_z \rho(\phi)u_z) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 Fr^2} \left(\frac{g}{|g|} \rho(\phi) - \partial_z p \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 Re} \left(\partial_x \tau_{zx} + \partial_y \tau_{zy} + \partial_z \tau_{zz} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3 We} \left(-\nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} \right) \partial_z \phi
$$
 (2d)

Using the Peclet number Pe :

$$
Pe = \frac{LU\rho_e c_p}{k_e},
$$

we have the dimensionless energy conservation equation:

$$
(\partial_t(\theta \rho(\phi)) + u \cdot \nabla \theta \rho(\phi)) = \frac{1}{Pe} \left(k(\phi)(\partial_{xx}\theta + \partial_{yy}\theta) \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 Pe} \left(k(\phi)\partial_{zz}\theta \right).
$$
 (2e)

The volume fraction conservation equation (1a) and the transport equation (1h) are left unchanged by this process. Henceforth, we consider that the the flow is gravitational, so $Fr^2 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ and moreover that $Re = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $Bi = \mathcal{O}(1)$ in ε and thus neglect the inertial term of the momentum equation. We also make the hypothesis that $We = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-4})$ so as to neglect the surface tension term. In order to keep part of the temperature diffusion, we also assume that $Pe = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$.

2.2.3. Dimensionless initial and boundary conditions

The initial and boundary conditions follow the same process of anisotropic dimensionless rewriting. The initial conditions and the Dirichlet conditions and left unchanged by this. After expanding the dot product with the outbound normal, the dimensionless free-surface condition on the Cauchy stress at $z = h$ becomes:

$$
-(\varepsilon^2 \tau_{xx} - p)\partial_x(h) - \varepsilon^2 \tau_{xy}\partial_y(h) + \tau_{xz} = 0
$$
\n(2f)

$$
-\varepsilon^2 \tau_{xy} \partial_x(h) - (\varepsilon^2 \tau_{yy} - p)\partial_y(h) + \tau_{yz} = 0 \tag{2g}
$$

$$
-\varepsilon^2 \tau_{xz} \partial_x(h) - \varepsilon^2 \tau_{yz} \partial_y(h) + \varepsilon^2 \tau_{zz} + p = 0 \tag{2h}
$$

The conductive heat transfer equation at the Γ_s boundary expresses as:

$$
\varepsilon^2(\partial_x(h)\partial_x(\theta_{|Q_f}) + \partial_y(h)\partial_y(\theta_{|Q_f})) - \partial_z(\theta_{|Q_f}) = -\frac{k_s}{k}\varepsilon^2(\partial_x(h)\partial_x(\theta_{|Q_s}) + \partial_y(h)\partial_y(\theta_{|Q_s})) - \partial_z(\theta_{|Q_s})
$$
 (2i)

and for Γ_e , $\theta = 1$. The heat transfer condition at the free-surface $\Gamma_f(t)$ yields:

$$
-\varepsilon^2 \left(\partial_x(h)\partial_x(\theta) + \partial_y(h)\partial_y(\theta)\right) + \partial_z(\theta) + Rp_\psi(\theta)\theta + Nu\theta = 0,
$$
\n(2j)

where $R = \frac{H\epsilon\sigma_{SB}(\theta_e-\theta_a)^3}{k}$ $\frac{(\theta_e - \theta_a)^3}{k}$ a radiation number, $Nu = \frac{\lambda H}{k}$ $\frac{H}{k}$ the Nüsselt number, $\psi = \frac{\theta_a}{\theta_{e^{-i}}}$ $\frac{\theta_a}{\theta_e-\theta_a}$ a temperature ration and $p_{\psi} = (\theta)^3 + 4\psi(\theta)^2 + 6\psi^2(\theta) + 4\psi^3$ a polynomial used to linearize the radiation term.

