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The circum-Antarctic ice-shelves respond to a more positive Southern Annular Mode with regionally varied melting

Deborah Verfaillie1,4✉, Charles Pelletier1, Hugues Goosse1, Nicolas C. Jourdain2, Christopher Y. S. Bull3, Quentin Dalaiden1, Vincent Favier2, Thierry Fichefet1 & Jonathan D. Wille2

The Southern Hemisphere cryosphere has recently shown regionally-contrasted responses to climate change, in particular to the positive phases of the Southern Annular Mode. However, the understanding of the impacts of this mode on ice-shelf basal melt at a circum-Antarctic scale is still limited. Here, we performed idealized experiments with a pan-Antarctic regional ice-shelf cavity-resolving ocean—sea-ice model for different phases of the Southern Annular Mode. We show that positive phases lead to increased upwelling and subsurface ocean temperature and salinity close to ice shelves, while the opposite occurs for negative phases. A one-standard-deviation increase of the Southern Annular Mode leads to a net basal mass loss of 40 Gt yr\(^{-1}\), with strong regional contrasts: increased ice-shelf basal melt in the Bellingshausen and Western Pacific sectors and the opposite response in the Amundsen sector. Estimates of 1000–1200 and 2090–2100 ice-shelf basal melt changes due to the Southern Annular Mode are −86.6 Gt yr\(^{-1}\) and 55.0 to 164.9 Gt yr\(^{-1}\), respectively, compared to the present.
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The climate of the polar regions has experienced dramatic changes over the past decades. The spatial patterns of these changes were regionally contrasted, resulting in heterogeneous impacts on the cryosphere in the Southern Hemisphere. For instance, recent ice sheet mass balance (i.e., the difference between ingoing and outgoing mass fluxes) in Antarctica since the satellite era reflects a contrasting west-east dipole in ice losses, as well as in the thinning and weakening of ice shelves (i.e., the floating extensions of the grounded ice sheet) and emissary glacier tongues. Furthermore, over the same period, some regions have experienced sea-ice advance, as in the Ross Sea, while other regions such as the Bellingshausen Sea have displayed sea-ice loss. Recent advances in observing and modeling the Antarctic climate have highlighted both oceanic and atmospheric causes of these trends, with their interactions playing a crucial role. The climate of this region is thus characterized by large internal variability. As a result, no clear consensus appears today on the leading processes controlling changes in the cryosphere in and around Antarctica.

One of the most prominent climate variations during the last century in the high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere was the millennium-scale maximum in the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) in the extratropical Southern Hemisphere. SAM changes are frequently used to explain variations in the near-surface wind speed, air temperature, and precipitation. During phases with a positive SAM, lower sea level pressure at high latitudes and higher sea level pressure in the extratropical Southern Hemisphere intensify Westerlies, in concert with a southward shift of the Westerlies belt. However, the current knowledge of the impact of these SAM-related fluctuations on the Antarctic cryosphere is still limited. Over the past few years, studies have shown the regionally-contrasted impact of the SAM and the Westerlies on the Antarctic sea ice, and the surface mass balance of the ice sheet. Others have focused on the local impact on coastal ocean warming or ice-shelf basal melt in various Antarctic regions.

Due to the increasingly positive SAM conditions since the 1950s, driven by stratospheric ozone depletion and increasing greenhouse gases, an intensification and poleward shift of the Westerlies has been observed. Climate models suggest that this will continue through the rest of the 21st century. As dampening effects from the ozone hole recovery will progressively be superseded by increasing effects from greenhouse gas emissions, the regionally-contrast response of ice-shelf basal melt to the SAM is still limited. Over the past few years, studies have shown the regionally-contrast impact of the SAM and the Westerlies on the Antarctic sea ice and the surface mass balance of the ice sheet. Others have focused on the local impact on coastal ocean warming or ice-shelf basal melt in various Antarctic regions.

Due to the increasingly positive SAM conditions since the 1950s, driven by stratospheric ozone depletion and increasing greenhouse gases, an intensification and poleward shift of the Westerlies has been observed. Climate models suggest that this will continue through the rest of the 21st century. As dampening effects from the ozone hole recovery will progressively be superseded by increasing effects from greenhouse gas emissions, the regionally-contrast response of ice-shelf basal melt to the SAM is still limited. Over the past few years, studies have shown the regionally-contrast impact of the SAM and the Westerlies on the Antarctic sea ice and the surface mass balance of the ice sheet. Others have focused on the local impact on coastal ocean warming or ice-shelf basal melt in various Antarctic regions.

