

On the Prediction of Macrosegregation in Vacuum Arc Remelted Ingots

K. Mramor, T. Quatravaux, H. Combeau, Alain Jardy, Miha Založnik, I.

Crassous, A. Gaillac

▶ To cite this version:

K. Mramor, T. Quatravaux, H. Combeau, Alain Jardy, Miha Založnik, et al.. On the Prediction of Macrosegregation in Vacuum Arc Remelted Ingots. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B, 2022, 53 (5), pp.2953-2971. 10.1007/s11663-022-02578-3. hal-03874260

HAL Id: hal-03874260 https://hal.science/hal-03874260

Submitted on 27 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	On the prediction of macrosegregation in Vacuum Arc Remelted ingots
2	K. Mramor ^a , T. Quatravaux ^{a*} , H. Combeau ^a , A. Jardy ^a , M. Založnik ^a , I. Crassous ^b , A. Gaillac ^b
3	
4	^a Institut Jean Lamour – UMR 7198 CNRS/Université de Lorraine, Laboratory of Excellence
5	DAMAS, Campus ARTEM, 2 allée André Guinier, BP 50840, F-54011 NANCY, France
6	^b Framatome, Components Research Center, Avenue Paul Girod, F-73403 UGINE, FRANCE
7	*corresponding author: thibault.quatravaux@univ-lorraine.fr, +33 (0)372742900
8	katarina.mramor@fs.uni-lj.si, herve.combeau@univ-lorraine.fr, alain.jardy@univ-lorraine.fr,
9	miha.zaloznik@univ-lorraine.fr, isabelle.crassous@framatome.com,
10	alexis.gaillac@framatome.com
11 12	Abstract
13	The chemical homogeneity and metallurgical structure of vacuum arc remelted (VAR)
14	zirconium ingots are directly responsible for product quality. It is therefore important to
15	understand the relationship between these properties and the operating conditions. An in-depth
16	analysis of the modelling of solidification phenomena during the VAR was carried out.
17	Such model, solves a coupled set of transient equations for heat, momentum, solute
18	transport and turbulence in an axisymmetric geometry. The remelting and cooling of a cylindrical
19	ingot are calculated for time-dependent operating parameters.
20	The solidification mechanisms implemented in the model can be applied to multi-
21	component industrial alloys such as Zircaloy-4, which provides information on the
22	macrosegregation phenomena studied in this paper.
23	The model results were validated, based on the remelting of a specially designed

24 chemically homogeneous Zircaloy-4 electrode. The results illustrate the importance of thermal

25	and s	olute convection, the importance of the permeability of the partially solid material and the			
26	weak influence of nuclei density and solute diffusion in the solid phase on the prediction of				
27	macrosegregation in Zircaloy-4 ingots.				
28	Keywords: Numerical solution; Solidification; Zirconium alloys; Macrosegregation; Vacuum				
29	Arc Remelting, Secondary Metallurgy				
30					
31	Nom	enclature			
32	В	magnetic field (T)			
33	D	diffusion coefficient of solute in the liquid or the solid phase (m ² .s ⁻¹)			
34	F_{el}	electromagnetic force (N)			
35	g	gravitational acceleration (m.s ⁻²)			
36	\mathbf{g}_{l}	volume fraction of the liquid phase			
37	gs	volume fraction of the solid phase			
38	h	specific enthalpy (J.kg ⁻¹)			
39	J	melting current density (A.m ⁻²)			
40	J	interfacial species transfer rate per unit volume (kg.m- ³ .s ⁻¹)			
41	J ^{ji}	interfacial species transfer rate per unit volume due to diffusion (kg.m- ³ .s ⁻¹)			
42	JΓi	interfacial species transfer rate per unit volume due to the phase change (kg.m ⁻³ .s ⁻¹)			
43	k	turbulent kinetic energy (m².s-²)			
44	K	permeability (m ²)			
45	K_0	permeability constant of the Blake-Kozeny law (m ²)			
46	N_0	nuclei density (m ⁻³)			
47	Ng	grain density (m ⁻³)			

48	р	pressure (Pa)
49	$\overline{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{l}}}$	average velocity of the liquid phase (m.s ⁻¹)
50	Prt	turbulent Prandtl number
51	Sct	turbulent Schmidt number
52	u'	turbulent velocity of the liquid metal (m.s ⁻¹)
53		
54	Greek	x symbols
55	β_S	solutal expansion coefficient (wt% ⁻¹)
56	β_{T}	thermal expansion coefficient (K ⁻¹)
57	3	dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy (m ² .s ⁻³)
58	λ	thermal conductivity (W.m ⁻¹ .K ⁻¹)
59	λ_{DAS}	characteristic length of the dendritic structure (m)
60	μ	dynamic viscosity (kg.m ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)
61	σ	electric conductivity (Ω ⁻¹ .m ⁻¹)
62	φ	electric potential (V)
63	ρ	density (kg.m ⁻³)
64	ω	solute mass fraction (wt%)
65	Φ	mass production rate per unit volume due to nucleation (kg.m ⁻³ .s ⁻¹)
66	Г	interfacial phase change rate per unit volume (kg.m ⁻³ .s ⁻¹)
67	Subsc	rripts
68	g	grain density (m ⁻³)
69	l	liquid
70	m	mixture

71	r	radial direction
72	S	solid
73	t	turbulent
74	Z	axial direction
75	θ	orthoradial direction

76 **1** Introduction

77 The fuel assembly components, including Zirconium alloys, are one of the key elements in the production process in nuclear reactors. Refinement of the metallurgical structure and 78 79 improvement of the cleanness of Zr alloy ingots are generally achieved by the Vacuum Arc Remelting (VAR) process. The high quality and good performance of the final product are 80 81 ensured by this manufacturing process, which enables the regulation of the chemical 82 homogeneity The VAR process, as illustrated in Fig. 1, consists in continuously remelting a 83 consumable electrode. In order to obtain a high quality structural ingot, the composition of the 84 electrode must comply with strict standards. A high power direct current, applied under vacuum, 85 provides an electric arc between the tip of the electrode and the baseplate of a water-cooled 86 87 copper mold. The intense heat generated by the arc melts the electrode tips that fall into the crucible. The secondary ingot is gradually formed from the liquid metal that falls through the arc 88 89 plasma. The secondary ingot consists of a liquid metal pool above the solidified part and a mushy 90 zone where solidification takes place.



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of a VAR furnace.

91

In addition, VAR is generally used in the remelting cycles of high quality special steels,as well as Nickel and Titanium alloys.

In the case of Zr or Ti remelting, the arc length is several centimeters. To prevent side-95 arcing due to these operating conditions, an axial magnetic field is generated by external 96 97 induction coils. A periodical reversal of the coil current creates a magnetic field that can provide 98 complex electromagnetic stirring of the melt pool. For the standard production of superior-quality 99 Zr alloys for nuclear applications, a VAR operation cycle is applied (up to three times), where 100 each secondary ingot feeds the next remelting as a new consumable electrode. 101 During solidification, chemical heterogeneities eventually appear in the mushy zone. One 102 of the main challenges in the production of Zr alloys is to control macrosegregation in the VAR

104 heterogeneity at the ingot scale and is a combination of microsegregation, i.e. concentration

gradient between the solid and liquid phases at the dendritic microscale, and the solute convective
transport caused by the liquid metal flow within the mushy zone.

107 Several numerical models have already been developed to calculate the hydrodynamics of

108 the melt pool in a VAR ingot, including the 2D SOLAR code, as described by Jardy and

109 Ablitzer^[1]. The extension of this CFD code forms the basis for the present study.

In addition, an extensive work has also been done to anticipate solidification defects in the remelting products. The SOLAR code was successfully used for simulating VAR and ESR (ElectroSlag Remelting) processes, to predict the risks of white spot and freckle occurrence in the ingot, using a Rayleigh number criterium^[2]. The numerical results highlighted the positive impact of an optimized melting rate to minimize the occurrence of freckles.

Wilson and Jardy^[3] used the SOLAR model to determine the influence of the alternated electromagnetic stirring sequence on the remelting of Titanium alloys. They presented in-depth numerical studies on several magnetic field stirring patterns during remelting. These numerical results were lately validated by Venkatesh et al.^[4] with an industrial campaign dedicated to the remelting of Titanium alloys. The numerical results were successfully validated, based on the measured positions of tungsten markers inside the ingots, providing cavity locations and liquid pool depth for different stirring sequences.

Besides, Chapelle et al.^[5] determined the effect of electromagnetic stirring on melt pool free surface dynamics during the remelting of a Zirconium alloy. They observed the behavior of the free surface of the pool for undirectional and alternated stirring. They thus showed that the free surface deformation can affect the quality of the surface of the VAR ingot.

From all these previous studies, it is now well established that the sources of in-place (r,z) motion of the molten pool of a VAR ingot are:

128	- thermal convection (buoyancy force due to thermal gradients) which tends to favour a flow in a
129	clockwise direction (symmetry axis being on the left),
130	- the Lorenz force resulting from the interaction between the melting current and the self-induced
131	magnetic field that causes a counterclockwise flow,
132	- the centrifugal force resulting from the azimuthal motion caused by the interaction between the
133	melting current and the external stirring field. The latter generates a clockwise flow in the (r,z)
134	plane.
135	Moreover, Revil-Baudard et al. ^[6] identified the additional potential effect of solute-driven
136	buoyancy force resulting from microsegregation, on macrosegregation.
137	This paper presents the recent implementation of an improved solidification modelling,
138	based on work of Combeau et al. ^[7] , to provide an enhanced description of macrosegregation
139	phenomena in the ingot.
140	
141	
142	2 Full-scale VAR trial
143	For this study, a 2.6 ton Zircaloy-4 (Zy4: Zr-1.3Sn-0.2Fe-0.1O-0.1Cr) VAR ingot of
144	standard size was processed at the Framatome plant (Ugine, France). First, a dedicated electrode
145	was entirely manufactured from recycled materials of the same grade, in order to avoid any
146	chemical heterogeneity. It was noticeable that the mechanical strength of this electrode made of
147	scraps was sufficient throughout the remelting process, so that the trial was carried out without
148	any technical hitch.
149	The actual recordings of a highly non-standard melting sequence are presented in Figs. 2
150	and 3, in terms of melting rate and stirring coil current. During the trial, the stirring sequence was
151	carried out in two successive steps: a strong alternating stirring was used to remelt the first 60 $\%$

of the electrode, followed by a weak continuous stirring until the end of the melting process. The magnitude of the alternating stirring was about 4.5 times higher than the continuous stirring one.

Additionally, a strong continuous stirring has been briefly applied at three moments during the alternated stirring stage, in order to mark different pools in the ingot, as such temporary change caused a local change in the grain structure of the solidified material. The profiles of the marked melt pool are clearly visible on calculated composition maps presented in figure 3.c.



159 **Fig. 2**. Melting rate of the full-scale Zy4 remelting trial.



Fig. 3. Stirring sequence of the full-scale Zy4 remelting trial. (a) Coil current throughout the
 melt. (b) Detailed alternating stirring sequence. (c) Example of a computed composition map (Zr
 content), highlighting the melt pool markings in the remelted ingot.

164 The remelted ingot was cut longitudinally along its entire length in order to perform 165 chemical analyses and characterize the grain structure. Furthermore, chemical analyses were 166 carried out along several radii at different heights of the ingot, ranging from 0.25 H to 0.88 H, where H is the total height of the ingot. These measurements are used in section 4 to validate thenumerical results.

- 169
- 170 **3 SOLAR model description**
- 171 **3.1** The CFD macromodel

As discussed in section 1, SOLAR is a 2D axisymmetric finite volume model of the VAR process, with the complete set of macroscopic equations presented in Table 1. At a macroscopic scale, the model (Eqs. (1 to 19)) takes into account the transport of heat and solutes coupled with the turbulent flow driven by the electromagnetic stirring, as well as thermal and solute natural convection.

The electromagnetic force F results from the interaction between the melting current I, 177 178 and the magnetic field **B**. The latter includes the self-induced magnetic field $B_{\theta} e_{\theta}$, and the magnetic field created by the external induction coils $B_{z.ext} e_z$. The current density is in turn 179 180 calculated from Laplace's conservation equation (Eq. 8) and Ohm's law (Eq. 11). It is important 181 to note that the effect of EM stirring on the free surface dynamics is not considered here, in accordance with the results of Chapelle et al.^[5], who show a second-order effect of EM stirring 182 183 on the deformation of the melt pool free surface. Thermal buoyancy and solutal buoyancy are 184 taken into account by using a conventional Boussinesq approximation (Eq. 12) to describe the variations in density of the liquid phase in the gravity term of the momentum balance equation. In 185 the mushy zone, the solid phase forms a porous matrix, modelled by a Darcy term in the 186 momentum equation (Eq. 4). Permeability is estimated by the Blake-Kozeny law (Eq. 13), where 187 188 the Asai and Muchi formula is used to describe the permeability constant K_0 (Eq. 17).

Averaged total mass balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_m) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_l g_l \overline{u_l}) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Averaged mass balance of the solid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho g_s) = \Gamma_s + \Phi_s, \qquad \rho = const$$
⁽²⁾

Averaged total heat balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho g_s \overline{h_s} + \rho g_l \overline{h_l} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho g_l \boldsymbol{u}_l \overline{h_l} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left[(\lambda + g_l \lambda_t) \nabla \overline{T} \right]$$
(3)

Averaged momentum balance for the liquid phase: fluid flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho g_l \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho g_l \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l})
= -g_l \nabla p^* + \nabla \cdot [(\mu + \mu_t) g_l (\nabla \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l} + (\nabla \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l})^T)] - \frac{g_l^2 \mu}{K} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l} + g_l g_l \overline{\rho_l^b} + g_l \boldsymbol{F}_{el}$$
(4)

Averaged solute mass balance for species i in the solid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho g_s \overline{\omega_s^i} \right) = J_s^{j^i} + J_s^{\Gamma^i} \tag{5}$$

Averaged solute mass balance for species i in the liquid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho g_l \overline{\omega_l^i} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho g_l \overline{u_l} \, \overline{\omega_l^i} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left[\left(D_l^i + D_t \right) g_l \nabla \overline{\omega_l^i} \right] + J_l^{j^i} + J_l^{\Gamma^i} \tag{6}$$

Averaged $k - \varepsilon$ turbulence model

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho g_{l}k) + \nabla \cdot (\rho g_{l}\boldsymbol{u}_{l}k) = \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{k}} \right) g_{l} \nabla k \right] + P_{k} + D_{k} + G_{k}^{T} + G_{k}^{S} - \rho g_{l} \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho g_{l}\varepsilon) + \nabla \cdot (\rho g_{l}\boldsymbol{u}_{l}\varepsilon)$$

$$= \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) g_{l} \nabla \varepsilon \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} [c_{1}P_{k} + c_{2}D_{k} + c_{1}c_{3}(G_{k}^{T} + G_{k}^{S}) - c_{2}\rho g_{l}\varepsilon]$$
(7)

Laplace conservation equation

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = 0 \tag{8}$$

Mass balance equation at the solid-liquid interface

$$\Gamma_s + \Gamma_l = 0 \tag{9}$$

Solute mass balance at the solid-liquid interface

$$J_{s}^{j^{i}} + J_{s}^{\Gamma^{i}} + J_{l}^{j^{i}} + J_{l}^{\Gamma^{i}} = 0$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

Ohm's law and Lorentz force

$$\boldsymbol{J} = -\sigma \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{el}} = \boldsymbol{J} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} = -J_z B_{\theta} \boldsymbol{e}_r + J_r B_{ext,z} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} + J_r B_{\theta} \boldsymbol{e}_z \qquad (11)$$

Liquid density in the buoyancy force

$$\overline{\rho_l^b} = \rho_{ref} [1 - \beta_T (\overline{T} - T_{ref}) - \sum_i \beta_S^i (\overline{\omega_l^i} - \omega_{ref}^i)]$$
(12)

Permeability law

$$K = \frac{g_l^3}{(1 - g_l^2)} K_0 \tag{13}$$

Production rate P_k due to gradients of u_l and dissipation rate D_k due to liquid-solid

interaction

$$P_{k} = -\rho g_{l} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}' \boldsymbol{u}_{j}'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} (g_{l} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}}) \qquad \qquad D_{k} = -\frac{2\mu g_{l}^{2}}{K} k$$
⁽¹⁴⁾

Generation rate due to thermal and solutal buoyancy

$$G_k^T = \beta_T g_l \frac{\mu_t}{Pr_t} \, \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \nabla \overline{T} \qquad \qquad G_k^S = \sum_i \beta_S^i g_l \frac{\mu_t}{Sc_t} \, \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \nabla \overline{\omega_l}^i \tag{15}$$

Macroscopic $k - \varepsilon$ model constants

 $Pr_{t} = 0.85 \qquad \sigma_{k} = 1.0 \qquad c_{\mu} = 0.09 \qquad c_{3} = \tanh\left(\frac{|\overline{u_{l'z}}|}{\sqrt{\overline{u_{l'\theta}}^{2} + \overline{u_{l'r}}^{2}}}\right)$ (16) $Sc_{t} = 0.7 \qquad \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1.3 \qquad c_{1} = 1.44 \qquad c_{2} = 1.92$

Permeability constants of the model

$$K_0 = \frac{\lambda_{DAS}^2}{180} \tag{17}$$

Pressure

$$p^* = \overline{p_l} + \frac{2}{3}\rho k \tag{18}$$

Turbulent parameters

$$\mu_t = c_\mu \rho \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \qquad \qquad \lambda_t = \frac{c_p \mu}{P r_t} \qquad \qquad D_t = \frac{\mu_t}{\rho S c_t} \tag{19}$$

192

193 A macroscopic RANS two-equation $k - \varepsilon$ model (Eq. 7) is adopted to describe the

194 turbulence of the liquid flow. The transport equations for the mean turbulent kinetic energy k and

- 195 its corresponding mean dissipation rate ε include:
- 196 turbulence production due to mean velocity gradients P_k (Eq. 14),
- 197 dissipation of turbulence due to the liquid/solid interaction in the mushy zone D_k (Eq. 14),
- 198 production or dissipation of turbulence caused by vertical thermal G_k^T and solute content G_k^S

199 gradients (Eq. 15).

