



HAL
open science

A PDE WITH DRIFT OF NEGATIVE BESOV INDEX AND LINEAR GROWTH SOLUTIONS

Elena Issoglio, Francesco Russo

► **To cite this version:**

Elena Issoglio, Francesco Russo. A PDE WITH DRIFT OF NEGATIVE BESOV INDEX AND LINEAR GROWTH SOLUTIONS. *Differential and integral equations*, 2024, 37 (9-10), pp.585-622. 10.57262/die037-09-10-585 . hal-03889110

HAL Id: hal-03889110

<https://hal.science/hal-03889110>

Submitted on 7 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A PDE WITH DRIFT OF NEGATIVE BESOV INDEX AND LINEAR GROWTH SOLUTIONS

ELENA ISSOGLIO AND FRANCESCO RUSSO

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates a class of PDEs with coefficients in negative Besov spaces and whose solutions have linear growth. We show existence and uniqueness of mild and weak solutions, which are equivalent in this setting, and several continuity results. To this aim, we introduce ad-hoc Besov-Hölder type spaces that allow for linear growth, and investigate the action of the heat semigroup on them. We conclude the paper by introducing a special subclass of these spaces which has the useful property to be separable.

Key words and phrases. Parabolic PDEs with linear growth; distributional drift; Besov spaces.

2020 MSC. 35C99; 35D99; 35K10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this paper is to study existence, uniqueness and continuity results for solutions to a class of parabolic PDEs with negative Besov drifts and unbounded solutions. In particular, the class of parabolic linear PDEs studied in this work is of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + \frac{1}{2} \Delta v + \nabla v b = \lambda v + g, & \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ v(T) = v_T, \end{cases}$$

where λ is a real parameter, and b and g are continuous functions of time taking values in a negative Besov space $\mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$ with $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, see definitions and details below. Here the product $\nabla v b := \nabla v \cdot b$ needs to be defined using pointwise products, because the term b is a distribution.

Our main motivation to study (1) comes from stochastic analysis. Indeed, PDEs of the form (1) naturally arise in the context of stochastic differential equations, particularly when setting and solving them as *martingale problems*. In the companion paper [11] we will extensively use all results on PDE (1) found in the present paper.

PDEs with distributional coefficients have been studied in the literature before, see for example [3, 5, 8] to name a few. Here we do not require the use of Gubinelli's paracontrolled distributions or Hairer's regularity structures so that the Besov index of the space where the distributional coefficient b lives cannot be lower than $-\frac{1}{2}$. The main novelty is that we allow terminal

conditions, and hence the solutions, to have linear growth, which is not the case in the existing literature.

For this reason in Section 3 we introduce a suitable class of functions spaces, denoted by DC^α , which contains all functions such that their derivative is an element of C^α . We also investigate the action of the semigroup on these spaces, in particular Schauder's estimates and Bernstein's inequalities in the DC^α spaces, see Lemmata 3.3 and 3.4. In Section 4 We introduce the notion of weak and mild solutions for this PDE and show that they are equivalent in Proposition 4.5. We then show existence and uniqueness of mild solutions by fixed point arguments in Theorem 4.7, using properties of the heat semigroup. Furthermore we show in Proposition 4.13 some (uniform) bounds on the solution of a special case of the PDE, given by (47). We also exhibiting several continuity results for the solutions with respect to the functions g, b, v_T , both in the case when the solutions have linear growth and in the case when they are bounded. This is done in Lemmata 4.17 and 4.19. In the last section we introduce and study a further class of spaces, which are used in our companion paper [11] for applications in stochastic analysis, together with all the results on the PDE. One of the important feature of these spaces is the fact that they are separable, which is not the case for the standard separable Besov-Hölder spaces.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the framework in which we work, define some tools like the pointwise product and state some Assumptions. In Section 3 we define some new functions spaces that allow linear growth and derive useful properties of how the heat semigroup acts on them. The PDE (1) is studied in Section 4. In Section 5 we introduce and study a class of Besov type spaces which is separable.

2. SETTING AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. Function spaces. We use the notation $C^{0,1} := C^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ to indicate the space of functions with gradient in x continuous in (t, x) . By a slight abuse of notation we use the same notation $C^{0,1}$ for functions which are \mathbb{R}^d -valued. When $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is differentiable, we denote by ∇f the matrix given by $(\nabla f)_{i,j} = \partial_i f_j$. When $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote the Hessian matrix of f by $\text{Hess}(f)$. Given any function f defined on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ we often denote $f(t) := f(t, \cdot)$.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of Schwartz distributions. We denote by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} the Fourier transform on \mathcal{S} and inverse Fourier transform respectively, which are extended to \mathcal{S}' in the standard way. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\gamma = \mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Besov space (or Hölder-Zygmund space) defined as

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C}^\gamma := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}' : \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{j\gamma} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_j \mathcal{F}f)\|_\infty < \infty \right\},$$

where (φ_j) is some partition of unity. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the usual sup-norm. For more details see for example [1, Section 2.7]. Note that for $\gamma' < \gamma$ one has $\mathcal{C}^\gamma \subset \mathcal{C}^{\gamma'}$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$ then the space coincides with the classical Hölder space, namely the space of bounded functions with bounded derivatives up to order $[\gamma]$ and such that the $[\gamma]$ th derivative is $(\gamma - [\gamma])$ -Hölder continuous. For example if $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ the space \mathcal{C}^γ can be equipped with the classical γ -Hölder norm

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_\gamma := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{x \neq y, |x-y| < 1} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma},$$

and if $\gamma \in (1, 2)$ then norm is given by

$$(4) \quad \|f\|_\infty + \|\nabla f\|_\infty + \sup_{x \neq y, |x-y| < 1} \frac{|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}.$$

We remark that it is equivalent in the previous formulations of the norms to take the supremum over the whole space rather than on $|x - y| < 1$. Note that we use the same notation \mathcal{C}^γ to indicate \mathbb{R} -valued functions but also \mathbb{R}^d - or $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ -valued functions. It will be clear from the context which space is needed.

We denote by $C_T \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ the space of continuous functions on $[0, T]$ taking values in \mathcal{C}^γ , that is $C_T \mathcal{C}^\gamma := C([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^\gamma)$. For any given $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma+}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma-}$ the spaces given by

$$\mathcal{C}^{\gamma+} := \cup_{\alpha > \gamma} \mathcal{C}^\alpha, \quad \mathcal{C}^{\gamma-} := \cap_{\alpha < \gamma} \mathcal{C}^\alpha.$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma+}$ is an inductive space. We will also use the spaces $C_T \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+} := C([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+})$. We remark that $f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+}$ if and only if there exists $\alpha > \gamma$ such that $f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, see [10, Lemma B.2]. Similarly, we use the space $C_T \mathcal{C}^{\gamma-} := C([0, T]; \mathcal{C}^{\gamma-})$; in particular we observe that if $f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{\gamma-}$ then for any $\alpha < \gamma$ we have $f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^\alpha$. Note that if f is continuous and such that $\nabla f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{0+}$ then $f \in C^{0,1}$.

Finally for a general Banach space $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ we introduce the family of ρ -equivalent norms on $C_T B$, denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{C_T B}^{(\rho)}$ and defined for all $\rho \geq 0$ by $\|f\|_{C_T B}^{(\rho)} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|f(t)\|_B$. If $\rho = 0$ this is the standard norm in $C_T B$.

2.2. The heat semigroup in \mathcal{S}' . Let $(P_t)_t$ denote the semigroup generated by $\frac{1}{2}\Delta$ on \mathcal{S} , in particular for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ we define $(P_t \phi)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x - y) \phi(y) dy$, where the kernel p is the usual heat kernel $p_t(x - y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp\{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}\}$. It is easy to see that $P_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Moreover we can extend it to \mathcal{S}' by dual pairing (and we denote it with the same notation by simplicity). One has $\langle P_t \psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi, P_t \phi \rangle$ for each $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'$, using the fact that the kernel is symmetric.

Next we state and prove a joint continuity result for the heat semigroup acting on \mathcal{S}' . To this aim, we first recall some facts about the Schwartz space \mathcal{S}' , which is an inductive space. We recall that [13, Section 7.3] says that

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ there exists a constant $C(f)$ and an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(5) \quad |\langle \varphi, f \rangle| \leq C(f) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\alpha| \leq N} |D^\alpha \varphi(y)| (|y|^2 + 1)^N.$$

From this it follows that the space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be expressed as the space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) = \cup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} E_N^*$ equipped with the inductive topology, where E_N is the space of smooth functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_N := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\alpha| \leq N} |D^\alpha \varphi(y)| (|y|^2 + 1)^N < \infty.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in C_T \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $C(f)$ independent of time such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\langle f(t), \varphi \rangle| \leq C(f) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\alpha| \leq N} |D^\alpha \varphi(y)| (|y|^2 + 1)^N.$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular there exists N such that $f \in C_T E_N^*$.

Proof. Since $t \mapsto f(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $(f(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a compact in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so there exists N such that $f : [0, T] \rightarrow E_N^*$ and such that $(f(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is compact in E_N^* by [10, Remark B.1]. In particular, $(f(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ is bounded in E_N^* , which implies that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|_{E_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} < C(f) < \infty,$$

and thus

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\langle f(t), \varphi \rangle| \leq C(f) \|\varphi\|_N = C(f) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\alpha| \leq N} |D^\alpha \varphi(y)| (|y|^2 + 1)^N$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $h \in C_T \mathcal{S}'$. Then the function $P_t h(r)$ is jointly continuous in $(t, r) \in [0, T]^2$ with values in \mathcal{S}' .*

Proof. By means of Fourier transform it is enough to prove that $(r, t) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(P_t h(r))$ is continuous with values in \mathcal{S}' . We can write

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{F}(P_t h(r))(\xi) = [\mathcal{F}(\exp(it \cdot)) \mathcal{F}h(r)](\xi) = \exp(-\frac{t}{2} \xi^2) \mathcal{F}h(r)(\xi).$$

Expression (6) has to be understood as an element of \mathcal{S}' . When $t > 0$ the product of $\xi \mapsto \exp(-\frac{t}{2} \xi^2) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{F}h(r) \in \mathcal{S}'$ belongs to \mathcal{S}' . In that case

$$\mathcal{F}(P_t h(r))(\xi) = \langle (\mathcal{F}h(r))(\xi), \exp(-\frac{t \xi^2}{2}) \rangle \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that (6) is a function.