2.2.4. Dimensionless heat transfer in the substrate

Considering purely vertical conduction in the substrate, we have in Q_s :

$$
\partial_t \theta_s = F \circ \partial_{zz} \theta_s \tag{2k}
$$

with the Fourier number $Fo = \frac{kT}{L^2}$ and the initial and boundary conditions:

$$
\theta_s = \theta \text{ on } \Gamma_s \tag{21}
$$

$$
\theta_s(z = -\infty) = 0 \tag{2m}
$$

$$
\theta_s(t=0) = 0 \tag{2n}
$$

According to Carslaw and Jaeger [29, p. 58-64], this problem has a time-discrete solution, for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and $z < 0$:

$$
\theta(t_n, x, y, z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta(t_k, x, y, 0) \left[\mathbb{I}_{]t_{k-1}, t_k[}(t_n) \left\{ 1 - \text{erf}\left(\frac{z\sqrt{Fo}}{2\sqrt{(t_n - t_{k-1})}}\right) \right\} + \mathbb{I}_{]t_k, +\infty[}(t_n) \left\{ \text{erf}\left(\frac{z\sqrt{Fo}}{2\sqrt{(t_n - t_k)}}\right) - \text{erf}\left(\frac{z\sqrt{Fo}}{2\sqrt{(t_n - t_{k-1})}}\right) \right\} \right]
$$
(20)

where $\mathbb{I}_{S}(\xi)$ denotes the indicator function, which is one when its argument ξ belongs to the set S and zero otherwise.

2.3. Bidimensional reduction

We rewrite the bidimensional reduced non-isotherm single-phase model from Bernabeu et al. (2016) by using the height-averaged volume fraction dependent parameters $\rho(\overline{\phi})$, $K(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})$, $\tau_y(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})$ and $k(\phi)$. The model reduces to:

(P) find $h, \overline{\theta}$ and ϕ satisfying:

$$
\partial_t h - \nabla_{2D}(\mu_n(K, B, h, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}, |\nabla_{2D}h|) \nabla_{2D}h) = u_z(z=0) \text{ in }]0; +\infty[\times \Omega \tag{3a}
$$

$$
\mu_n = \begin{cases} \frac{n\rho(\overline{\phi})^{1/n} K(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})^{-1/n} \left[(n+1)h\psi + n\overline{B(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})} \right] \left[h\psi - \overline{B(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})} \right]^{(n+1)/n}}{(n+1)(2n+1)\psi^3} \text{ if } h\psi > B(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})\\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3b}
$$

$$
h(t=0) = h_0 \text{ on } \Omega \tag{3c}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ sur }]0; +\infty[\times \partial \Omega \tag{3d}
$$

$$
h(\partial_t \overline{\theta} + \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{2D} \cdot \nabla \overline{\theta}) - u_z(z = 0)(1 - \overline{\theta}) - \frac{k(\phi) [\partial_z \phi]}{\rho(\overline{\phi}) P e} \overline{\theta} = 0 \text{ in }]0; +\infty[\times \Omega \tag{3e}
$$

$$
\overline{\theta}(t=0) = \overline{\theta}_0 \text{ sur } \Omega \tag{3f}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ sur }]0; +\infty[\times \partial \Omega \qquad (3g)
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\overline{\varphi} = 1 \\
\partial_z \varphi + R p_\mu (\overline{\theta} \varphi) \varphi + N u \varphi = 0 \text{ sur } \Gamma_f(t) \\
-\partial_z \varphi + \frac{k_s}{k} \sqrt{\frac{P e_s}{\pi t}} \varphi = 0 \text{ sur } \Gamma_s \text{ et } \overline{\theta} \varphi = 1 \text{ sur } \Gamma_e \\
\overline{\varphi u}_{2D} = \overline{u}_{2D}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3h)

$$
\partial_t \phi + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi + \nabla \cdot (\phi (1 - \phi) w_z) = 0 \text{ in }]0; + \infty[\times Q(t) \tag{3i}
$$

$$
\phi(t=0) = \phi_0 \text{ in } Q(t) \tag{3j}
$$

$$
\phi = 1 \text{ on }]0; +\infty[\times \Gamma_e \tag{3k}
$$

$$
\phi = 0 \text{ on }]0; +\infty[\times \Gamma_s \tag{3l}
$$

with
$$
\mathbf{u}_{2D} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n+1} |\nabla_{2D} h|^{1/n} \rho(\overline{\phi})^{1/n} K(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})^{-1/n} \frac{\nabla_{2D} h}{|\nabla_{2D} h|} \left[(h_c - z)^{(n+1)/n} - h_c^{(n+1)/n} \right] & \text{si } z \in [0; h_c[\\ -\frac{n}{n+1} |\nabla_{2D} h|^{1/n} \rho(\overline{\phi})^{1/n} K(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi})^{-1/n} \frac{\nabla_{2D} h}{|\nabla_{2D} h|} h_c^{(n+1)/n} & \text{si } z \in [h_c; h], \end{cases}
$$

and the plug height $h_c(t, x, y) = max\left(0, h - \frac{B(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi})}{a(\bar{\phi})|\nabla \phi(x)}\right)$ $\rho(\phi)|\nabla_{2D}h|$). The subscript $_{2D}$ denotes the vectors in the (0xy) planes, such that $u_{2D} = (u_x, u_y)$.

