



HAL
open science

Wake and aeroelasticity of a flexible pitching foil

Juan D'adamo, Manuel Collaud, Roberto Sosa, Ramiro Godoy-Diana

► **To cite this version:**

Juan D'adamo, Manuel Collaud, Roberto Sosa, Ramiro Godoy-Diana. Wake and aeroelasticity of a flexible pitching foil. *Bioinspiration and Biomimetics*, 2022, 17 (4), pp.045002. 10.1088/1748-3190/ac6d96 . hal-03876599

HAL Id: hal-03876599

<https://hal.science/hal-03876599>

Submitted on 28 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Wake and aeroelasticity of a flexible pitching foil

Juan D'Adamo¹, Manuel Collaud¹, Roberto Sosa¹, and Ramiro Godoy-Diana²

¹*Laboratorio de Fluidodinámica, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Buenos Aires, CONICET, Av. Paseo Colón 850, C1063ACV, Buenos Aires, Argentina and*

²*Laboratoire de Physique et Mécanique des Milieux Hétérogènes (PMMH), CNRS UMR 7636, ESPCI Paris—Université PSL,*

Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, F-75005 Paris, France

A flexible foil undergoing pitching oscillations is studied experimentally in a wind tunnel with different imposed free stream velocities. The chord-based Reynolds number is in the range 1600–4000, such that the dynamics of the system is governed by inertial forces and the wake behind the foil exhibits the reverse Bénard-von Kármán vortex street characteristic of flapping-based propulsion. Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) measurements are performed to examine the flow around the foil, whilst the deformation of the foil is also tracked. The first natural frequency of vibration of the foil is within the range of flapping frequencies explored, determining a strongly-coupled dynamics between the elastic foil deformation and the vortex shedding. Cluster-based reduced order modelling is applied on the PIV data in order to identify the coherent flow structures. Analysing the foil kinematics and using a control-volume calculation of the average drag forces from the corresponding velocity fields, we determine the optimal flapping configurations for thrust generation. We show that propulsive force peaks occur at dimensionless frequencies shifted with respect to the elastic resonances that are marked by maximum trailing edge oscillation amplitudes. The thrust peaks are better explained by a wake resonance, which we examine using the tools of classic hydrodynamic stability on the mean propulsive jet profiles.

I. INTRODUCTION

An oscillating elastic plate constitutes probably the simplest model of a bio-inspired propulsive mechanism: the back and forth motion of a structure subject to deformation as it interacts with the surrounding fluid is the basic fluid-dynamical problem behind animal swimming and flying [see e.g. 1, 2, and references therein], regardless of the broad collection of different geometrical and material properties, as well as the specificities of different kinematics with different degrees of complexity involved in insect [3, 4], bird [5, 6] and bat [7] wings [8], and fish fins and tails [9, 10].

From an engineering perspective, flapping-based propulsion has come back to the center stage in the past two decades especially with the development of micro-air-vehicles (MAVs)—fuelled by miniaturisation—see e.g. [11–13] for a review. With respect to conventional aerodynamics, the main difference is rooted in the unsteady mechanisms of force production by a flapping wing. Average thrust and lift are the outcome of the periodic flapping motion of a structure that accelerates and decelerates, as opposed to the case of fixed-wing aircraft, where thrust is produced by a jet engine or propeller and lift is the result of the flow around the static wing. Moreover, these unsteady mechanisms are intimately linked to the problem of vortex shedding, especially the forced vortex shedding that occurs on the time scale clocked by the flapping characteristic frequency. The distinctive feature of the wake of a flapping foil is a sequence of counter-rotating vortices that bears resemblance to the vortex street behind a bluff body but where, in the regimes of interest for propulsion, the sign of vorticity is inverted: the reverse Bénard-von Kármán (BvK) vortex street [14–16]. The average flow field behind a flapping foil producing thrust is a jet flow. In the case of a body in self-propulsion, as the body accelerates towards a cruising regime, the jet profile behind the flapping body tends to a momentum-less wake profile (typically an inner jet surrounded by a drag wake) where the average thrust is balanced by the global drag [17, 18]. In the case of flapping flight, the aerodynamic force produced by the flapping wings is directed obliquely downwards, and it is partly used for thrust and partly as lift to counter the weight of the flyer, the limit case being that of hovering, where all the thrust is directed downwards and there is no cruising speed.

In addition to the imposed flapping motion, the other main ingredient governing the dynamics of wings, fins and bio-inspired appendages is their structural deformation response [see e.g. 19, 20]. The latter is determined by the material and geometric properties of the body and it is the basic solid mechanics problem of forced vibrations. Without the surrounding fluid, i.e. for a body flapping in vacuum, the whole problem is defined by the interplay between the solid inertial forces that drive the deformation and the elastic restoring force. Adding the fluid to this forced vibration problem means, from the point of view of the structure, new fluid forces (viscous and inertial). But from the point of view of the fluid there is a whole new set of equations of motion to be considered, where the elastic solid determines the boundary conditions. Physically, the flapping foil is thus a fluid-structure interaction problem governed by a few dimensionless parameters that measure the respective importance of inertial, viscous and elastic forces in both the solid and the fluid. We can be more specific considering a flat plate of chord length L , span H , mass per unit surface

1 μ , bending stiffness $B = EI$ as a model, immersed in a fluid of density ρ and kinematic viscosity ν , and actuated
 2 in pitching oscillations of amplitude θ_R and frequency f_f . The main dimensionless parameters are then: the **mass**
 3 **ratio** $\mathcal{M} = \rho/\rho_s$, which compares the density of the fluid to that of the solid; the Reynolds number $Re = UL/\nu$,
 4 which compares fluid inertial vs. viscous forces—where U is a characteristic velocity that can be the average flying
 5 or swimming speed in a cruising regime, or the flapping characteristic velocity defined in terms of f_f and θ_R); and
 6 the dimensionless frequency $f^+ = f_f/f_n$, that compares the forcing frequency f_f to the natural frequency of the first
 7 mode of vibration of the structure f_n and thus contains the information about the bending stiffness.