We now prove that $(t, r) \mapsto \exp(-\frac{t \xi^2}{2}) \mathcal{F}h(r)(\xi)$ is continuous with values in \mathcal{S}' . By Lemma 2.1 let N be such that $\mathcal{F}h \in C_T E_N^*$ and let $(t_n, r_n) \rightarrow$

(t_0, r_0) . Let $m \geq N$ to be chosen later. We have (omitting the variable ξ in $\mathcal{F}h(r)$ for brevity)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2)\mathcal{F}h(r_n) - \exp(-\frac{t_0}{2}\xi^2)\mathcal{F}h(r_0)\|_{E_m^*} \\ & \leq \|\exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2)[\mathcal{F}h(r_n) - \mathcal{F}h(r_0)]\|_{E_m^*} \\ & \quad + \|[\exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2) - \exp(-\frac{t_0}{2}\xi^2)]\mathcal{F}h(r_0)\|_{E_m^*} \\ & =: I_1(n) + I_2(n). \end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$I_1(n) = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}, \|\phi\|_{E_m} \leq 1} |\langle \mathcal{F}h(r_n) - \mathcal{F}h(r_0), \phi \exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2) \rangle|.$$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$|\langle \mathcal{F}h(r_n) - \mathcal{F}h(r_0), \phi \exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2) \rangle| \leq \|\mathcal{F}h(r_n) - \mathcal{F}h(r_0)\|_{E_N^*} \|\phi \exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2)\|_{E_N}$$

and the first term goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $\mathcal{F}h \in C_T E_N^*$. We prove that

$$(7) \quad \|\phi \exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2)\|_{E_N} \leq C_1 \|\phi\|_{E_{m_1}},$$

for some $m_1 \geq N$, where C_1 is a constant independent of n . Let α be a multi index such that $|\alpha| \leq N$. We have

$$(1 + |\xi|^2)^N D^\alpha (\phi \exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2))$$

is a linear combination of

$$P(\xi; t_n) D^\gamma \phi(\xi) \exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2)$$

where $P(\xi; t_n)$ is a polynomial in ξ with coefficient depending on t_n that can be bounded from above by a polynomial in ξ independent of t_n (possibly depending on T) and $|\gamma| \leq N$. It is clear that there exists an integer m_1 and a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $P(\xi; t_n) \leq C_1(1 + |\xi|^2)^{m_1}$. Thus (7) holds.

Concerning $I_2(n)$ we have

$$I_2(n) = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}, \|\phi\|_{E_m} \leq 1} |\langle \mathcal{F}h(r_0), [\exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2) - \exp(-\frac{t_0}{2}\xi^2)]\phi \rangle|,$$

so for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \mathcal{F}h(r_0), [\exp(-\frac{t_n}{2}\xi^2) - \exp(-\frac{t_0}{2}\xi^2)]\phi \rangle| \\ & \leq \|\mathcal{F}h(r_0)\|_{E_N^*} \frac{t_n - t_0}{2} \|\xi^2 \phi \int_0^1 \exp(-\frac{t_n a + (1-a)t_0}{2}\xi^2) da\|_{E_N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_n - t_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\mathcal{F}h(r_0)\|_{E_N^*}$ is finite, it is enough to prove that

$$(8) \quad \|\xi^2 \phi \int_0^1 \exp(-\frac{t_n a + (1-a)t_0}{2}\xi^2) da\|_{E_N} \leq C_2 \|\phi\|_{E_{m_2}}$$

for some m_2 , where C_2 is independent of n . Let α be a multi index such that $|\alpha| \leq N$. Then

$$(1 + |\xi|^2)^N D^\alpha \left(\xi^2 \phi(\xi) \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{t_n a + (1-a)t_0}{2} \xi^2\right) da \right)$$

is a linear combination of terms of the type

$$P(\xi; t_n) D^\gamma \phi(\xi) \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{t_n a + (1-a)t_0}{2} \xi^2\right) da$$

where $P(\xi; t_n)$ is a polynomial in ξ with coefficient depending on t_n that can be bounded from above by a polynomial in ξ independent of t_n (possibly depending on T) and $|\gamma| \leq N$. As above, there exists an integer m_2 and a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $P(\xi; t_n) \leq C_2(1 + |\xi|^2)^{m_2}$. Thus(8) holds.

Finally we conclude that $I_1(n) + I_2(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by setting $m = m_1 \vee m_2$ and using the fact that the sequence of seminorms is monotone. \square

Remark 2.3. *The semigroup P_t and ∇ commute in \mathcal{S}' .*

Indeed let $h \in \mathcal{S}'$. We compute the (generalised) gradient of $P_t h$, that is, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla P_t h, \phi \rangle &:= -\langle P_t h, \operatorname{div} \phi \rangle \\ &= -\langle h, P_t \operatorname{div} \phi \rangle \\ &= -\langle h, \operatorname{div} P_t \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla h, P_t \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle P_t \nabla h, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Estimates in C^γ for the heat semigroup. In this section, we are interested in the action of the semigroup on elements of Besov spaces \mathcal{C}^γ . These estimates are known as *Schauder's estimates* (for a proof we refer to [4, Lemma 2.5], see also [7] for similar results).

Lemma 2.4 (Schauder's estimates). *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma \subset \mathcal{S}'$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $\theta \geq 0$ there exists a constant c such that*

$$(9) \quad \|P_t f\|_{\gamma+2\theta} \leq c t^{-\theta} \|f\|_\gamma$$

for all $t > 0$.

Moreover for $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+2\theta}$ and for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$(10) \quad \|P_t f - f\|_\gamma \leq c t^\theta \|f\|_{\gamma+2\theta}.$$

Note that from (10), (9) and the semigroup property, it readily follows that if $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+2\theta}$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$, then for $t > s > 0$ we have

$$(11) \quad \|P_t f - P_s f\|_\gamma \leq c(t-s)^\theta \|f\|_{\gamma+2\theta}.$$

In other words, this means that if $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+2\theta}$ then $P_t f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ (and in fact it is θ -Hölder continuous in time). We also recall that Bernstein's inequalities

hold (see [1, Lemma 2.1] and [7, Appendix A.1]), that is for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(12) \quad \|\nabla g\|_\gamma \leq c\|g\|_{\gamma+1},$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\gamma}$. Using Schauder's and Bernstein's inequalities we can easily obtain a useful estimate on the gradient of the semigroup, as we see below.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. If $g \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ then for all $t > 0$ we have $\nabla(P_t g) \in \mathcal{C}^{\gamma+2\theta-1}$ and*

$$(13) \quad \|\nabla(P_t g)\|_{\gamma+2\theta-1} \leq ct^{-\theta}\|g\|_\gamma.$$

2.4. Further properties/tools. The following is an important estimate which allows to define the pointwise product between certain distributions and functions, which is based on Bony's estimates. For details see [2] or [7, Section 2.1]. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$ with $\alpha - \beta > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then the 'pointwise product' $f g$ is well-defined as an element of $\mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$ and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad \|f g\|_{-\beta} \leq c\|f\|_\alpha\|g\|_{-\beta}.$$

Remark 2.6. *Using (14) it is not difficult to see that if $f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ and $g \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$ then the product is also continuous with values in $\mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$, and*

$$(15) \quad \|f g\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}} \leq c\|f\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^\alpha}\|g\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}.$$

3. THE SPACES DC^γ AND THE ACTION OF THE SEMIGROUP

In this section we introduce some other function spaces that will be central in the analysis of the PDEs in this paper if we are to have solutions with linear growth. The idea is to have functions with the same regularity as the \mathcal{C}^γ -spaces locally, that allow linear growth at infinity. On these spaces we will show how the heat semigroup acts in terms of regularity, both in the time- and in the space-variable.

For $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ we define space DC^γ as

$$DC^\gamma := \{h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ differentiable function s.t. } \nabla h \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma\}.$$

Note that the following inclusion holds:

$$\mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha} \subset DC^\alpha.$$

On DC^α we can introduce a topology, induced by the norm

$$(16) \quad \|h\|_{DC^\gamma} := (|h(0)| + \|\nabla h\|_\gamma).$$

If $h \in DC^\alpha$ then there exists a constant (which is $h(0)$) and a function $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ (multidimensional) such that $h(x) = h(0) + x \cdot \tilde{h}$. Indeed, that function \tilde{h} is given by $\int_0^1 \nabla h(ax) da$.

Lemma 3.1. *($DC^\alpha, \|\cdot\|_{DC^\alpha}$) is a Banach space.*

Proof. Let $(h_n)_n$ be a Cauchy sequence in DC^α . Then $h_n \in C^1$ and since \mathbb{R} and C^α are complete, we know that $h_n(0) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nabla h_n \rightarrow g$ in C^α hence uniformly. Now we write $h_n(x) = h_n(0) + x \int_0^1 \nabla h_n(ax) da$. We define $h(x) = c + x \int_0^1 g(ax) da$, so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x) = h(x)$. It is obvious that $c = h(0)$. Now we notice that $\nabla h \in \mathcal{S}'$ so it is left to prove that $\nabla h = g$ in \mathcal{S}' to conclude. For any test function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $\langle \nabla h_n, \phi \rangle = \langle h_n, -\operatorname{div}(\phi) \rangle \rightarrow \langle h, -\operatorname{div}(\phi) \rangle$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand $\langle \nabla h_n, \phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle g, \phi \rangle$ hence we conclude $g = \nabla h$. \square

Next we study the mapping properties of the semigroup P_t on DC^α (and on the classical spaces $C^{\alpha+1}$) for some fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. First we prove an inequality that is the analogous of Schauder's estimate (9) with $\theta = 0$ on DC^α .