Due to the increasingly positive SAM conditions since the 1950s, driven by stratospheric ozone depletion and increasing greenhouse gases, an intensification and poleward shift of the Westerlies has been observed. Climate models suggest that this will continue through the rest of the 21st century. As dampening effects from the ozone hole recovery will progressively be superseded by increasing effects from greenhouse gas emissions, the regionally-contrast response of ice-shelf basal melt to the SAM is still limited. Over the past few years, studies have shown the regionally-contrast impact of the SAM and the Westerlies on the Antarctic sea ice and the surface mass balance of the ice sheet. Others have focused on the local impact on coastal ocean warming or ice-shelf basal melt in various Antarctic regions.

Due to the increasingly positive SAM conditions since the 1950s, driven by stratospheric ozone depletion and increasing greenhouse gases, an intensification and poleward shift of the Westerlies has been observed. Climate models suggest that this will continue through the rest of the 21st century. As dampening effects from the ozone hole recovery will progressively be superseded by increasing effects from greenhouse gas emissions, the regionally-contrast response of ice-shelf basal melt to the SAM is still limited. Over the past few years, studies have shown the regionally-contrast impact of the SAM and the Westerlies on the Antarctic sea ice and the surface mass balance of the ice sheet. Others have focused on the local impact on coastal ocean warming or ice-shelf basal melt in various Antarctic regions.

**Table 1: Ice-shelf basal melt rate change due to a positive SAM perturbation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Mean ice-shelf basal melt rate (Gt yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ice-shelf basal melt rate change (Gt yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>% change (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pan-Antarctic</td>
<td>979.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>40.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross</td>
<td>63.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amundsen</td>
<td>372.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-39.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-10.6 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellingshausen</td>
<td>89.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>44.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>49.1 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weddell</td>
<td>159.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ocean</td>
<td>57.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-5.7 ± 0.0</td>
<td>-9.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Pacific</td>
<td>236.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>27.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTRL mean annual ice-shelf basal melt rate over the 30 year period (Gt yr⁻¹), compared to the mean ice-shelf basal melt rate change between the SAM⁺ run and the CTRL run averaged over years 25 to 29 (Gt yr⁻¹), and the corresponding percentage change (%) at the pan-Antarctic scale and for each of the six regions. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals are given. All ice-shelf basal melt rate change values are statistically significant at the 99% level. The regions are defined in Fig. 1.
intensification of the SAM could explain about one tenth (−6.4 Gt yr\(^{-1}\)), one quarter (−26.5 Gt yr\(^{-1}\)) and one fifth (30.7 Gt yr\(^{-1}\)) of, respectively, the AIS mass change over 1992–2011, the AIS mass change over 1992–2017 and the excess meltwater flux estimated over 1994–2018 (see “Methods”).

The ice-shelf basal melt rate response to increased SAM is positive in all regional averages except for the Amundsen and Indian Ocean regions (−10.6% and −9.9% change, respectively, compared to the unperturbed annual mean ice-shelf basal melt rates, Table 1). The impact on ice-shelf basal melt is strongest on the smaller ice shelves of the Western Pacific (−11.6% change) and Bellingshausen (−49.1% change) sectors, and on the Antarctic Peninsula (Table 1, Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Movies S1–S7). Elsewhere, the impact is more contrasted (Fig. 1, Supplementary Movies S1–S7). Ice-shelf refreezing occurring in large, cold cavities (e.g. Filchner-Ronne, Ross\(^{59–62}\)) occurs more strongly in the SAM\(^+\) experiment, suggesting a SAM-related enhancement of the ocean – ice shelf interactions, regardless of its nature (refreezing or melting), which is consistent with the ice pump circulation\(^{63}\).

