

# Biotic and Abiotic Control Over Diurnal CH4 Fluxes in a Temperate Transitional Poor Fen Ecosystem

Alexandre Lhosmot, Adrien Jacotot, Marc Steinmann, Philippe Binet, Marie-Laure Toussaint, Sébastien Gogo, Daniel Gilbert, Sarah Coffinet, Fatima Laggoun-Défarge, Guillaume Bertrand

# ▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Lhosmot, Adrien Jacotot, Marc Steinmann, Philippe Binet, Marie-Laure Toussaint, et al.. Biotic and Abiotic Control Over Diurnal CH4 Fluxes in a Temperate Transitional Poor Fen Ecosystem. Ecosystems, 2023, 26 (2), pp.951-968. 10.1007/s10021-022-00809-x . hal-03881763

# HAL Id: hal-03881763 https://hal.science/hal-03881763

Submitted on 10 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Biotic and abiotic control over diurnal CH<u>4</u> fluxes in a temperate transitional poor fen ecosystem

Journal:	Ecosystems
Manuscript ID	ECO-22-0266.R2
Types:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Lhosmot, Alexandre; Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS Jacotot, Adrien; CNRS, Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans UMR 7327 Orléans, FR; Institut National de la Recherche en Agriculture, Alimentation et Environnement (INRAE), UMR 1069 SAS Steinmann, Marc; Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS Binet, Philippe; Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS Toussaint, Marie-Laure; Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS Gogo, Sébastien; OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, CNRS Gilbert, Daniel; Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS Laggoun-Défarge, Fatima; CNRS, Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans UMR 7327 Coffinet, Sarah; OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, CNRS Bertrand, Guillaume; Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS
Key Words:	Peatland ecosystem, Diurnal cycle, Methane flux, Methane oxidation, Temperate ecosystem, eddy-covariance, Sphagnum
	1



2		
3 4 5	1	Biotic and abiotic control over diurnal $CH_4$ fluxes in a temperate
6 7	2	transitional poor fen ecosystem
8 9	3	Alexandre Lhosmot <sup>1</sup> Adrien Jacotot <sup>2,3</sup> Marc Steinmann <sup>1</sup> Philippe Binet <sup>1</sup> Marie-Laure
10	4	Toussaint <sup>1</sup> . Sébastien Gogo <sup>4</sup> . Daniel Gilbert <sup>1</sup> . Sarah Coffinet <sup>4</sup> . Fatima Laggoun-Deffarge <sup>2</sup> . and
11 12	5	Guillaume Bertrand <sup>1,5</sup>
13	6	1 Chrono-Environnement, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR6249, CNRS, France
14	7	2 Institut des Sciences de la Terre d'Orléans (ISTO), Université d'Orléans, UMR7327, CNRS, France.
16	8	3 Institut National de la Recherche en Agriculture, Alimentation et Environnement (INRAE), UMR 1069 SAS,
17 18	9	65 rue de Saint-Brieuc, 35042 Rennes, France
19	10	4 OSUR, Université de Rennes 1, CNRS, France
20	11	<sup>5</sup> Water Resources and Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Federal University of Paraíba, 58051-900 Joao Pessoa, Brazil
21 22	12	
23 24	13	Abstract
25 26	14	To understand the variability of methane (CH $_4$ ) fluxes between a temperate mid-altitude
27 28	15	Sphagnum-dominated peatland and the atmosphere, we monitored simultaneously eddy-covariance,
29 30 31	16	hydrometeorological and physical parameters between April 2019 and December 2021. The site was
32 33	17	a CH <sub>4</sub> source for the atmosphere, with a cumulative emission of 23.9 $\pm$ 0.6 g C m <sup>-2</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> . At the
34 35	18	interannual scale, deeper water table during vegetation growth periods resulted in lower $CH_4$ fluxes
36 37 38	19	(FCH4), and reciprocally. Furthermore, the seasonal temperature variation of the anaerobic peat layer
39 40	20	was a good predictor for FCH4. However, while the lowest temperatures occurred between December
41 42	21	and February, the lowest FCH4 were observed between March and May, with around 30 % of negative
43 44 45	22	FCH4. Indeed, the fastest increase in temperature of the aerobic layer likely stimulated methanotrophy
45 46 47	23	at the expense of methanogenesis. Negative FCH4, systematically observed at midday, were
48 49	24	concurrent with high photon flux densities, latent heat fluxes, and net negative ecosystem $\ensuremath{CO}_2$
50 51	25	exchanges, suggesting the control of photosynthesis over $CH_4$ oxidation. Moreover, our results
52 53	26	highlighted marked diurnal cycles with FCH4 maximal at night and minimal at midday for all seasons.
54 55 56	27	This diurnal cyclicity is in opposition to what is typically known for peatlands dominated by vascular
50 57 58	28	plants. Physical parameters, such as soil surface temperature and sensible heat fluxes, likely contribute
59 60	29	to this diurnal FCH4 cyclicity and require further investigation. Our study thus demonstrates that

2 3 4	30	(
5 6 7	31	١
, 8 9	32	I
10 11 12	33	٦
13 14 15 16	34	I
17 18	35	
19 20	36	
21 22 23	37	
24 25 26	38	I
27 28	39	C
29 30 31	40	t
32 33	41	t
34 35 36 37	42	
38 39 40	43	A
40 41 42	44	r
43 44 45	45	ŀ
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52 53		
55 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		

1

30 diurnal variations of FCH4 must be considered before upscaling to seasonal or annual cycles, along

31 with the effect of vegetation on  $CH_4$  transfer and oxidation processes.

Key words: Peatland ecosystem, Diurnal cycle, Methane flux, Methane oxidation, Sphagnum,

3 Temperate ecosystem, eddy-covariance

### 4 Highlights:

- FCH4 were lower during daytime and higher at night throughout the year.
- Negative FCH4 where concomitants with negative NEE.
  - Diurnal FCH4 amplitude followed a seasonal trend.

Electronic supplementary material: Soil-meteorological and piezometers data are available
 on Zenodo repository (DOI:10.5281/ZENODO.3763342; DOI: 10.5281/ZENODO.3763766) and also on
 the French National Peatland Observatory Service (SNO Tourbières, <u>https://www.sno-</u>
 tourbieres.cnrs.fr/).

# 42 Author Contributions:

AL wrote the original draft. AL, AJ and GB participated to the conception of the study, designed
methodology and analyzed data. AJ and MLT acquired eddy-covariance and soil-meteorological data.
All authors contributed to the writing and approved the final version of the manuscript.

# **1** Introduction

Peatlands host one third of the Earth total soil organic carbon, although they cover only 3 % of the continental surface (Gorham, 1991; Xu and others 2018). These vegetated and waterlogged ecosystems can be considered as biogeochemical and carbon hot spots of the Critical Zone, which is defined as the thin layer of the Earth from the top of the canopy to the unaltered rocks that shelters life and the biogeochemical cycles (Anderson and others 2004; Gaillardet and others 2018). Permanent anaerobic and reduced redox conditions in peatlands limit organic matter degradation and its transformation to carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ , resulting in peatlands being a carbon reservoir that had accumulated over centennial to millennial time scales.

Waterlogged conditions promote the microbial production of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) that is then emitted to the atmosphere and has a radiative power 28 to 34 times greater than CO<sub>2</sub> (Lai 2009; IPCC 2013; Stepniewska and Goraj 2014). The net  $CH_4$  flux to the atmosphere is not only dependent on its production under anoxic conditions, but also on its transfer to the surface. This transfer occurs through molecular diffusion, ebullition or through aerenchymatous tissues (Sebacher and others 1985; Chanton and Dacey 1991; Windsor and others 1992). In parallel, a part of CH<sub>4</sub> may be consumed by methanotrophs in the presence of oxygen, especially in the aerobic surface layer, or in anaerobic conditions through oxidative pathways using different electron acceptor such as nitrate, sulfate or iron (III) (Lai 2009; Smemo and Yavitt 2011; Stępniewska and Goraj 2014; Shi and others 2017). In addition, CH<sub>4</sub> flux (FCH4) balance results from the interactions of numerous physico-chemical factors such as Water Table Depth (WTD), vegetation cover and temperature (Turetsky and others 2014; Leroy and others 2017; Li and others 2021; Zhang and others 2021). Among these factors, temperature is a key predictor for seasonal CH<sub>4</sub> production in the anaerobic peat layer (Dunfield and others 1993; Long and others 2009; van Winden and others 2012; Stepniewska and Goraj 2014; Knox and others 2021). In contrast, the lowering of the WTD favored by high temperature and evapotranspiration favors oxygenation of the peat column that, in turn, limits CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Lai 2009; Stępniewska and Goraj 2014; Bertrand and others 2021). At the diurnal scale, FCH4 can widely vary in both shape and
amplitude within peatland types and seasons (Nadeau and others 2013; Dooling and others 2018; Knox
and others 2021).

Saunois and others (2020) estimated that over the period 2000-2017 wetlands, at the global scale, including peatlands, contributed 25 to 30 % of the worldwide CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. However, the specific contribution of peatlands to these CH<sub>4</sub> emissions remains uncertain because of the multitude and complexity of controlling parameters to set in the models (Saunois and others 2020; Salmon and others 2021). However, both climatic and land-use change modify hydrometeorological conditions of peatlands, which do not only impact their distribution, size and vegetation cover, but also potentially the balance between CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation. The heterogeneity of peatland types and sizes associated to complex interactions between hydrology, carbon cycle and vegetation dynamics make their response to climate change and anthropogenic disturbances difficult to predict (Loisel and others 2021; Riutta and others 2020).