8 The parameter space defined by \mathcal{M} , Re and f^+ encompasses a wide variety of problems, ranging from relatively
 9 stiff flapping wings of some insects [21] to the very flexible parapodia of swimming snails [22]. In this paper we
 10 focus on an aerodynamic problem with $\mathcal{M} \sim 10^{-3}$ and $Re \sim 10^3$, and we examine the case of a rectangular foil of
 11 span-to-chord aspect ratio of ~ 4.6 bending chord-wise mostly on its first mode of deformation as in [23, 24]. We
 12 use two-dimensional (2D) Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) on the symmetry plane at mid-span to examine the flow
 13 in the wake and cluster-based reduced order modelling to identify the coherent flow structures. Simultaneously, the
 14 elastic deformation of the foil is tracked. The first natural frequency of vibration of the foil is within the range of
 15 frequencies of the forcing pitching oscillations, which determines a strong coupling between the structural dynamics
 16 of the elastic foil and the vortex shedding in the wake. We use a control-volume calculation based on the PIV data in
 17 order to compute the average drag force for each flapping configuration, which allows us to determine optimal points
 18 in the parameter space in terms of thrust generation. We show that propulsive force peaks occur at dimensionless
 19 frequencies shifted with respect to the elastic resonances, which are marked by maximum trailing edge oscillation
 20 amplitudes. The thrust peaks are better explained by a wake resonance [25–27], which we examine using the tools of
 21 classic hydrodynamic stability on the mean propulsive jet profiles [28].

22 II. CONFIGURATION AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

23 The flow configuration is described in Fig. 1a for a closed loop wind tunnel that has a test section of
 24 **dimensions** $L_x = L_y = L_z = 180$ mm. A flexible foil of chord length $L = 35$ mm and span $s = 160$ mm undergoes
 25 an oscillatory rotation, **animated by a DC motor through a crank mechanism**. **The parameters of the**
 26 **movement are a forcing angular frequency** $\omega_f = 2\pi f_f$ and a fixed angular amplitude $\theta_R = 10^\circ$. **We choose an**
 27 **aspect ratio** $s : L \simeq 4.6$ **that favors 2D flow structures even though the wake is 3D**. **Concerning flow**
 28 **blockage, for rigid motions, the frontal length of the foil** $L_y : 2L \sin(\theta) \simeq 14.8$ **assures that the wind tunnel**
 29 **walls should not affect the wake flow dynamics**.

30 **As described by Figure 1b, the total displacement** of the foil $w(x, t)$ can be written as the sum of a rigid
 31 motion $x\theta$ and an elastic deformation \tilde{w} , i.e. $w = \tilde{w} + x\theta$, as illustrated on Fig.1b. Considering forced oscillations
 32 included as $\theta = \theta_0 \sin(\omega_f t)$, it is possible to characterize the dynamics using an Euler-Bernoulli beam framework
 33 **for the displacements w with times and spatial derivatives correspondingly \dot{w} , w'** . We can write the
 34 conservation of momentum for the elastic foil as:

$$35 \mu \ddot{w} + B w^{iv} + C_{Dp} L |\dot{w} + w'U| (\dot{w} + w'U) = 0 \quad (1)$$

36 where μ is the mass per unit length, $B = EI$ is the flexural rigidity composed of the Young modulus E
 37 and the moment of inertia I . C_{Dp} is the drag coefficient for the flow normal to a plate, a resistive contribution as
 38 proposed by [29] and later revisited by [30–32]. **In our case, we have contributions from the flapping motion**
 39 **\dot{w} and from the projection of the free stream flow $w'U$ on the same direction**. Reynolds numbers based
 40 on the chord length are in the range $Re \sim [1600, 4000]$, corresponding to the working velocities of the wind tunnel
 41 of $U \in [0.7, 1.8]$ m/s. Because viscous friction and added mass have small contributions, fluid forces on the foil are in
 42 practice given by the last term of Eq. 1. The expression can be further simplified if we consider that the first mode
 43 of elastic deformation is dominant. It is thus sufficient to study the projection of the trailing edge displacements
 44 $\delta = w(L, t)$.

45 We have chosen the physical parameters of the foil and the kinematic parameter space in order to define a problem
 46 with strong coupling between the fluid and solid elastic dynamics. On the one hand, considering a frontal area of
 47 the flapping object given by a characteristic length ℓ times the span s , a vortex shedding frequency can be roughly
 48 estimated using the Strouhal number

$$49 St = f_{vs} \ell / U = f_{vs} 2\delta_R / U \quad (2)$$

50 and a reference value for bluff bodies $St \approx 0.2$. For the range of wind tunnel speeds of the present experiment, the
 51 vortex shedding frequencies—which are here driven by the frequency of the forcing pitching oscillation (i.e. $f_{vs} \equiv f_f$)
 52 are thus in the range $[10 \dots 30]$ Hz. On the other hand, considering the foil as a cantilever beam, its elastic natural
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60




FIG. 1: (a) General view of the experimental setup of the pitching flapping foil in the wind tunnel. **A laser sheet** defines the field of vision. (b) 2D view at midspan **describing the flow configuration and geometrical description**. (c) **PIV instantaneous vorticity field** corresponding to $U_R = 0.29$, $f^+ = 1.47$. (d) **Contours of the time-averaged net velocity flow $u(x) = u_x - U$ showing a jet-like flow structure**.

frequency is $f_n = k^2 \sqrt{B/\mu} / 2\pi$, where the wavenumber k is such that $kL = 1.875$ for the first elastic bending mode. For a $50\mu\text{m}$ polyethylene foil, the elastic natural frequency is $f_n \approx 12.27$ Hz. This value was confirmed experimentally through image processing of free damped vibrations of the foil, which gave $f_n = 11.73$ Hz.