Lemma 3.2. *If $h \in DC^\alpha$, then*

$$(17) \quad \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|P_s h\|_{DC^\alpha} \leq c \|h\|_{DC^\alpha}.$$

Proof. Using the definition of the norm in DC^α we have

$$\|P_s h\|_{DC^\alpha} = |(P_s h)(0)| + \|\nabla P_s h\|_\alpha =: B_1(s) + B_2(s).$$

Using the kernel of the semigroup and writing $h(x) = h(0) + x \cdot \int_0^1 \nabla h(ax) da$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(s) &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y) h(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y) h(0) dy \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y) y \cdot \int_0^1 \nabla h(ay) da dy \right| \\ &\leq |h(0)| \mathbf{1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y) |y| \sup_x |\nabla h(x)| dy \\ &\leq |h(0)| + c \|\nabla h\|_\alpha \leq c \|h\|_{DC^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since ∇ and P_t commute by Remark 2.3, we have

$$B_2(s) = \|\nabla P_s h\|_\alpha = \|P_s \nabla h\|_\alpha \leq c \|\nabla h\|_\alpha \leq c \|h\|_{DC^\alpha},$$

having used Schauder's estimate (9). This proves (17). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

- (i) *The semigroup P_t maps $C^{\alpha+1}$ into itself. Moreover if $h \in C^{1+\alpha+\nu}$ for some $\nu > 0$ such that $\alpha + \nu \in (0, 1)$, then $P_t h \in C_T C^{1+\alpha}$.*
- (ii) *The semigroup P_t maps DC^α into itself. Moreover if $h \in DC^{\alpha+\nu}$ for some $\nu > 0$ such that $\alpha + \nu \in (0, 1)$, then $P_t h \in C_T DC^\alpha$.*

Proof. Item (i) This is an obvious consequence of Schauder's estimate (Lemma 2.4) and equation (11).

Item (ii) Let $h \in DC^\alpha \subset \mathcal{S}'$. Let $t \geq 0$ be fixed. By Remark 2.3 $\nabla P_t h = P_t \nabla h$, so that $\nabla P_t h \in C^\alpha$ (and this automatically implies that $P_t h$ is a differentiable function of x).

Next we show that $t \mapsto P_t h$ is continuous with values in DC^α if $h \in DC^{\alpha+\nu}$. We need to show that for each $t \geq 0$ we have

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|P_{t+\varepsilon} h - P_t h\|_{DC^\alpha} \\ & = |(P_{t+\varepsilon} h)(0) - (P_t h)(0)| + \|\nabla P_{t+\varepsilon} h - \nabla P_t h\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning first term in (18) we note that since $h \in DC^{\alpha+\nu}$ then ∇h belongs to $C^{\alpha+\nu}$, and $\|\nabla h\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla h\|_{C^{\alpha+\nu}}$. We observe that for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $(P_t h)(x) = \mathbb{E}[h(W_t^x)]$ where (W_t^x) is a Brownian motion starting at $W_0 = x$. Hence

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} |(P_{t+\varepsilon} h)(0) - (P_t h)(0)| &= |\mathbb{E}[h(W_{t+\varepsilon}^0) - h(W_t^0)]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[|h(W_{t+\varepsilon}^0) - h(W_t^0)|] \\ &\leq \|\nabla h\|_\infty \mathbb{E}[|W_{t+\varepsilon}^0 - W_t^0|] \\ &= \|\nabla h\|_{\alpha+\nu} \mathbb{E}[|W_\varepsilon^0|] \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla h\|_{\alpha+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in (18) can be bounded by

$$(20) \quad \|\nabla P_{t+\varepsilon} h - \nabla P_t h\|_\alpha \leq c \varepsilon^{\nu/2} \|\nabla h\|_{\alpha+\nu}$$

by using the fact that ∇ and P_t commute by Remark 2.3 together with (11) $\theta = \nu/2$.

Putting (19) and (20) together we get

$$\|P_{t+\varepsilon} h - P_t h\|_{DC^\alpha} \leq c \varepsilon^{\frac{\nu \wedge 1}{2}} \|\nabla h\|_{\alpha+\nu} \leq c \varepsilon^{\frac{\nu \wedge 1}{2}} \|h\|_{DC^{\alpha+\nu}},$$

which shows $P \cdot h \in C_T DC^\alpha$ as wanted. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

- (i) *Let $h \in C_T C^{\alpha+1}$. Then $\int_0^T P_{s-} h(s) ds \in C_T C^{\alpha+1}$ and $\|\int_0^T P_{s-} h(s) ds\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}} \leq c \|h\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}$.*
- (ii) *Let $h \in C_T DC^\alpha$. Then $\int_0^T P_{s-} h(s) ds \in C_T DC^\alpha$ and $\|\int_0^T P_{s-} h(s) ds\|_{C_T DC^\alpha} \leq c \|h\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}$.*

Proof. We first show that given $h \in C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $h \in C_T C^{\alpha+1}$), then $\int_0^{T-t_n} P_s h(s + \cdot) ds \in C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $\int_0^{T-t_n} P_s h(s + \cdot) ds \in C_T C^{\alpha+1}$), which is equivalent to the first part of the claim in (ii) (resp. in (i)). To this aim, let $t_n \rightarrow t_0$. We have

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T-t_n} P_s h(s + t_n) ds - \int_0^{T-t_0} P_s h(s + t_0) ds \\ &= \int_0^{T-t_0} P_s [h(s + t_n) - h(s + t_0)] ds + \int_{T-t_0}^{T-t_n} P_s h(s + t_n) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\delta(h; s)$ the modulus of continuity of h in DC^α (resp. in $C^{\alpha+1}$). Then the first integral in (21) is bounded in the DC^α -norm using (17) (resp.

in the $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$ -norm using (9) with $\theta = 0$ and $\gamma = \alpha + 1$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_0^{T-t_0} P_s[h(s+t_n) - h(s+t_0)]ds \right\|_{DC^\alpha} \\
& \leq \int_0^{T-t_0} \|P_s[h(s+t_n) - h(s+t_0)]\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \\
& \leq c \int_0^{T-t_0} \|h(s+t_n) - h(s+t_0)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \\
& = c \int_0^{T-t_0} \delta(h; t_n - t_0) ds \\
& = c(T-t_0)\delta(h; t_n - t_0),
\end{aligned}$$

respectively

$$\left\| \int_0^{T-t_0} P_s[h(s+t_n) - h(s+t_0)]ds \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}} \leq c(T-t_0)\delta(h; t_n - t_0),$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The second integral in (21) is bounded again using (17) (resp. using (9) with $\theta = 0$ and $\gamma = \alpha + 1$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{T-t_0}^{T-t_n} P_s h(s+t_n) ds \right\|_{DC^\alpha} & \leq \left| \int_{T-t_0}^{T-t_n} \|P_s h(s+t_n)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \right| \\
& \leq c \left| \int_{T-t_0}^{T-t_n} \|h(s+t_n)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \right| \\
& = c \left| \int_{T-t_0}^{T-t_n} \|h\|_{C_T DC^\alpha} ds \right| \\
& = c|t_0 - t_n| \|h\|_{C_T DC^\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively

$$\left\| \int_{T-t_0}^{T-t_n} P_s h(s+t_n) ds \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}} \leq c|t_0 - t_n| \|h\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}},$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It is left to prove that $\left\| \int_{\cdot}^T P_{s-} h(s) ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha} \leq c \|h\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}$ for point (ii) (resp. $\left\| \int_{\cdot}^T P_{s-} h(s) ds \right\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}} \leq c \|h\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}$ for point (i)). Using again (17) (resp. (9) with $\theta = 0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{\cdot}^T P_{s-} h(s) ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha} & = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t} h(s) ds \right\|_{DC^\alpha} \\
& \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T \|P_{s-t} h(s)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \\
& \leq c \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T \|h(s)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \\
& \leq cT \|h\|_{C_T DC^\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively

$$\left\| \int_{\cdot}^T P_{s-} h(s) ds \right\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}} \leq cT \|h\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}},$$

which is the claim. \square

In fact, it turns out that in the C^α spaces a stronger continuity result will be needed, which is the following.

Lemma 3.5. *If $h \in C_T C^{(-\beta)+}$ then $\int_0^\cdot P_{-s} h(s) ds \in C_T C^{1+\alpha}$ with any $\alpha \in [\beta, 1 - \beta)$.*

Proof. This is the adaptation of [9, Lemma 3.2] in the special case $h \in C_T C^{-\beta} \subset L^\infty([0, T]; C^{-\beta})$. \square

Analogously as for the $C^{\gamma+}$ -spaces, for $\gamma > 0$ we also introduce the spaces

$$DC^{\gamma+} := \cup_{\alpha > \gamma} DC^\alpha, \quad DC^{\gamma-} := \cap_{\alpha < \gamma} DC^\alpha.$$

We will also use the spaces $C_T DC^{\gamma+} := C([0, T]; DC^{\gamma+})$. We remark that $f \in C_T DC^{\gamma+}$ if and only if there exists $\alpha > \gamma$ such that $f \in C_T DC^\alpha$, see [10, Remark B.1]. Similarly, we use the space $C_T DC^{\gamma-} := C([0, T]; DC^{\gamma-})$; we observe in particular that if $f \in C_T DC^{\gamma-}$ then for any $\alpha < \gamma$ we have $f \in C_T DC^\alpha$.

4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove existence, uniqueness, continuity properties and various bounds for solutions to a class of parabolic PDEs with unbounded terminal condition. This means that said solutions too are unbounded, indeed they live in the space $C_T DC^{\beta+}$. We also consider a special case of this class where terminal conditions are bounded, hence also the solutions are bounded, i.e. they live in $C_T C^{(1+\beta)+}$.

4.1. Assumptions. We introduce here various assumptions concerning distribution-valued functions (b respectively g) needed below in the paper.

Assumption A1. *Let $0 < \beta < 1/2$ and $b \in C_T C^{(-\beta)+}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular $b \in C_T C^{-\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Notice that b is a column vector.*

Next we introduce two assumptions concerning g and v_T .

Assumption A2. *We suppose that $g \in C_T C^{(-\beta)+}$ and $v_T \in DC^{(1-\beta)-}$.*

Assumption A3. *We suppose that $g \in C_T C^{(-\beta)+}$ and $v_T \in C^{(2-\beta)-}$.*

The main difference between Assumption A3 and Assumption A2 is that in the latter we allow the terminal condition to be unbounded, in particular we can choose $v_T = \text{id}$, while in the former the identity function is excluded.