The increase in ice-shelf basal melt rate contrasts with the small net increase in annual mean sea-ice extent (−15.5 \(10^4\) km\(^2\), statistically significant at the 95% level, Supplementary Fig. S2) caused by the positive SAM perturbation. On the one hand, compared with other multimodel studies relying on longer coupled experiments\(^{64}\), this relatively mild impact on the sea-ice cover may be related to the fact that our ocean-standalone simulations cannot account for coupled atmosphere–ocean feedbacks (e.g., related to ozone depletion\(^{65}\)). Moreover, contrary to global climate models, our eddy-permitting\(^{66}\) configuration might harbor eddy compensation\(^{67}\) which could inhibit the simulated sea-ice reaction, albeit in a lesser extent compared with other modeling studies clearly set over eddy-resolving resolution\(^{68,69}\). On the other hand, this moderate simulated SAM-related sea-ice impact is consistent with several other studies\(^{27,70,71}\) showing that the SAM cannot explain the Antarctic sea-ice extent increase during the satellite era. Nevertheless, although the impact of the SAM on the total Antarctic sea-ice extent is almost negligible, sea-ice extent changes are also regionally contrasted (Fig. S3). For instance, a positive SAM perturbation leads to a sea-ice extent increase in the Ross and Weddell sectors while the Bellingshausen sector witnesses a slight sea-ice extent decrease (Fig. S3). This spatial pattern typically arises from the atmospheric circulation in West Antarctica associated with the positive SAM conditions, that enhance the southerly warm air flow towards the Antarctic Peninsula and the cold air flow from the ice sheet towards the Ross Sea\(^{24,27,72}\).

When examining ice-shelf response times to SAM perturbations at an Antarctic-wide scale (Fig. 2), the response time is in the order of a decade, except for the Bellingshausen sector that does not reach an equilibrium during our experiment, and the Amundsen and Western Pacific sectors that take nearly 25 years. This is longer than reported, e.g., in Spence et al. (2017)\(^{38}\), possibly because the residence time of waters below the Ross and Filchner-Ronne ice shelves is very long (several years, e.g. Michel et al., 1979\(^{73}\); Nicholls and Osterhus 2004\(^{74}\); Naughten et al., 2019\(^{75}\)), which may enable circum-Antarctic recirculation.
However, one should bear in mind the differences in experimental setup between both studies: wind forcing in Spence et al. (2017) was much stronger than ours, and ice shelves were not accounted for. This shows the interest of using a model that covers the whole circum-Antarctic region. The SAM+ run first experiences a slight decrease in pan-Antarctic ice-shelf basal melt rate (during the first two years), then an increase, which reaches a plateau after about nine years. This two-time-scale response of ice-shelf basal melt rates to a positive SAM perturbation is similar to the one found by Naughten et al. (2021) in response to climate warming associated with increased CO2 concentration, although it occurs on shorter time scales in this study. A two-time-scale response of the sea ice to ozone depletion was also found in Ferreira et al. (2015).

The response to a SAM− perturbation (see “Methods”) almost mirrors the SAM+ one for all sectors but the Amundsen sector where a negative SAM anomaly has no significant effect on ice-shelf basal melt. Melt rates obtained with the run in which only the dynamical components were perturbed (SAMdyn run, see “Methods”) are similar to the ones obtained with the SAM+ run, confirming that the ice-shelf response is mostly wind-driven. The Indian Ocean (Fig. 2c) and Ross (Fig. 2g) sectors exhibit very little response to any SAM perturbation, as already reflected in the 5-year averages of Table 1. However, one should bear in mind the differences in experimental setup between both studies: wind forcing in Spence et al. (2017) was much stronger than ours, and ice shelves were not accounted for. This shows the interest of using a model that covers the whole circum-Antarctic region. The SAM+ run first experiences a slight decrease in pan-Antarctic ice-shelf basal melt rate (during the first two years), then an increase, which reaches a plateau after about nine years. This two-time-scale response of ice-shelf basal melt rates to a positive SAM perturbation is similar to the one found by Naughten et al. (2021) in response to climate warming associated with increased CO2 concentration, although it occurs on shorter time scales in this study. A two-time-scale response of the sea ice to ozone depletion was also found in Ferreira et al. (2015).

The response to a SAM− perturbation (see “Methods”) almost mirrors the SAM+ one for all sectors but the Amundsen sector where a negative SAM anomaly has no significant effect on ice-shelf basal melt. Melt rates obtained with the run in which only the dynamical components were perturbed (SAMdyn run, see “Methods”) are similar to the ones obtained with the SAM+ run, confirming that the ice-shelf response is mostly wind-driven. The Indian Ocean (Fig. 2c) and Ross (Fig. 2g) sectors exhibit very little response to any SAM perturbation, as already reflected in the 5-year averages of Table 1.