For image acquisition, we used a monochromatic high-speed video camera (Weinberger SpeedCam in a mode of 400 frames/s with a spatial resolution of 512×512 pixels²). We also performed Particle Image Velocimetry measurements using a LaVision system, composed of an ImagerPro 1600×1200 CCD camera with 14-bit dynamic range capable of recording double-frame pairs of images at 14 Hz and a two-rod Nd-YAG (15mJ) pulsed laser. The field of view was $170\text{mm} \times 60\text{mm}$ (about $5L \times 2L$), with a spatial resolution of 0.8mm ($0.023L$) and the number of snapshots for each case was 680, in order to assure statistical convergence. A typical instantaneous vorticity field $\omega(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is presented in Fig. 1c, where its contour levels follow the foil deformation. Two rows of eddies exhibit the characteristic arrangement of the reverse BvK vortex street, with positive (negative) eddies placed in regions where $y > 0$ ($y < 0$). This configuration produces a net momentum injection in the wake, typical of propulsion produced by flapping motion, with a jet-like structure noticeable by averaging in time the corresponding component of the velocity field, $u_x(x)$.

Assuming ergodicity, time-averaged velocity fields $\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ and fluctuations intensity of the j velocity component $\langle u_j'^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ were determined respectively as:

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_i) \quad \langle u_j'^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N (u_j(\mathbf{x}, t_i) - \langle u_j \rangle)^2 \right\} \quad (3)$$

Then, with this definition, mean net momentum induced by flapping, $u = u_x - U$ is illustrated as contour levels on Fig. 1d.

The PIV measurements in the present experiment are not time resolved, since the maximum sampling frequency of the system $f_S \sim f_{nat} \sim f_{vs}$. However, the flow temporal dynamics was accurately recovered using a *clustering* method. The algorithm is based on the k -means algorithm (see Appendix A 2 for details) and was used to classify the acquired PIV fields and obtain a number of different representative states. As *clustering* is similar to phase averaging, the velocity and vorticity fields are more clear than direct snapshots, and the whole period of flapping can be reconstructed.

III. RESULTS

A. Flexible foil dynamics

We proceed to characterize the response of the elastic foil by varying the forcing frequency f_f or its non-dimensionalized form $f^+ = f_f/f_n$ in a range $f^+ \in [0.5, 1.8]$. We define the reduced velocity $U_R = U/(2\pi f_n L)$ that expresses the coupling between the free flow U and the elastic foil dynamics.

The results are presented in Fig. 2a, where the non-dimensional displacements of the trailing edge of the foil δ^+ are obtained by comparing the total to the rigid displacements $\delta^+ = \delta/\delta_R$. Similar curves are obtained for each reduced velocity U_R , and the data points are fitted with a third order polynomial around the maximum of displacement δ_{max}^+ . The corresponding maxima $(f_{max}^+, \delta_{max}^+)$ are plotted on Fig. 2b their relationship, together with a second-order polynomial fit. In the inset of the same Figure, a linear dependence between the reduced velocity U_R and the maximum of amplitude δ_{max}^+ is displayed. It can be seen that the maximum deformation δ_{max}^+ decreases and f_{max}^+ shifts with U_R . **Modeling the foil dynamics as a nonlinear oscillator with damping contributions from \dot{w} and U_R , it is reasonable to find such behavior. This could be done by reducing eq.(1) to a system of equations regarding trailing edge dynamics. Through these results it is possible the approximation of displacements for different flow conditions by interpolation, criterion supported by Fig. 2c, where a scaling can be performed showing that the regime is similar for each reduced velocity,**

Another way to scrutinize the kinematics is to compare the changes on the Strouhal number defined in eq.2 with respect to a different Strouhal number defined using the elastic displacement 2δ , $St^* = 2\delta f_f/U$. Fig. 2d shows a family of similar curves for the different reduced velocities. More insight on the fluid-structure coupling can be gained recalling the different terms in eq. (1) for the Euler-Bernoulli model of the elastic displacements of the foil. We define a Cauchy number

$$C_Y^* = (\dot{w} + Uw')^2/(\omega_n L)^2 \quad (4)$$

that compares the kinetic energy of the fluid velocities (we can identify the flapping velocity \dot{w} that is responsible for a major component of damping drag, and also the contribution from the free stream velocity coupled by the angle, Uw') to that of the solid elastic vibrations given by $(\omega_n L)^2$, for each reduced velocity U_R . **Both \dot{w} and w' are evaluated at the trailing edge of the foil, therefore, $C_Y^*(U, f_f, w')$ is a function that changes for every measured case.** The inset of Fig. 2d shows that the Cauchy number C_Y^* provides a satisfactory scaling for the Strouhal numbers relationship.

B. Flow field results

The flow dynamics in the wake of the foil was inspected for different parameters (f^+, U_R) through PIV measurements. A representative state of the flow was already presented in Fig. 1c (c) for the case of $U_R = 0.29$ and $f^+ = 1.47$, where the well-known reverse BvK vortex street regime is established. A first characterization of the induced velocity field can be obtained by representing contour lines of the difference between time mean averaged and free flow as shown in Fig. 1d. A jet-like flow structure is the result in each forced case, and we can compare them conveniently by plotting a profile at $y = 0$ as displayed on Fig.3a. For $U_R = 0.29$ an increment in the overall magnitude of the velocity profiles is observed up to $f^+ = 1.47$. This is expected as $\omega_f = 2\pi f_f L$ gets higher, but effective flapping amplitudes reduce for $f^+ > 1.3$ recalling Fig. 2a. Maxima for the curves are summarized in Fig. 3b, where it is clear that for increasing U_R , induced motion diminishes monotonically. On the other hand, flapping also produces transversal velocity fluctuations $\langle u_y'^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ which are displayed in Fig. 3c. These augment with f^+ and attain a maximum for a value in the range $1.30 < f^+ < 1.63$.