In addition, while numerous studies focused on boreal peatlands, the temperate ones remain understudied, especially temperate montane peatlands (Rosset and others 2019). However, temperate peatlands might be considered "as 'ecosystem sentinels' for climate change, acting as early warning indicators of climate-carbon feedbacks" as it was proposed by Briones and others (2022). Beyond, peatland monitoring combining hydrometeorological variables and greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes in a wide panel of altitude and latitude, vegetation cover and degree of anthropogenic impact participate to build a robust database. Such a database is essential to evaluate peatland restoration strategies, that induce, through rewetting, an increase of FCH4 (Abdalla and others 2016). Monitoring of GHG fluxes, covering from interannual to diurnal scale including dormant season, is enabled by the eddy-covariance technique that has been developing over the last 25 years (Morin 2019). Diurnal cycle studies on peatland FCH4 prove to be important as the majority of FCH4 are measured only during daytime with the incubation chamber method (Denmead 2008; Dooling and others 2018). Therefore,

### Ecosystems

96 the comprehension of this diurnal cycle remains a challenge in order to improve annual CH<sub>4</sub> budget of
97 a peatland when only daytime measurements are available (Dooling and others 2018).

In this context, this work aims to document current temperate peatland CH<sub>4</sub> dynamic by delineating the biotic and abiotic processes influencing FCH4. Considering the range of possible constrains over FCH4, deconvoluting these factors may be helped by long-term and high frequency monitoring. In this perspective, we jointly investigated WTD, soil and air temperatures, Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density (PPFD), latent and sensible heat fluxes (LE and H), Net Ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> Exchange (NEE) and FCH4 during 2.5 years. This allowed us to address multiannual, seasonal and diurnal CH<sub>4</sub> patterns of a temperate mid-altitude Sphagnum-dominated transitional poor fen, located in the Jura Mountains in Eastern France (Forbonnet peatland).

106 2 Material and Methods

### 2.1 Study site

The study was conducted at the Forbonnet peatland, located at a mid-latitude and mid-altitude position (N46.826, E6.1754, 840 m a.s.l.) in the French Jura Mountains within the Frasne-Bouverans peatland complex (~300 ha; Lhosmot and others 2021). The site is one of the four peatlands of the French National Peatland Observatory Service (SNO Tourbières; Gogo and others 2021), being also an observatory of the French research infrastructure of the Critical Zone (OZCAR; Gaillardet and others 2018).

This ecosystem is located in a karstic syncline made of Jurassic and Cretaceous marls and limestones, partially covered by glacial impermeable deposits favoring water accumulation and development of peatlands. The study site corresponds to a *Sphagnum*-dominated peatland (~7 ha) belonging to a larger peatland complex of around 300 ha that is recognized for its biodiversity (Natura 2000, Ramsar Convention, Regional Natural Reserve). This peatland is supplied in water by (1) local rainfall, (2) lateral flow from the surrounding by topographically higher more mature and wooded

peatlands and (3) by localized and intermittent mineralized groundwater from moraines and/or karst
system (Lhosmot and others 2021). The upper peat layer remains the most acidic and least mineralized
one with pH varying from 4 to 5.5.

The climate is temperate with a marked continental influence, straddling between Cfb and Dfb according to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Rubel and others 2017). More particularly, the site is subjected to contrasted seasons with mean monthly temperatures ranging from 0 °C (December to February) to 15 °C in July and August while the annual average is ~7 °C (2009-2020). Precipitation events are regularly distributed over the year with a mean of 135 ± 25 mm month<sup>-1</sup> (2009-2019).

Concerning the vegetation, the study area is mainly covered by *Sphagnum spp* mosses. Andromeda polifolia, Vaccinium oxycoccos, Eriophorum vaginatum, Scheuchzeria palustris, Drosera spp. and Calluna vulgaris are also present. *Pinus uncinata* occurs sparsely around the edges of the peatland. The micro-topography of the Forbonnet peatland edges features an alternance of hummocks and hollows supporting a mosaic of vegetation while the center of the system is relatively homogenous and flat and corresponds to a transitional poor fen (Delarue and others 2011; Buttler and others 2015).

### 2.2 GHG fluxes and auxiliary data measurements

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), latent heat flux (LE) and sensible heat flux (H) were measured with an eddy-covariance (EC) flux tower from the end of April 2019 to December 2021. Localization of the EC is available on the observatory website (Gogo and others 2021) and its footprint corresponds to the flat transitional poor fen dominated by *Sphagnum* (see Supplementary material 1). The EC footprint vegetation is dominated by Sphagnum spp (~80 %) while vascular plants (mainly Eriophorum vaginatum) represent the rest of the vegetation (Bailly 2017). The EC system included an open-path CH<sub>4</sub> LI-7700 and an enclosed-path LI-7200/RS (LI-COR Biosciences, USA) to measure respectively CH<sub>4</sub> and both H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Wind velocity and direction components as well as fast temperature readings were provided by a Gill HS-50 3D ultrasonic anemometer (Gill

### Ecosystems

Instruments Limited, UK) placed at 2 m above the soil with a north offset of 220°. All data were sampled at a 20 Hz frequency, and recorded through a LI-7550 Interface Unit (LI-COR Biosciences, USA).

Micro-meteorological measurements included PPFD (SKP115 Quantum Sensor, Skye Instruments Limited, UK), air temperature (T<sub>Air</sub>) and relative humidity (RH; HMP155A, VAISALA, Finland). Soil measurements included Water Table Depth (WTD; CS451, Campbell Scientific) at one location, and temperature (T<sub>soil</sub>) at 2, 5, 10, 25 and 60 cm depths. T<sub>soil</sub> values at 2 and 5 cm are the mean of two replicated sensors. Intermediate depth temperatures (5, 10 and 25 cm) showed a gradual evolution between the 2 and 60 cm depths (see Supplementary material 2). Temperature was also recorded in a piezometer (T<sub>Peat</sub>) integrating the complete catotelm profile (40 to 180 cm depth). All auxiliary data were recorded in a similar environment, beside the EC footprint (~75 m from the EC station). The data were measured every minute and then 30-min averaged.

### 2.3 Fluxes calculation

CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, LE and H were calculated using EddyPro version 7.0.6 (LI-COR Biosciences, USA) and following the methods described by Vitale and others (2020) and references therein. Calculation included spikes count and removal (Mauder and others 2013), double axis rotation for tilt correction (Wilczak and others 2001), covariance maximization for time-lag compensation, in-situ spectral correction (Fratini and others 2012), and then 30-min block averaging. Cleaning of FCH4 was performed using the quality flags qualification of Mauder and Foken (2011) and accordingly, only fluxes with a flag 0 criterion were kept in the dataset. Cleaning of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes was performed with the procedure of Vitale and others (2020) with the RFlux package in its non-ICOS version (Vitale and others 2021). In addition, due to the restricted area of the studied peatland and the location of the EC tower, only the fluxes recorded with a wind direction between 143-270°N were conserved during the cleaning procedure. The footprint surface estimation is based on aggregated half-hour measurements from April 2019 to December 2021 after wind direction filtration and following the method described by Kljun and others (2015) (Fig. in Supplementary material 1).

Averaged FCH4 and  $CO_2$  fluxes were corrected for periods of low friction velocity (u<sup>\*</sup>) that frequently appear at night-time. The calculation of the threshold is based on the data, and is thus specific and different for each dataset. The minimal u\* threshold for accepted fluxes was estimated by the method of Papale and others (2006) and implemented in the REddyProc algorithm developed by Wutzler and others (2018). Annual calculated thresholds were 0.043, 0.069 and 0.049 for 2019, 2020 and 2021. Following these results, we decided to take a unique threshold value of 0.075 for the three measurements years, which is upper than the calculated ones in order to ensure more robustness to the kept data. All fluxes below this threshold were discarded from the dataset. Finally, the available  $CH_4$  data covered 22 % of the study period. The data gap in winter 2020-2021 is due to the removal of the analyzer because of excessive snow cover. In this study a negative flux is defined as a flux from the atmosphere to the soil, and reciprocally. 

180 The daily mean amplitude of FCH4 (maximum difference of fluxes between day and night) for 181 each month was calculated as the difference between the mean FCH4 at night (PPFD < 1  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) 182 and the minimum FCH4 of the day. Similarly, the daily mean amplitude of temperature in soil was 183 calculated as the difference between the mean temperature at night (PPFD < 1  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and the 184 diurnal maximum temperature.

To estimate the annual FCH4 budget, FCH4 were gap-filled from 2019 to 2021 using the Random Forest (RF) method with  $T_{soil}$ , WTD, PPFD, and u\* as input predictors. Although no standardized gapfilling method currently exists concerning CH<sub>4</sub> (Knox and others 2019), mostly due to its dependence to many environmental drivers, Kim et al., (2020) recently showed a high performance of random forest algorithms (RF).

190 2

## 2.4 Statistical analyses

Linear regressions and statistical analyses were realized using the SciPy library in python
 language (Jones and others 2001). Difference of annual and monthly means WTD were compared with

the non-parametric Mann Whitney U test as the main data were not normally distributed. Alluncertainties given in the manuscript correspond to the standard deviation.

# 3 Results

## 3.1 Environmental variables

Monthly T<sub>Air</sub> and T<sub>Soil</sub> at 2 cm depth (Tsoil-2cm) showed similar variations with lowest values in January (~0°C) and peaks in July for 2020 and June for 2021 (respectively ~16 and ~18 °C, Fig. 1A). For T<sub>soil</sub> at 60 cm depth (Tsoil-60cm), the seasonal peak was offset in August and the seasonal amplitude (~13 °C) was lower than for Tsoil-2cm (~17 °C, Fig. 1A). The mean monthly peat water temperature recorded in a piezometer (T<sub>Peat</sub>) at 40 to 180 cm depth varied between 4.4 and 13.5 °C. For T<sub>Air</sub> the values ranged between -1 and 17 °C, for Tsoil-2cm between 0.4 and 18.1 °C, and for Tsoil-60cm between 2.9 and 15.6 °C. T<sub>Peat</sub> reached its seasonal peak at the end of August (Fig. 1A). PPFD showed seasonal trends similar to T<sub>Air</sub> with highest values in July (2300 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest values in winter (< 800 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 1B).

