

# Dating Coastal Archaeological Wood From Pingusugruk (15th–17th CE), Northern Alaska: Preliminary Results and Methodological Perspectives in Dendrochronology

Juliette Taïeb, Claire Alix, Glenn Juday, Anne Jensen, Valérie Daux,

Christophe Petit

## ▶ To cite this version:

Juliette Taïeb, Claire Alix, Glenn Juday, Anne Jensen, Valérie Daux, et al.. Dating Coastal Archaeological Wood From Pingusugruk (15th–17th CE), Northern Alaska: Preliminary Results and Methodological Perspectives in Dendrochronology. International Journal of Wood Culture, 2022, 2 (1-3), pp.89-116. 10.1163/27723194-bja10006. hal-03891205

## HAL Id: hal-03891205 https://hal.science/hal-03891205

Submitted on 12 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





## Dating Coastal Archaeological Wood From Pingusugruk (15th–17th CE), Northern Alaska:

Preliminary Results and Methodological Perspectives in Dendrochronology

Juliette Taïeb | ORCID: 0000-0001-7074-8055 UMR 7041 ArScAn, Archéologies environnementales, Univ. Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne/CNRS, Paris, France Corresponding author, e-mail: juliette.taieb2@gmail.com

Claire Alix UMR 8096 Archéologie des Amériques, Univ. Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne CNRS, Paris, France Claire.Alix@univ-paris1.fr

Glenn P. Juday Institute of Agriculture Natural Resources and Environment, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, USA gpjuday@alaska.edu

## Anne M. Jensen

Department of Anthropology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks AK, USA, University of Alaska, Museum of the North, Fairbanks AK, USA *ajensen@alaska.edu* 

## Valérie Daux

UMR 8212 Laboratoire des sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, Univ. Versailles Saint-Quentin, IPSL/CNRS, Gif sur Yvette, France *valerie.daux@lsce.ipsl.fr* 

Christophe Petit UMR 7041 ArScAn, Archéologies environnementales, Univ. Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne/CNRS, France christophe.petit@univ-paris1.fr

### Abstract

Along the coasts of northern Alaska, in a treeless tundra environment, the primary wood resource for coastal populations is driftwood, a seasonal and exogenous resource carried by the major rivers of western North America. The potential of Alaskan coastal archaeological wood for tree-ring research was first assessed in the 1940s by archaeologist and tree-ring research pioneer J. L. Giddings. Despite his success, the difficulties of dendrochronological studies on driftwood and the development of radiocarbon dating during the 1950s resulted in the near-abandonment of dendrochronology to precisely date archaeological sites and build long sequences using archaeological wood in Alaska. In this study, we explored the possibilities and limitations of standard ring-width dendrochronological methods for dating Alaskan coastal archaeological wood. We focus on the site of Pingusugruk, a late Thule site (15th-17th CE) located at Point Franklin, northern Alaska. The preliminary results have been obtained from the standard dendrochronological analyses of 40 timber cross-sections from two semisubterranean houses at Pingusugruk. We cross-correlated individual ring-width series and built floating chronologies between houses before cross-dating them with existing regional 1000-year-long master chronologies from the Kobuk and Mackenzie rivers (available on the International Tree-Ring Databank, ITRDB). Additional work on various dendro-archaeological collections using an interdisciplinary approach (geochemical analyses of oxygen isotopes and radiocarbon dating) will help develop and expand regional tree-ring chronologies and climatic tree-ring sequences in Alaska.

#### Keywords

dendrochronology - archaeology - northern Alaska - Thule - coastal wood

### 1 Introduction

Along the coasts of northern Alaska, wood was a key resource in the daily life and subsistence economy of neo-Inuit societies (Giddings, 1941, 1952b; Alix, 2004, 2012, 2016). In this treeless tundra environment, the primary wood resource is driftwood originating from the subarctic boreal forests of North America (Giddings, 1943a, 1952a; Alix, 2005). Wood is carried to coastal areas via major rivers and their tributaries, that is, the Yukon River, Kuskokwim River, or further north, the Kobuk and Noatak rivers (Figure 1). Along the coasts of northern Alaska, around the Birnirk site near Point Barrow, wood can



FIGURE 1 Map of Alaska showing locations of archaeological sites with tree-ring sample collections discussed in this article

also arrive from eastward rivers such as the Mackenzie River (Giddings, 1943a, 1952a; Alix, 2005). Driftwood accumulations on beaches are a recurring seasonal resource related to several climatic and environmental factors such as spring thaw, riverbank erosion, wind direction, marine currents, and sea-ice cover (Giddings, 1941, 1952a; Eurola, 1971; Eggertsson, 1994; Dyke, 1997; Alix & Brewster, 2004; Alix, 2005; Hellmann *et al.* 2013, 2017; Hole & Macias-Fauria, 2017; Hole *et al.* 2021; Sander *et al.* 2021).

The main wood species identified in driftwood piles along the northern coasts of Alaska is white spruce (*Picea glauca (Moench) Voss*), which is a dominant tree in North American boreal forests, regularly growing along valley bottoms, floodplains, and riverbanks (Viereck & Little, 2007; Juday & Alix, 2012; Juday *et al.* 2015). The growth of the white spruce species is sensitive to summer temperature variations — mean June and July temperatures — and regularly provides 200-year-long sequences and, more rarely, up to 400-year-long ones (Giddings, 1943b; Barber *et al.* 2000; Wilmking & Juday, 2005; Viereck & Little, 2007; Juday *et al.* 2010, 2015). Spruce trees growing in different areas of western North America, such as central interior Alaska, northwestern Alaska tree-line (*i.e.* the northern latitudinal limit of the boreal forest), and northwestern

Canadian tree-line, bear distinct growth patterns that may allow to provenance them to their source region (Giddings, 1941, 1952a; Barber *et al.* 2000; Alix, 2005; Wilmking & Juday, 2005; Porter & Pisaric, 2011; Juday & Alix, 2012; Juday *et al.* 2015). These spruce trees are well-represented in the coastal archaeological sites of the second millennium CE (Giddings, 1952a; Alix, 2005; Juday & Alix, 2012).

The potential of coastal archaeological wood for tree-ring research was assessed as early as 1938 by Alaska archaeologist and dendrochronology pioneer James Louis Giddings (1938, 1940, 1941, 1944, 1948, 1952b, 1954, 1966; see also Nash 2000). Giddings collected a large number of white spruce tree-ring samples (cores and cross-sections) from various places and contexts (archaeological timbers, driftwood, historic logs, and modern cores) in Alaska. He built a 1000-year-long chronology (978–1941 CE) based on living trees and archaeological wood samples from the Kobuk River valley (Giddings, 1948, 1952b), which allowed the accurate calendar dating of arctic archaeological sites for the first time, prior to the development of radiocarbon dating (Giddings, 1948, 1952b; Nash, 2000). Despite his early success with driftwood from a known river system, cross-dating archaeological timbers from northern Alaskan coastal sites remains challenging. Remnant wood can be too decayed for accurate ring measurement, may belong to species other than white spruce, or the measured tree-ring series can be too short, too fragmented, or too complacent. An additional challenge is that wood remains at archaeological sites are from different and multiple geographic origins. The farther a coastal site is from the source rivers, the more likely the wood remains are from multiple regions of origin. A successful cross-dating of coastal tree-ring series, thus, requires a reference chronology for each possible area of origin of the wood. Moreover, as in any archaeological context, the most recent date obtained is that of the last preserved growth ring of the archaeological wood sample and not necessarily that of its use by people. As a result, the accurate dating of wood does not always provide the exact date of occupation of sites and structures. It is, therefore, necessary to accurately cross-date and determine the provenance of coastal archaeological wood originating from various regions in order to effectively interpret and multiply the chronological information relative to a site, and to correctly extract and interpret the climatic and environmental information according to the regions of origin of the wood. Unfortunately, the difficulties of cross-dating archaeological driftwood from multiple origins along with access to radiocarbon dating starting in the 1950s (Arnold & Libby, 1949; Nash, 2000) resulted in the near-abandonment of dendrochronology to date archaeological sites and build long sequences using preserved archaeological wood



FIGURE 2 Regions of the three millennial regional Master chronologies with the location of the sites and/or tree stands contributing to each chronology: A. Firth River (modified from D'Arrigo et al. 2006), B. Mackenzie Delta (modified from Porter et al. 2013) and C. Kobuk/Noatak rivers (modified from Giddings, 1948, 1952; Graumlich & King, 1997)

in Alaska. Today, the Kobuk River sequence remains the only 1000-year-long chronology in Alaska (Graumlich & King, 1997; Nash, 2000; D'Arrigo *et al.* 2005) that can be used to cross-date coastal wood from northwest Alaskan sites of the first half of the second millennium CE. In addition, two other millennial-length tree-ring sequences were developed for climate studies along the Firth River (1067–2002 CE) in northeastern Alaska and the Mackenzie River drainage (1118–2007 CE) in northwestern Canada (Figure 2) from several sub-fossil and living-trees sites (D'Arrigo *et al.* 2006; Porter *et al.* 2013). Considering the direction of coastal currents, it is possible that archaeological wood remains from northern Alaska may be successfully cross-dated with these master chronologies.