It remains to reduce the equations on the volume fraction $(3i)-(3i)$ as they are still defined in the tridimensional domain $Q(t)$. Integrating eq.(3i) and using the mass conservation equation (2b) and the transport equation $(3a)$, we have:

$$
h\partial\overline{\phi} + \nabla_{2D}(h\overline{\phi u}_{2D}) - \nabla_{2D}(h\overline{u}_{2D})\overline{\phi} + u_z(z=0)(\overline{\phi} - \phi(z=0)) + [(1-\phi)\phi w_z]_0^h = 0
$$
 (3m)

We follow the process used by Bernabeu et al. (2016) [14] for the vertical distribution of θ for the volume fraction by introducing a similar unknown function ζ satisfying $\zeta = 1$ and $\zeta u_{2D} = \overline{u}_{2D}$ chosen so that $\phi(t, x, y, z) = \zeta(t, x, y, z)\phi(t, x, y)$. With this notation, the boundary conditions become:

$$
\zeta(z=0)\overline{\phi} = 1 \text{ on } \Gamma_e \tag{3n}
$$

$$
\zeta(z=0)\overline{\phi} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_s \tag{30}
$$

Assuming that due to high viscosity and density of the continuous phase, the gas will remain stuck towards the bottom of the spread and transported, thus we apply a first order by parts for its vertical distribution:

$$
\zeta(t, x, y, z) = \begin{cases} az + b \text{ for } z \in [0; z_c[\\ \frac{1}{\phi} \text{ for } z \in [z_c; h]. \end{cases}
$$
 (3p)

Where z_c is the height below which there is a two phase mixture.

Finally, the multiphase bidimensional reduced problem is obtained by replacing in (P) eqs(3i)-(3l) by:

$$
h(\partial \overline{\phi} + \overline{u}_{2D} \cdot \nabla_{2D}(\overline{\phi})) + u_z(0)\overline{\phi}(1 - \zeta(0)) + \left[(1 - \overline{\phi}\zeta)\overline{\phi}\zeta w_z \right]_0^h = 0 \text{ in }]0; +\infty[\times \Omega \quad (3q)
$$

$$
\overline{\phi}(t=0) = \overline{\phi}_{init} \text{ on } \Omega \quad (3r)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on }]0; +\infty[\times \partial \Omega \quad (3s)
$$

As shown in [14], ignoring the conditions on the vertical profiles $\overline{\zeta u}_{2D} = \overline{u}_{2D}$ and $\overline{\varphi u}_{2D} = \overline{u}_{2D}$ to simplify their expressions yields acceptable error, thus here we use respectively first order by parts and second order polynomials.

2.4. Numerical resolution

Firstly, the problem (P) is discretized versus time using a, implicit second-order variable step finite difference scheme (BFD2) as in [11, 14, 27]. At each time step, an under-relaxed fixed point algorithm is used to solve the nonlinear subproblems in $h, \overline{\theta}$ and $\overline{\phi}$. Finally, these equations are spatially discretized using a finite element method based on the C_{++} library Rheolef [24] on a rectangular geometry (see Fig. 3). We use the adaptive meshing option this library provides based on the BAMG code [30] by refining the mesh around the front of the flow, where our variables gradients are the greatest.

For the inlet velocity $u_z(z=0)$, we use a spatial distribution so that it annuls around the line $x=0$, where the vertical boundary conditions on φ and ζ change, in order to reduce numerical instabilities. For the region $x > 0$, corresponding to where degassing takes place, we consider that gas is provided from the substrate due to energy transfer from the melt, thus $u_z(t, x > 0, y, z = 0) > 0$ only if the melt is present $(h(t, x, y) > 0)$.