200 The constants used for closure (Eq. 16) correspond to the standard $k - \varepsilon$ model originally developed by Launder and Spalding^[8] for a fully liquid medium ($g_1 = 1$, hence $K \to \infty$). This 201 approach is suitable for a wide variety of turbulent flows. 202 The closure of such model in the mushy zone, considered as a porous medium, is solved through 203 the formulation of the dissipation term D_k of the turbulence model ^[9–12]. Precisely, the Prescott 204 and Incropera approach was implemented in the model (eq. 14). 205 206 The model simulates the direct radiation of the electric arc by the implementation of a heat source as well as a current density on the top face of the secondary ingot. 207

All boundary conditions are discussed in the PhD theses by Hans^[13], Quatravaux^[14] and RevilBaudard^[15].

The mesh used for all simulations reported in this paper is a structured one. The number of cells must increase during the simulation to follow the ingot growth, as the material input from the electrode melting is modeled by an advection-like term, i.e. a cell split and growth method. The resulting mesh is scalable, both in size and number of cells, describing at each time step the ingot growth in a continuous way. More details are available in Quatravaux's Ph D thesis^[14].

215

3.2 The solidification micromodel

The solidification micromodel is derived from the approach of Beckerman and Viskanta^[16]. They proposed a mathematical formulation for dendritic solidification in a binary mixture. Their micro-model was based on a two-phase volume-averaged formulation and results were compared to shadowgraph images of the experimental solidification of an ammonium chloride solution in water. Although the numerical results were qualitatively close to experimental measurements, the quantitative values for temperature and concentrations showedconsiderable differences.

Lately, an improved model was presented by Wang and Beckerman^[17]. They described equiaxed dendritic solidification by considering the convection and solid phase transport. This model accounts for the nucleation, grain growth and dendrite morphology by solving a set of transport equations for solid, interdendritic, and extradendritic phases. However, the model was only validated at the laboratory scale.

A similar approach, based on decoupling physical phenomena according to the micro and 228 macro time-scale, was presented by Zaloznik and Combeau^[18] for a real industrial size ingot. The 229 230 constitutive equations of the models were obtained by introducing a Representative Elementary 231 Volume (REV), averaging the transport equations of heat, mass, and momentum over the individual (liquid, solid) phases. The process model considers mass transfer, turbulent fluid flow, 232 233 heat transfer, electromagnetism and phase change using the following main assumptions: 234 - the local thermal equilibrium is assumed in each mesh cell, which behaves as a REV; 235 - the solidification shrinkage is not considered, because the densities of both phases are equal and 236 constant, except for the buoyancy terms where the Boussinesq approximation is applied; 237 - the solid phase is considered rigid and fixed; 238 - the thermophysical properties of all alloys are constant; in particular, partition coefficients and 239 liquidus slopes are independent of the temperature and composition. An operator splitting scheme, described in detail in Založnik and Combeau^[18], was used 240 241 to define the transport and growth stages. In the first one, the convective/diffusive macroscopic 242 transport partial differential equations were solved globally by neglecting nucleation and growth 243 terms, while in the second one, the contributions of nucleation and growth were solved locally 244 and initialized from the transport stage. The grain growth model was highly nonlinear and

therefore required special attention. The advantage of this approach was the decoupling of macro 245 246 and micro time scales, which allows the grain growth model to be solved separately.

247

The microscopic terms that described the grain nucleation and growth were treated locally 248 249 within each mesh cell where the interfacial chemical and thermal equilibrium were assumed to be reached: $T_s = T_l = T$ and $\omega_s^{i^*} = k_p \omega_l^{i^*}$. The interfacial compositions and temperatures are 250 correlated by considering a simplified multicomponent phase diagram, where the liquidus 251 252 temperature is described with a linear dependence on all alloy components. Both the liquidus slopes m_l^i and partition coefficient k_p^i are constant. Therefore, the solidification process starts 253 254 when the enthalpy of a cell falls below the liquidus enthalpy h_{liq} ,

$$h_{liq} = c_p \left(T_m + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{sol}} m_L^i \omega_l^i \right) + L_f$$
⁽²⁰⁾

and stops when the temperature drops below a fixed temperature T_{sol0} or when the solid fraction 255 reaches $g_s = 1$ due to the primary growth as explained by Založnik and Combeau^[18]. 256

In the solidification interval, where the liquid and solid phases co-exist in the same REV 257 $(0 < g_s < 1)$, the solidification micromodel takes into account finite solute diffusion in both 258 259 phases and considers two separate regions: the solid phase and the extra-dendritic liquid. In the mushy zone, grains are assumed to be spherical, the velocity of the extra-dendritic phase to be 260 equal to the averaged velocity of the liquid, and the diffusivity of each solute to be constant in 261 each phase. Grain generation is modelled by an instantaneous nucleation model, which assumes 262 that a predefined number of grains per unit volume N_g with an initial radius R^* nucleate when the 263 264 local temperature becomes lower than the local liquidus temperature (see Eq. 25 in Table 2). The

transfer of the interfacial solute due to the phase change (solidification or melting) is given in Eq.266 21:

$$\left(k_{p}^{i}-1\right)\omega_{l}^{i*}\frac{\Gamma_{s}}{\rho}+\omega_{l}^{i*}\left(\frac{S_{v}D_{l}^{n}}{\delta_{l}}+k_{p}^{n}\frac{S_{v}D_{s}^{n}}{\delta_{s}}\right)=\omega_{l}^{i}\frac{S_{v}D_{l}^{n}}{\delta_{l}}+\omega_{s}^{i}\frac{S_{v}D_{s}^{n}}{\delta_{s}}.$$

$$(21)$$

During primary solidification, the diffusion flux at the solid/liquid interface is described 267 268 by a simplified model: solute gradients are calculated by dividing the difference of the solute mass fraction at the interface $\omega_k^{*,i}$ and the average mass fraction ω_k^i , by a diffusion length δ_k . An 269 270 approximation is used to estimate the boundary layer thickness in the solid phase while a stagnant 271 film model is used to describe the boundary layer thickness in the liquid phase. This solidification micromodel, whose main equations are given in Table 2 (Eqs. 22-28), is described in detail by 272 Tveito et al.^[19]. It must be stated here that its implementation in SOLAR software represents an 273 274 innovative approach over the previous versions used to simulate the VAR process.

275

276 **Table 2.** Main equations of the solidification micromodel.

Geometrical relations and surface area

$$R^* = \left(\frac{3g_s}{4\pi N_g}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad \qquad R_f = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi N_g}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad \qquad S_v^* = 4\pi R^{*2} N_g \quad (22)$$

Dendrite tip kinetics

$$\Omega_{i} = \frac{\omega_{l}^{*,i} - \left[< \omega_{s}^{i} > ^{e} \right]^{gr}}{\omega_{l}^{*,i} \left(1 - k_{p}^{i}\right)} \qquad \qquad V = \frac{\partial R^{*}}{\partial t} \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{s} = \rho_{s} S_{v}^{*} V \qquad (23)$$

Boundary layer thickness

$$\delta_{i}^{l} = \frac{d_{i}}{\left(\frac{d_{i}}{R^{*}} - \frac{f(R^{*},\Delta_{i}) + g(R_{f},R^{*},\Delta_{i})}{d_{i}(R^{*} + d_{i} - (R^{*} - \Delta_{i} + d_{i})exp^{-\frac{\Delta_{i}}{d_{i}}} - f(R^{*},\Delta_{i}) + (exp^{-\frac{\Delta_{i}}{d_{i}}} - 1)g(R_{f},R^{*},\Delta_{i})}\right)}$$
(24)

$$\delta_i^s = \frac{R^*}{5} \qquad g(R_f, R^*, \Delta_i) = \frac{R_f^3 - (R^* + \Delta_i)^3}{3(R^* + \Delta_i)} \qquad d_i = \frac{D_l^i}{V}$$

$$Sh_{i} = \frac{2}{3(1-g_{s})}Sc_{i}^{\frac{1}{3}}Re^{n(Re)} \quad f(R^{*},\Delta_{i}) = \frac{1}{2}((R^{*}+\Delta_{i})^{2}-(R^{*})^{2}) \quad \Delta_{i} = \frac{2R^{*}}{Sh_{i}}$$

$$Re = \frac{\rho_l (1 - g_s) 2R^*}{\mu_l} |\overline{u_l}| \qquad n(Re) = \frac{2Re^{0.28} + 4.65}{3(Re^{0.28} + 4.65)} \qquad Sc_i = \frac{\mu_l}{\rho_l D_l^i}$$

Thermodynamics

$$\omega_{s-d}^{*,i} = \omega_l^{*,i} k_p^i \qquad \qquad T_l = T_m + \sum_i m_l^i \omega_l^{*,i} \qquad (25)$$

Nucleation

$$\Phi_{s} = \begin{cases} \rho \frac{\pi R^{*3}}{6} N_{g} \delta(t - t_{0}); \text{ if } T_{l} < T_{m} + \sum_{i} m_{l}^{i} \omega_{l}^{*,i} \text{ and } g_{s} = 0 \\ 0; \text{ else} \end{cases} \qquad \Phi_{s} = -\Phi_{l} \qquad (26)$$

Solute fluxes due to phase change

$$J_s^{\Gamma^i} = \omega_s^{*,i} \Gamma_s \qquad \qquad J_l^{\Gamma^i} = \omega_l^{*,i} \Gamma_l \qquad \qquad \omega_s^{*,i} = k_p^i \omega_l^{*,i} \qquad (27)$$

Solute fluxes due to diffusion

$$J_{s}^{j,i} = \frac{S_{\nu}^{*} \rho_{s} D_{s}^{i}}{\delta_{s}} (\omega_{s}^{*,i} - \omega_{s}^{i}) \qquad \qquad J_{l}^{j,i} = \frac{S_{\nu}^{*} \rho_{l} D_{l}^{i}}{\delta_{l}} (\omega_{l}^{*,i} - \omega_{l}^{i})$$
(28)

277

278 4 Numerical results and discussion

The full-scale melt of a Zy4 electrode (see Section 2) was simulated using the model fully described in Section 3. A complete set of process operating parameters (melting rate, arc voltage, melting current and current in the external induction coils) was previously recorded during the The thermophysical properties of Zy4 used in the simulations are given in Table 3. Note

that the solidification properties (partition coefficients and liquidus slopes) have been extracted

from the binary phase diagrams for Zr-X alloys^[20]. Unless otherwise specified, the values of β_S^{Sn}

and λ_{DAS} used in the simulations (default values) are also reported in Table 3.

- 288
- 289

290 **Table 3.** Thermophysical properties of Zy4 used in the simulations

Nominal compositions	Sn	ω_0^{Sn} [wt%]	1.3
	Fe	ω_0^{Fe} [wt%]	0.2
	0	ω_0^O [wt%]	0.1
	Cr	ω_0^{Cr} [wt%]	0.1
Melting temperature of pure Zr		T _m [°C]	1855
Liquidus slopes	Sn	m_l^{Sn} [°C(wt%) ⁻¹]	-11
	Fe	m_L^{Fe} [°C(wt%) ⁻¹]	-57
	0	m_L^0 [°C(wt%) ⁻¹]	147
	Cr	m_L^{Cr} [°C(wt%) ⁻¹]	-26
Partition Coefficients	Sn	k ^{Sn} [-]	0.27
	Fe	k ^{Fe} [-]	0.25
	0	k ⁰ _p [-]	2.32

	Cr	$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{Cr}}$	[-]	0.15
Diffusion coefficients in the liquid	Sn	D_l^{Sn}	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.10-8
	Fe	D^{Fe}_{l}	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.10-8
	0	D_l^0	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	$1 \cdot 10^{-8}$
	Cr	D_l^{Cr}	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.10-8
Diffusion coefficients in the solid	Sn	$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{Sn}}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	4.9·10 ⁻¹¹
	Fe	$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{Fe}}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.5·10 ⁻⁹
	0	D_s^0	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	3.8·10 ⁻⁹
	Cr	$\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{Cr}}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-9}$
Temp. of end of solidification		T _{sol}	[°C]	1592
Latent heat		L _f	[J.kg ⁻¹]	$2.42 \cdot 10^5$
Reference density		ρ_0	[kg.m ⁻³]	6210
Dynamic viscosity		μ	[Pa.s]	$4.59 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Thermal conductivity		λ	$[W.m^{-1}.^{\circ}C^{-1}]$	36.5
Thermal expansion coefficient		β_T	[°C ⁻¹]	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Specific heat		c _p	[J.kg ⁻¹ .°C ⁻¹]	435
Electric conductivity		σ	$[m^{-1}.\Omega^{-1}]$	$6.8 \cdot 10^5$
Solute expansion coefficients	Sn	β_S^{Sn}	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	3.10-2
	Fe	β_S^{Fe}	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	0
	0	β_S^0	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	0
	Cr	β_S^{Cr}	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	0
Characteristic length of the		λ_{DAS}	[m]	134·10 ⁻⁶

dendritic structures

291	
292	For all simulations reported in the present paper, the average cell size was approximately
293	9 mm wide and 6 mm height. Mesh refinement was applied with a geometrical reason of 0.8 on
294	the five nodes closest to the mold as well as to the free surface. The time-step was fixed to
295	0.025 s.
296	The computational time required for simulating a full melt depends on the computer. In
297	the IJL facility, the total CPU time was around 72 hours.
298	In several previous publications ^[2,21–23] , the authors have detailed some CFD macromodel
299	results when applied to various remelting situations. In this paper, the attention will be focused on
300	the fluid flow in the liquid pool and the mushy zone, as well as the resulting macrosegregation.
301	
302	4.1 Hydrodynamics in the liquid metal pool
303	The purpose of this section is to numerically study the influence of the thermal and solutal
304	buoyancy forces on the flow in the liquid bath during remelting. Mean velocity field, turbulence
305	generation are therefore investigated. Only the effect of local Sn content on solutal buoyancy was
306	considered, as the concentrations in all other alloying elements are negligible.
307	4.1.1. Assumptions on the thermal and solutal buoyancy forces
308	During solidification, a buoyancy driving force can be generated either by the thermal or
309	solutal buoyancy effects, that can be collaborating or opposing ^[24] . Both buoyancy effects are
310	modelled by the Boussinesq approximation (Eq. 12), therefore both the thermal and the solutal
311	expansion coefficients had to be estimated.

312 Unfortunately, values for the solutal and thermal expansion coefficient for Zy-4 alloy and313 its alloying elements are not readily available in the literature.

- The thermal expansion has been estimated from values measured by Paradis and Rhim^[25]
- on pure Zr. Moreover, other pertaining physical properties, such as reference density, come from
- this reference.
- Figures 4 and 5 below show the evolution of Zr density and Sn density with the
- 318 temperature.



Fig. 4. Density of Zr as a function of temperature, from ^[26] (the vertical bar corresponds to Zr

321 melting point).



Fig. 5. Density of Sn as a function of temperature, from ^[27] (the vertical bar corresponds to Sn melting point).

322

From these figures, it is not possible to decide with a great confidence whether Sn should 326 be considered as heavier or lighter than Zr at high temperature, around 2128 K, i.e. Zr melting 327 328 temperature. Clearly, the value of the solutal expansion coefficient cannot be readily given. 329 In order to examine the influence of thermo-solutal convection, four simulations have 330 been run, as shown in Table 4. In Case A, both buoyancy terms were null, which means that the 331 flow is only driven by the forced convection generated by the electromagnetic field. In case B, 332 thermal expansion coefficient for pure Zr has been used, while no solutal buoyancy was applied. 333 Cases C and D take into account solutal buoyancy, with a negative (case C) and positive (case D) value of the Sn expansion coefficient. The negative coefficient $\beta_{S}^{Sn} = -1 \cdot 10^{-2} (wt\%)^{-1}$, 334 defined in case C, was chosen to investigate on the consequences of cooperating buoyancy 335 forces. On the contrary, the positive value in case D implies opposing buoyancy forces. 336 337

Table 4. Definition of the four simulations run to study the effect of thermo-solute convection

case	$\beta_T [°C^{-1}]$	$\beta_S^{Sn}[wt\%^{-1}]$
Α	0	0
B	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0
С	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
D	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-2}$

340 4.1.2 Characteristics of the liquid metal pool

The metal flow in the melt pool and mushy zone has been investigated at instants corresponding to alternated or continuous stirring periods applied during the melt. In the latter case, the hydrodynamics in the (r, z) plane is quite stationary, whereas in the former one, two different moments have been considered during the stirring sequence:

- time t_1 is characterized by a low azimuthal velocity of the liquid, hence a low centrifugal force

- time t₂ is characterized by a high azimuthal velocity of the liquid, hence a high centrifugal force.

