



**HAL**  
open science

## Reciprocal Regulation of Shh Trafficking and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Levels via a Noncanonical BOC-Rac1 Pathway

Marion Thauvin, Irène Amblard, Christine Rampon, Aurélien Mourton, Isabelle Queguiner, Chenge Li, Arnaud Gautier, Alain Joliot, Michel Volovitch, Sophie Vriz

► **To cite this version:**

Marion Thauvin, Irène Amblard, Christine Rampon, Aurélien Mourton, Isabelle Queguiner, et al.. Reciprocal Regulation of Shh Trafficking and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Levels via a Noncanonical BOC-Rac1 Pathway. *Antioxidants*, 2022, 11 (4), pp.718. 10.3390/antiox11040718 . hal-03872915

**HAL Id: hal-03872915**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03872915>**

Submitted on 26 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Reciprocal regulation of Shh trafficking and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels via a noncanonical BOC-Rac1 pathway

Marion Thauvin<sup>1,2,§</sup>, Irène Amblard<sup>1,2,§</sup>, Christine Rampon<sup>1,3</sup>, Aurélien Mourton<sup>1,2</sup>, Isabelle Queguiner<sup>1</sup>, Cheng Li<sup>4,#</sup>, Arnaud Gautier<sup>5</sup>, Alain Joliot<sup>1</sup>, Michel Volovitch<sup>1,6</sup>, Sophie Vriz<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Biology (CIRB), Collège de France, CNRS, INSERM, PSL Research University, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> Université de Paris, Faculty of Sciences, Paris, France

<sup>4</sup> PASTEUR, Department of Chemistry, École Normale Supérieure, Université PSL, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>5</sup> Sorbonne Université, École Normale Supérieure, Université PSL, CNRS, Laboratoire des Biomolécules, LBM, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>6</sup> École Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, Department of Biology, Paris, France

# Current address: (a) Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University; (b) State Key Laboratory of Oncogenes and Related Genes, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

§ Equal contributions

\*Correspondence: [vriz@u-paris.fr](mailto:vriz@u-paris.fr)

### Details of author contributions

AJ, MV and SV conceived the project and designed the experiments. IA, AJ, IQ, MT, and MV prepared the DNA constructs, cell lines and zebrafish lines used in this study. IA, AJ, AM, CR, MT and SV performed the experiments. AG and CL provided the HMBR and useful advices. IA, CR, MT, MV and SV analyzed the experimental data. IA, MV and SV wrote the paper with comments from all authors.

### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest



## Summary

Among molecules that bridge environment, cell metabolism, and cell signaling, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> recently appeared as an emerging but central player. Its level depends on cell metabolism and environment and was recently shown to play key roles during embryogenesis, contrasting with its long-established role in disease progression. We decided to explore whether the secreted morphogen Sonic hedgehog (Shh), known to be essential in a variety of biological processes ranging from embryonic development to adult tissue homeostasis and cancers, was part of these interactions. Here, we report that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels control key steps of Shh delivery and that physiological *in vivo* modulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels changes Shh distribution and tissue patterning. A feedback loop exists in which Shh trafficking controls H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis via a non-canonical BOC-Rac1 pathway, leading to cytoneme growth. Our findings reveal that Shh directly impacts its own distribution, thus providing a molecular explanation for the robustness of morphogenesis to both environmental insults and individual variability.

## Introduction

Hedgehog proteins (Hh in *Invertebrates* and Shh or paralogs in *Vertebrates*, collectively Hhs) are secreted morphogens playing key roles in biological processes ranging from embryonic development, proliferation, adult tissue homeostasis, and cancers (Briscoe and Therond, 2013; Ingham and McMahon, 2001; McMahon et al., 2003). Signaling by Hhs implies a complex protein journey that includes several steps incompletely characterized, and possibly depending on physiological context (Guerrero and Kornberg, 2014; Hall et al., 2019). Recently, we observed that Shh compartmentation was modified *ex vivo* by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Gauron et al., 2016), suggesting that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> could regulate Shh secretion process. Besides, preliminary data suggested that Shh controls H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels during cell plasticity and tissue remodeling in adults (Meda et al., 2016). Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) has long been exclusively considered as a deleterious molecule damaging cellular integrity and function. It is now becoming evident that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> also contributes to *bona fide* physiological processes notably through protein cysteine targeting (Holmstrom and Finkel, 2014; Sies, 2017; Sies and Jones, 2020). The physiological role of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in living systems has gained increased interest and has been investigated in different models of development and regeneration (Breus and Dickmeis, 2021; Coffman and Su, 2019; Rampon et al., 2018; Timme-Laragy et al., 2018). Several studies have revealed strong spatio-temporal variation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in live animals of various species (fly, nematode, zebrafish, *Xenopus*) during these processes (Albadri et al., 2019; Albrecht et al., 2011; Amblard et al., 2020b; Bazopoulou et al., 2019; Gauron et al., 2016; Gauron et al., 2013; Han et al., 2018; Katikaneni et al., 2020; Knoefler et al., 2012; Love et al., 2013; Meda et al., 2016; Mendieta-Serrano et al., 2019; Niethammer et al., 2009; Pak et al., 2020; Tao et al., 2017). The correlation between mild oxidative bursts and developmental events led to early suggestions of a mechanistic link between them (Covarrubias et al., 2008; Hernandez-Garcia et al., 2010; Sies, 2014). Since the patterning of a developing embryo relies on the graded activity of morphogens (Lander, 2007; Rogers and Schier, 2011; Turing, 1952), we decided to precisely determine by which mechanism H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> could regulate Shh trafficking. We set up a quantitative assay to measure the efficiency of