At the diurnal scale, PPFD, T<sub>Air</sub>, and T<sub>Soil</sub> were highest during the day. PPFD reached its maximum between 1 and 3 pm. T<sub>Air</sub> and Tsoil-2cm reached maximum values between 3 and 5 pm, whereas Tsoil-60cm was highest between 11 pm and 2 am. The amplitude of T<sub>Soil</sub> decreased with depth and remained, similarly to T<sub>Peat</sub>, close to zero at 60 cm depth, reflecting the thermic inertia of peat (McKenzie and others 2007). The daily amplitude of T<sub>soil</sub> at 2 and 5 cm depth was highest from April to September and considerably lower for the rest of the year (at 2 cm from 3.2 to 10.1 °C, mean = 6.5 °C; at 5 cm from 1.9 to 5.5 °C, mean = 3.9 °C, Fig. 2). For deeper levels (10, 25 and 60 cm), the daily mean amplitude did not exceed 2.2 °C (mean = 1 °C). 

<br/>57214WTD remained at an average of  $-0.12 \pm 0.07$  m (Fig. 1C, daily mean values). Seasonal variations5758<br/>59215featured greater depths from July to September in 2019, 2020 and 2021 (respectively  $-0.21 \pm 0.04$ , -

 $0.24 \pm 0.04$  and  $-0.10 \pm 0.04$ ) and shallower depths in winter (from -10 cm to occasional positive values). 217 WTD in 2021 ( $-0.9 \pm 0.05$  m) was significantly higher than in 2019 ( $-0.11 \pm 0.07$  m, *p*-value < 0.05) and 218 2020 ( $-0.13 \pm 0.08$  m, *p*-value < 0.05). The monthly mean WTD compared year to year show that for 219 May, July, August and September the WTD was significantly higher in 2021 than in the two others years 220 (*p*-value < 0.05).

Seasonal variability of NEE showed lower amplitude from November to March, where the majority of half-hour fluxes ranged from -4 to +4  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>. Mean monthly NEE for this period ranged from 0 to +1  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>. Higher NEE amplitude was measured in July-August months. Half-hour NEE ranged from approximatively -13 to +10  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> and mean monthly NEE reached -5  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> (Fig. 1D).

3.2 CH₄ fluxes

Along the two and a half years covered by the EC measurements (April 2019 to December 2021), measured mean daily FCH4 were 57.5 ± 41 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Annual budget from gap-filled data for 2019, 2020 and 2021 was respectively 23.4, 23.5 and 24.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. Seasonal variation of FCH4 was similar for the whole period of study (Fig. 1E). Highest monthly average FCH4 were recorded in July and August (respectively from 92.5 to 122 with a mean of 106 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and from 76.2 to 147.4 with a mean of 101.2 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) while lowest FCH4 occurred in early spring (March and April monthly average, respectively 13 to 14.8 and 4.8 to 16.1 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Regarding the half-hour values, negative FCH4 were most frequent between March and May, representing in average  $30.8 \pm 8.5$  % of the half hour measurements. In February, negative FCH4 were more variable, comprised between 5.7 % and 28.8 % of measured FCH4 in 2020 and 2021, respectively. In contrast, for all other months, negative FCH4 only represented 1.1 ± 1.5 % of measured FCH4. In addition, the diurnal FCH4 showed lowest values during daytime (11am-3pm) for all month of the year (Fig. 3). Amplitude of this cycle was the highest in spring and summer (103.9 ± 21 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest from October to January (47.1

 $\pm$  20 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 2). Diurnal FCH4 amplitude at the end of winter (February and March) was 75  $\pm$ 29 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. 

### 3.3 Environmental control on FCH4

At the seasonal scale, monthly WTD showed complex relationships with monthly mean FCH4 with a large variation of FCH4 (from 15 to 140 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in a restricted WTD range of variation (-0.13 to -0.07 m), corresponding to 25 % of the monthly WTD amplitude observed in our dataset (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, a positive relationship between WTD and FCH4 was detectable, but only for selected seasons. Positive relationships can be observed for the July-September and December-May periods where linear regressions were determined (Fig. 4, respectively  $R^2$  equal to 0.61 and 0.33 and p-value < 0.05). In addition, the link between WTD and FCH4 stood out by focusing on interannual cycles. For the July-September period mean FCH4 was higher in 2021, corresponding to the wettest summer  $(FCH4 = 122.6 \pm 20 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} / \text{WTD} = -0.10 \pm 0.05 \text{ m})$ , than for the drier summers of 2019 and 2020 (FCH4 =  $86.5 \pm 12$  and  $75.4 \pm 14$  nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; WTD =  $-0.21 \pm 0.04$  and  $-0.24 \pm 0.04$  m with a drop at -0.31 m, respectively; Fig. 1C and E). 

In order to better understand the diurnal FCH4 variations over the season, we decomposed the diurnal FCH4 between the monthly mean FCH4 at night (FCH4-night) and the monthly mean of the daytime minimum (FCH4-min-day). These two variables were plotted against the Tsoil-25cm that is considered as a proxy for seasonal  $CH_4$  production variations (Fig. 5, Ueyama and others 2020). Fig. 5A shows that the FCH4-night was positively correlated with the Tsoil-25cm (exponential relation,  $R^2$  = 0.86, *p*-value < 0.05). In contrast, the relation between the FCH4-min-day and the Tsoil-25cm showed a complex pattern with a seasonal hysteresis, e.g. lower values in spring (-20 to -50 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) than in autumn (20 to 30 nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 5B) for similar temperature (~5-10 °C). As a result of FCH4-night and FCH4-day-min dynamic, the monthly diurnal amplitude of FCH4 also followed a hysteresis for similar Tsoil-25cm, e.g. greater values during the end of winter and spring than during autumn and

264 early winter (Fig. 5C). This result indicates that temperature of saturated peat is not able to fully explain
265 the seasonal variation of FCH4-min-day.

Diurnal pattern of temperature (from air to soil 60 cm depth) was synchronous with FCH4 despite a lag time from 2 to 12 hours between the daily minimum FCH4 (coming first) and the daily peak of T<sub>air</sub> and Tsoil-60cm, respectively. Both the mean diurnal amplitude of FCH4 and Tsoil-2cm followed the same seasonal trend (Fig. 2).

Diurnal FCH4 was also synchronous with PPFD, NEE, LE and H for all months of the year with daily peaks at mid-day (Figs. 3, 6, 7 and 8). FCH4 was positively correlated to NEE and negatively correlated to PPFD, LE and H. Highest FCH4 occurred at nighttime and concomitant with null PPFD and LE, negative H and positive NEE. The linear regressions between NEE and LE variables and FCH4 where the most significant from March to May with R<sup>2</sup> between 0.61 and 0.85 for NEE and 0.59 and 0.77 for LE (Figs. 6 and 7). For H and FCH4 relationship, the linear regression was as strong in February (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.89) as in March to May (R<sup>2</sup> between 0.83 and 0.93, Fig. 8).

# 277 4 Discussion

## 9 278

### 4.1 FCH4 budget and daily FCH4 dynamics

FCH4 recorded in the Forbonnet between 2019 and 2021 indicated that the site acted as an annual net source of CH<sub>4</sub> to the atmosphere with an annual average budget of 23.9 ± 0.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup>, in the high range of those reported by Abdalla and others (2016) for northern peatlands (N40 to 70°; 95% CI of 7.6–15.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> and mean of 12  $\pm$  21 g C m<sup>-2</sup>). Abdalla and others (2016) found that CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from fen ecosystems were significantly higher than those from bog, consistent with the significant emissions recorded at the Forbonnet. In addition, despite the site being located in a mountainous environment (840 m a.s.l.), the high CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were more consistent with its temperate location (N47°, mean annual  $T_{air}$  = 7°C). For instance, Ueyama and others (2020) found, in a temperate bog (N43°, 16 m a.s.l) with comparable annual air temperature (mean = 7.2  $\pm$  0.6 °C), annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

### Ecosystems

between 13 and 19 gC m<sup>-2</sup>. Beyond latitudinal and climatic influences, FCH4 budget seems therefore
highly site-dependent (Abdalla and others 2016).

Along the two and a half years of study, FCH4 presented a diurnal cycle with higher values at night, and lower values during the day, the minimum being reached around noon (Fig. 3). This result is consistent with other temperate and boreal peatlands that showed similar shape of FCH4 diurnal cycle (Yavitt and others 1990; Mikkelä and others 1995; Waddington and others 1996; Dooling and others 2018; Ueyama and others 2020). However, Nadeau and others (2013), in a boreal bog, or Greenup and others (2000) in a temperate bog, did not find such a clear daily variation. Beyond, Long and others (2009), focusing on summer periods in a boreal fen, measured no diurnal variations in June while the July month (peak of growing season) showed higher FCH4 in daytime of about 20 nmol s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>. Consistent with this diurnal pattern, Knox and others (2021) observed distinct daytime/nighttime FCH4 in 12 peatlands (four fen, four marshes, three rice paddies, one swamp and one bog) from the FLUXNET network dataset. These contrasted observations highlight the need to better constraint the variables controlling the diurnal FCH4 cycles in peatlands at various temporal scales. In particular, the shape of the diurnal cycle measured in this work highlights the need to distinct processes that may decrease FCH4 during daytime to those that may contrariwise increase nighttime FCH4. In this perspective, we discuss in the following, the possible role of hydrological, thermal and photosynthetic processes on FCH4.

## 4.2 WTD influence on FCH4

The respective thickness of the shallower aerobic and the deeper anaerobic peat layers is driven by the WTD variations (Sundh and others 1995; Turetsky and others 2008). In the present study, WTD, remained at an average of -0.12 m, with occasional and relatively limited seasonal variations (minimum of -0.29, -0.31 and -0.18 m depths in the summers of 2019, 2020 and 2021, respectively, Fig. 1C). In parallel, at the interannual scale, FCH4 is positively correlated with WTD. This is illustrated in summer (July to September) during which both WTD and FCH4 were significantly higher in 2021 than

in 2019 and 2020 (*p*-value < 0.05). Christensen and others (2003) and Knox and others (2021) suggested</li>
that CH<sub>4</sub> emission response to WTD variations mainly occurs for large WTD drops like those occurring
during extreme events like drought. Consequently, as the WTD cannot fully explain the FCH4 variability
at seasonal scale, one must consider others seasonal processes such as thermal conditions and
photosynthetic activity cycles.

