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# ANALYSIS OF SAMPLING METHODS FOR IMAGING A PERIODIC LAYER AND ITS DEFECTS 

YOSRA BOUKARI ${ }^{1}$, HOUSSEM HADDAR ${ }^{2}$ AND NOUHA JENHANI ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

We revisit the differential sampling method introduced in [9] for the identification of a periodic domain and some local perturbation. We provide a theoretical justification of the method that avoids assuming that the local perturbation is also periodic. Our theoretical framework uses functional spaces with continuous dependence with respect to the Floquet-Bloch variable. The corner stone of the analysis is the justification of the Generalized Linear Sampling Method in this setting for a single Floquet-Bloch mode.


## Introduction

We consider in this work the inverse scattering problem for the reconstruction of a local perturbation in unknown periodic layers from near field measurements at fixed frequency. This problem has connections with many practical applications, such as non-destructive testing of photonic structures, antenna arrays... The presence of the perturbation does not allow us to reduce the problem to one-period cell and makes the analysis more challenging. We would like to develop so-called sampling methods to address the inverse problem of identifying the geometry of the defect. For the non perturbed inverse periodic problem we refer to $[25,12,15,16]$ and references therein. For the perturbed case, it is frequently assumed that the periodic background is known a priori. We refer for those cases for instance to [3, 7, 10, 11, 13]. However, for some applications, this information is not available or cannot be obtained in an exact way. This is what we would like to consider in this work. More specifically we would like to study the so-called differential sampling indicator function introduced in [9]. For this algorithm only the periodicity size of the background is assumed to be known a priori. Combining sampling methods for a single Floquet-Bloch mode and the sampling method using the full measurement operator, one is able to design an indicator function that separates the perturbation from the periodic background. However, the analysis in [9] assumes that the defect is also periodic with a larger periodicity (equals to an integer multiple of the background periodicity).

Our goal here is to revisit the theoretical foundations of this method and remove this technical assumption on the defect. In order to do so, we analyze the scattering problem in spaces that include continuity with respect to the Floquet-Bloch variable. This allows for instance to consider the scattering problem at a fixed Floquet-Bloch mode. We first provide the theoretical justification of the so-called Generalized Linear Sampling Method (GLSM) [2, 1] for quasi-periodic incident waves. We remark that although a classical factorization of the near field operator can be obtained in this case, we are not able to apply the abstract framework of the factorization method as introduced in [22]. This is why for the GLSM method seems to be more adapted and this is why the penalty term that we use in our theory is different from the one used in the literature [2, 4]. For the justification of the method we assume that the local perturbation does not intersect the periodic background. The case where this intersection is not empty requires the study of an

[^0]interior transmission problem that has a non standard structure similar to the one considered in [20]. For the sake of conciseness we leave this to future investigations.

The analysis of GLSM for quasi-periodic incident waves is by itself sufficient to derive an indicator function in the spirit of the differential linear sampling method of [9]. The principle consists in observing that we do not change the scattering problem if we redefine the periodicity of the background as an integer multiple of the original periodicity. The differential indicator function is build using a comparison of the GLSM indicator function when we use these different definitions of the periodicity of the background. This method is introduced and analyzed in Section 4.

We also provide a justification of a GLSM method using the whole near field operator associated with point sources. This method needs in particular a specific result related to the denseness of a single layer operator in the space of solutions to the Helmholtz equation that have continuous dependence with respect to the Floquet-Bloch variable. This is what mainly justifies the consideration of the scattering problem in half plane with Dirichlet boundary condition at the interface. Our analysis also assumes that the periodic index of refraction has a positive imaginary part in at least some open domain of the periodic background. We believe that this assumption can be removed using the analysis of the direct problem as in [18, 24]. Considering this case will be subject of future work. For the numerical validation of the GLSM and the differential sampling method we refer to [9, 27, 21].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 is dedicated to the introduction of the direct problems (with point source incident waves or quasi-periodic point source incident waves). In Section 2, we study the GLSM method for quasi-periodic incident waves. Section 3 is dedicated to the analysis of the GLSM for non quasi-periodic incident waves. In the last section we introduce and analyze the differential indicator function for the defect.

## 1. Setting of the direct problem

In this section, we introduce the direct scattering problem for a locally perturbed two dimensional periodic medium and the corresponding quasi-periodic problems.
1.1. The locally perturbed periodic scattering problem. Let $U^{0}$ be the upper half-space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We set $\Omega^{R}:=\mathbb{R} \times[0, R]$ the domain delimited by $\Gamma^{0}:=\mathbb{R} \times\{0\}$ and $\Gamma^{R}:=\mathbb{R} \times\{R\}$, with $R>R_{0}>0$ as shown in Figure 1. Let $n_{p} \in L^{\infty}\left(U^{0}\right)$ be the refractive index with non negative imaginary part, $2 \pi$-periodic with respect to the first component $x_{1}$ such that $n_{p}=1$ outside a $2 \pi$ periodic domain $D^{p}$ included in $\Omega^{R}$. We consider $D:=D^{p} \cup \tilde{D}$ where $\tilde{D}$ is a bounded domain included in $\Omega_{0}^{R}:=[0,2 \pi] \times[0, R]$. We assume that the complement of $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is connected. Let $n \in L^{\infty}\left(U^{0}\right)$ be the perturbed refractive index with non negative imaginary part verifying $n=n_{p}$ outside $\tilde{D}$.
Consider an incident field $v \in L^{2}(D)$, the direct scattering problem we are interested in can be formulated as: seek a scattered field $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ verifying

$$
(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases}\Delta w+k^{2} n w=k^{2}(1-n) v & \text { in } \Omega^{R} \\ w=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T^{R}\left(\left.w\right|_{\Gamma^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

where $T^{R}: H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ is exterior Dirichlet-to-Neumann map defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{R}(\varphi)=\frac{i}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{k^{2}-|\xi|^{2}} e^{i x_{1} \cdot \xi} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) d \xi \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform defined as $\hat{\varphi}(\xi)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i \xi x_{1}} \varphi\left(x_{1}, R\right) d x_{1}$ for $L^{1}$ functions on $\Gamma^{R}$.
Assumption 1.1. Assume that in addition to the assumptions above, the set $\left\{\Im m\left(n_{p}\right)>0\right\}$ is not empty and contains an open subset $\mathcal{O}$ and $\Im m\left(n-n_{p}\right) \geqslant 0$.

Under this assumption the above stated direct scattering problem has been studied in [10] and we hereafter state the main theorem.

Theorem 1.2. If assumption 1.1 holds then there exists a unique solution $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfying $(\mathcal{P})$ and continuously depend on $v \in L^{2}(D)$.
Remark 1.3. Given the solution $w$ to problem $(\mathcal{P})$ we extend $w$ for $\left|x_{2}\right| \geqslant R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i \sqrt{k^{2}-|\xi|^{2}}\left(x_{2}-R\right)} \widehat{w}(\xi, R) d \xi, \quad \text { for } x_{2}>R . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides the solution satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w+k^{2} n w=k^{2}(1-n) v \quad \text { in } U^{0} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scattering problem ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) can be equivalently formulated as (1.2)-(1.3) and the boundary conditions on $\Gamma^{0}$.

Let $\Phi(\cdot, y)$ be the fundamental solution of the homogeneous problem associated with $(\mathcal{P})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\cdot, y):=\frac{i}{4}\left[H_{0}^{(1)}(k|\cdot-y|)-H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k\left|\cdot-y^{\prime}\right|\right)\right], \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y:=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right), y^{\prime}:=\left(y_{1},-y_{2}\right)$ and $y_{2}>0$.
Theorem 1.4. The solution $w \in H_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ of problem $(\mathcal{P})$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=k^{2} \int_{D} \Phi(x, y)(n-1)(w+v)(y) d y . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and let $D^{N}:=\left([-N, N] \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cap D$. Let us define a cut-off function $\chi_{N} \in C^{\infty}\left(U^{0}\right)$ such that $\chi_{N}(y)=1$ for $y \in D^{N}$ and $\chi_{N}(y)=0$ for $y \notin D^{N}$. We set

$$
w_{N}:=k^{2} \int_{D} \Phi(x, y)(n-1)(w+v) \chi_{N}(y) d y \quad \text { for } x \in U^{0}
$$

with $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ being the solution of $(\mathcal{P})$. Using the properties of the volume potentials [5] we deduce that $w_{N} \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta w_{N}+k^{2} w_{N}=k^{2}(1-n)(w+v) \chi_{N}(y) & \text { in } \Omega^{R}  \tag{1.6}\\ w_{N}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\ \frac{\partial w_{N}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T^{R}\left(\left.w_{N}\right|_{\Gamma^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

We set $u_{N}:=w-w_{N}$, then $u_{N} \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies (1.6) with the right hand side of the first equation is replaced by $k^{2}(1-n)(w+v)\left(1-\chi_{N}\right)(y)$. We have

$$
k^{2}(1-n)(w+v)\left(1-\chi_{N}\right) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)
$$

Hence $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} u_{N}=0$ in $H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$. On the other hand, since $(n-1)(w+v)(y) \in L^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and $\Phi(\cdot, y) \in$ $L^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)[6]$, then we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} w_{N}(x)=k^{2} \int_{D} \Phi(x, y)(n-1)(w+v)(y) d y
$$

almost everywhere in $\Omega^{R}$ by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Therefore $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies (1.5) by the uniqueness of the limit.

For the study of the inverse problem we shall consider quasi-periodic solutions obtained by applying the Floquet-Bloch transform to the solution of $(\mathcal{P})$. We will need to restrict the set of admissible solutions to those with some continuity property with respect to the Floquet-Bloch variable. This is the subject of the following subsection.


Figure 1. Sketch of the domain
1.2. The quasi-periodic scattering problem. A function $u$ is called $\xi$-quasi-periodic with period $2 \pi$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ if it verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{1}+2 \pi j, x_{2}\right)=e^{i 2 \pi \xi \cdot j} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In the sequel we shall skip indicating the periodicity length $2 \pi$ since it is kept fixed and periodicity or quasi-periodicity only apply to the first variable $x_{1}$.
In the following we denote by $L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ the set of $\xi$-quasi periodic functions in $L_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and by $H_{\xi}^{m}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ the set of $\xi$-quasi periodic functions in $H_{l o c}^{m}\left(\overline{\Omega^{R}}\right)$. For $m \geqslant 1$ we denote by $\check{H}_{\xi}^{m}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ the subspace of functions in $H_{\xi}^{m}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ that vanish on $\Gamma^{0}$. We define $H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ as the restriction to $\Omega_{0}^{R}$ of functions in $H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$. In order to avoid notation confusion we denote by $H_{\sharp}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ the space $H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ for $\xi=0$. We finally define $H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)$ to be the restriction to $\Gamma_{0}^{R}$ of $\xi$-quasi periodic functions in $H_{l o c}^{s}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$.
Let $\xi \in I:=[0,1]$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{R}:=[0,2 \pi] \times\{R\}$. Consider an incident field $v_{\xi} \in L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$, the quasi-periodic direct scattering problem is formulated as: seek a scattered field $w_{\xi} \in H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ verifying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\Delta w_{\xi}+k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi}=-k^{2}\left(n_{p}-1\right) v_{\xi} & \text { in } \Omega^{R}  \tag{1.8}\\
w_{\xi}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\
\frac{\partial w_{\xi}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T_{\xi}^{R}\left(\left.w_{\xi}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{\xi}^{R}: H_{\xi}^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\xi}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)$ is the exterior quasi-periodic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\xi}^{R}(\varphi)\left(x_{1}\right)=i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{\xi}(j) \hat{\varphi}_{\xi}(j) e^{i \alpha_{\xi}(j) \cdot x_{1}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\xi}(j):=\xi+j, \quad \beta_{\xi}(j):=\sqrt{k^{2}-|\xi+j|^{2}}, \quad \Im m\left(\beta_{\xi}(j)\right) \geqslant 0, \text { for } j \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and $\widehat{\varphi}_{\xi}(j)$ is the j-th Fourier coefficient of $e^{-i \xi x_{1}} \varphi\left(x_{1}, R\right)$ defined as $\widehat{\varphi}_{\xi}(j):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i \alpha_{\xi}(j) x_{1}} \varphi\left(x_{1}, R\right) d x_{1}$. For the norm in $H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)$ we shall use the following definition

$$
\|\varphi\|_{H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+j^{2}\right)^{s}\left|\hat{\varphi}_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}
$$

Multiplying the first equation of (1.8) with $\overline{\psi_{\xi}} \in \check{H}_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$, integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions and the quasi periodicity we obtain the variational formulation given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \nabla w_{\xi} \cdot \nabla \overline{\psi_{\xi}}-k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi} \overline{\psi_{\xi}} d x-\left\langle T_{\xi}^{R} w_{\xi}, \psi_{\xi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}=k^{2} \int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\left(n_{p}-1\right) v_{\xi} \overline{\psi_{\xi}}, \quad \forall \psi_{\xi} \in \check{H}_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}$ refers to the $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)-H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)$ duality product. Using the Riesz representation theorem we can define the operator $A_{\xi}: \check{H}_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \check{H}_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(A_{\xi} w_{\xi}, v_{\xi}\right)_{H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)}:=\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \nabla w_{\xi} \cdot \nabla \overline{\psi_{\xi}}-k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi} \overline{\psi_{\xi}} d x-\left\langle T_{\xi}^{R} w_{\xi}, \psi_{\xi}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} \quad \forall \psi_{\xi}, w_{\xi} \in \check{H}_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.5. Assume that $\left\{\Im m\left(n_{p}\right)>0\right\}$ in a non empty open set of $\Omega_{0}^{R}$, then problem (1.8) is well posed. Moreover, $\left\|A_{\xi}^{-1}\right\| \leqslant c$ with $c$ is a constant independent of $\xi$.