347	Flows during the continuous stirring stage (time t_3) and at time t_2 are similar, since the
348	azimuthal velocity in both cases is high (see Fig. 6). However, as can be seen in Fig. 6,
349	the transition from alternated to continuous stirring changes the depth and shape of the
350	liquid pool as well as the size of the mushy zone. In the case of alternated stirring, the
351	liquid pool is shallower and more parabolic in shape, whereas in the case of continuous
352	stirring, the pool is deeper and cone-shaped, with a larger mushy zone.



Fig. 6. Case A – Computed pool profile and azimuthal velocity $(m.s^{-1})$ map in the melt pool at 3 different moments during the melt. (a) Time t_1 - alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity. (b) Time t_2 - alternated stirring, high azimuthal velocity. (c) Time t_3 - continuous stirring, high azimuthal velocity.

The hydrodynamic behavior at time t_1 (low azimuthal velocity) is the object of Fig. 7. The turbulence intensity is represented by the μ_t/μ viscosity ratio and the velocity field by velocity vectors in the upper part of the melt pool where velocities are high and by streamlines in the lower part of the melt pool and in the mushy zone, where velocities are significantly lower. Without any buoyancy phenomena, the electromagnetic force causes two recirculation loops (Fig. 7 (a)). The interaction between the melting current and the self-induced magnetic field generates centripetal forces localized in the near-surface region, at the origin of a counterclockwise recirculation flow of the liquid metal in the upper part of the pool. In the mid-height

zone of the pool, stirring centrifugal forces prevail, reinforcing the upper recirculation flow andcreating a second clockwise loop in the lower part of the pool.

When thermal buoyancy is added (case B), it can be seen in fig. 7(b) that the two recirculation loops remain qualitatively identical. The major modification is a strong decrease in the turbulence intensity in the near-surface region, as the high vertical thermal stratification strongly dissipates turbulence (eq. 15).

372 Turbulence characteristics of the flow is almost identical to case B when solute buoyancy373 is added (cases C and D).

The collaborating solute buoyancy has a negligible impact on the "two recirculation 374 375 loops" system observed for cases A and B. However, in case D, the opposing solute buoyancy 376 leads to the apparition of a third counter-clockwise recirculation flow in the bottom of the pool 377 and in the mushy zone. As Sn partition ratio is lower than unity, solute redistribution during 378 solidification causes a liquid enrichment in solute in the mushy zone. This enrichment causes a 379 decrease in liquid density due to the positive solutal expansion coefficient. Finally, the resulting 380 solutal buoyancy force, localized in the mushy zone, promotes an upward flow along the 381 solidification front, inducing the observed third bottom loop.

382



Fig. 7. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t_1 (alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity). Left side is the turbulence intensity and right side is the mean flow represented by vectors (pool upper part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $\beta_T = 0$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.03 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (b) Case B: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot$ $10^{-5} \circ \text{C}^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 1.60 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (c) Case C: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ \text{C}^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot$

388 $10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$, $v_{\text{max}} = 1.82 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (d) Case D: $\beta_{\text{T}} = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ °C}^{-1}$, $\beta_{\text{S}}^{\text{Sn}} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$, 389 $v_{\text{max}} = 1.61 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$.

390

The influence of a high azimuthal velocity on the in-plane velocity field and turbulence intensity in the melt pool and mushy zone is shown in Figs. 8 and 9, for instants t_2 during the alternated stirring and t_3 during the continuous stirring.

Compared to the previous case, azimuthal velocity generated by the stirring is 60 % higher, implying an increase in centrifugal forces of 156 %. Therefore, the self-induced forces become of second order and, thus, the circulation counter-clockwise loop in the near surface region disappears.

398 Similar to previous simulations, the introduction of thermal buoyancy forces considerably 399 reduces the intensity of turbulence in the near-surface area (cases B, C and D).

A collaborative solutal buoyancy slightly decreases turbulence intensity in the bottom of the pool in case C in the frame of a strong alternated stirring (Fig. 8(c)). This is due to the local vertical solute stratification, in the same way as the effects of thermal buoyancy near the free surface.

Finally, an opposite solutal buoyancy generates an additional recirculation loop in the bottom of the pool for all stirring conditions (Fig. 8(d) and Fig. 9(d)).

406

407



409 **Fig. 8**. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t₂ (alternated stirring, high 410 azimuthal velocity). Left side is the turbulence intensity and right side is the mean flow 411 represented by vectors (pool upper part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the 412 liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $\beta_T = 0$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.53$ cm.s⁻¹. (b) Case B: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot$ 413 $10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.53$ cm.s⁻¹. (c) Case C: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot$

414
$$10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}, \text{ v}_{\text{max}} = 2.50 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}.$$
 (d) Case D: $\beta_{\text{T}} = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \,^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}, \beta_{\text{S}}^{\text{Sn}} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \,^{\circ}\text{wt}\%^{-1},$
415 $\text{v}_{\text{max}} = 2.51 \,^{\circ}\text{cm.s}^{-1}.$



Fig. 9. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t_3 (continuous stirring, high azimuthal velocity). Left side is the turbulence intensity and right side is the mean flow

419 represented by vectors (pool upper part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the
420 liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $β_T = 0$, $β_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.02 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (b) Case B: $β_T = 4 \cdot 421$ 421 $10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $β_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 1.93 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (c) Case C: $β_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $β_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot 422$ 422 $10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$, $v_{max} = 1.83 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (d) Case D: $β_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $β_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$,
423 $v_{max} = 2.00 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$.

424 4.2. Macrosegregation

425	Macrosegregation behaviour of the remelted product is now numerically investigated.
426	Particular attention is paid to the influence of the thermophysical properties defined in the
427	macromodel, such as thermal and solutal buoyancy forces, as well as the solidification properties
428	implemented in the micromodel, such as dendrite arm spacing and grain growth kinetics.
429	As computed results differed only slightly from previous calculations ^[28] , where a
430	simplified solidification model assumed complete diffusion of all solutes in both liquid and solid
431	phases (i.e. lever rule), it appears that the use of a detailed solidification micromodel confirmed
432	the trends which had been highlighted with a simplified lever-rule based model.

433 **4.2.1. Influence of the thermo-solutal convection**

The computed maps of Sn content in the final ingot are shown in Fig. 10. As discussed previously, the two declined Sn-depleted bands are a consequence of the strong electromagnetic stirring (see Fig. 3 (b)) that was applied to mark the melt pool profile in the ingot macrostructure. The average concentration of Sn in the liquid pool increases as the ingot grows because the 438partition coefficient k_p^{Sn} is lower than 1. Solidification of the last liquid pool causes a local439enrichment at the top of the ingot. A strong negative segregation is predicted at the lateral surface440and at the bottom of the ingot. However, results at the periphery must be handled with care441because the segregation calculated there is very sensitive to mesh size.



443 Fig. 10. Computed Sn content map in the remelted ingot. (a) Case A: $\beta_T = 0$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$. (b) Case 444 B: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$. (c) Case C: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$. (d) 445 Case D: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$.

446

In the absence of buoyancy (case A), the model predicts a Sn enrichment in the ingot 447 448 centre for the two stirring regimes used during the melt. This is the result of the clockwise flow measurement cell discussed in the previous section. The liquid flows down along the 449 450 solidification front. As a result, the liquid enriched in Sn piles up in the mushy zone and at the 451 bottom of the melt pool, resulting in a positive segregation on the axis of symmetry. As shown in 452 Fig. 10, radial macrosegregation is weaker with alternating stirring than with continuous stirring. 453 The addition of thermal convection (case B) slightly increases the radial macrosegregation 454 for both stirring sequences. The centrifugal force is reinforced by thermal buoyancy, which 455 causes more intense circulation in the mushy zone and greater transport of enriched liquid to the 456 central axis. The convection effects induced by the solutal buoyancy are presented in Figs. 10 (c) and 457 10 (d). They prevail in the central part of the mushy zone and have an impact on segregation. In 458 459 case C, the segregation at the central axis is notably amplified by the cooperation of solutal and thermal buoyancy. The opposite effect is observed in case D, where the effects of solutal and 460 thermal buoyancy are opposed: the segregation at the central axis is considerably reduced, due to 461 the upward flow in the mushy region. A positive segregation band is therefore located at r/462 *R*∼0.5. 463

464 Moreover, in case D, during the continuous stirring sequence, a series of vertical channels
465 appear in the enriched segregation part. Fig. 11 details this effect. The Sn-enriched liquid

- 466 accumulated near the centerline is transported outward under the influence of solutal convection.
- 467 This transport of solute is effective for a liquid fraction $g_l > 0.6$. When $g_l < 0.6$, the
- 468 permeability of the porous media decreases rapidly as the drag forces dominate inertial and
- 469 buoyancy forces and macrosegregation evolution is stopped.



Fig. 11. Zoom visualization of the influence of solute-driven convection at time t_3 - continuous stirring, high azimuthal velocity. Case D - $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \,^{\circ}C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \,^{\circ}Wt\%^{-1}$. (a) Liquid fraction, streamlines and Sn content. (b) Liquid fraction and convective v^l. ∇T term.

In Fig. 12, the predicted radial Sn segregation profiles are plotted at two different heights and compared to experimental measurements. Those heights are representative for the alternated stirring (h/H = 0.25) and continuous stirring (h/H = 0.75) stages. Comparison of the simulation results with the experimental measurements confirms the importance of solutal convection in the mushy zone. At h/H = 0.75, the measured Sn concentration continuously increases in the inner part of the ingot until it reaches its maximum at r/R = 0.5. The simulated results show the same tendency only in case D, where solutal convection is opposed to thermal

481 one. Among the calculations, case D, where the solute coefficient $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} (wt\%)^{-1}$, has



the best fit to the measured data and was therefore chosen for subsequent simulations.

Fig. 12. Radial profiles of Sn segregation $(\overline{\omega}^{\text{Sn}} - \omega_0^{\text{Sn}})/\omega_0^{\text{Sn}}$ at 2 heights in the remelted ingot. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Letters A, B, C, D correspond to cases listed in Table 4.

The computed profiles of the radially averaged longitudinal Sn content are shown in Fig. 13. Thermo-solutal convection has no significant effect on the overall longitudinal segregation. Although the comparison of simulated results with experimental measurements should be interpreted with caution, due to the limited number of measurements along the ingot height, the results show a fairly good agreement.


491 Fig. 13. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of Sn segregation $(\overline{\omega}^{\text{Sn}} - \omega_0^{\text{Sn}})/\omega_0^{\text{Sn}}$ in the 492 remelted ingot. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Letters A, B, C, D correspond to cases 493 listed in Table 4.

For a given thermo-solutal convection (case D), Fig. 14 shows the longitudinal content profiles for Sn and other alloying elements, namely Fe, O and Cr. The results for all elements are quite similar (it must be stated that the oxygen partition coefficient k_0 is larger than 1) and in fairly good agreement with measurements, except in the bottom part of the ingot. At this location, in addition to a possible effect of dendrite arm spacing (see section 4.2), it could be argued that the discrepancy between the measured chemistry and the predicted segregation is related to the motion of equiaxed grains. ^[7] showed that the sedimentation of free floating equiaxed grains can

- significantly affect macrosegregation. Decantation of these grains in the bottom of the melt pool
- 502 during its solidification could balance the macrosegregation induced by thermo-solutal
- 503 convection, resulting in a flat segregation profile.



Fig. 14. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of chemical segregation $(\overline{\omega}^n - \omega_0^n)/\omega_0^n$ in the remelted ingot for elements Sn, Fe, O and Cr. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Case D - $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}, \beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt\%}^{-1}.$

508 **4.2.2.** Influence of the dendrite arm spacing

509 As discussed in section 3, the solidifying mushy zone is modelled as a porous medium 510 and is characterized by its local permeability which depends on the liquid volume fraction g_l and the dendrite arm spacing λ_{DAS} (Eqs.13 and 17 in Table 1). Unfortunately, it is very difficult to obtain a true value of λ_{DAS} from a conventional metallographic analysis due to the solid state transformation $\beta(cc) \rightarrow \alpha(hcp)$ that occurs during the cooling of Zirconium alloys. In order to assess the role of the permeability in macrosegregation prediction, three cases were tested for each of the solidification models and are presented in Table 5. The value $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} (wt\%)^{-1}$ (corresponding to case D, see above) was adopted for all calculations.

Table 5. Definition of the three simulations run to study the effect of dendrite arm spacing.

case	λ_{DAS} [µm]	$K_0[m^2]$
D'	67	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$
D	134	10 ⁻¹⁰
D"	268	$4 \cdot 10^{-10}$

519

The computed Sn macrosegregation maps are shown in Fig. 15. It can be observed that the intensity of macrosegregation increases with λ_{DAS} . To explain this phenomenon, the amplitude of the liquid velocity in the mushy zone during the continuous stirring stage (time t₃) is plotted in Fig. 16 as a function of the liquid fraction. It is obvious that a large λ_{DAS} increases the velocity of the interdendritic liquid flow, thus promoting macrosegregation.



Fig. 15. Influence of the dendrite arm spacing λ_{DAS} on the computed Sn macrosegregation map in the remelted ingot. (a) Case D': $\lambda_{DAS} = 67 \,\mu\text{m.}$ (b) Case D: $\lambda_{DAS} = 134 \,\mu\text{m.}$ (c) Case D'':

```
527 \lambda_{DAS} = 268 \ \mu m.
```



Fig. 16. Magnitude of the liquid velocity in the mushy zone as a function of liquid fraction attime t3 (continuous stirring stage).

In Fig. 17, the simulated macrosegregation of Sn is compared to experimental 531 532 measurements at two different heights (h/H = 0.25) and (h/H = 0.75). The profiles calculated for $\lambda_{DAS} = 67 \ \mu m$ and $\lambda_{DAS} = 134 \ \mu m$ are similar at h/H = 0.25. These profiles are quite flat 533 534 up to r/R = 0.6, after which point the Sn concentration gradually decreases. Although the 535 difference between the simulated results and the experimental measurements is not high, it should 536 be noted that the predicted segregation in the mid-radius zone is positive while the experimental 537 segregation is negative. The agreement is much better when λ_{DAS} is increased to 268 µm, because the predictions for this case anticipate the negative Sn segregation values from r/R = 0.5 on. At 538 h/H = 0.75, on the contrary, a better agreement was found when λ_{DAS} was reduced (case D'). 539



Fig. 17. Radial profiles of Sn segregation $(\overline{\omega}^{Sn} - \omega_0^{Sn})/\omega_0^{Sn}$ at 2 heights in the remelted ingot. Experimental (•) and model results. Letters D, D' and D'' correspond to cases listed in Table 5.

543 **4.2.3.** Influence of the grain growth kinetics

The effect of grain growth kinetics on macrosegregation was investigated numerically by examining four different cases listed in Table 6. The default values presented in Table 3 were used for the diffusion coefficients of alloy elements, thermal and solutal expansion coefficients, nucleat+ion density, and secondary dendrite arm spacing, unless otherwise specified. Case D, as usual, represents the case with default values. In case E, the nucleation density N_0 was increased to 10^{12} m⁻³. In cases F and G, the diffusion coefficient of Sn in the solid phase was reduced to $4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$ m²/s for a nucleation density equal to 10^{12} m⁻³ and 10^{10} m⁻³, respectively.

552 **Table 6.** Definition of the four simulations run to study the effect of grain growth kinetics

case
$$N_0 [m^{-3}] = D_S^{Sn} [m^2/s]$$

D	10 ¹⁰	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$
E	10 ¹²	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$
F	10 ¹²	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$
G	10 ¹⁰	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$

Fig. 18 shows the final macrosegregation maps of Sn computed in all four cases. Although the solidification process differs quantitatively, the comparison of the maps reveals only small differences in the calculated macrosegregation. As apparent from Fig. 18, nucleation density and solute diffusivity did not seem to play an important role in the chemistry of the remelted ingot. Nevertheless, it can be noted that the macrosegregation was slightly less intense in case E.

