

# Simultaneous sub-Doppler laser cooling of Li 6 and Li 7 isotopes

Gentle Dash, Tim de Jongh, Maxime Dixmerias, Christophe Salomon, Tarik

Yefsah

# ► To cite this version:

Gentle Dash, Tim de Jongh, Maxime Dixmerias, Christophe Salomon, Tarik Yefsah. Simultaneous sub-Doppler laser cooling of Li 6 and Li 7 isotopes. Physical Review A, 2022, 106 (3), pp.033105. 10.1103/PhysRevA.106.033105 . hal-03875892v2

# HAL Id: hal-03875892 https://hal.science/hal-03875892v2

Submitted on 28 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Simultaneous sub-Doppler laser cooling of <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li isotopes

Gentle Dash, Tim de Jongh, Maxime Dixmerias, Christophe Salomon, and Tarik Yefsah\*

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, ENS-Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université,

Collège de France, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005, Paris, France

(Dated: August 19, 2022)

We report on the simultaneous sub-Doppler laser cooling of Lithium 6 and Lithium 7 isotopes using gray molasses operating on their respective  $D_1$  atomic transitions. For <sup>7</sup>Li we show that the sub-Doppler cooling can be achieved with two distinct  $\Lambda$ -type transitions, where the upper level can be either of the two  $2^2 P_{1/2}$  hyperfine states. We obtain temperatures of ~  $85 \,\mu\text{K}$ , with atom numbers of ~  $10^8$ , and phase space densities in the range of  $10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$  for both isotopes. These conditions provide a good starting point for loading the mixture into an optical dipole trap and performing evaporative cooling to quantum degeneracy. Our work provides a valuable simplification for the preparation of ultracold <sup>6</sup>Li - <sup>7</sup>Li mixtures, which were proven to be a successful system for the study of impurity physics and Bose-Fermi superfluids.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The study of ultracold atomic mixtures offers the possibility to explore a wide scope of quantum phenomena ranging from few-body to many-body physics [1, 2]. Such mixtures provide the starting point for a variety of systems, from immersed impurities [3–7], to Efimov states [8–10], to ground-state molecules [11–14] and degenerate Bose-Fermi mixtures [15–18]. A prominent example in the field of many-body physics is the observation of dual Bose-Fermi superfluidity, which was achieved for the first time using an ultracold mixture of Lithium 6 (<sup>6</sup>Li) and Lithium 7 (<sup>7</sup>Li) isotopes [19], unlocking a new area of ultracold physics. Soon after, other Bose-Fermi superfluids have been observed with mixtures of  ${}^{6}\text{Li} - {}^{41}\text{K}$  [20] and  ${}^{6}\text{Li} - {}^{174}\text{Yb}$  [21]. These experimental advances have intensified the interest in superfluid mixtures, triggering a renewed theoretical effort on the topic and raising a series of novel conceptual questions [22–26].

From the quantum simulation perspective, dual superfluid experiments represent promising platforms where inter-isotope or inter-species interactions can be tuned. Moreover, the available realizations of such mixtures allow exploration of the role of the mass difference between the bosonic and fermionic particles, ranging from weak mass-imbalance for <sup>6</sup>Li –<sup>7</sup>Li, to intermediate for <sup>6</sup>Li–<sup>41</sup>K, to extreme for  ${}^{6}\text{Li} - {}^{174}\text{Yb}$ . However, in practice, the benefits of such platforms are limited by the significant technical overhead in development and maintenance. Even in the case of  ${}^{6}\text{Li} - {}^{7}\text{Li}$ , which is technologically the simplest owing to the ability to use solid-sate diode lasers at 671 nm for both istopes, the preparation of an ultracold mixture has historically been tedious. The difficulty with the existing approach for  ${}^{6}\text{Li} - {}^{7}\text{Li} [7, 19, 27]$  resides in bringing the temperature of the mixture from the millikelvin range, typically achieved in magneto-optical traps, to the  $\lesssim 100 \,\mu\text{K}$  range necessary to load the mixture in an optical dipole trap of reasonable power, where evaporative cooling to quantum degeneracy and double superfluidity can be performed.

Here, we propose a significant simplification of this critical stage by demonstrating the simultaneous sub-Doppler cooling of <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li. The method is based on gray molasses cooling operating on the respective  $D_1$  atomic transitions  $(2^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 2^2P_{1/2})$  of the two isotopes. While  $D_1$  gray molasses cooling was already achieved for <sup>7</sup>Li [28] and <sup>6</sup>Li [29] independently, the feasibility of their simultaneous operation is not evident given the proximity of the  $D_1$  transition of <sup>7</sup>Li to the  $D_2$  transition  $(2^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 2^2P_{3/2})$  of <sup>6</sup>Li, as illustrated in Fig. 1. In this work, we explore this simultaneous cooling for two distinct  $\Lambda$ -type transitions of <sup>7</sup>Li by using either of the two hyperfine levels  $|2^2P_{1/2}, F_e = 1\rangle$  or  $|2^2P_{1/2}, F_e = 2\rangle$  as the excited state,  $F_e$  being the hyperfine angular momentum quantum number of the upper electronic state.

When the  $D_1$  cooling of <sup>7</sup>Li is performed via the  $|2^2 P_{1/2}, F_e = 2\rangle$  hyperfine level, such that the proximity of the transitions is best evaded, we obtain efficient simultaneous cooling of both isotopes to temperatures  $\lesssim 100 \,\mu\text{K}$  on a millisecond timescale. We find that the main adverse effect of the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling light on the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms is a reduced molasses lifetime to a few tens of milliseconds, which is nonetheless much larger than the timescale needed for the molasses to reach its equilibrium temperature ( $\sim 1 \,\mathrm{ms}$ ) and to subsequently load the mixture into a dipole trap. On the contrary, when the  $D_1$  cooling of <sup>7</sup>Li is performed via the  $|2^2 P_{1/2}, F_e = 1\rangle$ hyperfine level, we observe a rapid destruction of the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud due to the near coincidence between the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling beam's frequency and the  $D_2$  transition frequency for <sup>6</sup>Li. We circumvent this detrimental effect by applying an alternating pulse sequence of the molasses beams and achieve concurrent cooling to temperatures  $\lesssim 100 \,\mu \text{K}$  for both isotopes.