Northern Alaska has remained a crucial region for exploring settlement and population dynamics in the North American Arctic since the beginning of the second millennium CE. The Thule culture, which is directly ancestral to today's Inuit, emerged during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA)

(10th-13th centuries CE) and the transition to the Little Ice Age (LIA) (12th-15th centuries CE) (Mann et al. 2009; Raff et al. 2015; Mason, 2017; Nicolle et al. 2018; Tackney et al. 2019; Mason, 2020). In high latitude regions, the slightest climate variations can strongly affect the environment and resources on which people like the Thule subsisted in their daily life. However, the limited resolution of regional environmental proxies in northern Alaska used to characterize the MCA-LIA transition and climate variations, in general, restrains our ability to accurately perceive and understand the complex interactions between climate, resources, and people (Mason & Gerlach, 1995; Mason & Barber, 2003; Jensen 2016; Nicolle et al. 2018; Mason, 2020). Following Gidding's initial work (1940, 1941, 1944, 1948, 1952b, 1954), only a handful of researchers took advantage of the exceptional preservation of archaeological wood remains in Thule coastal sites and sampled cross-sections of timbers from semi-subterranean winter houses. This is the case at sites such as Birnirk near Point Barrow (Rye, 1949; Carter, 1966), Pingusugruk at Point Franklin (Sheehan, 1991, 1997), or, more recently, at Cape Espenberg, Seward Peninsula (Hoffecker & Mason, 2010; Alix et al. 2017; Alix & Mason, 2018) (Figure 1). As dendrochronology can provide an annual and multi-scalar resolution of climatic and cultural phenomena, these recent collections and legacy of archaeological coastal wood provide the opportunity to build and expand tree-ring master chronologies and climate sequences to document key moments in the development of the Inuit culture in northern Alaska.

In this context, the northern coastal site of Pingusugruk (15th–17th CE) offers some particular advantages. First, because of its geographical location, Pingusugruk is susceptible to having received driftwood from both Alaskan and northwestern Canadian rivers. In addition, the time period of the Pingusugruk site, based on radiocarbon dating (Krus *et al.* 2019), is relatively well-represented in regional master tree-ring sequences, unlike earlier coastal sites. As a result, the wood collection from Pingusugruk is favorable for exploring the potential and limitations of conventional ring-width dendrochronological studies of Alaskan coastal archaeological wood and, in particular, may offer a useful cross-check of the otherwise ambiguous multiple sources of coastal wood. This study aims to build, strengthen, and potentially expand tree-ring master chronologies and climate sequences documenting the second millennium CE and the Thule settlement sequence in northern Alaska.

This paper first presents preliminary findings from conventional dendrochronological analyses (*sensu* Douglass, 1922; Fritts, 1976; Schweingruber, 1988; Cook & Kairiukstis, 1990; Speer, 2012) of Pingusugruk wood samples (Sheehan, 1997; Jensen, 2016). We then examine the potential for related and new techniques to obtain relevant dating information from tree rings.

### 2 Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Site Presentation

Pingusugruk (WAI-096) was a large whaling village (Sheehan, 1991, 1997: 67–96) located at Point Franklin, south of Utqiaġvik, in an arctic tundra environment far removed from the boreal subarctic forest and tree-line (Figure 1). Established on the shores of the Chukchi sea, the village provided good access to marine resources, such as walruses, whales, and seals. This whaling site was surveyed in 1986 and partially excavated by Glenn W. Sheehan, Anne M. Jensen, and Gregory A. Reinhardt between 1994 and 1996 (Sheehan *et al.* 1991, 1997; Jensen & Sheehan, 2016). Although early aerial photographs of the site indicate that there were originally more than twenty house mounds present, a large part of the village had been subject to erosion by the time the site was excavated in the mid-1990s. Large areas were excavated in three house mounds and smaller units elsewhere on the site. In an unconventional move for Alaskan coastal archaeology at the time, the excavators at Pingusugruk recorded and collected all preserved architectural wood elements from two excavated house mounds identified as SL1 and SL2.

The SL1 excavation revealed a pair of semi-subterranean houses with partially shared entrance tunnels (Figure 3). Unfortunately, there is no publishable map



FIGURE 3 Idealized floorplan and general shape of an Iñupiaq semi-subterranean house (modified from Murdoch 1982: 72)

of the Pingusugruk site and its excavated house features at this time. Figure 3, modified from Murdoch 1892, illustrates a typical semi-subterranean wood frame and sod-covered Thule/Iñupiag house. The main elements of the house are an elongated entrance tunnel leading to a main rectangular room with a rear elevated platform (see Norman et al. 2017 for a description and summary of western Thule house architecture). As shown in Figure 3, the floor of the entrance tunnel was usually deeper than that of the main living floor, thus acting as a cold trap (see also Lee & Reinhardt, 2003). The western house appears to have been built and occupied first. The eastern house was constructed at a later date, with its entrance tunnel connecting to the earlier tunnel. The eastern house was larger, with indications of large-scale processing of blubber in the kitchen area. Several wall logs adjacent to the western front corner of the platform had been burned prior to being placed in the position in which they were found (one of the volunteer crew members was a law enforcement officer with training in arson investigation). The wall logs appeared to have been put in place from the inside of the house, suggesting a repair in winter, possibly following a fire that burned them.

The SL<sub>2</sub> excavation revealed a single house with a kitchen on the east side of the tunnel. The roof of this kitchen had been used to lay someone to rest, presumably after the house had been last abandoned. The grave goods contained no metal or trade items, and therefore, this was possibly a pre-contact individual (Jensen & Sheehan, 2016). The house had a side platform, which projected from the original footprint of the house on the east side. The reconstruction of the east wall indicated that this platform was an addition to the original structure. This house was certainly built in the footprint of a previous house and may have reused several structural timbers. One indication of this was the human remains that were found beneath the floor of the tunnel, within the tunnel footprint, which were not buried according to the typical pattern (Jensen, 2009). It may have been a burial under exigent circumstances or of someone who had taken shelter and died in the tunnel of an abandoned house. Given the Iñupiat belief system in which houses were abandoned when and where people had died (Reinhardt & Lee, 2003), it is likely that the latest version of the house was built with no knowledge of the human remains resting beneath the tunnel floor. This suggests that a significant amount of time must have passed between the earlier and final periods of use.

With frames of driftwood and whale bones covered with sod, the houses display similarities in building, arrangement, and use of space (Sheehan, 1997), suggesting a temporal continuity from the 13th century until the beginning of the 18th century CE (Sheehan, 1997). In addition, the SL1 house features

appeared superposed using the "same footprint" over time, suggesting multiple re-occupations. Based on historical and archival research, Sheehan (1997) suggested that the village was occupied throughout the year, abandoned around 1700 CE (pre-contact period), and re-occupied around 1850 CE (historic period). While the village showed signs of long-term and repeated occupations, recent <sup>14</sup>C dating at Pingusugruk provides a time interval of 1410–1640 CE regarding the occupation of the two house mounds (Krus *et al.* 2019).