# 

# 4.3 Temperature influence on microbiological and physical controls on FCH4

Seasonal variations in CH<sub>4</sub> emissions at the Forbonnet featured higher emissions in summer, and lower fluxes during the remaining part of the year, similar to emission variability observed in temperate-boreal peatlands exhibiting a distinct seasonal pattern of temperature (Lai 2009; Ueyama and others 2020; Knox and others 2021) (Fig. 1A-E). However, the lowest and punctually even negative FCH4 occurred during spring while they were expected to occur during winter, when  $T_{soil}$  in the anaerobic peat layer is at its minimum, hence limiting biological activity (Fig. 1A-E, Dunfield and others 1993; van Winden and others 2012).

As FCH4 results from the balance between methanogenesis and methanotrophy, negative values are expected to occur when  $CH_4$  oxidation surpasses its production. Hence, considering the acrotelm-catotelm model (Ingram 1978), relevant at the Forbonnet (Bertrand and others 2021; Lhosmot and others 2021), this suggests that the peat profile may, at the seasonal scale, be conceptualized as a two stacked biogeochemical reactor with reverse carbon dynamics due to contrasted redox conditions. First, the deep (catotelmic) anaerobic peat is expected to support CH<sub>4</sub> production in reduced conditions (Granberg and others 1997; Stepniewska and Goraj, 2014). This is consistent with a CH<sub>4</sub> production highlighted at the Forbonnet by Lhosmot and others (2022) (under review) based on the observed  $\delta^{13}$ C enrichment of the dissolved inorganic carbon in the catotelmic compartment. Second, the superficial, *i.e.* acrotelmic, peat layer is expected to support CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation due to a more variable waterlogging column favoring oxic conditions that shelter methanotrophs

#### Ecosystems

338 (Andersen and others 2013). This vertical delineation implies that the superficial methanotrophic peat 339 reactor is stronger than the deep methanogenic reactor earlier in spring, due to progressive warming 340 of the peat column from the surface (McKenzie and others 2007). Reversely, in autumn, the catotelm 341 exhibits higher temperatures than the surface as the superficial peat progressively cools down and the 342 deep peat  $CH_4$  production is expected to exceed the superficial  $CH_4$  oxidation (Fig. 1A-E).

This two stacked biogeochemical reactor model agrees with the observed seasonal FCH4 cycle (Fig. 1E) and can be deepened by focusing on the dynamics of nocturnal and daily  $CH_4$  separately (Fig. 5 A-B-C). Hysteresis for FCH4-day-min suggests that surface and aerobic control on FCH4 occurred in addition to deep and anaerobic temperature (25 cm depth) seasonal variation. The impact of day/nighttime surface temperature variation on superficial processes is generally less clear than at the seasonal scale. Indeed, diurnal soil temperature and FCH4 showed positive or negative correlations depending on the considered peatlands (Shannon and others 1996; Long and others 2009; Knox and others 2021). These contrasted observations suggest the combination of a range of processes at the diurnal scale that we propose to review under the light of the observed dynamics at the Forbonnet peatland.

First, the diurnal cycle of T<sub>soil</sub> could play a role on methanotrophs as it is well-established that temperature controls microbial activity (Andersen and others 2013). Lower temperature at night is expected to limit surface methanotrophy (Mikkelä and others 1995). However, the daily peak of surface T<sub>soil</sub> occurred between three to five hours after the daily minimum FCH4, suggesting that in addition to surface temperature other processes control daytime FCH4 cycle. In contrast, as temperature at depth below 25 cm showed very limited diurnal variations (on average lower than 0.1°C), this may favor a constant CH<sub>4</sub> production at the diurnal scale. Therefore, at the diurnal cycle, catotelmic thermal stability and superficial thermal variations likely favor constant methanogenesis and variable methanotrophy.

Second, we observed a negative and significant linear regression between FCH4 and H (Fig. 8), the highest H values occurring during nighttime. Koebsch and others (2015) and Godwin and others (2013) reported that as far as the radiation heat maintains the water thermal stratification, this would favor  $CH_4$  trapping below the surface. In contrast, at night, water releases sensible heat leading to convective mixing and associated  $CH_4$  emissions. Therefore, the diurnal variation of the vertical thermal gradient can increase nighttime FCH4 due to changing physical conditions controlling  $CH_4$ transfer.

### 4.4 A photosynthesis control over FCH4?

The diurnal FCH4 variability also showed a positive relationship with NEE. Notably, we observed an outstanding co-occurrence of negative NEE and FCH4 at daytime (Fig. 6). This suggests that plant activity may significantly influence CH<sub>4</sub> balance. Plant influence was previously reported (Greenup and others 2000; Dooling and others 2018; Knox and others 2021). Nevertheless, a great range of peatland FCH4 evaluation such as those reported by Long and others (2009), Knox and others (2021) or Rey-Sanchez and others (2019) rather highlight greater FCH4 at daytime and interpreted this pattern as the effect of vascular plant aerenchymatous tissues favoring CH<sub>4</sub> transfer to the atmosphere. They also found that LE, a proxy of plant activity and of CH<sub>4</sub> transport through plant tissues (Knox and others 2021), is positively correlated to FCH4. 

Even though such a process is not detectable in our study as FCH4 was lower during the day and negatively correlated with LE (Fig. 7), it is not excluded that the presence of vascular plants participates to FCH4 diurnal cycle. Vascular plant photosynthesis produces labile organic matter, e.g. acetate, that is transferred through the root system and used as substrate for methanogenesis (Rovira 1969; Whiting and others 1991; Ström and others 2003; Leroy and others 2017; Waldo and others 2019; Mitra and others 2020). Various studies showed that these root exudates are transformed into CH<sub>4</sub> within 24 hours (Ström and others 2003; Mitra and others 2020; Knox and others 2021). This is consistent with the diurnal FCH4 observed in our study. However, this time lag may be variable and 

dependent of plant species (Ström and others 2003). While Knox and others (2021) showed a lag time from one to four hours between the peak of green primary production (GPP) and the peak of  $CH_4$ emissions, King and Reeburgh (2002) and Ström and others (2003) showed that CH₄ emitted from root exudates began after two hours and may reach a peak between three and seven days.

In contrast to a possible positive role of photosynthesis on nighttime FCH4, but presumably limited because of the low abundance of vascular plant, plant photosynthetic activity may limit daytime FCH4 as oxygen ( $O_2$ ) penetration depth within the peat column increases during daytime (King 1990; Nedwell and Watson 1995; Frenzel and Karofeld 2000). This photosynthetic  $O_2$  may then be used by methanotrophs to oxidize CH<sub>4</sub>. In peatlands where vascular plants are not dominant such as at the Forbonnet, various organisms are known to be purveyors of  $O_2$  by photosynthesis, essentially mosses, phototrophs (e.g. microalgae, cyanobacteria) and mixotrophic protists (e.g. ciliates) (Hamard and others 2021). Therefore, extrinsic microbial photosynthetic activity could be an important source of  $O_2$ in the superficial peat pore water and contribute to limit daytime FCH4. This hypothesis is reinforced by Song and others (2016) who showed at the Forbonnet that the first centimeters of Sphagnum were mainly covered by mixotrophs, and that phototrophs accounted for 19 % of the microbial biomass. More generally, Hamard and others (2021) estimated that approximately 10 % of the peatland's net primary O<sub>2</sub> production is due to this extrinsic superficial microbial photosynthesis. In addition, CH<sub>4</sub> may be directly oxidized within Sphagnum hyaline cells, where endosymbiotic methanotrophs were found to be active in both submerged or aerated conditions, providing up to 20% of the carbon used by the host plant (Kostka and others 2016; Raghoebarsing and others 2005). Consistently, Parmentier and others (2011) found in a Siberian peatland that this intrinsic symbiosis may result in a decline of 50 % of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in a Sphagnum dominated area compared to an area without Sphagnum based on chamber measurements operated during one summer. Similar results were recently found in mesocosm experiments showing the positive effect of photosynthesis on CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation by Sphagnum (Kox and others 2020). As a result, at the Forbonnet, it is therefore hypothesized that the photosynthesis has a twofold impact on FCH4. Firstly, a limiting constraint on FCH4 associated with 

413 Sphagnum and mixotroph photosynthesis coupled to CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation and, secondly, a nighttime FCH4
414 increase associated with root exudates production of substrates for methanogenesis.

At the seasonal scale, the relationship between FCH4 and both NEE and LE was the strongest at the end of winter and spring (March to May, Figs. 6 and 7), suggesting a stronger photosynthetic control at that period. Accordingly, Campbell and Rydin (2019) and Moore and others (2006) showed that Sphagnum mosses stay photosynthetically active in winter and that the increase of photosynthesis at spring is initiated by bryophytes, respectively. In the same perspective, Korrensalo and others (2017) reported that Sphagnum photosynthesis was the highest during spring in an ombrotrophic peatland located in southern Finland. In addition, from March to May the period is generally wetter than the following summer (Fig. 1C). The photosynthesis derived  $O_2$  might then be the overriding factor controlling O<sub>2</sub> availability and therefore CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation. Consistent with a greater spring activity, summer and autumn months featured a weaker relationship between FCH4 and both NEE and LE. During summer, hot, dry and high PPDF conditions can induce photoinhibition of plants and in particular of Sphagnum whose primary production respond negatively to a decrease of the volumetric water content and increase of soil temperature (Murray and others 1993; Bragazza 2008; Norby and others 2019). Considering these elements, it is expected that Sphagnum photosynthesis and associated role on  $CH_4$  dynamic becomes more impacted by the increase of droughts and heatwaves frequency and intensity related to climate change (IPCC 2022).