In both cases we thus reach the desired temperature range. The achieved phase space densities (PSD's) are on the order of  $10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$ , offering a good starting point for loading the atoms into an optical dipole trap and evaporatively cooling the mixture to quantum degeneracy. This work shows that this simultaneous gray

<sup>\*</sup> corresponding author: tarik.yefsah@lkb.ens.fr



FIG. 1. Energy level scheme showing the  $D_1$  transitions for the <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li isotopes. The upper electronic state involved in the  $D_2$  transition is also shown. The blue and gray arrows indicate the two frequencies of the  $D_1$  gray molasses cooling beams, which we refer to as the strong and weak arm respectively, due to their relative laser intensity. The onephoton detuning for the <sup>6</sup>Li molasses is indicated by  $\delta_6$ , while the one-photon detunings for the <sup>7</sup>Li molasses is indicated by  $\delta_{7A}$  (resp.  $\delta_{7B}$ ) for the configuration with  $F_e = 2$  (resp.  $F_e = 1$ ) as the upper state. The Raman condition is ensured for all transitions. These laser cooling configurations can be represented by a  $\Lambda$ -scheme as displayed in the inset, with onephoton detuning  $\delta$ . See main text for details on the addressed transitions.

molasses cooling method is robust and provides a substantial simplification for the preparation of superfluid Bose-Fermi mixtures of  $^{6}$ Li and  $^{7}$ Li.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL PROTOCOL

#### A. Overview

Our experiment starts with a dual magneto-optical trap (MOT) of <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li inside a glass cell, loaded from a Zeeman-slowed atomic beam of lithium enriched in <sup>6</sup>Li. After loading the MOT for typically 8 s, we apply a simple compression stage (CMOT) in which the laser detunings are reduced. We subsequently turn off the magnetic quadrupole field while keeping the MOT laser beams on for 0.5 ms to hold the atoms until all transient magnetic fields have fully decayed ( $\sim 250 \,\mu$ s) and only residual static magnetic fields remain. We will refer to this stage as the  $D_2$  optical molasses phase, although transient magnetic gradients are still present for the first half of this phase. In the last stage of the preparation sequence, we switch off all the MOT beams and turn on the  $D_1$  laser cooling beams for 1.5 to 3 ms to create gray molasses.

 $D_1$  gray molasses is a bichromatic laser cooling technique that applies on atoms with a well-resolved  $\Lambda$ type three-level structure, typically connecting two nondegenerate hyperfine ground states  $F_{g1}$  and  $F_{g2}$  to an upper state  $F_e$  via a strong and weak coupling laser, respectively [28]. This is shown schematically in Fig 1. Each frequency component is blue-detuned with respect to either the  $F_{q1} \to F_e$  or the  $F_{q2} \to F_e$  transition. In such molasses, two mechanisms - both involving dark states - work in tandem to provide sub-Doppler cooling of the atoms: Sisyphus-like cooling on a  $F_{g1} \rightarrow F_e$  transition with either  $F_e = F_{g1}$  or  $F_e = F_{g1} - 1$  [30-32]; and a two-photon effect similar to velocity-selective coherent population trapping via a (coherent) Raman process connecting the two hyperfine ground state according to the  $\Lambda$ -structure [28]. This cooling method has been successfully applied and proven to be efficient on several alkali atoms such as <sup>6</sup>Li [29, 33], <sup>7</sup>Li [28, 34], <sup>23</sup>Na [35], <sup>39</sup>K [36, 37], <sup>40</sup>K [38], <sup>41</sup>K [39], and <sup>87</sup>Rb [40].

For <sup>6</sup>Li, we use the  $F_{g1} = 3/2 \rightarrow F_e = 3/2$  and  $F_{g2} = 1/2 \rightarrow F_e = 3/2$  transitions of the  $D_1$  line. Gray molasses cooling could in principle also be applied using the  $F_e = 1/2$  state, but the small hyperfine splitting within the  $2^2 P_{1/2}$  electronic state of 26 MHz  $\sim 4 \Gamma$ , with  $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 5.87$  MHz the excited state linewidth, is not sufficient to prevent competing effects between the two hyperfine levels. For <sup>7</sup>Li, the hyperfine splitting is sufficient such that we can explore the two possible  $\Lambda$ schemes on the  $D_1$  transition: (A)  $F_{g1} = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 2$ and  $F_{g2} = 1 \rightarrow F_e = 2$ ; (B)  $F_{g1} = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 1$  and  $F_{g2} = 1 \rightarrow F_e = 1$ .

The energy level diagram of Fig. 1 shows the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  lines of the <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li isotopes, which are the four lines relevant to the present work. In the following we will refer to these four lines as  $L_{16}$ ,  $L_{26}$ ,  $L_{17}$  and  $L_{27}$ , with the first digit of the subscript referring to the electronic transition  $(D_1 \text{ or } D_2)$  and the second digit referring to the mass of the isotope, and we will use a superscript of the form  $F_q \to F_e$  to specify the hyperfine transition when needed. Table I displays the frequency difference among various hyperfine transitions among these lines, where we note a close proximity of the  $L_{26}^{1/2 \to 1/2}$  and  $L_{26}^{1/2 \to 3/2}$ transitions of <sup>6</sup>Li with  $L_{17}^{2 \to 1}$  on the one hand (~ 5  $\Gamma$ ), and with  $L_{17}^{2 \to 2}$  on the other hand (~ 10  $\Gamma$ ). Note that the situation is particularly problematic in the former case, as the  $D_1$  cooling of <sup>7</sup>Li requires to be typically  $3-5\Gamma$  blue-detuned from  $L_{17}^{2\to 1}$ . This proximity raises the question whether it is possible to perform  $D_1$  cooling on <sup>7</sup>Li without altering the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud. This issue is addressed throughout this manuscript.