The small differences observed between these different cases can be explained by 560 studying the value of the Fourier number in order to characterize the diffusion of solute in the 561 562 solid and liquid phases in the central part of the ingot. The Fourier number associated to a given phase (solid or liquid) is defined as the ratio $o = \frac{D \cdot t_{ls}}{R_a^2}$. In this relationship, D is the solute 563 diffusion coefficient in the considered phase, ts is the local solidification time, and Rg is the final 564 average grain radius as defined in equation (22) in Table 2. As it can be seen in this equation, the 565 final grain radius is only dependent of the grain density which is an input of the model. If the 566 value of the Fourier number is greater than 1 the composition profile of the alloying element can 567 568 be considered as uniform in the phase, while if it is smaller than 1 the composition profile is non uniform. The values of the Fourier numbers for the liquid and solid phases are reported in Table 7 569 570 considering two local solidification times (t_{ls}) , respectively 10 and 1260 s. The first value 571 corresponds to the solidification time at a point close to the skin while the second one stands for a location in the central part of the ingot. These points have been chosen along a line at mid-height
of the ingot. They are considered to be representative of extreme values of the Fourier numbers in
the solid and liquid phases.

575

576 Table 7. Values of the Fourier number in the liquid and solid phases for the four cases (D, E, F,

577 G). These Fourier numbers are estimated for two local solidification times (10 s and 1260 s).

578 These values correspond to points located close to the skin of the ingot and for the second one to

a point located at the center of the ingot. Both of them are considered at mid-height of the ingot.

	$t_{ls} = 10 s$		$t_{ls} = 1260 s$)	
	Fo _l ^{Sn}	Fo _S ^{Sn}	Fol ^{Sn}	Fo ^{Sn}
D	1.2	0.006	150	0.75
Ε	2.6	0.127	3270	16
F	2.6	10 ⁻⁵	3270	0.0016
G	1.2	6 10-7	150	7.5 10 ⁻⁵

580

For the two points and all 4 cases, the Fourier number values in the liquid phase are 581 582 greater than 1, thus the solute profile at the microscopic scale was uniform in the liquid phase 583 during almost all the solidification. Considering the point close to the skin, the Fourier number in the solid phase is lower than 1 in all cases. This means that there is no diffusion of solute in the 584 585 solid phase at the microscopic scale in all cases for the point close to the skin. The skin is thus a 586 region for which all cases correspond to the same situation at the microscopic scale: perfect 587 diffusion of solute in the liquid and no diffusion of solute in the solid. This is why the 588 macrosegregation is very similar for all the cases in the region of the skin of the ingot.

589 Considering the point located at mid-height in the center of the ingot, for cases D, F and G, the 590 Fourier number value in the solid phase is lower than 1 while it is greater than 1 for case E. These 591 results show that for cases D, F and G, solidification proceeds in such a way that the solute diffuses perfectly in the liquid phase and very little in the solid phase. For case E, the diffusion of 592 593 the solute is perfect in the solid and liquid phases. For all cases, the diffusion is perfect in the liquid phase, only the diffusion of the solute in the solid phase is different. It has already been 594 shown that solute diffusion in the solid phase has very little influence on macrosegregation^[29]. 595 596 This can explain why cases D, E, F, G lead to similar macrosegregation.



Fig. 18. Computed Sn content map in the remelted ingot, (a) case D, (b) case E, (c) case F and (d)
case G. Letters D, E, F, G correspond to cases listed in Table 6.

- 599
- 600

5 Conclusions and prospects

A two-scale model was used to simulate the solidification of the remelting of an experimental chemically homogeneous Zy-4 electrode in a production furnace. The effects of thermal and solutal convection on ingot macrosegregation, as well as the influence of dendrite arm spacing (i.e. the permeability of the mushy region) were studied and analyzed. In addition, the influence of grain growth kinetics was investigated numerically.

606 The results show that it is necessary to take into account the thermal and solutal buoyancy 607 forces in order to obtain an accurate simulation of hydrodynamics and consequently the 608 macrosegregation in VAR ingots. Comparing the experimental measurements with the simulated 609 results, it is concluded that solutal-driven convection may promote an upward flow along the solidification front in the mushy zone and thus be partially responsible for macrosegregation in 610 611 the central part of the ingot. Furthermore, the simulations have shown that the macrosegregation 612 predicted by the model depends on the dendrite arm spacing value used to calculate local 613 permeability in the mushy zone. However, the model is still unable to predict some of the trends 614 observed in experimental measurements.

In future work, the transport of free-floating grains and the flow induced by the solidification shrinkage will be implemented in the SOLAR code. Such additions could significantly affect the predicted hydrodynamic behaviour, resulting in macrosegregation.

618

620	As a part of the OPERAS (Optimizing Processes based on Electrode Remelting with Arc
621	or Slag) Project, this work has been partly supported by the French National Research Agency
622	(ANR-08-MAPR-0006-04).
623	
624	Conflict of interest
625	On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of
626	interest.
627	
628	References
629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641	 A. Jardy and D. Ablitzer: <i>Xiyou Jinshu Cailiao Yu GongchengRare Met. Mater. Eng.</i>, 2006, vol. 35, pp. 119–122. A. Jardy and D. Ablitzer: <i>Mater. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2009, vol. 25, pp. 163–9. A. Wilson and A. Jardy: in <i>A numerical study of the influence of stirring parameters on titanium ingot quality using SOLAR v4.2</i>, vol. 1, The Japan Institute of Metals, Kyoto, Japan, 2007. V. Venkatesh, A. Wilson, M. Kamal, M. Thomas, and D. Lambert: <i>JOM</i>, 2009, vol. 61, pp. 45–50. P. Chapelle, A. Jardy, J.P. Bellot, and M. Minvielle: <i>J. Mater. Sci.</i>, 2008, vol. 43, pp. 5734–46. M. Revil-Baudard, A. Jardy, F. Leclerc, M. Zaloznik, V. Rebeyrolle, and H. Combeau: in <i>CFD Modeling and Simulation in Materials Processing</i>, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2012, pp. 107–14. H. Combeau, M. Založnik, S. Hans, and P.E. Richy: <i>Metall. Mater. Trans. B</i>, 2009, vol. 40, pp. 289–304. B.E. Launder and D.B. Spalding: <i>Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.</i>, 1974, vol. 3, pp. 269–89. P. L Prescott and F.P. Incropera: <i>L Heat Transf.</i> 1995, vol. 117, pp. 716–24.
642 643 644 645 646	 10 B.V. Antohe and J.L. Lage: <i>Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.</i>, 1995, vol. 117, pp. 710–24. 11 A. Nakayama and F. Kuwahara: <i>J. Fluids Eng.</i>, 1999, vol. 121, pp. 427–33. 12 M.H.J. Pedras and M.J.S. de Lemos: <i>Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.</i>, 2001, vol. 44, pp. 1081–93. 13 S. Hans: PhD thesis (in French), Institut National Polytechnique de Lorraine, 1995. 14 T. Quatravaux: PhD thesis (in French). Institut National Polytechnique de Lorraine, 2004.
647 648 649 650 651	 15 M. Revil-Baudard: PhD thesis (in French), Université de Lorraine, 2012. 16 C. Beckermann and R. Viskanta: <i>Physicochem. Hydrodyn.</i>, 1988, vol. 10, pp. 195–213. 17 C.Y. Wang and C. Beckermann: <i>Metall. Mater. Trans. A</i>, 1996, vol. 27, pp. 2754–64. 18 M. Založnik and H. Combeau: <i>Comput. Mater. Sci.</i>, 2010, vol. 48, pp. 1–10. 19 K. Tveito, M. Bedel, M. Založnik, H. Combeau, and M. M'Hamdi: vol. 27, IOP Publishing, 2012, p. 012040.
652 653 654 655 656 657	 20 T.B. Massalski, H. Okamoto, P. Subramanian, L. Kacprzak, and W.W. Scott: <i>Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams</i>, vol. 1, American society for metals Metals Park, OH, 1986. 21 T. Quatravaux, S. Ryberon, S. Hans, A. Jardy, B. Lusson, P.E. Richy, and D. Ablitzer: <i>J. Mater. Sci.</i>, 2004, vol. 39, pp. 7183–91. 22 G. Ghazal, A. Jardy, P. Chapelle, and Y. Millet: <i>Metall. Mater. Trans. B</i>, 2010, vol. 41, pp. 646–59.

Acknowledgments

- A. Jardy, F. Leclerc, M. Revil-Baudard, P. Guerin, H. Combeau, and V. Rebeyrolle: *J. ASTM Intern.*,
 DOI:10.1520/JAI102992.
- 660 24 M. Založnik and H. Combeau: Int. J. Therm. Sci., 2010, vol. 49, pp. 1500–9.
- 661 25 P.-F. Paradis and W.-K. Rhim: J. Mater. Res., 1999, vol. 14, pp. 3713–9.
- 662 26 T. Ishikawa and P.-F. Paradis: J. Electron. Mater., 2005, vol. 34, pp. 1526–32.
- 27 M.J. Assael, A.E. Kalyva, K.D. Antoniadis, R. Michael Banish, I. Egry, J. Wu, E. Kaschnitz, and W.A.
 Wakeham: J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 2010, vol. 39, p. 033105.
- 665 28 M. Revil-Baudard, A. Jardy, H. Combeau, F. Leclerc, and V. Rebeyrolle: Metall. Mater. Trans. B,
- 666 2014, vol. 45, pp. 51–7.
- 667 29 M.C. Schneider and C. Beckermann: Int. J. Heat Mass Transf., 1995, vol. 38, pp. 3455–73.
- 668
- 669
- 670

671 List of figures

672	FIG. 1. SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF A VAR FURNACE
673	FIG. 2. MELTING RATE OF THE FULL-SCALE ZY4 REMELTING TRIAL
674	FIG. 3. STIRRING SEQUENCE OF THE FULL-SCALE ZY4 REMELTING TRIAL. (A) COIL CURRENT THROUGHOUT THE MELT. (B)
675	DETAILED ALTERNATING STIRRING SEQUENCE. (C) EXAMPLE OF A COMPUTED COMPOSITION MAP (ZR CONTENT),
676	HIGHLIGHTING THE MELT POOL MARKINGS IN THE REMELTED INGOT9
677	FIG. 4. DENSITY OF ZR AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE, FROM $^{[26]}$ (THE VERTICAL BAR CORRESPONDS TO ZR
678	MELTING POINT)
679	FIG. 5. DENSITY OF SN AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE, FROM $^{[27]}$ (THE VERTICAL BAR CORRESPONDS TO SN
680	MELTING POINT)
681	FIG. 6. CASE A – COMPUTED POOL PROFILE AND AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY (M.S ⁻¹) MAP IN THE MELT POOL AT 3 DIFFERENT
682	moments during the melt. (a) Time $ ext{t}_1$ - alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity. (b) Time $ ext{t}_2$ -
683	ALTERNATED STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY. (C) TIME $ ext{t}_3$ - continuous stirring, high azimuthal velocity25
684	Fig. 7. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t_1 (alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity).
685	LEFT SIDE IS THE TURBULENCE INTENSITY AND RIGHT SIDE IS THE MEAN FLOW REPRESENTED BY VECTORS (POOL UPPER
686	part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: bT $= 0$,
687	$BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 2.03$ cm.s-1. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 1.60$ cm.s-1. (c) Case
688	C: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $VMAX = 1.82 \text{ cm.s-1}$. (d) Case D: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$
689	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 1$, $\text{VMAX} = 1.61 \text{ CM.S-1}$
690	FIG. 8. COMPUTED FLOW IN THE MELT POOL AND MUSHY ZONE AT TIME T ₂ (ALTERNATED STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY).
691	LEFT SIDE IS THE TURBULENCE INTENSITY AND RIGHT SIDE IS THE MEAN FLOW REPRESENTED BY VECTORS (POOL UPPER
692	part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $BT = 0$,
693	$BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 2.53$ cm.s-1. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 2.53$ cm.s-1. (c) Case
694	C: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt}\% - 1$, $VMAX = 2.50 \text{ cm.s-1}$. (d) Case D: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt}\% - 1$, $VMAX = 2.50 \text{ cm.s-1}$.
695	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $\text{vmax} = 2.51 \text{ cm.s-1}$
696	FIG. 9. COMPUTED FLOW IN THE MELT POOL AND MUSHY ZONE AT TIME T ₃ (CONTINUOUS STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY).
697	LEFT SIDE IS THE TURBULENCE INTENSITY AND RIGHT SIDE IS THE MEAN FLOW REPRESENTED BY VECTORS (POOL UPPER
698	part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: bT $= 0$,
699	$BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 2.02$ cm.s-1. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 1.93$ cm.s-1. (c) Case
700	C: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $VMAX = 1.83 \text{ cm.s-1}$. (d) Case D: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 10 \text{ cm}$
701	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $\text{vmax} = 2.00 \text{ cm.s-1}$

702	Fig. 10. Computed SN content map in the remelted ingot. (a) Case A: $BT = 0$, $BSSN = 0$. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 10$
703	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 0$. (c) Case C: $\text{BT} = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$. (d) Case D: $\text{BT} = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \text{ °C} - 1$
704	$10 - 5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 1$
705	FIG. 11. ZOOM VISUALIZATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF SOLUTE-DRIVEN CONVECTION AT TIME T_3 - CONTINUOUS STIRRING, HIGH
706	AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY. CASE D - BT = $4 \cdot 10 - 5$ °C $- 1$, BSSN = $3 \cdot 10 - 2$ WT% $- 1$. (A) Liquid fraction,
707	STREAMLINES AND SN CONTENT. (B) LIQUID FRACTION AND CONVECTIVE V ^L . $ abla T$ term
708	Fig. 12. Radial profiles of SN segregation (Ω SN – Ω OSN)/ Ω OSN at 2 heights in the remelted ingot. Experimental
709	(•) AND MODEL (-) RESULTS. LETTERS A, B, C, D CORRESPOND TO CASES LISTED IN TABLE 4
710	Fig. 13. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of SN segregation (Ω SN – Ω OSN)/ Ω OSNIN the remelted ingot.
711	EXPERIMENTAL (•) AND MODEL (-) RESULTS. LETTERS A, B, C, D CORRESPOND TO CASES LISTED IN TABLE 4
712	Fig. 14. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of chemical segregation $(\Omega N - \Omega 0 N)/\Omega 0 N$ in the remelted
713	ingot for elements Sn, Fe, O and Cr. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Case D - $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$,
714	$BSSN = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 137$
715	Fig. 15. Influence of the dendrite Arm spacing λDAS on the computed SN macrosegregation map in the remelted
716	INGOT. (A) CASE D': λDAS = 67 μM. (B) CASE D: λDAS = 134 μM. (C) CASE D'': λDAS = 268 μM
717	Fig. 16. Magnitude of the liquid velocity in the mushy zone as a function of liquid fraction at time t3 $$
718	(CONTINUOUS STIRRING STAGE)
719	FIG. 17. RADIAL PROFILES OF SN SEGREGATION $(\omega Sn - \omega 0Sn)/\omega 0Sn$ at 2 heights in the remelted ingot.
720	Experimental (•) and model results. Letters D, D' and D'' correspond to cases listed in Table 5
721	FIG. 18. COMPUTED SN CONTENT MAP IN THE REMELTED INGOT, (A) CASE D, (B) CASE E, (C) CASE F AND (D) CASE G. LETTERS
722	D, E, F, G CORRESPOND TO CASES LISTED IN TABLE 6
723	

725 List of tables

726	Table 1. Main constitutive equations of the CFD macromodel	11
727	Table 2. Main equations of the solidification micromodel.	17
728	TABLE 3. THERMOPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF ZY4 USED IN THE SIMULATIONS	19
729	TABLE 4. DEFINITION OF THE FOUR SIMULATIONS RUN TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF THERMO-SOLUTE CONVECTION	23
730	TABLE 5. DEFINITION OF THE THREE SIMULATIONS RUN TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF DENDRITE ARM SPACING.	38
731	TABLE 6. DEFINITION OF THE FOUR SIMULATIONS RUN TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF GRAIN GROWTH KINETICS	41
732	TABLE 7. VALUES OF THE FOURIER NUMBER IN THE LIQUID AND SOLID PHASES FOR THE FOUR CASES (D, E, F, G). THESE	
733	Fourier numbers are estimated for two local solidification times (10 s and 1260 s). These values	
734	CORRESPOND TO POINTS LOCATED CLOSE TO THE SKIN OF THE INGOT AND FOR THE SECOND ONE TO A POINT LOCATED A	ΑT
735	THE CENTER OF THE INGOT. BOTH OF THEM ARE CONSIDERED AT MID-HEIGHT OF THE INGOT.	43
736		