4.2. A class of PDEs with drifts in Besov spaces. Let b fulfill Assumption A1 for the rest of Section 4. Let $v_T \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $t \mapsto g(t, \cdot)$ be continuous in \mathcal{S}' . We consider here PDEs of the form

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + \frac{1}{2} \Delta v + \nabla v b = \lambda v + g \\ v(T) = v_T. \end{cases}$$

We consider weak and mild solutions, both defined in the space $C_T DC^\beta$, as detailed below. To shorten notation, we define

$$G(v) := \lambda v + g.$$

Definition 4.1. *Let $v \in C_T DC^\beta$. We say that v is a weak solution of (22) if for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that v satisfies*

$$(23) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) v_T(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) v(t, x) dx + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi(x) v(s, x) dx ds \\ + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) (\nabla v(s, x) b(s, x)) dx ds = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) G(v)(s, x) dx ds,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Notice that the notation $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) (\nabla v(s, x) b(s, x)) dx$ is only formal because $\nabla v(s, \cdot) b(s, \cdot)$ is a distribution. In practice when we write the integral we mean the dual pairing with φ , namely $\langle \varphi, \nabla v(s) b(s) \rangle$, where the pairing in $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ is well-defined as an element in $\mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$ via the pointwise product (14).

Definition 4.2. *Let $v \in C_T DC^\beta$. We say that v is a mild solution of (22) if v satisfies*

$$(24) \quad v(t) = P_{T-t} v_T + \int_t^T P_{s-t} (\nabla v(s) b(s)) ds - \int_t^T P_{s-t} (G(v)(s)) ds,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Note that for each $s \in [0, T]$ the product $\nabla v(s) b(s)$ appearing in (23) and (24) is well-defined as an element of $\mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$ using the pointwise product (14), thanks to Assumption A1. Indeed since $v \in C_T DC^\beta$ and $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{-(\beta)+}$ we can always choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}$ so that $-\beta + \varepsilon + \beta = \varepsilon > 0$ and (15) holds. Moreover both integrals are well-defined as Bochner integrals with values in \mathcal{S}' because $(s, r) \mapsto P_s h(r)$ is jointly continuous with values in \mathcal{S}' (where h is either $\nabla v b$ or $G(v)$, and the continuity follows from Lemma 2.2).

For future use, it is convenient to properly define the singular operator \mathcal{L} , formally given by $\mathcal{L}f = \partial_t f + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f + \nabla f b$.

Definition 4.3. *Let b satisfy Assumption A1. The operator \mathcal{L} is defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^0 &\rightarrow \{\mathcal{S}'\text{-valued continuous functions}\} \\ f &\mapsto \mathcal{L}f := \dot{f} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f + \nabla f b, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^0 := C_T DC^\beta \cap C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{S}').$$

Here $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the function $\dot{f} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is the time-derivative of f . Note also that $\nabla f b$ is well-defined and continuous using (15) and Assumption A1. The Laplacian Δ is intended in the weak sense.

Remark 4.4. We observe that if $v \in C_T DC^\beta$ is a weak solution, then it is automatically differentiable in time with continuous derivative in \mathcal{S}' , hence $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. The same is true for $v \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{1+\beta}$ by the inclusion of the spaces.

Using the operator \mathcal{L} defined in Definition 4.3 and Remark 4.4, we see that PDE (22) rewrites as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}v = \lambda v + g \\ v(T) = v_T. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.5. Weak and mild solutions of (22) are equivalent in $C_T DC^\beta$.

Proof. (i) mild implies weak. Let $v \in C_T DC^\beta$ be a mild solution. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_t^T \langle v(s), \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi \rangle ds &= \int_t^T \langle P_{T-s} v_T, \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi \rangle ds \\ &+ \int_t^T \int_s^T \langle P_{r-s} \nabla v(r) b(r), \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi \rangle dr ds \\ &- \int_t^T \int_s^T \langle P_{r-s} G(v)(r), \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi \rangle dr ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the RHS of (25) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T \langle P_{T-s} v_T, \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi \rangle ds &= \int_t^T \langle \frac{1}{2} \Delta P_{T-s} v_T, \varphi \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^{T-t} \langle \frac{d}{ds} P_s v_T, \varphi \rangle ds \\ &= \langle P_{T-t} v_T, \varphi \rangle - \langle v_T, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The second and third terms on the RHS of (25) give

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^T \int_s^T \langle P_{r-s}[\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi \rangle dr ds \\
&= \int_t^T \int_0^{r-t} \langle P_s[\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi \rangle ds dr \\
&= \int_t^T \int_0^{r-t} \langle \frac{d}{ds}P_s[\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \varphi \rangle ds dr \\
&= \int_t^T \langle P_{r-t}[\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \varphi \rangle dr \\
&\quad - \int_t^T \langle [\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \varphi \rangle dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these into (25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^T \langle v(s), \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi \rangle ds &= \langle P_{T-t}v_T, \varphi \rangle - \langle v_T, \varphi \rangle + \int_t^T \langle P_{r-t}[\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \varphi \rangle dr \\
&\quad - \int_t^T \langle [\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \varphi \rangle dr \\
&= \langle v(t), \varphi \rangle - \langle v_T, \varphi \rangle + \int_t^T \langle [\nabla v(r)b(r) - G(v)(r)], \varphi \rangle dr
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that v is also a weak solution.

(ii) *weak implies mild.* We proceed as follows. Given a weak solution $v \in C_T DC^\beta$ that satisfies (23) we define

$$(26) \quad u(t) := P_{T-t}v_T + \int_t^T P_{s-t}(\nabla v(s)b(s)) ds - \int_t^T P_{s-t}G(v)(s) ds.$$

We see that u is a mild solution of the heat equation with extra source terms involving v , more specifically of

$$\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = G(v) - \nabla v b; \quad u(T) = v_T.$$

By using (i) with $\lambda = 0$ and $g = G(v) - \nabla v b$ we have that u is also a weak solution of the above PDE. Now we take the difference $\bar{v} = v - u$ and see that \bar{v} fulfills

$$\bar{v}(t, \cdot) = - \int_t^T \frac{1}{2}\Delta \bar{v}(s, \cdot) ds; \quad \bar{v}(T) = 0,$$

hence \bar{v} is a weak solution of the heat equation with zero terminal condition so we have $\bar{v} = 0$, which implies that $u = v$ and so u is a mild solution by (26). \square

4.3. Linear growth solutions. In this subsection we consider equation (22) and pick a terminal condition v_T fulfilling Assumption A2. We will show below that solutions of (22) exist in the space $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)-}$ and are unique in the space $C_T DC^{\beta+}$. If furthermore the terminal condition is bounded (Assumption A3) then the solution will also be bounded.

Given $\rho \geq 0$, we introduce an equivalent norm in $C_T DC^\alpha$, respectively $C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$, defined as

$$(27) \quad \|f\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} (|f(t, 0)| + \|\nabla f(t)\|_\alpha),$$

respectively

$$(28) \quad \|f\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \left(\sup_x |f(t, x)| + \|\nabla f(t)\|_\alpha \right).$$

Notice that those norms are equivalent to those defined in (16) (resp. (4)). With these norms the pointwise products estimates corresponding to those from Remark 2.6 will become, for $\alpha > \beta$,

$$(29) \quad \|fg\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \leq c \|f\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \|g\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}.$$

We start with a preliminary result.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\ell \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$ and $\rho \geq 1$. Then for every $t \in [0, T]$ and for every $\alpha \in [\beta, 1 - \beta)$ we have*

$$(30) \quad \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t} \ell(s) ds \right\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq c \|\ell\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}},$$

and in particular,

$$\left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t} \ell(s) ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \leq c \|\ell\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}},$$

where c depends on α and β .

Proof. We recall that for $f \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$ then $f \in C_T DC^\alpha$ and $\|f\|_{C_T DC^\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}$ by (27) and (28). For this reason, we will only prove (30). We bound each term in the ρ -equivalent norm (28) in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$ separately. Let us

denote by $f(t, x) := \int_t^T (P_{s-t}\ell(s))(x)ds$. The sup term in (28) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x |f(t, x)| &= \sup_x \left| \int_t^T (P_{s-t}\ell(s))(x)ds \right| \\ &= \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}\ell(s)ds \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}\ell(s)ds \right\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \int_t^T \|P_{s-t}\ell(s)\|_\alpha ds \\ &\leq c \int_t^T (s-t)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \|\ell(s)\|_{-\beta} ds, \end{aligned}$$

having used (9) from Lemma 2.4. Now multiplying by $e^{-\rho(T-t)}$ and taking the supremum over t , using (28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \sup_x |f(t, x)| \\ &\leq c \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} (s-t)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} e^{-\rho(T-s)} \|\ell(s)\|_{-\beta} ds \\ (31) \quad &\leq c \|\ell\|_{C^{\rho} C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} (s-t)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

The latter integral can be bounded noting that $\theta := \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} < 1$ by choice of α , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} (s-t)^{-\theta} ds &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-s\rho} s^{-\theta} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{-\theta} \rho^{-1+\theta} dx \\ &= \Gamma(-\theta + 1) \rho^{-1+\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(32) \quad \Gamma(\eta) := \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\eta-1} dx$$

denotes the Gamma function. Thus (31) gives

$$(33) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \sup_x |f(t, x)| \leq c \|\ell\|_{C^{\rho} C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-2}{2}},$$

where c depends on α and β .

The term with the α -norm of ∇f in (28) is bounded with similar computations as above but using (13) in place of (9) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|\nabla f(t)\|_\alpha \\ & \leq c \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \int_t^T (s-t)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}} \|\ell(s)\|_{-\beta} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as between (31) and (33) and using the fact that $\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2} < 1$, we get

$$(34) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|\nabla f(t)\|_\alpha \leq c \|\ell\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}.$$

Combining (33) and (34), and using the fact that $\rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-2}{2}} \leq \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}$ since $\rho \geq 1$, we conclude. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let b satisfy Assumption A1.*

- (i) *Let v_T and g satisfy Assumption A2. Then there exists a mild solution v to (22) in $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)-}$ which is unique in $C_T DC^\beta$.*
- (ii) *Let v_T and g satisfy Assumption A3 (in particular v_T is bounded). Then the unique mild solution v of PDE (22) is also bounded, more precisely $v \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)-}$.*

Remark 4.8. *One could relax Assumption A2 (resp. Assumption A3) for v_T and only ask that $v_T \in DC^{\beta+}$ (resp. $v_T \in \mathcal{C}^{(1+\beta)+}$). In this case the unique solution would no longer belong to $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)-}$ (resp. $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)-}$) but only to $C_T DC^{\beta+}$ (resp. $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(1+\beta)+}$).*

Proof of Theorem 4.7. We start with an arbitrary $\alpha \in (\beta, 1 - \beta)$. The case $\alpha = \beta$ will be explained at the end of the proof. Let \mathcal{T} denote the solution operator, namely for $v \in C([0, T]; DC^\alpha)$ we define $\mathcal{T}v$ as

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{T}v(t) := P_{T-t}v_T + \int_t^T P_{s-t}(\nabla v(s)b(s)) ds - \int_t^T P_{s-t}(\lambda v(s) + g(s)) ds.$$

We prove both items of the theorem in two steps, first showing stability and then the contraction property. Notice that Assumption A3 implies Assumption A2.