Furthermore, Fig. 3d outlines the evolution of transversal velocity fluctuations maxima for each forced case. We shall analyze how these components constrain effective streamwise momentum production or, in a more general




FIG. 2: a) Amplitude of non-dimensional displacements δ^+ as a function of the non-dimensional forcing frequency f^+ under various reduced velocities U_R . The maxima of $(f_{\max}^+, \delta_{\max}^+)$ are determined for each curve and plotted on b), showing the amplitude attenuation and frequency shift. In the inset, a quasi-linear dependence with respect to $U_R = U/(\omega_n L)$ is shown. c) Renormalized version of a) δ^+/δ_{\max}^+ vs. f^+/f_{\max}^+ . d) Strouhal numbers defined from rigid and from total elastic displacements. The inset shows a scaling through the Cauchy-like number C_Y^* .

context, how they are related to the determination of drag forces. We will also later discuss this aspect in terms of the wake receptivity or its hydrodynamical stability properties.

C. Drag forces

Time-averaged aerodynamic forces, particularly drag/thrust in the streamwise direction can be estimated from PIV 2D-velocity field data. There are different approaches to achieve this goal in the literature (see for instance [33]). Here we start by considering the general framework depicted in Fig., 4a that consists in integrating both the momentum budget in a control volume \mathcal{V} enclosing the flapping foil and the pressure forces on the corresponding boundary surface \mathcal{S} . The expression for the force reads:

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = -\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \rho \mathbf{u} dv + \int_{\mathcal{S}} (-p\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds, \quad (5)$$




FIG. 3: Forced wake flow characteristics.

where p is the pressure field, \mathbf{I} the unit tensor, $\mathbf{T} = \mu(\nabla\mathbf{u} + \nabla^T\mathbf{u})$ is the viscous stress tensor (with viscosity μ) and \mathbf{n} is a unit vector orthogonal to the boundary \mathcal{S} .

Neglecting the effect of inhomogeneities in the flow along the spanwise direction, the projection of the time-averaged Eq. (5) in the streamwise direction yields

$$F_D = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u_x(1 - u_x)dy + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Delta p dy - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle u_x'^2 \rangle dy \quad (6)$$

where, for the sake of simpler notation $F_D \equiv \langle F_D \rangle$, $u_x \equiv \langle u_x \rangle$ and in the equation the integral is evaluated on a fixed wake coordinate $x = x_{\text{wake}}$. Viscous terms are therefore very small compared with convective and pressure




FIG. 4: (a) Schematic representation of a control volume approach to determine forces from velocity fields. (b) Momentum fluxes for drag estimation in equation (6) for $U_R = 0.29$, $f^+ = 1.47$ at $x_{\text{wake}} = 2.5L$.

$\Delta p = (p(x_{\text{wake}}, y) - p_\infty)$ terms. The main difficulty of this expression is the estimation of the pressure field from the 2D velocity field. This is achieved either by means of the Poisson equation (see e.g. [34]), or by integrating the Navier-Stokes (NS) equation along the control surface [35]. The time-averaged pressure gradient on $x = x_{\text{wake}}$ is given by:

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \langle p \rangle}{\partial y} = \langle u_x \rangle \frac{\partial \langle u_y \rangle}{\partial x} + \langle u_y \rangle \frac{\partial \langle u_x \rangle}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \langle u'_x u'_y \rangle}{\partial x} + \boxed{\frac{\partial \langle u'_y u'_y \rangle}{\partial y}} \quad (7)$$

where, for wakes, the last term is much larger than the rest, so $\Delta p \approx \rho \langle u'_y{}^2 \rangle$ accounts for the change of pressure in the wake due to transverse velocity fluctuations gradients. Figure 4b presents an example of how the terms in Eq. (6) can be evaluated at a position x_{wake} and integrated in order to obtain drag forces. **It can be seen that the transverse velocity fluctuations (orange circles) bring a contribution of the order of the momentum flux in the stream direction (blue squares).**

A possible approach to explicitly compute the force was proposed by [36] and later revisited by [37], where pressure terms can be replaced by products of velocity and vorticity impulse contributions (for a formulation in the case of a cylinder wake, see e.g. [38]).

However, in order to obtain accurate results, the evaluation of the flow field at a position x_{wake} far enough from the body is needed, which is out of reach of the PIV measurement window of the present experiments.

To circumvent this problem, we used an alternative method following the study presented in [39] (see also [40]). Through a control volume scheme, under the hypothesis of periodicity, for a wake flow expressed as $\mathbf{u} = U\mathbf{i} + \nabla\phi = (U + u)\mathbf{i} + v\mathbf{j} + w\mathbf{k}$, a superposition of a potential flow $\nabla\phi$ and a far field velocity U , it is possible to estimate time-averaged forces on a flapping body. We recall, that in the context of wake flows, the Reynolds numbers of these experiments $Re \sim 10^3$ allow us to consider that starting at the very near wake, inertia is dominant with respect to viscous forces.

A light loading hypothesis applies when the unsteady wake and its associated potential are simply convected in the x -direction with speed $\sim U$, given that $|\nabla\phi| \ll U$. To leading order, the potential is $\phi = \phi(x - Ut, y, z)$. Furthermore, the unsteady flow is periodic in the x -direction so averaging over one temporal period is equivalent to averaging over one spatial period. It results that the momentum balance reduces to:

$$\mathbf{F} = -\frac{1}{T} \iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \rho (u\mathbf{i} + v\mathbf{j} + w\mathbf{k}) d\mathcal{V} \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{V} is the Trefftz volume, which encloses an x -periodic control volume in the wake, characterised by a length $\ell_{\text{Trefftz}} = UT = U/f_f$, as showed in Fig. 4a. In non-dimensional form,

$$\tilde{\ell}_{\text{Trefftz}} = \ell_{\text{Trefftz}}/L = UT/L = U/f_f L.$$




FIG. 5: a) Drag Coefficients as a function of the non-dimensional forcing frequency f^+ for different values of the reduced velocity U_R . b) Corresponding Trefftz lengths. In cases marked by black circles, $UT > \ell_{\text{PIV domain}}$ and the force computation with eq. (8) cannot be performed.