### 4.5 Toward a conceptual model of FCH4 dynamic

The discussion proposed in the previous sections allows delineating an updated conceptual model synthetizing the biotic and abiotic controls on FCH4 in *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands (Fig. 9). First, it was shown in the section 4.2 that interannual variation of WTD is negatively correlated to FCH4 and controls the sizes of the aerobic and anaerobic peat layers. Second, at the seasonal scale (section 4.3), the mean nocturnal FCH4 variability is tightly linked to the anaerobic soil temperature (25 cm depth), suggesting a seasonal control over  $CH_4$  production (Fig. 5A). However, due to the thermic

#### Ecosystems

inertia of peat, the fastest increase of peat temperature in the aerobic layer at the end of the winter stimulates methanotrophic activity at the expense of methanogenic activity. This allows to explain the high density of negative FCH4 from March to May. Then, in addition to the above-mentioned abiotic controls, the links of photosynthesis indicators (PPFD, LE, NEE) with diurnal and seasonal FCH4, and especially its limitation (sections 4.4), suggest that, photosynthesis associated to *Sphagnum* and microbiological activity might be conceptualized as a third compartment partially driving FCH4 through its own dynamic.

# 5 Conclusions and perspectives

This work investigated temporal variabilities of FCH4 between a temperate and mid- altitude transitional mire and the atmosphere through eddy-covariance measurements during two and half consecutive years. The site was an annual source of CH<sub>4</sub> whose emissions were controlled by a range of parameters respectively acting predominantly at contrasted time scales. In particular, our work highlights an outstanding role of photosynthesis activating daytime methanotrophy that clearly contrasts with sites where aerenchymatous plants dominated and where greater daytime FCH4 are common.

In addition, the majority of diurnal FCH4 found in literature were measured only in summer
months or during the growing season. Here we measured the diurnal FCH4 including the dormant stage
of vegetation and evidenced complex seasonal variations. Thus, the proposed conceptual model is (Fig.
9), to our knowledge, the first one to introduce a multi-temporal frame for the FCH4 dynamics at the
ecosystem scale in a temperate *Sphagnum* dominated transitional poor fen.

51458In this framework and in order to better understand the  $CH_4$  balance variability of peatlands,5253459this model especially highlights the further need to (1) study both daytime and nighttime FCH4 to54460improve  $CH_4$  annual budget estimations and  $CH_4$  peatland modeling at global scale (as suggested by56461Dooling and others 2018), and (2) to investigate and quantify the possible concurrent controls of59462microbiological and thermal stability over  $CH_4$  emissions. In addition to the abiotic and biotic controls

delineated in this study, such investigations could provide further drivers to constrains the future
 trajectories of *Sphagnum*-dominated peatlands under increasing climate changes.

# 465 6 Acknowledgements

The authors warmly thank the managers of the Regional Natural Reserve of Frasne-Bouverans for allowing access to the site, in particular Geneviève Magnon. This study is part of the CRITICAL PEAT project funded by the Bourgogne Franche-Comté Region (Acceuil de Nouvelle Equipe de Recherche Agreement n°2019-Y09069), the SNO Tourbières (https://www.sno-tourbieres.cnrs.fr/) and the French Network of Critical Zone Observatories (OZCAR) Network (https://wwwozca r-ri.org/fr/ozcar-observatoires-de- la-zone-critique-applicationset-recherche/) as well as the THERMOPEAT project funded by OSU THETA. The SNO Tourbières observing system was set up thanks to an incentive funding of the French Ministry of Research that allowed pooling together various pre-existing small-scale observation set-ups. The continuity of the field monitoring was made possible by continuous CNRS-INSU funding since 2008. A. Lhosmot benefits from a Ph.D. fellowship of the French Ministry of Research. Finally, the authors warmly thank the subject-matter editor as well as the two anonymous reviewers that provided detailed remarks and suggestions allowing a significant improvement of the manuscript.

# **7 Data availability**

480 Soil-meteorological and piezometers data are available on Zenodo repository
481 (DOI:10.5281/ZENODO.3763342; DOI: 10.5281/ZENODO.3763766). Detailed data are available upon
482 request.

# 483 8 Conflict of Interest

484 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1 2		
- 3 4	485	9 References
5 6 7	486	Abdalla M, Hastings A, Truu J, Espenberg M, Mander Ü, Smith P. 2016. Emissions of methane from
8 9	487	northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future
10 11 12	488	management options. Ecol Evol 6:7080–102.
13 14 15	489	Andersen R, Chapman SJ, Artz RRE. 2013. Microbial communities in natural and disturbed peatlands:
16 17 18	490	A review. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 57:979–94.
19 20	491	Anderson SP, Blum J, Brantley SL, Chadwick O, Chorover J, Derry LA, Drever JI, Hering JG, Kirchner JW,
21 22	492	Kump LR, Richter D, White AE. 2004. Proposed initiative would study Earth's weathering
23 24 25	493	engine. Eos Trans AGU 85:265.
26 27 28	494	Bailly G. 2017. Suivi floristique de la tourbière vivante de Frasne. Troisième passage, année 2017.
29 30 31	495	Conservatoire botanique national de Franche-Comté – Observatoire régional des Invertébrés
32 33	496	Bertrand G, Ponçot A, Pohl B, Lhosmot A, Steinmann M, Johannet A, Pinel S, Caldirak H, Artigue G,
34 35	497	Binet P, Bertrand C, Collin L, Magnon G, Gilbert D, Laggoun-Deffarge F, Toussaint M-L. 2021.
36 37 38	498	Statistical hydrology for evaluating peatland water table sensitivity to simple environmental
39 40	499	variables and climate changes application to the mid-latitude/altitude Frasne peatland (Jura
41 42 43	500	Mountains, France). Science of The Total Environment 754:141931.
44 45	501	Bragazza L. 2008. A climatic threshold triggers the die-off of peat mosses during an extreme heat wave.
46 47 48 40	502	Global Change Biology 14:2688–95.
50 51	503	Briones MJI, Juan-Ovejero R, McNamara NP, Ostle NJ. 2022. Microbial "hotspots" of organic matter
52 53	504	decomposition in temperate peatlands are driven by local spatial heterogeneity in abiotic
54 55 56	505	conditions and not by vegetation structure. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 165:108501.
57 58	506	Buttler A, Robroek BJM, Laggoun-Défarge F, Jassey VEJ, Pochelon C, Bernard G, Delarue F, Gogo S,
59 60	507	Mariotte P, Mitchell EAD, Bragazza L. 2015. Experimental warming interacts with soil moisture

1 2 2		
3 4 5 6 7	508	to discriminate plant responses in an ombrotrophic peatland. Morgan J, editor. J Veg Sci
	509	26:964–74.
8 9	510	Campbell C, Rydin H. 2019. The effects of winter stress on Sphagnum species with contrasting macro-
10 11 12	511	and microdistributions. Journal of Bryology 41:205–17.
13 14 15	512	Chanton JP, Dacey JWH. 1991. Effects of Vegetation on Methane Flux, Reservoirs, and Carbon Isotopic
16 17 18 19	513	Composition. In: Trace Gas Emissions by Plants. Elsevier. pp 65–92.
	514	https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B978012639010050008X. Last accessed
20 21 22	515	05/06/2022
23 24 25	516	Christensen TR, Ekberg A, Ström L, Mastepanov M, Panikov N, Öquist M, Svensson BH, Nykänen H,
26 27	517	Martikainen PJ, Oskarsson H. 2003. Factors controlling large scale variations in methane
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 50 51 52 53 54 55 55	518	emissions from wetlands. Geophys Res Lett 30. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2002GL016848.
	519	Last accessed 15/01/2022
	520	Delarue F, Laggoun-Défarge F, Buttler A, Gogo S, Jassey VEJ, Disnar J-R. 2011. Effects of short-term
	521	ecosystem experimental warming on water-extractable organic matter in an ombrotrophic
	522	Sphagnum peatland (Le Forbonnet, France). Organic Geochemistry 42:1016–24.
	523	Denmead OT. 2008. Approaches to measuring fluxes of methane and nitrous oxide between
	524	landscapes and the atmosphere. Plant Soil 309:5–24.
	525	Dooling GP, Chapman PJ, Baird AJ, Shepherd MJ, Kohler T. 2018. Daytime-only measurements
	526	underestimate CH₄ emissions from a restored bog. Écoscience 25:259–70.
	527	Dunfield P, Knowles R, Dumont R, Moore T. 1993. Methane production and consumption in temperate
	528	and subarctic peat soils: Response to temperature and pH. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
57 58 59 60	529	25:321–6.

### Ecosystems

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
רב בר	
∠∠ วว	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
27	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
16	
-10 //7	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
59 59	
20	
59	
bυ	

Fratini G, Ibrom A, Arriga N, Burba G, Papale D. 2012. Relative humidity effects on water vapour fluxes
 measured with closed-path eddy-covariance systems with short sampling lines. Agricultural
 and Forest Meteorology 165:53–63.

Frenzel P, Karofeld E. 2000. CH4 Emission from a Hollow-Ridge Complex in a Raised Bog: The Role of
CH4 Production and Oxidation. Biogeochemistry 51:91–112.

535 Gaillardet J, Braud I, Hankard F, Anquetin S, Bour O, Dorfliger N, de Dreuzy JR, Galle S, Galy C, Gogo S, 536 Gourcy L, Habets F, Laggoun F, Longuevergne L, Le Borgne T, Naaim-Bouvet F, Nord G, 537 Simonneaux V, Six D, Tallec T, Valentin C, Abril G, Allemand P, Arènes A, Arfib B, Arnaud L, Arnaud N, Arnaud P, Audry S, Comte VB, Batiot C, Battais A, Bellot H, Bernard E, Bertrand C, 538 539 Bessière H, Binet S, Bodin J, Bodin X, Boithias L, Bouchez J, Boudevillain B, Moussa IB, Branger F, Braun JJ, Brunet P, Caceres B, Calmels D, Cappelaere B, Celle-Jeanton H, Chabaux F, 540 541 Chalikakis K, Champollion C, Copard Y, Cotel C, Davy P, Deline P, Delrieu G, Demarty J, Dessert C, Dumont M, Emblanch C, Ezzahar J, Estèves M, Favier V, Faucheux M, Filizola N, Flammarion 542 P, Floury P, Fovet O, Fournier M, Francez AJ, Gandois L, Gascuel C, Gayer E, Genthon C, Gérard 543 544 MF, Gilbert D, Gouttevin I, Grippa M, Gruau G, Jardani A, Jeanneau L, Join JL, Jourde H, Karbou F, Labat D, Lagadeuc Y, Lajeunesse E, Lastennet R, Lavado W, Lawin E, Lebel T, Le Bouteiller C, 545 546 Legout C, Lejeune Y, Le Meur E, Le Moigne N, et al. 2018. OZCAR: The French Network of Critical 547 Zone Observatories. Vadose Zone Journal 17:0.