Step 1 - stability. We suppose Assumption A2 (resp. Assumption A3). We show that $\mathcal{T} : C_T DC^\alpha \rightarrow C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $\mathcal{T} : C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$). The term $P_{T-t}v_T \in DC^\alpha$ (resp. $P_{T-t}v_T \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$) is continuous in t by Lemma 3.3, item (ii) (resp. item (i)) since $v_T \in DC^{\alpha+\nu}$ (resp. $v_T \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha+\nu}$) for all $\nu > 0$ such that $\alpha + \nu < 1 - \beta$ by Assumption A2 (resp. Assumption A3). Since $v \in C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $v \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$) and $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$, then by Remark 2.6 $\nabla v b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$. Moreover $g \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$ by assumption. Thus we can apply Lemma 3.5 to deduce that $\int_t^T P_{s-t}(\nabla v(s)b(s)) ds + \int_t^T P_{s-t}g(s) ds \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1} \subset C_T DC^\alpha$.

Finally by Lemma 3.4 item (ii) (resp. item (i)), $t \mapsto \int_t^T P_{s-t} \lambda v(s) ds$ is continuous with values in DC^α (resp. $C^{\alpha+1}$).

Step 2 - contraction. Next we show that \mathcal{T} is a contraction in $C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $C_T C^{\alpha+1}$).

To this aim it is convenient to use the equivalent norm in $C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $C_T C^{\alpha+1}$) introduced in (27) (resp. (28)). Let $v_1, v_2 \in C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $v_1, v_2 \in C_T C^{\alpha+1}$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}v_1(t) - \mathcal{T}v_2(t) &= \int_t^T P_{s-t} ((\nabla v_1(s) - \nabla v_2(s))b(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_t^T P_{s-t} (v_1(s) - v_2(s)) ds \\ (36) \qquad \qquad \qquad &=: B_1(t) + B_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

We consider B_1 first. By Lemma 4.6 with $\ell = \nabla(v_1 - v_2)b$ and using (29) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_1\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} &= \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t} (\nabla(v_1 - v_2)(s)b(s)) ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \\ &\leq c \|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)\|_{C_T C^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \\ (37) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq c \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively

$$(38) \qquad \|B_1\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq c \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}.$$

We now bound B_2 in (36). We use Lemma 3.2 (resp. Schauder's estimate (9) with $\theta = 0$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \left\| \lambda \int_t^T P_{s-t} (v_1(s) - v_2(s)) ds \right\|_{DC^\alpha} \\ &\leq \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|P_{s-t} (v_1(s) - v_2(s))\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \\ &\leq \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} c e^{-\rho(T-s)} \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \\ &\leq c \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} ds \\ &\leq c \lambda \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{-1} \\ (39) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq c \lambda \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively

$$(40) \quad \|B_2\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq c\lambda \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}.$$

Combining (37) and (39) (resp. (38) and (40)) and plugging them in (36) we get

$$(41) \quad \|\mathcal{T}v_1 - \mathcal{T}v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \leq c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}) \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)},$$

respectively

$$(42) \quad \|\mathcal{T}v_1 - \mathcal{T}v_2\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}) \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)}.$$

Now choosing ρ large enough so that (recalling that $\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2} < 0$)

$$(43) \quad c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}) \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we get

$$(44) \quad \|\mathcal{T}v_1 - \mathcal{T}v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)},$$

respectively

$$(45) \quad \|\mathcal{T}v_1 - \mathcal{T}v_2\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)},$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. $v_1, v_2 \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$). By Banach fixed point theorem we conclude that there exists a unique fixed point $v \in C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. in $C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$) of \mathcal{T} , which is the unique mild solution $v \in C_T DC^\alpha$ to (22).

Since this is true for all $\alpha \in (\beta, 1-\beta)$, then under Assumption A3 existence holds in the smaller space $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)^-}$. At this point we observe that we can choose $\alpha = \beta$ in all computations above, but one must replace $\|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}$ with $\|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}$ for some small ε such that $2\beta - \varepsilon + 1 > 0$, and the powers $\rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}$ must be replaced by $\rho^{\frac{2\beta-\varepsilon-1}{2}}$. In conclusion (44) and (45) still hold for $\alpha = \beta$, hence and uniqueness holds in the larger space $C_T DC^\beta$, which proves item (i). Moreover when Assumption A2 holds then the unique solution belongs to $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)^-}$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let b satisfy Assumption A1, v_T and g satisfy Assumption A3 and let $\lambda > 0$. Let $\alpha \in (\beta, 1 - \beta)$ such that $v_T \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$. Let v be the unique solution of (22) given in Theorem 4.7 item (ii) and Remark 4.8. Then there exists an increasing function R_λ such that*

$$\|v\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}} \leq R_\lambda(\|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}})(\|v_T\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}} + \|g\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}).$$

Proof. For the map \mathcal{T} defined in (35) we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}v\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq \|\mathcal{T}v - \mathcal{T}0\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} + \|\mathcal{T}0\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)}.$$

Using (42) with $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 = 0$ we get

$$\|\mathcal{T}v - \mathcal{T}0\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta}}) \rho^{-\theta} \|v\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)},$$

where $\theta = \frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2} > 0$. On the other hand

$$\mathcal{T}0 = P_{T-t}v_T + \int_t^T P_{s-t}g(s)ds,$$

so using Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}0\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} &\leq \|P_{T-t}v_T\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} + \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}g(s)ds \right\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \\ &\leq \|P_{T-t}v_T\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}} + c\|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)}\rho^{-\theta} \\ &\leq \|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + c\|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)}\rho^{-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}})\rho^{-\theta}\|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} + \|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + c\|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)}\rho^{-\theta}.$$

Choosing $\rho = [2c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}})]^{1/\theta}$ so that $c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}})\rho^{-\theta} = \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\|\mathcal{T}v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} + \|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{c}{2c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}})}\|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)}.$$

Since v is a solution then $\mathcal{T}v = v$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} &\leq 2\|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + 2\frac{1}{2(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}})}\|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \\ (46) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq 2\|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{\rho(T-t)} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|v(t)\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} \leq e^{\rho T} \|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)}$, the bound (46) and $\|g\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \leq \|g\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}} &\leq e^{\rho T} \|v\|_{C_T C^{\alpha+1}}^{(\rho)} \\ &\leq 2e^{\rho T} \|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + e^{\rho T} \frac{1}{\lambda} \|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \\ &\leq 2e^{\rho T} \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right\} (\|v_T\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} + \|g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we chose $\rho = [2c(\lambda + \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}})]^{1/\theta}$ and since $\theta > 0$ the result follows with $R_\lambda(x) := 2 \exp\{[2c(\lambda + x)]^{1/\theta} T\} \max\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$. \square

A special case of interest of PDE (22) is the following. Let $\text{id}_i(x) = x_i$, which clearly belongs to \mathcal{D}_C^0 , see Definition 4.3. Thus $\mathcal{L} \text{id}_i$ is well-defined and gives $\mathcal{L} \text{id}_i = b_i$. An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.7 point (i) with $\lambda = 0, v_T = x_i, g = b_i$ is the following corollary, taking into account that $\text{id}_i \in C_T DC^\beta$.

Corollary 4.10. *The function id_i is the solution of $\mathcal{L}v = b_i; v(T) = \text{id}_i$ (unique in $C_T DC^\beta$).*

4.4. Properties of the solution: bounds and continuity. Another particular case of interest of PDE (22) is given when g is chosen to be the i th component of the drift b and the terminal condition is zero. We denote by u_i the solution in this case, that is

$$(47) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_i + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u_i + \nabla u_i b = \lambda u_i - b_i \\ u_i(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.11. *Since PDE (47) is a special case of (22) where $g = -b_i$ and $v_T = 0$, by Theorem 4.7 the solution u_i exists in $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)-}$ and is unique in $C_T DC^\beta$ (indeed Assumption A3 is automatically satisfied for v_T and g if b satisfies Assumption A1).*

Remark 4.12. *Let $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{0+}$. Then the unique solution u to (47) coincides with the classical solution in $C^{1,2+\nu}$ (see [12, Theorem 5.1.9], see also [11, Theorem A.3]).*

Indeed, if $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{0+}$ then $b \in C^{0,\nu}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\nu > 0$ by [11, Remark A.2], so by [12, Theorem 5.1.9] there exists a (unique) solution \bar{u} in $C^{1,2+\nu}$ to PDE (47). Moreover $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{0+} \subset C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$ hence u is the unique solution of (47) in $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(1+\beta)+}$. We moreover have the inclusion $C^{1,2+\nu} \subset C_T \mathcal{C}^{(1+\beta)+}$, thus $\bar{u} = u \in C^{1,2+\nu}$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let b satisfy Assumption A1, in particular $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\theta := \frac{1+2\beta-\varepsilon}{2} < 1$. Let u_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$ be the unique solution of (47) as given in Remark 4.11. Then the following holds.*

- (i) *The solution u_i is bounded in (t, x) , that is, there exists a constant c such that*

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u_i(t, x)| \leq c.$$

- (ii) *There is a constant $C(\beta, \varepsilon)$ such that choosing λ with*

$$(48) \quad \lambda^{1-\theta} \geq C(\beta, \varepsilon) \|b\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}},$$

then we have

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_i(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation we drop the subscript i in the rest of the proof. We know that $u \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{1+\beta}$ by Remark 4.11.