Recalling that $St = f_f 2\delta_R/U$ and $\delta_R = L \sin(\theta_R)$, it follows that

$$\tilde{\ell}_{\text{Trefftz}} = \frac{U}{f_f L} \frac{\delta_R}{\delta_R} = \frac{U}{f_f \delta_R} \sin(\theta_R) = \frac{2 \sin(\theta_R)}{St} \quad (9)$$

We can evaluate the integral in Eq. (8) on the volume defined by this length and divide by the period T or multiply equivalently by f_f or St in non-dimensional form. The result of this computation expressed as a drag coefficient $C_D = 2F_x/(\rho U^2 2\delta_R)$, considering homogeneity in the spanwise direction, is shown in Fig. 5. The computed forces are plotted as a function of the forcing frequency for all the different values of the reduced velocity U_R on Fig. 5a. It is evident that as U_R increases, the momentum produced from flapping is smaller compared to the incoming flow, so in $U_R = 0.29$ we appreciate the highest thrust (or the minimum drag). Among these values, a minimum is attained at a forcing frequency around $f^+ = 1.47$. We note that this value does not match to the solid elastic resonance $f^+ = 1$ nor to the maximum elastic displacement observed in Fig. 2a at $f^+ = 1.30$.

Trefftz volumes, defined by the associated lengths ℓ_{Trefftz} are determined from the experimental parameters and plotted on Fig. 5b. For some cases, UT becomes higher than the PIV domain behind the foil, so we cannot use this scheme. Nonetheless, the relationship between drag and forcing frequency presents strong changes for low relative velocity values, $U_R = [0.29; 0.37]$, where Trefftz volumes are well defined. For the other cases, the induced momentum, as already depicted in Fig. 3b, is just high enough to compensate the losses in the wake due to transversal fluctuations.

In this context, previous works have hypothesized on the causes of an optimal propulsion. Triantafyllou *et al.* [25, 41], for the case of wake dynamics of a pitching rigid foil postulated that the frequency of maximum spatial amplification in the wake provides optimal thrust production per input power. In the same sense, later, Moored *et al.* [26, 27] experimentally showed that resonant peaks in thrust occurred for discrete values of the effective flexibility, a non-dimensional parameter measuring the ratio of added mass forces to internal bending forces. In their study, not only friction but added-mass contributions play a role on more complex dynamics. Their linear stability analysis used the Orr-Sommerfeld equation as viscous terms cannot be neglected and the flow finds more combinations of resonant frequencies for the wakes. They found on the one hand that for flexible propulsors each peak in efficiency occurs when the driving frequency of motion is tuned to a wake resonant frequency, not a structural resonant frequency. On the other hand, panel flexibilities that attain global optimally efficient locomotion are those for which structural and wake resonant frequencies are tuned.

Although, the analysis is focused on a self-propelled condition, we found that some of these concepts can be applied to our present results, as we discuss in what follows.




FIG. 6: a) **Non-dimensional circulation as a function of forcing frequency. Filled symbols come from PIV measurements and non-filled symbols from the kinematic model represented schematically in b). The model predicts circulation from the parameters of the foil kinematics and the free flow (see text).**

D. Drag forces and Circulation

Considering a potential flow theoretical framework, the forces induced on the body are proportional to each element of the vortex street circulation Γ_i and to their distance y_i respect the symmetry axis $y = 0$, as described by the impulse equation :

$$F = \frac{d}{dt} \sum \Gamma_i y_i \quad (10)$$

The circulation $\Gamma_i = \int_s \omega \mathbf{d}s$ can be determined for each case as we have clear quantitative results of vorticity and vortex definition from the PIV cluster analysis. The results are displayed on Fig 6a. An alternative estimate for the circulation can be obtained using the foil kinematics. Since there is a competition between vorticity production from the free flow and from flapping, as depicted by Fig. 6b, $\Gamma_i = \int_s \bar{u} \bar{d}l$, we express:

$$\Gamma_i = \Gamma_{u\theta} - \Gamma_U = \omega_f L \delta - U \cos(\theta) \delta \quad (11)$$

The values computed from this kinematic approximation are also presented in Fig. 6a and a reasonable agreement with the calculation from the PIV fields is found for most cases. Also, the kinematic computation brings an estimate of the circulation where no PIV data is available, thereby extending the range of frequencies explored. For $U_R = 0.29$ the circulation prediction from the kinematic model exceeds the calculation from the PIV measurements for frequencies over the maximum, $f^+ > 1.30$. Maxima are delayed for increasing U_R so differences in other cases are not so clearly displayed. We hypothesize that the wake is less sensitive to higher frequencies so forcing at $f^+ > f_{\max}^+$ ceases to create effective vorticity.

Examining $U_R = 0.29$, for $f^+ = 1.14$ and $f^+ = 1.47$ we observe that while the value of vortex circulation from PIV measurements does not change significantly, the drag coefficient on Fig.5a is about the double. Recalling Eq. (10), this fact confirms that the change in the resulting force must be due to a modification in vortex arrangements.

The spatial development of the vorticity field is depicted in Fig. 7, for a similar forcing phase period **under three different forcing frequencies**. We observe that the intensity and position of vortices in the near wake does no differ from one case to the other but for larger distances ($x/L > 2.5$) $f^+ = 1.47$ shows a more ordered structure. Traces for every phase of the period are also plotted for both cases, describing crossing ($f^+ = 1.14; 1.30$) and parallel ($f^+ = 1.47$) vortex streets. In an air flow, for $Re \sim 2000$, where we can neglect friction and added mass contributions on the wake dynamics, instability of these trajectories can be attributed to an inviscid mechanism. We **study** this particular aspect of forced wakes by means of a hydrodynamical stability analysis based on previous approaches [28, 42] and hypotheses [26, 27].




FIG. 7: Contours of vorticity for a given phase of the flapping period at $U_R = 0.29$ at three different forcing frequencies. White contours show the path of vortices during the whole period of oscillation. Full animated trajectories are available in **Supplementary Material**.