What are the processes at play? Sectors that experience the largest ice-shelf basal melt rate increase associated to SAM+ (i.e., the Western Pacific and Bellingshausen sectors, Figs. 1, 2) are generally the ones where ice-shelf cavities are in direct contact with warmer-than-usual (warmer-than-CTRL) water masses (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S4). Figure 3 indicates that the whole Antarctic Peninsula undergoes strong warming at the ice-shelf front, in particular the Bellingshausen region and the western side of the Antarctic Peninsula (consistently with previous studies). Water masses located above the continental shelf (around 1000 m depth) are warmer than in the CTRL run by ~0.1 °C in the Western Pacific sector (Fig. 4c) and by up to ~0.25 °C in the Bellingshausen sector (Fig. 4d). By comparison, with a rather different experimental design and a regionally-limited forcing, Webb et al. (2019) get a warming along the West Antarctic Peninsula of up to 0.7 °C. In contrast, in the Amundsen sector, where SAM+ leads to decreased ice-shelf basal melt rates (Figs. 1, 2), water masses above the continental shelf are 0.3 °C colder than in CTRL (Fig. 4e). Processes explaining this opposite response of the Amundsen sector are discussed later. The Weddell, Ross and Indian Ocean sectors exhibit slightly colder water close to the continent (Fig. 4a, b, f), which is consistent with the modest increase (or decrease in the case of the Indian Ocean) in ice-shelf basal melt rates in these regions (Table 1). However, water masses located off the continental shelf, around 65–70°S, are warmer for most regions, including Amundsen (Fig. 4). In terms of salinity, subsurface water masses get saltier everywhere close to the Antarctic continent, by up to ~0.2 g kg⁻¹ in the Ross and Bellingshausen sectors (Figs. 3, 4).

Concerning temporal evolution, subsurface ocean temperature and salinity tend to increase with time (Supplementary Fig. S2), with different response times depending on the sector considered (Supplementary Figs. S4–S7). A maximum temperature difference between the SAM+ run and the CTRL run is reached after about nine years for the Indian Ocean (Supplementary Fig. S6b), after about 20 years for the Weddell and Western Pacific sectors (Supplementary Fig. S6a, c), and is never reached even after 30 years of simulation for the Bellingshausen sector (Supplementary Fig. S6d). For this sector, as currently the ice-shelf basal melt has most probably not reached an equilibrium yet, this tends to
indicate that ice-shelf basal losses should continue to amplify in the future under positive SAM conditions. Ocean temperature and salinity changes under the SAM$^-$ perturbation show an opposite evolution (Supplementary Figs. S4, S5).

The intrusion of warmer, saltier water on the continental shelf in the Bellingshausen and Western Pacific sectors as well as the eastern side of the Antarctic Peninsula is due to an increase in upwelling (represented by the maximum vertical velocity, see “Methods”) near the shelf break (Fig. 5), which brings warmer and saltier water close to the ice shelves base, thereby increasing ice-shelf basal melt$^{27,28,36–39}$. The circum-Antarctic zonal mean upwelling off the continental shelf break increases by $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{-6}$ m s$^{-1}$ (i.e., $\sim 5.2\%$) in the SAM$^+$ and SAM$_{dy}^+$ runs compared to the CTRL run (Fig. 5c, d). This increase in upwelling is induced by the intensification and southward shift of ocean surface stress over the Southern Ocean in response to positive SAM conditions (Fig. 5a, b). Around 55$^\circ$S, the mean zonal surface stress increases by $\sim 0.004$ N m$^{-2}$ (i.e., $\sim 2.9\%$) and is shifted poleward by about 0.1$^\circ$ in the SAM$^+$ and SAM$_{dy}^+$ runs compared to the CTRL run (the surface stress response to a negative SAM perturbation mirrors the latter response to a positive SAM perturbation). This typical mechanism associated with the intensification and poleward shift of the Westerlies was already described in previous studies$^{36,37,39}$. However, it is noteworthy that in this study, the ice-shelf basal melt response is fairly large (4.1% change at the pan-Antarctic scale and 49.1% change in the Bellingshausen region), despite a rather small surface stress perturbation (0.1$^\circ$ shift and $\sim 2.9\%$ intensity increase). By comparison, using a different experimental design, Spence et al. (2014)$^{36}$ applied a 4$^\circ$ shift and 15% stronger winds.