Item (i) By (4) we have

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u(t, x)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u(t)\|_{1+\beta} = \|u\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{1+\beta}} < \infty.$$

Item (ii) By (3) we have

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(t, x)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\nabla u(t)\|_\beta.$$

Assume now (we will show it below) that the unique solution u of (47) is also a solution of the integral equation

$$(49) \quad u(t) = \int_t^T e^{-\lambda(s-t)} P_{s-t} (\nabla u(s)b(s)) \, ds - \int_t^T e^{-\lambda(s-t)} P_{s-t} b(s) \, ds.$$

From (49) we take the gradient on both sides and calculate its norm in \mathcal{C}^β . We use Schauder's estimates (9), Bernstein's inequality (12), and the fact that $\nabla u(s)b(s), b(s) \in \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}$ by pointwise product (14) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_\beta &\leq \int_t^T \|\nabla(e^{-\lambda(s-t)} P_{s-t} (\nabla u(s)b(s)))\|_\beta \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \|\nabla(e^{-\lambda(s-t)} P_{s-t} b(s))\|_\beta \, ds \\ &\leq c \int_t^T (\|e^{-\lambda(s-t)} P_{s-t} (\nabla u(s)b(s))\|_{\beta+1} + \|e^{-\lambda(s-t)} P_{s-t} b(s)\|_{\beta+1}) \, ds \\ &\leq c \int_t^T e^{-\lambda(s-t)} (s-t)^{-\frac{1+2\beta-\varepsilon}{2}} (\|\nabla u(s)\|_\beta + 1) \|b(s)\|_{-\beta+\varepsilon} \, ds \\ &\leq c \int_t^T e^{-\lambda(s-t)} (s-t)^{-\frac{1+2\beta-\varepsilon}{2}} \, ds (1 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u(s)\|_\beta) \|b\|_{\mathcal{C}_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where c varies from line to line but it depends only on β and ε . Since $\theta := \frac{1+2\beta-\varepsilon}{2} < 1$ by assumption, the integral is bounded from above by $\Gamma(1-\theta)\lambda^{\theta-1}$ by a change of variable $\tilde{s} = \lambda(s-t)$ and using the definition of the Gamma function (32). We get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u(t)\|_\beta \leq c\Gamma(1-\theta)\lambda^{\theta-1} (1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u(t)\|_\beta) \|b\|_{\mathcal{C}_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}},$$

that is

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u(t)\|_\beta (1 - c\lambda^{\theta-1}\Gamma(1-\theta)\|b\|_{\mathcal{C}_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}) \leq c\Gamma(1-\theta)\lambda^{\theta-1} \|b\|_{\mathcal{C}_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}$$

and choosing λ according to (48) with $C(\beta, \varepsilon) = 3c\Gamma(1-\theta)$ we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla u(t)\|_\beta \leq \frac{c\Gamma(1-\theta)\lambda^{\theta-1} \|b\|_{\mathcal{C}_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}}{1 - c\Gamma(1-\theta)\lambda^{\theta-1} \|b\|_{\mathcal{C}_T \mathcal{C}^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

as wanted.

It is left to prove that (49) holds. We can multiply both sides of (49) by $e^{-\lambda t}$ to obtain

$$e^{-\lambda t} u(t) = \int_t^T e^{-\lambda s} P_{s-t} (\nabla u(s)b(s)) \, ds - \int_t^T e^{-\lambda s} P_{s-t} b(s) \, ds.$$

Setting $\hat{b}(s) := e^{-\lambda s} b(s)$ we observe that the equation above writes

$$e^{-\lambda t} u(t) = \int_t^T P_{s-t} (\nabla e^{-\lambda s} u(s)b(s)) \, ds - \int_t^T P_{s-t} \hat{b}(s) \, ds,$$

which is the mild form of the PDE (recall that mild and weak solutions are equivalent in $C_T DC^\beta$ by Proposition 4.5)

$$(50) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + \frac{1}{2} \Delta v + \nabla v b = -\hat{b} \\ v(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $v(t) := e^{-\lambda t} u(t)$. Therefore to show that (49) holds it is enough to show that if u is a weak solution of (47), then $v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} u(t)$ is a weak solution of (50). For u weak solution of (47) then $u \in C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{S}')$ and (50) readily holds by time-differentiation. Moreover $v \in C_T DC^\beta$ since $u \in C_T DC^\beta$. \square

Next we consider another special case of PDE (22). Let us define the vector-valued function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ as

$$(51) \quad \phi(t, x) := u(t, x) + x,$$

where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d)^\top$ and u_i is the solution of (47), unique in the sense of Remark 4.11, for $i = 1, \dots, d$. We define ϕ as a column vector.

Theorem 4.14. *Each component ϕ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, d$, of the function ϕ defined in (51) is the unique solution of*

$$(52) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\phi_i = \lambda(\phi_i - id_i) \\ \phi_i(T) = id_i \end{cases}$$

in $C_T DC^\beta$.

Proof. Using the linearity of the PDEs for u_i and id_i (see Corollary 4.10 and Remark 4.11) it is easy to check that each component ϕ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, d$ solves (52). By Theorem 4.7 item (i) we also have that ϕ_i is the unique solution of (52). \square

Proposition 4.15. *Let ϕ be given by (51). Then $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ and the time-derivative $\dot{\phi}_i$ is in $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)-}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.*

Proof. In this proof we drop the subscript i for ease of writing.

By Theorem 4.14 and Remark 4.4 we have $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. Using (52) we get $\mathcal{L}\phi = \lambda(\phi - id)$ with $\phi(T) = id$, therefore concerning the time-derivative $\dot{\phi}$ we have

$$\int_0^t \dot{\phi}(s, \cdot) ds = - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi(s, \cdot) ds - \int_0^t \nabla \phi(s, \cdot) b(s, \cdot) ds + \int_0^t \lambda u(s, \cdot) ds.$$

Since $\phi \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)-}$ by Remark 4.8, we have $\Delta \phi \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)-}$ and $\nabla \phi \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)-}$. Moreover $b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$, so $\nabla \phi b \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)+}$ by (14), and $u \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)-}$. Thus $\dot{\phi} \in C_T \mathcal{C}^{(-\beta)-}$. \square

In the following proposition we show that ϕ enjoys other useful properties when λ is large enough.

Proposition 4.16. *Let ϕ be given by (51).*

- (i) We have $\phi \in C^{0,1}$ and $\nabla\phi \in C_T\mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)^-}$. In particular $\nabla\phi$ is uniformly bounded.
- (ii) For λ as in Proposition 4.13 we have that $\phi(t, \cdot)$ is invertible for all $t \in [0, T]$, with the (space-)inverse denoted by

$$(53) \quad \psi := \phi^{-1}(t, \cdot).$$

Moreover $\psi \in C^{0,1}$, $\nabla\psi$ is uniformly bounded and $\nabla\psi(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)^-}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\nabla\psi(t, \cdot)\|_{1-\alpha} < \infty$ for all $\alpha < \beta$.

Proof. Item (i). The fact that $\phi \in C^{0,1}$ follows from the fact that both id and u are in $C^{0,1}$, since $u \in C_T\mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)^-}$ by Theorem 4.7. By the same regularity property of u we also have $\nabla\phi \in C_T\mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)^-}$.

Item (ii). To show that $\phi(t, \cdot)$ is invertible one can proceed like in the proof of [6, Lemma 22]. This proof uses the fact that $|\nabla u(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for λ satisfying (48) from Proposition 4.13. We can also easily see that $\psi \in C^{0,1}$. Indeed $\nabla\phi$ is non-degenerate, $\nabla\psi = \nabla\phi(\psi)^{-1}$ so that $(t, x) \mapsto \nabla\psi(t, \cdot)$ is continuous since $\phi \in C^{0,1}$ and $\psi \in C^{0,1}$. Here the superscript -1 denotes the matrix inverse. Finally we prove that $\nabla\psi(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)^-}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. We drop the time variable by ease of notation. We notice that $|\nabla\phi|$ is lower bounded by $\frac{1}{2}$ because $\nabla\phi = \nabla u + \text{id}$, hence $|(\nabla\phi)^{-1}|$ is bounded by some constant C independent of time and so $|\nabla\psi|$ is bounded, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Frobenius norm. Therefore ψ is Lipschitz. Using the fact that $\nabla\phi \in C_T\mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)^-}$, $|\nabla\phi^{-1}|$ is bounded and that ψ is Lipschitz, we have for $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\psi(y) - \nabla\psi(z)| &= |\nabla\phi(\psi(y))^{-1} - \nabla\phi(\psi(z))^{-1}| \\ &= |\nabla\phi(\psi(z))^{-1} (\nabla\phi(\psi(z)) - \nabla\phi(\psi(y))) \nabla\phi(\psi(y))^{-1}| \\ &\leq |\nabla\phi(\psi(z))^{-1}| |\nabla\phi(\psi(z)) - \nabla\phi(\psi(y))| |\nabla\phi(\psi(y))^{-1}| \\ &\leq C |\nabla\phi(\psi(z)) - \nabla\phi(\psi(y))| \\ &\leq C |\psi(z) - \psi(y)|^{1-\beta-\nu} \\ &\leq C |z - y|^{1-\beta-\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\nu > 0$, where we recall that C does not depend on time. \square

We now state and prove a continuity result for PDEs with bounded or unbounded solutions.

Lemma 4.17. *Let Assumption A1 hold. Let $\lambda > 0$ be fixed. Let b^n be a sequence converging to b in $C_T\mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$, $g^n \rightarrow g$ in $C_T\mathcal{C}^{-\beta}$. Then*

- (i) if $v_T^n \rightarrow v_T$ in $DC^{(1-\beta)^-}$ then $v^n \rightarrow v$ in $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)^-}$;
- (ii) if $v_T^n \rightarrow v_T$ in $\mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)^-}$ then $v^n \rightarrow v$ in $C_T\mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)^-}$,

where v^n is the unique solution of (22) with b replaced by b^n , g replaced by g^n and v_T replaced by v_T^n .

In particular $\nabla v^n \rightarrow \nabla v$ in $C_T\mathcal{C}^{(1-\beta)^-}$.

Proof. We show both items at the same time.