## 2.2 Sample Selection, Preparation, and Measurement

In 2014, one of the authors spent a week at the Ukpeaġvik Iñupiat Corporation (UIC) archaeology lab at the Barrow Arctic Research Center (BARC) in Utqiagvik, recording, measuring, and sampling the architectural elements collected by Sheehan, Jensen, and Reinhardt during the 1990s' excavation. A total of 110 wood timbers were recorded and inventoried from the two excavation areas (SL1 and SL2), and a total of 93 wood cross-sections were sampled. These disks, from whole or split logs, were sent to the Alaska Quaternary Center at the University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, USA (UAF). In 2019, 55 of these samples were selected from the SL1 and SL2 mounds for tree-ring analysis with the goal of contributing additional tree-ring series to the existing millennium-long chronologies, especially for time periods of low sample depth, and to start building floating chronologies with tree-ring series from unknown regions yet to be identified, most likely in the interior Alaskan Yukon River basin (Giddings, 1952a; Alix, 2005). Only six of the 55 selected cross-sections lacked spatial information about their position within the archaeological site.

We used the following criteria to assess the potential of the 55 samples for dendrochronological analyses: (a) species identification, (b) state of preservation, (c) dimensions and shape, (d) length of growth ring series, and (e) presence/absence of wood distortion (compression wood, knots). We prepared and measured the selected cross-sections using standard procedures. We used diluted wood glue to consolidate half of the samples that were fragile and tended to fragment. Cross-sections intended for ring width measurements were sanded to reveal patterns in growth rings (grain size of 50 to 600 grit), marked, and measured using a Velmex measurement table at a precision of 0.001 mm at the UAF Tree-ring laboratory. We scanned all prepared samples with a high-quality image scanner (Epson Expression 10000 XL) to produce an archive of digital copies (Figure 4). In the end, 15 cross-sections were discarded because they belonged to species other than white spruce, contained too much decay or growth distortion (heavy compression wood), or presented an insufficient number of growth rings. As a result, we retained a total of



FIGURE 4 Architectural timber SL2–1986 prior to sampling and cut sampled cross-section

40 white spruce (*Picea glauca*) cross-sections for standard dendrochronological analyses (16 cross-sections from SL1, 21 cross-sections from SL2, and three cross-sections with unknown spatial information).

We measured raw ring widths (RRW) for one to four radii on each disk according to sample shape (whole, half, or quarter disk) and potential distortion. We verified measurement accuracy using the cross-dating program COFECHA (Holmes, 1983). Then, we averaged the measurements of RRW along several *radii* to produce individual tree-ring width series.

## 2.3 Detrending, Cross-Correlation, and Cross-Dating Pingusugruk Tree-Ring Samples

The individual tree-ring series were detrended using the program DplR in R and its default values (Bunn, 2008, 2010) to remove the age trend in growth. For each series, we applied the three traditional curve fitting techniques of negative exponential, horizontal mean, and cubic spline and divided the raw ringwidth values by the values of the selected theoretical curve fitting procedure to obtain detrended series of ring-width indices (RWI) (Fritts, 1976; Cook & Kairiukstis, 1990; Sullivan *et al.* 2016). Each detrending technique choice was guided by a visual inspection of each curve fitting technique to the raw tree-ring series and by information specific to each sample. Visual characteristics that were used to select the most appropriate detrending curve included: site, hypothesized environment of growth, length of the series, presence of bark, presence of pith (near <10 rings), type of growth (stable, age trend, unstable) over the entire sequence, and type of growth after the first 20–30 years were truncated. Overall, the negative exponential curve was applied to 33 series, the cubic spline to two series, and the horizontal mean to five series.

We visually observed and statistically compared each RWI individual series of the 40 selected samples (Fritts, 1976; Schweingruber, 1988; Cook & Kairiukstis, 1990; Sander & Levanic, 1996; Speer, 2012) using TSAPWIN (RinnTech, 2000) and COFECHA software (Holmes, 1983). Cross-correlation (in-between site) and cross-dating (with master tree-ring chronologies) were

guided by visual comparison and statistical indices commonly used in dendrochronology (Fritts, 1976; Schweingruber, 1988; Cook & Kairiukstis, 1990; Speer, 2012), including:

- Baillie-Pilcher *T*-value (TVBP) and Hollstein *T*-value (TVH) indices, which are sensitive to extreme values (Baillie and Pilcher 1973; Sander and Levanic 1996);
- (2) Cross-Date Index (CDI) calculated by TSAPWIN based on the T-values and Gleichläufigkeit indices (GLK), which "represents the overall accordance of two series" (RinnTech, 2000).

Cross-correlation and cross-dating are generally considered reliable when treering sequences share a common period of 60 years (Pilcher, 1990). However, because our coastal archaeological wood disks have numerous chances of originating from multiple regions, we set the overlap (OVL) threshold to 70 common years to avoid spurious cross-correlation and cross-dating. When the visual observation and statistical parameters indicated a significant crosscorrelation (TVBP and TVH > 4, CDI > 30, and OVL > 70) (Baillie & Pilcher, 1973; Sander & Levanic, 1996), the individual series were averaged into a floating mean chronology (not placed in calendar time).

The floating mean chronologies and sufficiently long individual series  $(\ge 70 \text{ rings})$  were then cross-dated (using similar parameters as described above) with the three *ca.* 1000-year-long master chronologies (Figure 1): (a) the Kobuk River valley chronology 978–1992 CE (KBK) (Giddings, 1948, 1952b; Graumlich & King, 1997), (b) the Firth River chronology 1067-2002 CE (FTH) (D'Arrigo et al. 2006), and (c) the Mackenzie delta site sequences from 1118–2007 CE (MKZ) (Porter et al. 2013), available in the International Tree-Ring Data bank (Zhao et al. 2018). According to Porter et al. (2013), the Mackenzie delta site sequences were averaged in a master regional chronology (1118–2007 CE). The KBK master chronology was detrended following the same traditional detrending procedure used for the Pingusugruk individual tree-ring series. Because the purpose of this study is cross-dating, which is primarily sensitive to short-term variability, for the FTH and MKZ detrending, we used only the most common (negative exponential) detrending curve form (Speer, 2012). Master chronologies may allow the placement of the floating sequences in absolute time and provide an indication of provenance for the individual trees contributing to the sequences. The lack of chronologies longer than 300 years for the Yukon River drainage in the Interior of Alaska (Juday & Alix, 2012) suggests that floating sequences could not be tested for provenance and potential origin in what is known to be a major source area of Alaskan Arctic driftwood (Giddings, 1941, 1952; Alix, 2005). The cross-dating procedure of Pingusugruk individual RWI series and floating mean chronologies with the RWI master chronologies was conducted at the regional level for the three master chronologies (KBK, FTH, and MKZ).

## 3 Results

Disk samples from Pingusugruk were mostly fragmented and did not necessarily retain the first year of growth (FYG) and/or the last year of growth (LYG) due to decay or abrasion of wood layers. If LYG were rarely present (n=1), cross-sections often showed that the last measurable ring was close to the LYG (near bark). FYG was present in 30 of the total disk samples.

## 3.1 Cross-Correlation Analysis of Pingusugruk Disks

Cross-correlation of individual tree-ring series at the site level resulted in two instances of finding parts of the same individual tree used in different areas of the house features in both excavation areas. For example, timbers SL1–3105 (post, eastern house) and Slno-nb3 (missing spatial information) generated such high cross-dating indices (OVL = 80; TVBP = 25.5; TVH = 21; CDI = 215) that the curve similarity suggested it was the same tree. Likewise, timbers SL1–1915 (wall log, eastern house) and SL2–1916 (kitchen area, single house) (OVL = 122; TVBP = 7.0; TVH = 6.4; CDI = 45) showed significant statistical cross-correlation and such strong visual overlap that we accepted them as being from the same tree (Figure 5). At this preliminary stage of the investigation, we decided to



FIGURE 5 Tree-ring series from the same trees

Floating chronologies	Tree-ring series included	OVL	TVBP	TVH	CDI	Comments
PIN_C1 (2 series)	SL1-1306 SL1-1139	90	5.9	5.2	43	Samples are from SL1 western house.
PIN_C2 (3 series)	SL2–1986 SL2–1456 SL1–2264	65-76	4.5-5.8	6.0-6.3	32-47	Samples are from SL1 eastern house and SL2 single house.
PIN_C3 (2 series)	SL2–1931 SL2–2207	77	4.5	5.0	30	Samples are from SL2 single house.
PIN_C4 (2 series)	SL2–1707 SL1–1915/ SL2–1916	76	5.5	4.9	33	Samples are from SL1 eastern house and SL2 single house.
PIN_C5 (2 series)	SL2–2199 SL1–3130	140	4.8	5.5	36	Samples are from SL1 eastern house and SL2 single house.