To prevent numerical instabilities around $x = 0$, the boundary conditions (3n) and (3o) have been modified around the line $x = 0$ by instead using the following continuous boundary condition:

$$
\phi(z=0) = f(x)|_{x_c} = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}(x/x_c). \tag{4a}
$$

In the region $-x_c < x < x_c$, the first order polynomial by parts vertical distribution $\zeta(t, x, y, z)$ becomes:

$$
\zeta = \begin{cases} az + \frac{f_{xc}(x)}{\overline{\phi}} \text{ for } z \in [0; z_c[\\ b = \frac{1}{\phi} \text{ for } z \in [z_c; h] \end{cases}
$$
(4b)

using the same conditions on ζ as in the system(3p), we obtain:

$$
\begin{cases}\na = \frac{1}{z_c \overline{\phi}} (1 - f_{x_c}(x)) \\
b = \frac{1}{\overline{\phi}}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4c)

By replacing w_z and ζ by their values in eq.(3q), we have the following equation that is implemented in our simulation:

$$
h(\partial \overline{\phi} + \overline{\zeta u}_{2D} \cdot \nabla_{2D}(\overline{\phi})) + u_z(0)\overline{\phi}(1 - \frac{f_{x_c}(x)}{\overline{\phi}}) - \frac{c_0}{c_f}(1 - f_{x_c}(x))^2 \overline{\phi} f_{x_c}^2(x) = 0
$$
 (4d)

Figure 3: (a) Auto-generated mesh and (b) Zoom of mesh (a) at the front of the spread.

3. Application to corium

We apply this model to a case based on the VEU7 corium spreading experiment [31] with a simplified geometry, corium being the material produced by melting nuclear power plants core and their surroundings in severe accidents. We use the geometry depicted on fig.3, and material properties issued from previous studies on corium spreading, such as [5, 26, 31, 32]. The volumetric melt flow rate of the melt is 8.4 10^{-4} $m^3.s^{-1}$ [31] during 5 s, with $t = 0$ s corresponding to the start of inlet feeding. As argued in [27], this experiment could be modeled using the previous equations for the single phase case, here we aim to observe the influence of degassing on spreading, as it was observed on the experiment as described in [4]. Thereafter, tables 1 and 2 present the main flow and material properties of the VEU7 spreading test. Dimensionless parameters using these are computed in tab. 3, characterizing the flow regimes, enabling the use of the preceding model.

Quantity		Symbol Corium
Characteristic height m	H	$6.5 \ 10^{-2}$
Characteristic length m		$4.0 10^{-1}$
Aspect ratio	$\varepsilon = \frac{H}{L}$	0.15
Characteristic velocity $m.s^{-1}$		1.53
Inlet fluid temperature K	θ_e	2450
Initial substrate and air temperature K	θ_a	303

Table 1: Flow properties of the VEU7 corium spreading test [4, 5, 26, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36].

Table 2: Material properties of the VEU7 corium spreading test [4, 5, 26, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36].

Quantity	Symbol	Corium
Spread density $(kg.m^{-3})$	ρ_1	7500
Air density $(kg.m^{-3})$	ρ_2	1.2
Dynamic viscosity at temperature θ_e (Pa.s)	K_e	2.24~10 ¹
Yield stress at temperature θ_e (Pa)	$\tau_{y,e}$	10^{2}
Emissivity $(-)$	ϵ	0.8
Thermal conductivity $(W.m^{-1}.K^{-1})$	\boldsymbol{k}	3
Specific heat $(J.kg^{-1}.K^{-1})$	c_p	995.6
Convective heat transfer coefficient with air $(W.m^{-2}.K^{-1})$	λ	300
Surface tension $(N.m^{-1})$	σ_{cap}	0.58

Table 3: Dimensionless parameters for experiment VEU7.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Numerical convergence analysis

We first perform a sensitivity analysis on numerical parameters: the time step dt , the minimal mesh size hmin, to ensure proper temporal and spatial convergence and the aforementioned smoothing parameter x_c around $x = 0$ for ϕ boundary condition. Figure 4 shows that computation is sufficiently resolved in terms of time step, we thus use the value $dt = 5.10^{-2} s$ to reduce computation time.

The parameter hmin is given in the adaptive meshing routine: it gives a lower limit to mesh size. We observe on Fig. 5 that the convergence using this parameter is non linear and that a value of $hmin \leq 5 \times 10^{-4}$ m is a good compromise in terms of convergence and computation time.

Figure 4: Simulated front progress for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, $hmin = 5.10^{-4}$ m, a degassing velocity of $u_z(z=0) = 10^{-4}$ m.s⁻¹ and different values of time step.

We then conduct a sensitivity analysis on the numerical parameter x_c from eq.(4a), corresponding to the size of the transition region between the boundary conditions on $\phi(z=0)$. No difference is found for $x_c < 1.10^{-3}$ m as seen on Fig.6. We will thus use these values for further computations.