TABLE I. Hyperfine transitions of the  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  lines of both lithium isotopes. Frequencies taken from [41]. The rightmost column gives the frequency difference  $\Delta \nu$  between each transition and the  $L_{17}^{2\rightarrow1}$  transition.

	Transition	Label	Frequency $\nu$	$\Delta \nu$
	$F_g \to F_e$		(MHz)	(MHz)
	$3/2 \to 5/2$	$L_{26}^{3/2 \to 5/2}$	446799571	-200 (-34.1 $\Gamma$ )
$^{6}$ Li	$3/2 \rightarrow 3/2$	$L_{26}^{3/2 \to 3/2}$	446799574	-197 (-33.6Γ)
	$3/2 \rightarrow 1/2$	$L_{26}^{3/2 \to 1/2}$	446799576	-195 (-33.3 Γ)
$D_2$ -line				
$(L_{26})$	$1/2 \rightarrow 3/2$	$L_{26}^{1/2 \to 3/2}$	446799802	31.1 $(5.3 \Gamma)$
	$1/2 \rightarrow 1/2$	$L_{26}^{1/2 \to 1/2}$	446799804	$32.8 (5.6 \Gamma)$
		20		
		-9.1		
7_	$2 \rightarrow 1$	$L_{17}^{2 \rightarrow 1}$	446799771	_
'Li	$2 \rightarrow 2$	$L_{17}^{2 \rightarrow 2}$	446799863	91.9 $(15.6 \Gamma)$
ר וייי	1 1	$r \rightarrow 1$	440 000 575	(197 T)
$D_1$ -line	$1 \rightarrow 1$	$L_{17}^{-1}$	446 800 575	803(1371)
$(L_{17})$	$1 \rightarrow 2$	$L_{17}^{}$	446 800 666	895(1521)

#### B. Laser setup

All lasers beams are derived from four distinct extended cavity diode lasers at 671 nm, each being independently frequency-locked on the relevant lines (two of them on  $L_{16}$ , one on  $L_{17}$  and one on  $L_{27}$ ). Each laser provides about 20 mW of laser power, which undergoes a single or multiple amplification stage(s) via injection of tapered amplifiers or seeding of high power diodes through injection-locking, providing sufficient power at all required frequencies for Zeeman slowing, laser cooling and imaging.

For each isotope, the MOT operates with two frequencies: one cooling frequency and one repumper frequency. The cooling beam is red-detuned by  $4.4\Gamma$  (resp.  $3.9\Gamma$ ) from the transition  $L_{26}^{3/2 \to 5/2}$  for <sup>6</sup>Li (resp.  $L_{27}^{2\to3}$  for <sup>7</sup>Li). The MOT repumper frequency is red-detuned by  $2.9\Gamma$  (resp.  $2.2\Gamma$ ) from the transition  $L_{16}^{1/2\to1/2}$  for <sup>6</sup>Li (resp.  $L_{27}^{1\to2}$  for <sup>7</sup>Li). Note here that the <sup>6</sup>Li MOT repumper operates near the  $D_1$  line instead of  $D_2$ , in order to avoid losses of <sup>7</sup>Li due to the vicinity of  $L_{26}$ and  $L_{17}$  [42]. Four laser beams, each at one of these four frequencies, are generated and spatially superimposed to follow the same optical path where they are each split into six independent beams to create pairs of  $\sigma^{+}-\sigma^{-}$  counter-propagating beams along three orthogonal directions. The same twelve beams are used for the CMOT and  $D_2$  molasses phases, where their frequencies are shifted (typically by a few  $\Gamma$ ) to optimal detunings.

For the gray molasses we use laser beams with two frequency components, which we obtain by generating a small amplitude (approximately 8%) side-band via an electro-optic modulator. This ensures phase coherence of the (large amplitude) carrier and (small amplitude) sideband, which is essential in order to obtain  $\Lambda$ enhancement of the gray molasses cooling [28, 40]. For each isotope the side-band is generated at a frequency corresponding to the hyperfine splitting of the ground states: +228 MHz (resp. +803.5 MHz) for <sup>6</sup>Li (resp. <sup>7</sup>Li). The laser frequencies are blue-detuned from the relevant  $D_1$  transitions as depicted in Fig. 1.

For <sup>6</sup>Li, the strong (resp. weak) amplitude component of the molasses beams is blue-detuned by  $\delta_6 = 5.2 \Gamma$  from  $L_{16}^{3/2 \to 3/2}$  (resp.  $L_{16}^{1/2 \to 3/2}$ ). For <sup>7</sup>Li, as indicated, we investigate two possible  $\Lambda$ -schemes: configuration  $\Lambda$  where we use  $|2^2 P_{1/2}, F_e = 2\rangle$  as the upper state, and configuration B for which the upper state is  $|2^2 P_{1/2}, F_e = 1\rangle$ . In configuration  $\Lambda$ , the detuning of the strong (resp. weak) amplitude component from  $L_{17}^{2 \to 2}$  (resp.  $L_{17}^{1 \to 2}$ ) is denoted by  $\delta_{7\Lambda}$ . We study the effects of  $\delta_{7\Lambda}$  on both the <sup>7</sup>Li as well as the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms in order to assess whether the proximity between  $L_{26}$  and  $L_{17}$  is detrimental to the simultaneous cooling. In configuration B, the strong (resp. weak) amplitude component of the molasses beams is detuned from  $L_{17}^{2 \to 1}$  (resp.  $L_{17}^{1 \to 1}$ ) by  $\delta_{7B} = 2.5\Gamma$ . This value of  $\delta_{7B}$  sets the two frequencies at over 10  $\Gamma$  red-detuned from the transitions involving the  $|2^2 P_{1/2}, F_e = 2\rangle$  state of <sup>7</sup>Li, making the off-resonant scattering due to this level negligible.