The fact that ice-shelf basal melt rates obtained with the run in which only the dynamical components were perturbed (SAM$_{dy}^+$ run) are similar to the ones obtained with the SAM$^+$ run, confirms our hypothesis that the ice-shelf response is mostly wind-driven, conveyed through an intensification and southward shift of ocean surface stress (related to the Westerlies) and subsequent increase in upwelling close to the majority of Antarctic ice shelves. Supplementary Figs. S2a and S3a, b show very little change in sea ice extent in the SAM$^+$ and SAM$^-$$^-$$^-$ runs. This could indicate that sea ice is sensitive to a more complex combination of elements than ice-shelf basal melt, which is mostly driven by the upwelling variations. Our study therefore confirms this mechanistic hypothesis for most regions around Antarctica and goes further in quantifying and explaining the processes responsible for enhanced (reduced) ice-shelf basal melt under positive (negative) SAM conditions.

**Why is the response regionally contrasted?** All regions (with the exception of Amundsen and the Indian Ocean) exhibit an increase in ice-shelf basal melt in response to a positive SAM perturbation. Moreover, the regions with a positive response still feature distinct patterns. The Ross and Weddell regions exhibit a weak increase in ice-shelf basal melt, both in terms of mean state (Table 1) and temporal evolution (Fig. 2). Smaller ice shelves located in the Western Pacific and Bellingshausen sectors and the Antarctic Peninsula respond more strongly to the perturbation than the larger ones such as Filchner-Ronne and Ross ice shelves (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S1). The negative response observed in the Indian Ocean region could be related to a decrease in upwelling in that region (Fig. 5c).

In contrast with the other Antarctic regions, ice-shelf basal melt in the Amundsen sector decreases when a positive SAM perturbation is applied (Table 1, Figs. 1, 2). This decrease is related to a cooling of the subsurface waters above the continental shelf in the Amundsen and eastern Ross seas (Figs. 3, 4 and Supplementary Fig. S6). Holland et al. (2019)$^{7}$ and Naughten et al. (2022)$^{29}$ suggest the westerly wind trend over the shelf break in the Amundsen region may on the contrary have contributed to a long-term warming signal on the shelf and the on-going ice loss.
Fig. 4 Zonally-averaged vertical sections of ocean conservative temperature and absolute salinity changes due to a positive SAM perturbation. Depth vs. latitude sections of ocean temperature (°C) and salinity (g kg⁻¹) changes between the SAM⁺ run and the CTRL run for the six regions (a-f). Values are averaged over years 25–29, and ice-shelf cavity columns are excluded from the zonal averaging. Hatching indicates non-significant changes at the 95% level. The regions are defined in Fig. 1.
in this region. Discrepancies between these studies and our findings could be due to the different experimental setups and different definitions of the Amundsen region. Furthermore, in contrast with Holland et al. (2019)\textsuperscript{7}, we directly assess the response of ice-shelf basal melt rates to a SAM perturbation, and not to changes in the Westerlies, which, in the Amundsen Sea are mostly related to ENSO\textsuperscript{7}.

The relative cooling in the Amundsen region is in contrast with the large increase in ice-shelf basal melt and subsurface temperatures simulated in the Bellingshausen region. This dipole is potentially a fingerprint of the Amundsen Sea Low (ASL) – a low pressure system centered in the Pacific sector, off the coast of West Antarctica—which is typically deeper during SAM\textsuperscript{+} conditions\textsuperscript{72,80}. A deeper ASL prompts colder, northerly air advected from the Antarctic continent in the eastern Ross region, bringing a decrease in sea surface temperatures along with enhancing the sea-ice concentration\textsuperscript{81}. Dotto et al. (2020)\textsuperscript{82} further showed that a deep ASL was associated with westward wind anomalies at the continental shelf break in the Amundsen region, resulting in a deceleration of the shelf break undercurrent and a cooling of the Getz-Dotson Trough.