 TABLE 1
 Description of the floating chronologies built from individual RWI series with associated statistical scores

OVL: Overlap; TVBP: Baillie-Pilcher T-value; TVH: Hollstein T-value; CDI: Cross Dating Index.

average each same tree pair into mean individual tree series, which left 38 individual tree-ring width series.

Based on the cross-correlation index scores, we built five floating mean chronologies that include 11 of the 38 ring-width series (Table 1). The cross-correlation of the remaining 27 individual series with each other and with the five floating chronologies did not meet our threshold index criteria for successful cross-correlation. The cross-dating of the five floating mean chronologies between each other did not provide any positive results according to our threshold criteria. The five floating mean chronologies were thus kept separated (Table 1).

## 3.2 Cross-Dating with Regional Master Chronologies

Cross-dating of the Pingusugruk individual series and floating mean chronologies with the Kobuk ( $\kappa$ B $\kappa$ ) and Mackenzie ( $M\kappa$ Z) master chronologies provided significant results. However, no floating chronology from Pingusugruk was successfully cross-dated with the Firth River Master chronology (FTH). PIN\_C1 cross-dated successfully with  $\kappa$ B $\kappa$  master chronology (OVL = 197; TVBP = 12; TVH = 13.3; CDI = 103), placing this chronology between 1429 CE and 1625 CE (Figure 6; Table 2). PIN\_C3 cross-dated successfully with  $\kappa$ B $\kappa$  master

TABLE 2	Cross-dating indices of individual series contributing to PIN_C1 and PIN_C3 when
	cross-dated with квк master chronology

Pingusugruk series	Kobuk chronology	OVL	TVBP	тун	CDI	Dating
SL1-1139 (Pin_C1)	КВК	90	4.5	4.7	34	1460–1549 CE
SL1-1306 (Pin_C1)	KBK	197	15.9	17.7	138	1429–1625 CE
SL2-1931 (Pin_C3)	KBK	89	4.5	4.5	30	1440–1528 CE
SL2–2207 (Pin_C3)	KBK	93	5.9	4.3	30	1452–1544 CE



FIGURE 6 Cross-dating PIN\_C1 and PIN\_C3 with Kobuk (KBK) master chronology



FIGURE 7 Cross-dating of series SL1–1302 (black) with the MKZ master regional chronology (grey)

chronology (OVL = 105; TVBP = 6.2; TVH = 5.0; CDI = 36) placing this chronology between 1440 CE and 1544 CE (Figure 6; Table 2).

Only one of the 27 remaining individual series was successfully cross-dated with one of the three master chronologies. SL1–1302 individual series (from the western house) cross-dated with MKZ master chronology between 1468 and 1588 CE (OVL = 121; TVBP = 4.6; TVH = 5.8; CDI = 33) (Figure 7), suggesting a potential area of origin for this sample (Figure 2).

#### 4 Discussion

## 4.1 Dendrochronological Findings from Pingusugruk

The dendrochronological dates obtained in this study support the archaeological evidence of temporal continuity and/or successive phases of occupations at the Pingusugruk whaling village in the 16th and 17th century CE.

Our standard dendrochronological approach to the 40 selected archaeological cross-sections provided limited but relevant results. The technique applied allowed the identification of cases in which individual trees were cut or split into pieces and used in the construction of more than one house. We identified two pairs of samples corresponding to single trees. In one case, a divided tree was part of house features in both excavation areas SL1 and SL2 (SL1-1915 and SL2-1916). In the other case, one part of a tree came from SL1 (SL1-3105), but the other had no known contextual data (Slnonb3). In general, when sampling and cross-dating archaeological wood, it was found, as might be expected, that splitting and dividing timbers was relatively common in Birnirk and Thule houses (Hoffecker & Mason, 2011; Norman et al. 2017; Alix & Mason, 2018). These findings indicating the deliberate placement of wood from a common source in different parts of a structure raise questions regarding when the features were constructed vs. repaired (e.g., recycling of timbers from one area to another). As mentioned earlier, timber SL1–1915 had been burnt before it was placed from the inside in the eastern feature of SL1, which suggested a repair. SL2-1916 came from the fill of the kitchen area in SL2 single house. We have yet to explain how and in what order the two pieces from the same log ended up in the two different house frames. Although there is a possibility that structural elements from the SL2 kitchen area were used to repair SL1, additional contextual and chronological information is needed at this stage to address this further.

The sequence PIN\_C1 significantly cross-dated with KBK chronology (Figure 6; Table 2), providing an end date for samples SL1–1139 at 1549 CE and SL1–1306 at 1625 CE. The successful cross-dating of sample SL1–1302 with MKZ chronology provided an end date of 1588 CE. These three dated timbers are from SL1 western house, representing three different end dates for this house feature (1549, 1588, and 1625 CE) over a time period of 76 years. PIN\_C3 significantly cross-dated with KBK chronology (Figure 6; Table 2), providing end dates for samples SL2–1931 at 1528 CE and SL2–2207 at 1544 CE. Thus, the SL2 single house has two end dates, only 16 years apart.

The architectural wood elements used in the construction of house features in SL1 and SL2 show limited signs of log reduction, besides longitudinal splitting to obtain two halves or cross-sectioning to obtain two log sections. As a result, it is possible that the missing FYG and especially LYG may be related to posttree death degradations (biological, climatic, and physical) that would have occurred at any stage of the driftwood cycle and later when the wood was used as timber and subsequently excavated as archaeological material. However, in several logs, the last measured ring shows signs of being close to LYG and can be referred to as near bark. As a result, the absolute dates obtained in this study are very likely close to that of the death of the trees, and the 76 years separating the earliest and the latest dates obtained for the SL1 western house cannot be explained by the absence of LYG. When dating timbers from archaeological houses on the Kobuk River, Giddings similarly found a range of approximately 100 years between the youngest and oldest end dates within a single house (Giddings, 1952b).

The whaling village of Pingusugruk showed signs of temporal continuity and repeated occupations, with a superposition of house features (Sheehan, 1997). These dendrochronological results suggest the potential recycling of timbers taken from earlier structures and/or rebuilding events within SL1 with newly arrived driftwood in the early 17th century. The three end dates for the SL1 western house are consistent with radiocarbon dates obtained at the site (Krus et al. 2019) and calibrated between 1460 and 1640 CE. The youngest tree-ring end date (1625 CE) falls toward the end of the radiocarbon interval. This calendar date suggests occupation of the house or a re-occupation of the "footprint" feature in the mid-17th century, consistent with archaeological remains of the late Western Thule whaling village (Sheehan, 1997). So far, we could date no timbers from the SL1 eastern house, which is considered to have been built later than the western house. The two end dates from the SL2 house dated timbers (1528 and 1544 CE) are also consistent with Krus et al.'s (2019) radiocarbon dates obtained for the low camp (1440–1630 CE) and the midden (1450-1640 CE). However, additional dates should be obtained to further discuss the relationships and temporality of construction, occupation, and repairs of the three houses.

## 4.2 Standard Dendrochronology on Coastal Archaeological Wood from Northern Alaska

Our cross-dating and provenance findings from Pingusugruk archaeological coastal wood support local Alaskan knowledge and modern tree-ring provenance studies, which indicate that modern driftwood originates from both Alaskan and Canadian large rivers (Giddings, 1952a; Alix *et al.* 2013). However, a larger number of samples and significant correlations will be necessary to fully inform paleo-environmental studies (e.g., the role of sea currents in transporting Alaskan driftwood). We found convincing matches through the cross-dating techniques for five archaeological timbers (including two of the build chronologies), reinforcing the idea that these chronologies are valid and useful representations of the overall growth trends of northwest North American boreal trees. Our samples have led to the increase in the number of trees contributing ring widths to the reconstruction of 1450–1650 CE, a critical time period in northwest Alaska. The 15th century is considered in the area as the beginning of the LIA, and the 16th and early 17th century represents the most severe period of the LIA. This later period is also when cultural change can be seen in the Kobuk River valley and Thule archaeological sequences from northwestern Alaska (Giddings, 1952b; Giddings & Anderson, 1986; Minc & Smith, 1989; Mason, 2012; Jensen, 2016; Norman *et al.* 2017).