The  $D_1$  cooling beams follow the same optical path as the MOT beams and form three pairs of counterpropagating beams in a  $\sigma^{+}-\sigma^{-}$  configuration. At the science cell, each of the six resulting gray molasses beams has a power of 9.0 mW and 4.5 mW, and a waist  $(1/e^2$ width) of approximately 3 mm and 2 mm for <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li, respectively, resulting in peak intensities in the range of  $I \approx 3-6 I_{\rm sat}$  and  $I \approx 7-11 I_{\rm sat}$ , with  $I_{\rm sat} = 7.6 \,\mathrm{mW/cm^2}$ the saturation intensity for the  $D_1$  transition.

#### C. Detection

Following the gray molasses stage we switch off all laser beams and let the atoms expand freely. After a certain time-of-flight (ToF) we detect the atoms through low-saturation absorption imaging using a 10  $\mu$ s pulse of circularly polarized probe beams that are resonant with the respective  $D_2$ -lines  $L_{26}^{3/2 \rightarrow 5/2}$  and  $L_{27}^{2 \rightarrow 3}$ . For each isotope, we shine a repumper beam (tuned to  $L_{27}^{1/2 \rightarrow 3/2}$  and  $L_{27}^{1 \rightarrow 2}$ ) for 10  $\mu$ s before imaging, in order to transfer all atoms to the upper hyperfine ground state ( $F_g = 3/2$ for <sup>6</sup>Li and  $F_g = 2$  for <sup>7</sup>Li), and then during the imaging phase, apply a second repumping pulse to compensate for pumping effects into the lower hyperfine ground states. These repumping beams are directed along the two axes perpendicular to the imaging direction. The absorption shadow of the atoms is then collected by a CCD camera and converted to an optical density profile.

We convert the optical densities into atomic densities using absorption cross-sections of  $0.59 \sigma_0$  and  $0.48 \sigma_0$  for

<sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li, respectively, where  $\sigma_0 = \frac{3\lambda^2}{2\pi}$  with  $\lambda$  the wavelength of the resonant imaging light. These values correspond to the theoretical values computed at zero magnetic field by assuming that for each isotope all atoms occupy the upper hyperfine ground state ( $F_g = 3/2$  for <sup>6</sup>Li and  $F_g = 2$  for <sup>7</sup>Li) with equal populations in the  $m_F$ -levels,  $m_F$  being the quantum number associated to projection of the hyperfine angular momentum onto a quantization axis. In practice, the magnetic field is non-zero and we measured it to be 0.4(2) G using <sup>7</sup>Li hyperfine absorption spectra as a magnetometer, yielding a reduction of the absorption cross-sections stated above by about 5% and 10% respectively according to our calculations. For simplicity, we will however deliberately ignore this reduction, which implies that the atom numbers stated throughout the paper are slightly underestimated.

The resulting atomic density profiles are fitted with a two-dimensional Gaussian function yielding peak density  $n_0$ , atom number and cloud sizes. By varying the ToF we determine the ballistic expansion rate of the atoms and extract temperatures T of the clouds. Finally, we determine the peak phase space density (PSD)  $n_0\Lambda_T^3$  where  $\Lambda_T = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi m k_B T}}$  is the thermal de Broglie wavelength. Note that the uncertainty on the imaging system magnification was measured to be 2.3%, resulting in systematic relative errors of 4.6% and 9.2%, respectively, on temperatures and PSD's reported in this paper.

#### III. RESULTS

When loading the MOT for 8 s, we routinely capture  $9(1) \times 10^{7}$  <sup>6</sup>Li atoms and  $13(2) \times 10^{7}$  <sup>7</sup>Li atoms in the  $D_2$  molasses and obtain temperatures of approximately 0.7 mK for both isotopes. All atoms present at the  $D_2$  stage are captured in the gray molasses. Optimization and characterization of the gray molasses for each cooling configuration are discussed below.

# A. Cooling on the $F_e = 2$ state of <sup>7</sup>Li

In configuration A, where <sup>7</sup>Li is cooled via the  $F_e = 2$ upper state, the <sup>7</sup>Li transition is 10  $\Gamma$  blue-detuned from the  $L_{26}^{1/2 \to 1/2, 3/2}$  lines of <sup>6</sup>Li. Additional blue-detuning of the <sup>7</sup>Li gray molasses beams (given by  $\delta_{7A}$ ) move the cooling lasers further away from the <sup>6</sup>Li resonance. In Fig. 2, we report <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li temperatures for 1.5 ms simultaneous gray molasses cooling at several values of  $\delta_{7A}$ while maintaining the respective Raman conditions.

We observe that the <sup>7</sup>Li gray molasses cooling is efficient for a broad range of one-photon detunings, becoming less effective only for  $\delta_{7A} < 3\Gamma$ , and that for this entire range the influence of the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling light on the <sup>6</sup>Li temperatures and atom numbers is negligible. We readily obtain temperatures of  $T_6 = 105(2) \,\mu\text{K}$  and  $T_7 =$  $56(2) \,\mu\text{K}$  and phase space densities of  $3.0(1) \times 10^{-6}$  and



FIG. 2. Temperatures (a) and PSD's (b) of the clouds after 1.5 ms of simultaneous gray molasses cooling in configuration A as a function of  $\delta_{7A}$ . Black (Red) data points correspond to values of <sup>6</sup>Li (<sup>7</sup>Li). Error bars represent statistical uncertainties at one standard deviation determined with the bootstrap method [43]. Dashed lines show average temperatures and phase space densities for the respective isotopes for  $\delta_{7A} > 4\Gamma$  and the shaded regions indicate the corresponding standard error.