Remark 1.6. Given the solution $w_{\xi}$ to problem (1.8) we extend $w_{\xi}$ for $\left|x_{2}\right| \geqslant R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\xi}(x):=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\widehat{\left.w_{\xi}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}}\right)(j) e^{i \alpha_{\xi}(j) \cdot x_{1}+i \beta_{\xi}(j)\left(x_{2}-R\right)} \quad \text { for } x_{2}>R \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides the solution satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w_{\xi}+k^{2} n w_{\xi}=k^{2}(1-n) v_{\xi} \quad \text { in } U^{0} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scattering problem (1.8) can be equivalently formulated as (1.11)-(1.12) and the boundary conditions on $\Gamma^{0}$.

Defining now for $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U^{0}\right)$ the one dimensional Floquet-Bloch transform as the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J} \phi\left(\xi, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(x_{1}+2 \pi j, x_{2}\right) e^{-i 2 \pi \xi \cdot j}, \quad \xi \in I,\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in U^{0} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the Floquet-Bloch transform is an isomorphism between $H^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ (respectively $H^{s}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ ) and $L^{2}\left(I, H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right)$ (respectively $L^{2}\left(I, H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)\right)$ ). Then, for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$, we denote by

$$
C_{\sharp}^{0, \alpha}\left(I, H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right):=\left\{\varphi \in L^{2}\left(I, H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right) ; e^{-i \xi \cdot x_{1}} \varphi \in C_{\sharp}^{0, \alpha}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

The norm of $\varphi \in C_{\sharp}^{0, \alpha}\left(I, H_{\sharp}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right)$ is defined as

$$
\sup _{\xi \in I}\|\varphi(\xi, \cdot)\|_{H^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)}+\sup _{\xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2} \in I}\left(\frac{\left\|\tilde{\varphi}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdot\right)-\tilde{\varphi}\left(\xi_{2}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{H^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)}}{\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{\alpha}}\right)
$$

with $\tilde{\varphi}:=e^{-i \xi \cdot x_{1}} \varphi$. the space of periodic and $\alpha$ Hölderian functions on $I$ with values in $H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$. We then set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{s, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{R}\right):=\left\{u \in H^{s}\left(\Omega^{R}\right) / \mathcal{J} u \in C_{\sharp}^{0, \alpha}\left(I, H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{s, \alpha}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right):=\left\{u \in H^{s}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) / \mathcal{J} u \in C_{\sharp}^{0, \alpha}\left(I, H_{\xi}^{s}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following theorem complementing the result of Theorem 1.5.
Theorem 1.7. Assume that hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 holds and consider $v \in \tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$. Let $w_{\xi} \in H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ be the solution of (1.8) with $v_{\xi}=(\mathcal{J} v)(\xi, \cdot)$. Then $w=\mathcal{J}^{-1} w_{\xi}:=\int_{I} w_{\xi} d \xi$ belongs to $\tilde{H}^{1, \tilde{\alpha}}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}=\min \left(\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and

$$
\|w\|_{\tilde{H}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)} \leqslant c\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(D^{p}\right)}
$$

with $c$ independent from $v$.
Proof. Set $\tilde{w}_{\xi}:=e^{-i \xi \cdot x_{1}} w_{\xi}$ and $\check{v}_{\xi}=-k^{2}\left(n_{p}-1\right) v_{\xi}$. Then we have $\tilde{w}_{\xi} \in H_{\sharp}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ and verifies

$$
\Delta \tilde{w}_{\xi}=e^{-i \xi \cdot x_{1}} \check{v}_{\xi}-2 i \xi \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{\xi}}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi^{2} \tilde{w}_{\xi}-k^{2} n_{p} \tilde{w}_{\xi} \quad \text { in } \Omega^{R}
$$

Let $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in I$, and set $e:=e^{i \xi_{1} \cdot x_{1}}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{1}}-\tilde{w}_{\xi_{2}}\right)$. Then $e \in H_{\xi_{1}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta e+k^{2} n_{p} e=L_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}} \quad \text { in } \Omega^{R} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$L_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}:=e^{i \xi_{1} \cdot x_{1}}\left[\left(e^{-i \xi_{1} \cdot x_{1}}-e^{-i \xi_{2} x_{1}}\right) v_{\xi_{1}}+e^{-i \xi_{2} \cdot x_{1}}\left(\check{v}_{\xi_{1}}-\check{v}_{\xi_{2}}\right)-2 i\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{\xi_{2}}}{\partial x_{1}}+\left(\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{2}}\right]$.
By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and $\check{v} \in L^{2, \alpha}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ we get the existence of a constant $c>0$ independent from $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)} \leqslant c\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(D^{p}\right)}+\left\|w_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the third equation in (1.8) we have on $\Gamma^{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_{2}}=T_{\xi_{1}}^{R}\left(w_{\xi_{1}}\right)-e^{i\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right) x_{1}} T_{\xi_{2}}^{R}\left(w_{\xi_{2}}\right)=T_{\xi_{1}}^{R}(e)+i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\beta_{\xi_{1}}(j)-\beta_{\xi_{2}}(j)\right) \hat{w}_{\xi_{2}}(j) e^{i \alpha_{\xi_{1}}(j)} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variational formulation of (1.16)-(1.18) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \nabla e \cdot \nabla \bar{\psi}-k^{2} n_{p} e \bar{\psi}-\left\langle T_{\xi_{1}}^{R} e, \psi\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}=\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} L_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}} \bar{\psi} d x-\left\langle g_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}, \psi\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}, \quad \forall \psi \in \check{H}_{\xi_{1}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}:=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\beta_{\xi_{1}}(j)-\beta_{\xi_{2}}(j)\right) \widehat{w}_{\xi_{2}}(j) e^{i \alpha \xi_{\xi_{1}}(j)}$. Using the definition of $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)$ norm in terms of Fourier coefficients we get

$$
\left\|g_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\|_{H_{\xi_{1}}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+|j|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\widehat{w}_{\xi_{2}}(j)\right|^{2} C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}^{2}(j)
$$

with $C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(j):=\frac{\left|\beta_{\xi_{1}}(j)-\beta_{\xi_{2}}(j)\right|}{\left(1+|j|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ such that $\beta_{\xi_{1}}(j)=0$, i.e $k^{2}=\left|\xi_{1}+j\right|^{2}$

$$
C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(j)=\frac{\| j+\left.\xi_{1}\right|^{2}-\left.\left|j+\xi_{2}\right|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}}{\left(1+|j|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \leqslant(k+3)^{1 / 2}\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

since $|j| \leqslant\left|j+\xi_{1}\right|+\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leqslant k+1$. For the case $j=0$, if $\left(k^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right) \leqslant 0$

$$
C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(0)=\left|\sqrt{k^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}}-i \sqrt{\xi_{1}^{2}-k^{2}}\right|=\left|\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2} \leqslant 2\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

while if $\left(k^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(k^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}\right)>0$

$$
C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(0)=\frac{\left|\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right|}{\left|\sqrt{k^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}}+\sqrt{k^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}}\right|^{1 / 2}} \leqslant \sqrt{2}\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

Finally for the case where $k^{2} \neq\left|\xi_{1}+j\right|^{2}$ and $j \neq 0$ we write

$$
C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(j)=\frac{\left|\left|\xi_{2}+j\right|^{2}-\left|\xi_{1}+j\right|^{2}\right|}{\left(1+|j|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mid \sqrt{k^{2}-\left|\xi_{1}+j\right|^{2}}+\sqrt{k^{2}-\left|\xi_{2}+j\right|^{2}}}
$$

Since $\left|\beta_{\xi_{1}}(j)\right|>0$, then there exists $\delta>0$ independent of $j$ such that $\left|\beta_{\xi_{1}}(j)+\beta_{\xi_{2}}(j)\right| \geqslant \delta$, therefore

$$
\sup _{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}, k^{2} \neq\left|\xi_{1}+j\right|^{2}} C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(j) \leqslant \sup _{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}, k^{2} \neq\left|\xi_{1}+j\right|^{2}} \frac{\left|\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right|\left|\xi_{2}+\xi_{1}+2 j\right|}{|j| \delta} \leqslant \frac{4}{\delta}\left|\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right| .
$$

Summarizing, there exist a constant $\beta$ independent from $j, \xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ such that

$$
\sup _{j \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}(j) \leqslant \beta\left|\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}\right\|_{H_{\xi_{1}}^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}^{2} \leqslant \beta^{2}\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|\left\|w_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{H_{\xi_{2}}^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}^{2} . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\left\|w_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{H_{\xi_{2}}^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)} \leqslant c\left\|e^{-i \xi_{2} \cdot x_{1}} w_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{H_{\sharp}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)} \leqslant 2 c\left\|w_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)},
$$

with $c$ being the continuity constant of the trace operator on $H_{\sharp}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$. From Theorem 1.5 we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)} \leqslant \tilde{c}\left\|v_{\xi_{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)} \leqslant\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(D^{p}\right)}, \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}$ is independent of $\xi_{2}$. Applying Theorem 1.5 to the variational formulation (1.19) proves, using (1.17), (1.20) and (1.21), the existence of a constant $c$ independent of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ such that

$$
\|e\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)} \leqslant c\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(D^{p}\right)},
$$

which ends the proof.
Theorem 1.8. Assume that hypothesis 1.1 holds and that $v \in \tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha<1$. Then the solution $w \in H_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ of problem $(\mathcal{P})$ belongs to $\tilde{H}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and

$$
\|w\|_{\tilde{H}^{1, \alpha}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)} \leqslant c\left(\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{2, \alpha}\left(D^{p}\right)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{D})}\right)
$$

with $c$ independent from $v$.
Proof. Let $w_{\xi}:=(\mathcal{J} w)(\xi, \cdot)$. Since the support of $n-n_{p}$ is included in $\Omega_{0}^{R}$, then we have $w_{\xi} \in H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\Delta w_{\xi}+k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi}=-k^{2}\left(n_{p}-1\right) v_{\xi}-k^{2} \mathcal{R}_{\xi}\left(\left(n-n_{p}\right)(w+v)\right) & \text { in } \Omega^{R}, \\
w_{\xi}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0}, \\
\frac{\partial w_{\xi}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T_{\xi}^{R}\left(\left.w_{\xi}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R},
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $v_{\xi}:=(\mathcal{J} v)(\xi, \cdot)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\xi}(\varphi)$ for a function $\varphi$ compactly supported in $\Omega_{0}^{R}$ denotes the extension by $\xi$ quasi periodicity of $\varphi$ to all of $\Omega^{R}$. Indeed $\mathcal{R}_{\xi}(\varphi)=\mathcal{J}\left(\varphi \chi_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\right)$ where $\chi_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}$ indicates the indicator function of the domain $\Omega_{0}^{R}$. We decompose $w_{\xi}$ as

$$
w_{\xi}=w_{\xi}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi},
$$

with $w_{\xi}^{p}$ being the solution of (1.8) and $\check{w}_{\xi}:=w_{\xi}-w_{\xi}^{p}$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\Delta \check{w}_{\xi}+k^{2} n_{p} \check{w}_{\xi}=-k^{2} \mathcal{R}_{\xi}\left(\left(n-n_{p}\right)(w+v)\right) & \text { in } \Omega^{R} \\
\check{w}_{\xi}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\
\frac{\partial \check{w}_{\xi}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T_{\xi}^{R}\left(\left.\check{w}_{\xi}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denoting by $w^{p}:=\mathcal{J}^{-1} w_{\xi}^{p}$. From Theorem 1.7 we have that $w^{p} \in \tilde{H}^{1, \tilde{\alpha}}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{R}_{\xi}((n-$ $\left.\left.n_{p}\right)(w+v)\right) \in C_{\sharp}^{0, \alpha}\left(I, L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)\right)$, then using Theorem 1.7 we deduce that $\check{w}:=\mathcal{J}^{-1} \check{w}_{\xi} \in \tilde{H}^{1, \tilde{\alpha}}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and then $w=w^{p}+\check{w} \in \tilde{H}^{1, \tilde{\alpha}}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$. The estimate follows also from application of Theorem 1.7.