each step of Shh's journey and demonstrate that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> inhibits Shh secretion but enhances Shh internalization. Shh internalization per se enhances endogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels via a Rac1/NADPH oxidase pathway that induces filopodia growth and regulates Shh trafficking in an H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Shh feedback loop.

## **Results and Discussion**

### ***H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> affects Shh trafficking ex vivo***

To analyse the mechanism by which H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels could affect Shh trafficking, we used an *ex vivo* system enabling accurate quantification of this process. HeLa cells were chosen to avoid complex feedback loops: these cells do not express endogenous Shh or respond to the canonical Shh signaling pathway but otherwise express pathway components known to be involved in Shh trafficking (lipidation, access to the extracellular space, endocytosis, and delivery to receiving cells, [Supplementary Table S1](#)). Shh trafficking from producing to receiving cells is a circuitous journey. While some aspects are still a matter of debate, there is no doubt that cis-endocytosis in producing cells can be part of the process (Guerrero and Kornberg, 2014; Petrov et al., 2017). Making use of Shh constructs (mouse sequence) tagged according to (Chamberlain et al., 2008) to preserve the qualitative properties of the protein, we first verified that HeLa cells recapitulated the overall traffic of Shh ([Fig. 1A-D](#)). To distinguish different steps of the Shh journey ([Fig. 1A](#)), we exploited a double tag combining a fluorogen-activating peptide (YFAST) and a classical fluorescent protein (mCherry). Contrary to mCherry, which chromophore takes time to mature, YFAST fluoresces instantly after fluorogen addition (Plamont et al., 2016) allowing Shh detection from the beginning of its journey in the ER (step 1 in [Fig. 1A](#)) too early for mCherry detection. However, YFAST is sensitive to pH and cannot fluoresce in endosomes (step 2 in [Fig. 1A](#)), contrary to mCherry. When finally sent for receiving cells (step 3 in [Fig. 1A](#)) Shh should be detectable via both tags. Transfection of HeLa cells with such a construct indeed confirmed the usefulness of this cell line for our purposes ([Fig. 1B](#)). At a steady state, the majority of cells display diffuse

green staining, including the ER, as well as large red spots about the size of endosomes (Fig. 1B). HeLa cells with cellular protrusions associated with yellow puncta were found, indicating detection via both tags of Shh en route to receiving cells (Fig. 1B). The end of the journey was also easy to image by co-culturing transfected and untransfected HeLa cells. As shown in Fig. 1C, when transfected and untransfected cells were nearby, Shh-mCherry could be detected in the untransfected cells, and the arrangement of cytoplasmic protrusions between the two cells suggested a transfer occurring via filopodia.

To reach an adequate level of precision in the analysis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> effect on Shh trafficking, we needed to synchronize its secretion. We thus made use of the RUSH system (Boncompain et al., 2012), where Shh fused to the streptavidin binding peptide (SBP) is retained in the ER of cells expressing streptavidin fused to the KDEL retrieving signal until the addition of biotin. As shown in Fig. 1D, before biotin addition (t=0), Shh-SBP-AFP (mCherry here) was hooked in the ER, and biotin addition allowed us to calibrate the timing of the Shh journey using real-time imaging. It takes approximately 30 to 40 min for the bulk of Shh to reach the Golgi (very similar to many secreted proteins in these conditions, e.g., Wnt3a (Moti et al., 2019)), secretion is abundant from 1 h, localization in endosomes is conspicuous between 2 and 3 h, and detection in (or at the surface of) non-producing cells lasts up to 5 h and then disappears. HeLa cells thus display typical features of Shh trafficking and can be used to analyse the potential effects of modifying H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels if we have means to rigorously quantify Shh in different compartments at different steps of the process.

In addition, we recently developed (Amblard et al., 2020a) quantitative assays to track the different steps of a protein journey by combining the RUSH (Boncompain et al., 2012) and HiBit systems (Dixon et al., 2016) (HiBit assay, Promega) (Fig. 2). The HiBit system is constituted by a split luciferase: when in the same compartment, the two luciferase moieties, HiBiT (Sbi in plasmid names) and LgBiT (G/Lbi in plasmid names) may spontaneously assemble and restore the luciferase activity that can be measured by substrate addition. These assays are inducible, quantitative, and specifically adapted to protein trafficking. We then set up this quantitative assay to analyse separately each step of Shh's journey. This

strategy allowed us to determine the optimal time frame for analysing each step of Shh trafficking in cell culture, i.e. secretion, endocytosis, and delivery *via* filopodia. These quantitative results are consistent with our direct fluorescence microscopy observations (Fig. 1D) and were combined with redox modulation tools to test the hypothesis of the redox regulation of Shh trafficking.