E. Hydrodynamical stability

In order to analyze the stability properties of the wake, we explore its receptivity to disturbances in the region $x/L > 1$. Neglecting viscosity effects, time mean average velocity profiles $u_x(y)$ are analyzed with the inviscid Rayleigh equation. Global frequencies for the wake are obtained for two forcing cases $U_R = 0.29$ and 0.37 where clear propulsive force peaks were observed. Details on the method and implementation are included in Appendix A 1.

Figure 8 summarizes the results of the analysis. Both panels in the figure share the same abscissa f^+ . The top plot reproduces the drag coefficient curves from Fig. 5a whilst the bottom panel presents the non-dimensional frequencies selected by the wake (written as Strouhal numbers), as obtained by the stability analysis. The horizontal bars represent Strouhal numbers calculated as $f_n 2\delta_R/U$ while vertical bars mark values of drag minima for each reduced velocity. These lines coincide in the vicinity of non-dimensional frequencies issued from linear stability analysis.

It is thus resonance (e.g. $U_R = 0.29, f^+ = 1.47$) between the stability properties from the wake and the elastic vibrations from the foil the criteria that leads to maximum propulsion (or drag minima). Such condition is in agreement with parallel trajectories of vortices as already depicted on Fig. 7c. Again, y_i in eq. (10) remains constant and assures the maximum impulse. On the contrary, when foil elastic and wake frequencies are not synchronized (i.e. $(U_R = 0.29, f^+ = 1.14)$), even for similar values of circulation produced in the near wake as measured and predicted on Fig. 6a, vortex paths **result** unstable producing higher transversal fluctuations and less added net momentum. **From these facts, we found that the interaction between the foil induced motion and the free flow (through U_R) is not only in terms of non-linear damping but also in setting the wake stability conditions.**




FIG. 8: The **bottom plot presents Strouhal numbers (St) calculated in the wakes through linear stability as a function of f^+ for $U_R=0.29$; 0.37 . The shaded horizontal lines correspond to the elastic non-dimensional frequencies of the foil for each case. Resonance between the wake and the elastic foil occurs when they intersect the Strouhal curves. The top plot reproduces the C_D curves of Fig. 5a for the same reduced velocities. Shaded vertical lines mark the frequencies for the drag minima to highlight that these coincide with the aforementioned wake-foil resonance.**

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We characterized the dynamics of a flexible foil performing pitch oscillations immersed in a uniform airflow. For small to moderate elastic deformations, scale laws can describe the relationship between the maximum of amplitude, and the corresponding forcing frequency of the foil and the reduced velocity. In the same sense, inertial drag resistive contribution importance is depicted through a Cauchy number.

Study of the flow main structures was performed through PIV measurements and applying clustering methods. Propulsion forces were determined from velocities by means of an integral momentum scheme. Maximum propulsion was not obtained for the forcing and elastic resonance condition $f^+ = 1$ but rather when the wake resonant frequency is tuned with the foil dynamics. A convective instability associated with a *jet*-like structure that develops in the wake was studied by hydrodynamic linear stability analysis. Reduced velocity, forcing oscillations and the corresponding elastic deformations condition the shape of such *jet* velocity profiles, defining the resulting wake global resonant frequency. In agreement with these findings, we observe that vortex trajectories corresponding to propulsion maxima are more stable and remain parallel in the reverse Bénard-von Kármán configuration.

Appendix A: Appendix

1. Hydrodynamical stability

Wake flow stability properties are surveyed through a linear inviscid 1D approach, using the Rayleigh equation:

$$(kU_y - \omega) \left(\frac{d^2\psi}{dy^2} - k^2\psi \right) - k \frac{d^2U_y}{dy^2} \psi = 0 \quad (\text{A1})$$

being U_y a time-averaged velocity profile at a fixed x -coordinate, k the wavenumber, ω the frequency and ψ the stream function.

An analytical fit for U_y to avoid numerical noise is used, as in the study by [28] for cylinder wakes:

$$U_y = 1 - A + A \tanh[a(y^2 - b)] \quad (\text{A2})$$

The boundary conditions are on the one hand, symmetry about $y = 0$, corresponding to BvK vortex streets. This also is the case of reverse BvK, which applies directly to our measurements. On the other hand, for $y \gg 1$, $U_y \simeq U$ the free flow velocity, then $\psi \rightarrow 0$.




FIG. 9: Schematic representation of the necessary steps involved in the linear stability analysis: (a) Time-averaged net mean velocity flow contours for u_x with three profiles (circle symbols) fitted by eq. (A2). (b) A mean velocity profile at $\langle U_y(y, x = x_c) \rangle$, for a fixed x -coordinate x_c . Solving the Rayleigh equation for this profile, for a range of wavenumbers $k = k_r + ik_i$ produces (d) a map for $k_i = \text{constant}$ in the ω -plane. At a critical point, a cusp on the curve, the point $(\omega_{0r}, \omega_{0i})$ is determined for x_c . In (c) the results for each x -position (symbols) are plotted in a ω -plane. Criteria for the prediction of a global frequency in a spatially developing flow is given by the condition $\partial\omega/\partial x|_{x=x_s} = 0$ [43]. The saddle point $\omega_s = \omega(x = x_s)$ (big black circle) is obtained through an analytic continuation of the complex function $\omega_0(x)$ considering $x = x_r + ix_i$ (lines). ω_s corresponds to the appearance of a cusp point. (dashed curve) [44]. Considering all the cases, the points $\omega_s = (\omega, \sigma)$ provide the data for Fig.8

A generalized eigenvalue problem solves (A1)

$$\omega B(k)\psi = A(k)\psi$$

We use NumPy linear algebra libraries in order to calculate the pseudoinverse of B , for a $k = k_r + ik_i$ and then computing the most unstable eigenvalues $\omega_0 = \omega_{0r} + i\omega_{0i}$.