For the sake of studying the inverse problem, for fixed $\xi_{0} \in I$, we consider $v_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$, where

$$
L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D):=\left\{v \in L_{l o c}^{2}(D) /\left.v\right|_{D^{p}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)\right\}
$$

where $L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$ denotes the set of $\xi_{0}$ quasi-periodic functions that are in $L_{l o c}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$. We would like to define a solution $w_{\xi_{0}}$ to problem $(\mathcal{P})$ associated with $v=v_{\xi_{0}}$. Indeed, since $v_{\xi_{0}} \notin L^{2}(D)$, the solution can not be defined as in Theorem 1.2. We rather define the solution in this case as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\xi_{0}}:=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ verifying

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}} & \text { in } \Omega^{R},  \tag{1.23}\\ w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R}, \\ \frac{\partial w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T_{\xi}^{R}\left(\left.w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} ^{R}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R},\end{cases}
$$

and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} n \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(v_{\xi_{0}}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right) & \text { in } \Omega^{R}  \tag{1.24}\\ \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T^{R}\left(\left.\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

The solutions of (1.23) and (1.24) are respectively defined by Theorems 1.5 and 1.8. Multiplying the first equation of (1.24) with $\bar{\psi} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$, integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions we obtain the variational formulation given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega^{R}} \nabla \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\psi}-k^{2} n \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \bar{\psi} d x-\left\langle T^{R} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}, \psi\right\rangle_{\Gamma^{R}}=k^{2} \int_{\Omega^{R}}\left(n-n_{p}\right)\left(v_{\xi_{0}}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \bar{\psi}, \forall \psi \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right),(\right. \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\Gamma^{R}}$ refers to the $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)-H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ duality product.
Remark 1.9. Let $v \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and set $v_{\xi}=\mathcal{J}(v)(\xi, \cdot)$. We define $w_{\xi}^{p} \in H_{\xi}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ verifying (1.23) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi}^{p}=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi}\right)(\xi, \cdot)$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ is the solution of (1.24). Then $w^{p}:=\int_{I} w_{\xi}^{p} d \xi$ is solution to $(\mathcal{P})$ with
$n=n_{p}$ and $\tilde{w}:=\int_{I} \tilde{w}_{\xi}^{p} d \xi \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ is solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \tilde{w}+k^{2} n_{p} \tilde{w}=k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w^{p}+v+\tilde{w}\right) & \text { in } \Omega^{R}  \tag{1.26}\\ \tilde{w}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T^{R}\left(\left.\tilde{w}\right|_{\Gamma^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

Consequently $\tilde{w}+w^{p} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and is the solution of problem $(\mathcal{P})$.

## 2. The inverse problem for Quasi-Periodic incident fields

2.1. Setting for the inverse problem. Consider $\xi_{0} \in I$ fixed, and let $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(x, y):=(\mathcal{J} \Phi(\cdot, y))\left(\xi_{0}, x\right)$ be the $\xi_{0}$-quasi-periodic Green function having the following expression [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(x, y):=\frac{i}{4 \pi} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i \alpha_{\xi_{0}}(j)\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)} \theta_{\xi_{0}}\left(j, x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \quad y_{2}<x_{2}, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\xi_{0}}\left(j, x_{2}, y_{2}\right):=e^{i \beta_{\xi_{0}}(j) x_{2}}\left[\frac{e^{-i \beta_{\xi_{0}}(j) y_{2}}-e^{i \beta_{\xi_{0}}(j) y_{2}}}{\beta_{\xi_{0}}(j)}\right] . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y \in \Gamma_{0}^{R}$. We define $u_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)=w_{\xi_{0}}$ given by (1.22) with $v_{\xi_{0}}=\overline{\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(y, \cdot)} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$. From (1.22) we decompose $u_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)=u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, p}(\cdot, y)+\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)$ with $u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, p}(\cdot, y)=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ solution of $(1.23)$ and $\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ solution of (1.24). We introduce the $\xi_{0}$-quasi periodic near field operator $N_{\xi_{0}}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}(x):=\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi_{0}}(y) u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, p}(x, y) d s(y)+\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi_{0}}(y) \mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)\right)\left(\xi_{0}, x\right) d s(y) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $S_{\xi_{0}}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}(x):=\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi_{0}}(y) \overline{\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(y, x)} d s(y) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, obviously the operator $N_{\xi_{0}}$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\xi_{0}}=G_{\xi_{0}}\left(S_{\xi_{0}}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\xi_{0}}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ is the operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\xi_{0}}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\left.\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ being the solution of (1.23) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ is the solution of (1.24). We observe that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ and verifies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+k^{2} n_{p} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+v_{\xi_{0}}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right) & \text { in } \Omega^{R}  \tag{2.33}\\ \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T_{\xi}^{R}\left(\left.\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right|_{0} ^{R}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R}\end{cases}
$$

Multiplying the first equation of (2.33) with $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}} \in \check{H}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$, integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions we obtain the variational formulation given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \nabla \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \cdot \nabla \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}-k^{2} n_{p} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}} d x-\left\langle T_{\xi}^{R} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}, \psi_{\xi_{0}}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}=k^{2} \int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+v_{\xi_{0}}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right) \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}},( \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\psi_{\xi_{0}} \in \check{H}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$. For later use we decompose $N_{\xi_{0}}=N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ where $N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ and $\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ are respectively defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\xi_{0}}^{p} g_{\xi_{0}}(x):=\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi_{0}}(y) u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, p}(x, y) d s(y), \quad \tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} g_{\xi_{0}}(x):=\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi_{0}}(y) \mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)\right)\left(\xi_{0}, x\right) d s(y) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. The operators $N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ and $\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ can be respectively factorized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=S_{\xi_{0}}^{*} T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} S_{\xi_{0}} \text { and } \tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=S_{\xi_{0}}^{*} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} S_{\xi_{0}}, \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $T_{\xi_{0}}^{p}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$ and $\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$ are respectively defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} v_{\xi_{0}}=k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(v_{\xi_{0}}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right),  \tag{2.37}\\
& \tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} v_{\xi_{0}}=k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+v_{\xi_{0}}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right), \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ being the solution of (1.23) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ is the solution of (1.24).
Proof. The proof of (2.36) is classical and we here outline the main steps. The solution $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ of (1.23) with $v_{\xi_{0}}=S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}$ can be represented as [8]

$$
w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}(x)=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2} \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(x, y)\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right)(y) d y \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega_{0}^{R} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(N_{\xi_{0}}^{p} g_{\xi_{0}}, \tilde{g}_{\xi_{0}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)} & =\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right)(y) \int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(x, y) \overline{\tilde{g}_{\xi_{0}}(x)} d s(x) d y \\
& =\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right)(y) \overline{S_{\xi_{0}} \tilde{g}_{\xi_{0}}(y)} d y \\
& =\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}, S_{\xi_{0}} \tilde{g}_{\xi_{0}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first of factorization in (2.36).
The second factorization is obtained in the same way based on the fact that $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ solution of (2.33) can be represented as

$$
\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2} \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, y)\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}(y) d y+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2} \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, y)\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right) d y .
$$

From Lemma 2.1 we conclude the following factorization

$$
N_{\xi_{0}}=S_{\xi_{0}}^{*} T_{\xi_{0}} S_{\xi_{0}}
$$

with $T_{\xi_{0}}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$ is defined by $T_{\xi_{0}}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=T_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\left(v_{\xi_{0}} \mid D_{D^{p}}\right)+\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)$ or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}=k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+v_{\xi_{0}}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right)+k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+v_{\xi_{0}}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right) . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Some properties of the operators defined in the previous section. In order to study the inverse problem we need to prove some properties of the operators defined in the previous sections.
Lemma 2.2. The operator $S_{\xi_{0}}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$ is injective. The closure of its range is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D):=\left\{v \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D), \Delta v+k^{2} v=0 \text { in } D\right\} . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that $S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $D_{0}$, where $D_{0}:=\Omega_{0}^{R} \cap D$. Using the unique continuation principle we obtain $S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\Omega_{0}^{R}$. Let $U^{R}:=\mathbb{R} \times[R, \infty[$. Using the continuity and regularity of single layer potentials we have that $S_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(U^{R}\right)$, is $\xi_{0}$-quasi periodic, verifies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}=0 & \text { in } U^{R}  \tag{2.41}\\ S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

and the upper going radiation condition (1.11) with $\Gamma^{R}$ replaced by $\Gamma^{R^{\prime}}$ with $R^{\prime}>R$. The uniqueness for this Dirichlet quasi-periodic scattering problem [10] implies that $S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $U^{R}$. Therefore, using the jump relations for the normal derivative of $S_{\xi_{0}}$ we obtain $g_{\xi_{0}}=0$ which proves the injectivety of $S_{\xi_{0}}$. Let $S_{\xi_{0}}^{*}: L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ be the adjoint of $S_{\xi_{0}}$ given by

$$
S_{\xi_{0}}^{*} v_{\xi_{0}}(y):=\int_{D_{0}} \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(y, x) v_{\xi_{0}}(x) d x
$$

Let $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{\text {inc }}(D)$, we set

$$
w_{\xi_{0}}:=\int_{D_{0}} \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, x) v_{\xi_{0}}(x) d x
$$

Using the properties of the volume potential we deduce that $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}=-v_{\xi_{0}} & \text { in } D_{0}  \tag{2.42}\\ \Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{0}^{R} \backslash D_{0} \\ \frac{\partial w_{\xi_{0}}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T_{\xi}^{R}\left(\left.w_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R}\end{cases}
$$

Assume that $w_{\xi_{0}}=0$ on $\Gamma_{0}^{R}$. Then $w_{\xi_{0}}$ vanishes in $U^{R}$. Using the unique continuation principle we obtain that $w_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\Omega_{0}^{R} \backslash D_{0}$. We then have $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{0}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$. Therefore, since $\Delta v_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} v_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{D_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}(y) \overline{\left(\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}\right)}(y) d y=-\left\|v_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}^{2} . \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that $v_{\xi_{0}}=0$ and $S_{\xi_{0}}$ has a dense range in $H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D)$.
For the analysis below we need to assume the well posedness of the following two Interior Transmission Problems (ITP).
(ITP1): Seek $(u, v) \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right) \times L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$ such that $(u-v) \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u+k^{2} n_{p} u=0 & \text { in } D_{0}^{p},  \tag{2.44}\\ \Delta v+k^{2} v=0 & \text { in } D_{0}^{p}, \\ u-v=\varphi & \text { on } \partial D_{0}^{p} \\ \frac{\partial(u-v)}{\partial \nu}=\psi & \text { on } \partial D_{0}^{p},\end{cases}
$$

for given $(\varphi, \psi) \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{3 / 2}\left(\partial D^{p}\right) \times H_{\xi_{0}}^{1 / 2}\left(\partial D^{p}\right)$. The spaces $H_{\xi_{0}}^{m}\left(D^{p}\right)$ and $H_{\xi_{0}}^{s}\left(D^{p}\right)$ are defined similarly to $H_{\xi_{0}}^{m}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and $H_{\xi_{0}}^{s}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$.
(ITP2): Seek $(u, v) \in L^{2}(\tilde{D}) \times L^{2}(\tilde{D})$ such that $(u-v) \in H^{2}(\tilde{D})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u+k^{2} n u=0 & \text { in } \tilde{D},  \tag{2.45}\\ \Delta v+k^{2} v=0 & \text { in } \tilde{D}, \\ u-v=\varphi & \text { on } \partial \tilde{D}, \\ \frac{\partial(u-v)}{\partial \nu}=\psi & \text { on } \partial \tilde{D},\end{cases}
$$

for given $(\varphi, \psi) \in H^{3 / 2}(\partial \tilde{D}) \times H^{1 / 2}(\partial \tilde{D})$.
Assumption 2.3. Assume that $k, n_{p}$ are such as the (ITP1) is well posed.
Assumption 2.4. Assume that $k, n_{p}$ and $n$ are such that (ITP2) is well posed.
Moreover, we need first to prove the following Lemma
Lemma 2.5. For all $v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}, v_{\xi_{0}}^{2} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}, \overline{v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}=\left(T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}, \overline{v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)} . \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $i=1,2$, consider $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i} \in \check{H}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ solution of (1.10) with $\xi=\xi_{0}$ and $v_{\xi}=v_{\xi_{0}}^{i}$. Define $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}:=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{i}\right)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)$ where $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{i} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies (1.24) with $v_{\xi_{0}}=v_{\xi_{0}}^{i}$. We set

$$
w_{\xi_{0}}^{i}:=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}
$$

which verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \nabla w_{\xi_{0}}^{i} \cdot \nabla \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}-k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi_{0}}^{i} \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}} d x-\left\langle T_{\xi}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{i}, \psi_{\xi_{0}}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}=L_{\xi_{0}}^{i}\left(\psi_{\xi_{0}}\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\psi_{\xi_{0}} \in \check{H}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ with

$$
L_{\xi_{0}}^{i}\left(\psi_{\xi_{0}}\right):=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{i} \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}} d y+k^{2} \int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{i}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{i}\right) \overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}
$$