548 Godwin CM, McNamara PJ, Markfort CD. 2013. Evening methane emission pulses from a boreal 549 wetland correspond to convective mixing in hollows. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 118:994–1005.

Gogo S, Paroissien J, Laggoun-Défarge F, Antoine J, Bernard-Jannin L, Bertrand G, Binet P, Binet S,
 Bouger G, Brossard Y, Camboulive T, Caudal J, Chevrier S, Chiapiuso G, D'Angelo B, Durantez
 P, Flechard C, Francez A, Galop D, Gandois L, Gilbert D, Guimbaud C, Hinault L, Jacotot A, Le
 Moing F, Lerigoleur E, Le Roux G, Leroy F, Lhosmot A, Li Q, Machado da Silva E, Moquet J,

2		
3 4	554	Mora-Gomez J, Perdereau L, Rosset T, Toussaint M. 2021. The information system of the
5 6	555	French Peatland Observation Service: Service National d'Observation Tourbières – a valuable
7 8	556	tool to assess the impact of global changes on the hydrology and biogeochemistry of
9 10 11 12	557	temperate peatlands through long term monitoring. Hydrological Processes:hyp.14244.
13 14	558	Gorham E. 1991. Northern Peatlands: Role in the Carbon Cycle and Probable Responses to Climatic
15 16 17	559	Warming. Ecological Applications 1:182–95.
18 19 20	560	Granberg G, Mikkelä C, Sundh I, Svensson BH, Nilsson M. 1997. Sources of spatial variation in methane
20 21 22	561	emission from mires in northern Sweden: A mechanistic approach in statistical modeling.
23 24 25	562	Global Biogeochem Cycles 11:135–50.
26 27	563	Greenup AL, Bradford MA, McNamara NP, Ineson P, Lee JA. 2000. The role of Eriophorum vaginatum
28 29 30	564	in CH4 flux from an ombrotrophic peatland. Plant and Soil:265–72.
32 33	565	Hamard S, Céréghino R, Barret M, Sytiuk A, Lara E, Dorrepaal E, Kardol P, Küttim M, Lamentowicz M,
34 35	566	Leflaive J, Le Roux G, Tuittila E, Jassey VEJ. 2021. Contribution of microbial photosynthesis to
36 37 38	567	peatland carbon uptake along a latitudinal gradient. J Ecol 109:3424–41.
39 40 41	568	Ingram HAP. 1978. SOIL LAYERS IN MIRES: FUNCTION AND TERMINOLOGY. Journal of Soil Science
42 43 44	569	29:224–7.
45 46	570	IPCC. 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
47 48	571	Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge,
49 50 51	572	United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press
52 53	573	IPCC. 2022. Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working
55 56	574	Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
57 58	575	[H-O Pörtner, DC Roberts, M Tignor, ES Poloczanska, K Mintenbeck, A Alegría, M Craig, S
59 60	576	Langsdorf, S Löschke, V Möller, A Okem, B Rama (eds)].

1 ว		
2 3 4	577	Jacotot A, Bertrand, Guillaume, Toussaint, Marie-Laure, Lhosmot, Alexandre, Gilbert, Daniel, Binet,
5 6	578	Philippe, Gogo, Sébastien, Laggoun-Défarge, Fatima. 2022. Carbon and energy Eddy-
7 8	579	covariance fluxes dataset collected at Frasne peatland (192ha, Jura Mountains, France).
9 10 11	580	https://zenodo.org/record/6025782. Last accessed 10/02/2022
12 13 14	581	Jones E, Oliphant T, Peterson P, and others. 2001. SciPy: Open Source Scientific Tools for Python.
15 16 17	582	http://www.scipy.org
18 19	583	Kim Y, Johnson MS, Knox SH, Black TA, Dalmagro HJ, Kang M, Kim J, Baldocchi D. 2020. Gap-filling
20 21 22	584	approaches for eddy covariance methane fluxes: A comparison of three machine learning
22 23 24	585	algorithms and a traditional method with principal component analysis. Global Change Biology
25 26 27	586	26:1499–518.
28 29 30	587	King GM. 1990. Regulation by light of methane emissions from a wetland. Nature 345:513–5.
31 32 33	588	King J, Reeburgh WS. 2002. A pulse-labeling experiment to determine the contribution of recent plant
34 35	589	photosynthates to net methane emission in arctic wet sedge tundra. Soil Biology and
36 37	590	Biochemistry 34:173–80.
39 40	591	Kljun N, Calanca P, Rotach MW, Schmid HP. 2015. A simple two-dimensional parameterisation for Flux
41 42 43	592	Footprint Prediction (FFP). Geoscientific Model Development 8:3695–713.
44 45 46	593	Knox SH, Bansal S, McNicol G, Schafer K, Sturtevant C, Ueyama M, Valach AC, Baldocchi D, Delwiche K,
47 48	594	Desai AR, Euskirchen E, Liu J, Lohila A, Malhotra A, Melling L, Riley W, Runkle BRK, Turner J,
49 50	595	Vargas R, Zhu Q, Alto T, Fluet-Chouinard E, Goeckede M, Melton JR, Sonnentag O, Vesala T,
51 52	596	Ward E, Zhang Z, Feron S, Ouyang Z, Alekseychik P, Aurela M, Bohrer G, Campbell DI, Chen J,
53 54	597	Chu H, Dalmagro HJ, Goodrich JP, Gottschalk P, Hirano T, Iwata H, Jurasinski G, Kang M,
55 56 57	598	Koebsch F, Mammarella I, Nilsson MB, Ono K, Peichl M, Peltola O, Ryu Y, Sachs T, Sakabe A,
57 58 59 60	599	Sparks JP, Tuittila E, Vourlitis GL, Wong GX, Windham-Myers L, Poulter B, Jackson RB. 2021.
00		

600 Identifying dominant environmental predictors of freshwater wetland methane fluxes across
601 diurnal to seasonal time scales. Glob Change Biol 27:3582–604.

Knox SH, Jackson RB, Poulter B, McNicol G, Fluet-Chouinard E, Zhang Z, Hugelius G, Bousquet P, Canadell JG, Saunois M, Papale D, Chu H, Keenan TF, Baldocchi D, Torn MS, Mammarella I, Trotta C, Aurela M, Bohrer G, Campbell DI, Cescatti A, Chamberlain S, Chen J, Chen W, Dengel S, Desai AR, Euskirchen E, Friborg T, Gasbarra D, Goded I, Goeckede M, Heimann M, Helbig M, Hirano T, Hollinger DY, Iwata H, Kang M, Klatt J, Krauss KW, Kutzbach L, Lohila A, Mitra B, Morin TH, Nilsson MB, Niu S, Noormets A, Oechel WC, Peichl M, Peltola O, Reba ML, Richardson AD, Runkle BRK, Ryu Y, Sachs T, Schäfer KVR, Schmid HP, Shurpali N, Sonnentag O, Tang ACI, Ueyama M, Vargas R, Vesala T, Ward EJ, Windham-Myers L, Wohlfahrt G, Zona D. 2019. FLUXNET-CH4 Synthesis Activity: Objectives, Observations, and Future Directions. Bull Amer Meteor Soc 100:2607–32.

# Koebsch F, Jurasinski G, Koch M, Hofmann J, Glatzel S. 2015. Controls for multi-scale temporal variation in ecosystem methane exchange during the growing season of a permanently inundated fen. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 204:94–105.

615 Korrensalo A, Alekseychik P, Hájek T, Rinne J, Vesala T, Mehtätalo L, Mammarella I, Tuittila E-S. 2017.
 616 Species-specific temporal variation in photosynthesis as a moderator of peatland carbon
 4 617 sequestration. Biogeosciences 14:257–69.

Kostka JE, Weston DJ, Glass JB, Lilleskov EA, Shaw AJ, Turetsky MR. 2016. The Sphagnum microbiome:
new insights from an ancient plant lineage. New Phytol 211:57–64.

Kox MAR, van den Elzen E, Lamers LPM, Jetten MSM, van Kessel MAHJ. 2020. Microbial nitrogen
fixation and methane oxidation are strongly enhanced by light in Sphagnum mosses. AMB Expr
10:61.

2 3 4	623	Lai DYF. 2009. Methane Dynamics in Northern Peatlands: A Review. Pedosphere 19:409–21.
5 6 7	624	Leroy F, Gogo S, Guimbaud C, Bernard-Jannin L, Hu Z, Laggoun-Défarge F. 2017. Vegetation
8 9	625	composition controls temperature sensitivity of CO2 and CH4 emissions and DOC
10 11 12	626	concentration in peatlands. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 107:164–7.
13 14 15	627	Lhosmot A, Collin L, Magnon G, Steinmann M, Bertrand C, Stefani V, Toussaint M, Bertrand G. 2021.
16 17	628	Restoration and meteorological variability highlight nested water supplies in middle
18 19 20	629	altitude/latitude peatlands: Towards a hydrological conceptual model of the Frasne peatland,
20 21	630	Jura Mountains, France. Ecohydrology 14.
22 23 24	631	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/eco.2315. Last accessed 04/06/2021
25 26 27	632	Lhosmot A, Steinmann M, Binet P, Gandois L, Moquet J-S, Stefani V, Toussaint M-L, Boetsch A, Loup C,
28 29	633	Essert V, Bertrand G. in review. Origin and fate of dissolved inorganic carbon in a karst
30 31 32	634	groundwater fed peatland using $\delta$ 13CDIC. Chemical Geology.
33 34	635	Li Q, Gogo S, Leroy F, Guimbaud C, Laggoun-Défarge F. 2021. Response of Peatland CO2 and CH4 Fluxes
35 36	626	to Experimental Warming and the Carbon Balance, Front Earth Sci 0:621268
37 38	030	to Experimental warming and the carbon balance. From Larth Sci 5.051508.
39 40	637	Loisel J, Gallego-Sala AV, Amesbury MJ, Magnan G, Anshari G, Beilman DW, Benavides JC, Blewett J,
41 42	638	Camill P, Charman DJ, Chawchai S, Hedgpeth A, Kleinen T, Korhola A, Large D, Mansilla CA,
43 44 45	639	Müller J, van Bellen S, West JB, Yu Z, Bubier JL, Garneau M, Moore T, Sannel ABK, Page S,
46 47	640	Väliranta M, Bechtold M, Brovkin V, Cole LES, Chanton JP, Christensen TR, Davies MA, De
48 49	641	Vleeschouwer F, Finkelstein SA, Frolking S, Gałka M, Gandois L, Girkin N, Harris LI, Heinemeyer
50 51	642	A, Hoyt AM, Jones MC, Joos F, Juutinen S, Kaiser K, Lacourse T, Lamentowicz M, Larmola T,
52 53	643	Leifeld J, Lohila A, Milner AM, Minkkinen K, Moss P, Naafs BDA, Nichols J, O'Donnell J, Payne
55 56	644	R, Philben M, Piilo S, Quillet A, Ratnayake AS, Roland TP, Sjögersten S, Sonnentag O, Swindles
57 58	645	GT, Swinnen W, Talbot J, Treat C, Valach AC, Wu J. 2021. Expert assessment of future
59 60	646	vulnerability of the global peatland carbon sink. Nat Clim Chang 11:70–7.