 $1.4(1) \times 10^{-5}$ , for <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li, respectively, which is well in the range of parameters for efficient loading into a dipole trap, although the factor two between  $T_6$  and  $T_7$  could reveal unpractical. Upon intensity ramp of the  $D_1$  molasses laser beams, we were able to obtain a better compromise, with  $T_6 = 90(3) \,\mu\text{K}$  and  $T_7 = 83(3) \,\mu\text{K}$ , leading to phase space densities of  $3.1(2) \times 10^{-6}$  and  $9.0(7) \times 10^{-6}$ , respectively. These <sup>6</sup>Li temperatures are slightly higher than reported in previous experiments [19, 29, 33, 44], which we ascribe to imperfect magnetic field cancellation in our set-up where the residual magnetic field is ~ 0.4 G. Nonetheless, this simultaneous cooling method still provides a good starting point for loading the cloud into a dipole trap and initiate evaporation.

While the <sup>6</sup>Li clouds are hardly affected by the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling beams for  $D_1$  molasses durations of a few milliseconds, we observe a marked effect at longer times, which is revealed in the lifetime of the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms in the molasses. Fig. 3 displays the number of <sup>6</sup>Li atoms as a function of hold time in the molasses, in the absence and in the presence of <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light for two distinct values of  $\delta_{7A}$ . We observe a faster decay of the atom number in the presence of <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light that gets stronger as



FIG. 3. Semi-logarithmic plot of the <sup>6</sup>Li atom number as a function of  $D_1$  molasses duration  $t_{D_1}$  for three distinct situations: in the absence of <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light (dark gray circles), in the presence of <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light with  $\delta_{7A} = 4\Gamma$  (gray circles), and in the presence of <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light with  $\delta_{7A} = \Gamma$  (light gray circles). Error bars represent statistical uncertainties at one standard deviation.

the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling light frequency is shifted towards the <sup>6</sup>Li resonance, as is expected from the enhanced photon scattering rate. Overall, the main adverse effect of the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling light on the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms is thus a reduced molasses lifetime from  $\sim 150$  ms to a few tens of milliseconds. This relatively short lifetime is nonetheless much larger than the timescale needed for the molasses to reach its equilibrium temperature ( $\sim 1$  ms) and to subsequently load the mixture into a dipole trap.

# **B.** Cooling on the $F_e = 1$ state of <sup>7</sup>Li

In configuration B, where cooling of <sup>7</sup>Li is performed via the  $F_e = 1$  upper state, the spectral line proximity does hinder the simultaneous cooling of the two isotopes: At  $\delta_{7B} = 2.5 \Gamma$  the <sup>7</sup>Li cooling beam that acts on the  $L_{17}^{2\to1}$  transition is only  $3\Gamma$  red-detuned from the  $L_{26}^{1/2\to1/2,3/2}$  lines. At such a detuning the <sup>7</sup>Li light is expected to considerably heat up the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud, which we confirmed experimentally. Moreover, we observe that simultaneous operation of both molasses leads to stronger detrimental effects with a rapid destruction of the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud (see Sec. IV). To circumvent this, we employ a temporally alternated pulse sequence by shining the <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li molasses in successive pulses, see inset Fig. 4. This technique is very similar to earlier work on atom tweezers [45], single-atom imaging of <sup>6</sup>Li [46], and molecule experiments [47–49], whereby adverse effects of laser light is circumvented via periodic amplitude modulation. Here, we aim to find an optimal pulsed scheme in which we alternate between cooling and heating cycles on the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms such that the heating due to the <sup>7</sup>Li molasses beams is largely compensated by the cooling power of the <sup>6</sup>Li molasses. This additionally prevents the rapid



FIG. 4. Optimization of the individual pulse duration for gray molasses cooling in the alternating cooling sequence of configuration B. Black (Red) data points correspond to values of <sup>6</sup>Li (<sup>7</sup>Li). (a) Temperatures after 3 ms of pulsed gray molasses cooling. Dashed lines show temperatures obtained when cooling each isotope individually with a continuous pulse of 1.5 ms, the shaded areas indicating the statistical error at one standard deviation. The solid gray line shows an exponential fit of the <sup>6</sup>Li temperatures to guide the eye. The inset shows a schematic representation of the pulse sequence. (b) PSD's for <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li. Dashed lines represent PSD's for individual cooling.

destruction of the  $^{6}$ Li cloud observed when simultaneously operating both molasses.

We therefore employ a pulse sequence for which the  $^{6}\mathrm{Li}$  and  $^{7}\mathrm{Li}$  molasses beams are exactly out of phase. We refer to  $t_6$  and  $t_7$  as the pulse durations of <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li, respectively. The pulsed sequence always starts with a  $^{6}$ Li light pulse but can end with either a  $^{6}$ Li or  $^{7}$ Li light pulse. Typically, the total  $D_1$  gray molasses duration is 3 ms to obtain similar effective cooling periods as in configuration A ( $\sim 1.5$  ms molasses time). In order to optimize the pulse sequence we first explore the situation where  $t_6 = t_7 = \Delta t$ , with a final pulse of <sup>6</sup>Li light. The results are shown in Fig. 4, where we observe that for  $\Delta t \gtrsim 50 \,\mu s$  we obtain temperatures  $\lesssim 100 \,\mu K$ , which are comparable to those obtained for separate cooling of each isotope with continuous molasses of 1.5 ms. The cooling of <sup>6</sup>Li atoms, however, is seen to be less efficient at smaller values of  $\Delta t$ . From this we infer that at these shorter pulse widths the <sup>6</sup>Li molasses is not able to compensate for the heating induced by the <sup>7</sup>Li beams. Note