The pattern of increasing sea-ice production and decreasing surface temperature in the Amundsen-eastern Ross region is also generally observed when SAM is positive\textsuperscript{27,28,83,84}, implying more vertical mixing in the water column (with an increase in the winter mixed layer depth of about 80 m). Our results suggest that the relationship between SAM and surface temperatures/sea-ice area in the Amundsen-eastern Ross region can be extended to ice-shelf basal melt. The increase in northerly winds during positive phases of the SAM directly implies a cooling of surface waters in the eastern Ross Sea due to colder air advected from the continent (Supplementary Fig. S8), which is transferred to the subsurface through intense vertical mixing (Fig. 6). The cold and saline waters in the Amundsen-eastern Ross Sea (Figs. 3, 4) are consistent with an intensification of sea-ice production in this region\textsuperscript{27,28}. The sea-ice production over the Amundsen-eastern Ross continental shelf increases by \(1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m day}^{-1}\) in the SAM\textsuperscript{+} run compared to the CTRL run. Moreover, this region experiences a stronger slope current under positive SAM conditions (Fig. 7), preventing the warmer waters from coming onto the continental shelf.
Fig. 7 Slope current velocity change due to a positive SAM perturbation. Vertical section of the geostrophic slope current velocity (defined as the along-slope geostrophic velocity at the continental shelf break (85)) change between the SAM1 run and the CTRL run, averaged over years 25 to 29. The bedrock bathymetry is represented in gray. Hatching indicates non-significant changes at the 95% level. The x-axis represents the position along the shelf break, indicated by numbers from 0 to 19 located on the inset map (the continental shelf is represented in tan).

Table 2 Estimates of ice-shelf basal melt rate changes in the past and future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (years AD)</th>
<th>Last millenium20</th>
<th>End of the century26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SSP245/RCP4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of σ SAM</td>
<td>–2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-shelf basal melt rate change (Gt yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>–86.6</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimates of the changes in the SAM index (expressed in standard deviations (σ) of the SAM index compared to our reference period 1989–2018) and subsequent changes in pan-Antarctic ice-shelf basal melt rate for different periods in the past and future: the beginning of the last millennium (1000–1200 AD, based on the SAM reconstruction from Abram et al., 201420) and the end of the 21st century (2090–2100, based on SAM index projections from Figs. 53 from Goyal et al., 202126). For end-of-century projections, emission scenarios SSP245/RCP4.5, SSP585 and RCP8.5 are considered.

What would be the response of ice-shelf basal melt to past or future SAM variations? To conclude, our study is the first to address the impact of the SAM on ice-shelf basal melt at a circum-Antarctic scale. The study has shown that positive phases of the SAM lead to a net increase in Antarctic ice-shelf basal melt, due to enhanced upwelling transporting warmer and saltier waters to the subsurface close to the ice-shelf base. We thereby confirm the mechanistic hypothesis associated with the intensification and poleward shift of the Westerlies, already described in previous studies. Our study also goes further in explaining in details the mechanisms responsible for enhanced (reduced) ice-shelf basal melt under positive (negative) SAM conditions.

We further show contrasts in the regional response of ice shelves to SAM perturbations, with a positive perturbation leading to increased basal melt in the sectors of the Western Pacific and Bellingshausen, and an opposite response in the Amundsen-eastern Ross Sea sector. We argue that the negative response of the Amundsen sector in the case of a positive SAM perturbation could be related to the interplay between the ASL and the SAM, as well as the presence of colder air advected from the continent over the eastern Ross Sea coupled to more vertical mixing and a stronger slope current preventing warmer waters from reaching the Amundsen and eastern Ross ice-shelves base.

The results of our experiments provide an estimate of the changes induced by a one-standard-deviation change in the SAM index for present-day conditions. Assuming that this response of the system to SAM is stationary, we can compute the impact of past and future SAM changes on ice-shelf basal melt by simply multiplying the value obtained in our experiments by the difference in the SAM index between selected periods and the present day. Based on the SAM reconstruction for the last millennium from Abram et al. (2014)20, we find that the SAM index at the beginning of the last millennium (1000–1200 AD) was about 2.1 standard deviation lower compared to the present and changes in the SAM index between 1989–2018 mean, 2018 mean, and future projections (Table 2). As a consequence, the pan-Antarctic ice-shelf basal melt rate change at the beginning of the last millennium compared to the present is estimated at –86.6 Gt yr⁻¹. Similarly, using SAM projections from Goyal et al. (2021)26 for the end of the century (2090–2100), we find changes in the SAM index between –1.4 and +4.1 standard deviation compared to the 1989–2018 mean, depending on the emission scenario considered (Table 2). The future pan-Antarctic ice-shelf basal melt rate change due to the SAM at the end of the century can thus be estimated between 55.0 and 164.9 Gt yr⁻¹ compared to the present. This is much smaller than the total expected end-of-century change in basal melt rates from, e.g., Golledge et al. (2019)88 or Timmermann & Hellmer (2013)89.