To show that $v^n \rightarrow v$ in $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)^-}$ (resp. in $C_T \mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)^-}$) we have to show that for all $\alpha < 1 - \beta$ such that $v^n \rightarrow v$ in $C_T DC^\alpha$ (resp. in $C_T \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}$). Since $v_T^n \rightarrow v_T$ in $DC^{(1-\beta)^-}$ (resp. in $\mathcal{C}^{(2-\beta)^-}$) for all $\alpha < 1 - \beta$ such that $v_T^n \rightarrow v_T$ in DC^α (resp. in $\mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}$), we fix any $\alpha < 1 - \beta$. We show that $\|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rightarrow 0$ (resp. $\|v^n - v\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}}^{(\rho)} \rightarrow 0$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the superscript (ρ) denotes the ρ -equivalent norm introduced in Section 2. Using the definition of mild solution we have

$$\begin{aligned} v^n(t) - v(t) &= P_{T-t}(v_T^n - v_T) \\ &+ \int_t^T P_{s-t}(\nabla v^n(s)b^n(s) + \nabla v(s)b^n(s) - \nabla v(s)b^n(s) - \nabla v(s)b(s))ds \\ &+ \int_t^T P_{s-t}(g^n(s) - g(s))ds + \lambda \int_t^T P_{s-t}(v^n(s) - v(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate the $\|\cdot\|_{DC^\alpha}$ -norm (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}}$ -norm) of the quantity above:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|v^n(t) - v(t)\|_{DC^\alpha} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \|P_{T-t}(v_T^n - v_T)\|_{DC^\alpha} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}((\nabla v^n(s) - \nabla v(s))b^n(s))ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}(\nabla v(s)(b^n(s) - b(s)))ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}(g^n(s) - g(s))ds \right\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \\ &\quad + \lambda \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} e^{-\rho(T-t)} \left\| \int_t^T P_{s-t}(v^n(s) - v(s))ds \right\|_{DC^\alpha} \\ &=: B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 + B_5, \end{aligned}$$

(respectively $\|v^n - v\|_{C_T \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}}^{(\rho)} =: B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 + B_5$, where the norm in DC^α is substituted by the one in $\mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}$).

The terms B_1 and B_5 are bounded using Lemma 3.2 (resp. (9) with $\theta = 0$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|P_t(v_T^n - v_T)\|_{DC^\alpha} \leq c \|v_T^n - v_T\|_{DC^\alpha}, \\ B_5 &\leq \lambda \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} e^{-\rho(T-s)} \|v^n(s) - v(s)\|_{DC^\alpha} ds \leq c \rho^{-1} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)}, \end{aligned}$$

(respectively similar estimates where the norm in DC^α is substituted by the one in $\mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}$).

For B_2 and B_3 we apply Lemma 4.6 and (29) twice and for the term B_4 we only apply Lemma 4.6 to get

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &\leq c \|b^n\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|\nabla(v^n - v)\|_{C_T C^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \leq c \|b^n\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \\ B_3 &\leq c \|b^n - b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|\nabla v\|_{C_T C^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \leq c \|b^n - b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \\ B_4 &\leq c \|g^n - g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

(respectively similar estimates where the norm in DC^α is substituted by the one in $C^{1+\alpha}$). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} &\leq c \|v_T^n - v_T\|_{DC^\alpha} \\ &\quad + c \|b^n\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \|b^n - b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \|g^n - g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} + c \rho^{-1} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)}, \end{aligned}$$

(respectively similar estimates where the norm in DC^α is substituted by the one in $C^{1+\alpha}$).

Similarly to (43) but replacing $\|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}$ with $\sup_n \|b^n\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}$, we choose $\rho \geq 1$ such that

$$c(1 + \sup_n \|b^n\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}) \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so that combining the estimates above and moving to the left-hand side the terms involving $v^n - v$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|v^n - v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} &\leq c \|v_T^n - v_T\|_{DC^\alpha} + c \|g^n - g\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \|b^n - b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta}} \|v\|_{C_T DC^\alpha}^{(\rho)} \rho^{\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

(respectively similar estimates where the norm in DC^α is substituted by the one in $C^{1+\alpha}$). The proof is concluded. \square

Remark 4.18. *Following the proof of Lemma 4.17, it is easy to see that a slightly weaker convergence remains valid under slightly weaker assumptions, namely*

- (i) if $v_T^n \rightarrow v_T$ in $DC^{\beta+}$ then $v^n \rightarrow v$ in $C_T DC^{\beta+}$;
- (ii) if $v_T^n \rightarrow v_T$ in $C^{(1+\beta)+}$ then $v^n \rightarrow v$ in $C_T C^{(1+\beta)+}$.

In particular $\nabla v^n \rightarrow \nabla v$ in $C_T C^{\beta+}$.

Lemma 4.19. *Let $b^n \rightarrow b$ in $C_T C^{-\beta}$. Let λ be such that*

$$(54) \quad \lambda^{1-\theta} = C(\beta, \varepsilon) \max\{\sup_n \|b^n\|_{C_T C^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}, \|b\|_{C_T C^{-\beta+\varepsilon}}\}$$

with $\theta := \frac{1+2\beta-\varepsilon}{2} < 1$ and $C(\beta, \varepsilon)$ chosen according to Proposition 4.13 item (ii). Let ϕ^n be defined as in (51) but with b replaced by b^n and let ψ^n be the (space-)inverse of ϕ^n as in (53). Then we have

- (i) $u^n \rightarrow u, \nabla u^n \rightarrow \nabla u, \phi^n \rightarrow \phi$ and $\psi^n \rightarrow \psi$ uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (ii) $\|\nabla \phi^n\|_\infty$ and $|\phi^n(0, 0)|$ are uniformly bounded in n .

Proof. We choose λ according to (54) as done in (48). This implies

$$(55) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u^n(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

by Proposition 4.13 part (ii).

Item (i) By Lemma 4.17 part (ii) we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C_T C^{\alpha+1}$ thus $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u$, uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $\phi_n - \phi = u_n - u$, then also $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

The rest of the proof follows the same ideas of [6, Lemma 24, part (iii)]. We recall the basic elements of the proof for ease of reading. Let us prove the uniform convergence of ψ_n to ψ . Given $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we know that for every $t \in [0, T]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x(t), x_n(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) + u(t, x(t)) &= y \\ x_n(t) + u_n(t, x_n(t)) &= y \end{aligned}$$

and we have called $x(t)$ and $x_n(t)$ by $\psi(t, y)$ and $\psi_n(t, y)$ respectively. Then from (55) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n(t) - x(t)| &\leq \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_n(t, x)| |x_n(t) - x(t)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u_n(t, x) - u(t, x)| \\ \Rightarrow |x_n(t) - x(t)| &\leq 2 \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u_n(t, x) - u(t, x)|, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$|\psi_n(t, y) - \psi(t, y)| \leq 2 \sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u_n(t, x) - u(t, x)|,$$

which implies that $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Item (ii) To show that $\|\nabla \phi^n\|_\infty$ is bounded uniformly in n we simply observe that $\nabla \phi^n(t, x) = \text{id} + \nabla u^n(t, x)$ and use (55).

To prove that $|\phi^n(0, 0)| = |u^n(0, 0)|$ is uniformly bounded we observe that $u^n \rightarrow u$ in $C_T DC^{(1-\beta)^-}$ by Lemma 4.17 part (i), hence there exists $\alpha < 1 - \beta$ such that $u^n \rightarrow u$ in $C_T DC^\alpha$ and so

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} |u^n(0, 0)| \leq c \sup_{n \geq 1} \|u^n\|_{C_T DC^\alpha},$$

which concludes the proof. \square

5. ON SOME SEPARABLE BESOV-HÖLDER TYPE SPACES

In the companion paper [11] we use a special class of PDEs like (1) for some applications in stochastic analysis. In particular, the PDE plays a role in the formulation of the martingale problem for stochastic differential equations with distributional drifts b . For more details on the latter, see

[11, Section 4]. The class of PDEs that we use in [11] are PDEs of the form $\mathcal{L}f = g$, where the element g is a function (instead of a distribution) that, most importantly, lives in a space which is separable. The spaces $C_T\mathcal{C}^{0+}$ would be the natural choice since it contains only functions, but it is not separable. It would be separable if one restricted them to functions with compact support, however the class $C_T\mathcal{C}_c^{0+}$ of functions in $C_T\mathcal{C}^{0+}$ with compact support is not closed under the topology of $C_T\mathcal{C}^{0+}$ and not rich enough for our purpose. Thus here we introduce and investigate a further class of function spaces, namely the closure of $C_T\mathcal{C}_c^{0+}$ with respect to the topology of $C_T\mathcal{C}^{0+}$. These spaces turn out to be separable and rich enough to be used in our application to stochastic analysis. In this section, we prove some useful results about these space, most importantly separability.

Lemma 5.1. *Let f be a Schwartz distribution with compact support. We have $f * p_t \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $t > 0$.*

Proof. We will show that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(p_t * f)$ of $p_t * f$ is in \mathcal{S} . Since f is a compactly supported Schwartz distribution we apply [14, Theorem 26, page 91] to write f as the finite sum $\sum_\nu \partial^\nu h$ with h some continuous function with compact support. By linearity it is enough to show that $\mathcal{F}(\partial^\nu h * p_t) \in \mathcal{S}$, where h some continuous function with compact support. In this case we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\partial^\nu h * p_t) = \mathcal{F}(h * \partial^\nu p_t) = \mathcal{F}(h)\mathcal{F}(\partial^\nu p_t),$$

and this belongs to \mathcal{S} since $\mathcal{F}\partial^\nu p_t \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{F}h \in C_b^\infty$ by an easy calculation. \square

We denote by $C_c = C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous functions with compact support. For $\gamma \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\gamma = \mathcal{C}_c^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of elements in \mathcal{C}^γ with compact support. Similarly when γ is replaced by $\gamma+$ or $\gamma-$, for $\gamma \geq 0$. When defining the domain of the martingale problem we will work with spaces of functions which are the limit of functions with compact support, so that they are Banach space. More precisely, let us denote by $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma \text{ such that } \exists (f_n)_n \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\gamma \text{ and } f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } \mathcal{C}^\gamma\}.$$

As above we denote the inductive space and intersection space as

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma+} := \cup_{\alpha > \gamma} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\alpha, \quad \bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma-} := \cap_{\alpha < \gamma} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\alpha.$$

We also introduce the space $C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma+}$ and observe that $f \in C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma+}$ if and only if there exists $\alpha > \gamma$ such that $f \in C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\alpha$, by [10, Remark B.1 part (ii)].

We will state and prove several useful properties of such spaces. Let us start by showing that $C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma$ is an algebra.