FIG. 5. Temperatures (a) and phase space densities (b) for different pulse sequences employed for the alternating cooling of <sup>6</sup>Li (black) and <sup>7</sup>Li (red) for the configuration in which <sup>7</sup>Li is cooled via the  $F_e = 1$  state with  $\delta_{7B} = 2.5 \Gamma$ . Sequences ending on a <sup>7</sup>Li light pulse (I) lead to higher temperatures of the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud compared to a sequence ending on a <sup>6</sup>Li light pulse (II). For a ramped sequence (III) higher PSD's are obtained for both isotopes. See text for details on the sequences. Dashed lines represent the optimal temperatures and phase space densities reached in configuration A, as shown in Fig. 2. Shaded areas correspond to the statistical error at one standard deviation.

that we restricted the pulse duration to  $\Delta t \leq 750 \,\mu s$  in order to limit the free expansion of the cloud when the cooling light is off. Indeed, the characteristic velocity of atoms at 100  $\mu K$  is approximately 0.37 m/s, yielding a size of 0.37 mm after a free expansion of 1 ms, which is to be compared to the initial size of the molasses clouds of  $\lesssim 1 \,\mathrm{mm}$  and the laser beams waists of  $\sim 2-3 \,\mathrm{mm}$ .

In order to improve further the performances of the pulsed scheme we varied a number of parameters. First, we investigated the role of the duty cycle by fixing  $t_6$  to 100  $\mu$ s while varying  $t_7$  from 25 to 200  $\mu$ s, which did not show any influence on the final temperatures. Second, we also explored the situation where the pulse sequence ends on <sup>7</sup>Li light, which we find to yield systematically higher temperatures and lower PSD's (see below), as expected from the proximity of the  $L_{17}^{2 \to 1}$  and  $L_{26}^{1/2 \to 1/2, 3/2}$ lines. Finally, we implemented diverse schemes of intensity ramp-down, which we found to yield the best results. In Fig. 5 we present an overview of three different pulse sequences (I, II and III). In sequence I, we use  $t_6 = t_7 = \Delta t = 100 \,\mu s$  and end on a <sup>7</sup>Li light pulse. In sequence II, we use  $t_6 = 100 \,\mu s$  and  $t_7 = 50 \,\mu s$  while ending on a <sup>6</sup>Li light pulse. Finally, sequence III corresponds to ramped pulse sequences (ending on  $^{6}$ Li light) in which intensities are reduced by half over the total



FIG. 6. Heating effects of the <sup>7</sup>Li gray molasses cooling light on the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms. The same pulse sequences are used as for the data in Fig. 4, but a final pulse of <sup>7</sup>Li cooling light is added. Black (Red) data points correspond to temperatures for <sup>6</sup>Li (<sup>7</sup>Li). The dashed lines represent temperatures obtained for individual cooling of each isotope using a continuous 1.5 ms pulse, with the surrounding shaded areas indicating the statistical error at one standard deviation. The solid gray line represents a linear fit of the <sup>6</sup>Li temperatures taken for  $\Delta t \geq 50 \ \mu$ s yielding a heating rate of 0.82(4) K/s. The inset shows a schematic representation of the pulse sequence.

molasses duration of 3 ms.

The results summarized in Fig. 5 show that it is most favorable to combine ending the sequence on a pulse of <sup>6</sup>Li light together with an intensity ramp, yielding PSD's on the order of ~  $4 \times 10^{-6}$  and temperatures of approximately 85  $\mu$ K for both isotopes. This is obtained at the cost of a reduction in the atom numbers by only 18% (resp. 16 %) for <sup>6</sup>Li (resp. <sup>7</sup>Li). With this optimized pulsed scheme we are thus not only able to circumvent destruction of the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud due to the near-resonant light of the <sup>7</sup>Li molasses beams, but also reach PSD's which are suitable for loading the atoms into an optical dipole trap, yet lower than PSD's obtained in configuration A for <sup>7</sup>Li.

To understand the effect of the <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light on the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms, we repeat the temperature measurements of Fig. 4, altering the sequence by adding a final pulse of <sup>7</sup>Li light of width  $\Delta t$ , deliberately heating the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud. Fig. 6 shows the obtained temperatures. First we observe the occurrence of an optimum at  $\Delta t \sim 50 \,\mu s$  with a <sup>6</sup>Li temperature of  $\approx 180 \,\mu K$  that is substantially higher than the lowest temperatures reported above.

At short pulse duration, the <sup>6</sup>Li laser pulse cannot compensate the heating induced by the <sup>7</sup>Li light, similarly to what was observed in Fig. 4. For longer pulse durations ( $\Delta t \geq 50 \,\mu$ s) we observe a linear increase of temperature, signaling a heating mechanism with a steady heating rate of 0.82(4) K/s. This heating can be explained by analyzing the photon scattering of <sup>6</sup>Li atoms exposed to <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light. The coupling of the carrier <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light to the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms via the  $L_{26}^{1/2 \rightarrow 1/2, 3/2}$  and  $L_{26}^{3/2 \rightarrow 1/2, 3/2, 5/2}$  transitions yields successive photon scattering cycles with two characteristic scattering rates of  $\approx \Gamma/5$  and  $\approx \Gamma/400$ , respectively. Taking into account the combined effect of these photon scattering processes we quantify the resulting momentum diffusion and obtain a heating rate of  $\sim 0.9 \,\mathrm{K/s}$  in agreement with the measured value.