While previous studies demonstrated that some modern driftwood collected at Point Barrow also originated in the Yukon flats and Tanana River (Giddings, 1952a; Alix, 2005; Juday & Alix, 2012), the absence of master tree-ring chronologies exceeding the last 300 years in this area (Alix, 2005; Juday *et al.* 2015) does not yet allow the biogeographic region of tree-ring samples from Thule archaeological sites to be determined. Our cross-dating results using Pingusugruk disk timbers highlight the need to collect additional archaeological tree-ring samples from the Thule coastal sites to build and expand the network of local and regional tree-ring chronologies.

## 4.3 A Strategy to Date Alaskan Coastal Wood Using Other Tree-Ring Techniques

Recent decades have witnessed the development of innovative techniques and tools that are both complementary to each other and/or independent of standard ring-width variation analyses, for example, <sup>14</sup>C wiggle-matching, <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio determination, wood density, and carbon and oxygen stable isotopes measurements (Christen & Litton, 1995; English *et al.* 2001; Galimberti &Bronk Ramsey, 2004; McCarroll &Loader, 2004; Kagawa & Leavitt, 2010; Bridge, 2012; Daux, 2013; Rydval *et al.* 2014; Hajj *et al.* 2017; Wilson *et al.* 2017a,b; Loader *et al.* 2019; Domínguez-Delmás, 2020; Domínguez-Delmás *et al.* 2020). Here, we discuss a strategy to supplement and overcome the limitations of standard ring-width dendrochronology applied to archaeological coastal wood in northern Alaska.

First, to estimate and calibrate the floating dendrochronological sequences in calendar time, we are developing a  $^{14}$ C wiggle-matching approach. Wiggle-matching consists of the radiocarbon dating of several individual rings (regularly spaced, every 5 to 20 years) from an individual tree sample belonging to a floating chronology. The radiocarbon signatures of the rings form a curve that is statistically compared to the <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve. This method is based on a Bayesian process that consists of calibrating together several <sup>14</sup>C dates with unknown exact age but with a known time interval, that is, the number of rings separating each dated ring. This method allows reducing the effect of fluctuations in the <sup>14</sup>C calibration curve and considerably refines the calendar interval in which the age of the last ring of the wood is located (Christen & Litton, 1995; Galimberti & Ramsey, 2004; Astrade & Miramont, 2010). Performing wiggle-matching on one sample from the Pin\_C2 floating sequence may provide an absolute and precise calendar dating (from centennial to decadal interval) for the three cross-dated floating ring-widths series that represent the Pin\_C2 sequence. Ultimately, this wiggle-matching dated sequence could help date other archaeological coastal wood samples from Neo-Inuit sites and build other long master sequences for different source areas in Alaska.

Second, to determine the biogeographic region of origin of Alaskan coastal archaeological wood, we considered two methods: the Blue Intensity (BI) technique and geochemical analyses of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotope ratios. Recently developed, BI is based on the reflectance of blue light on growth ring cells from high-quality tree-ring scans and can improve the provenance-determination of timbers by highlighting a regional signal that is recorded in the trees (Rydval *et al.* 2014; Wilson *et al.* 2014, 2017a,b). BI allows the reconstruction of a summer temperature signal that is less affected by non-climatic effects on tree growth, compared to ring-width variations and Latewood Density analyses, and uses simpler and cheaper equipment (Rydval *et al.* 2014; Wilson *et al.* 2014, 2017a,b). However, this technique is sensitive to wood discoloration that chemical pre-treatments (*e.g.* ethanol or acetone baths) cannot yet overcome (Wilson *et al.* 2017a.b, 2019). Such discolorations are common in archaeological and driftwood spruce wood due to fungi and other preservation issues.

Recent applications of geochemical analyses of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotope ratios on archaeological wood provide a precise method to identify the biogeographic region of origin of archaeological wood. Strontium <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios in wood are largely dependent on the geological substrate and, thus, can be characteristic of a tree's growth locality (English *et al.* 2001; Kagawa & Leavitt, 2010; Rich, 2013; Rich *et al.* 2015; Hajj *et al.* 2017). However, recent research has challenged the use of strontium geochemical isotopes to determine the biogeographic origin of wood that has been in contact with seawater (Van Ham-Meert *et al.* 2020; Snoeck *et al.* 2021), as in the case of shipwrecks (Rich *et al.* 2016; Hajj *et al.* 2017), and potentially Alaskan archaeological coastal wood. As seawater has its own <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr signature, wood that has been in its contact can have its <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr signature contaminated and modified within a few weeks/days (Hajj *et al.* 2017; Snoeck *et al.* 2021). As of today, there is no chemical protocol or pre-treatment that can reliably recover the original <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr signature (endogenous) of a tree that has been in contact with seawater. As a result, it is not yet possible to confidently accept provenance results (Van Ham-Meert *et al.* 2020; Snoeck *et al.* 2021).

While further research is needed to assess the levels at which <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr signatures of coastal driftwood logs are modified, another dendro-isotopic method using a series of oxygen isotopic compositions of tree-ring cellulose (Loader et al. 2019) might help identify the calendar dating and biogeographic origin of Thule archaeological wood. Isotopic ratios of carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and oxygen ( $\delta^{18}$ O) from wood cellulose produced on an annual basis can provide data on temperature and moisture and are, therefore, an independent source of information to characterize climatic signals and reconstruct climatic variations and environmental conditions (McCarroll & Loader, 2004; Daux, 2013; Porter et al. 2014; Bégin *et al.* 2015; Csank *et al.* 2016). A recent study in central England developed a new dating approach based on oxygen isotopes ( $\delta^{18}$ O) for wood from different geographic areas and trees influenced by the same type of climate variability (Loader et al. 2019). The annual dating technique is similar to cross-dating using conventional dendrochronology (ring-width), except that it is based on a series of δ<sup>18</sup>O annual variations, themselves sensitive to variations in soil water. We are presently testing this approach on five coastal tree-ring samples that we cross-dated with the KBK master chronology and three coastal tree-ring samples whose sequences remain floating. At this preliminary stage, we are investigating whether this technique can provide the annual calendar dating of floating series. We hypothesize that these sequences might provide a strong common δ<sup>18</sup>O isotopic signal (strongly sensitive to climate), which would suggest geographic proximity of tree origin, as of yet undetectable with conventional dendrochronology.

We believe that at present, coupling dendro-isotopy (oxygen) and <sup>14</sup>C wiggle-matching with standard dendrochronological procedures offers the best potential for building robust regional reference chronologies and expanding them back into the second millennium CE. This interdisciplinary strategy has the potential to resolve important questions of cultural dating, paleoclimate, and environmental change, especially during a time period of important cultural and climate variations during the MCA and the LIA.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper presented the results of standard dendrochronological analyses of coastal archaeological wood from the Thule houses of excavated areas SL1 and SL2 of the Pingusugruk site in northern Alaska. The cross-correlation of 40

archaeological wood cross-sections has so far allowed the construction of five mean floating chronologies (representing 11 individual tree-ring series). The successful cross-dating of two chronologies and one individual tree-ring series with the Kobuk and Mackenzie master chronologies provide a calendar date for the site in the 16th and 17th century, consistent with the <sup>14</sup>C dating and archaeological evidence of a late Western Thule whaling settlement (Sheehan, 1997; Krus & Jensen, 2019). These preliminary results confirm the potential of dendrochronology for a better understanding of the Thule period of climate and cultural change. However, at the present stage of the research, the lack of master chronologies longer than 300 years in Interior Alaska limits the application of our results and requires analyzing additional collections of coastal archaeological wood to build and extend tree-ring master chronologies in different driftwood source areas. Our preliminary results suggest that additional work on various dendro-archaeological collections using an interdisciplinary approach (geochemical analyses of oxygen isotopes and radiocarbon dating) will help develop and expand regional tree-ring chronologies and climatic tree-ring sequences in Alaska.