Taking $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}=w_{\xi_{0}}^{2}$ and $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}=w_{\xi_{0}}^{1}$ respectively in the variational formulation satisfied by $w_{\xi_{0}}^{1}$ and $w_{\xi_{0}}^{2}$ we obtain by taking the difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{2}, \overline{w_{\xi_{0}}^{1}}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}-\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{1}, \overline{w_{\xi_{0}}^{2}}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} & =\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{1} w_{\xi_{0}}^{2} d y+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{2} d y \\
& -\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d y-\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{\xi_{0}}^{R}$ is symmetric, the left hand side in the previous equality vanishes and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\right) d y=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right) d y  \tag{2.48}\\
+ & \int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}\right) d y-\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}\right) d y
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, taking $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}$ and $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}$ respectively in the variational formulations satisfied by $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}$ we obtain by taking the difference and the symmetry of $T_{\xi_{0}}^{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2} d y=\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1} d y \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, taking $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}$ and $\overline{\psi_{\xi_{0}}}=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}$ respectively in the variational formulation satisfied by $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}$ we obtain after taking the difference and using the symmetry of the operator $T^{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{2} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d y=-\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d y+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}\right) d y \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (2.39) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}^{i}=k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{i}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}\right)+k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, i}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{i}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{i}\right) . \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.48) to substitute the first term in the right hand side of (2.51) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}, \overline{v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right)+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}\right) \\
- & \int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}\right)+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 1}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\right) . \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, using (2.49), (2.50) to simplify the previous expression we obtain
$\left(T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}, \overline{v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right) d y+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right) v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, 2}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}+v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\right) d y$,
where the right hand side coincides with the expression of $\left(T_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}^{2}, \overline{v_{\xi_{0}}^{1}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}$. This ends the proof.
Lemma 2.6. Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 hold and that Assumptions 2.3, 2.4 hold. Assume in addition that $D_{0}^{p} \cap \tilde{D}=\varnothing$. Then, the operator $G_{\xi_{0}}: H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ given by (2.32) is injective with dense range.

Proof. Let $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D)$ such that $G_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}=0$ on $\Gamma_{0}^{R}$, i.e

$$
w_{\xi_{0}}:=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{R},
$$

where $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ being the solution of (1.23) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ is the solution of (1.24). Therefore, $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(U^{R}\right)$, is $\xi_{0}$-quasi periodic and verifies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}=0 & \text { in } U^{R} \\ w_{\xi_{0}}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

and the upper going radiation condition (1.11) with $\Gamma^{R}$ replaced by $\Gamma^{R^{\prime}}$ with $R^{\prime}>R$. The uniqueness for this Dirichlet quasi-periodic scattering problem implies that $w_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $U^{R}$. Using the unique continuation principle we obtain that $w_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $U^{0} \backslash D_{0}$. Moreover, $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2}\left(n-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right)=k^{2}(1-n) v_{\xi_{0}} \quad \text { in } \Omega^{R} .
$$

Since $D_{0}^{p} \cap \tilde{D}=\varnothing$, then we have in particular $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{0}^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)$ and

$$
\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} n_{p} w_{\xi_{0}}=k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) v_{\xi_{0}} \quad \text { in } D_{0}^{p} .
$$

Setting $u_{\xi_{0}}:=w_{\xi_{0}}+v_{\xi_{0}}$ we observe that the couple $\left(u_{\xi_{0}}, v_{\xi_{0}}\right)$ verifies (ITP1) with zero data. Therefore, $\left.w_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}=\left.v_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}=0$. On the other hand, since $n_{p}=1$ in $\tilde{D}$, then $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{0}^{2}(\tilde{D})$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2}(n-1) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=k^{2}(1-n) v_{\xi_{0}} \quad \text { in } \tilde{D} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{0}^{2}(\tilde{D})$, then we have

$$
\int_{\tilde{D}}\left(\Delta w_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} w_{\xi_{0}}\right) \theta=0 \quad \text { for all } \theta \in H^{i n c}(\tilde{D})
$$

where $H^{\text {inc }}(\tilde{D}):=\left\{v \in L^{2}(\tilde{D}) / \Delta v+k^{2} v=0\right.$ in $\left.\tilde{D}\right\}$. Therefore, taking the $L^{2}$ scalar product of (2.53) with $\theta$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{D}}\left(k^{2}(1-n) v_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2}(1-n) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right) \bar{\theta}=0 \quad \forall \theta \in H^{i n c}(\tilde{D}) . \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 1.4 we have that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ can be represented as
$\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(x)=k^{2} \int_{D^{p}} \Phi(x, y)\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(y) d y+k^{2} \int_{\tilde{D}} \Phi(x, y)\left((1-n) v_{\xi_{0}}+(1-n) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right) d y \quad$ for $x \in \Omega^{R}$.
Since $y \rightarrow \Phi(x, y) \in H^{\text {inc }}(\tilde{D})$ for $x \notin \tilde{D}$, we obtain from (2.54) that

$$
\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(x)=\int_{D^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(y) \Phi(x, y) d y \quad \text { for } x \notin \tilde{D} .
$$

Let us define $\check{w}_{\xi_{0}} \in H^{2}(\tilde{D})$ by

$$
\check{w}_{\xi_{0}}(x):=\int_{D^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(y) \Phi(x, y) d y \quad \text { for } x \notin \tilde{D} .
$$

We set $u_{\xi_{0}}:=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}+v_{\xi_{0}}$. Then the couple $\left(u_{\xi_{0}}, v_{\xi_{0}}\right)$ satisfies (ITP2) with $(\varphi, \psi)=\left(\check{w}_{\xi_{0}}, \frac{\partial \check{w}_{\xi_{0}}}{\partial \nu}\right)$. Moreover, since

$$
\Delta \check{w}_{\xi_{0}}+k^{2} \check{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0 \quad \text { in } \tilde{D}
$$

and (ITP2) is well posed then $v_{\xi_{0}}+\check{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}+v_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\tilde{D}$. We then deduce that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=\check{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ in $\tilde{D}$. Consequently

$$
\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(x)=\int_{D^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}(y) \Phi(x, y) d y \quad \text { for } x \notin \Omega^{R}
$$

which implies that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ satisfies $(\mathcal{P})$ with $n=n_{p}$ and $v=0$. We then conclude that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ by uniqueness of the solution to problem $(\mathcal{P})$ with $n=n_{p}$. Therefore $v_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\tilde{D}$ which, together with $v_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $D^{p}$ prove the injectivety of $G_{\xi_{0}}$.
Now, we prove the denseness of the range of $G_{\xi_{0}}$. Let $g_{\xi_{0}} \in \overline{R\left(G_{\xi_{0}}\right)}{ }^{\perp}$, then

$$
\left(G_{\xi_{0}} v_{\xi_{0}}, g_{\xi_{0}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}=0 \quad \forall v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D)
$$

Let $f_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ and consider $v_{\xi_{0}}=\overline{S_{\xi_{0}} f_{\xi_{0}}}$. Using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\xi_{0}} \overline{\left(S_{\xi_{0}} f_{\xi_{0}}\right)}, S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right)=0, \quad \forall f_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.5 we get

$$
\left(T_{\xi_{0}} \overline{\left(S_{\xi_{0}} f_{\xi_{0}}\right)}, S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right) \quad=\left(T_{\xi_{0}} \overline{\left(S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right)}, S_{\xi_{0}} f_{\xi_{0}}\right) \quad \forall f_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)
$$

Therefore, (2.55) implies that

$$
\left(G_{\xi_{0}}\left(\overline{S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}}\right), f_{\xi_{0}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}=0, \quad \forall f_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)
$$

Then $G_{\xi_{0}}\left(\overline{S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}}\right)=0$. The injectivety of $G_{\xi_{0}}$ gives that $S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}=0$ and then $g_{\xi_{0}}=0$ by Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 2.7. Under the same assumptions of Lemma 2.6 we have that

$$
\left(z \in D_{0}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) \in \operatorname{Range}\left(G_{\xi_{0}}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $z \in D^{p}$. We consider $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{\text {inc }}(D)$ such that $\left.v_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{\tilde{D}}=-\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$. Let $\left(u_{\xi_{0}}, v_{\xi_{0}}\right) \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right) \times$ $L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$ be the solution of (ITP1) with $(\varphi, \psi)=\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), \frac{\partial \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)}{\partial \nu}\right)$. We set

$$
w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}:= \begin{cases}u_{\xi_{0}}-v_{\xi_{0}} & \text { in } D^{p} \\ \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) & \text { in } \Omega^{R} \backslash D^{p} .\end{cases}
$$

We observe that $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and satisfies (1.23). Moreover, let $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ be the solution of (1.24). Since $\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=0$, then $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ and consequently $G_{\xi_{0}}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$.
Consider now the case where $z \in \tilde{D}$. Since $\tilde{D} \cap D^{p}=\varnothing$ Recall that the Green function $\Phi(\cdot, z)$ defined by (1.4) belongs to $L^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ [6]. Let $u \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ be the solution of $(\mathcal{P})$ with $n=n_{p}$ and $v=\Phi(\cdot, z)$. Let us define $\Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z):=u+\Phi(\cdot, z)$ that satisfies in particular, $\Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z) \in L^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right) \cap H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash \tilde{D}\right)$

$$
\Delta \Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z)+k^{2} n_{p} \Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z)=-\delta_{z} \quad \text { in } \Omega^{R}
$$

together with the upper going radiation condition (1.2). Consider $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D)$ such that $\left.v_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}=0$ and let $\left(\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}, v_{\xi_{0}}\right) \in L^{2}(\tilde{D}) \times L^{2}(\tilde{D})$ be the solution of (ITP2) with $(\varphi, \psi)=\left(\Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z), \frac{\partial \Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z)}{\partial \nu}\right)$. We set

$$
\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}:= \begin{cases}\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}-v_{\xi_{0}} & \text { in } \tilde{D} \\ \Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z) & \text { in } \Omega^{R} \backslash \tilde{D}\end{cases}
$$

We observe that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies (1.24). Moreover, since $\left.v_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D^{p}}=0$, then $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ solution of (1.23) vanishes and consequently

$$
G\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\mathcal{J}\left(\Phi_{n_{p}}(\cdot, z)\right)\left(\xi_{0}\right)=: \Phi_{n_{p}, \xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) .
$$

On the other hand, we consider $u_{\xi_{0}}:=\mathcal{J}(u)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right) \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$. Then $u_{\xi_{0}}$ satisfies (1.23) with $v_{\xi_{0}}=$ $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$. We set

$$
\tilde{v}_{\xi_{0}}:= \begin{cases}\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) & \text { in } D^{p} \\ -u_{\xi_{0}} & \text { in } \tilde{D} .\end{cases}
$$

Let $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ be respectively the solutions of (1.23) and (1.24) with $v_{\xi_{0}}=\tilde{v}_{\xi_{0}}$. By uniqueness of the solution of problem (1.23) we have $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=u_{\xi_{0}}$. Moreover, $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ since $k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(\tilde{v}_{\xi_{0}}+u_{\xi_{0}}\right)=0$. Therefore

$$
G_{\xi_{0}}\left(\tilde{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right)=u_{\xi_{0}} .
$$

Consequently

$$
G_{\xi_{0}}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}-\tilde{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)
$$

Consider finally the case where $z \notin D_{0}$. Assume that there exists $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{\text {inc }}(D)$ such that $G_{\xi_{0}}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=$ $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$. Using the unique continuation principle we get $w_{\xi_{0}}:=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$ in $U^{0} \backslash D_{0}$ which is a contradiction since $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash D_{0}\right)$ while $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) \notin H_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash D_{0}\right)$.