### IV. DISCUSSION

In addition to the heating observed in Fig. 6, there are two other detrimental effects one may consider as a result of the proximity of the <sup>7</sup>Li molasses light to the  $L_{26}$  transitions: light shifts and net radiation pressure forces resulting from imperfect intensity balance of the molasses beams. Light shifts induced by the <sup>7</sup>Li beams can indeed alter the Raman condition for the  $\Lambda$ -scheme of the <sup>6</sup>Li molasses. However, we estimate these light shifts to be only on the order of  $\hbar\Gamma$  and negative, such that the resulting change in the Raman condition merely leads to less efficient cooling; it would still allow us to reach temperatures of  $\sim 100 \,\mu\text{K}$ , as observed in previous studies [28, 29, 44]. Furthermore, the light shifts cannot account for the fact that, when both  $^6\mathrm{Li}$  and  $^7\mathrm{Li}$  act on the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud, the latter is rapidly expelled with an overall center-of-mass velocity of ten times the recoil velocity.

On the other hand, a net radiation pressure resulting from intensity imbalance among the molasses beams can have an important effect. Indeed, for scattering rates on the order of those discussed in section III B, one would expect extremely high radiation pressure forces in the case of a cycling transition. When only the <sup>7</sup>Li light acts on the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud, this effect is transient and not dominant, due to the non-cycling nature of the  $L_{26}^{1/2 \rightarrow 1/2, 3/2}$  and  $L_{26}^{3/2 \rightarrow 1/2, 3/2, 5/2}$  transitions. However, when the <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li molasses beams are shone simultaneously, the effect of an intensity imbalance becomes dominant. In this case the strong <sup>6</sup>Li molasses light plays the role of a repumper for the  $L_{26}^{1/2 \rightarrow 1/2, 3/2}$  transitions, making them effectively cycling with a scattering rate that we estimate to be  $\approx \Gamma/10$ . For such a high scattering rate, an

intensity imbalance of only 1% would lead the atoms to experience an acceleration of  $3 \text{ km/s}^2$ , which can indeed explain our observations. The pulsed scheme employed in configuration B therefore not only serves to alternate between cooling and heating cycles on the <sup>6</sup>Li atoms, it also prevents the large radiation pressure which causes the observed rapid destruction of the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this work we have demonstrated simultaneous  $D_1$ gray molasses cooling of <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li. Two possible cooling configurations were explored. With the first configuration, in which we cool <sup>7</sup>Li via the upper hyperfine state  $(F_e = 2)$  of the  $2^2 P_{1/2}$  level, simultaneous cooling is straightforward and readily allows to reach temperatures of  $\sim 85 \,\mu\text{K}$ , with atom numbers of  $\sim 10^8$ , and phase space densities of  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-6}$  for both isotopes. When operating simultaneous cooling in which we cool <sup>7</sup>Li via the lower hyperfine state  $(F_e = 1)$  of the  $2^2 P_{1/2}$  level, we find that the proximity of <sup>7</sup>Li cooling light with the  $D_2$  transition of <sup>6</sup>Li leads to the destruction of the <sup>6</sup>Li cloud. We resolved this issue by employing an alternating pulsed sequence of the cooling light, with which we were able to reach performances comparable to the above. In conclusion, we have shown that  $^{\hat{6}}$ Li and  $^{7}$ Li can be simultaneously laser cooled to temperatures  $\lesssim 100 \,\mu\text{K}$ , thus providing a significant simplification in the preparation of degenerate Bose-Fermi mixtures of these isotopes.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Kunlun Dai, Clément de Daniloff, Sudipta Dhar, Alfred Hammond, Joanna Lis, Shuwei Jin, Julian Struck and Joris Verstraten for experimental assistance at various stages of this project. This work has been supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-21-CE30-234590), the European Research Council (ERC-2016-ADG-743159), CNRS (Tremplin@INP 2020) and Région Ile-de-France in the framework of DIM SIRTEQ (Super2D and SISCo).

- C. Ospelkaus and S. Ospelkaus, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 41, 203001 (2008).
- [2] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1225 (2010).
- [3] A. Schirotzek, C.-H. Wu, A. Sommer, and M. W. Zwierlein, Physical Review Letters 102, 230402 (2009).
- [4] N. Spethmann, F. Kindermann, S. John, C. Weber, D. Meschede, and A. Widera, Physical Review Letters 109, 235301 (2012).
- [5] M.-G. Hu, M. J. V. de Graaff, D. Kedar, J. P. Corson, E. A. Cornell, and D. S. Jin, Physical Review Letters 117, 055301 (2016).
- [6] N. B. Jørgensen, L. Wacker, K. T. Skalmstang, M. M. Parish, J. Levinsen, R. S. Christensen, G. M. Bruun, and J. J. Arlt, Physical Review Letters **117**, 055302 (2016).
- [7] S. Laurent, M. Pierce, M. Delehaye, T. Yefsah, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 103403 (2017).
- [8] R. S. Bloom, M.-G. Hu, T. D. Cumby, and D. S. Jin, Physical Review Letters 111, 105301 (2013).