1	On the prediction of macrosegregation in Vacuum Arc Remelted ingots
2	K. Mramor ^a , T. Quatravaux ^{a*} , H. Combeau ^a , A. Jardy ^a , M. Založnik ^a , I. Crassous ^b , A. Gaillac ^b
3	
4	^a Institut Jean Lamour – UMR 7198 CNRS/Université de Lorraine, Laboratory of Excellence
5	DAMAS, Campus ARTEM, 2 allée André Guinier, BP 50840, F-54011 NANCY, France
6	^b Framatome, Components Research Center, Avenue Paul Girod, F-73403 UGINE, FRANCE
7	*corresponding author: thibault.quatravaux@univ-lorraine.fr, +33 (0)372742900
8	katarina.mramor@fs.uni-lj.si, herve.combeau@univ-lorraine.fr, alain.jardy@univ-lorraine.fr,
9	miha.zaloznik@univ-lorraine.fr, isabelle.crassous@framatome.com,
10	alexis.gaillac@framatome.com
11 12	Abstract
13	The chemical homogeneity and metallurgical structure of vacuum arc remelted (VAR)
14	zirconium ingots are directly responsible for product quality. It is therefore important to
15	understand the relationship between these properties and the operating conditions. An in-depth
16	analysis of the modelling of solidification phenomena during the VAR was carried out.
17	Such model, solves a coupled set of transient equations for heat, momentum, solute
18	transport and turbulence in an axisymmetric geometry. The remelting and cooling of a cylindrical
19	ingot are calculated for time-dependent operating parameters.
20	The solidification mechanisms implemented in the model can be applied to multi-
21	component industrial alloys such as Zircaloy-4, which provides information on the
22	macrosegregation phenomena studied in this paper.
23	The model results were validated, based on the remelting of a specially designed

24 chemically homogeneous Zircaloy-4 electrode. The results illustrate the importance of thermal

25	and s	solute convection, the importance of the permeability of the partially solid material and the		
26	weak influence of nuclei density and solute diffusion in the solid phase on the prediction of			
27	macr	macrosegregation in Zircaloy-4 ingots.		
28	Keyv	vords: Numerical solution; Solidification; Zirconium alloys; Macrosegregation; Vacuum		
29	Arc F	Remelting, Secondary Metallurgy		
30				
31	Nom	enclature		
32	В	magnetic field (T)		
33	D	diffusion coefficient of solute in the liquid or the solid phase (m ² .s ⁻¹)		
34	F_{el}	electromagnetic force (N)		
35	g	gravitational acceleration (m.s ⁻²)		
36	gı	volume fraction of the liquid phase		
37	gs	volume fraction of the solid phase		
38	h	specific enthalpy (J.kg ⁻¹)		
39	J	melting current density (A.m ⁻²)		
40	J	interfacial species transfer rate per unit volume (kg.m- ³ .s ⁻¹)		
41	J ^{ji}	interfacial species transfer rate per unit volume due to diffusion (kg.m- ³ .s ⁻¹)		
42	$J^{\Gamma i}$	interfacial species transfer rate per unit volume due to the phase change (kg.m ⁻³ .s ⁻¹)		
43	k	turbulent kinetic energy (m².s-²)		
44	Κ	permeability (m ²)		
45	K_0	permeability constant of the Blake-Kozeny law (m ²)		
46	N_0	nuclei density (m ⁻³)		
47	Ng	grain density (m ⁻³)		

48	р	pressure (Pa)
49	$\overline{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{l}}}$	average velocity of the liquid phase (m.s ⁻¹)
50	Prt	turbulent Prandtl number
51	Sct	turbulent Schmidt number
52	u'	turbulent velocity of the liquid metal (m.s ⁻¹)
53		
54	Greek	x symbols
55	β_S	solutal expansion coefficient (wt% ⁻¹)
56	β_{T}	thermal expansion coefficient (K ⁻¹)
57	3	dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy (m ² .s ⁻³)
58	λ	thermal conductivity (W.m ⁻¹ .K ⁻¹)
59	λ_{DAS}	characteristic length of the dendritic structure (m)
60	μ	dynamic viscosity (kg.m ⁻¹ .s ⁻¹)
61	σ	electric conductivity (Ω ⁻¹ .m ⁻¹)
62	φ	electric potential (V)
63	ρ	density (kg.m ⁻³)
64	ω	solute mass fraction (wt%)
65	Φ	mass production rate per unit volume due to nucleation (kg.m ⁻³ .s ⁻¹)
66	Г	interfacial phase change rate per unit volume (kg.m ⁻³ .s ⁻¹)
67	Subsc	rripts
68	g	grain density (m ⁻³)
69	1	liquid
70	m	mixture

71	r	radial direction
72	S	solid
73	t	turbulent
74	Z	axial direction
75	θ	orthoradial direction

76 **1** Introduction

77 The fuel assembly components, including Zirconium alloys, are one of the key elements in the production process in nuclear reactors. Refinement of the metallurgical structure and 78 79 improvement of the cleanness of Zr alloy ingots are generally achieved by the Vacuum Arc Remelting (VAR) process. The high quality and good performance of the final product are 80 81 ensured by this manufacturing process, which enables the regulation of the chemical 82 homogeneity The VAR process, as illustrated in Fig. 1, consists in continuously remelting a 83 consumable electrode. In order to obtain a high quality structural ingot, the composition of the 84 electrode must comply with strict standards. A high power direct current, applied under vacuum, 85 provides an electric arc between the tip of the electrode and the baseplate of a water-cooled 86 87 copper mold. The intense heat generated by the arc melts the electrode tips that fall into the crucible. The secondary ingot is gradually formed from the liquid metal that falls through the arc 88 89 plasma. The secondary ingot consists of a liquid metal pool above the solidified part and a mushy 90 zone where solidification takes place.



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of a VAR furnace.

91

In addition, VAR is generally used in the remelting cycles of high quality special steels,as well as Nickel and Titanium alloys.

In the case of Zr or Ti remelting, the arc length is several centimeters. To prevent side-95 arcing due to these operating conditions, an axial magnetic field is generated by external 96 97 induction coils. A periodical reversal of the coil current creates a magnetic field that can provide 98 complex electromagnetic stirring of the melt pool. For the standard production of superior-quality 99 Zr alloys for nuclear applications, a VAR operation cycle is applied (up to three times), where 100 each secondary ingot feeds the next remelting as a new consumable electrode. 101 During solidification, chemical heterogeneities eventually appear in the mushy zone. One 102 of the main challenges in the production of Zr alloys is to control macrosegregation in the VAR

104 heterogeneity at the ingot scale and is a combination of microsegregation, i.e. concentration

gradient between the solid and liquid phases at the dendritic microscale, and the solute convective
transport caused by the liquid metal flow within the mushy zone.

107 Several numerical models have already been developed to calculate the hydrodynamics of

108 the melt pool in a VAR ingot, including the 2D SOLAR code, as described by Jardy and

109 Ablitzer^[1]. The extension of this CFD code forms the basis for the present study.

In addition, an extensive work has also been done to anticipate solidification defects in the remelting products. The SOLAR code was successfully used for simulating VAR and ESR (ElectroSlag Remelting) processes, to predict the risks of white spot and freckle occurrence in the ingot, using a Rayleigh number criterium^[2]. The numerical results highlighted the positive impact of an optimized melting rate to minimize the occurrence of freckles.

Wilson and Jardy^[3] used the SOLAR model to determine the influence of the alternated electromagnetic stirring sequence on the remelting of Titanium alloys. They presented in-depth numerical studies on several magnetic field stirring patterns during remelting. These numerical results were lately validated by Venkatesh et al.^[4] with an industrial campaign dedicated to the remelting of Titanium alloys. The numerical results were successfully validated, based on the measured positions of tungsten markers inside the ingots, providing cavity locations and liquid pool depth for different stirring sequences.

Besides, Chapelle et al.^[5] determined the effect of electromagnetic stirring on melt pool free surface dynamics during the remelting of a Zirconium alloy. They observed the behavior of the free surface of the pool for undirectional and alternated stirring. They thus showed that the free surface deformation can affect the quality of the surface of the VAR ingot.

From all these previous studies, it is now well established that the sources of in-place (r,z) motion of the molten pool of a VAR ingot are:

128	- thermal convection (buoyancy force due to thermal gradients) which tends to favour a flow in a
129	clockwise direction (symmetry axis being on the left),
130	- the Lorenz force resulting from the interaction between the melting current and the self-induced
131	magnetic field that causes a counterclockwise flow,
132	- the centrifugal force resulting from the azimuthal motion caused by the interaction between the
133	melting current and the external stirring field. The latter generates a clockwise flow in the (r,z)
134	plane.
135	Moreover, Revil-Baudard et al. ^[6] identified the additional potential effect of solute-driven
136	buoyancy force resulting from microsegregation, on macrosegregation.
137	This paper presents the recent implementation of an improved solidification modelling,
138	based on work of Combeau et al. ^[7] , to provide an enhanced description of macrosegregation
139	phenomena in the ingot.
140	
141	
142	2 Full-scale VAR trial
143	For this study, a 2.6 ton Zircaloy-4 (Zy4: Zr-1.3Sn-0.2Fe-0.1O-0.1Cr) VAR ingot of
144	standard size was processed at the Framatome plant (Ugine, France). First, a dedicated electrode
145	was entirely manufactured from recycled materials of the same grade, in order to avoid any
146	chemical heterogeneity. It was noticeable that the mechanical strength of this electrode made of
147	scraps was sufficient throughout the remelting process, so that the trial was carried out without
148	any technical hitch.
149	The actual recordings of a highly non-standard melting sequence are presented in Figs. 2
150	and 3, in terms of melting rate and stirring coil current. During the trial, the stirring sequence was
151	carried out in two successive steps: a strong alternating stirring was used to remelt the first 60 $\%$

of the electrode, followed by a weak continuous stirring until the end of the melting process. The magnitude of the alternating stirring was about 4.5 times higher than the continuous stirring one.

Additionally, a strong continuous stirring has been briefly applied at three moments during the alternated stirring stage, in order to mark different pools in the ingot, as such temporary change caused a local change in the grain structure of the solidified material. The profiles of the marked melt pool are clearly visible on calculated composition maps presented in figure 3.c.



159 **Fig. 2**. Melting rate of the full-scale Zy4 remelting trial.



Fig. 3. Stirring sequence of the full-scale Zy4 remelting trial. (a) Coil current throughout the
 melt. (b) Detailed alternating stirring sequence. (c) Example of a computed composition map (Zr
 content), highlighting the melt pool markings in the remelted ingot.

164 The remelted ingot was cut longitudinally along its entire length in order to perform 165 chemical analyses and characterize the grain structure. Furthermore, chemical analyses were 166 carried out along several radii at different heights of the ingot, ranging from 0.25 H to 0.88 H, where H is the total height of the ingot. These measurements are used in section 4 to validate thenumerical results.

- 169
- 170 **3 SOLAR model description**
- 171 **3.1** The CFD macromodel

As discussed in section 1, SOLAR is a 2D axisymmetric finite volume model of the VAR process, with the complete set of macroscopic equations presented in Table 1. At a macroscopic scale, the model (Eqs. (1 to 19)) takes into account the transport of heat and solutes coupled with the turbulent flow driven by the electromagnetic stirring, as well as thermal and solute natural convection.

The electromagnetic force F results from the interaction between the melting current I, 177 178 and the magnetic field **B**. The latter includes the self-induced magnetic field $B_{\theta} e_{\theta}$, and the magnetic field created by the external induction coils $B_{z.ext} e_z$. The current density is in turn 179 180 calculated from Laplace's conservation equation (Eq. 8) and Ohm's law (Eq. 11). It is important 181 to note that the effect of EM stirring on the free surface dynamics is not considered here, in accordance with the results of Chapelle et al.^[5], who show a second-order effect of EM stirring 182 183 on the deformation of the melt pool free surface. Thermal buoyancy and solutal buoyancy are 184 taken into account by using a conventional Boussinesq approximation (Eq. 12) to describe the variations in density of the liquid phase in the gravity term of the momentum balance equation. In 185 the mushy zone, the solid phase forms a porous matrix, modelled by a Darcy term in the 186 momentum equation (Eq. 4). Permeability is estimated by the Blake-Kozeny law (Eq. 13), where 187 188 the Asai and Muchi formula is used to describe the permeability constant K_0 (Eq. 17).

Averaged total mass balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_m) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_l g_l \overline{u_l}) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Averaged mass balance of the solid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho g_s) = \Gamma_s + \Phi_s, \qquad \rho = const$$
⁽²⁾

Averaged total heat balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho g_s \overline{h_s} + \rho g_l \overline{h_l} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho g_l \boldsymbol{u}_l \overline{h_l} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left[(\lambda + g_l \lambda_t) \nabla \overline{T} \right]$$
(3)

Averaged momentum balance for the liquid phase: fluid flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho g_l \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho g_l \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l} \, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l})
= -g_l \nabla p^* + \nabla \cdot [(\mu + \mu_t) g_l (\nabla \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l} + (\nabla \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l})^T)] - \frac{g_l^2 \mu}{K} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_l} + g_l g_l \overline{\rho_l^b} + g_l \boldsymbol{F}_{el}$$
(4)

Averaged solute mass balance for species i in the solid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho g_s \overline{\omega_s^i} \right) = J_s^{j^i} + J_s^{\Gamma^i} \tag{5}$$

Averaged solute mass balance for species i in the liquid phase

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho g_l \overline{\omega_l^i} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho g_l \overline{u_l} \, \overline{\omega_l^i} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left[\left(D_l^i + D_t \right) g_l \nabla \overline{\omega_l^i} \right] + J_l^{j^i} + J_l^{\Gamma^i} \tag{6}$$

Averaged $k - \varepsilon$ turbulence model

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho g_{l}k) + \nabla \cdot (\rho g_{l}\boldsymbol{u}_{l}k) = \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{k}} \right) g_{l} \nabla k \right] + P_{k} + D_{k} + G_{k}^{T} + G_{k}^{S} - \rho g_{l} \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho g_{l}\varepsilon) + \nabla \cdot (\rho g_{l}\boldsymbol{u}_{l}\varepsilon)$$

$$= \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) g_{l} \nabla \varepsilon \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} [c_{1}P_{k} + c_{2}D_{k} + c_{1}c_{3}(G_{k}^{T} + G_{k}^{S}) - c_{2}\rho g_{l}\varepsilon]$$
(7)

Laplace conservation equation

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = 0 \tag{8}$$

Mass balance equation at the solid-liquid interface

$$\Gamma_s + \Gamma_l = 0 \tag{9}$$

Solute mass balance at the solid-liquid interface

$$J_{s}^{j^{i}} + J_{s}^{\Gamma^{i}} + J_{l}^{j^{i}} + J_{l}^{\Gamma^{i}} = 0$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

Ohm's law and Lorentz force

$$\boldsymbol{J} = -\sigma \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{el}} = \boldsymbol{J} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} = -J_z B_{\theta} \boldsymbol{e}_r + J_r B_{ext,z} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} + J_r B_{\theta} \boldsymbol{e}_z \qquad (11)$$

Liquid density in the buoyancy force

$$\overline{\rho_l^b} = \rho_{ref} \left[1 - \beta_T \left(\overline{T} - T_{ref} \right) - \sum_i \beta_S^i \left(\overline{\omega_l^i} - \omega_{ref}^i \right) \right]$$
(12)

Permeability law

$$K = \frac{g_l^3}{(1 - g_l^2)} K_0 \tag{13}$$

Production rate P_k due to gradients of u_l and dissipation rate D_k due to liquid-solid

interaction

$$P_{k} = -\rho g_{l} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}' \boldsymbol{u}_{j}'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} (g_{l} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{i}}) \qquad \qquad D_{k} = -\frac{2\mu g_{l}^{2}}{K} k$$
⁽¹⁴⁾

Generation rate due to thermal and solutal buoyancy

$$G_k^T = \beta_T g_l \frac{\mu_t}{Pr_t} \, \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \nabla \overline{T} \qquad \qquad G_k^S = \sum_i \beta_S^i g_l \frac{\mu_t}{Sc_t} \, \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \nabla \overline{\omega_l}^i \tag{15}$$

Macroscopic $k - \varepsilon$ model constants

 $Pr_{t} = 0.85 \qquad \sigma_{k} = 1.0 \qquad c_{\mu} = 0.09 \qquad c_{3} = \tanh\left(\frac{|\overline{u_{l'z}}|}{\sqrt{\overline{u_{l'\theta}}^{2} + \overline{u_{l'r}}^{2}}}\right)$ (16) $Sc_{t} = 0.7 \qquad \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1.3 \qquad c_{1} = 1.44 \qquad c_{2} = 1.92$

Permeability constants of the model

$$K_0 = \frac{\lambda_{DAS}^2}{180} \tag{17}$$

Pressure

$$p^* = \overline{p_l} + \frac{2}{3}\rho k \tag{18}$$

Turbulent parameters

$$\mu_t = c_\mu \rho \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \qquad \qquad \lambda_t = \frac{c_p \mu}{P r_t} \qquad \qquad D_t = \frac{\mu_t}{\rho S c_t} \tag{19}$$

192

193 A macroscopic RANS two-equation $k - \varepsilon$ model (Eq. 7) is adopted to describe the

194 turbulence of the liquid flow. The transport equations for the mean turbulent kinetic energy k and

- 195 its corresponding mean dissipation rate ε include:
- 196 turbulence production due to mean velocity gradients P_k (Eq. 14),
- 197 dissipation of turbulence due to the liquid/solid interaction in the mushy zone D_k (Eq. 14),
- 198 production or dissipation of turbulence caused by vertical thermal G_k^T and solute content G_k^S

199 gradients (Eq. 15).