The global frequency for the spatially developing flow is determined using the criterion proposed by [43] which consists in finding a saddle point, $\partial\omega_0/\partial x|_{x=x_s} = 0$ of the complex function $\omega_0(x)$ through use of the Cauchy-Riemann equations and analytic continuation to complex values of $x = x_r + ix_i$. Figure 9 summarizes the procedure. Hence, we could select the onset frequency $f = \omega_0(x_s)/2\pi$ for this spatially developing flow to characterize the wake resonance.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

FIG. 10: Left: Steps for the construction of Clusters. Right: application example from our PIC data. Three different snapshots of vorticity fields corresponding to the same cluster contribute to its determination through ensemble average.

21
22
23
24

2. Clustering

25
26
27
28

A clustering technique, named k-means algorithm [45, 46], was applied in order to obtain clear and representative states of the flow. Burkardt *et al.* [47] used the method for the first time in a fluid mechanics context. Later, Kaiser *et al.* [48] developed Cluster-based Reduced Order Modeling (CROM) which constitutes an alternative to classical POD-Galerkin reduced model schemes.

29
30
31
32
33
34
35

Strictly, k-means is a classification algorithm which minimizes the average distance between a specified number N_c of points (called centroids) and the data in a phase space. For example, a point ω_i in the phase space representing one PIV snapshot can be defined by its vorticity values in the spatial domain of interest, in our case, $x \in [1 \ 3.5]$, $y \in [-1 \ 1D]$. Other components of the flow field may be chosen or even projections on some suitable base functions. Taking into consideration our PIV measurements, given their spatial resolution, ω_i corresponds to 10836 values. We can use i.e. the euclidean norm $d(\omega_i, \omega_j) = \sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^{10836} (\omega_i(s) - \omega_j(s))^2}$ as a proper distance between two points of the phase space.

36
37

The N_c centroids $c_k, k \in [1, N_c]$ can be initialized by picking N_c random PIV fields. Figure 10 presents the algorithm calculations and illustrates how coherent structures are clearer and more identifiable.

38
39
40
41
42
43

When the method is stopped, N_c centroids are obtained, each one of them representing average states issued from the data and as separated as possible one from the other. A representation of a characteristic state of the flow can then be obtained by averaging all snapshots belonging to the same cluster. This method can be considered as a generalized phase averaging technique without the necessity of a strict periodicity of the phenomenon. An object oriented, open source k-mean algorithm is available in the Scikit-Learn [49] Python library.

44
45

We acknowledge support of the French-Argentinian International Research Project IVMF, CNRS-INSIS (France), CONICET (Argentina).

46
4748
4950
51

[1] T. L. Daniel and S. A. Combes, “Flexible wings and fins: Bending by inertial or fluid-dynamic forces?” *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **42**, 1044 (2002).

52
53

[2] W. Shyy, H. Aono, S. K. Chimakurthi, P. Trizila, C. K. Kang, C. E. S. Cesnik, and H. Liu, “Recent progress in flapping wing aerodynamics and aeroelasticity,” *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* **46**, 284–327 (2010).

54
55

[3] R. J. Wootton, “Functional morphology of insect wings,” *Annual Review of Entomology* **37**, 113–140 (1992).

56
57

[4] R. J. Bomphrey and R. Godoy-Diana, “Insect and insect-inspired aerodynamics: unsteadiness, structural mechanics and flight control,” *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **30**, 26–32 (2018).

58
59

[5] A. A. Biewener and K. P. Dial, “In vivo strain in the humerus of pigeons (*Columba livia*) during flight,” *Journal of Morphology* **225**, 61–75 (1995).