Let us define now the following norm for $g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}:=\left|\left(N_{\xi_{0}}^{p} g_{\xi_{0}}, g_{\xi_{0}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}\right|+\left|\left(\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} g_{\xi_{0}}, g_{\xi_{0}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right)}\right| . \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.8. Assume that Assumption 1.1 and 2.4 hold. Assume in addition that $\Re e\left(1-n_{p}\right) \geqslant \gamma>0$ in $D^{p}$ and $\Re e\left(n_{p}-n\right) \geqslant \gamma_{1}>0$ in $\tilde{D}$ or $\Re e\left(1-n_{p}\right) \leqslant-\gamma<0$ in $D^{p}$ and $\Re e\left(n_{p}-n\right) \leqslant-\gamma_{1}<0$. Then, there exists a constant $c>0$ independent from $\xi_{0}$ such that

$$
I_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}} \geqslant c\left(\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}^{2}+\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{D})}^{2}\right) \quad \forall g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right),
$$

with $I_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}$ is the norm given by (2.56).
Proof. We prove this Lemma using a contradiction argument. We consider $g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ and we denote by $v_{\xi_{0}}=S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}$. Assume that there exists a sequence $v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}\left\|v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}^{2} \geqslant\left|\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}\right|+\left|\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}\right| . \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\hat{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}=\frac{v_{\xi_{0}}^{n}}{\| v_{\xi_{0}}^{n} L_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}^{n}}$. Let $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ be the solution of (1.10) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)$ with $v_{\xi}=\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ is the solution of (1.25). Since $\left\|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}$ is bounded, then we can extract a subsequence (that we still denote the same) $\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}$ that converge weakly to $\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}$ in $L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$. Moreover, $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ converge weakly in $H_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$ respectively to some $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$. On the other hand, taking $\psi_{\xi_{0}}=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ in the variational formulation (1.10) satisfied by $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\left|\nabla w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\left|w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y=-k^{2} \int_{D_{0}^{p}}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right) \overline{w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}} d y+\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}, w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} .
$$

Therefore, decomposing $\left(\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right) \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}}=\left|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2}-\left(\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right) \overline{w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}}$ we get

$$
\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p}{\hat{\xi_{0}}}_{n}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}=\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left|\hat{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y+\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\left|\nabla w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\left|w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y-\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}, w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} .
$$

Taking the imaginary part we obtain

$$
\Im m\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}=-\int_{D_{0}^{p}} \Im m\left(n_{p}\right)\left|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y-\Im m\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}, w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}}
$$

From (2.57) we have

$$
\left|\Im m\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}\right| \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
$$

Therefore, using the fact that $\Im m\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}, w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} \geqslant 0$,

$$
\int_{D_{0}^{p}} \Im m\left(n_{p}\right)\left|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \geqslant \int_{D_{0}^{p}} \Im m\left(n_{p}\right)\left|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right|^{2} d y .
$$

Since $\Im m\left(n_{p}\right)>0$ in $\mathcal{O}$ we obtain that $u_{\xi_{0}}^{p}:=\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}+w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}$. Observing that $\Delta u_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+k^{2} n_{p} u_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0$ in $D_{0}^{p}$, by unique continuation principle we deduce that $u_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0$ in $D_{0}^{p}$. Therefore, $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ satisfies (1.23) with $n_{p}=1$ and $\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}=0$, which implies that $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ vanishes in $\Omega_{0}^{R}$. Moreover, since $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ converges strongly to $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ in $L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)$, then we have

$$
\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y \longrightarrow \int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \overline{\hat{\vartheta}_{\xi_{0}}} d y=0 .
$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$
\left|\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}\right| \geqslant\left. k^{2}\left|\int_{D_{0}^{p}}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\right| \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y\left|-k^{2}\right| \int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y \mid .
$$

From the hypothesis on $\Re e\left(1-n_{p}\right)$ we then have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left(T_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}\right| \geqslant \gamma\left\|\hat{\vartheta}_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}^{2} .
$$

Therefore $\left.\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}=0$.
Now, taking $\psi=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}$ in the variational formulation (1.25) satisfied by $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-k^{2} \int_{\tilde{D}}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right) \overline{\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y & =k^{2} \int_{\Omega^{R}}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left|\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y+\int_{\Omega^{R}}\left|\nabla \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\left|\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y \\
& -\left\langle T^{R} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma^{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, taking $\psi=\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ in the variational formulation (2.34) satisfied by $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-k^{2} \int_{\tilde{D}}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right) \overline{\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}} d y & =\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}}\left|\nabla \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2}-k^{2}\left|\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y+k^{2} \int_{D_{0}^{p}}\left(1-n_{p}\right)\left|\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right|^{2} d y \\
& -\left\langle T_{\xi_{0}}^{R} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}, \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)} & =\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y+\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left|w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y \\
& -\int_{\Omega^{R}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right) \overline{\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}} d y-\int_{\Omega^{R}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right) \overline{\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using (2.58) and (2.58) we obtain by taking the imaginary part

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Im m\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n} \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}=\int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} k^{2} \Im m\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left|w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y-k^{2} \int_{\Omega^{R}} \Im m\left(n_{p}\right)\left|\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y \\
& \quad+k^{2} \int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \Im m\left(\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}}\right) d y-\int_{\Omega^{R}} k^{2} \Im m\left(\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n} \overline{w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}}\right) d y-\Im m\left\langle T^{R} \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right\rangle_{\Gamma^{R}} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the application $v_{\xi_{0}} \longrightarrow\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ is solution of (2.33) is compact from $L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)$ using the compactness of the injection of $H_{\xi_{0}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)$. Similarly, the application $v_{\xi_{0}} \longrightarrow\left(n_{p}-n\right) w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ with $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ is solution of (1.23) is compact from $L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$ into $L^{2}(\tilde{D})$. Therefore we have, using that $\left.\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}=0$ and $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=0$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
k^{2} \int_{\Omega_{0}^{R}} \Im m\left(\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}}\right) d y \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \\
\int_{\Omega^{R}} k^{2} \Im m\left(\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n} \overline{w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}}\right) d y \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .\right.
\end{array}
$$

From (2.57) we have

$$
\left|\Im m\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}\right| \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 .
$$

Consequently, using (2.58) , (2.58) and (2.58) we get

$$
\left|\int_{\tilde{D}} \Im m\left(n-n_{p}\right)\right| w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}+\left.\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y+\int_{D^{p}} \Im m\left(n_{p}\right)\left|\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2} d y \mid \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

We deduce that $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}$ and using the unique continuation principle $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\Omega^{R} \backslash \tilde{D}$. This proves that the couple $\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}+\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right) \in L^{2}(\tilde{D}) \times L^{2}(\tilde{D})$ is solution of (ITP2) with zero data. Hence $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\tilde{D}$. On the other hand, the application $v_{\xi_{0}} \longrightarrow\left(n_{p}-n\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ is solution of (1.24) is compact from $H_{\xi_{0}}^{\text {inc }}(D)$ into $\tilde{L}^{2}(\tilde{D})$ thanks to the compactness of the injection of $H^{1}(\tilde{D})$ into $L^{2}(\tilde{D})$. Therefore

$$
\int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}}+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y \longrightarrow 0 .\right.
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}\right| \geqslant\left.\left|\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\right| \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right|^{2}|-| \int_{D_{0}^{p}} k^{2}\left(1-n_{p}\right) \tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} \\
&+\int_{\tilde{D}} k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p, n}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{n} \overline{\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}} d y \mid\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the hypothesis $\Re e\left(n_{p}-n\right)$ in $\tilde{D}$ we conclude

$$
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \hat{\xi}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}, \hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}^{n}\right)\right| \geqslant \gamma_{1}\left\|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{D})}^{2},
$$

which gives $\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $\tilde{D}$. Combined with the result above we have that $\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ in $D_{0}$ which contradicts with $\left\|\hat{v}_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}=1$.
2.3. Application of the Generalized Linear Sampling Method (GLSM). We present the free noise version of the GLSM. For fixed $\xi_{0} \in I$, introducing the functional $J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}: \tilde{L}_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given as

$$
J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\left(\phi ; g_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\alpha I_{\xi_{0}}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}\right)+\left\|\left(N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right) g_{\xi_{0}}-\phi\right\|^{2}
$$

We denote by $j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(\phi)=\inf _{g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}(D)} J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\left(\phi ; g_{\xi_{0}}\right)$. Moreover, let $c(\alpha)>0$ verifying $\frac{c(\alpha)}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
Theorem 2.9. Assume that Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4 hold. Assume in addition that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 2.8 hold. Consider $z \in \Omega^{R}$, and let $g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)\right)+c(\alpha),
$$

then

$$
z \in D_{0} \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} I_{\xi_{0}}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right)<\infty
$$

Moreover, if $z \in D_{0}$ then $\left.S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{\tilde{D}}$ converges to some $\tilde{v}$ in $L^{2}(\tilde{D})$ and $\left.S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}$ converges to some $v_{\xi_{0}}$ in $L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)$ where $\tilde{v}$ is solution of (ITP2) and $v_{\xi_{0}}$ is solution of (ITP1).

Proof. The proof of this theorem is an application of the abstract framework of GLSM given by Theorem 2.7 in [4] and the series of Lemmas (2.1)-(2.8). Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6 prove that the operator $N_{\xi_{0}}=N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}$ can be factorized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\xi_{0}}=G_{\xi_{0}} S_{\xi_{0}}=S_{\xi_{0}}^{*} T_{\xi_{0}} S_{\xi_{0}} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has dense range. Moreover, we need to verify that the norm $I_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}$ is an equivalent norm to $\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}$ for all $g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$. Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.8 and the expression of the operator $T_{\xi_{0}}$ prove the existence of a constant $c_{1}>0$ (independent from $\xi_{0}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}} \leqslant c_{1}\left(\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p}\right)}^{2}+\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{D})}^{2}\right) \quad \forall g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.8 and (2.59) prove this norm equivalence. The results of the theorem are then a straightforward application of Theorem 2.7 in [4] and Lemma 2.7.

## 3. INVERSE PROBLEM FOR NON-PERIODIC INCIDENT FIELDS

3.1. Setting of the inverse problem. Let $y \in \Gamma^{R}$. One can deduce from (3.72)-(3.76) that $\Phi(\cdot, y) \in$ $\tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$. We then define $u^{s}(\cdot, y) \in \tilde{H}_{l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ the scattered field solution of $(\mathcal{P})$ with $v(\cdot, y)=\Phi(\cdot, y)$. We introduce the near field operator $N: \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ as

$$
N g(x)=\int_{\Gamma^{R}} u^{s}(x, y) g(y) d s(y)
$$

Define $S: \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{2}(D)$ as

$$
S g(x)=\int_{\Gamma^{R}} \overline{\Phi(x, y)} g(y) d s(y) .
$$

Then, the operator $N$ can be decomposed as

$$
N=G S
$$

where $G: \tilde{L}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ is the operator defined by

$$
G(v)=\left.w\right|_{\Gamma^{R}}
$$

with $w$ being the solution of $(\mathcal{P})$.
Link between $N$ and $N_{\xi}:$ For $\xi \in I$, we denote by $g_{\xi}:=(\mathcal{J} g)(\xi, \cdot)$ and we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S g_{\xi}=S_{\xi} g_{\xi}(x) \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S_{\xi}$ being the operator given by (2.30), in fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
S g_{\xi}(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\Phi\left(x,\left(y_{1}, R\right)\right)} g_{\xi}\left(y_{1}, R\right) d s\left(y_{1}\right)=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2 \pi l}^{2 \pi(l+1)} \overline{\Phi\left(x,\left(y_{1}, R\right)\right)} g_{\xi}\left(y_{1}, R\right) d s\left(y_{1}\right), \\
& =\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \overline{\Phi\left(x,\left(y_{1}+2 \pi l, R\right)\right)} g_{\xi}\left(y_{1}+2 \pi l\right) d s\left(y_{1}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} \overline{\Phi_{\xi}(y, x)} g_{\xi}(y) d s(y), \\
& =S_{\xi} g_{\xi}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I} S_{\xi} g_{\xi}(x) d \xi=\int_{\Gamma^{R}} \overline{\Phi(x, y)} \int_{I} g_{\xi}(y) d \xi=S g(x) \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{\xi}: L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ be the operator given by (2.29), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{I}\left(N_{\xi} g_{\xi}\right)(x) d \xi & =\int_{I} \int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi}(y) u_{\xi}^{s, p}(x, y) d s(y)+\int_{I} \int_{\Gamma_{0}^{R}} g_{\xi}(y) \mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{u}_{\xi}^{s}(\cdot, y)\right)(\xi, x) d s(y) \\
& =\int_{I} w_{\xi}^{p}(x) d \xi+\int_{I} \tilde{w}_{\xi}^{p}(x) d \xi \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