200	The constants used for closure (Eq. 16) correspond to the standard $k - \varepsilon$ model originally
201	developed by Launder and Spalding ^[8] for a fully liquid medium ($g_l = 1$, hence $K \to \infty$). This
202	approach is suitable for a wide variety of turbulent flows.
203	The closure of such model in the mushy zone, considered as a porous medium, is solved through
204	the formulation of the dissipation term D_k of the turbulence model ^[9–12] . Precisely, the Prescott
205	and Incropera approach was implemented in the model (eq. 14).
206	The model simulates the direct radiation of the electric arc by the implementation of a heat source
207	as well as a current density on the top face of the secondary ingot.
208	All boundary conditions are discussed in the PhD theses by Hans ^[13] , Quatravaux ^[14] and Revil-
209	Baudard ^[15] .
210	The mesh used for all simulations reported in this paper is a structured one. The number of cells
211	must increase during the simulation to follow the ingot growth, as the material input from the
212	electrode melting is modeled by an advection-like term, i.e. a cell split and growth method. The
213	resulting mesh is scalable, both in size and number of cells, describing at each time step the ingot
214	growth in a continuous way. More details are available in Quatravaux's Ph D thesis ^[14] .
215	3.2 The solidification micromodel
216	The solidification micromodel is derived from the approach of Beckerman and
217	Viskanta ^[16] . They proposed a mathematical formulation for dendritic solidification in a binary

- 218 mixture. Their micro-model was based on a two-phase volume-averaged formulation and results
- 219 were compared to shadowgraph images of the experimental solidification of an ammonium
- 220 chloride solution in water. Although the numerical results were qualitatively close to

experimental measurements, the quantitative values for temperature and concentrations showedconsiderable differences.

Lately, an improved model was presented by Wang and Beckerman^[17]. They described equiaxed dendritic solidification by considering the convection and solid phase transport. This model accounts for the nucleation, grain growth and dendrite morphology by solving a set of transport equations for solid, interdendritic, and extradendritic phases. However, the model was only validated at the laboratory scale.

A similar approach, based on decoupling physical phenomena according to the micro and 228 macro time-scale, was presented by Zaloznik and Combeau^[18] for a real industrial size ingot. The 229 230 constitutive equations of the models were obtained by introducing a Representative Elementary 231 Volume (REV), averaging the transport equations of heat, mass, and momentum over the individual (liquid, solid) phases. The process model considers mass transfer, turbulent fluid flow, 232 233 heat transfer, electromagnetism and phase change using the following main assumptions: 234 - the local thermal equilibrium is assumed in each mesh cell, which behaves as a REV; 235 - the solidification shrinkage is not considered, because the densities of both phases are equal and 236 constant, except for the buoyancy terms where the Boussinesq approximation is applied; 237 - the solid phase is considered rigid and fixed; 238 - the thermophysical properties of all alloys are constant; in particular, partition coefficients and 239 liquidus slopes are independent of the temperature and composition. An operator splitting scheme, described in detail in Založnik and Combeau^[18], was used 240 241 to define the transport and growth stages. In the first one, the convective/diffusive macroscopic 242 transport partial differential equations were solved globally by neglecting nucleation and growth 243 terms, while in the second one, the contributions of nucleation and growth were solved locally 244 and initialized from the transport stage. The grain growth model was highly nonlinear and

therefore required special attention. The advantage of this approach was the decoupling of macro 245 246 and micro time scales, which allows the grain growth model to be solved separately.

247

The microscopic terms that described the grain nucleation and growth were treated locally 248 249 within each mesh cell where the interfacial chemical and thermal equilibrium were assumed to be reached: $T_s = T_l = T$ and $\omega_s^{i^*} = k_p \omega_l^{i^*}$. The interfacial compositions and temperatures are 250 correlated by considering a simplified multicomponent phase diagram, where the liquidus 251 252 temperature is described with a linear dependence on all alloy components. Both the liquidus slopes m_l^i and partition coefficient k_p^i are constant. Therefore, the solidification process starts 253 254 when the enthalpy of a cell falls below the liquidus enthalpy h_{liq} ,

$$h_{liq} = c_p \left(T_m + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{sol}} m_L^i \omega_l^i \right) + L_f$$
⁽²⁰⁾

and stops when the temperature drops below a fixed temperature T_{sol0} or when the solid fraction 255 reaches $g_s = 1$ due to the primary growth as explained by Založnik and Combeau^[18]. 256

In the solidification interval, where the liquid and solid phases co-exist in the same REV 257 $(0 < g_s < 1)$, the solidification micromodel takes into account finite solute diffusion in both 258 259 phases and considers two separate regions: the solid phase and the extra-dendritic liquid. In the mushy zone, grains are assumed to be spherical, the velocity of the extra-dendritic phase to be 260 equal to the averaged velocity of the liquid, and the diffusivity of each solute to be constant in 261 each phase. Grain generation is modelled by an instantaneous nucleation model, which assumes 262 that a predefined number of grains per unit volume N_g with an initial radius R^* nucleate when the 263 264 local temperature becomes lower than the local liquidus temperature (see Eq. 25 in Table 2). The

transfer of the interfacial solute due to the phase change (solidification or melting) is given in Eq.266 21:

$$\left(k_{p}^{i}-1\right)\omega_{l}^{i*}\frac{\Gamma_{s}}{\rho}+\omega_{l}^{i*}\left(\frac{S_{v}D_{l}^{n}}{\delta_{l}}+k_{p}^{n}\frac{S_{v}D_{s}^{n}}{\delta_{s}}\right)=\omega_{l}^{i}\frac{S_{v}D_{l}^{n}}{\delta_{l}}+\omega_{s}^{i}\frac{S_{v}D_{s}^{n}}{\delta_{s}}.$$

$$(21)$$

During primary solidification, the diffusion flux at the solid/liquid interface is described 267 268 by a simplified model: solute gradients are calculated by dividing the difference of the solute mass fraction at the interface $\omega_k^{*,i}$ and the average mass fraction ω_k^i , by a diffusion length δ_k . An 269 270 approximation is used to estimate the boundary layer thickness in the solid phase while a stagnant 271 film model is used to describe the boundary layer thickness in the liquid phase. This solidification micromodel, whose main equations are given in Table 2 (Eqs. 22-28), is described in detail by 272 Tveito et al.^[19]. It must be stated here that its implementation in SOLAR software represents an 273 274 innovative approach over the previous versions used to simulate the VAR process.

275

276 **Table 2.** Main equations of the solidification micromodel.

Geometrical relations and surface area

$$R^* = \left(\frac{3g_s}{4\pi N_g}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad \qquad R_f = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi N_g}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \qquad \qquad S_v^* = 4\pi R^{*2} N_g \quad (22)$$

Dendrite tip kinetics

$$\Omega_{i} = \frac{\omega_{l}^{*,i} - \left[< \omega_{s}^{i} > ^{e} \right]^{gr}}{\omega_{l}^{*,i} \left(1 - k_{p}^{i}\right)} \qquad \qquad V = \frac{\partial R^{*}}{\partial t} \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{s} = \rho_{s} S_{v}^{*} V \qquad (23)$$

Boundary layer thickness

$$\delta_{i}^{l} = \frac{d_{i}}{\left(\frac{d_{i}}{R^{*}} - \frac{f(R^{*},\Delta_{i}) + g(R_{f},R^{*},\Delta_{i})}{d_{i}(R^{*} + d_{i} - (R^{*} - \Delta_{i} + d_{i})exp^{-\frac{\Delta_{i}}{d_{i}}} - f(R^{*},\Delta_{i}) + (exp^{-\frac{\Delta_{i}}{d_{i}}} - 1)g(R_{f},R^{*},\Delta_{i})}\right)}$$
(24)

$$\delta_i^s = \frac{R^*}{5} \qquad g(R_f, R^*, \Delta_i) = \frac{R_f^3 - (R^* + \Delta_i)^3}{3(R^* + \Delta_i)} \qquad d_i = \frac{D_l^i}{V}$$

$$Sh_{i} = \frac{2}{3(1-g_{s})}Sc_{i}^{\frac{1}{3}}Re^{n(Re)} \quad f(R^{*},\Delta_{i}) = \frac{1}{2}((R^{*}+\Delta_{i})^{2}-(R^{*})^{2}) \quad \Delta_{i} = \frac{2R^{*}}{Sh_{i}}$$

$$Re = \frac{\rho_l (1 - g_s) 2R^*}{\mu_l} |\overline{u_l}| \qquad n(Re) = \frac{2Re^{0.28} + 4.65}{3(Re^{0.28} + 4.65)} \qquad Sc_i = \frac{\mu_l}{\rho_l D_l^i}$$

Thermodynamics

$$\omega_{s-d}^{*,i} = \omega_l^{*,i} k_p^i \qquad \qquad T_l = T_m + \sum_i m_l^i \omega_l^{*,i} \qquad (25)$$

Nucleation

$$\Phi_{s} = \begin{cases} \rho \frac{\pi R^{*3}}{6} N_{g} \delta(t - t_{0}); \text{ if } T_{l} < T_{m} + \sum_{i} m_{l}^{i} \omega_{l}^{*,i} \text{ and } g_{s} = 0 \\ 0; \text{ else} \end{cases} \qquad \Phi_{s} = -\Phi_{l} \qquad (26)$$

Solute fluxes due to phase change

$$J_s^{\Gamma^i} = \omega_s^{*,i} \Gamma_s \qquad \qquad J_l^{\Gamma^i} = \omega_l^{*,i} \Gamma_l \qquad \qquad \omega_s^{*,i} = k_p^i \omega_l^{*,i} \qquad (27)$$

Solute fluxes due to diffusion

$$J_{s}^{j,i} = \frac{S_{\nu}^{*} \rho_{s} D_{s}^{i}}{\delta_{s}} (\omega_{s}^{*,i} - \omega_{s}^{i}) \qquad \qquad J_{l}^{j,i} = \frac{S_{\nu}^{*} \rho_{l} D_{l}^{i}}{\delta_{l}} (\omega_{l}^{*,i} - \omega_{l}^{i})$$
(28)

277

278 4 Numerical results and discussion

The full-scale melt of a Zy4 electrode (see Section 2) was simulated using the model fully described in Section 3. A complete set of process operating parameters (melting rate, arc voltage, melting current and current in the external induction coils) was previously recorded during the 283 entered by means of data files containing these records (see Figures 2 and 3).

284 The thermophysical properties of Zy4 used in the simulations are given in Table 3. Note

that the solidification properties (partition coefficients and liquidus slopes) have been extracted

from the binary phase diagrams for Zr-X alloys^[20]. Unless otherwise specified, the values of β_s^{Sn}

and λ_{DAS} used in the simulations (default values) are also reported in Table 3.

288

282

289

290 **Table 3.** Thermophysical properties of Zy4 used in the simulations

Nominal compositions		ω_0^{Sn}	[wt%]	1.3
	Fe	ω_0^{Fe}	[wt%]	0.2
	0	ω_0^O	[wt%]	0.1
	Cr	ω_0^{Cr}	[wt%]	0.1
Melting temperature of pure Zr		T _m	[°C]	1855
Liquidus slopes	Sn	m_l^{Sn}	$[^{\circ}C(wt\%)^{-1}]$	-11
	Fe	m_L^{Fe}	$[^{\circ}C(wt\%)^{-1}]$	-57
	0	m_L^O	$[^{\circ}C(wt\%)^{-1}]$	147
	Cr	m_L^{Cr}	$[^{\circ}C(wt\%)^{-1}]$	-26
Partition Coefficients	Sn	k_p^{Sn}	[-]	0.27
	Fe	$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}^{Fe}$	[-]	0.25
	0	k ⁰ p	[-]	2.32

	Cr	$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{Cr}}$	[-]	0.15
Diffusion coefficients in the liquid	Sn	D_l^{Sn}	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.10-8
	Fe	D^{Fe}_{l}	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.10-8
	0	D_l^0	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	$1 \cdot 10^{-8}$
	Cr	D_l^{Cr}	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.10-8
Diffusion coefficients in the solid	Sn	$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{Sn}}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	4.9·10 ⁻¹¹
	Fe	$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{Fe}}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.5·10 ⁻⁹
	0	D_s^0	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	3.8·10 ⁻⁹
	Cr	$\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{Cr}}_{\mathrm{s}}$	$[m^2.s^{-1}]$	1.3.10-9
Temp. of end of solidification		T _{sol}	[°C]	1592
Latent heat		L _f	[J.kg ⁻¹]	$2.42 \cdot 10^5$
Reference density		ρ_0	[kg.m ⁻³]	6210
Dynamic viscosity		μ	[Pa.s]	$4.59 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Thermal conductivity		λ	$[W.m^{-1}.^{\circ}C^{-1}]$	36.5
Thermal expansion coefficient		β_T	[°C ⁻¹]	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Specific heat		c _p	[J.kg ⁻¹ .°C ⁻¹]	435
Electric conductivity		σ	$[m^{-1}.\Omega^{-1}]$	$6.8 \cdot 10^5$
Solute expansion coefficients	Sn	β_S^{Sn}	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	3.10-2
	Fe	β_S^{Fe}	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	0
	0	β_S^0	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	0
	Cr	β_S^{Cr}	$[(wt\%)^{-1}]$	0
Characteristic length of the		λ_{DAS}	[m]	134·10 ⁻⁶

dendritic structures

	For all simulations reported in the present paper, the average cell size was approximately			
9 mi	n wide and 6 mm height. Mesh refinement was applied with a geometrical reason of 0.8 on			
the f	ive nodes closest to the mold as well as to the free surface. The time-step was fixed to			
0.02	5 s.			
	The computational time required for simulating a full melt depends on the computer. In			
the IJL facility, the total CPU time was around 72 hours.				
	In several previous publications ^[2,21–23] , the authors have detailed some CFD macromodel			
resu	ts when applied to various remelting situations. In this paper, the attention will be focused on			
<mark>the f</mark>	luid flow in the liquid pool and the mushy zone, as well as the resulting macrosegregation.			
4.1	Hydrodynamics in the liquid metal pool			
	The purpose of this section is to numerically study the influence of the thermal and solutal			
buoy	vancy forces on the flow in the liquid bath during remelting. Mean velocity field, turbulence			
gene	ration are therefore investigated. Only the effect of local Sn content on solutal buoyancy was			
cons	idered, as the concentrations in all other alloying elements are negligible.			
4.1.1	. Assumptions on the thermal and solutal buoyancy forces			
	During solidification, a buoyancy driving force can be generated either by the thermal or			
solu	During solidification, a buoyancy driving force can be generated either by the thermal or tal buoyancy effects, that can be collaborating or opposing ^[24] . Both buoyancy effects are			

310 modelled by the Boussinesq approximation (Eq. 12), therefore both the thermal and the solutal

311 expansion coefficients had to be estimated.

312 Unfortunately, values for the solutal and thermal expansion coefficient for Zy-4 alloy and 313 its alloying elements are not readily available in the literature.

- The thermal expansion has been estimated from values measured by Paradis and Rhim^[25]
- 315 on pure Zr. Moreover, other pertaining physical properties, such as reference density, come from
- this reference.

Figures 4 and 5 below show the evolution of Zr density and Sn density with the

318 temperature.



- 320 **Fig.** 4. Density of Zr as a function of temperature, from ^[26] (the vertical bar corresponds to Zr
- 321 melting point).

319



323 **Fig. 5.** Density of Sn as a function of temperature, from ^[27] (the vertical bar corresponds to Sn

324 melting point).

325

322

From these figures, it is not possible to decide with a great confidence whether Sn should 326 be considered as heavier or lighter than Zr at high temperature, around 2128 K, i.e. Zr melting 327 temperature. Clearly, the value of the solutal expansion coefficient cannot be readily given. 328 329 In order to examine the influence of thermo-solutal convection, four simulations have 330 been run, as shown in Table 4. In Case A, both buoyancy terms were null, which means that the 331 flow is only driven by the forced convection generated by the electromagnetic field. In case B, 332 thermal expansion coefficient for pure Zr has been used, while no solutal buoyancy was applied. 333 Cases C and D take into account solutal buoyancy, with a negative (case C) and positive (case D) value of the Sn expansion coefficient. The negative coefficient $\beta_{S}^{Sn} = -1 \cdot 10^{-2} (wt\%)^{-1}$, 334 defined in case C, was chosen to investigate on the consequences of cooperating buoyancy 335 forces. On the contrary, the positive value in case D implies opposing buoyancy forces. 336 337


case	$\beta_T [°C^{-1}]$	$\beta_S^{Sn}[wt\%^{-1}]$
Α	0	0
B	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0
С	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$-1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
D	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-2}$

340 4.1.2 Characteristics of the liquid metal pool

The metal flow in the melt pool and mushy zone has been investigated at instants corresponding to alternated or continuous stirring periods applied during the melt. In the latter case, the hydrodynamics in the (r, z) plane is quite stationary, whereas in the former one, two different moments have been considered during the stirring sequence:

- time t_1 is characterized by a low azimuthal velocity of the liquid, hence a low centrifugal force

- time t₂ is characterized by a high azimuthal velocity of the liquid, hence a high centrifugal force.

347	Flows during the continuous stirring stage (time t_3) and at time t_2 are similar, since the
348	azimuthal velocity in both cases is high (see Fig. 6). However, as can be seen in Fig. 6,
349	the transition from alternated to continuous stirring changes the depth and shape of the
350	liquid pool as well as the size of the mushy zone. In the case of alternated stirring, the
351	liquid pool is shallower and more parabolic in shape, whereas in the case of continuous
352	stirring, the pool is deeper and cone-shaped, with a larger mushy zone.



Fig. 6. Case A – Computed pool profile and azimuthal velocity $(m.s^{-1})$ map in the melt pool at 3 different moments during the melt. (a) Time t_1 - alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity. (b) Time t_2 - alternated stirring, high azimuthal velocity. (c) Time t_3 - continuous stirring, high azimuthal velocity.

The hydrodynamic behavior at time t_1 (low azimuthal velocity) is the object of Fig. 7. The turbulence intensity is represented by the μ_t/μ viscosity ratio and the velocity field by velocity vectors in the upper part of the melt pool where velocities are high and by streamlines in the lower part of the melt pool and in the mushy zone, where velocities are significantly lower. Without any buoyancy phenomena, the electromagnetic force causes two recirculation loops (Fig. 7 (a)). The interaction between the melting current and the self-induced magnetic field generates centripetal forces localized in the near-surface region, at the origin of a counterclockwise recirculation flow of the liquid metal in the upper part of the pool. In the mid-height

zone of the pool, stirring centrifugal forces prevail, reinforcing the upper recirculation flow andcreating a second clockwise loop in the lower part of the pool.

When thermal buoyancy is added (case B), it can be seen in fig. 7(b) that the two recirculation loops remain qualitatively identical. The major modification is a strong decrease in the turbulence intensity in the near-surface region, as the high vertical thermal stratification strongly dissipates turbulence (eq. 15).

372 Turbulence characteristics of the flow is almost identical to case B when solute buoyancy373 is added (cases C and D).

The collaborating solute buoyancy has a negligible impact on the "two recirculation 374 375 loops" system observed for cases A and B. However, in case D, the opposing solute buoyancy 376 leads to the apparition of a third counter-clockwise recirculation flow in the bottom of the pool 377 and in the mushy zone. As Sn partition ratio is lower than unity, solute redistribution during 378 solidification causes a liquid enrichment in solute in the mushy zone. This enrichment causes a 379 decrease in liquid density due to the positive solutal expansion coefficient. Finally, the resulting 380 solutal buoyancy force, localized in the mushy zone, promotes an upward flow along the 381 solidification front, inducing the observed third bottom loop.

382



Fig. 7. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t_1 (alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity). Left side is the turbulence intensity and right side is the mean flow represented by vectors (pool upper part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $\beta_T = 0$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.03 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (b) Case B: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot$ $10^{-5} \circ \text{C}^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 1.60 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (c) Case C: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ \text{C}^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot$

388	$10^{-2} \text{ wt\%}^{-1}$, $v_{\text{max}} = 1.82 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (d) Case D: $\beta_{\text{T}} = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ °C}^{-1}$, $\beta_{\text{S}}^{\text{Sn}} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt\%}^{-1}$,
389	$v_{max} = 1.61 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$.
390	
391	The influence of a high azimuthal velocity on the in-plane velocity field and turbulence
392	intensity in the melt pool and mushy zone is shown in Figs. 8 and 9, for instants t_2 during the
393	alternated stirring and t ₃ during the continuous stirring.
394	Compared to the previous case, azimuthal velocity generated by the stirring is 60%
395	higher, implying an increase in centrifugal forces of 156 %. Therefore, the self-induced forces
396	become of second order and, thus, the circulation counter-clockwise loop in the near surface
397	region disappears.
398	Similar to previous simulations, the introduction of thermal buoyancy forces considerably
399	reduces the intensity of turbulence in the near-surface area (cases B, C and D).
400	A collaborative solutal buoyancy slightly decreases turbulence intensity in the bottom of
401	the pool in case C in the frame of a strong alternated stirring (Fig. 8(c)). This is due to the local
402	vertical solute stratification, in the same way as the effects of thermal buoyancy near the free
403	surface.
404	Finally, an opposite solutal buoyancy generates an additional recirculation loop in the
405	bottom of the pool for all stirring conditions (Fig. 8(d) and Fig. 9(d)).
406	
407	
408	



409 **Fig. 8.** Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t₂ (alternated stirring, high 410 azimuthal velocity). Left side is the turbulence intensity and right side is the mean flow 411 represented by vectors (pool upper part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the 412 liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $\beta_T = 0$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.53$ cm.s⁻¹. (b) Case B: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot$ 413 $10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.53$ cm.s⁻¹. (c) Case C: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot$

414 $10^{-2} \text{ wt\%}^{-1}, \ v_{\text{max}} = 2.50 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}.$ (d) Case D: $\beta_{\text{T}} = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ °C}^{-1}, \ \beta_{\text{S}}^{\text{Sn}} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt\%}^{-1},$ 415 $v_{\text{max}} = 2.51 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}.$



417 Fig. 9. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time t_3 (continuous stirring, high 418 azimuthal velocity). Left side is the turbulence intensity and right side is the mean flow

419 represented by vectors (pool upper part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the
420 liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $β_T = 0$, $β_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 2.02 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (b) Case B: $β_T = 4 \cdot 421$ 421 $10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $β_S^{Sn} = 0$, $v_{max} = 1.93 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (c) Case C: $β_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $β_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot 422$ 422 $10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$, $v_{max} = 1.83 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$. (d) Case D: $β_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $β_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$,
423 $v_{max} = 2.00 \text{ cm.s}^{-1}$.

424 4.2. Macrosegregation

425	Macrosegregation behaviour of the remelted product is now numerically investigated.
426	Particular attention is paid to the influence of the thermophysical properties defined in the
427	macromodel, such as thermal and solutal buoyancy forces, as well as the solidification properties
428	implemented in the micromodel, such as dendrite arm spacing and grain growth kinetics.
429	As computed results differed only slightly from previous calculations ^[28] , where a
430	simplified solidification model assumed complete diffusion of all solutes in both liquid and solid
431	phases (i.e. lever rule), it appears that the use of a detailed solidification micromodel confirmed
432	the trends which had been highlighted with a simplified lever-rule based model.

433 **4.2.1. Influence of the thermo-solutal convection**

The computed maps of Sn content in the final ingot are shown in Fig. 10. As discussed previously, the two declined Sn-depleted bands are a consequence of the strong electromagnetic stirring (see Fig. 3 (b)) that was applied to mark the melt pool profile in the ingot macrostructure. The average concentration of Sn in the liquid pool increases as the ingot grows because the 438partition coefficient k_p^{Sn} is lower than 1. Solidification of the last liquid pool causes a local439enrichment at the top of the ingot. A strong negative segregation is predicted at the lateral surface440and at the bottom of the ingot. However, results at the periphery must be handled with care441because the segregation calculated there is very sensitive to mesh size.



443 Fig. 10. Computed Sn content map in the remelted ingot. (a) Case A: $\beta_T = 0$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$. (b) Case 444 B: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 0$. (c) Case C: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = -1 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$. (d) 445 Case D: $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt}\%^{-1}$.

446

In the absence of buoyancy (case A), the model predicts a Sn enrichment in the ingot 447 448 centre for the two stirring regimes used during the melt. This is the result of the clockwise flow measurement cell discussed in the previous section. The liquid flows down along the 449 450 solidification front. As a result, the liquid enriched in Sn piles up in the mushy zone and at the 451 bottom of the melt pool, resulting in a positive segregation on the axis of symmetry. As shown in 452 Fig. 10, radial macrosegregation is weaker with alternating stirring than with continuous stirring. 453 The addition of thermal convection (case B) slightly increases the radial macrosegregation 454 for both stirring sequences. The centrifugal force is reinforced by thermal buoyancy, which 455 causes more intense circulation in the mushy zone and greater transport of enriched liquid to the 456 central axis. The convection effects induced by the solutal buoyancy are presented in Figs. 10 (c) and 457 10 (d). They prevail in the central part of the mushy zone and have an impact on segregation. In 458 459 case C, the segregation at the central axis is notably amplified by the cooperation of solutal and thermal buoyancy. The opposite effect is observed in case D, where the effects of solutal and 460 thermal buoyancy are opposed: the segregation at the central axis is considerably reduced, due to 461 the upward flow in the mushy region. A positive segregation band is therefore located at r/462 *R*∼0.5. 463

464 Moreover, in case D, during the continuous stirring sequence, a series of vertical channels
465 appear in the enriched segregation part. Fig. 11 details this effect. The Sn-enriched liquid

- 466 accumulated near the centerline is transported outward under the influence of solutal convection.
- 467 This transport of solute is effective for a liquid fraction $g_l > 0.6$. When $g_l < 0.6$, the
- 468 permeability of the porous media decreases rapidly as the drag forces dominate inertial and
- 469 buoyancy forces and macrosegregation evolution is stopped.



Fig. 11. Zoom visualization of the influence of solute-driven convection at time t_3 - continuous stirring, high azimuthal velocity. Case D - $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \,^{\circ}C^{-1}$, $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \,^{\circ}Wt\%^{-1}$. (a) Liquid fraction, streamlines and Sn content. (b) Liquid fraction and convective v^l. ∇T term.

In Fig. 12, the predicted radial Sn segregation profiles are plotted at two different heights and compared to experimental measurements. Those heights are representative for the alternated stirring (h/H = 0.25) and continuous stirring (h/H = 0.75) stages. Comparison of the simulation results with the experimental measurements confirms the importance of solutal convection in the mushy zone. At h/H = 0.75, the measured Sn concentration continuously increases in the inner part of the ingot until it reaches its maximum at r/R = 0.5. The simulated results show the same tendency only in case D, where solutal convection is opposed to thermal

481 one. Among the calculations, case D, where the solute coefficient $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} (wt\%)^{-1}$, has



the best fit to the measured data and was therefore chosen for subsequent simulations.

Fig. 12. Radial profiles of Sn segregation $(\overline{\omega}^{\text{Sn}} - \omega_0^{\text{Sn}})/\omega_0^{\text{Sn}}$ at 2 heights in the remelted ingot. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Letters A, B, C, D correspond to cases listed in Table 4.

The computed profiles of the radially averaged longitudinal Sn content are shown in Fig. 13. Thermo-solutal convection has no significant effect on the overall longitudinal segregation. Although the comparison of simulated results with experimental measurements should be interpreted with caution, due to the limited number of measurements along the ingot height, the results show a fairly good agreement.



491 Fig. 13. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of Sn segregation $(\overline{\omega}^{\text{Sn}} - \omega_0^{\text{Sn}})/\omega_0^{\text{Sn}}$ in the 492 remelted ingot. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Letters A, B, C, D correspond to cases 493 listed in Table 4.

For a given thermo-solutal convection (case D), Fig. 14 shows the longitudinal content profiles for Sn and other alloying elements, namely Fe, O and Cr. The results for all elements are quite similar (it must be stated that the oxygen partition coefficient k_0 is larger than 1) and in fairly good agreement with measurements, except in the bottom part of the ingot. At this location, in addition to a possible effect of dendrite arm spacing (see section 4.2), it could be argued that the discrepancy between the measured chemistry and the predicted segregation is related to the motion of equiaxed grains. ^[7] showed that the sedimentation of free floating equiaxed grains can

- significantly affect macrosegregation. Decantation of these grains in the bottom of the melt pool
- 502 during its solidification could balance the macrosegregation induced by thermo-solutal
- 503 convection, resulting in a flat segregation profile.



Fig. 14. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of chemical segregation $(\overline{\omega}^n - \omega_0^n)/\omega_0^n$ in the remelted ingot for elements Sn, Fe, O and Cr. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Case D - $\beta_T = 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \circ C^{-1}, \beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ wt\%}^{-1}.$

508 **4.2.2.** Influence of the dendrite arm spacing

509 As discussed in section 3, the solidifying mushy zone is modelled as a porous medium 510 and is characterized by its local permeability which depends on the liquid volume fraction g_l and the dendrite arm spacing λ_{DAS} (Eqs.13 and 17 in Table 1). Unfortunately, it is very difficult to obtain a true value of λ_{DAS} from a conventional metallographic analysis due to the solid state transformation $\beta(cc) \rightarrow \alpha(hcp)$ that occurs during the cooling of Zirconium alloys. In order to assess the role of the permeability in macrosegregation prediction, three cases were tested for each of the solidification models and are presented in Table 5. The value $\beta_S^{Sn} = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} (wt\%)^{-1}$ (corresponding to case D, see above) was adopted for all calculations.

Table 5. Definition of the three simulations run to study the effect of dendrite arm spacing.

case	λ_{DAS} [µm]	$K_0[m^2]$
D'	67	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$
D	134	10 ⁻¹⁰
D"	268	$4 \cdot 10^{-10}$

519

The computed Sn macrosegregation maps are shown in Fig. 15. It can be observed that the intensity of macrosegregation increases with λ_{DAS} . To explain this phenomenon, the amplitude of the liquid velocity in the mushy zone during the continuous stirring stage (time t₃) is plotted in Fig. 16 as a function of the liquid fraction. It is obvious that a large λ_{DAS} increases the velocity of the interdendritic liquid flow, thus promoting macrosegregation.



Fig. 15. Influence of the dendrite arm spacing λ_{DAS} on the computed Sn macrosegregation map in the remelted ingot. (a) Case D': $\lambda_{DAS} = 67 \,\mu\text{m.}$ (b) Case D: $\lambda_{DAS} = 134 \,\mu\text{m.}$ (c) Case D'':

```
527 \lambda_{DAS} = 268 \ \mu m.
```



Fig. 16. Magnitude of the liquid velocity in the mushy zone as a function of liquid fraction attime t3 (continuous stirring stage).

In Fig. 17, the simulated macrosegregation of Sn is compared to experimental 531 532 measurements at two different heights (h/H = 0.25) and (h/H = 0.75). The profiles calculated for $\lambda_{DAS} = 67 \ \mu m$ and $\lambda_{DAS} = 134 \ \mu m$ are similar at h/H = 0.25. These profiles are quite flat 533 534 up to r/R = 0.6, after which point the Sn concentration gradually decreases. Although the 535 difference between the simulated results and the experimental measurements is not high, it should 536 be noted that the predicted segregation in the mid-radius zone is positive while the experimental 537 segregation is negative. The agreement is much better when λ_{DAS} is increased to 268 µm, because the predictions for this case anticipate the negative Sn segregation values from r/R = 0.5 on. At 538 h/H = 0.75, on the contrary, a better agreement was found when λ_{DAS} was reduced (case D'). 539



Fig. 17. Radial profiles of Sn segregation $(\overline{\omega}^{Sn} - \omega_0^{Sn})/\omega_0^{Sn}$ at 2 heights in the remelted ingot. Experimental (•) and model results. Letters D, D' and D'' correspond to cases listed in Table 5.

543 **4.2.3.** Influence of the grain growth kinetics

The effect of grain growth kinetics on macrosegregation was investigated numerically by examining four different cases listed in Table 6. The default values presented in Table 3 were used for the diffusion coefficients of alloy elements, thermal and solutal expansion coefficients, nucleat+ion density, and secondary dendrite arm spacing, unless otherwise specified. Case D, as usual, represents the case with default values. In case E, the nucleation density N_0 was increased to 10^{12} m⁻³. In cases F and G, the diffusion coefficient of Sn in the solid phase was reduced to $4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$ m²/s for a nucleation density equal to 10^{12} m⁻³ and 10^{10} m⁻³, respectively.

552 **Table 6.** Definition of the four simulations run to study the effect of grain growth kinetics

case
$$N_0 [m^{-3}] = D_S^{Sn} [m^2/s]$$

D	10 ¹⁰	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$
E	10 ¹²	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$
F	10 ¹²	$4.9\cdot10^{-15}$
G	10 ¹⁰	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$
F G	10 ¹² 10 ¹⁰	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$ $4.9 \cdot 10^{-15}$

Fig. 18 shows the final macrosegregation maps of Sn computed in all four cases. Although the solidification process differs quantitatively, the comparison of the maps reveals only small differences in the calculated macrosegregation. As apparent from Fig. 18, nucleation density and solute diffusivity did not seem to play an important role in the chemistry of the remelted ingot. Nevertheless, it can be noted that the macrosegregation was slightly less intense in case E.

560 The small differences observed between these different cases can be explained by studying the value of the Fourier number in order to characterize the diffusion of solute in the 561 562 solid and liquid phases in the central part of the ingot. The Fourier number associated to a given phase (solid or liquid) is defined as the ratio $o = \frac{D \cdot t_{ls}}{R_g^2}$. In this relationship, D is the solute 563 diffusion coefficient in the considered phase, t_s is the local solidification time, and R_g is the final 564 average grain radius as defined in equation (22) in Table 2. As it can be seen in this equation, the 565 final grain radius is only dependent of the grain density which is an input of the model. If the 566 value of the Fourier number is greater than 1 the composition profile of the alloying element can 567 568 be considered as uniform in the phase, while if it is smaller than 1 the composition profile is non uniform. The values of the Fourier numbers for the liquid and solid phases are reported in Table 7 569 considering two local solidification times (t_{ls}), respectively 10 and 1260 s. The first value 570 571 corresponds to the solidification time at a point close to the skin while the second one stands for a

- 572 location in the central part of the ingot. These points have been chosen along a line at mid-height
- 573 of the ingot. They are considered to be representative of extreme values of the Fourier numbers in
- 574 the solid and liquid phases.
- 575
- 576 **Table** 7. Values of the Fourier number in the liquid and solid phases for the four cases (D, E, F,
- 577 G). These Fourier numbers are estimated for two local solidification times (10 s and 1260 s).
- 578 These values correspond to points located close to the skin of the ingot and for the second one to
- 579 a point located at the center of the ingot. Both of them are considered at mid-height of the ingot.

	$t_{ls} = 10 s$		$t_{ls} = 1260 s$)	
	<mark>Fol^{Sn}</mark>	<mark>Fo_Sn</mark>	Fol ^{Sn}	Fo _S n
D	<mark>1.2</mark>	<mark>0.006</mark>	<mark>150</mark>	<mark>0.75</mark>
E	<mark>2.6</mark>	<mark>0.127</mark>	<mark>3270</mark>	<mark>16</mark>
F	<mark>2.6</mark>	10 ⁻⁵	<mark>3270</mark>	<mark>0.0016</mark>
G	<mark>1.2</mark>	<mark>6 10⁻⁷</mark>	<mark>150</mark>	7.5 10 ⁻⁵

581 For the two points and all 4 cases, the Fourier number values in the liquid phase are greater than 1, thus the solute profile at the microscopic scale was uniform in the liquid phase 582 583 during almost all the solidification. Considering the point close to the skin, the Fourier number in the solid phase is lower than 1 in all cases. This means that there is no diffusion of solute in the 584 585 solid phase at the microscopic scale in all cases for the point close to the skin. The skin is thus a region for which all cases correspond to the same situation at the microscopic scale: perfect 586 587 diffusion of solute in the liquid and no diffusion of solute in the solid. This is why the 588 macrosegregation is very similar for all the cases in the region of the skin of the ingot.

Considering the point located at mid-height in the center of the ingot, for cases D, F and G, the 589 Fourier number value in the solid phase is lower than 1 while it is greater than 1 for case E. These 590 591 results show that for cases D, F and G, solidification proceeds in such a way that the solute diffuses perfectly in the liquid phase and very little in the solid phase. For case E, the diffusion of 592 593 the solute is perfect in the solid and liquid phases. For all cases, the diffusion is perfect in the liquid phase, only the diffusion of the solute in the solid phase is different. It has already been 594 shown that solute diffusion in the solid phase has very little influence on macrosegregation^[29]. 595 596 This can explain why cases D, E, F, G lead to similar macrosegregation.



Fig. 18. Computed Sn content map in the remelted ingot, (a) case D, (b) case E, (c) case F and (d)
case G. Letters D, E, F, G correspond to cases listed in Table 6.

- 599
- 600

5 Conclusions and prospects

A two-scale model was used to simulate the solidification of the remelting of an experimental chemically homogeneous Zy-4 electrode in a production furnace. The effects of thermal and solutal convection on ingot macrosegregation, as well as the influence of dendrite arm spacing (i.e. the permeability of the mushy region) were studied and analyzed. In addition, the influence of grain growth kinetics was investigated numerically.

606 The results show that it is necessary to take into account the thermal and solutal buoyancy 607 forces in order to obtain an accurate simulation of hydrodynamics and consequently the 608 macrosegregation in VAR ingots. Comparing the experimental measurements with the simulated 609 results, it is concluded that solutal-driven convection may promote an upward flow along the solidification front in the mushy zone and thus be partially responsible for macrosegregation in 610 611 the central part of the ingot. Furthermore, the simulations have shown that the macrosegregation 612 predicted by the model depends on the dendrite arm spacing value used to calculate local 613 permeability in the mushy zone. However, the model is still unable to predict some of the trends 614 observed in experimental measurements.

In future work, the transport of free-floating grains and the flow induced by the solidification shrinkage will be implemented in the SOLAR code. Such additions could significantly affect the predicted hydrodynamic behaviour, resulting in macrosegregation.

620	As a part of the OPERAS (Optimizing Processes based on Electrode Remelting with Arc
621	or Slag) Project, this work has been partly supported by the French National Research Agency
622	(ANR-08-MAPR-0006-04).
623	
624	Conflict of interest
625	On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of
626	interest.
627	
628	References
629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641	 A. Jardy and D. Ablitzer: <i>Xiyou Jinshu Cailiao Yu GongchengRare Met. Mater. Eng.</i>, 2006, vol. 35, pp. 119–122. A. Jardy and D. Ablitzer: <i>Mater. Sci. Technol.</i>, 2009, vol. 25, pp. 163–9. A. Wilson and A. Jardy: in <i>A numerical study of the influence of stirring parameters on titanium ingot quality using SOLAR v4.2</i>, vol. 1, The Japan Institute of Metals, Kyoto, Japan, 2007. V. Venkatesh, A. Wilson, M. Kamal, M. Thomas, and D. Lambert: <i>JOM</i>, 2009, vol. 61, pp. 45–50. P. Chapelle, A. Jardy, J.P. Bellot, and M. Minvielle: <i>J. Mater. Sci.</i>, 2008, vol. 43, pp. 5734–46. M. Revil-Baudard, A. Jardy, F. Leclerc, M. Zaloznik, V. Rebeyrolle, and H. Combeau: in <i>CFD Modeling and Simulation in Materials Processing</i>, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2012, pp. 107–14. H. Combeau, M. Založnik, S. Hans, and P.E. Richy: <i>Metall. Mater. Trans. B</i>, 2009, vol. 40, pp. 289–304. B.E. Launder and D.B. Spalding: <i>Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.</i>, 1974, vol. 3, pp. 269–89. P. L Prescott and F.P. Incropera: <i>L Haat Transf.</i> 1995, vol. 117, pp. 716–24.
642 643 644 645 646	 10 B.V. Antohe and J.L. Lage: <i>Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.</i>, 1995, vol. 117, pp. 710–24. 11 A. Nakayama and F. Kuwahara: <i>J. Fluids Eng.</i>, 1999, vol. 121, pp. 427–33. 12 M.H.J. Pedras and M.J.S. de Lemos: <i>Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.</i>, 2001, vol. 44, pp. 1081–93. 13 S. Hans: PhD thesis (in French), Institut National Polytechnique de Lorraine, 1995. 14 T. Quatravaux: PhD thesis (in French). Institut National Polytechnique de Lorraine, 2004.
647 648 649 650 651	 15 M. Revil-Baudard: PhD thesis (in French), Université de Lorraine, 2012. 16 C. Beckermann and R. Viskanta: <i>Physicochem. Hydrodyn.</i>, 1988, vol. 10, pp. 195–213. 17 C.Y. Wang and C. Beckermann: <i>Metall. Mater. Trans. A</i>, 1996, vol. 27, pp. 2754–64. 18 M. Založnik and H. Combeau: <i>Comput. Mater. Sci.</i>, 2010, vol. 48, pp. 1–10. 19 K. Tveito, M. Bedel, M. Založnik, H. Combeau, and M. M'Hamdi: vol. 27, IOP Publishing, 2012, p. 012040.
652 653 654 655 656 657	 20 T.B. Massalski, H. Okamoto, P. Subramanian, L. Kacprzak, and W.W. Scott: <i>Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams</i>, vol. 1, American society for metals Metals Park, OH, 1986. 21 T. Quatravaux, S. Ryberon, S. Hans, A. Jardy, B. Lusson, P.E. Richy, and D. Ablitzer: <i>J. Mater. Sci.</i>, 2004, vol. 39, pp. 7183–91. 22 G. Ghazal, A. Jardy, P. Chapelle, and Y. Millet: <i>Metall. Mater. Trans. B</i>, 2010, vol. 41, pp. 646–59.

Acknowledgments

- A. Jardy, F. Leclerc, M. Revil-Baudard, P. Guerin, H. Combeau, and V. Rebeyrolle: *J. ASTM Intern.*,
 DOI:10.1520/JAI102992.
- 660 24 M. Založnik and H. Combeau: Int. J. Therm. Sci., 2010, vol. 49, pp. 1500–9.
- 661 25 P.-F. Paradis and W.-K. Rhim: J. Mater. Res., 1999, vol. 14, pp. 3713–9.
- 662 26 T. Ishikawa and P.-F. Paradis: J. Electron. Mater., 2005, vol. 34, pp. 1526–32.
- 27 M.J. Assael, A.E. Kalyva, K.D. Antoniadis, R. Michael Banish, I. Egry, J. Wu, E. Kaschnitz, and W.A.
 Wakeham: J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 2010, vol. 39, p. 033105.
- 665 28 M. Revil-Baudard, A. Jardy, H. Combeau, F. Leclerc, and V. Rebeyrolle: Metall. Mater. Trans. B,
- 666 2014, vol. 45, pp. 51–7.
- 667 29 M.C. Schneider and C. Beckermann: Int. J. Heat Mass Transf., 1995, vol. 38, pp. 3455–73.
- 668
- 669
- 670

671 List of figures

672	FIG. 1. SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF A VAR FURNACE
673	FIG. 2. MELTING RATE OF THE FULL-SCALE ZY4 REMELTING TRIAL
674	FIG. 3. STIRRING SEQUENCE OF THE FULL-SCALE ZY4 REMELTING TRIAL. (A) COIL CURRENT THROUGHOUT THE MELT. (B)
675	DETAILED ALTERNATING STIRRING SEQUENCE. (C) EXAMPLE OF A COMPUTED COMPOSITION MAP (ZR CONTENT),
676	HIGHLIGHTING THE MELT POOL MARKINGS IN THE REMELTED INGOT9
677	FIG. <mark>4</mark> . Density of Zr as a function of temperature, from ^[26] (the vertical bar corresponds to Zr
678	MELTING POINT).
679	FIG. <mark>5. D</mark> ensity of Sn as a function of temperature, from ^[27] (the vertical bar corresponds to Sn
680	MELTING POINT).
681	Fig. 6. Case A – Computed pool profile and azimuthal velocity <mark>(m.s^{.1})</mark> map in the melt pool at 3 different
682	moments during the melt. (a) Time $ ext{t}_1$ - alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity. (b) Time $ ext{t}_2$ -
683	ALTERNATED STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY. (C) TIME T $_3$ - CONTINUOUS STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY 25
684	Fig. 7. Computed flow in the melt pool and mushy zone at time T_1 (alternated stirring, low azimuthal velocity).
685	LEFT SIDE IS THE TURBULENCE INTENSITY AND RIGHT SIDE IS THE MEAN FLOW REPRESENTED BY VECTORS (POOL UPPER
686	part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $BT = 0$,
687	$BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 2.03$ cm.s-1. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 1.60$ cm.s-1. (c) Case
688	C: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $VMAX = 1.82 \text{ cm.s-1}$. (d) Case D: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 10 \text{ cm}$
689	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 1$, $\text{VMAX} = 1.61 \text{ cm.s-1}$ 27
690	FIG. 8. COMPUTED FLOW IN THE MELT POOL AND MUSHY ZONE AT TIME T ₂ (ALTERNATED STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY).
691	LEFT SIDE IS THE TURBULENCE INTENSITY AND RIGHT SIDE IS THE MEAN FLOW REPRESENTED BY VECTORS (POOL UPPER
692	part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: bT $= 0$,
693	BSSN = 0, <mark>vmax = 2.53 cm.s−1</mark> . (b) Case B: bT = 4 · 10 − 5 °C − 1, bSSN = 0, <mark>vmax = 2.53 cm.s−1</mark> . (c) Case
694	C: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $VMAX = 2.50 \text{ cm.s-1}$. (d) Case D: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 10 \text{ cm}$
695	$5 ^{\circ}\text{C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$, $\text{vmax} = 2.51 \text{cm.s-1}$
696	FIG. 9. COMPUTED FLOW IN THE MELT POOL AND MUSHY ZONE AT TIME T ₃ (CONTINUOUS STIRRING, HIGH AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY).
697	LEFT SIDE IS THE TURBULENCE INTENSITY AND RIGHT SIDE IS THE MEAN FLOW REPRESENTED BY VECTORS (POOL UPPER
698	part) or streamlines (pool lower part and mushy zone) and the liquid fraction map. (a) Case A: $BT = 0$,
699	$BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 2.02$ cm.s-1. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = 0$, $VMAX = 1.93$ cm.s-1. (c) Case
700	C: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$, $BSSN = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 WT\% - 1$, $VMAX = 1.83 CM.S-1$. (D) CASE D: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 10 = 10 - 10 = 10 - 10 = 10 = 10 =$
701	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, BSSN = $3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 1$, VMAX = 2.00 cm.s-1

702	Fig. 10. Computed SN content map in the remelted ingot. (a) Case A: $BT = 0$, $BSSN = 0$. (b) Case B: $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 10$
703	$5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = 0$. (c) Case C: $\text{BT} = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \text{ °C} - 1$, $\text{BSSN} = -1 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ wt\%} - 1$. (d) Case D: $\text{BT} = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \text{ °C} - 1$
704	$10 - 5 \text{ °C} - 1$, BSSN = $3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 1$
705	FIG. 11. ZOOM VISUALIZATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF SOLUTE-DRIVEN CONVECTION AT TIME T_3 - CONTINUOUS STIRRING, HIGH
706	AZIMUTHAL VELOCITY. CASE D - BT = $4 \cdot 10 - 5$ °C $- 1$, BSSN = $3 \cdot 10 - 2$ WT% $- 1$. (A) Liquid fraction,
707	STREAMLINES AND SN CONTENT. (B) LIQUID FRACTION AND CONVECTIVE V ^L . $ abla T$ term
708	Fig. 12. Radial profiles of SN segregation (Ω SN – Ω OSN)/ Ω OSN at 2 heights in the remelted ingot. Experimental
709	(•) AND MODEL (-) RESULTS. LETTERS A, B, C, D CORRESPOND TO CASES LISTED IN TABLE 4
710	Fig. 13. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of SN segregation (Ω SN – Ω OSN)/ Ω OSNIN the remelted ingot.
711	EXPERIMENTAL (•) AND MODEL (-) RESULTS. LETTERS A, B, C, D CORRESPOND TO CASES LISTED IN TABLE 4
712	Fig. 14. Radially-averaged longitudinal profiles of chemical segregation $(\Omega N - \Omega O N)/\Omega O N$ in the remelted
713	ingot for elements Sn, Fe, O and Cr. Experimental (•) and model (-) results. Case D - $BT = 4 \cdot 10 - 5 \circ C - 1$,
714	$BSSN = 3 \cdot 10 - 2 \text{ WT\%} - 137$
715	Fig. 15. Influence of the dendrite Arm spacing λDAS on the computed SN macrosegregation map in the remelted
716	INGOT. (A) CASE D': λDAS = 67 μM. (B) CASE D: λDAS = 134 μM. (C) CASE D": λDAS = 268 μM
717	Fig. 16. Magnitude of the liquid velocity in the mushy zone as a function of liquid fraction at time t3 $$
718	(CONTINUOUS STIRRING STAGE)
719	FIG. 17. RADIAL PROFILES OF SN SEGREGATION $(\omega Sn - \omega 0Sn)/\omega 0Sn$ at 2 heights in the remelted ingot.
720	Experimental (•) and model results. Letters D, D' and D'' correspond to cases listed in Table 5
721	FIG. 18. COMPUTED SN CONTENT MAP IN THE REMELTED INGOT, (A) CASE D, (B) CASE E, (C) CASE F AND (D) CASE G. LETTERS
722	D, E, F, G CORRESPOND TO CASES LISTED IN TABLE 6
723	

725 List of tables

726	Table 1. Main constitutive equations of the CFD macromodel	11
727	TABLE 2. MAIN EQUATIONS OF THE SOLIDIFICATION MICROMODEL.	17
728	TABLE 3. THERMOPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF ZY4 USED IN THE SIMULATIONS	19
729	TABLE 4. DEFINITION OF THE FOUR SIMULATIONS RUN TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF THERMO-SOLUTE CONVECTION	23
730	TABLE 5. DEFINITION OF THE THREE SIMULATIONS RUN TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF DENDRITE ARM SPACING.	38
731	TABLE 6. DEFINITION OF THE FOUR SIMULATIONS RUN TO STUDY THE EFFECT OF GRAIN GROWTH KINETICS	41
732	TABLE 7. VALUES OF THE FOURIER NUMBER IN THE LIQUID AND SOLID PHASES FOR THE FOUR CASES (D, E, F, G). THESE	
733	Fourier numbers are estimated for two local solidification times (10 s and 1260 s). These values	
734	CORRESPOND TO POINTS LOCATED CLOSE TO THE SKIN OF THE INGOT AND FOR THE SECOND ONE TO A POINT LOCATED	<mark>AT</mark>
735	THE CENTER OF THE INGOT. BOTH OF THEM ARE CONSIDERED AT MID-HEIGHT OF THE INGOT.	43
736		