- [9] R. Pires, J. Ulmanis, S. Häfner, M. Repp, A. Arias, E. D. Kuhnle, and M. Weidemüller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 250404 (2014).
- [10] S.-K. Tung, K. Jiménez-García, J. Johansen, C. V. Parker, and C. Chin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 240402 (2014).
- [11] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, M. H. G. de Miranda, A. P. er, B. Neyenhuis, J. J. Zirbel, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, Science **322**, 231 (2008).
- [12] L. D. Carr, D. DeMille, R. V. Krems, and J. Ye, New Journal of Physics **11**, 055049 (2009).
- [13] P. K. Molony, P. D. Gregory, Z. Ji, B. Lu, M. P. Kppinger, C. R. L. Sueur, C. L. Blackley, J. M. Hutson, and S. L. Cornish, Physical Review Letters **113**, 255301 (2014).
- [14] J. W. Park, S. A. Will, and M. W. Zwierlein, Physical Review Letters **114**, 205302 (2015).
- [15] B. DeSalvo, K. Patel, J. Johansen, and C. Chin, Physical Review Letters 119, 233401 (2017).
- [16] R. S. Lous, I. Fritsche, M. Jag, B. Huang, and R. Grimm, Physical Review A 95, 053627 (2017).
- [17] R. S. Lous, I. Fritsche, M. Jag, F. Lehmann, E. Kirilov, B. Huang, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 243403 (2018).
- [18] B. J. DeSalvo, K. Patel, G. Cai, and C. Chin, Nature 568, 61 (2019).
- [19] I. Ferrier-Barbut, M. Delehaye, S. Laurent, A. T. Grier, M. Pierce, B. S. Rem, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, Science 345, 1035 (2014).
- [20] X.-C. Yao, H.-Z. Chen, Y.-P. Wu, X.-P. Liu, X.-Q. Wang, X. Jiang, Y. Deng, Y.-A. Chen, and J.-W. Pan, Physical Review Letters **117**, 145301 (2016).
- [21] R. Roy, A. Green, R. Bowler, and S. Gupta, Physical Review Letters **118**, 055301 (2017).
- [22] R. Zhang, W. Zhang, H. Zhai, and P. Zhang, Physical Review A 90, 063614 (2014).
- [23] T. Ozawa, A. Recati, M. Delehaye, F. Chevy, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 90, 043608 (2014).
- [24] Y. Castin, I. Ferrier-Barbut, and C. Salomon, Comptes Rendus Physique 16, 241 (2015).
- [25] M. Abad, A. Recati, S. Stringari, and F. Chevy, The European Physical Journal D 69 (2015), 10.1140/epjd/e2015-50851-y.
- [26] Y. Jiang, R. Qi, Z.-Y. Shi, and H. Zhai, Physical Review Letters 118, 080403 (2017).
- [27] M. Delehaye, S. Laurent, I. Ferrier-Barbut, S. Jin, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 265303 (2015).
- [28] A. T. Grier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, B. S. Rem, M. Delehaye, L. Khaykovich, F. Chevyand, and C. Salomon, Physical Review A (2013), https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.063411.

- [29] A. Burchianti, G. Valtolina, J. A. Seman, E. Pace, M. D. Pas, M. Inguscio, M. Zaccanti, and G. Roati, Physical Review A 90 (2014), 10.1103/physreva.90.043408.
- [30] G. Grynberg and J.-Y. Courtois, Europhysics Letters (EPL) 27, 41 (1994).
- [31] D. Boiron, C. Triché, D. R. Meacher, P. Verkerk, and G. Grynberg, Physical Review A 52, R3425 (1995).
- [32] M. Weidemller, T. Esslinger, M. A. Ol'shanii, A. Hemmerich, and T. W. Hänsch, Europhysics Letters (EPL) 27, 109 (1994).
- [33] F. Sievers, N. Kretzschmar, D. R. Fernandes, D. Suchet, M. Rabinovic, S. Wu, C. V. Parker, L. Khaykovich, C. Salomon, and F. Chevy, Physical Review A **91**, 023426 (2015).
- [34] D. S. Barker, E. B. Norrgard, N. N. Klimov, J. A. Fedchak, J. Scherschligt, and S. Eckel, Optics express 30, 9959 (2022).
- [35] G. Colzi, G. Durastante, E. Fava, S. Serafini, G. Lamporesi, and G. Ferrari, Physical Review A 93, 023421 (2016).
- [36] D. Nath, R. K. Easwaran, G. Rajalakshmi, and C. S. Unnikrishnan, Physical Review A 88, 053407 (2013).
- [37] G. Salomon, L. Fouché, P. Wang, A. Aspect, P. Bouyer, and T. Bourdel, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 104, 63002 (2013).
- [38] D. R. Fernandes, F. Sievers, N. Kretzschmar, S. Wu, C. Salomon, and F. Chevy, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 100, 63001 (2012).
- [39] H.-Z. Chen, X.-C. Yao, Y.-P. Wu, X.-P. Liu, X.-Q. Wang, Y.-X. Wang, Y.-A. Chen, and J.-W. Pan, Physical Review A 94, 033408 (2016).
- [40] S. Rosi, A. Burchianti, S. Conclave, D. S. Naik, G. Roati, C. Fort, and F. Minardi, Scientific reports 8, 1301 (2018).
- [41] C. J. Sansonetti, C. E. Simien, J. D. Gillaspy, J. N. Tan, S. M. Brewer, R. C. Brown, S. Wu, and J. V. Porto, Physical Review Letters 107, 023001 (2011).
- [42] M.-O. Mewes, G. Ferrari, F. Schreck, A. Sinatra, and C. Salomon, Physical Review A 61, 011403 (1999).
- [43] B. Efron, The Annals of Statistics 7, 1 (1979).
- [44] C. L. Satter, S. Tan, and K. Dieckmann, Physical Review A 98, 023422 (2018).
- [45] N. R. Hutzler, L. R. Liu, Y. Yu, and K.-K. Ni, New Journal of Physics 19, 023007 (2017).
- [46] A. Bergschneider, V. M. Klinkhamer, J. H. Becher, R. Klemt, G. Zürn, P. M. Preiss, and S. Jochim, Phys. Rev. A 97, 063613 (2018).
- [47] P. D. Gregory, J. A. Blackmore, S. L. Bromley, and S. L. Cornish, Physical Review Letters 124, 163402 (2020).
- [48] Y. Liu, M.-G. Hu, M. A. Nichols, D. D. Grimes, T. Karman, H. Guo, and K.-K. Ni, Nature Physics 16, 1132 (2020).
- [49] M. A. Nichols, Y.-X. Liu, L. Zhu, M.-G. Hu, Y. Liu, and K.-K. Ni, Physical Review X 12, 011049 (2022).