1 2		
- 3 4	647	Long KD, Flanagan LB, Cai T. 2009. Diurnal and seasonal variation in methane emissions in a northern
5 6 7	648	Canadian peatland measured by eddy covariance. Global Change Biology 16:2420–2435.
8 9	649	Mauder M, Cuntz M, Drüe C, Graf A, Rebmann C, Schmid HP, Schmidt M, Steinbrecher R. 2013. A
10 11 12	650	strategy for quality and uncertainty assessment of long-term eddy-covariance measurements.
13 14 15	651	Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 169:122–35.
16 17	652	Mauder M, Foken T. 2011. Documentation and Instruction Manual of the Eddy-Covariance Software
18 19 20	653	Package TK3 (Project report, research report, survey).
21 22 23	654	McKenzie JM, Siegel DI, Rosenberry DO, Glaser PH, Voss CI. 2007. Heat transport in the Red Lake Bog,
23 24 25 26	655	Glacial Lake Agassiz Peatlands. Hydrol Process 21:369–78.
27 28	656	Mikkelä C, Sundh I, Svensson BH, Nilsson M. 1995. Diurnal Variation in Methane Emission in Relation
29 30	657	to the Water Table, Soil Temperature, Climate and Vegetation Cover in a Swedish Acid Mire.
31 32 33	658	Biogeochemistry 28:93–114.
34 35 36	659	Mitra B, Minick K, Miao G, Domec J-C, Prajapati P, McNulty SG, Sun G, King JS, Noormets A. 2020.
37 38	660	Spectral evidence for substrate availability rather than environmental control of methane
39 40 41	661	emissions from a coastal forested wetland. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 291:108062.
42 43	662	Moore TR, Lafleur PM, Poon DMI, Heumann BW, Seaquist JW, Roulet NT. 2006. Spring photosynthesis
44 45 46 47	663	in a cool temperate bog. Global Change Biology 12:2323–35.
47 48 49	664	Morin TH. 2019. Advances in the Eddy Covariance Approach to CH4 Monitoring Over Two and a Half
50 51 52	665	Decades. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 124:453–60.
53 54	666	Murray KJ, Tenhunen JD, Nowak RS. 1993. Photoinhibition as a control on photosynthesis and
55 56 57 58 59 60	667	production of Sphagnum mosses. Oecologia 96:200–7.

1 2		
2 3 4	668	Nadeau DF, Rousseau AN, Coursolle C, Margolis HA, Parlange MB. 2013. Summer methane fluxes from
5 6	669	a boreal bog in northern Quebec, Canada, using eddy covariance measurements. Atmospheric
7 8 9	670	Environment 81:464–74.
10 11 12	671	Nedwell DB, Watson A. 1995. CH4 production, oxidation and emission in a U.K. ombrotrophic peat bog:
13 14 15	672	Influence of SO42– from acid rain. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27:893–903.
16 17	673	Norby RJ, Childs J, Hanson PJ, Warren JM. 2019. Rapid loss of an ecosystem engineer: Sphagnum
18 19 20	674	decline in an experimentally warmed bog. Ecol Evol 9:12571–85.
21 22 23	675	Papale D, Reichstein M, Aubinet M, Canfora E, Bernhofer C, Kutsch W, Longdoz B, Rambal S, Valentini
24 25	676	R, Vesala T, Yakir D. 2006. Towards a standardized processing of Net Ecosystem Exchange
26 27	677	measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and uncertainty estimation.
28 29 30	678	Biogeosciences 3:571–83.
31 32 33	679	Parmentier FJW, van Huissteden J, Kip N, Op den Camp HJM, Jetten MSM, Maximov TC, Dolman AJ.
34 35	680	2011. The role of endophytic methane-oxidizing bacteria in submerged Sphagnum in
36 37 38	681	determining methane emissions of Northeastern Siberian tundra. Biogeosciences 8:1267–78.
39 40	682	Raghoebarsing AA, Smolders AJP, Schmid MC, Rijpstra WIC, Wolters-Arts M, Derksen J, Jetten MSM,
41 42 43	683	Schouten S, Sinninghe Damsté JS, Lamers LPM, Roelofs JGM, Op den Camp HJM, Strous M.
43 44 45	684	2005. Methanotrophic symbionts provide carbon for photosynthesis in peat bogs. Nature
46 47 48	685	436:1153–6.
49 50	686	Rey-Sanchez C, Bohrer G, Slater J, Li Y-F, Grau-Andrés R, Hao Y, Rich VI, Davies GM. 2019. The ratio of
51 52	687	methanogens to methanotrophs and water-level dynamics drive methane transfer velocity in
53 54 55	688	a temperate kettle-hole peat bog. Biogeosciences 16:3207–31.
50 57 58	689	Riutta T, Korrensalo A, Laine AM, Laine J, Tuittila E-S. 2020. Interacting effects of vegetation
59 60	690	components and water level on methane dynamics in a boreal fen. Biogeosciences 17:727–40.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
∠ I วว	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
27	
J∠ 22	
22	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
11	
 1 E	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
50	
5/	
58	

60

1

Rosset T, Gandois L, Le Roux G, Teisserenc R, Durantez Jimenez P, Camboulive T, Binet S. 2019. Peatland
 Contribution to Stream Organic Carbon Exports From a Montane Watershed. J Geophys Res
 Biogeosci 124:3448–64.

694 Rovira AD. 1969. Plant Root Exudates. Botanical Review 35:35–57.

# Rubel F, Brugger K, Haslinger K, Auer I. 2017. The climate of the European Alps: Shift of very high resolution Köppen-Geiger climate zones 1800–2100. metz 26:115–25.

697 Salmon E, Jégou F, Guenet B, Jourdain L, Qiu C, Bastrikov V, Guimbaud C, Zhu D, Ciais P, Peylin P, Gogo 698 S, Laggoun-Défarge F, Aurela M, Bret-Harte MS, Chen J, Chojnicki BH, Chu H, Edgar CW, 699 Euskirchen ES, Flanagan LB, Fortuniak K, Holl D, Klatt J, Kolle O, Kowalska N, Kutzbach L, Lohila A, Merbold L, Pawlak W, Sachs T, Ziemblińska K. 2021. Assessing methane emissions for 700 701 northern peatlands in ORCHIDEE-PEAT revision 7020. Biogeosciences 702 https://gmd.copernicus.org/preprints/gmd-2021-280/. Last accessed 06/01/2022

703 Saunois M, Stavert AR, Poulter B, Bousquet P, Canadell JG, Jackson RB, Raymond PA, Dlugokencky EJ, 704 Houweling S, Patra PK, Ciais P, Arora VK, Bastviken D, Bergamaschi P, Blake DR, Brailsford G, 705 Bruhwiler L, Carlson KM, Carrol M, Castaldi S, Chandra N, Crevoisier C, Crill PM, Covey K, Curry 706 CL, Etiope G, Frankenberg C, Gedney N, Hegglin MI, Höglund-Isaksson L, Hugelius G, Ishizawa 707 M, Ito A, Janssens-Maenhout G, Jensen KM, Joos F, Kleinen T, Krummel PB, Langenfelds RL, 708 Laruelle GG, Liu L, Machida T, Maksyutov S, McDonald KC, McNorton J, Miller PA, Melton JR, 709 Morino I, Müller J, Murguia-Flores F, Naik V, Niwa Y, Noce S, O'Doherty S, Parker RJ, Peng C, 710 Peng S, Peters GP, Prigent C, Prinn R, Ramonet M, Regnier P, Riley WJ, Rosentreter JA, Segers 711 A, Simpson IJ, Shi H, Smith SJ, Steele LP, Thornton BF, Tian H, Tohjima Y, Tubiello FN, Tsuruta A, Viovy N, Voulgarakis A, Weber TS, van Weele M, van der Werf GR, Weiss RF, Worthy D, 712 713 Wunch D, Yin Y, Yoshida Y, Zhang W, Zhang Z, Zhao Y, Zheng B, Zhu Q, Zhu Q, Zhuang Q. 2020. 714 The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017. Earth Syst Sci Data 12:1561–623. 59