4.2. Sensitivity analysis

Fig. 7 compares the single phase case with the multiphase one, showing a greater velocity before reaching the viscoplastic regime around $t = 10$ s characterized by the curve inflexion. Since the gas distribution described by ζ confines the gas to the lower parts of the medium, we expect the dynamic to be similar to that of a fluidized bed, though the equations solved are height-averaged. A non averaged approach would probably see greater differences between the two cases.

Sensitivity analysis on the degassing velocity $u_z(z=0)$ for $x>0$ shows on fig.8 that it influences spreading front evolution non-linearly for high velocities, which we can explain by the volume fraction dependent material properties used and the fact that degassing is only enabled when $h > 0$, meaning the further the spread goes, the higher the flow rate. During the melt feeding period $(t < 5s)$, gas addition seems to have little influence, due to higher momentum from the melt than the gas and the low proportion of the latter as it has yet to reach the degassing surface. To quantify the nature of the influence of degassing velocity on final spreading length, we performed a linear regression shown on fig.9. We found a linear relation between them, with a coefficient of determination $R^2 > 0.999$, for the range 10^{-6} $m.s^{-1} \le u_z(z=0)|_{h>0} \le 10^{-4}$ $m.s^{-1}$.

Gas volume fraction $(1 - \overline{\phi})$ behaves almost linearly with respect to time for degassing velocities $u_z(z = 0)$ < 3.10⁻⁵ m.s⁻¹ after melt inlet feeding as shown on fig.10. In this condition, the

Figure 5: Simulated front progress for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, $dt = 5.10^{-2}$ m, a degassing velocity of $u_z(z=0) = 10^{-4}$ m.s⁻¹ and different values of minimal mesh size.

added stress from the gas is not enough to surpass yield stress. Consequently, the degassing surface remains constant when the flow is stopped, explaining the linear progression of gas volume fraction. For higher degassing velocities such as $u_z(z=0) = 1.10^{-3}$ $m.s^{-1}$, this behavior is non linear as added stress from the gas is higher than the yield stress, increasing the degassing surface by preventing stoppage. Zoom on Fig.10 indicates clearly the influence from the boundary condition smoothing function eq.(4a): gas volume fraction rises both due to the spread progressing on the degassing substrate, and due to a source of a mix of melt and gas in the region $-x_c < x < x_c$.

4.3. 3D Visualization of velocity and volume fraction

Using the expression of u_{2D} and the mass conservation equation, we can depict the tridimensional velocity field, as in Fig.11. Fig. 11(a) shows this 3D representation without the inlet velocity, with the upper surface showing ϕ . From these two results, profiles at different time steps are given on Figs.11(b-d): the maximum velocity corresponds at every time step with a local minimum in gas fraction, indicating that it has been locally transported to the front of the spread, as seen by the subsequent local maximum. This explains in part the observation on Fig.12 that at the end of the simulation, there is more gas fraction towards the front. We can also remark on this figure that at the leading edge of the spread, its composition is purely of melt, which is a result of the $0th$ -order approximation made in the model reduction.

Rajouter histoire temperature (equilibre thermique entre gaz et corium) -> ref NED

Figure 6: Simulated front progress for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, a degassing velocity of $u_z(z=0)$ 10^{-4} m.s⁻¹ and different values of lower volume fraction boundary condition transition region size x_c .

5. Conclusions

A new asymptotic model for free surface multiphase viscoplastic anisotherm flows has been presented, based on the single phase anisotherm model developed by Bernabeu et al. [14]. A sensitivity analysis has been conducted on the numerical parameters and the amount of gas injected in the mixture that is spreading over a surface. A tridimensional representation of velocity and species volume fraction has been computed respectively from an explicit solution and an arbitrary vertical distribution with the result found to be coherent with the theory. Future work include the use of more representative vertical boundary conditions for the volume fraction, notably to enable gas release at the free-surface and to provide better quantitative degassing rate through a phase change model of the substrate and a germination model for the gas at the interface between the mixture and the substrate, which would change the vertical volume fraction distribution. The model also could be improved by removing the hypothesis that there is thermal equilibrium between the phases. Further developments on the modelling of free surface flows for nuclear safety would be to include chemical description to the model to take into account molten core-concrete interaction (such as substrate ablation), and inertial regime turbulence description to describe large scale accidents in nuclear power plants.