60

- [6] B. W. Tobalske, “Biomechanics of bird flight,” *Journal of Experimental Biology* **210**, 3135–3146 (2007).
- [7] A. Song, X. Tian, E. Israeli, R. Galvao, K. Bishop, S. Swartz, and K. Breuer, “Aeromechanics of membrane wings with implications for animal flight,” *AIAA journal*. **46**, 2096–2106 (2008).
- [8] D. D. Chin and D. Lentink, “Flapping wing aerodynamics: from insects to vertebrates,” *Journal of Experimental Biology* **219**, 920–932 (2016).
- [9] M. J. McHenry, C. A. Pell, and J. H. Long, “Mechanical control of swimming speed: stiffness and axial wave form in undulating fish models,” *Journal of Experimental Biology* **198**, 2293–2305 (1995).
- [10] R. W. Blake, “Fish functional design and swimming performance,” *Journal of Fish Biology* **65**, 1193–1222 (2004).
- [11] J. P. Whitney and R. J. Wood, “Conceptual design of flapping-wing micro air vehicles,” *Bioinspiration & Biomimetics* **7**, 036001 (2012).
- [12] G.C.H.E. De Croon, M. Perçin, B.D.W. Remes, R. Ruijsink, and C. De Wagter, *The delfly* (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2016).
- [13] C. Chen and T. Zhang, “A Review of Design and Fabrication of the Bionic Flapping Wing Micro Air Vehicles,” *Micromachines* **10**, 144 (2019).
- [14] P. Freymuth, “Propulsive vortical signature of plunging and pitching airfoils,” *AIAA journal* **26**, 881–883 (1988).
- [15] J. M. Anderson, K. Streitlien, D. S. Barrett, and M. S. Triantafyllou, “Oscillating foils of high propulsive efficiency,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **360**, 41–72 (1998).
- [16] R. Godoy-Diana, J. L. Aider, and J. E. Wesfreid, “Transitions in the wake of a flapping foil,” *Physical Review E* **77**, 016308 (2008).
- [17] Y. D. Afanasyev, “Wakes behind towed and self-propelled bodies: asymptotic theory,” *Physics of Fluids* **16**, 3235–3238 (2004).
- [18] M. R. Arbie, U. Ehrenstein, and C. Eloy, “Stability of momentumless wakes,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **808**, 316–336 (2016).
- [19] C. Marais, B. Thiria, J. E. Wesfreid, and R. Godoy-Diana, “Stabilizing effect of flexibility in the wake of a flapping foil,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **710**, 659–669 (2012).
- [20] F. J. Huera-Huarte, “On the impulse produced by chord-wise flexible pitching foils in a quiescent fluid,” *Journal of Fluids Engineering* **140**, 041206 (2018).
- [21] S. A. Combes and T. L. Daniel, “Flexural stiffness in insect wings i. scaling and the influence of wing venation,” *Journal of Experimental Biology* **206**, 2979–2987 (2003).
- [22] M. Mohaghar, D. Adhikari, and D. R. Webster, “Characteristics of swimming shelled antarctic pteropods (*Limacina helicina antarctica*) at intermediate reynolds number regime,” *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **4**, 111101 (2019).
- [23] B. Thiria and R. Godoy-Diana, “How wing compliance drives the efficiency of self-propelled flapping flyers,” *Physical Review E* **82**, 015303 (2010).
- [24] S. Ramananarivo, R. Godoy-Diana, and B. Thiria, “Rather than resonance, flapping wing flyers may play on aerodynamics to improve performance,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 5964–5969 (2011).
- [25] G. S. Triantafyllou, M. S. Triantafyllou, and M. A. Grosenbaugh, “Optimal thrust development in oscillating foils with application to fish propulsion,” *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **7**, 205–224 (1993).
- [26] K. W. Moored, P. A. Dewey, A. J. Smits, and H. Haj-Hariri, “Hydrodynamic wake resonance as an underlying principle of efficient unsteady propulsion,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **708**, 329–348 (2012).
- [27] K. W. Moored, P. A. Dewey, B. M. Boschitsch, A. J. Smits, and H. Haj-Hariri, “Linear instability mechanisms leading to optimally efficient locomotion with flexible propulsors,” *Physics of Fluids* **26**, 041905 (2014).
- [28] G. Triantafyllou, M. Triantafyllou, and C. Chryssostomidis, “On the formation of vortex streets behind stationary cylinders,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **170**, 461–477 (1986).
- [29] G. I. Taylor, “Analysis of the swimming of long and narrow animals,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **214**, 158–183 (1952).
- [30] S. Ramananarivo, R. Godoy-Diana, and B. Thiria, “Rather than resonance, flapping wing flyers may play on aerodynamics to improve performance,” *Proceedings of National Academy of the United States of America* **108** / **15**, 6 (2011).
- [31] C. Eloy, N. Kofman, and L. Schouveiler, “The origin of hysteresis in the flag instability,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **691**, 583–593 (2012).
- [32] M. Piñeirua, B. Thiria, and R. Godoy-Diana, “Modelling of an actuated elastic swimmer,” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **829**, 731–750 (2017).
- [33] D.F. Kurtulus, F. Scarano, and L. David, “Unsteady aerodynamic forces estimation on a square cylinder by tr-piv,” *Experiments in Fluids* **42**, 187–196 (2007).
- [34] N. Fujisawa, S. Tanahashi, and K. Srinivas, “Evaluation of pressure field and fluid forces on a circular cylinder with and without rotational oscillation using velocity data from piv measurement,” *Measurement Science and Technology* **16**, 989 (2005).
- [35] M. F. Unal, J. C. Lin, and D. Rockwell, “Force prediction by piv imaging: a momentum-based approach,” *Journal of Fluids and Structures* **11**, 965–971 (1997).
- [36] F. Noca, D. Shiels, and D. Jeon, “Measuring instantaneous fluid dynamic forces on bodies, using only velocity fields and their derivatives,” *J Fluid Struct* **11**, 345–350 (1997).
- [37] Shizhao Wang, Xing Zhang, Guowei He, and Tianshu Liu, “A lift formula applied to low-reynolds-number unsteady flows,” *Physics of Fluids* **25**, 093605 (2013).
- [38] J D’Adamo, R Godoy-Diana, and J E Wesfreid, “Spatio-temporal spectral analysis of a forced cylinder wake,” *Physical Review E* **84**(5), 1–8 (2011).

- 1
2 [39] K. C. Hall and S. R. Hall, "Minimum induced power requirements for flapping flight," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **323**,
3 285–315 (1996).
4 [40] F. O. Minotti, "Determination of the instantaneous forces on flapping wings from a localized fluid velocity field," *Physics*
5 *of Fluids* **23**, 111902 (2011).
6 [41] M.S. Triantafyllou, G.S. Triantafyllou, and R. Gopalkrishnan, "Wake mechanics for thrust generation in oscillating foils,"
7 *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics* **3**, 2835–2837 (1991).
8 [42] B. Thiria and J.E. Wesfreid, "Stability properties of forced wakes," *J. Fluid Mech.* **579**, 137–161 (2007).
9 [43] J. M. Chomaz, P. Huerre, and L. G. Redekopp, "A frequency selection criterion in spatially developing flows," *Studies in*
10 *applied mathematics* **84**, 119–144 (1991).
11 [44] D. A. Hammond and L. G. Redekopp, "Global dynamics of symmetric and asymmetric wakes," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*
12 **331**, 231–260 (1997).
13 [45] H. Steinhaus, "Sur la division des corps matériels en parties," *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences* **IV**, 801–804
14 (1956).
15 [46] S. Lloyd, "Least squares quantization in pcm," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **28**, 129–137 (1982).
16 [47] J. Burkardt, M. Gunzburger, and H.C. Lee, "Pod and cvt-based reduced-order modeling of navier-stokes flows," *Comput.*
17 *Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **196**, 337–355. (2006).
18 [48] E. Kaiser, B. Noack, L. Cordier, A. Spohn, M. Segond, M. Abel, G. Daviller, J. Osth, Krajnovic S, and Niven R K,
19 "Cluster-based reduced-order modelling of a mixing layer," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **754**, 365–414 (2014).
20 [49] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss,
21 V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay, "Scikit-learn: Machine
22 learning in Python," *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **12**, 2825–2830 (2011).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60