with $w_{\xi}^{p}$ being the solution of (1.23) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi}^{p}=\mathcal{J}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi}\right)(\xi, \cdot)$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi}$ is the solution of (1.24) with $v_{\xi_{0}}=S_{\xi} g_{\xi}$. We denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{p}(x):=\int_{I} w_{\xi}^{p}(x) d \xi \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{w}^{p}(x):=\int_{I} \tilde{w}_{\xi}^{p}(x) d \xi \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.61) we observe that $w^{p}$ satisfies $(\mathcal{P})$ with $n=n_{p}$ and $v=S g$. Moreover, $\tilde{w}^{p}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \tilde{w}^{p}+k^{2} n_{p} \tilde{w}^{p}=k^{2}\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w^{p}+v+\tilde{w}\right) & \text { in } \Omega^{R}  \tag{3.64}\\ \tilde{w}^{p}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{0} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{w}^{p}}{\partial x_{2}}(\cdot, R)=T^{R}\left(\left.\tilde{w}^{p}\right|_{\Gamma^{R}}\right) & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

where $\tilde{w}$ is defined by (1.26). Then by uniqueness of the solution to the scattering problem $(\mathcal{P})$ with $n=n_{p}$ we have that $\tilde{w}^{p}=\tilde{w}$. Therefore, $w:=w^{p}+\tilde{w}^{p}$ satisfies $(\mathcal{P})$ with $v=S g$. Hence, we can equivalently define the operator $N: \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N g(x)=\int_{I}\left(N_{\xi} g_{\xi}\right)(x) d \xi \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally observe that $N_{\xi} g_{\xi}$ is not equal in general to $\mathcal{J}(N g)(\xi)$.
3.2. Some properties of the operator $S$. The goal of this section is to prove that the operator $S: \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow$ $\tilde{L}^{2}(D)$ is injective and characterize its range. The main difficulty here comes from the required continuity with respect to the Floquet-Bloch variable. This is why we first prove the uniform continuity of $\xi \longrightarrow S_{\xi}$ formalized in Lemma 3.1 below.
Let $\chi \in C^{0}(I)$ and $\psi \in L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$. We consider $g \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\xi}(x):=\mathcal{J}(g)(\xi, x)=e^{i \xi \cdot x_{1}} \psi(x) \chi(\xi), \text { for }(\xi, x) \in I \times \Gamma_{0}^{R} \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting

$$
\widehat{\psi}_{j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i j y_{1}} \psi\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}
$$

we observe that the operator $S_{\xi}$ given by (2.30) verifies for $x_{2}<R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\xi} g_{\xi}(x) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \overline{\frac{i}{4 \pi} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i \alpha_{\xi}(j)\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right)} \theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)} g_{\xi}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1} \\
& =\overline{\frac{i}{4 \pi} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i \alpha_{\xi}(j) x_{1}} \theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i \alpha_{\xi}(j) y_{1}} g_{\xi}\left(y_{1}\right) d y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\xi} g_{\xi}(x)=-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}_{j} \chi(\xi) \overline{\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)} e^{i \alpha_{\xi}(j) x_{1}} \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<R_{0}<R$, we define $\tilde{S}_{\xi}: L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R_{0}}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{\xi} \psi:=e^{-i \xi \cdot x_{1}} S_{\xi}\left(e^{i \xi \cdot x_{1}} \psi\right) \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.67) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{\xi} \psi(x)=-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\psi}_{j} \overline{\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)} e^{i \alpha_{\xi}(j) x_{1}} \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. For all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $\left|\xi^{\prime}-\xi\right|<\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \psi-\tilde{S}_{\xi^{\prime}} \psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}^{2} \leqslant c \epsilon^{2}\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}^{2} \quad \forall \psi \in L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is a constant independent of $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime} \in I$.
Proof. Let $\psi \in L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$. From (3.67) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}^{2} & =\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\widehat{\psi}_{j}\right|^{2} \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \\
\left\|\left(\tilde{S}_{\xi}-\tilde{S}_{\xi^{\prime}}\right) \psi\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}^{2} & =\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\widehat{\psi}_{j}\right|^{2} \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi^{\prime}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, to prove (3.70) we prove for all $\epsilon>0$ the existence of a constant $c>0$ independent from $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi^{\prime}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \leqslant c \epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}
$$

Consider first $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k^{2} \geqslant \alpha_{\xi}^{2}(j)$, i.e $\beta_{\xi}(j)=\sqrt{k^{2}-|\xi+j|^{2}}$. There exists only a finite number of $j$ for which this holds. Then

$$
\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{\left|e^{i \beta_{\xi}(j) R}\right|^{2}}{\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}}\left|2 \sin \left(\beta_{\xi}(j) x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{2}{\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}}\left|1-\cos \left(2 \beta_{\xi}(j) x_{2}\right)\right| .
$$

For $\beta_{\xi}(j)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta_{\xi}(j) \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}=\frac{4 R_{0}^{3}}{3}=: c_{1} \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\beta_{\xi}(j)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}=8 R_{0}^{3}\left[\frac{y-\sin (y)}{y^{3}}\right], \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y=2 R_{0}\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|$. Since $y \longrightarrow \frac{y-\sin (y)}{y^{3}}>0$ for $y \geqslant 0$ and since $\beta_{\xi}(j)>0$ is bounded for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k^{2} \geqslant \alpha_{\xi}^{2}(j)$, then there exists a constant $c_{1}^{\prime}>0$ independent of $\xi$ and $j$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \geqslant c_{1}^{\prime} \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\epsilon>0$, since $\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)$ is continuous on the compact set $\bar{I}$. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $\left|\xi^{\prime}-\xi\right|<\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{\xi^{\prime}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant \epsilon . \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, using (3.71)-(3.73) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi^{\prime}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d y_{2} \leqslant c_{1}^{\prime \prime} R_{0} \epsilon \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}:=1 / \min \left(c_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
Consider the case where $k^{2}<\alpha_{\xi}^{2}(j)$ for which $\beta_{\xi}(j)=i \sqrt{|\xi+j|^{2}-k^{2}}$. Assume in addition that $\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| \leqslant$ 1. There exists only a finite number of $j$ for which this holds. We have

$$
\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{e^{-2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R}}{\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}} \sinh \left(\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| x_{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}=\frac{2 R_{0} e^{-2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R}}{\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}}\left[\frac{\sinh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R_{0}\right)}{2 R_{0}\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}-1\right] \geqslant \frac{4 R_{0}^{3}}{3} e^{-2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R} \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the inequality $\frac{\sinh (x)}{x}-1 \geqslant \frac{x^{2}}{6}$ for $x \geqslant 0$. Since $\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| \leqslant 1$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \geqslant \frac{4 R_{0}^{3}}{3} e^{-2 R}=: c_{2}^{\prime} \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\epsilon>0$, since $\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)$ is continuous on the compact set $\bar{I}$, then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $\left|\xi^{\prime}-\xi\right|<\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi^{\prime}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d y_{2} \leqslant c_{2}^{\prime} R_{0} \epsilon \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now $k^{2} \leqslant \alpha_{\xi}^{2}(j)$ such that $\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|>1$. Let $\delta \geqslant 0,0 \leqslant \delta_{0}<\delta$ such that $\xi^{\prime}:=\xi+\delta_{0}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{\xi+\delta_{0}}-\theta_{\xi}\right)\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)=\int_{\xi}^{\xi+\delta_{0}} \frac{\partial \theta_{\tilde{\xi}}}{\partial \tilde{\xi}} d \tilde{\xi} \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi+\delta_{0}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \leqslant \delta_{0} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+\delta_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\frac{\partial \theta_{\tilde{\xi}}}{\partial \tilde{\xi}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}\right) d \tilde{\xi} \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, let us denote by $\gamma_{0}:=2 i(\xi+j) \frac{e^{-\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R}}{\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}}$ and $\gamma_{1}:=R+\frac{1}{\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \theta_{\xi}}{\partial \xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)=\gamma_{0}\left[\gamma_{1} \sinh \left(\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| x_{2}\right)-x_{2} \cosh \left(\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| x_{2}\right)\right] \tag{3.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that $\sinh (2 y)=2 \sinh (y) \cosh (y), \cosh ^{2}(y)-\sinh ^{2}(y)=1$ and $2 \sinh ^{2}(y)=\cosh (2 y)-1$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial \theta_{\xi}}{\partial \xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=\left|\gamma_{0}\right|^{2}\left[\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{2}\right) \cosh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| x_{2}\right)-\gamma_{1} x_{2} \sinh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| x_{2}\right)-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{2}\right] \tag{3.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\frac{\partial \theta_{\xi}}{\partial \xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} & =\left|\gamma_{0}\right|^{2}\left[R_{0}^{2} \frac{\sinh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R_{0}\right)}{4\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}-\left(\gamma_{1}+\frac{1}{2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}\right) \frac{R_{0} \cosh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R_{0}\right)}{2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2} \sinh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R_{0}\right)}{4\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}-\frac{\gamma_{1}^{2} R_{0}}{2}+\frac{R_{0}^{3}}{6}+\frac{1}{4\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|^{2}}\left(\gamma_{1}+\frac{1}{2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|}\right) \sinh \left(2\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right| R_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x>1$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x):=\frac{2 e^{-2 x R}}{x^{2}}\left[\frac{\sinh \left(2 x R_{0}\right)}{2 x}-R_{0}\right], \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x) & :=4\left|\frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+k^{2}} e^{-x R}}{x^{2}}\right|^{2}\left[\frac{R_{0}^{2} \sinh \left(2 x R_{0}\right)}{4 x}-\left(R+\frac{3}{2 x}\right) \frac{R_{0} \cosh \left(2 x R_{0}\right)}{2 x}+\frac{\left(R+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2} \sinh \left(2 x R_{0}\right)}{4 x}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(R+\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2} \frac{R_{0}}{2}+\frac{R_{0}^{3}}{6}+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\left(R+\frac{3}{2 x}\right) \sinh \left(2 x R_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and we prove the existence of a constant $\alpha>0$ such that $g(x)<\alpha f(x)$ for all $x>1$. Let $M \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large and consider first the case $1<x \leqslant M$. Since $f$ and $g$ are continuous functions and $f(x)>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)<\alpha^{\prime} f(x) \quad \text { for } 1<x \leqslant M \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha^{\prime}:=\frac{\max _{1 \leq x \leq M} g(x)}{1 \leq x \min _{1} f(x)}>0$. For the case $x>M$, we compare $f$ and $g$ at infinity. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=\frac{\left(x^{2}+k^{2}\right) e^{-2 x R}}{2 x^{4}}\left(\frac{e^{2 x R_{0}}}{x}\right)\left[\left(R_{0}+R\right)^{2}+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right] \\
& f(x)=\frac{e^{-2 x R}}{x^{2}}\left(\frac{e^{2 x R_{0}}}{x}\right)\left[1+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}$ is equivalent to $\left(R+R_{0}\right)^{2}$ at infinity. Using (3.84) we deduce the existence of a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
g(x) \leqslant \alpha f(x), \quad \text { for all } x>1
$$

which implies

$$
g\left(\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|\right) \leqslant \alpha f\left(\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|\right)
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|>1$. Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\frac{\partial \theta_{\xi}}{\partial \xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \leqslant \alpha \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using (3.80)-(3.85) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi+\delta_{0}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \leqslant \delta_{0} \alpha \int_{\xi}^{\xi+\delta_{0}}\left(\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\tilde{\xi}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}\right) d \tilde{\xi} \tag{3.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we show that $x \longrightarrow f(x)$ decreases in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Indeed, taking $y=2 x R_{0}$ and using that $\sinh (y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2 k+1}}{(2 k+1)!}$ we get

$$
f^{\prime}(y)=R_{0}^{3} e^{-\frac{R}{R_{0}} y} h(y),
$$

with

$$
h(y):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2 k}}{(2 k+3)!}\left(\frac{-R}{R_{0}}+\frac{2 k+1}{(2 k+4)(2 k+5)} y\right) .
$$