While the estimates described herein are statistically robust and indicate the potentially important impact of the SAM on the AIS mass balance, other unrepresented processes impacting ice shelves provide leads for future likewise circum-Antarctic studies. For example, our study does not account for feedbacks related to the evolution of ice-sheet geometry (through melting, surface...
mass balance or iceberg calving anomalies), which could trigger substantially important destabilization through marine ice-sheet and ice-cliff instabilities. Another perspective lies in investigating the impact of other modes of Southern climate variability on ice shelf—ocean interactions such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Our idealized experimental design permitted clearly identifying and isolating SAM-related effects on such interactions, but the contrasted (both sector and process-wise) response of the Antarctic cryosphere presented above suggests that the physics at play cannot be interpreted uniformly and unequivocally. In particular, investigating the joint impact of SAM- and ENSO-related processes on ice-shelf cavities remains a considerable challenge, as the response of the cryosphere to such climate fluctuations may be more complex than the superposition of the responses to each of them taken separately.

Methods

Model setup. The model used in this study is the ocean—sea-ice model NEMO v.3.6 (Nucleus for European Modeling of the Ocean98)—LIM3.6 (Louvain-la-Neuve sea-ice model95), including the explicit ice-sheet cavity model102. The model is used in a standalone setup, i.e., without coupling nor feedback with the atmosphere or dynamical ice sheet. The model grid (ePERIANT025) has a ~0.25° resolution in longitude and covers the Antarctic ice shelves96, the circum-Antarctic sea and the Southern Ocean, with one single lateral boundary at 30°S. The configuration is derived from the G07 one described in Storey et al. (2018)95. The ocean model horizontal resolution increases from 24 km at 30°S to 3.8 km at ~86°S. A so-called z coordinate is employed, with 75 vertical levels whose thicknesses increase from 1 m at the surface to ~200 m at depth, so that the vertical resolution at the ocean interface ranges from ~10 to ~150 m. The NEMO and LIM time steps are 900 s and 5400 s, respectively. The Antarctic continental shelf bedrock bathymetry is taken from the Nov. 2019 version of BedMachine Antarctica99, and a linear transition to ETOP019,100 is performed north of ~63°S. The Antarctic ice-sheet geometry, from which the surface continental mask is derived, is constant and taken from a relaxation run performed with the FES2013-ice-sheet model at 8 km resolution92. Ice-shelf cavities are explicitly resolved101 with ocean—ice-shelf heat and freshwater fluxes parameterized from the intra-cavity ocean circulation as in Pelletier et al. (2022).103 Antarctic ice-sheet calving is not represented, but iceberg melting is represented as additional runoff with associated increased near-surface mixing.102 No sea surface salinity restoring is applied. At the ocean surface, the model is forced at a 3-hourly frequency with air-sea heat and freshwater fluxes parameterized from the CORE bulk formulae104, using a normal year from ERA5 as atmospheric input. The normal year (i.e., most neutral in terms of major climate modes of variability) of Stewart et al. (2020)105 is used, by repeating the ERA5 forcing from 1st May 1990 to 30th April 2019. At its northern lateral boundary (30°S), the model is relaxed to the ORASS ocean reanalysis.99

Experiments. Four experiments were performed with the NEMO-LIM3.6 model. The control experiment (CTRL) corresponds to the baseline experiment against which SAM-perturbed experiments are compared. It is a 40-year long NEMO-LIM3.6 run forced by the normal-year ERA5 forcing described above (with ocean initial conditions taken from a previous NEMO standalone run). Three additional 30-year long SAM-perturbed experiments were then produced: SAM+, SAM− and SAMdyn. For each of those, a seasonal SAM perturbation—corresponding to changes representative of an increase or a decrease in the SAM index of one standard deviation—was added to or subtracted from the CTRL forcing for all the variables used to force the model (surface specific humidity, total precipitation, snowfall, surface thermal and solar radiation downwards, 2 m temperature, 10 m u- and v-wind speed) or for only some of them. To calculate the SAM+ and SAM− perturbations of a variable x for a given timestep, we calculate the regression coefficient between x (from ERA5 over 1989–2018) and the non-dimensional SAM index (index divided by its standard deviation) timeseries of the corresponding season. For SAM+ (SAM−), the SAM perturbation was added to (subtracted from) all the forcing variables, while for SAMdyn only the dynamical components (surface u- and v-wind speed) were perturbed. The SAMdyn perturbation thus impacts surface stress but also turbulent heat fluxes, i.e. it also expect changes in sea ice production, not only Ekman pumping. Each SAM-perturbed run was restarted from the CTRL run after 10 years.