Proposition 5.2. *The space $C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma$ is an algebra for $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma$. By [10, Remark B.1], we know that there exists a sequence $(f_n)_n \subset C_T\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^\gamma$ (resp. $(g_n)_n$) such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ (resp. $g_n \rightarrow g$) in

$C_T C^\gamma$. Clearly $f_n g_n \in C_T C_c^\gamma$ so it remains to show that $f_n g_n \rightarrow f g$ in $C_T C^\gamma$. We have $f_n g_n - f g = (f_n - f)g_n + f(g_n - g)$ so it is enough to show that $(f_n - f)g_n \rightarrow 0$ and $f(g_n - g) \rightarrow 0$ in $C_T C^\gamma$. We show the first term only, as the second can be handled the same (but easier). Using the norm (3) we need to bound two terms. The first one is $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(f_n(t, \cdot) - f(t, \cdot))g_n(t, \cdot)\|_\infty$ and it clearly converges to 0 by assumptions on f_n, g_n . As for the Hölder seminorm for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f_n - f)(t, x)g_n(t, x) - (f_n - f)(t, y)g_n(t, y)| \\ & \leq |[(f_n - f)(t, x) - (f_n - f)(t, y)]g_n(t, x)| \\ & \quad + |(f_n - f)(t, y)[g_n(t, x) - g_n(t, y)]| \\ & \leq \|f_n - f\|_{C_T C^\gamma} |x - y|^\gamma \sup_{t, x} |g_n(t, x)| \\ & \quad + \sup_{t, x} |(f_n - f)(t, x)| \|g_n\|_{C_T C^\gamma} |x - y|^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using this we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|(f_n - f)(t, x)g_n(t, x) - (f_n - f)(t, y)g_n(t, y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} \rightarrow 0,$$

by the fact that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly and $\|f_n - f\|_{C_T C^\gamma}$ and $\|g_n\|_{C_T C^\gamma}$ are bounded. \square

Lemma 5.3. *We have*

$$(56) \quad \mathcal{S} \subset \bar{C}_c^{\gamma+}$$

for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, \mathcal{S} is included in the closure \bar{C}_c of the space of continuous functions with compact support C_c with respect to the topology of uniform convergence.

Proof. It is enough to show the claim for every $\gamma \geq 0$. We only prove (56) since the closure of the space of continuous functions with compact support $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the topology of uniform convergence contains $\bar{C}_c^{\gamma+}$.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a smooth function such that

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \geq 0 \\ 1 & x \leq -1 \\ \in (0, 1) & x \in (-1, 0). \end{cases}$$

We set $\chi_n : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\chi_n(x) := \chi(|x| - (n + 1))$. In particular

$$\chi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \geq n + 1 \\ 1 & |x| \leq n \\ \in (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. We set $f_n(x) := f(x)\chi_n(x)$. Clearly $f_n \in C_c^{\gamma+}$.

Step 1. For any multi-index m we first show that $D^m f_n \rightarrow D^m f$ uniformly.

Notice that $D^m(f_n - f) = D^m(f(1 - \chi_n))$ is a finite sum of terms of the form $D^l f D^k(1 - \chi_n)$ for some finite $|l|, |k| \leq |m|$. One can show that

$\sup_x |D^k(1 - \chi_n)(x)| \leq \|D^k \chi\|_\infty$ by the definition of χ_n . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists $n(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $|x| > n(\varepsilon)$ then $|D^l f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all l such that $|l| \leq |m|$. For $|x| > n(\varepsilon)$ we have

$$|D^l f(x) D^k(1 - \chi_n)(x)| \leq \|D^k \chi\|_\infty \varepsilon.$$

This shows uniform convergence of $D^l f D^k(1 - \chi_n)$ to 0, hence uniform convergence of $D^m(f_n - f)$ to zero.

Step 2. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For any multi-index m it remains to show that

$$\sup_{|x-y|<1} \frac{|D^m(f(1 - \chi_n))(x) - D^m(f(1 - \chi_n))(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}$$

converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We clearly have that

$$\frac{|D^m(f(1 - \chi_n))(x) - D^m(f(1 - \chi_n))(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq \|\nabla D^m(f(1 - \chi_n))\|_\infty |x - y|^{1-\alpha}$$

by finite increments theorem, hence we reduce to Step 1. \square

Lemma 5.4. (i) For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ the space \mathcal{S} is dense in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma+}$.
(ii) \mathcal{S} is dense in \bar{C}_c .

Proof. *Item (i)* We observe that $\mathcal{S} \subset \bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma+}$, see Lemma 5.3. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_c^{\gamma+}$. By the definition of the space we can reduce to the case $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\gamma+}$. We mollify f using the heat semigroup P_ε , that is we consider $P_\varepsilon f = p_\varepsilon * f$ where p_ε is the heat kernel. By Lemma 5.1 we have $P_\varepsilon f \in \mathcal{S}$. By (10) we also have that $P_\varepsilon f \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma+}$.

Item (ii) The result follows from the fact that $P_\varepsilon f \rightarrow f$ uniformly, for $f \in C_c$ and that $\mathcal{S} \subset \bar{C}_c$ by Lemma 5.3. \square

The next three lemmata will be used below to prove that the spaces are separable.

Lemma 5.5. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$ where $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Then the sequence $(f_n)_n$ defined by $f_n(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n f(\frac{j}{n}) t^j (1-t)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j}$ converges uniformly to f .

Proof. The polynomials $(f_n)_n$ are also known as Bernstein polynomials, often denoted by $B_n(f, t)$ that is

$$(57) \quad B_n(f, t) := f_n(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) t^j (1-t)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j}.$$

Bernstein polynomials have the property that they can be expressed as expectations of suitable random variables, which is useful in the computations below. In particular, let $U_1, \dots, U_n \sim U(0, 1)$ be independent uniform r.v.s and let

$$S_n(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{[0,t)}(U_j).$$

Since $S_n(t)$ is a binomial r.v. with parameter n and t , then clearly

$$(58) \quad B_n(f, t) = \mathbb{E}[f(S_n(t))].$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|t - s| \leq \delta$ then $\|f(t) - f(s)\| \leq \varepsilon$. Let $t \in [0, 1]$, by (58) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n(t) - f(t)\| &= \|\mathbb{E}[f(S_n(t)) - f(t)]\| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\|f(S_n(t)) - f(t)\| 1_{\{|S_n(t)-t|\leq\delta\}}] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[\|f(S_n(t)) - f(t)\| 1_{\{|S_n(t)-t|>\delta\}}] \\ &=: I_1(t) + I_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$I_1(t) \leq \varepsilon \mathbb{P}(|S_n(t) - t| \leq \delta) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Concerning $I_2(t)$, being $S_n(t)$ a binomial random variable with parameter n and t ,

$$\text{Var}(S_n(t)) = \frac{1}{n}(t - t^2).$$

Using this and by Chebyshev inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(t) &\leq 2\|f\|_\infty \mathbb{P}(|S_n(t) - t| > \delta) \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_\infty \frac{\text{Var}(S_n(t) - t)}{\delta^2} \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_\infty \frac{(t - t^2)}{n\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the supremum over $t \in [0, 1]$ we get $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} I_2(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_\infty \frac{1}{n\delta^2}$ and putting this together with the bound for $I_1(t)$ we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \|f_n(t) - f(t)\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} (I_1(t) + I_2(t)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof is concluded. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let E be an inductive space of the form $E = \cup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} E_\alpha$, with E_α Banach space. If E is separable then $C_T E$ is separable.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we choose $T = 1$. Let $f \in C_T E$ and we consider the functions

$$f_n(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) t^j (1-t)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j}.$$

We now use the fact that $C_T E = \cup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} C_T E_\alpha$ by [10, Remark B.1], where the space $C_T E_\alpha$ can be equipped with the norm $\sup_t \|f(t)\|_{E_\alpha}$. By this fact, there exists α such that $f \in C_T E_\alpha$, in particular $f_n \in C_T E_\alpha$ for all n . By Lemma 5.5 f_n converges to f in $C_T E_\alpha$, which by the fact stated above implies it converges also in $C_T E$. We have thus reduced our problem to polynomials of the form $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j t^j$ with $a_j \in E_\alpha$. We conclude the proof by using the fact that E is separable, thus there exists a countable dense

subset of E , say \mathcal{P} , so that every polynomial $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j t^j$ can be approached by a sequence of polynomials of the type $\sum_{j=1}^n q_j t^j$ with $q_j \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

Lemma 5.7. (i) For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ the space $\bar{C}_c^{\gamma+}$ is separable.
(ii) \bar{C}_c is separable.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.4. \square

Corollary 5.8. The space $C_T \bar{C}_c^{\gamma+}$ is separable for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Notice that by definition \bar{C}_c^{γ} is a Banach space and the inductive space $\bar{C}_c^{\gamma+}$ is separable by Lemma 5.7, so we conclude using Lemma 5.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin. *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, 2011.
- [2] J.-M. Bony. Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularites pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super.*, 14:209–246, 1981.
- [3] G. Cannizzaro and K. Chouk. Multidimensional SDEs with singular drift and universal construction of the polymer measure with white noise potential. *Ann. Probab.*, 46(3):1710–1763, 2018.
- [4] R. Catellier and K. Chouk. Paracontrolled distributions and the 3-dimensional stochastic quantization equation. *Ann. Probab.*, 46(5):2621–2679, 2018.
- [5] P.-E. Chaudru de Raynal and S. Menozzi. On Multidimensional stable-driven Stochastic Differential Equations with Besov drift. *Arxiv 2109.12263*, 2019.
- [6] F. Flandoli, E. Issoglio, and F. Russo. Multidimensional SDEs with distributional coefficients. *T. Am. Math. Soc.*, 369:1665–1688, 2017.
- [7] M. Gubinelli, P. Imkeller, and N. Perkowski. Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 3:75 pages, 2015.
- [8] E. Issoglio. Transport equations with fractal noise - existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solution. *J. Analysis and its App.*, 32(1):37–53, 2013.
- [9] E. Issoglio. A non-linear parabolic PDE with a distributional coefficient and its applications to stochastic analysis. *J. Differential Equations*, 267(10):5976–6003, 2019.
- [10] E. Issoglio and F. Russo. McKean SDEs with singular coefficients. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. To appear*. Arxiv 2107.14453, 2021.
- [11] E. Issoglio and F. Russo. SDEs with singular coefficients: the martingale problem view and the stochastic dynamics view. *Preprint Arxiv 2208.10799*, 2022.
- [12] A. Lunardi. *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 16. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [13] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis*. Higher mathematics series. McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [14] L. Schwartz. *Théorie des distributions*. Paris: Hermann, nouveau tirage edition, 1998.

(Elena Issoglio) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA ‘G. PEANO’, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO
Email address, Corresponding author: elena.issoglio@unito.it

(Francesco Russo) UNITÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, ENSTA PARIS, INSTITUT
POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS
Email address: francesco.russo@ensta-paris.fr