Figure 7: Simulated front progress for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, $u_z(z=0) = 1.10^{-5}$ $m.s^{-1}$ and no degassing.

References

References

[1] G. Roult, A. Peltier, B. Taisne, T. Staudacher, V. Ferrazzini, A. Di Muro, [A new comprehen](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377027312001801)[sive classification of the Piton de la Fournaise activity spanning the 1985–2010 period. Search](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377027312001801) [and analysis of short-term precursors from a broad-band seismological station,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377027312001801) Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research $241-242$ (2012) 78–104. [doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.06.012) [2012.06.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.06.012).

URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377027312001801>

- [2] N. Villeneuve, D. R. Neuville, P. Boivin, P. Bachèlery, P. Richet, [Magma crystallization](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009254108002635) [and viscosity: A study of molten basalts from the Piton de la Fournaise volcano \(La Réunion](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009254108002635) [island\),](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009254108002635) Chemical Geology 256 (3-4) (2008) 242–251. [doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.039). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009254108002635>
- [3] H. Weisshäupl, [Severe accident mitigation concept of the EPR,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549398002568) Nuclear Engineering and Design 187 (1) (1999) 35–45. [doi:10.1016/S0029-5493\(98\)00256-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-5493(98)00256-8). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549398002568>
- [4] C. Journeau, E. Boccaccio, C. Brayer, G. Cognet, J.-F. Haquet, C. Jégou, P. Piluso, J. Monerris, [Ex-vessel corium spreading: results from the VULCANO spreading tests,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549302003977) Nuclear Engineering and Design 223 (1) (2003) 75–102. [doi:10.1016/S0029-5493\(02\)00397-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-5493(02)00397-7). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549302003977>

Figure 8: Simulated front progress for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, and different degassing velocities $u_z(z=0)$.

- [5] M. Roche, D. Steidl, L. Leibowitz, J. Fink, B. Raj Sehgal, Viscosity of corium-concrete mixtures at high temperatures., Argonne National Laboratory Report ACE-TR-C37, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL (1994).
- [6] W. H. Herschel, T. Bulkley, Measurement of consistency as applied to rubber-benzene solutions, Proc. Amer. Soc. Testing Material 26 (2) (1926) 621–633.
- [7] E. C. Bingham, Fluidity and plasticity, Mc Graw-Hill, New-York, USA, 1922, [http://www.](http://www.archive.org/download/fluidityandplast007721mbp/fluidityandplast007721mbp.pdf) [archive.org/download/fluidityandplast007721mbp/fluidityandplast007721mbp.pdf](http://www.archive.org/download/fluidityandplast007721mbp/fluidityandplast007721mbp.pdf).
- [8] P. Saramito, A. Wachs, [Progress in numerical simulation of yield stress fluid flows,](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00397-016-0985-9) Rheologica Acta 56 (3) (2017) 211–230. [doi:10.1007/s00397-016-0985-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00397-016-0985-9). URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00397-016-0985-9>
- [9] K. F. Liu, C. C. Mei, Slow spreading of a sheet of Bingham fluid on an inclined plane (1989) 25.
- [10] N. Balmforth, R. Craster, P. Perona, A. Rust, R. Sassi, [Viscoplastic dam breaks and the](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377025706001613) [Bostwick consistometer,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377025706001613) Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 142 (1-3) (2007) 63–78. [doi:10.1016/j.jnnfm.2006.06.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2006.06.005). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377025706001613>
- [11] N. Bernabeu, P. Saramito, C. Smutek, Numerical modeling of non-Newtonian viscoplastic

Figure 9: Front position at $t = 100 s$ for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200 Pa$, $n = 0.66$, and different degassing velocities $u_z(z=0)$. The continuous line is a linear regression of the points for $1.10^{-6} \le u_z(z=0) \le 1.10^{-4}$ with $R^2 = 0.9996$.

flows: part II. Viscoplastic fluids and general tridimensional topographies, Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. 11 (1) (2014) 213–228.