#### Acknowledgements

Pingusugruk timbers were collected by A.M. Jensen and G.A. Reinhardt with the help of D. Norton in the 1990s. The initial excavation was funded by a National Science Foundation Grant (OPP-9321112) "Archaeology of the North Alaska Coast: A Settlement Pattern Study from Point Franklin to Wainwright" (dir. G.W. Sheehan, G.A. Reinhardt, and A.M. Jensen, Bryn Mawr College). C. Alix recorded and sampled the logs in 2014 at the Barrow Arctic Research Center (BARC) UIC Science LCC at NARL in Utqiagvik, Alaska. We thank Walter S. Brower and James Ivanoff Sr. of the UIC for their invaluable help in cutting cross-sections from the logs. Work on Pingusugruk wood crosssections and dendrochronological analyses are now part of the National Science Foundation collaborative project (ARC-1523160) "Birnirk Prehistory and the Emergence of Inupiag Culture in Northwestern Alaska" (PIS: C. Alix and N.H. Bigelow, University of Alaska Fairbanks [UAF]) and of the J. Taïeb doctoral research funded with a 3-year fellowship of the University Paris 1 Pantheon-Sorbonne (UP1), France. The research is also funded by a grant from the World Wood Day Foundation and IWCS awarded to J. Taïeb for the project "Let the Wood Speak: Dendro-Archaeology, Climate and Culture in Northwestern Alaska at the Beginning of the Second Millennium AD." We thank Mike Lorain (UAF), who prepared and measured some of the samples as part of the NSF Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) program. We are grateful to Rob Wilson for his wise advice regarding the Blue Intensity technique. We also thank Nancy Bigelow (UAF) and Camille Mayeux (UP1) for their help during Taïeb's time at UAF.

#### References

- Alix C. 2004. Bois flotté et archéologie de l'Arctique : contribution à la préhistoire récente du détroit de Béring. Études/Inuit/Studies 28 (1): 109–132.
- Alix C. 2005. Deciphering the impact of change on the driftwood cycle: contribution to the study of human use of wood in the Arctic. Glob. Planet Change 47: 83–98.
- Alix C. 2012. Introduction: Arctic peoples and wood. Études/Inuit/Studies. 36 (1): 5-22.
- Alix C. 2016. A critical Resource: Wood use and technology in the North American Arctic. In: OK Mason and TM Friesen (ed.), The Oxford Handbook of the Prehistoric Arctic: 109–129. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Alix C, Mason OK. 2018. Birnirk prehistory and the emergence of Inupiaq culture in Northwestern Alaska, Archaeological and anthropological perspectives. Field investigation at Cape Espenberg, 2017. Annual Report to the National Park Service, US Department of the Interior, Fairbanks, AK.
- Alix C, Whitney JW. 2004. The tree-ring collection of the university of Alaska museum A report on the re-housing and inventory of the collection. Unpublished report to the Alaska University Museum of the North, Fairbanks, AK.
- Alix C, Juday GP, Grant TA. 2013. Origine et temps de dérive des bois flottés en Alaska : un outil pour dater les bois archéologiques du littoral arctique. Poster presented at the Annual conference of the GDR3544 Sciences du Bois, Champs sur Marne.
- Alix C, Mason OK, Norman LEY, Bigelow NH, Maio C. 2017. Birnirk prehistory and the emergence of Inupiaq culture in Northwestern Alaska, Archaeological and anthropological perspectives. Field investigation at Cape Espenberg, 2016. Annual Report to the National Park Service, US Department of the Interior, Fairbanks, AK.
- Alix C, Taïeb J, Mason OK, Juday GP. 2020. Tree-ring research at Cape Espenberg, New insights on time and architecture. Paper presented at the 47th Annual Meeting of the Alaska Anthropological Association, Fairbanks, AK.
- Arnold JR, Libby WF. 1949. Age determinations by radiocarbon content: checks with samples of known age. Science 110 (2869): 678–680.
- Astrade L, Miramont C. (eds) 2010. Panorama de la Dendrochronologie en France. Collection Edytem, N° 11. DOI: 10.57726/3htt-j221.
- Baillie MGL, Pilcher JR. 1973. A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research. Tree-Ring Bull. 33: 7–14.

- Barber VA, Juday GP, Finney BP. 2000. Reduced growth of Alaskan white spruce in the twentieth century from temperature-induced drought stress. Nature 405(6787): 668-673.
- Bégin C, Gingras M, Savard MM, Marion J, Nicault A, Bégin Y. 2015. Assessing treering carbon and oxygen stable isotopes for climate reconstruction in the Canadian northeastern boreal forest. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 423: 91–101.
- Bridge M, 2012. Locating the origins of wood resources: a review of dendroprovenancing. J. Archaeol. Sci. 39: 2828–2834.
- Bunn AG. 2008. A Dendrochronology Program Library in R (DplR). Dendrochronologia 26 (2): 115–124
- Bunn AG. 2010. Statistical and Visual Crossdating in R Using the DplR Library. Dendrochronologia 28 (4): 251–258.
- Carter WK. 1966. Archaeological survey of Eskimo, or earlier, material in the vicinity of Point Barrow, Alaska. Final report to the Office Naval Research and Arctic Institute of North America. Archives, Rasmuson Library, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.
- Christen JA, Litton CD. 1995. A Bayesian approach to wiggle-matching. J. Archaeol. Sci. 22 (6): 719–725.
- Cook ER, Kairiukstis LA. 1990. Methods of Dendrochronology: applications in the environmental sciences. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht.
- Csank AZ, Miller AE, Sherriff RL, Berg EE, Welker JM. 2016. Tree-ring isotopes reveal drought sensitivity in trees killed by spruce beetle outbreaks in South-Central Alaska. Ecol. Appl. 26 (7): 2001–2020.
- Daly A. 2007. Timber, trade and tree-rings. A dendrochronological analysis of structural oak timber in Northern Europe, c. AD 1000 to c. AD 1650. Unpublished PhD dissertation from the University of Southern Denmark, Odense.
- Daly A, Bork-Pedersen K. 2018. Wiggle-match dating the fortification of Køge. Dan. J. Archaeol. 7: 1–14.
- D'Arrigo RD, Mashig E, Frank D, Wilson R, Jacoby GC. 2005. Temperature variability over the past millennium inferred from Northwestern Alaska tree rings. Clim. Dyn. 24: 227–236.
- D'Arrigo RD, Wilson R, Jacoby GC. 2006. On the Long-Term Context for Twentieth Century Warming. J. Geophys. Res. 111: 1–12.
- Daux V. 2013. Reconstructing climate variations from the oxygen and carbon isotopic composition of tree-ring cellulose. La Météorologie 80: 14–22.
- Domínguez-Delmás M. 2020. Seeing the forest for the trees: new approaches and challenges for dendroarchaeology in the 21st century. Dendrochronologia 62: 125731.
- Domínguez-Delmás, M, Rich S, Traoré M, Hajj F, Poszwa A, Akhmetzyanov L, García-González I, Groenendijk P. 2020. Tree-ring chronologies, stable strontium isotopes and biochemical compounds: towards reference datasets to provenance Iberian shipwreck timbers. J. Archaeol. Sci. Rep. 34: 102640.