Pan-Antarctic and regional diagnostics. All diagnostics were performed at the pan-Antarctic scale and for the 6 regions outlined in Fig. 1: Weddell (from the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula up to ~10°S), Indian Ocean (grouping Eastern Weddell and the Indian Ocean ice shelves except Amery ice shelf), Western Pacific (with Amery ice shelf included), Southeastern, Amundsen (the eastern Ross Sea grouped with the Amundsen ice shelves) and Ross (the Ross ice shelf cavity on its own). Variables analyzed include ice-shelf basal melt rate, ocean temperature and salinity (both in the open ocean and inside the ice-shelf cavities), sea-ice extent, ocean surface stress (total stress absorbed by the surface of the ocean, i.e. the combination of wind- and sea-ice-induced surface stresses weighted by the sea-ice concentration), maximum vertical velocity (representative of upwelling), winter (JJA) mixed layer depth and along-slope geostrophic velocity at the continental shelf break (used to represent the slope current intensity). Ocean thermodynamical properties are expressed with the TEOS-10 standard106 (i.e., conservative temperature and absolute salinity). The changes between SAM-perturbed experiments and the CTRL experiment are analyzed (vs. the mean values), either as time series over the 30-year period or averaged over years 25 to 29. Diagnostics consist of spatially-averaged values and time series, maps, vertical sections along the ice-shelf front or along the continental shelf break, cross sections across ice shelves and depth vs. time plots. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals are indicated where applicable by calculating the standard deviation of the values of all grid points within a given ice shelf, region or the whole Antarctic. Statistical significance of changes is evaluated based on a two-sided t-test, with a null hypothesis of zero change.

Comparison with satellite-derived measurements. To put the ice-shelf basal melt rate changes we obtain into perspective, we provide a rough comparison with satellite-derived measurements of the rates of the Antarctic ice-sheet (AIS) mass change over the period 1992–2011 (~76 ± 59 Gt yr−1), 1992–2017 (~109 ± 56 Gt yr−1), and with the excess ice-shelf meltwater flux over 1994–2018 (160 ± 150 Gt yr−1, compared to steady state).92 Based on the SAM index change over those time periods92, i.e. ±0.2 standard deviation for the period 1992–2011, ±0.7 standard deviation for 1992–2017 and +0.8 standard deviation for 1994–2018, we estimate the proportion of these observed changes that could be explained by changes in the SAM. We find that the intensification of the SAM could explain about one tenth, one quarter and one fifth, respectively, the AIS mass change over 1992–2011, the AIS mass change over 1992–2017 and the excess meltwater flux estimated over 1994–2018 (see the Results and Discussion section).

Data availability

The input datasets used for reproducing the results found in this study are publicly available on Zenodo102. Due to their prohibitive size, model outputs are only available upon request to the corresponding author.

The Marshall (2003)103 SAM index was downloaded on March 3rd, 2021 from https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/gjma/sam.html. The Abram et al. (2014)104 SAM 1000 Year Reconstruction was downloaded from https://www.ncc.niua.noaa.gov/access/paleo-search/study/16197/on November 3rd, 2021. The ERA5 data107–109 was downloaded on September 1st, 2019 from the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store. The results contain modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information 2020. Neither the European Commission nor ECMWF is responsible for any use that may be made of the Copernicus information or data it contains. The ORAS5 data109 was downloaded on September 1st, 2019 from the Integrated Climate Data Center hosted at Universitã© de Hamburg (https://www.cen.uni-hamburg.de/en/icdc/data/ocean/easy-init-ocean/ecmwf-oras5.html).

Code availability

The full NEMO sources used for reproducing the results found in this study are publicly available on Zenodo104. All figures were created with the Matplotlib105 and Cartopy106 Python libraries. Most of the analyses also rely on the NCO and CDO107 softwares.
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