First, we studied the effects of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on primary Shh secretion. To increase H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels, we expressed inducible D-aminoacid oxidase (DAO), which produces H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of D-Ala and is not expressed in HeLa cells (Haskew-Layton et al., 2010; Matlashov et al., 2014). For cells expressing DAO and treated with 10 mM D-Ala, we observed a specific reduction in Shh secretion, not observed with a secGFP construct taken as a control (Fig. 3A-B). This result is in close agreement with our previous observations: after oxidative treatment, cells showed reduced Shh secretion, and a pool of Shh was trapped in the Golgi apparatus (Gauron et al., 2016). Conversely, reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels by the direct addition of Catalase (CAT) in cell culture (Amblard et al., 2020b), enhanced Shh but not SecGFP secretion (Fig. 3A,C). Next, we applied the same treatments to study Shh endocytosis on cells incubated with conditioned media of Shh expressing cells. Compared to control conditions (secreted mCherry conditioned media), enhancing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels with DAO (by addition of D-Ala) stimulated Shh endocytosis (Fig. 3D-E). Conversely, the reduction in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels with CAT treatment reduced Shh endocytosis (Fig. 3D,F). Finally, we studied the effects of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> level modulation on Shh delivery to recipient cells in the co-culture assay. Cells expressing DAO and treated with D-Ala (but not untreated cells) showed increased Shh delivery to recipient cells (Fig. 3G-H), while a reduction in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels with extracellular catalase had the opposite effect (Fig. 3G,I). Altogether, these results indicate that physiological variations in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels impact Shh trafficking *ex vivo*. This raises the interesting possibility that the heterogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> distribution could polarize Shh secretion and endocytosis *in vivo*.

### ***H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels are dynamic in time and space in the embryonic spinal cord***



Between 25 and 45 h post fertilization (hpf) in zebrafish, Shh morphogen is first expressed in the medial floor plate (MFP) consisting of a single row of cells at the central midline, then extends to the flanking lateral floor plate (LFP), playing an important role in the neuroglial switch (Danesin and Soula, 2017). Using the improved H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ratiometric probe HyPer7 (Pak et al., 2020), we first measured H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in Shh-expressing cells in the spinal cord of live zebrafish embryos during this time window (Fig. 4). Quantitative analysis of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> signal demonstrated a regular and significant decrease (approximately 25%) in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in the MFP between 25 and 45 hpf (Fig. 4A-B). A close-up view of the MFP showed that, in addition to an overall decrease in concentration, the spatial distribution of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels varies over time (Fig. 4C-D). Time-lapse analysis of these cells revealed a dynamic intracellular distribution of the HyPer7 signal between 25 and 45 hpf. At the beginning and end of this period, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels are homogeneously spread throughout the cell. In between, however, a distinct gradient is transiently established from higher concentrations apically to lower concentrations basolaterally, and most marked at 31 hpf (Fig. 4C-D). Thus, during this neurogenesis period, when Shh induces oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels decrease over time and exhibit a transiently polarized distribution within MFP cells.

### ***H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> impacts filopodial formation and Shh cellular targets in the embryonic spinal cord***

Shh can be delivered to the receiving cells via filopodia, and it has been proposed that the length of filopodia impacts Shh distribution (Fairchild and Barna, 2014; Gonzalez-Mendez et al., 2019; Gradilla et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2019; Hall et al., 2021; Kornberg and Roy, 2014; Sanders et al., 2013). We used a double-transgenic fish 2.4Shha-ABC:Gal4FF; Shh-mChe<UAS>GFP-farn with bidirectional UAS-driven expression to visualize both Shh and plasma membranes in the MFP. Shh-mCherry was indeed detected along and at the tip of filopodia as shown at 48 hpf (Fig. 5A-A'). To test whether physiological modifications of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels could impact filopodia, we expressed DAO at the level of the plasma membrane in MFP cells (2.4Shha:Gal4; UAS:Igk-mb5-DAO-mCherry), and we injected D-Ala into the

spinal cord canal at 48 hpf. We then visualized the filopodia using the fluorescence of the membrane-bound mCherry (Fig. 5B) and quantified the number of filopodia per cell (Fig. 5C) as well as the length of the filopodia (Fig. 5D). Interestingly, enhancement of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels induced increases in both the number and the length of filopodia (Fig. 5C-D) *in vivo*, suggesting that mild modifications of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels could modulate Shh functioning in the neural tube. To test this hypothesis, we treated zebrafish larvae (2.4Shha:Gal4; UAS:HyPer7) with NOX-i (NADPH oxidase pan inhibitor) to reduce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels (Supplementary Fig. S1) from 30 hpf to 48 hpf. This reduction in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels induces a decrease in filopodia number in MFP (Supplementary Fig. S1). We then analysed the distribution of OPCs in the embryonic spinal cord at 72 hpf in (Olig2:EGFP) larvae (Fig. 5E-F). A small reduction in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels (approximately 10%) was sufficient to enhance by a factor of 2 the generation of OPC (Fig. 5F), known to depend on Shh activity (Al Oustah et al., 2014), without affecting *shh* expression (not shown). Thus, small modifications in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels not only modified the number and length of filopodia *in vivo* but also altered a Shh-dependent switch.

### ***Shh regulates H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels and filopodial growth via a non-canonical route***

After demonstrating that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> impacts Shh trafficking (Fig. 3) and signaling outcome (Fig. 5), we wondered whether this was integrated into a larger H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-Shh feedback loop, as first observed during adult zebrafish caudal fin regeneration (Meda et al., 2016). If this were the case, Shh's interaction with cells should itself impact H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels. We first observed that HeLa cells co-expressing HyPer and Shh-mCherry exhibited higher levels of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> than cells expressing HyPer and mCherry (Fig. 6A), suggesting that Shh *per se* affected H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> balance. Interestingly, untransfected neighbours of cells expressing Shh-mCherry also displayed increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels compared to neighbours of cells expressing only mCherry (Fig. 6A). This observation suggests a paracrine effect of Shh on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels. Moreover, when HyPer was addressed to the plasma membrane (Lck-HyPer), filopodia bearing Shh were readily identified, and high levels of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> consistently co-localized with Shh (Fig. 6B). To quantitatively

assess the impact of Shh on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels, we treated a stable cell line expressing Lck-HyPer with Shh-containing conditioned medium. This treatment induced a global increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels (Fig. 6C-D), which was accompanied by filopodia growth, as quantified by their cumulative length (Fig. 6E). Finally, we investigated the molecular pathway used by Shh to increase H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels. Smoothed involvement was highly unlikely since its mRNA was not detected in our RT-qPCR experiments (Supplementary Table S1). Indeed, cyclopamine (Shh-i), a Shh antagonist that specifically binds Smo, did not affect basal H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels and did not inhibit the Shh-induced H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> burst (Fig. 6F). In contrast, treatment with Nox-i efficiently inhibited Shh-mediated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> increase, demonstrating the involvement of NOX enzymes (Fig. 6F). Rac1 was an attractive candidate to bridge Shh and NOX in our system. It is ubiquitous, activates NOX2 (the only NOX complex present in HeLa cells, Supplementary Table S1), and is well known to regulate cytoskeleton dynamics (Acevedo and Gonzalez-Billault, 2018); in addition, its interplay with Shh and NOX1 was previously observed in a different system (Polizio et al., 2011). We used a Rac1 inhibitor (Rac1-i) to evaluate its involvement in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production induced by Shh treatment. As shown in Figure 6G, Rac1-i itself had no effect on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in untreated cells but was able to block the effect of Shh treatment. Rac1 thus sits at the crossroads of Shh activity on HeLa cells, leading both to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production via the NOX/SOD complex and to cytoskeleton remodeling that ultimately enables filopodium formation. A potential pathway to mediate Rac1 activation by Shh was recently published (Makihara et al., 2018): Shh-mediated axon guidance in the spinal cord depends on BOC receptor stimulation, leading to ELMO-Dock release and Rac1 activation. Since BOC, ELMO2 and 3, as well as several DOCK proteins, are expressed in HeLa cells (Supplementary Table S1), we tested this hypothesis using a pan-Dock inhibitor (CPYPP, Dock-i). As shown in Fig. 6G, DOCK-i *per se* did not affect H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels but blocked the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> increase induced by Shh. Moreover, simultaneous treatment with DOCK-i and Rac-i had no cumulative effect (Fig. 6G), suggesting that they belong to the same pathway. In summary, these experiments show that Shh induces a local increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in HeLa cells via a non-canonical route involving its receptor Boc and the Elmo-Dock pathway to activate Rac1.

As thoroughly discussed in Acevedo and Gonzalez-Billault (Acevedo and Gonzalez-Billault, 2018), this leads to NOX2 activation along the redox branch of Rac1 activity and membrane protrusion growth along the actin cytoskeleton branch. Very recently, Shh cytoneme formation in rodent cells was demonstrated to depend on another feedback loop, including a Disp-BOC co-receptor complex (Hall et al., 2021).

Signaling filopodia or cytonemes are a common route for the secretion of morphogens (Gradilla et al., 2018; Ramirez-Weber and Kornberg, 1999) and it was recently shown that several morphogens (Fgf2, Wnt8a, Wnt3a, Shh) are involved in a regulatory loop with cytoneme formation and growth, independent of their canonical pathway (Hall et al., 2021; Mattes et al., 2018). This happens during embryonic development, at a time when H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels are highly dynamic (Rampon et al., 2018).

We report here a mechanism by which physiological levels of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> adjust the trafficking of Shh, which, in turn, enhances H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels. As a consequence, local and timely H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production may polarize the journey of Shh by modifying the rates of Shh secretion and endocytosis as well as the regulation of filopodia. We speculate that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> could integrate the spreading of morphogens in a developing tissue, with different morphogens responding differentially to specific concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and, in turn, modifying H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels. Indeed, we already know that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> impact on trafficking differs between Engrailed (Amblard et al., 2020b) and Shh (this study). This interplay between morphogens and redox signaling is also likely to have a role in various pathologies (Sies, 2017).

In conclusion, we propose that environmental insults or individual genetic variations inducing very subtle differences in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels could impact morphogen distribution, resulting in inter-individual differences in organism patterning or disease susceptibility.

## **Materials and methods**

### *Fish husbandry and pharmacological treatments*

Zebrafish were maintained and staged as previously described (Amblard et al., 2020b). Experiments were performed using the standard AB wild-type strain. The embryos were

incubated at 28°C. Developmental stages were determined and indicated as hours postfertilization (hpf). The animal facility obtained permission from the French Ministry of Agriculture for all the experiments described in this manuscript (agreement no. C 75-05-12). To decrease H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels, embryos were incubated in VAS-2870 (NOX-i) (100 nM) from Enzo Life Sciences (#BML-EI395-0010, Enzo Life Sciences, Inc.; Farmingdale, NY, USA) or an equivalent amount of DMSO as a control for the duration of the time-lapse analysis. To enhance H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels D-Ala (Sigma-Aldrich, #A7377) (10 mM) was injected in zebrafish ventricles one hour prior H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels or filopodia analysis.

#### *Expression constructs, permanent cell lines, fish transgenic lines*

All recombinant DNA ([Supplementary Table 3](#)) were prepared by standard cloning methods. Plasmids and sequences are available on request.

Stable cell lines ([Supplementary Table 2](#)) were prepared under Hygromycin selection using the HeLa FlpIn-TREX cell line kindly provided by Stephen Taylor (Tighe et al., 2008) expressing the tetracycline repressor (T-Rex, Life Technologies).

Transgenic lines used in this study were: *2.4Shha-ABC:Gal4* (this article); *UAS:Igkmb5DAOmCherry* (this article); *UAS:Igkmb5CATmCherry* (this article); *UAS:Shh-mCherry*, *GFP-farn* (this article); *UAS:HyPer7* (this article); *olig2:GFP* (Shin et al., 2003). The transgenic fish lines were constructed as described (Urasaki et al., 2006) using pTol2 derivatives containing the appropriate promoter/enhancer and the SV40 late polyadenylation signal (SVLpA). *Shh:Gal4* contains the Gal4 DNA binding domain fused to 2 minimal activator sequences (Gal4BD-FF(Seipel et al., 1992)) inserted between the -2.4Shha promoter and ar-A, ar-B, ar-C Shha enhancers (a kind gift of R. Ertzer (Ertzer et al., 2007)). *UAS:HyPer7* contains HyPer7 sequence (Pak et al., 2020) down 5xUAS (derived from(Akitake et al., 2011)). *UAS:igkmb5-DAOmCherry* and *UAS:igkmb5-CATmCherry* contain, downstream the same enhancer and provided with a signal peptide (Igk) from kappa light chain and a minimal transmembrane domain (mb5) from CD4, fusions of mCherry (in C-terminal position) with either D-Aminoacid oxidase (DAO(Matlashov et al., 2014)) or mouse

Catalase deprived from its lysosome-targeting signal (CAT). For bidirectional expression the 5xUAS regulatory element was flanked by minimal promoter/5' UTR sequences from pCS2 on one side and CMV on the other side (Anton et al., 2018).

#### *Embryo live imaging and image processing*

The larvae were anesthetized in tricaine solution and embedded in low-melting agarose (0.8 %). Imaging was performed with a CSU-W1 Yokogawa spinning disk coupled to a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 inverted microscope equipped with a sCMOS Hamamatsu camera and a 10× (Zeiss 0.45 Dry WD: 2.1mm) or a 25× (Zeiss 0.8 Imm DIC WD: 0.57 mm) oil objective. DPSS 100 mW 405 nm and 150 mW 491 nm lasers and a 525/50 bandpass emission filter were used for HyPer7 imaging, and DPSS 100mW 561 nm laser and a BP 595/50 was used for mCherry imaging. Floor plate cells imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM 980 - AiryScan 2 confocal equipped with a AiryScan detector GaAsP-PMT and a 25× (Zeiss 0.8 Imm WD: 0.57mm) or a 40× (Zeiss 1.3 Oil DIC (UV) WD: 0.22mm) oil objectives. DPSS 10 mW 488 nm and 10 mW 561 nm lasers and respectively 517 nm and 610 nm AiryScan emission filters were used for GFP and mCherry acquisition. AiryScan SR mode was used and AiryScan-processed images were analysed. To calculate the HyPer ratio, images were treated as previously described (Mishina et al., 2013). For filopodia analysis, 48 hpf Tg(2.4Shha-ABC:Gal4-FF) larvae expressing Igkmb5-DAO-mCherry were used for fluorescence acquisition as described above, and one slice of each Zstack was extracted. Slices presenting the maximum filopodia number were selected for FiloQuant processing and analysis (Jacquemet et al., 2019).

#### *Pharmacological treatments*

To decrease H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels, cells were treated with extracellular Catalase (Sigma-Aldrich, #C1345, 400 U/mL). To increase H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels, cells expressing D-amino acid oxidase (DAO) were treated with 10 mM D-alanine (Sigma-Aldrich, #A7377) before the internalization or secretion assays were performed. To inhibit NOX activity, cells were pre-treated for 1 h with

10  $\mu$ M VAS-2870 (NOX-i; #BML-EI395-0010, Enzo Life Sciences, Inc.; Farmingdale, NY, USA) or an equivalent amount of DMSO as a control. To inhibit Rac1, cells were pre-treated for 6 h with 20  $\mu$ M NSC23766, a Rac1-inhibitor (Rac1-i; No2161, Tocris). To inhibit Dock release from Elmo, cells were pre-treated for 6 hours with 100  $\mu$ M CPYPP (DOCK-i; No4568, Tocris). To inhibit Shh signaling, cells were pretreated for 24 h with 10  $\mu$ M cyclopamine (Shh-i; #239803, Millipore).

#### *Quantitative secretion assay*

Cells (13,000 per well) were plated on 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-one) coated with polyornithine (10  $\mu$ g/mL). After 10 h, the cells were co-transfected with a plasmid expressing Shh-SBP-HiBiT (or a secreted control, SecGFP-SBP-HiBiT) under doxycycline control and a plasmid constitutively expressing the hook (core streptavidin-KDEL provided with a signal peptide). Some of these cells received an additional plasmid constitutively expressing a membrane-bound fusion between mCherry and D-Aminoacid Oxidase (Lck-Che-DAO). After 24 h medium was removed and cells were incubated with fresh medium containing doxycycline for 2 h (to induce HiBiT fusion expression). Medium was changed and secretion was induced with biotin (100  $\mu$ M final) and, after purified LgBiT protein addition in the medium, luciferase activity was measured 1 h later with a 96-well plate luminometer (Tristar, Berthold) as described in the HiBiT assay kit (Promega). The cells were then lysed to measure intracellular protein expression. Normalization with biotin-untreated wells enabled us to calculate the secretion index and report the secretion efficiency.

#### *Quantitative internalization assay*

Cells (90,000 per well) stably expressing LgBiT were plated in 24-well culture dishes. After 24 h, medium was changed and cells were incubated for 30 min with medium containing HiBiT fusions (taken from cells expressing Shh-HiBiT or SecCh-HiBiT for 48 h, and adjusted on protein Luciferase activity), before incubating the cells with trypsin and removing them.



After centrifugation cells were lysed and the luciferase activity of endocytosed protein was measured with a 96-well plate luminometer (Tristar, Berthold) with a HiBiT assay kit (Promega).

#### *Quantitative intercellular transfer assay*

Cells (90,000 per well) were plated in 24-well culture dishes. After 10 h, the cells were co-transfected with a plasmid expressing Shh-SBP-HiBiT under the control of doxycycline and a plasmid constitutively expressing Lck-Che-DAO. After 24 h, cells were removed using trypsin and co-cultured on 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-one) coated with polyornithine (10 $\mu$ g/ml) with cells stably expressing LgBiT anchored to the extracellular side of the cell surface (siL-LgBiT-mb5). After 5 h, cells were incubated with fresh medium containing doxycycline for 2 h (to induce Shh-SBP-HiBiT expression). Medium was then changed and secretion was induced with biotin (100  $\mu$ M final), and luciferase activity was measured every 1 h over 4 h with a 96-well plate luminometer (Tristar, Berthold) as described in the HiBit assay kit (Promega).

#### *H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> imaging with the HyPer probe in HeLa cells*

HyPer fluorescence was excited with DPSS 10 mW 488 nm and 10 mW 405 nm lasers, and the corresponding YFP emission was measured using a 525/50 bandpass emission filter. Spinning-disk images were acquired using a 63 $\times$  objective (63 $\times$ /1.4 oil WD: 0.17 mm) on a Spinning-Disk CSU-W1 (Yokogawa) equipped with a sCMOS Hamamatsu 2048 $\times$ 2048 camera. To calculate the HyPer ratio, images were treated as previously described (Mishina et al., 2013).

#### *Quantification and statistics*

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6 and expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance was calculated using a 2-sided paired Student's *t*-test. For multiple conditions, ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple



comparison test was performed to evaluate the significant differences. For filopodia analysis, statistical errors (SD) were estimated as  $\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}$ , where p is the percentage in a class and n is the total number investigated (or  $\sqrt{1/n}$  when p=0 or 1). The degree of significance was represented as follows: \* p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\* p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\* p-value  $\leq 0.001$ ; and \*\*\*\* p-value  $\leq 0.0001$ . Sample sizes and number of replicates are given in [Supplementary Table 4](#).

### **Acknowledgements and funding sources**

We thank Helmut Sies (Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, D) for helpful discussions, Sylvie Schneider-Maunoury (Sorbone Université, F) and Christine Vesque (Sorbone Université, F) for fruitful comments on the manuscript. This work was performed at the Collège de France and benefited from Alain Prochiantz's constant support. The authors are grateful to Stephen Taylor (University of Manchester, UK) for providing the HeLa Flp-In cell and to Ariel Ruiz i Altaba (Université de Genève, CH) for providing mouse Shh and mouse Shh::GFP expressing plasmids, the second one being derived from an original construct from Andrew McMahon's laboratory (Harvard, USA). This work received support under the program "Investissements d'Avenir" launched by the French Government and implemented by the ANR with the following references: ANR-10-LABX-54 MEMO LIFE - ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02 PSL\* Research University.

### **References**

- Acevedo, A., and Gonzalez-Billault, C. (2018). Crosstalk between Rac1-mediated actin regulation and ROS production. *Free Radic Biol Med* *116*, 101-113.
- Akitake, C.M., Macurak, M., Halpern, M.E., and Goll, M.G. (2011). Transgenerational analysis of transcriptional silencing in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* *352*, 191-201.
- Al Oustah, A., Danesin, C., Khouri-Farah, N., Farreny, M.A., Escalas, N., Cochard, P., Glise, B., and Soula, C. (2014). Dynamics of sonic hedgehog signaling in the ventral spinal cord are controlled by intrinsic changes in source cells requiring sulfatase 1. *Development* *141*, 1392-1403.
- Albadri, S., Naso, F., Thauvin, M., Gauron, C., Parolin, C., Duroire, K., Vouigny, J., Fiori, J., Boga, C., Vriza, S., *et al.* (2019). Redox Signaling via Lipid Peroxidation Regulates Retinal Progenitor Cell Differentiation. *Dev Cell* *50*, 73-89 e76.

- Albrecht, S.C., Barata, A.G., Grosshans, J., Teleman, A.A., and Dick, T.P. (2011). In vivo mapping of hydrogen peroxide and oxidized glutathione reveals chemical and regional specificity of redox homeostasis. *Cell Metab* *14*, 819-829.
- Amblard, I., Dupont, E., Alves, I., Miralves, J., Queguiner, I., and Joliot, A. (2020a). Bidirectional transfer of Engrailed homeoprotein across the plasma membrane requires PIP2. *J Cell Sci.* *133*, jcs244327.
- Amblard, I., Thauvin, M., Rampon, C., Queguiner, I., Pak, V.V., Belousov, V., Prochiantz, A., Volovitch, M., Joliot, A., and Vriza, S. (2020b). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Engrailed 2 paracrine activity synergize to shape the zebrafish optic tectum. *Commun Biol* *3*, 536.
- Anton, K.A., Kajita, M., Narumi, R., Fujita, Y., and Tada, M. (2018). Src-transformed cells hijack mitosis to extrude from the epithelium. *Nat Commun* *9*, 4695.
- Bazopoulou, D., Knoefler, D., Zheng, Y., Ulrich, K., Oleson, B.J., Xie, L., Kim, M., Kaufmann, A., Lee, Y.T., Dou, Y., *et al.* (2019). Developmental ROS individualizes organismal stress resistance and lifespan. *Nature* *576*, 301-305.
- Boncompain, G., Divoux, S., Gareil, N., de Forges, H., Lescure, A., Latreche, L., Mercanti, V., Jollivet, F., Raposo, G., and Perez, F. (2012). Synchronization of secretory protein traffic in populations of cells. *Nat Methods* *9*, 493-498.
- Breus, O., and Dickmeis, T. (2021). Genetically encoded thiol redox-sensors in the zebrafish model: lessons for embryonic development and regeneration. *Biol Chem* *402*, 363-378.
- Briscoe, J., and Therond, P.P. (2013). The mechanisms of Hedgehog signalling and its roles in development and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* *14*, 416-429.
- Chamberlain, C.E., Jeong, J., Guo, C., Allen, B.L., and McMahon, A.P. (2008). Notochord-derived Shh concentrates in close association with the apically positioned basal body in neural target cells and forms a dynamic gradient during neural patterning. *Development* *135*, 1097-1106.
- Coffman, J.A., and Su, Y.H. (2019). Redox regulation of development and regeneration. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* *57*, 9-15.
- Covarrubias, L., Hernandez-Garcia, D., Schnabel, D., Salas-Vidal, E., and Castro-Obregon, S. (2008). Function of reactive oxygen species during animal development: passive or active? *Dev Biol* *320*, 1-11.
- Danesin, C., and Soula, C. (2017). Moving the Shh Source over Time: What Impact on Neural Cell Diversification in the Developing Spinal Cord? *J Dev Biol* *5*.
- Dixon, A.S., Schwinn, M.K., Hall, M.P., Zimmerman, K., Otto, P., Lubben, T.H., Butler, B.L., Binkowski, B.F., Machleidt, T., Kirkland, T.A., *et al.* (2016). NanoLuc Complementation Reporter Optimized for Accurate Measurement of Protein Interactions in Cells. *ACS Chem Biol* *11*, 400-408.
- Ertzer, R., Muller, F., Hadzhiev, Y., Rathnam, S., Fischer, N., Rastegar, S., and Strahle, U. (2007). Cooperation of sonic hedgehog enhancers in midline expression. *Dev Biol* *301*, 578-589.
- Fairchild, C.L., and Barna, M. (2014). Specialized filopodia: at the 'tip' of morphogen transport and vertebrate tissue patterning. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* *27*, 67-73.
- Gauron, C., Meda, F., Dupont, E., Albadri, S., Quenech'Du, N., Ipendey, E., Volovitch, M., Del Bene, F., Joliot, A., Rampon, C., *et al.* (2016). Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) controls axon pathfinding during zebrafish development. *Dev Biol* *414*, 133-141.
- Gauron, C., Rampon, C., Bouzaffour, M., Ipendey, E., Teillon, J., Volovitch, M., and Vriza, S. (2013). Sustained production of ROS triggers compensatory proliferation and is required for regeneration to proceed. *Sci Rep* *3*, 2084.