Since $\cosh (y):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2 k}}{2 k!}$ we observe that

$$
y^{3} h(y) \leqslant-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2 k+3}}{(2 k+3)!}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2 k+4}}{(2 k+4)!}=-\sinh (y)+y+\cosh (y)-1-\frac{y^{2}}{2} \leqslant 0
$$

for $y \geqslant 0$. Therefore $f^{\prime}(y) \leqslant 0$ for all $y \geqslant 0$. Since $\xi \longrightarrow\left|\beta_{\xi}(j)\right|$ increases in $\bar{I}$ and $x \longrightarrow f(x)$ decreases in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$we infer that $\xi \rightarrow \int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right| d x_{2}$ decreases in $\bar{I}$. Therefore, from (3.86) we finally obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)-\theta_{\xi+\delta_{0}}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2} \leqslant \alpha \delta_{0}^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{R_{0}}\left|\theta_{\xi}\left(j, R, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{2}\right)
$$

which ends the proof.
Lemma 3.2. The operator $S: \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{2}(D)$ is injective. The closure of its range is

$$
H^{i n c}(D):=\left\{v \in L^{2}(D) ;\left.v\right|_{D^{p}} \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right) ; \Delta v+k^{2} v=0 \text { in } D\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $g \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that $S g=0$ in $D$. Using the unique continuation principle we obtain $S g=0$ in $\Omega^{R}$. Using the continuity and regularity of single layer potentials we have that $S \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(U^{R}\right)$ and verifies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta S g+k^{2} S g=0 & \text { in } U^{R} \\ S g=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

and the upper going radiation condition (1.2) with $\Gamma^{R}$ replaced by $\Gamma^{R^{\prime}}$ with $R^{\prime}>R$. Therefore $S g=0$ in $U^{R}$. Using the jump relations for the normal derivative of $S$ we obtain $g=0$ which proves the injectivety of $S$.
We prove now the denseness of the range of $S$. Let $v \in \tilde{L}^{2}(D)$ and we denote by $v_{\xi}:=\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}} v\right)(\xi, \cdot)$. Fix $\epsilon>0$ and consider a uniform partition of $I$ into sub-domains $I_{j}^{N}:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} I_{j}$ of size $\delta=\frac{1}{N}$. Using Lemma 2.2 we have, for all $\xi_{j}^{N} \in I_{j}^{N}$ there exists $\tilde{\psi_{j}^{N}}:=e^{-i \xi_{j}^{N} \cdot x} \psi_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \in L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}-\tilde{v}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \tag{3.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{v}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}=e^{-i \xi_{j}^{N} \cdot x} v_{\xi_{j}^{N}}$, where $\tilde{S}_{\xi}$ is defined by (3.68). We introduce the hat functions $\chi_{j}^{N} \in C^{0}(I)$ that are affine on each domain $I_{l}$ and verifies $\chi_{j}^{N}\left(\xi_{l}\right)=\delta_{j l}$. We then define

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}:=\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi), \quad \hat{v}_{\xi}^{N}:=\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N} \tilde{v}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi), \quad \hat{S}_{\xi}^{N}:=\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N}\left(\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right) \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi), \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant N .
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}-\tilde{v}_{\xi}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)} \leqslant\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}-\hat{S}_{\xi}^{N}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)}+\left\|\hat{S}_{\xi}^{N}-\hat{v}_{\xi}^{N}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)}+\left\|\hat{v}_{\xi}^{N}-\tilde{v}_{\xi}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)} . \tag{3.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{S}_{\xi}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi)\right)=\left(\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right) \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi)$, then the first term in the right hand side of (3.88) verifies

$$
\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}-\hat{S}_{\xi}^{N}=\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N}\left(\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}-\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right) \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}-\hat{S}_{\xi}^{N}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)} \leqslant \sup _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N} \sup _{\xi \in\left[\xi_{j-1}^{N}, \xi_{j+1}^{N}\right]}\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}-\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)}
$$

Consider $\epsilon^{\prime}:=\frac{\epsilon}{4 \sqrt{c}\left(\sup _{\xi \in I}\left\|v_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}+1\right)}$, using Lemma 3.1 we chose $\delta>0$ for which

$$
\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}-\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)} \leqslant \sqrt{c} \epsilon^{\prime}\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N} \sup _{\xi \in\left[\xi_{j-1}^{N}, \xi_{j+1}^{N}\right]}\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}-\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \psi_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right\|_{\left.L_{\sharp}^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)\right)} \leqslant \sqrt{c} \epsilon^{\prime}\left(\sup _{\xi \in I}\left\|v_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}+1\right)=\frac{\epsilon}{4} . \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using (3.87) we deduce that the second term in the right hand side of (3.88) verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{S}_{\xi}^{N}-\hat{v}_{\xi}^{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}=\left\|\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N}\left(\tilde{S}_{\xi_{j}^{N}} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}-\tilde{v}_{\xi_{j}^{N}}\right) \chi_{j}^{N}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{4} \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $v_{\xi} \in C_{\sharp}^{0}\left(I, L_{\xi}^{2}(D)\right)$ then $N$ could have been chosen from the beginning sufficiently large so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{v}_{\xi}^{N}-\tilde{v}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2} . \tag{3.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using (3.89), (3.90) and (3.91) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi \in I}\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}-\tilde{v}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)}=\sup _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N} \sup _{\xi \in\left[\xi_{j-1}^{N}, \xi_{j+1}^{N}\right]}\left\|\tilde{S}_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}_{\xi}^{N}-\tilde{v}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R_{0}}\right)} \leqslant \epsilon, \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $N$. This proves the denseness of the range of the operator $S$.
For the analysis below we need to assume the well posedness of the following Interior Transmission Problem.
(ITP3): Seek $(u, v) \in \tilde{L}^{2}(D) \times \tilde{L}^{2}(D)$ such that $(u-v) \in \tilde{H}^{2}(D)$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u+k^{2} n u=0 \quad \text { in } D  \tag{3.93}\\
\Delta v+k^{2} v=0 \quad \text { in } D \\
u-v=\varphi \quad \text { on } \partial D \\
\frac{\partial(u-v)}{\partial \nu}=\psi \quad \text { on } \partial D
\end{array}\right.
$$

for given $(\varphi, \psi) \in \tilde{H}^{3 / 2}(\partial D) \times \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\partial D)$. This problem has been extensively studied in the literature in the case of bounded domains $D$ see for instance [4]. Indeed the results for bounded domain $D$ extend easily to the case where $D$ is unbounded but is the (infinite) union of disjoint bounded domains. This corresponds for instance to our case when $D^{p}$ is the union of disjoint bounded domains. Consider the following assumption
Assumption 3.3. Assume that $k, n_{p}$ and $n$ are such as (ITP3) is well posed.
Lemma 3.4. Assume that Assumptions 1.1 and 3.3 hold. Then the operator $G$ given by (3.60) is injective with dense range. Moreover,

$$
(z \in D) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(\Phi(\cdot, z) \in \mathcal{R} \text { ange }(G)) .
$$

Proof. consider $v \in H^{i n c}(D)$ such that $G(v)=0$, i.e

$$
w=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma^{R},
$$

with $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ being the solution of $(\mathcal{P})$. Therefore, $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(U^{R}\right)$ and verifies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta w+k^{2} w=0 & \text { in } U^{R} \\ w=0 & \text { on } \Gamma^{R}\end{cases}
$$

and the upper going radiation condition (1.2) with $\Gamma^{R}$ replaced by $\Gamma^{R^{\prime}}$ with $R^{\prime}>R$. Then $w=0$ in $U^{R}$. Using the unique continuation principle we obtain that $w=0$ in $\Omega^{R} \backslash D$. Setting $u:=w+v$ we observe that the couple $(u, v)$ verifies (ITP3) with zero data. Therefore we deduce that $v=0$ and then the injectivety of $G$.
Now we prove the denseness of the range of $G$. Let $g \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(G)}{ }^{\perp}$, then

$$
(G(v), g)_{L^{2}(D)}=0 \quad \text { for all } v \in H^{i n c}(D)
$$

Let $f \in \tilde{L^{2}}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ and consider $v=\overline{S f}$, we then have

$$
(G(\overline{S f}), g)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)}=0 \quad \text { for all } f \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)
$$

On the other hand, using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 we obtain the symmetry property

$$
(G(\overline{S f}), g)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)}=(G(\overline{S g}), f)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)} \quad \forall f, g \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
(G(\overline{S g}), f)_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)}=0 \quad \text { for all } f \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)
$$

which implies that $G(\overline{S g})=0$. The injectivety of $G$ gives that $S g=0$ and then $g=0$ by Lemma 3.2.
Consider $z \in D$. We have that $\chi \Phi(\cdot, z) \in \tilde{L}^{2}(D)$, where $\chi$ is a regular cutoff function that vanishes in a neighborhood of $z$. Since $\Phi(\cdot, z)$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation outside $z$, elliptic regularity results applied to each component of $D^{p}$ separately implies that $\chi \Phi \in \tilde{H}^{2}(D)$. Trace theorems then imply $\left(\Phi(\cdot, z), \frac{\partial \Phi(\cdot, z)}{\partial \nu}\right) \in \tilde{H}^{3 / 2}(\partial D) \times \tilde{H}^{1 / 2}(\partial D)$. We then consider $(u, v) \in \tilde{L}^{2}(D) \times \tilde{L}^{2}(D)$ to be the solution of (ITP3) with $(\varphi, \psi)=\left(\Phi(\cdot, z), \frac{\partial \Phi(\cdot, z)}{\partial \nu}\right)$. We set

$$
w:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u-v \quad \text { in } D \\
\Phi(\cdot, z) \quad \text { in } \Omega^{R} \backslash D .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We observe that $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ and satisfies $(\mathcal{P})$. Hence $G(v)=\Phi(\cdot, z)$.
Consider now the case where $z \in \Omega^{R} \backslash D$. Assume that there exists $v \in H^{\text {inc }}(D)$ such that $G(v)=\Phi(\cdot, z)$. By unique continuation principle we obtain that $w=\Phi(\cdot, z)$ in $\Omega^{R} \backslash D$, which is a contradiction since $w \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash D\right)$ while $\Phi(\cdot, z) \notin H_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash D\right)$.
3.3. Application of the Generalized Linear Sampling Method (GLSM). Let us consider the functional $J_{\alpha}(\phi, \cdot): \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
J_{\alpha}(\phi ; g):=\alpha \check{I}(g)+\|N g-\phi\|^{2}, \quad \text { for all } g \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\check{I}(g):=\sup _{\xi_{0} \in I} I_{\xi_{0}}\left(\mathcal{J} g\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)\right) \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $j_{\alpha}(\phi)=\inf _{g \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)} J_{\alpha}(\phi ; g)$. Let $c(\alpha)>0$ verifying $\frac{c(\alpha)}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$
Theorem 3.5. Assume that Assumptions 1.1 and 3.3 hold. Assume in addition that the hypothesis of Lemma 2.8 holds. Consider $z \in \Omega^{R}$, and let $g^{\alpha} \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
J_{\alpha}\left(\Phi(\cdot, z), g^{\alpha}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\alpha}(\Phi(\cdot, z))+c(\alpha)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in D \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \check{I}\left(g^{\alpha}(z)\right)<\infty \tag{3.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $z \in D$ then $\left.S g\right|_{D}$ converges to some $v$ in $L^{2}(D)$ where $v$ is solution of(ITP3).
Proof. The proof of this theorem is an application of the abstract framework of GLSM given by Theorem 2.7 in [4] and Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 2.8. Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 prove that the operator

$$
N=G S
$$

has dense range. Moreover, we need to verify that the norm $\check{I} g$ is an equivalent norm to $\sup _{\xi \in I}\|S g\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}$ for all $g \in L_{\xi}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$. Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 prove this norm equivalence. The results of the theorem are then a straightforward application of Theorem 2.7 in [4] and Lemma 3.4.

