

### Palaeo-environmental study of two charcoal-hearth soil sequences in the Nortern Vosges mountains (Bitche, France)

Anne Gebhardt, Anne Poszwa, Vincent Robin, Vrydaghs Luc, Laurence Mansuy-Huault

#### ► To cite this version:

Anne Gebhardt, Anne Poszwa, Vincent Robin, Vrydaghs Luc, Laurence Mansuy-Huault. Palaeoenvironmental study of two charcoal-hearth soil sequences in the Nortern Vosges mountains (Bitche, France). International Conference (SFE<sup>2</sup> GFÖ EEF) "Ecology & Evolution: New perspectives and societal challenges", Nov 2022, Metz, France. hal-03877762

#### HAL Id: hal-03877762 https://hal.science/hal-03877762v1

Submitted on 29 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# PALAEO-ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY OF TWO CHARCOAL-HEARTH SOIL SEQUENCES **IN THE NORTHERN VOSGES MOUNTAINS (BITCHE, FRANCE)**

Focussing on XVII<sup>th</sup> century charcoal platform soil sequences, this multi-disciplinary study deciphers ecological legacy of historical charcoal production on vosgian acid sandy soils.

# Site presentation

Site context: tabular low mountains (300/400m), steep slopes, wet valleys, red ferrougineous sandstone (Buntsandstein), oceanic current climate with well marked seasons, deciduous mixed woodland (beech, oak, ashes, mapple, pine, spruce), well drained acid siliceous soils (podzols(40%) and entic podzols (10%), ancient glassworkshop, iron melting activities, forges, charcoal production.



Schematic organisation of the charcoal platform : 1. organic layers, 2. charcoal residues, 3. man-made platform, 4. ancient organic horizons, 5. sandstone slope deposit, 6. triasic sandstone, 7. limit of the charcoal platform surface, 8. profiles location, 9. undisturbed bloc samples location. A-B. downslope longitudinal section.



Cham15 CP

## **CURRENT UPHILL REFERENCE (UpH):**

**Podzosol ocrique** (French soil referencial, RP) OL/OFzo: "hemimoder" humus type, **U1: A** eluvial horizon, U2: Bp<sub>h</sub> U3: Bp<sub>s</sub> ] podzolic/spodic horizons,

**U4: D**<sub>si</sub> sandy slope deposit.

<=> Entic podzol (World Reference Base) characterized by a spodic horizon without clear upper eluvial horizon (albic, WRB) on top.



## CHARCOAL PLATFORMS (CP):

Anthroposol archéologique artificiel (RP) OL/OFzo: Hemimoder humus type, U1-U2/Cham6, U1-U2-U3-U4/Cham15: Zar archaeological material (ar) of human origin (Z), **U3/Cham6, U5/Cham15) : Ztr** geological material (tr) of human origin (Z), **U4/Cham6: "A** undisturbed buried organic horizon, U5a/Cham15: ("A) Ztr clumps of organic horizon, U5/Cham6, U6/Cham15: "BP? probable spodic horizon <=> <u>Technosol</u> (World Reference Base, WRB)





- $\rightarrow$  silt coating around grains and charcoals (Scoa) in all units.

Specific observation of the Cps :

- $\rightarrow$  low alcalinization in the charcoal remains,
- $\rightarrow$  quite fresh undisturbed plant residues (Vf) in the upper palaeosol (S1),
- iron/organic (O/Fe) + clay (CI) bands in the upper palaeosol and the dump material units.
- $\rightarrow$  PAH concentrations decrease downwards in UpH and CP profiles,
- $\rightarrow$  no PAHs in the lower layer (S2),
- $\rightarrow$  significant difference in distribution of PAH between UpH and CP profiles
- $\rightarrow$  domination of Naphtalene (Na), Phenantrene (Phen)
  - and Dibenzofuran (DBF) in the CP residual charcoal layers (U1/U2).
- trees phytoliths present in similar proportions,  $\rightarrow$  in the palaeosol CPPhU4 (S1):
- clear analogies with the phytolith record of the modern day vegetation but with a more marked record of grass phytoliths,
- a grass leave covering the sand grains,
- $\rightarrow$  in UpHPhU3: fragmented phytoliths more abundant.

- $\rightarrow$  better preserved and identifiable charcoal in Cham6 than in Cham15,
- $\rightarrow$  the perturbation of the palaeosol in Cham15 broke the charcoal and made them non identifiable,
- $\rightarrow$  the charcoal assemblage of the the platforms and the palaeosol are similar.

## Conclusions

 $\rightarrow$  Low bioactivity induced by soil acidity allows confident interpretation of the different palaeoecological data issued from the CP soil profile.

-> Fragmented phytoliths, silt cover around sand grains and very all charcoal fragment reveal significant slope movements during quaternary sedimentary processes.

 $\rightarrow$  The CP construction was conducted by scraping and dumping soil horizons on the slope forming an inverted profile, and buried well the palaeosol.

- -> The thickness of the IIA horizon of the palaeosol can be related to this last process and/or to past pedogenetic and climatic conditions that prevent organic matter mineralization.
- Compaction of the ancient buried soil by the charcoal platform construction are shown by phytolith leave.
- -> Degraded forest before the charcoal production activities, revealed by higher grass phytoliths frequencies, can result from forestry mismanagement preceding later wood coppicing regulation.
- $\rightarrow$  Charcoal analysis on thin section confirms the forest heterogeneity before the charcoal production activities.
- -> Temporarily soil alcalinization by ash may favour migration of clay, iron and organic material in the form of discontinuous bands in the palaeosol.
- $\rightarrow$  Wood burning produced specific PAHs in the charcoal and tar balls rich upper layers of the Cp,
- $\rightarrow$  HAPs do not migrate deeper in the profile in this acid low bioturbated soils.



Gebhardt Anne<sup>1,2</sup>, Poszwa Anne<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Robin<sup>1</sup>, Vrydaghs Luc<sup>3</sup>, Laurence Mansuy-Huault<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>LIEC UMR 7360, CNRS-Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France ; <sup>2</sup>INRAP ; <sup>3</sup>MARI, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium

