

# THE CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG INEQUALITIES FOR RADIAL FUNCTIONS

Arka Mallick, Hoai-Minh Nguyen

### ▶ To cite this version:

Arka Mallick, Hoai-Minh Nguyen. THE CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG INEQUALITIES FOR RADIAL FUNCTIONS. 2022. hal-03873114

HAL Id: hal-03873114 https://hal.science/hal-03873114

Preprint submitted on 26 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### THE CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG INEQUALITIES FOR RADIAL FUNCTIONS

#### ARKA MALLICK AND HOAI-MINH NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. We establish the full range of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities for radial functions in the Sobolev and the fractional Sobolev spaces of order  $0 < s \le 1$ . In particular, we show that the range of the parameters for radial functions is strictly larger than the one without symmetric assumption. Previous known results reveal only some special ranges of parameters even in the case s=1. Our proof is new and can be easily adapted to other contexts. Applications on compact embeddings are also mentioned.

#### Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Improvements of the fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities	5
2.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1	5
2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2	8
3. The Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities for radial functions in the fractional Sobole	ev
spaces	10
3.1. A useful lemma	10
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1	11
3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2	12
4. The Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities for radial functions in the Sobolev spaces	13
5. Applications to the compactness	15
References	16

MSC2010: 26D10, 26A54

Keywords: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, radial functions, compact embedding.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $d \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\tau \geq 1$ ,  $0 < a \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that

(1.1) 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d}, \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{d}, \ \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} > 0,$$

and the following balance law holds

(1.2) 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d}\right) + (1 - a)\left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}\right).$$

Define  $\sigma$  by

$$(1.3) \gamma = a\sigma + (1-a)\beta.$$

Assume that

$$(1.4) 0 \le \alpha - \sigma$$

and

(1.5) 
$$\alpha - \sigma \le 1 \text{ if } \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d}.$$

Caffarelli, Kohn, and Nirenberg [11] (see also [10]) established the following famous Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg (CKN) inequalities, for  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

(1.6) 
$$|||x|^{\tau}u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C |||x|^{\alpha} \nabla u||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a} |||x|^{\beta}u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a},$$

for some positive constant C independent of u. Quite recently, the full range of the CKN inequalities has been derived by Nguyen and Squassina [20] for the fractional Sobolev spaces  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with 0 < s < 1 and p > 1. More precisely, let  $d \ge 1$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ , and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume that

(1.7) 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = a\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - s}{d}\right) + (1 - a)\left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}\right),$$

and the following conditions hold

$$(1.8) 0 \le \alpha - \sigma$$

and

(1.9) 
$$\alpha - \sigma \le s \text{ if } \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - s}{d}.$$

Nguyen and Squassina [20, Theorem 1.1] proved, for some positive constant C,

i) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} > 0$ , then for all  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , it holds

ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} < 0$ , then for all  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ , it holds

In the case  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$ , a log-correction is required, and the conditions (1.8) and (1.9) are replaced by

$$(1.12) 0 \le \alpha - \sigma \le s.$$

Denote  $B_R$  the open ball centered at the origin with radius R. Assume additionally that  $\tau > 1$ . Nguyen and Squassina [20, Theorem 3.1] showed that there exists a positive constant C such that for all  $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for all  $R_1, R_2 > 0$ , we have

(i) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$  and supp  $u \subset B_{R_2}$ , then

$$(1.13) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^{\tau} (2R_2/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$$

$$\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p |x|^{\alpha_1 p} |y|^{\alpha_2 p}}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1 - a},$$

(ii) if 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$$
, and supp  $u \cap B_{R_1} = \emptyset$ , then

$$(1.14) \quad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\tau}(2|x|/R_1)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$$

$$\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p |x|^{\alpha_1 p} |y|^{\alpha_2 p}}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1 - a}.$$

Note that the conditions  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{d}$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d} > 0$  are not required in these inequalities. In the case a=1 and  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ , several special ranges of parameters were previously derived in [2,13,17]. These works are partially motivated from new characterizations of Sobolev spaces using non-local, convex functionals proposed by Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu [6] (see also [8]). Related characterizations of Sobolev spaces with non-local, non-convex functionals can be found in [7,9,18] and the references therein. The proof given in [20] (see also [21]) is new. It is based on the dyadic decomposition of the real space, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequalities for annulus, and a trick on summation processes to bring the information from a family of annulus to the whole space. Combining these ideas with the techniques in [19], which are used to prove new Sobolev's inequalities, we established the full range of Coulomb-Sobolev inequalities [16]. In the case s=1, inequality (1.6) also holds in the case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d < 0$ , and similar results as in (1.13) and (1.14) are valid in the case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ . We present these results in Section 4 (see Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2).

In this paper, we investigate the CKN inequalities for radial functions. We show that the previous results also hold for some negative range of  $\alpha - \sigma$  (compare with (1.4) and (1.8)). The fact that the range of the parameters of a family of inequalities can be larger when a symmetry condition is imposed is a well-known phenomenon, e.g., in the context of Stein-Weis inequalities [12, 22] and Coulomb-Sobolev inequalities [3,4]. Various compactness results can be established using the extended range and are useful in the proof of the existence of minimizers of variational problems. Also, these compactness results play important roles in the analysis of various interesting physical phenomena, see, e.g., [5, 14, 15, 24], and the references therein. It is quite surprising that very few results have been known for the extended range of the CKN inequalities for radial functions. The goal of this paper is to completely fill this gap for 0 < s < 1.

We first concentrate on the setting of the fractional Sobolev spaces. The following notation is used. For p > 1, 0 < s < 1,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Lambda > 1$ , open  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , and a measurable function g defined in  $\Omega$ , we set

(1.15) 
$$||g||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\Omega)}^{p} = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} \chi_{\Lambda}(|x|, |y|) \, dx \, dy,$$

where, for  $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ , we denote

(1.16) 
$$\chi_{\Lambda}(r_1, r_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \Lambda^{-1}r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \Lambda r_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The dot in the LHS of (1.15) means that only the information of the "semi-norm" is considered.

Our first main result is the following one dealing with the case where  $1/\tau + \gamma/d \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $d \ge 2$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Lambda > 1$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.7) and

$$-(d-1)s \le \alpha - \sigma < 0.$$

We have, for some positive constant C,

i) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} > 0$ , then for all radial  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it holds

$$|||x|^{\gamma}u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq C ||u||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{a} |||x|^{\beta}u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{1-a},$$

ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} < 0$ , then for all radial  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  which is 0 in a neighborhood of 0, it holds

$$||x|^{\gamma}u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq C ||u||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{a} ||x|^{\beta}u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{1-a}.$$

**Remark 1.1.** In (1.18) and (1.19), the following convention is used:  $+\infty.0 = 0.(+\infty) = 0$ ,  $(+\infty)^0 = 1$  (this corresponds to the case a = 1), and  $+\infty \le +\infty$ .

**Remark 1.2.** The condition  $\alpha - \sigma \ge -(d-1)s$  is in fact optimal, see Proposition 4.1.

**Remark 1.3.** Combing (1.10), (1.11), and Theorem 1.1 yields that, in the radial case, (1.10) and (1.11) hold if one replaces (1.8) and (1.9) by the condition  $-(d-1)s \le \alpha - \sigma$  and (1.9).

Concerning the limiting case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ , we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $d \ge 2$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu > 1$ , and  $\Lambda > 1$ . Assume that  $\tau \le \mu$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.7) and (1.17). There exists a positive constant C such that for all radial  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  and for all  $R_1, R_2 > 0$ , we have

i) if 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$$
 and supp  $u \subset B_{R_2}$ , then it holds;

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu} (2R_2/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \le C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \||x|^{\beta} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a},$$

ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$ , and supp  $u \cap B_{R_1} = \emptyset$ , then it holds

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^{\mu}(2|x|/R_1)} |u|^{\tau} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \le C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \||x|^{\beta} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a}.$$

**Remark 1.4.** The convention in Remark 1.1 is also used in Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1.5. If  $1/q + \beta/d > 0$ , by considering a smooth function u which is 1 in a neighborhood of 0 we can establish the necessity of the log-term in i) of Theorem 1.2. Similarly, if  $1/q + \beta/d < 0$ , by considering a smooth function u which is 1 outside  $B_R$  for some large R the necessity of the log-term in ii) can be established.

**Remark 1.6.** Combing (1.13), (1.14), and Theorem 1.2 yields that, in the radial case, (1.13), (1.14) hold if one replaces (1.12) by the condition  $-(d-1)s \le \alpha - \sigma \le s$ .

There are very few results known for the extended range of the CKN inequalities in the fractional Sobolev spaces for radial functions (the case s=1 will be discussed in the last paragraph of Section 4). It was shown by Rubin [22] (see also [3, Theorem 4.3]) that (1.10) holds under the assumption (1.17) and  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$  in the case where a=1,  $\tau \geq p=2$ , and  $\alpha=0$ . The same result was proved in [12, Theorem 1.2]. These proofs are based on inequalities for fractional integrations. Our proof is different and quite elementary. It is based on an improvement of the fractional CKN inequalities in one dimensional case and a simple use of polar coordinates. This strategy can be easily extended to other contexts. The improvement was implicitly appeared in [20] and will be described briefly later. The same idea can be applied to the case s=1 and will be presented in Section 4. Applications to the compact embedding will be given in Section 5. In particular, we derive the compact embedding of  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for radial functions if  $p < q < \frac{dp}{d-sp}$  for  $0 < s \le 1$  and sp < d. This result was previously obtained via various technique such as Strauss' lemma, Riesz-potential, fractional integration, Rubin's lemma, atomic decomposition, etc.

The paper is organized as follows. The improvement of the fractional CKN inequalities are given in Section 2. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are given in Section 3. The results in the case s = 1 are given in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the compactness results.

#### 2. Improvements of the fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities

In this section, we will establish slightly more general versions of the fractional CKN inequalities. These improvements appear very naturally in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 when polar coordinates are used.

We begin with an improvement of (1.10) and (1.11).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $d \ge 1$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Lambda > 1$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.7), (1.8), and (1.9). There exists a positive constant C such that

i) if 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} > 0$$
, then for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it holds

(2.1) 
$$||x|^{\gamma} u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C ||u||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a ||x|^{\beta} u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a},$$

ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} < 0$ , then for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  which is 0 in a neighborhood of 0, it holds

(2.2) 
$$|||x|^{\gamma}u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq C ||u||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{a} |||x|^{\beta}u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{1-a}.$$

Concerning an improvement of (1.13) and (1.14), we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $d \ge 1$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu > 1$ , and  $\Lambda > 1$ . Assume that  $\tau \le \mu$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.7) and (1.12). There exists a positive constant C such that for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  and for all  $R_1, R_2 > 0$ , we have

(i) if 
$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$$
 and supp  $u \subset B_{R_2}$ , then it holds

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu} (2R_2/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \||x|^{\beta} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a},$$

(ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$ , and supp  $u \cap B_{R_1} = \emptyset$ , then it holds

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu}(2|x|/R_1)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \le C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^a \||x|^{\beta} u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a}.$$

It is clear that Theorem 2.1 implies (1.10) and (1.11) and Theorem 2.2 yields (1.13) and (1.14). Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 were already implicitly contained in [20] where (1.10), (1.11), (1.13), and (1.14) were established. For the convenience of the reader, we will describe briefly the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in the next two sections respectively.

2.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** The proof is divided into two steps where we prove i) and ii) respectively.

**Step 1: Proof of** i). For simplicity of arguments, we assume that  $\Lambda > 4$  from later on  $^1$ . We first consider the case  $0 \le \alpha - \sigma \le s$ . As in [20], for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  set

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{A}_k := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \ 2^k \le |x| < 2^{k+1} \right\}.$$

Since  $\alpha - \sigma \ge 0$ , by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [20, Lemma 2.2] <sup>2</sup>, we derive that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the general case, one just needs to define  $A_k$  by  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \ \lambda^k \le |x| < \lambda^{k+1}\}$  with  $\lambda^2 = \Lambda$  instead of (2.5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> [20, Lemma 2.2] states for functions of class  $C^1$  up to the boundary but the same result holds for our setting by using the standard convolution technique.

$$(2.6) \quad \left( f_{\mathcal{A}_k} \left| u - f_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$$

$$\leq C \left(2^{-(d-sp)k} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dx dy\right)^{a/p} \left( \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{(1-a)/q}.$$

Here and in what follows in the proof of Theorem 2.1, C denotes a positive constant independent of u and k (and also independent of m, and n, which appear later), and  $f_{\Omega} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}$ . Since

$$2^{\tau\gamma k} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} |u|^{\tau} \le C 2^{(\tau\gamma + d)k} \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} \left| u - \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} + C 2^{(\tau\gamma + d)k} \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau},$$

using (1.7), we derive from (2.6) that

$$(2.7) \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} |u|^{\tau} |x|^{\tau \gamma} dx \leq C 2^{(\gamma \tau + d)k} \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \right|^{\tau} + C \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} |u(x)|^{q} |x|^{\beta q} dx \right)^{\frac{(1 - a)\tau}{q}}.$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $m \leq n-2$  and supp  $u \subset B_{2^n}$ . Summing (2.7) with respect to k from m to n, we get

$$(2.8) \int_{\{2^{m} < |x| < 2^{n+1}\}} |u|^{\tau} |x|^{\tau \gamma} \leq C \sum_{k=m}^{n} 2^{(\gamma \tau + d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \right|^{\tau}$$

$$+ C \sum_{k=m}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 below with  $\kappa = a\tau/p$  and  $\eta = (1-a)\tau/q$  after using the condition  $\alpha - \sigma \le s$  to check that  $\kappa + \eta \ge 1$ , we derive that

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k})}^{(1 - a)\tau} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s, p, \alpha, \Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{a\tau} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{(1 - a)\tau}.$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9) yields

$$(2.10) \qquad \int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} |u|^{\tau} |x|^{\tau\gamma} \le C \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} + C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a\tau} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

We next estimate the first term of the RHS of (2.10). We have, as in (2.6),

$$(2.11) \quad \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u - \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau} \leq C \left( 2^{(d-sp)k} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \times \left( \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |u(x)|^{q} \, dx \right)^{\frac{(1-a)\tau}{q}}.$$

With  $c = 2/(1+2^{\gamma\tau+d}) < 1$ , since  $c2^{\gamma\tau+d} > 1$  thanks to  $\gamma\tau + d > 0$  we derive from (2.11) that

$$(2.12) \quad 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} \le c 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)(k+1)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau}$$

$$+ C \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Summing this inequality with respect to k from m to n for large n, since u has a compact support in  $B_{2^n}$  and c < 1 thanks to  $\gamma \tau + d > 0$ , we derive that

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{n} 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \right|^{\tau} \leq C \sum_{k=m}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{d\tau}{p}} \times \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 below again and letting  $m \to -\infty$ , we obtain

(2.14) 
$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(\gamma \tau + d)k} \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} \leq C \left\| u \right\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a\tau} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.14) and letting  $m \to -\infty$ , we obtain (i) of Theorem 2.1. The proof of i) in the case  $0 \le \alpha - \sigma \le s$  is complete.

The proof of i) in the case  $\alpha - \sigma > s$  and  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} \neq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - s}{d}$  is based on the standard interpolation technique as in [11,20]. One just notes that, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\|u(\lambda\cdot)\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda^{s-\alpha-\frac{d}{p}} \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

since  $\chi_{\Lambda}(x,y) = \chi_{\Lambda}(\lambda x, \lambda y)$ , and

$$|||x|^{\gamma}u(\lambda\cdot)||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda^{-\gamma - \frac{d}{\tau}}|||x|^{\gamma}u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{and} \quad |||x|^{\beta}u(\lambda\cdot)||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \lambda^{-\beta - \frac{d}{q}}|||x|^{\beta}u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The details are omitted.

Step 2: Proof of ii). The proof of ii) of Theorem 2.1 is similar to that of i). We only deal with the case  $0 \le \alpha - \sigma \le s$  since the proof in the case where  $\alpha - \sigma > s$  and  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} \ne \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - s}{d}$  is only by interpolation and almost unchanged.

Assume  $0 \le \alpha - \sigma \le s$ . Let m be such that u = 0 in  $B_{2^m}$ . Similar to (2.10), we have

$$(2.15) \qquad \int_{\{|x| < 2^n\}} |u|^{\tau} |x|^{\tau \gamma} \le C \sum_{k=m}^n 2^{(\gamma \tau + d)k} \left| \oint_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} + C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a\tau} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

To estimate the first term in RHS of (2.15), one just needs to note that, instead of (2.12), we have with  $c = (1 + 2^{\gamma \tau + d})/2 < 1$  thanks to  $\gamma \tau + d < 0$ ,

$$2^{(\gamma\tau+d)(k+1)} \left| f_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau} \leq c 2^{(\gamma\tau+d)k} \left| f_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \right|^{\tau}$$

$$+ C \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}\cup\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}\cup\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}|x|^{\alpha p}}{|x-y|^{d+sp}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k}\cup\mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Summing with respect to k, we also obtain (2.14). The conclusion now follows from (2.14) and (2.15).

The following simple lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $\kappa, \eta \geq 0$  with  $\kappa + \eta \geq 1$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

(2.16) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |a_i|^{\kappa} |b_i|^{\eta} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} |a_i|\right)^{\kappa} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} |b_i|\right)^{\eta} \text{ for } a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.2.** As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we assume that  $\Lambda > 4$  for notational ease. In this proof, we use the notations in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We only prove the first assertion. The second assertion follows similarly as in the spirit of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $2^{n-1} \leq R_2 < 2^n$ .

Set

$$(2.17) \nu = \mu - 1 > 0.$$

Since  $\alpha - \sigma \ge 0$ , using (1.7), we also obtain (2.7). Summing (2.7) with respect to k from m to n, we obtain

$$(2.18) \int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} \frac{1}{\ln^{1+\nu} (2R_2/|x|)} |x|^{\gamma\tau} |u|^{\tau} dx$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{1+\nu}} \Big| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \Big|^{\tau} + C \sum_{k=m}^n \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x-y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} |||x|^{\beta} u||_{L^q(\mathcal{A}_k)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

As in (2.11), we have

$$(2.19) \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u - \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau} \leq C \left( 2^{(d-sp)k} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{dr}{p}} \times \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} |u(x)|^{q} dx \right)^{\frac{(1-a)\tau}{q}}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 below with  $c = (n - k + 1)^{\nu}/(n - k + 1/2)^{\nu}$ , we deduce that

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u \right|^{\tau} \le \frac{(n-k+1)^{\nu}}{(n-k+1/2)^{\nu}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau} + C(n-k+1)^{\tau-1} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_k} u - \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau},$$

since, for  $\nu > 0$ ,

$$(n-k+1)^{\nu}/(n-k+1/2)^{\nu}-1\sim \frac{1}{n-k+1}.$$

It follows from (1.7) and (2.19) that

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \right|^{\tau} \leq \frac{(n-k+1)^{\nu}}{(n-k+1/2)^{\nu}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau} + C(n-k+1)^{\tau-1} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x-y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

This yields

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{\nu}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \right|^{\tau} \leq \frac{1}{(n-k+1/2)^{\nu}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} u \right|^{\tau} + C(n-k+1)^{\tau-1-\nu} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}\cup\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}\cup\mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}|x|^{\alpha p}}{|x-y|^{d+sp}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k}\cup\mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

We have, for  $\nu > 0$  and  $k \leq n$ ,

(2.21) 
$$\frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{\nu}} - \frac{1}{(n-k+3/2)^{\nu}} \sim \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{\nu+1}}$$

and, since  $\tau \leq 1 + \nu$ ,

$$(2.22) (n-k+1)^{\tau-1-\nu} \le 1.$$

Summing (2.20) from m to n, and using (2.21) and (2.22), we derive that

$$(2.23) \sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{1+\nu}} \Big| \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k}} u \Big|^{\tau}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=m}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x-y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} \Big\| |x|^{\beta} u \Big\|_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.23), we obtain

$$\int_{\{|x|>2^{m}\}} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^{1+\nu}(2^{n+1}/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx 
\leq C \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}} \int_{A_{k} \cup A_{k+1}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p} |x|^{\alpha p}}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{a\tau}{p}} ||x|^{\beta} u||_{L^{q}(\mathcal{A}_{k} \cup \mathcal{A}_{k+1})}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 with  $\kappa = a\tau/p$  and  $\eta = (1-a)\tau/q$ , we derive that

$$\int_{\{|x|>2^m\}} \frac{|x|^{\gamma\tau}}{\ln^{1+\nu}(2^{n+1}/|x|)} |u|^\tau \, dx \leq C \|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{a\tau} \||x|^\beta u\|_{L^q(\bigcup_{k=m}^\infty \mathcal{A}_k)}^{(1-a)\tau}.$$

This yields the conclusion.

In the proof of Theorem 2.2, we used the following elementary lemma which was stated in [20, Lemma 3.2]. For the completeness, we give the proof below.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let M > 1 and  $\tau \ge 1$ . There exists  $C = C(M, \tau) > 0$ , depending only on M and  $\tau$  such that, for all 1 < c < M,

$$(2.24) (|a|+|b|)^{\tau} \le c|a|^{\tau} + \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}}|b|^{\tau} \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* The inequality is trivial when  $\tau = 1$ . We next only deal with the case  $\tau > 1$ .

Without loss of generality, one might assume that  $a \ge 0$  and  $b \ge 0$ . Inequality (2.24) is clear if a = 0 or b = 0. Thus it suffices to consider the case where a > 0 and b > 0. This will be assumed

from now on. Set x = a/b. Multiplying two sides of the inequality by  $a^{-\tau}$ , it is enough to prove that, for some C > 0,

$$(2.25) (1+x)^{\tau} \le c + \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}} x^{\tau} \text{ for } x > 0.$$

There exists  $x_0 > 0$  such that, for  $0 < x < x_0$ ,

$$(1+x)^{\tau} \le 1 + 2\tau x.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$c + \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}}x^{\tau} = 1 + (c-1) + \frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}}x^{\tau} \ge 1 + \frac{\tau-1}{\tau}(c-1) + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{C}{(c-1)^{\tau-1}}x^{\tau}.$$

Applying the Young inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}(c - 1) + \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{C}{(c - 1)^{\tau - 1}} x^{\tau} \ge (c - 1)^{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}} \frac{C^{\frac{1}{\tau}} x}{(c - 1)^{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}}} \ge 2x \quad \text{if} \quad C > C_1 := 2^{\tau}.$$

Thus (2.25) holds for  $0 < x < x_0$  for  $C \ge C_1$ .

It is clear that there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that (2.25) holds for  $x \ge x_0$  for  $C \ge C_2$ .

By choosing  $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$ , we obtain (2.25) and the conclusion follows.

**Remark 2.1.** Lemma 2.4 is stated in [20] for  $\tau > 1$ . Nevertheless, the result is trivial for  $\tau = 1$ .

### 3. The Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities for radial functions in the fractional Sobolev spaces

This section containing two subsections is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In the first subsection, we present a lemma which brings the situation in the radial case into the one of one dimensional space via polar coordinates. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in the second subsection by applying Theorem 2.1 in one dimensional space and using the lemma in the first subsection.

3.1. **A useful lemma.** The improvement forms of the CKN inequalities are inspired by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $d \geq 2$ , 0 < s < 1,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Lambda > 1$ , and let  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  be radial. We have, with  $\hat{u}(r) = u(r\sigma)$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and for r > 0,

(3.1) 
$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{u}(r_1) - \hat{u}(r_2)|^p r_1^{\alpha p + (d-1)} \chi_{\Lambda}(r_1, r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|^{1 + sp}} dr_1 dr_2$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p |x|^{\alpha p} \chi_{\Lambda}(|x|, |y|)}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx dy,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on d, s,  $\alpha$ , p, and  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* The proof is simply based on the use of the polar coordinates. Using these coordinates, we have

$$(3.2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x_1) - u(x_2)|^p |x|^{\alpha p} \chi_{\Lambda}(|x_1|, |x_2|)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{d+sp}} dx_1 dx_2$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}(r_1) - \hat{u}(r_2)|^p r_1^{\alpha p + (d-1)} r_2^{d-1} \chi_{\Lambda}(r_1, r_2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2}{|r_1 \sigma_1 - r_2 \sigma_2|^{d+sp}} dr_1 dr_2.$$

Since

$$|r_1\sigma_1 - r_2\sigma_2| = |(r_1 - r_2)\sigma_1 + r_2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)| \le |r_1 - r_2| + |r_2||\sigma_1 - \sigma_2|,$$

it follows that, for  $\Lambda r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \Lambda r_1$ ,

$$(3.3) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \frac{d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2}{|r_1 \sigma_1 - r_2 \sigma_2|^{d+sp}} \ge C \int_0^1 \frac{s^{d-2} ds}{\left(|r_1 - r_2| + |r_2|s\right)^{d+sp}} \ge \frac{C}{r_2^{d-1}|r_1 - r_2|^{1+sp}}.$$

The conclusion now follows from (3.2) and (3.3).

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Denote  $\hat{u}(r) = u(r\sigma)$  with r > 0 and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . We have, by polar coordinates,

(3.4) 
$$||x|^{\gamma}u||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|^{\frac{1}{\tau}}||r^{\gamma+\frac{d-1}{\tau}}\hat{u}||_{L^{\tau}(0,\infty)},$$

(3.5) 
$$||x|^{\beta}u||_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} = |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|^{\frac{1}{q}}||r^{\beta + \frac{d-1}{q}}\hat{u}||_{L^{q}(0,\infty)},$$

and by Lemma 3.1,

(3.6) 
$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{u}(r_1) - \hat{u}(r_2)|^p r_1^{\alpha p + d - 1} \chi_{\Lambda}(r_1, r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|^{1 + sp}} dr_1 dr_2$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p |x|^{\alpha p} \chi_{\Lambda}(|x|, |y|)}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx dy.$$

Extend  $\hat{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  as an even function and still denote the extension by  $\hat{u}$ . We have

(3.7) 
$$\||\xi|^{\gamma + \frac{d-1}{\tau}} \hat{u}\|_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R})} \sim \|r^{\gamma + \frac{d-1}{\tau}} \hat{u}\|_{L^{\tau}(0,\infty)}$$

(3.8) 
$$|||\xi|^{\beta + \frac{d-1}{q}} \hat{u}||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R})} \sim ||r^{\beta + \frac{d-1}{q}} \hat{u}||_{L^{q}(0,\infty)},$$

and

(3.9) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\hat{u}(\xi_1) - \hat{u}(\xi_2)|^p |\xi_1|^{\alpha p + d - 1} \chi_{\Lambda}(|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|)}{|\xi_1 - \xi_2|^{1 + sp}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2$$

$$\leq 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{u}(r_1) - \hat{u}(r_2)|^p r_1^{\alpha p + d - 1} \chi_{\Lambda}(r_1, r_2)}{|r_1 - r_2|^{1 + sp}} \, dr_1 \, dr_2.$$

Hereafter in this proof, two quantities are  $\sim$  if each one is bounded by the other up to a positive constant depending only on the parameters.

It thus suffices to prove

This is in fact a consequence of Theorem 2.1 in one dimensional case. To this end, let first rewrite the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 in one dimensional case. Let 0 < s' < 1, p' > 1,  $q' \ge 1$   $\tau' \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a' \le 1$ ,  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma' \in \mathbb{R}$  and define  $\sigma'$  by  $\sigma' \in \mathbb{R}$  by  $\gamma' = a'\sigma' + (1 - a')\beta'$ . Assume that

(3.11) 
$$\frac{1}{\tau'} + \gamma' = a' \left( \frac{1}{p'} + \alpha' - s' \right) + (1 - a') \left( \frac{1}{a'} + \beta' \right),$$

$$(3.12) 0 \le \alpha' - \sigma',$$

and

(3.13) 
$$\alpha' - \sigma' \le s' \text{ if } \frac{1}{\tau'} + \gamma' = \frac{1}{p'} + \alpha' - s'.$$

Then, if  $\frac{1}{\tau'} + \gamma' > 0$ , it holds (3.14)

 $\left\||x|^{\gamma'}g\right\|_{L^{\tau'}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \left\|g\right\|_{\dot{W}^{s',p',\alpha',4}(\mathbb{R})}^{a'} \left\||x|^{\beta'}g\right\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R})}^{1-a'} \text{ for } g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \text{ with compact support in } \mathbb{R},$  and if  $\frac{1}{\tau'} + \gamma' < 0$ , it holds

We are applying (3.14) and (3.15) with s'=s, a'=a, p'=p, q'=q,  $\tau'=\tau$ ,  $\alpha'=\alpha+\frac{d-1}{p}$ ,  $\beta'=\beta+\frac{d-1}{q}$ ,  $\gamma'=\gamma+\frac{d-1}{\tau}$ ,  $a\sigma'+(1-a)\beta'=\gamma'$ . Then clearly,

$$\frac{1}{\tau'} + \gamma' = \frac{d}{\tau} + \gamma, \quad \frac{1}{p'} + \alpha' - s' = \frac{d}{p} + \alpha - s, \quad \frac{1}{q'} + \beta' = \frac{d}{q} + \beta.$$

Hence (3.11) follows from (1.7).

We next compute  $\alpha' - \sigma'$ . Since  $a\sigma' + (1-a)\beta' = \gamma' = \gamma + \frac{d-1}{\tau}$  and  $a\sigma + (1-a)\beta = \gamma$ , it follows that

$$a(\sigma' - \sigma) = \frac{d-1}{\tau} - (1-a)(\beta' - \beta) = \frac{d-1}{\tau} - \frac{(1-a)(d-1)}{q}$$
$$= (d-1)\left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1-a}{q}\right) \stackrel{(1.7)}{=} a(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - \sigma - s}{d}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\alpha' - \sigma' = \alpha + \frac{d-1}{p} - \sigma - (d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - \sigma - s}{d}\right) = \frac{\alpha - \sigma}{d} + \frac{s(d-1)}{d}.$$

This yields that  $\alpha' - \sigma' \ge 0$  if and only if  $\alpha - \sigma \ge -s(d-1)$ .

The conclusion now follows from (3.14) and (3.15).

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.2.** The proof is in the same spirit of the one of Theorem 2.1. For the convenience of the reader, we briefly describe the main lines. Denote  $\hat{u}(r) = u(r\sigma)$  with r > 0 and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . We have, by polar coordinates,

(3.16) 
$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu}(2R_2/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{1/\tau} = |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|^{1/\tau} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^{\gamma \tau + d - 1}}{\ln^{\mu}(2R_2 r)} |\hat{u}|^{\tau} dr \right)^{1/\tau}$$

and

(3.17) 
$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu}(2|x|/R_1)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{1/\tau} = |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|^{1/\tau} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^{\gamma \tau + (d-1)}}{\ln^{\mu}(2r/R_1)} |\hat{u}|^{\tau} dr \right)^{1/\tau}.$$

Extend  $\hat{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  as an even function and still denote the extension by  $\hat{u}$ . Using (3.5) and (3.6), as in (3.10), it suffices to prove that if supp  $\hat{u} \subset B_{R_2} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then it holds

$$(3.18) \qquad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\xi|^{(\gamma + \frac{d-1}{\tau})\tau}}{\ln^{\mu} (2R_2/|\xi|)} |\hat{u}|^{\tau} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq C \|\hat{u}\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha + \frac{d-1}{p},\Lambda}(\mathbb{R})}^{a} \||\xi|^{\beta + \frac{d-1}{q}} |\hat{u}|^{1-a} \|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R})},$$

and if supp  $\hat{u} \cap B_{R_1} = \emptyset$ , then it holds

$$(3.19) \qquad \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\xi|^{(\gamma + \frac{d-1}{\tau})\tau}}{\ln^{\mu}(2|\xi|/R_1)} |\hat{u}|^{\tau} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq C \|\hat{u}\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha + \frac{d-1}{p},\Lambda}(\mathbb{R})}^{a} \||\xi|^{\beta + \frac{d-1}{q}} |\hat{u}|^{1-a} \|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

The conclusion now follows from Theorem 2.2 as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The details are omitted.

We next show the optimality of condition  $\alpha - \sigma \ge -(d-1)s$  given in (1.17).

**Proposition 3.1.** The condition  $\alpha - \sigma \ge -(d-1)s$  in (1.17) is necessary for the assertions in Theorem 1.1 to hold.

Proof. Let  $v \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with supp  $v \subset (0,1)$ . For large R > 0 define  $u_R(x) := v(|x| - R)$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Clearly,  $u_R \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with supp  $u_R \subset \mathcal{A}_{R,R+1}$ , where for any  $b, c \in (0, \infty)$ , with b < c, the set  $\mathcal{A}_{b,c}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{b,c} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : b < |x| < c \}.$$

We denote

$$\gamma' := \frac{d-1}{\tau} + \gamma, \ \alpha' := \frac{d-1}{p} + \alpha, \ \beta' := \frac{d-1}{q} + \beta.$$

One can check that

(3.20) 
$$||u_R||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,0,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C ||u_R||_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le CR^{\frac{d-1}{p}},$$

and since supp  $u_R \subset \mathcal{A}_{R,R+1}$ ,

$$(3.21) ||u_R||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le ||u_R||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{A}_{R\Lambda^{-1},(R+1)\Lambda} \times \mathcal{A}_{R\Lambda^{-1},(R+1)\Lambda}\right)} \le CR^{\alpha} ||u_R||_{\dot{W}^{s,p,0,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Combining (3.20) and (3.21) yields

On the other hand, one can check that

(3.23) 
$$||x|^{\gamma} u_R||_{L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim R^{\gamma'} \text{ and } ||x|^{\beta} u_R||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a} \sim R^{(1-a)\beta'}.$$

Therefore, if either (1.18) or (1.19) holds then using them for  $u = u_R$ , we conclude form (3.22) and (3.23)

$$R^{\gamma'} \leq C R^{a\alpha' + (1-a)\beta'}$$
 for  $R$  large and  $C > 0$  independent of  $R$ ,

which is possible only when  $\alpha - \sigma \ge -(d-1)s$ . The proof is complete.

## 4. The Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities for radial functions in the Sobolev spaces

In this section, we present the result in the case s=1. We first state variants/improvements of the CKN inequalities in the Sobolev spaces which follows directly from the approach given in [20] (see also the proof of Theorem 2.1). We begin with the case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $d \ge 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ , and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.2), (1.4), and (1.5). We have, for some positive constant C,

i) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} > 0$ , then for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it holds

ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} < 0$ , then for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  which is 0 in a neighborhood of 0, (4.1) holds.

Concerning the limiting case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ , one has the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $d \ge 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ ,  $0 < a \le 1$ , and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mu > 1$ . Assume that  $\tau \leq \mu$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.2) and

$$(4.2) 0 \le \alpha - \sigma \le 1.$$

There exists a positive constant C such that for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  and for all  $R_1, R_2 > 0$ , we

(i) if  $\frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$  and supp  $u \subset B_{R_2}$ , then

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu} (2R_2/|x|)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq C ||x|^{\alpha} \nabla u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})}^a \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a},$$

(ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$ , and supp  $u \cap B_{R_1} = \emptyset$ , then

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^{\gamma \tau}}{\ln^{\mu} (2|x|/R_1)} |u|^{\tau} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \leq C ||x|^{\alpha} \nabla u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})}^a \left\| |x|^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-a}.$$

We are ready to state the corresponding results in the radial case. We begin with the case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\tau \geq 1$ ,  $0 < a \leq 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.2) and

$$(4.5) -(d-1) \le \alpha - \sigma < 0.$$

We have, for some positive constant C,

- i) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} > 0$ , then for all radial  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , (4.1) holds; ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} < 0$ , then for all radial  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  which is 0 in a neighborhood of 0, (4.1)

Concerning the limiting case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d = 0$ , we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\tau \geq 1$ ,  $0 < a \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mu > 1$ . Assume that  $\tau \leq \mu$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.2) and (4.5). There exists a positive constant C such that for all  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  and for all  $0 < R_1 < R_2$ , we have

- i) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$  and supp  $u \subset B_{R_2}$ , then (4.3) holds. ii) if  $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{d} = 0$ , and supp  $u \cap B_{R_1} = \emptyset$ , then (4.4) holds.

Remark 4.1. The convention in Remark 1.1 is also used in Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3, and Theorem 4.4. In these theorems, the quantity  $||x|^{\alpha}\nabla u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\})}$  is also considered as infinity if  $\nabla u \notin [L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})]^d$ .

Remark 4.2. By similar considerations as in Remark 1.5, we can conclude that the log-term is necessary in Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 are direct consequences of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 in the one dimensional case. The proofs are as in the spirit of the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 but simpler where a variant of Lemma 3.1 is not required. The details are left to the reader.

Concerning the optimality of the condition of (4.5), we have the following result whose proof is similar to the one of Proposition 4.1 and omitted.

**Proposition 4.1.** The condition  $\alpha - \sigma \geq -(d-1)$  in (4.5) is necessary for the assertions in Theorem 4.3 to hold.

We end this section by mentioning what has been proved previously. In the case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ , under the following additional requirement (see [12, the first inequality in (1.8) and (1.10)])

$$\frac{a(\alpha - 1 - \sigma)}{d} + \frac{1 - a}{q} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} > 0,$$

assertion i) of Theorem 4.3 was previously proved in [12] by a different approach via the Riesz potential and inequalities on fractional integrations.

#### 5. Applications to the compactness

In this section, we derive several compactness results from previous inequalities for radial case. We only consider the case  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ . We begin with the following result.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $d \ge 1$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ , 0 < a < 1,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Lambda > 1$  be such that  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.7),

$$\alpha - \sigma > 0$$
, and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - s}{d} \neq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}$ .

Assume that the embedding  $W^{s,p}(B_1) \cap L^q(B_1)$  into  $L^{\tau}(B_1)$  is compact. Let  $(u_n)_n \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with compact support be such that the sequences  $(\|u_n\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  and  $(\||x|^{\beta}u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  are bounded. Then, up to a subsequence,  $(|x|^{\gamma}u_n)_n$  converges in  $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* One just notes that for  $\gamma'$  sufficiently close to  $\gamma$ , one can choose 0 < a' < 1 close to a such that the assumptions of Proposition 5.1 hold with  $(a, \gamma)$  being replaced by  $(a', \gamma')$ . This implies, by Theorem 2.1 (see also (1.10)) that, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small,

$$(\||x|^{\gamma+\varepsilon}u_n\|_{L^{\tau}})$$
 and  $(\||x|^{\gamma-\varepsilon}u_n\|_{L^{\tau}})$  are bounded.

The conclusion follows since the embedding  $W^{s,p}(B_R) \cap L^q(B_R)$  into  $L^{\tau}(B_R)$  is compact for R > 0.

In the case s=1, one has the following result, whose proof is almost identical and omitted.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $d \ge 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$ ,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ , 0 < a < 1, and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.2),

$$\alpha - \sigma > 0$$
, and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \neq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}$ .

Assume that the embedding  $W^{1,p}(B_1) \cap L^q(B_1)$  into  $L^{\tau}(B_1)$  is compact. Let  $(u_n)_n \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with compact support be such that the sequences  $(\||x|^{\alpha}\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  and  $(\||x|^{\beta}u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  are bounded. Then, up to a subsequence,  $(|x|^{\gamma}u_n)_n$  converges in  $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Here are the variants for radial functions, whose proof are almost the same and omitted.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $d \ge 2$ , 0 < s < 1, p > 1,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $\tau \ge 1$ , 0 < a < 1,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Lambda > 1$  be such that  $1/\tau + \gamma/d > 0$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.7),

$$\alpha - \sigma > -(d-1)s$$
, and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - s}{d} \neq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}$ .