- Douglass AE. 1922. Some Aspects of the use of the annual rings of trees in climatic study. Smithsonian Rep. 2731: 223–239.
- Dyke AS. 1997. Changes in driftwood delivery to the Canadian Arctic Archipelago: the hypothesis of postglacial oscillations of the Transpolar Drift. Arctic 50 (1): 1–16.
- Eggertsson, O. 1994. Mackenzie river driftwood: a dendrochronological study. Arctic 47 (2): 128–136.
- English N, Betancourt J, Dean JS, Quade J. 2001. Strontium isotopes reveal distant sources of architectural timber in Chaco Canyon, New Mexico. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 11891–11896.
- Eurola S. 1971. The driftwoods of the Arctic Ocean. Rep. Kevo Subarct. Res. Stat. 7: 74–80. Fritts HC. 1976. Tree rings and Climate. Academic Press. New York, NY.
- Galimberti M, Bronk Ramsey C. 2004. Wiggle-Match dating of tree-ring sequences. Radiocarbon 46 (2): 917–924.
- Giddings JL. 1938. Buried wood from Fairbanks, Alaska. Tree-Ring Bull. 4 (4): 3-5.
- Giddings JL. 1940. The application of tree-ring to Arctic sites. Tree-Ring Bull. 7 (2): 10–14.
- Giddings JL. 1941. Dendrochronology in Northern Alaska. Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research Bulletin 1. Univ. Ariz. Bull. 7 (4).
- Giddings JL. 1943a. A plan for mapping Arctic Sea Currents. Geogr. Rev. 33: 326-327.
- Giddings JL. 1943b. Some climatic aspects of tree growth in Alaska. Tree-Ring Bull. 9 (4): 26–32.
- Giddings JL. 1944. Dated Eskimo ruins of an inland zone. Am. Antiq. 10 (2): 113–134.
- Giddings JL. 1948. Chronology of the Kobuk-Kotzebue sites. Tree-Ring Bull. 14 (4): 26–32.
- Giddings JL. 1952a. Driftwood and problems of the Arctic Sea currents. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. 96: 129–142.
- Giddings JL. 1952b. The Arctic Woodland culture and the Kobuk River. University Museum Monograph. University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.
- Giddings JL. 1954. Tree-Ring Dating the American Arctic. Tree-Ring Bull. 20 (3–4): 23–25.
- Giddings JL. 1966. Cross-dating the archeology of Northwestern Alaska. Science 153 (3732):127–135.
- Giddings JL, Anderson DD. 1986. Beach ridge archaeology of Cape Krusenstern: Eskimo and pre-Eskimo settlements around Kotzebue Sound, Alaska. National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.
- Graumlich L, King J. 1997. Late Holocene climatic variation in Northwestern Alaska as reconstructed from tree-ring. Final Unpublished Report to the Cooperative Research with the National Park Services. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Hajj F, Poszwa A, Bouchez J, Guérold F. 2017. Radiogenic and "stable" strontium isotopes in provenance studies: a review and first results on archaeological wood from shipwrecks. J. Archaeol. Sci. 86: 24–49.

- Hansen MC, Potapov PV, Moore R, Hancher M, Turubanova SA *et al.* 2013. High-resolution Global Maps of 21st century forest cover change. Science 342: 850–53.
- Hellmann L, Tegel W, Eggerstsson O, Schweingruber FH, Blanchette R, Kirdyanov A, Gärtner H, Büntgen U. 2013. Tracing the origin of Arctic driftwood. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 118: 1–9.
- Hellmann L, Tegel W, Geyer J, Kirdyanov A, Nikolaev AN, Eggerstsson O, Altman J *et al.* 2017. Dendro-provenancing of Arctic driftwood. Q. Sci. Rev. 162: 1–11.
- Hoffecker JF, Mason OK. 2010. Human response to climate change at Cap Espenberg: AD 800–1400, Field investigation at Cap Espenberg 2010. Unpublished Preliminary report to the National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.
- Hoffecker JF, Mason OK. 2011. Human response to climate change at Cap Espenberg: AD 800–1400, Field investigation at Cap Espenberg 2011. Unpublished Preliminary report to the National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- Hole GM, Macias-Fauria M. 2017. Out of the Woods: driftwood insights into Holocene Pan-Arctic Sea Ice dynamics. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 122 (9): 7612–7629.
- Hole GM, Rawson T, Farnsworth WR, Schomacker A, Ingólfsson ÓR, Macias-Fauria M. 2021. A driftwood-based record of Arctic Sea Ice during the last 500 years from Northern Svalbard reveals Sea Ice dynamics in the Arctic Ocean and Arctic peripheral seas. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 126 (10): e2021JC017563.
- Holmes RL. 1983. Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. Tree-Ring Bull. 43: 69–78.
- Jensen AM. 2009. Nuvuk, Point Barrow, Alaska: the Thule cemetery and Ipiutak occupation. PhD dissertation. Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA:.
- Jensen AM. 2016. Archaeology of the Late Western Thule/Iñupiat in North Alaska (a.d. 1300–1750). In: Mason OK and Friesen TM (ed.), The Oxford Handbook of the Prehistoric Arctic: 513–537. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Jensen AM, Sheehan GW. 2016. Contact and postcontact Iñupiat ethnohistory. In: Mason OK and Friesen TM (eds), The Oxford Handbook of the Prehistoric Arctic: 631–651. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Juday GP, Alix C. 2012. Consistent negative temperature sensitivity and positive influence of precipitation on growth of floodplain Picea glauca in interior Alaska. Can. J. For. Res. 42: 561–573.
- Juday GP, McGuire AD, Ruess RW, Lloyd A, Yarie J, Clein JS. 2010. Vulnerability of white spruce tree growth in interior Alaska in response to climate variability: dendrochronological, demographic, and experimental perspectives. Can. J. For. Res. 40: 1197–1209.
- Juday GP, Alix C, Grant TA. 2015. Spatial coherence and change of opposite white spruce temperature sensitivities on floodplains in Alaska confirms early-stage boreal biome shift. For. Ecol. Manage. 350: 46–61.