## 4. Application to differential imaging

The theoretical developments of the previous sections allow us to provide a theoretical justification of the algorithm proposed in [9] that provides an indicator function for the defect $\tilde{D}$ independently from $D^{p}$. This justification does not assume $\tilde{D}$ is also periodic (with a larger periodicity) which was the case in [9]. The principle idea behind this method is to consider the background as $2 \pi M$ periodic with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \geqslant 1$ and combine the application of the previous framework to different values od $M$. Indeed, the refractive index $n_{p}$ is also $2 \pi M$-periodic with respect to the first component $x_{1}$. Then, we can follow the same approach adopted in Section 2 by taking

$$
\Omega_{0}^{R}=\Omega_{0}^{R, M}:=[0,2 \pi M] \times[0, R] \quad \text { and } \quad D_{0}^{p}=D_{0}^{p, M}:=\Omega_{0}^{R, M} \cap D^{p}
$$

in order to reconstruct $D_{0}=D_{0}^{M}:=D_{0}^{p, M} \cup \tilde{D}$ using the GLSM method.
In the following, for $m \geqslant 0$, the spaces $H_{\xi, M}^{m}\left(\Omega^{R}\right), H_{\xi, M}^{m}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R, M}\right)$ and $L_{\xi, M}^{2}(D)$ has respectively the same definition as $H_{\xi}^{m}\left(\Omega^{R}\right), H_{\xi}^{m}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R}\right)$ and $L_{\xi}^{2}(D)$ with period $2 \pi$ replaced by $2 \pi M$. Moreover, we define for $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(U^{0}\right)$ the one dimensional Floquet-Bloch transform with period $2 \pi M$ as

$$
\mathcal{J}_{M} \phi\left(\xi, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(x_{1}+2 \pi M j, x_{2}\right) e^{-i 2 \pi M \xi \cdot j}, \quad \xi \in I^{M}:=\left[0, \frac{1}{M}\right],\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in U^{0}
$$

Fix $\xi \in I$ and we denote by $\xi_{0}:=\frac{\xi}{M} \in I^{M}$. We consider $\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(x, y):=\left(\mathcal{J}_{M} \Phi(\cdot, y)\right)\left(\xi_{0}, x\right)$ the $\xi_{0} M$ -quasi-periodic Green function with period $2 \pi M$. Similarly to (1.22), we define $u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, M}=w_{\xi_{0}}$ decomposed as

$$
u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, M}(\cdot, y)=u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, p}(\cdot, y)+\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y)
$$

where $u_{\xi_{0}}^{s, p}(\cdot, y) \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R, M}\right)$ solution of (1.23) with $\Gamma_{0}^{R}$ is replaced by $\Gamma_{0}^{R, M}:=[0,2 \pi M] \times\{R\}$ and $\tilde{u}_{\xi_{0}}^{s}(\cdot, y) \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ solution of (1.24). We introduce the $\xi_{0} M$-quasi periodic near field operator $N_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ : $L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ given as

$$
N_{\xi_{0}}^{M}=N_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}+\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}
$$

with $N_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}: L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ and $\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}: L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ are given as (2.35) with $\Gamma_{0}^{R}=\Gamma_{0}^{R, M}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{J}_{M}$. Define $S_{\xi_{0}}^{M}: L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}(D)$ the operator given as (2.30) with $\Gamma_{0}^{R}=\Gamma_{0}^{R, M}$ and $\overline{\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(y, x)}=\overline{\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(y, x)}$. Then, as in Section 2 the operator $N_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ is decomposed as

$$
N_{\xi_{0}}^{M}=G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(S_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\right)
$$

where $G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}: L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ is the operator defined by

$$
G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\left.\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{R, M}} ^{R}
$$

with $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{1}\left(\Omega_{0}^{R, M}\right)$ being the solution of (1.23) and $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\mathcal{J}_{M}\left(\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}\right)\left(\xi_{0}, \cdot\right)$ with $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}} \in \tilde{H}^{1}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ is the solution of (1.24). Moreover, we denote by $I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ the norm given as (2.56) with $N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=N_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}, \tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{R}=\Gamma_{0}^{R, M}$.
4.1. Application of the GLSM for the reconstruction of $D_{0}^{M}$. Following the same steps as in Section 2 we can present the free noise version of the GLSM. Introducing the functional $J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}: L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given as

$$
J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\phi ; g_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\alpha I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}\right)+\left\|\left(N_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}+\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}\right) g_{\xi_{0}}-\phi\right\|^{2} .
$$

We denote by $j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}(\phi)=\inf _{g_{\xi_{0}} \in L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}(D)} J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\phi ; g_{\xi_{0}}\right)$. Moreover, let $c(\alpha)>0$ verifying $\frac{c(\alpha)}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4 hold. Assume in addition that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 2.8 hold. Consider $z \in \Omega^{R}$, and let $g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha} \in L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(\cdot, z), g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(\cdot, z)\right)+c(\alpha),
$$

then

$$
z \in D_{0}^{M} \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right)<\infty
$$

Proof. The prove is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.9.
4.2. Application of the GLSM for the reconstruction of $D_{0}^{p, M}$. In this section we consider $M \geqslant 2$ and we explain how one can reconstruct only the domain $D_{0}^{p, M}$. Fix $\xi \in I$ and we denote by $\xi_{0}:=\frac{\xi}{M} \in I^{M}$. We observe that the Green function $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$ is also $\xi_{0} M$-quasi periodic with period $2 \pi M$. Therefore, we can follow the same steps in the previous section by replacing $\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(x, y)$ by $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(x, y)$ and we use that $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$ admits singular points in $\Omega_{0}^{R, M}$ for $z \in \tilde{D}$ to reconstruct only the periodic domain $D_{0}^{p, M}$.
Lemma 4.2. Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 hold and that Assumptions 2.3 holds. Then we have that

$$
\left(z \in D_{0}^{p, M}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) \in \operatorname{Range}\left(G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $z \in D^{p}$. We consider $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{\text {inc }}(D)$ such that $\left.v_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{\tilde{D}}=-\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$. Let $\left(u_{\xi_{0}}, v_{\xi_{0}}\right) \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right) \times$ $L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D^{p}\right)$ be the solution of (ITP1) with $(\varphi, \psi)=\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), \frac{\partial \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)}{\partial \nu}\right)$. We set

$$
w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}:= \begin{cases}u_{\xi_{0}}-v_{\xi_{0}} & \text { in } D^{p}, \\ \Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) & \text { in } \Omega^{R} \backslash D^{p} .\end{cases}
$$

We observe that $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{i n c}(D)$, where

$$
H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{i n c}(D):=\left\{v \in L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}(D), \Delta v+k^{2} v=0 \text { in } D\right\}
$$

and $w_{\xi_{0}}^{p} \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R}\right)$ satisfies (1.23). Moreover, let $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}$ be the solution of (1.24). Since $\left(n_{p}-n\right)\left(w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\right.$ $\left.v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=0$, then $\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}=0$ and consequently $G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$.
Consider now the case where $z:=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \notin D^{p}$. Assume that there exists $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{i n c}(D)$ such that
$G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$. By the unique continuation principle we get $w_{\xi_{0}}:=w_{\xi_{0}}^{p}+\tilde{w}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)$ in $U^{0} \backslash D_{0}^{M}$. Since $\tilde{D}$ is not distributed periodically, then for $z \in \tilde{D}$ there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z_{j}:=\left(z_{1}+2 \pi j, z_{2}\right) \in$ $\Omega_{0}^{R, M} \backslash D_{0}^{M}$. Therefore, $\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) \notin H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash D_{0}^{M}\right)$ for all $z \in \Omega^{R} \backslash D_{0}^{p, M}$ while $w_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Omega^{R} \backslash D_{0}^{M}\right)$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4 hold. Assume in addition that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 2.8 hold. Consider $z \in \Omega^{R}$, and let $g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha} \in L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ such that

$$
J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)\right)+c(\alpha),
$$

then

$$
z \in D_{0}^{p, M} \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right)<\infty .
$$

Moreover, if $z \in D_{0}^{p, M}$ then $\left.S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}\right|_{D_{0}^{p}}$ converges to some $v_{\xi_{0}}$ in $L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{p, M}\right)$ where $v_{\xi_{0}}$ is solution of (ITP1).
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.9. By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6 adopted to the $2 \pi M$ periodic case we prove that the operator $N_{\xi_{0}}^{M}=N_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}+\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}$ can be factorized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\xi_{0}}^{M}=G_{\xi_{0}}^{M} S_{\xi_{0}}^{M}=S_{\xi_{0}}^{*, M} T_{\xi_{0}}^{M} S_{\xi_{0}}^{M}, \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has dense range, with $S_{\xi_{0}}^{*, M}: L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}(D) \longrightarrow L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ is the adjoint of the operator $S_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ and $T_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ is the operator defined as (2.39). Moreover, using Lemma 2.8 we prove that the norm $I_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}$ is an equivalent norm to $\left\|S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{M}\right)}$. Therefore, the results of the theorem are then a straightforward application of Theorem 2.7 in [4] and Lemma 4.2.
4.3. Application of the Differential Sampling Method for the reconstruction of $\tilde{D}$. As in [9], we explain in this section how one directly reconstruct $\tilde{D}$ using a differential indicator function. Consider $M \geqslant 2$, fix $\xi \in I$. We denote by $\xi_{0}:=\frac{\xi}{M} \in I^{M}$. Consider $g^{\alpha} \in \tilde{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right), g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha} \in L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ and $g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M} \in L_{\xi_{0}, M}^{2}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\alpha}\left(\Phi(\cdot, z), g^{\alpha}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\alpha}(\Phi(\cdot, z))+c(\alpha), \\
& J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)\right)+c(\alpha), \\
& J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z)\right)+c(\alpha),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $c(\alpha)>0$ verifying $\frac{c(\alpha)}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Let us define the indicator function to identify $\tilde{D}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}^{\alpha}(z):=\left[\check{I}\left(g^{\alpha}\right)\left(1+\frac{\check{I}\left(g^{\alpha}\right)}{I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-\frac{1}{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right)}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{4.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $I$ is the norm defined by (3.94) and $I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ is the norm given as (2.56) with $N_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=N_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}, \tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p}=\tilde{N}_{\xi_{0}}^{p, M}$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{R}=\Gamma_{0}^{R, M}$.
Theorem 4.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 we have

$$
(z \in \tilde{D}) \Longleftrightarrow\left(\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}>0\right)
$$

Proof. Consider $z \in D^{p}$. By Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 4.3 we have that $S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}$ converges respectively to $v_{\xi_{0}} \in H_{\xi_{0}}^{i n c}(D)$ and $v_{\xi_{0}}^{M} \in H_{\xi_{0}, M}^{i n c}(D)$ verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\xi_{0}}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}\right)=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) \quad \text { and } \quad G_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(v_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\right)=\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z) . \tag{4.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 4.2 we observe that $v_{\xi_{0}}$ and $v_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$ are solutions of (ITP1) with $(\varphi, \psi)=\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}}(\cdot, z), \frac{\left.\partial \Phi_{\xi_{0}} \cdot, z\right)}{\partial \nu}\right)$, then $v_{\xi_{0}}$ coincides with $v_{\xi_{0}}^{M}$. On the other hand, since $I_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}$ is an equivalent norm to $\left\|S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{M}\right)}$. Therefore, there exists of constant $c_{1}>0$ independent from $\xi_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-\frac{1}{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right) \leqslant c_{1}\left\|S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-\frac{1}{M} S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{M}\right)}^{2} \tag{4.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we observe that $S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}=M S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}$. Therefore, the right hand side of (4.99) tends to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, from Theorem 3.5 we have that $\check{I}\left(g^{\alpha}\right)<\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(z)=0 \quad \text { for } z \in D^{p}
$$

Consider now the case where $z \in \tilde{D}$. From Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 4.3 we have that $\left\|S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{M}\right)} \rightarrow$ $\infty$ and $\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}\right\|_{L_{\xi_{0}}^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}$ is bounded as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have that

$$
I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-\frac{1}{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right) \geqslant c_{2}\left\|S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{M}\right)}^{2} \geqslant c_{2}\left\|S_{\xi_{0}}^{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}^{M}\right)}^{2}-c_{2}\left\|S_{\xi_{0}} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{0}\right)}^{2},
$$

with $c_{2}>0$ is a constant independent from $\xi_{0}$. therefore

$$
I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-\frac{1}{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right) \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow 0
$$

which implies that

$$
0<\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(z)<\infty \quad \text { for } z \in \tilde{D}
$$

This ends the proof.
Remark 4.5. Consider $\hat{g}_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Gamma^{R}\right)$ satisfying

$$
J_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(\cdot, z), \hat{g}_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}(z)\right) \leqslant j_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\left(\Phi_{\xi_{0}, M}(\cdot, z)\right)+c(\alpha),
$$

and define

$$
\mathcal{I}_{M}^{\alpha}(z):=\left[I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(\hat{g}_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}\right)\left(1+\frac{I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(\hat{g}^{\alpha, M}\right)}{I_{\xi_{0}}^{M}\left(g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha, M}-\frac{1}{M} g_{\xi_{0}}^{\alpha}\right)}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

We observe that $\mathcal{I}_{M}^{\alpha}$ can be considered also as an indicator function for the identification of $\tilde{D}$, i.e Theorem 4.4 still holds if we change $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}$ by $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{M}$ and the proof follows the same arguments but with applying Theorem 4.1 instead of Theorem 3.5.
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