1 2		
2 3 4	715	Sebacher DI, Harriss RC, Bartlett KB. 1985. Methane Emissions to the Atmosphere Through Aquatic
5 6 7	716	Plants. J environ qual 14:40–6.
8 9	717	Shannon RD, White JR, Lawson JE, Gilmour BS. 1996. Methane Efflux from Emergent Vegetation in
10 11 12	718	Peatlands. The Journal of Ecology 84:239.
13 14 15	719	Shi Y, Wang Z, He C, Zhang X, Sheng L, Ren X. 2017. Using 13C isotopes to explore denitrification-
16 17 18	720	dependent anaerobic methane oxidation in a paddy-peatland. Sci Rep 7:40848.
19 20	721	Smemo KA, Yavitt JB. 2011. Anaerobic oxidation of methane: an underappreciated aspect of methane
21 22 23	722	cycling in peatland ecosystems? Biogeosciences 8:779–93.
24 25 26	723	Song L, Gilbert D, Wu D. 2016. Vertical micro-distribution of microbial communities living in Sphagnum
27 28 29	724	fallax. Aquat Microb Ecol 77:1–10.
30 31 22	725	Stępniewska Z, Goraj W. 2014. Transformation of methane in peatland environments. Forest Research
32 33 34	726	Papers 75:101–10.
35 36 37	727	Ström L, Ekberg A, Mastepanov M, Røjle Christensen T. 2003. The effect of vascular plants on carbon
38 39 40	728	turnover and methane emissions from a tundra wetland. Global Change Biology 9:1185–92.
41 42	729	Sundh I, Mikkelä C, Nilsson M, Svensson BH. 1995. Potential aerobic methane oxidation in a Sphagnum-
43 44 45	730	dominated peatland—Controlling factors and relation to methane emission. Soil Biology and
46 47 48	731	Biochemistry 27:829–37.
48 49 50	732	Turetsky MR, Kotowska A, Bubier J, Dise NB, Crill P, Hornibrook ERC, Minkkinen K, Moore TR, Myers-
51 52	733	Smith IH, Nykänen H, Olefeldt D, Rinne J, Saarnio S, Shurpali N, Tuittila E-S, Waddington JM,
53 54	734	White JR, Wickland KP, Wilmking M. 2014. A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern,
55 56 57 58 59 60	735	temperate, and subtropical wetlands. Glob Change Biol 20:2183–97.

3 ⊿	736	Turetsky MR, Treat CC, Waldrop MP, Waddington JM, Harden JW, McGuire AD. 2008. Short-term
4 5		
6	737	response of methane fluxes and methanogen activity to water table and soil warming
7 8 9	738	manipulations in an Alaskan peatland. J Geophys Res 113:1–15.
10 11 12	739	Ueyama M, Yazaki T, Hirano T, Futakuchi Y, Okamura M. 2020. Environmental controls on methane
13 14 15	740	fluxes in a cool temperate bog. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 281:107852.
16 17	741	Vitale D, Fratini G, Bilancia M, Nicolini G, Sabbatini S, Papale D. 2020. A robust data cleaning procedure
18 19 20	742	for eddy covariance flux measurements. Biogeosciences 17:1367–91.
21 22 23	743	Vitale D, Papale D, ICOS-ETC Team. 2021. An R Package for Processing and Cleaning Eddy Covariance
24 25 26	744	Flux Measurements. Viterbo, Italy: ICOS-ETC https://github.com/icos-etc/RFlux
27 28	745	Waddington JM, Roulet NT, Swanson RV. 1996. Water table control of CH4 emission enhancement by
29 30 31	746	vascular plants in boreal peatlands. J Geophys Res 101:22775–85.
32 33 34	747	Waldo NB, Hunt BK, Fadely EC, Moran JJ, Neumann RB. 2019. Plant root exudates increase methane
35 36	748	emissions through direct and indirect pathways. Biogeochemistry 145:213–34.
37		
38 39	749	Whiting GJ, Chanton JP, Bartlett DS, Happell JD. 1991. Relationships between CH4 emission, biomass,
40 41 42	750	and CO2 exchange in a subtropical grassland. J Geophys Res 96:13067.
43 44 45	751	Wilczak JM, Oncley SP, Stage SA. 2001. Sonic Anemometer Tilt Correction Algorithms. Boundary-Layer
46 47 48	752	Meteorology 99:127–50.
49 50	753	van Winden JF, Reichart G-J, McNamara NP, Benthien A, Damsté JSSinninghe. 2012. Temperature-
51 52	754	Induced Increase in Methane Release from Peat Bogs: A Mesocosm Experiment. Treseder K,
53 54 55	755	editor. PLoS ONE 7:e39614.
56 57 58	756	Windsor J, Moore TR, Roulet NT. 1992. Episodic fluxes of methane from subarctic fens. Can J Soil Sci
59 60	757	72:441–52.

1		
2	750	
3 4 5	/58	Wutzier T, Lucas-Mottat A, Migliavacca M, Knauer J, Sickel K, Sigut L, Menzer O, Reichstein M. 2018.
5 6	759	Basic and extensible post-processing of eddy covariance flux data with REddyProc.
7 8 9	760	Biogeosciences 15:5015–30.
10 11 12	761	Xu J, Morris PJ, Liu J, Holden J. 2018. PEATMAP: Refining estimates of global peatland distribution
13 14	762	based on a meta-analysis. CATENA 160:134–40.
15 16 17	763	Yavitt JB, Lang GE, Sexstone AJ. 1990. Methane fluxes in wetland and forest soils, beaver ponds, and
18 19 20	764	low-order streams of a temperate forest ecosystem. J Geophys Res 95:22463.
21 22 23	765	Zhang H, Tuittila E, Korrensalo A, Laine AM, Uljas S, Welti N, Kerttula J, Maljanen M, Elliott D, Vesala T,
24 25	766	Lohila A. 2021. Methane production and oxidation potentials along a fen-bog gradient from
26 27	767	southern boreal to subarctic peatlands in Finland. Glob Change Biol 27:4449–64.
27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         90         51         52         53         54	768	
55 56 57 58 59		
00		



A- Monthly mean temperature measured in different compartments of the ecosystem (°C). For T<u>soil</u> only the 2 and 60 cm depths are plotted in Figure 1 to keep figures readable, for intermediate depths, see Supplementary data. B- Hourly PPFD (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). C- Daily mean WTD (m). D- Half-hourly, daily, and monthly NEE measured by eddy covariance (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The horizontal dashed line indicates the zero value. E- Half hourly, daily, and monthly FCH4 measured by eddy covariance (nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The horizontal dashed line indicates the zero value, highlighting the negative FCH4.

382x387mm (236 x 236 DPI)





Monthly mean daily amplitude of FCH4 (nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and soil temperature (°C, 2 cm depth). The vertical error bar corresponds to the standard deviation.

619x371mm (236 x 236 DPI)



FCH4 and PPFD for each month of the year (nmol and  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The vertical error bar represents the standard deviation. The data presented are hourly averages. The horizontal dashed line indicates the zero value.

770x518mm (236 x 236 DPI)



Scatter plot showing FCH4 (nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) function of WTD (monthly mean). The marker color indicates the season and the marker symbol the month of the year. The red linear regression ( $R^2 = 0.61$ ) was calculated for months from July to September. The black linear regression ( $R^2 = 0.33$ ) was calculated for months from January to May.

769x573mm (236 x 236 DPI)



Scatter plots showing the relation between decomposed parts of the diurnal FCH4 cycle and temperature at 25 cm depth (TSoil-25cm). TSoil-25cm was recorded from August 2019 to November 2021. Markers correspond to monthly averages. The color bar indicates the month of the year. The dashed arrows highlight the seasonal pattern of these variables and in particular the hysteresis. A: The vertical axis is the average FCH4 during the night (PPFD below 1 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup).</sup> B: The vertical axis is the average minimum FCH4 of the day. C: The vertical axis is the average daily amplitude of FCH4.

1133x418mm (236 x 236 DPI)



FCH4 function of Net CO2 Ecosystem Exchange (NEE) grouped by each month of the year (nmol and  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> respectively). The data presented are half-hourly averages. The red color corresponds to conditions with PPFD below 1  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Reciprocally, the green color corresponds to conditions with PPFD higher than 1  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The black line is a linear regression including all points of the subplot.

747x514mm (236 x 236 DPI)





FCH4 function of latent heat flux (LE) grouped by each month of the year (nmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and W m<sup>--2</sup> respectively). The data presented are half-hourly averages. The color code refers to the hour (UTC +1) of the measurement. The red line is a linear regression including all points of the subplot. The horizontal dashed line indicates the zero value, highlighting the negative FCH4.

760x443mm (236 x 236 DPI)

200

100

0

-100

300

200

100

0

-100 -100

300

200

100

n

-100

Sensible heat flux (H, W m<sup>-2</sup>)

FCH4 function of sensible heat flux (H) grouped by each month of the year (nmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  and W  $m^{-2}$ 

respectively). The data presented are half-hourly averages. The red color corresponds to conditions with

PPFD below 1  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Reciprocally, the green color corresponds to conditions with PPFD higher than 1

 $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The black line is a linear regression including all points of the subplot.

752x501mm (236 x 236 DPI)

0 100 200

ò

Nov

Feb

PPFD < 1 μmol s<sup>-1</sup>
PPFD > 1

R<sup>2</sup>=0.89 p-value = 0.000

0

June

Oct

100

=0.52 value = 0.000

R<sup>2</sup>=0.60 p-value = 0.000

0 2019

o 2020

△ 2021

300

200

100

0

-100

300

200

100

0

-100

300

200

100

0

-100

0 100 200

-100

-100

0 100 200

Jan

-50 0

May

Sept.

R<sup>2</sup>=0.69

50

R<sup>2</sup>=0.83 p-value = 0.000

R<sup>2</sup>=0.39 p-value = 0.000

300

200

100

0

-150 -100

-100

300

200

100

0

-100 0 100 200 300

-100

300

200

100

0

0 100 200

-100

FCH4 (nmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )

March

100

July

R<sup>2</sup>=0.93 p-value = 0.000

200

R<sup>2</sup>=0.56 p-value = 0.000

100

<sup>2</sup>=0.51 -value = 0.000 April

100

August

100

Dec

R<sup>2</sup>=0.91 p-value = 0.000

200

200

R<sup>2</sup>=0.57 p-value = 0.000

ò

R<sup>2</sup>=0.49 p-value = 0.000

300

200

100

0

-100

300

200

100

0

'n

-100

-100

300

200

100

0

-100





Conceptual model showing CH4 dynamic according to biotic and abiotic seasonal and diurnal variations.

806x320mm (236 x 236 DPI)