- [12] D. Bercovici, J. Lin, [A gravity current model of cooling mantle plume heads with temperature](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/95JB03538)[dependent buoyancy and viscosity,](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/95JB03538) Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 101 (B2) (1996) 3291–3309. [doi:10.1029/95JB03538](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/95JB03538). URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/95JB03538>
- [13] N. J. Balmforth, R. V. Craster, R. Sassi, [Dynamics of cooling viscoplastic domes,](http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0022112003006840) Journal of Fluid Mechanics 499 (2004) 149–182. [doi:10.1017/S0022112003006840](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112003006840). URL http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0022112003006840
- [14] N. Bernabeu, P. Saramito, C. Smutek, [Modelling lava flow advance using a shallow-depth](http://sp.lyellcollection.org/lookup/doi/10.1144/SP426.27) [approximation for three-dimensional cooling of viscoplastic flows,](http://sp.lyellcollection.org/lookup/doi/10.1144/SP426.27) Geological Society, London, Special Publications 426 (1) (2016) 409–423. [doi:10.1144/SP426.27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/SP426.27). URL <http://sp.lyellcollection.org/lookup/doi/10.1144/SP426.27>
- [15] H. R. Shaw, [Rheology of Basalt in the Melting Range,](https://academic.oup.com/petrology/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/petrology/10.3.510) Journal of Petrology 10 (3) (1969) 510–535. [doi:10.1093/petrology/10.3.510](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/petrology/10.3.510). URL [https://academic.oup.com/petrology/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/petrology/](https://academic.oup.com/petrology/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/petrology/10.3.510) [10.3.510](https://academic.oup.com/petrology/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/petrology/10.3.510)
- [16] J. W. Cahn, J. E. Hilliard, Free Energy of a Nonuniform System. I. Interfacial Free Energy, The Journal of Chemical Physics 28 (5) (1958) 258–267.

 $u_z(z=0) = 1 \ 10^{-6} \ m.s^{-1}$ $u_z(z=0) = 1 10^{-5}$ m.s⁻¹ $u_z(z=0) = 3 \ 10^{-5} \ m.s^{-1}$ $u_z(z=0) = 7 \ 10^{-5} \ m.s^{-1}$ $u_z(z=0) = 1 \ 10^{-4} \ ms^{-1}$ $u_z(z=0) = 1 \ 10^{-3} \ m.s^{-1}$

Figure 10: Simulated gas volume fraction for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, and different degassing velocities $u_z(z=0)$ and zoom at the beginning of the spread.