- Kagawa AK, Leavitt SW. 2010. Stable carbon isotopes of tree rings as a tool to pinpoint the geographic origin of timber. J. Wood Sci. 56 (3): 175–183.
- Kim Y, Son BH, Imamura M, Park WK. 2013. Tree-ring dating and radiocarbon wigglematching of Buddhist Arhat statues at Heungkuksa temple in Namyangju, South Korea. Dendrochronologia 31 (4): 286–289.
- Krus A, Jensen A, Hamilton W, Sayle K. 2019. A context-appropriate approach to marine 14C calibration: ΔR and bayesian framework for the Nuvuk cemetery, Point Barrow, Alaska. Radiocarbon 61 (3): 1–15.
- Loader NJ, McCarroll D, Miles D, Young GHF, Davies D, Bronk Ramsey C. 2019. Tree ring dating using oxygen isotopes: a master chronology for Central England. J. Q. Sci. 34 (6): 475–490.
- Mann ME, Zhang Z, Rutherford S, Bradley RS, Hughes MK, Shindell D, Ammann C, Faluvegi G, Ni F. 2009. Global signatures and dynamical origins of the Little Ice Age and Medieval Climate Anomaly. Science 326 (5957): 1256–60.
- Marshall P, Bayliss A, Farid S, Tyers C, Bronk Ramsey C, Cook G, Doğan T, Freeman SPHT, İlkmen E, Knowles T. 2019. 14C wiggle-matching of short tree-ring sequences from post-medieval buildings in England. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 438: 218–226.
- Mason OK. 2012. Memories of warfare: archaeology and oral history in assessing the conflict and alliance model of Ernest S. Burch. Arct. Anthropol. 49 (2): 72–93.
- Mason OK. 2017. Emergence of Inuit identity: Thule and Late Prehistoric. In: Mason OK and Friesen TM (eds), Out of the Cold: Archaeology on the Arctic Rim of North America. SAA Contemporary Perspectives: 1880–2191. The SAA Press, Washington, DC.
- Mason OK. 2020. The Thule migrations as an analog for the early peopling of the Americas: evaluating scenarios of overkill, trade, climate forcing, and scalar stress. PaleoAmerica 6 (4): 1–49.
- Mason OK, Barber VA. 2003. A paleogeographic preface to the origins of whaling: cold is better. In: McCartney AP (ed.), Indigenous Ways to the Present: Native Whaling in the Western Arctic: 69–108. Circumpolar Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB and University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, UT.
- Mason OK, Gerlach SC. 1995. Chukchi hot spots, paleo-polynyas, and caribou crashes: climatic and ecological dimensions of North Alaska Prehistory. Arctic Anthropol. 32 (1): 101–130.
- McCarroll D, Loader NJ. 2004. Stable isotopes in tree rings. Q. Sci. Rev. 23 (7): 771-801.
- Minc LD, Smith KP. 1989. The spirit of survival: cultural responses to resource variability in North Alaska. In Halstead P and O'Shea J (eds), Bad year economics: cultural responses to risk and uncertainty, New Directions in Archaeology: 8–39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Nakamura T, Okuno M, Kimura K, Mitsutani T, Moriwaki H, Ishizuka Y, Kim κH, *et al.* 2007. Application of 14C wiggle-matching to support dendrochronological analysis in Japan. Tree-Ring Res. 63 (1): 37–46.
- Nash S. 2000. James Louis Giddings' archaeological tree-ring dating in the American Arctic: a forgotten legacy. Arct. Anthropol. 37: 60–78.
- Nicolle M, Debret M, Massei N, Colin C, deVernal A, Divine D, Werner JP, Hormes A, Korhola A, Linderholm HW. 2018. Climate variability in the Subarctic area for the last 2 millennia. Clim. Past 14 (1): 101–116.
- Norman LEY, Friesen TM, Alix C, O'Rourke MJJ, Mason OK. 2017. An early Inupiaq occupation: observations on a Thule house from Cape Espenberg, Alaska. J. Open Archaeol. 3: 17–48.
- Pilcher JR. 1990. Sample preparation, cross-dating and measurement. In Cook ER and Kairiukstis LA (ed.), Methods of Dendrochronology. Applications in the Environmental Sciences: 40–51. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht.
- Porter TJ, Pisaric MFJ. 2011. Temperature-growth divergence in white spruce forests of Old Crow Flats, Yukon Territory, and adjacent regions of Northwestern North America. Glob Change Biol. 17 (11): 3418–3430.
- Porter TJ, Pisaric MFJ, Kokelj SV, deMontigny P. 2013. A ring-width-based reconstruction of June-July minimum temperatures since AD 1245 from white spruce stands in the Mackenzie Delta region, northwestern Canada. Q. Res. 80 (2): 167–179.
- Porter TJ, Pisaric MFJ, Field RD, Kokelj SV, Edwards TWD, deMontigny P, Healy R, LeGrande AN. 2014. Spring-summer temperatures since AD 1780 reconstructed from stable oxygen isotope ratios in white spruce tree-rings from the Mackenzie Delta, Northwestern Canada. Clim. Dyn. 42 (3): 771–785.
- Raff JA, Rzhetskaya M, Tackney J, Hayes MG. 2015. Mitochondrial diversity of Iñupiat people from the Alaskan North Slope provides evidence for the origins of the Paleoand Neo-Eskimo peoples. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 157 (4): 603–614.
- Reinhardt G, Lee M. 2003. Eskimo architecture: dwelling and structure in the Early Historic Period. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK.
- Rich S. 2013. Ship timber as symbol? Dendro-provenancing Swamp; contextualizing ancient cedar ship remains from the East Mediterranean/Near East. Ph.D. Thesis, KU Leuven, Leuven.
- Rich S, Manning S, Degryse P, Vanhaecke F, Van Lerberghe K. 2015. Provenancing East Mediterranean cedar wood with the 87Sr/86Sr strontium isotope ratio. Archaeol. Anthropol. Sci. 8: 467–476.
- Rich S, Manning SW, Degryse P, Vanhaecke F, Latruwe K, Van Lerberghe K. 2016. To put a cedar ship in a bottle: dendroprovenancing three ancient East Mediterranean watercraft with the 87Sr/86Sr isotope ratio. J. Archaeol. Sci. 9: 514–21.
- RinnTech. 2000. TSAP-WIN User Reference manual. RinnTech, Heidelberg.

- Rydval M, Larsson LA, McGlynn L, Gunnarson BE, Loader NJ, Young GHF,Wilson R. 2014. Blue intensity for dendroclimatology: should we have the blues? Experiments from Scotland. Dendrochronologia 32 (3): 191–204.
- Rye O. 1949. Archaeological and dendrochronological field trip to point Barrow, Alaska. Unpublished report — Archival Box 5 Skarland Collection. Elmer E. Rasmuson Library, College, AK.
- Sander C, Levanic T. 1996. Comparison of T-values calculated in different dendrochronological programmes. Dendrochronologia 14: 269–272.
- Sander L, Kirdyanov A, Crivellaro A, Büntgen U. 2021. Short communication: driftwood provides reliable chronological markers in Arctic coastal deposits. Geochronology 3 (1): 171–80.
- Schweingruber FH. 1988. Tree rings: Basics and Applications of Dendrochronology. Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Sheehan GW, Reinhardt GA, Jensen AM, 1991. Pingasagruk: a prehistoric whaling village on Point Franklin, Alaska. Report prepared for Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Contract No. 14-16-0007-86-6612, September 1991.
- Sheehan GW. 1997. In the belly of the whale: trade and war in Eskimo society. Aurora Monograph Series 6. Alaska Anthropological Association Anchorage, AK.
- Snoeck C, Schulting R, Brock F, Rodler AS, Van Ham-Meert A, Mattielli N, Ostapkowicz J. 2021. Testing various pre-treatments on artificially waterlogged and pitch-contaminated wood for strontium isotope analyses. Front. Ecol. Evol. 8: 589154.
- Speer JH. 2012. Fundamental of tree-ring research. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ.
- Sullivan PF, Pattison RR, Brownlee AH, Cahoon SMP, Hollingsworth TN. 2016. Effect of tree-ring detrending method on apparent growth trends of black and white spruce in interior Alaska. Environ. Res. Lett. 11 (11): 114007.
- Tackney J, Jensen AM, Kisielinski C, O'Rourke DH. 2019. Molecular analysis of an ancient Thule population at Nuvuk, Point Barrow, Alaska. J. Phys. Anthropol. 168 (2): 303–317.
- Van Ham-Meert A, Rodler AS, Waight TE, Daly A. 2020: Determining the Sr isotopic composition of waterlogged wood — cleaning more is not always better. J. Archaeol. Sci. 124: 105261.
- Vasiliev S, Bokovenko NA, Chugunov KA, Dergachev V, Sementsov AA, Sljusarenko J, Zaytseva G, Key KK. 2001. Tree-rings, "wiggle matching" and statistics in the chronological studies of Scythian age sites in Asia. Geochronometria 20: 61–68.
- Viereck LA, Little EL. 2007. Alaska Trees and Shrubs. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks, AK.

- Wilmking MR, Juday GP. 2005. Longitudinal variation of radial growth at Alaska's northern treeline recent changes and possible scenarios for the 21st century. Glob. Planet. Change 47: 282–300.
- Wilson R, Anchukaitis K, Andreu-Hayles L, Cook E, D'Arrigo RD, Davi N, Haberbauer L *et al.* 2019. Improved dendroclimatic calibration using Blue Intensity in the Southern Yukon. Holocene 29 (11): 1817–1830.
- Wilson R, Rao R, Rydval M, Wood C, Larsson LÅ, Luckman BH. 2014. Blue Intensity for dendroclimatology: the BC blues: a case study from British Columbia, Canada. Holocene 24 (11): 1428–1438.
- Wilson R, D'Arrigo RD, Andreu-Hayles L, Oelkers R, Wiles G, Anchukaitis K, Davi N. 2017a. Experiments based on Blue Intensity for reconstructing North Pacific temperatures along the Gulf of Alaska. Clim. Past 13 (8): 1007–1022.
- Wilson R, Wilson D, Rydval M, Crone A, Büntgen U, Clark S, Ehmer J *et al.* 2017b. Facilitating tree-ring dating of historic conifer timbers using Blue Intensity. J. Archaeol. Sci. 78: 99–111.
- Wilson R, Anchukaitis KJ, Andreu-Hayles L, Cook ER, D'Arrigo RD, Davi NK, Haberbauer L, et al. 2019. Improved Dendroclimatic Calibration Using Blue Intensity in the Southern Yukon. *Holocene* 29 (11): 1817–1830. https://doi.org/10.1177/09596836198 62037.
- Xu J, Pan B, Liu T, Hajdas I, Zhao B, Yu H, Liu R, Zhao P. 2013. Climatic impact of the millennium eruption of Changbaishan volcano in China: new insights from high-precision radiocarbon wiggle-match dating. Geophys. Res. Lett. 1–6.
- Zhao S, Pederson N, D'Orangeville L, HilleRisLambers J, Boose E, Penone C, Bauer B, Jiang Y, Manzanedo R. 2018. The International Tree-Ring Data Bank (ITRDB) revisited: data availability and global ecological representativity. J. Biogeogr. 1–14.