Assume that the embedding  $W^{s,p}(B_1) \cap L^q(B_1)$  into  $L^{\tau}(B_1)$  is compact. Let  $(u_n)_n \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be radial such that the sequences  $(\|u_n\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p,\alpha,\Lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  and  $(\||x|^{\beta}u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  are bounded. Then, up to a subsequence,  $(|x|^{\gamma}u_n)_n$  converges in  $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $d \geq 2$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\tau \geq 1$ , 0 < a < 1, and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\sigma$  by (1.3). Assume (1.2),

$$\alpha - \sigma > -(d-1), \quad and \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{d} \neq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\beta}{d}.$$

Assume that the embedding  $W^{1,p}(B_1) \cap L^q(B_1)$  into  $L^{\tau}(B_1)$  is compact. Let  $(u_n)_n \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be radial with compact support such that the sequences  $(\||x|^{\alpha}\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  and  $(\||x|^{\beta}u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)})_n$  are bounded. Then, up to a subsequence,  $(|x|^{\gamma}u_n)_n$  converges in  $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

We obtain the following corollary after using the density of the radial functions  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the class of radial functions in  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** Let  $d \ge 2$ ,  $0 < s \le 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$  and sp < d. Assume that  $p < \tau < pd/(d - sp)$  and (p > 1 if s < 1). Let  $\gamma_1 > 0$  and  $\gamma_2 < 0$  be such that, for j = 1, 2,

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{d} < \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\gamma_j}{d} < \frac{1}{p}.$$

Then the embedding  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $L^{\tau}(|x|^{\gamma_j},\mathbb{R}^d)$  for radial functions is compact. As a consequence, the embedding  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the class of radial functions is compact.

**Remark 5.1.** The fact that the embedding  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into  $L^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the class of radial functions is compact is known, see e.g., [5,15,24] in the case s=1 and [23] in the case 0 < s < 1 (whose proof is based on the atomic decomposition). The ideas to derive the compactness as presented here are quite standard, see, e.g., [1].

**Data statement:** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] Jacopo Bellazzini, Rupert L. Frank, and Nicola Visciglia, Maximizers for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and related non-local problems, Math. Ann. **360** (2014), no. 3-4, 653–673. MR 3273640 16
- [2] Boumediene Abdellaoui and Rachid Bentifour, Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities of fractional order with applications, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 10, 3998–4029. MR 3626031 3
- [3] Jacopo Bellazzini, Marco Ghimenti, Carlo Mercuri, Vitaly Moroz, and Jean Van Schaftingen, Sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities in fractional Coulomb-Sobolev spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370 (2018), no. 11, 8285– 8310. MR 3852465 3, 4
- [4] Jacopo Bellazzini, Marco Ghimenti, and Tohru Ozawa, Sharp lower bounds for Coulomb energy, Math. Res. Lett. 23 (2016), no. 3, 621–632. MR 3533187 3
- [5] Henri Berestycki and Pierre-Louis Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 82 (1983), no. 4, 313–345. MR 695535 3, 16
- [6] Jean Bourgain, Haim Brezis, and Petru Mironescu, Another look at Sobolev spaces, Optimal control and partial differential equations, IOS, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 439–455. MR 3586796 3
- [7] Jean Bourgain and Hoai-Minh Nguyen, A new characterization of Sobolev spaces, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 343 (2006), no. 2, 75–80. MR 2242035 3
- [8] Haïm Brezis, How to recognize constant functions. A connections with Sobolev spaces, Volume in honor of M. Vishik, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 57 (2002), 59–74; English translation in Russian Math. Surveys 57 (2002), 693–708.
- [9] Haïm Brezis and Hoai-Minh Nguyen, Non-local functionals related to the total variation and connections with image processing, Ann. PDE 4 (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 9, 77. MR 3749763 3
- [10] Luis A. Caffarelli, Robert V. Kohn, and Louis Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), no. 6, 771–831. MR 673830 2

- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), no. 3, 259–275. MR 768824 2, 7
- [12] Pablo L. De Nápoli, Irene Drelichman, and Ricardo G. Durán, Improved Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg and trace inequalities for radial functions, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 11 (2012), no. 5, 1629–1642. MR 2911104 3, 4, 15
- [13] Rupert L. Frank and Robert Seiringer, Non-linear ground state representations and sharp hardy inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), no. 12, 3407–3430. MR 2469027 3
- [14] Elliott H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, Studies in Appl. Math. 57 (1976/77), no. 2, 93–105. MR 471785 3
- [15] Pierre-Louis Lions, Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev, J. Funct. Anal. 49 (1982), no. 3, 315–334.
  MR 683027 3, 16
- [16] Arka Mallick and Hoai-Minh Nguyen, Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg interpolation inequalities associated with Coulomb-Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal., to appear. 3
- [17] Vladimir Gilelevich Mazýa and Tatyana O. Shaposhnikova, On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 195 (2002), no. 2, 230–238. MR 1940355
- [18] Hoai-Minh Nguyen, Some new characterizations of Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006), no. 2, 689–720. MR 2230356 3
- [19] Hoai-Minh Nguyen, Some inequalities related to Sobolev norms, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 41 (2011), no. 3-4, 483-509. MR 2796241 3
- [20] Hoai-Minh Nguyen and Marco Squassina, Fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), no. 9, 2661–2672. MR 3771839 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13
- [21] \_\_\_\_\_, On Hardy and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, J. Anal. Math. 139 (2019), no. 2, 773–797. MR 4041120 3
- [22] Boris Rubin, One-dimensional representation, inversion and certain properties of Riesz potentials of radial functions, Mat. Zametki 34 (1983), no. 4, 521–533. MR 722223 3, 4
- [23] Winfried Sickel and Leszek Skrzypczak, Radial subspaces of Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel classes: extended Strauss lemma and compactness of embeddings, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6 (2000), no. 6, 639–662. MR 1790248 16
- [24] Walter A. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. 55 (1977), no. 2, 149–162. MR 454365 3, 16

(A. Mallick) Department of Mathematics

IISC, BENGALURU, INDIA

Email address: arkamallick@iisc.ac.in

(H.-M. Nguyen) LABORATOIRE JACQUES LOUIS LIONS,

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ

Paris, France

Email address: hoai-minh.nguyen@sorbonne-universite.fr