- [17] S. M. Allen, J. W. Cahn, [A microscopic theory for antiphase boundary motion and its](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0001616079901962) [application to antiphase domain coarsening,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0001616079901962) Acta Metallurgica 27 (6) (1979) 1085–1095. [doi:10.1016/0001-6160\(79\)90196-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(79)90196-2). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0001616079901962>
- [18] S. M. Damián, N. M. Nigro, [An extended mixture model for the simultaneous treatment of](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fld.3906) [small-scale and large-scale interfaces: AN EXTENDED MIXTURE MODEL FOR SMALL-](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fld.3906)[SCALE AND LARGE-SCALE INTERFACES,](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fld.3906) International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids 75 (8) (2014) 547–574. [doi:10.1002/fld.3906](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/fld.3906). URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/fld.3906>
- [19] W. Meng, L. Liao, M. Chen, C.-h. Yu, J. Li, R. An, [An enhanced CLSVOF method with an](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301932222001422) [algebraic second-reconstruction step for simulating incompressible two-phase flows,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301932222001422) International Journal of Multiphase Flow 154 (2022) 104151. [doi:10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2022.104151) [2022.104151](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2022.104151). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301932222001422>
- [20] M. Ishii, One-dimensional drift-flux model and constitutive equations for relative motion between phases in various two-phase flow regimes, Tech. Rep. ANL-77-47, Argonne National Laboratory (Oct. 1977).
- [21] M. Ishii, N. Zuber, [Drag coefficient and relative velocity in bubbly, droplet or particulate](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/aic.690250513) [flows,](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/aic.690250513) AIChE Journal 25 (5) (1979) 843–855. [doi:10.1002/aic.690250513](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aic.690250513). URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/aic.690250513>
- [22] T. Hibiki, M. Ishii, [One-dimensional drift–flux model for two-phase flow in a large diameter](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0017931002004738) [pipe,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0017931002004738) International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 46 (10) (2003) 1773–1790. [doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(02)00473-8) [1016/S0017-9310\(02\)00473-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(02)00473-8). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0017931002004738>
- [23] J. Brackbill, D. Kothe, C. Zemach, A continuum method for modeling surface tension, Journal of Computational Physics 100 (1992) 335–354.
- [24] P. Saramito, Rheolef 7.1, an efficient C_{++} finite element environment (2019). URL <https://www-ljk.imag.fr/membres/Pierre.Saramito/rheolef/html/index.html>
- [25] P.-H. Chiu, A conservative phase field method for solving incompressible two-phase flows, Journal of Computational Physics (2011) 20.
- [26] M. Ramacciotti, C. Journeau, F. Sudreau, G. Cognet, [Viscosity models for corium melts,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549300003289) Nuclear Engineering and Design 204 (1-3) (2001) 377–389. [doi:10.1016/S0029-5493\(00\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-5493(00)00328-9) [00328-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-5493(00)00328-9). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549300003289>
- [27] T. Schiano, B. Bigot, J.-F. Haquet, P. Saramito, C. Smutek, A viscoplastic approach to corium spreading during a severe nuclear accident, submitted to Nuclear Engineering and Design.
- [28] H. E. Huppert, The propagation of two-dimensional and axisymmetric viscous gravity currents over a rigid horizontal surface, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 121 (-1) (1982) 43. [doi:10.1017/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112082001797) [S0022112082001797](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112082001797).
- [29] H. S. Carslaw, J. C. Jaeger, Conduction of heat in solids, Oxford university press, 1959.
- [30] F. Hecht, BAMG: bidimensional anisotropic mesh generator, [https://www.ljll.math.upmc.](https://www.ljll.math.upmc.fr/hecht/ftp/bamg) [fr/hecht/ftp/bamg](https://www.ljll.math.upmc.fr/hecht/ftp/bamg) (2006).
- [31] C. Journeau, J.-F. Haquet, B. Spindler, C. Spengler, J. Foit, [The VULCANO VE-U7 Corium](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0149197005001782) [spreading benchmark,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0149197005001782) Progress in Nuclear Energy 48 (3) (2006) 215–234. [doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2005.09.009) [pnucene.2005.09.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2005.09.009). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0149197005001782>
- [32] T. Chawla, D. Graff, R. Borg, G. Bordner, D. Weber, D. Miller, [Thermophysical properties](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0029549381901552) [of mixed oxide fuel and stainless steel type 316 for use in transition phase analysis,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0029549381901552) Nuclear Engineering and Design 67 (1) (1981) 57–74. [doi:10.1016/0029-5493\(81\)90155-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-5493(81)90155-2). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0029549381901552>
- [33] R. Wittmaack, [Simulation of Free-Surface Flows with Heat Transfer and Phase Transitions](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.13182/NT02-A3268) [and Application to Corium Spreading in the EPR,](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.13182/NT02-A3268) Nuclear Technology 137 (3) (2002) 194– 212. [doi:10.13182/NT02-A3268](http://dx.doi.org/10.13182/NT02-A3268). URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.13182/NT02-A3268>
- [34] C. Journeau, F. Sudreau, J.-M. Gatt, G. Cognet, [Thermal, physico-chemical and rheological](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1290072999800428) [boundary layers in multi-component oxidic melt spreads,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1290072999800428) International Journal of Thermal Sciences 38 (10) (1999) 879–891. [doi:10.1016/S1290-0729\(99\)80042-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1290-0729(99)80042-8). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1290072999800428>
- [35] F. Sudreau, G. Cognet, [Corium viscosity modelling above liquidus temperature,](https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549397001374) Nuclear Engineering and Design 178 (3) (1997) 269–277. [doi:10.1016/S0029-5493\(97\)00137-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0029-5493(97)00137-4). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0029549397001374>
- [36] P. Piluso, J. Monerris, C. Journeau, G. Cognet, Viscosity measurements of ceramic oxides by aerodynamic levitation, Int. J. Thermophys. 23 (5) (2002) 1229–1240.

Figure 11: (a)3D reconstruction of the velocity field. The upper surface represents $\overline{\phi}$ and (b-d) $1-\overline{\phi}$ the heightaveraged gas volume fraction and |u| along the x-axis for (b) $t = 5.5 s$ (c) $t = 7.5 s$ and (d) $t = 9.65 s$ for $u_z(z=0) = 10^{-4} \ m.s^{-1}$. The velocity norm is taken at $y = 0.125 \ m$ and $z = 0.03 \ m$.

Figure 12: Gas volume fraction distribution for $y = 0.125$ m at $t = 100$ s for $Arr = 7.10^{-3}$, $\tau_y = 200$ Pa, $n = 0.66$, and $u_z(z=0) = 10^{-4}$ $m.s^{-1}$.