- Gonzalez-Mendez, L., Gradilla, A.C., and Guerrero, I. (2019). The cytoneme connection: direct long-distance signal transfer during development. *Development* *146*.
- Gradilla, A.C., Sanchez-Hernandez, D., Brunt, L., and Scholpp, S. (2018). From top to bottom: Cell polarity in Hedgehog and Wnt trafficking. *BMC Biol* *16*, 37.
- Guerrero, I., and Kornberg, T.B. (2014). Hedgehog and its circuitous journey from producing to target cells. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* *33*, 52-62.
- Hall, E.T., Cleverdon, E.R., and Ogden, S.K. (2019). Dispatching Sonic Hedgehog: Molecular Mechanisms Controlling Deployment. *Trends Cell Biol* *29*, 385-395.
- Hall, E.T., Dillard, M.E., Stewart, D.P., Zhang, Y., Wagner, B., Levine, R.M., Pruett-Miller, S.M., Sykes, A., Temirov, J., Cheney, R.E., *et al.* (2021). Cytoneme delivery of Sonic Hedgehog from ligand-producing cells requires Myosin 10 and a Dispatched-BOC/CDON co-receptor complex. *Elife* *10*.
- Han, Y., Ishibashi, S., Iglesias-Gonzalez, J., Chen, Y., Love, N.R., and Amaya, E. (2018). Ca(2+)-Induced Mitochondrial ROS Regulate the Early Embryonic Cell Cycle. *Cell Rep* *22*, 218-231.
- Haskew-Layton, R.E., Payappilly, J.B., Smirnova, N.A., Ma, T.C., Chan, K.K., Murphy, T.H., Guo, H., Langley, B., Sultana, R., Butterfield, D.A., *et al.* (2010). Controlled enzymatic production of astrocytic hydrogen peroxide protects neurons from oxidative stress via an Nrf2-independent pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* *107*, 17385-17390.
- Hernandez-Garcia, D., Wood, C.D., Castro-Obregon, S., and Covarrubias, L. (2010). Reactive oxygen species: A radical role in development? *Free Radic Biol Med* *49*, 130-143.
- Holmstrom, K.M., and Finkel, T. (2014). Cellular mechanisms and physiological consequences of redox-dependent signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* *15*, 411-421.
- Ingham, P.W., and McMahon, A.P. (2001). Hedgehog signaling in animal development: paradigms and principles. *Genes Dev* *15*, 3059-3087.
- Jacquemet, G., Hamidi, H., and Ivaska, J. (2019). Filopodia Quantification Using FiloQuant. *Methods Mol Biol* *2040*, 359-373.
- Katikaneni, A., Jelcic, M., Gerlach, G.F., Ma, Y., Overholtzer, M., and Niethammer, P. (2020). Lipid peroxidation regulates long-range wound detection through 5-lipoxygenase in zebrafish. *Nat Cell Biol* *22*, 1049-55.
- Knoefler, D., Thamsen, M., Koniczek, M., Niemuth, N.J., Diederich, A.K., and Jakob, U. (2012). Quantitative in vivo redox sensors uncover oxidative stress as an early event in life. *Mol Cell* *47*, 767-776.
- Kornberg, T.B., and Roy, S. (2014). Cytonemes as specialized signaling filopodia. *Development* *141*, 729-736.
- Lander, A.D. (2007). Morpheus unbound: reimagining the morphogen gradient. *Cell* *128*, 245-256.
- Love, N.R., Chen, Y., Ishibashi, S., Kritsiligkou, P., Lea, R., Koh, Y., Gallop, J.L., Dorey, K., and Amaya, E. (2013). Amputation-induced reactive oxygen species are required for successful *Xenopus* tadpole tail regeneration. *Nat Cell Biol* *15*, 222-228.
- Makihara, S., Morin, S., Ferent, J., Cote, J.F., Yam, P.T., and Charron, F. (2018). Polarized Dock Activity Drives Shh-Mediated Axon Guidance. *Dev Cell* *46*, 410-425 e417.
- Matlashov, M.E., Belousov, V.V., and Enikolopov, G. (2014). How much H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is produced by recombinant D-amino acid oxidase in mammalian cells? *Antioxid Redox Signal* *20*, 1039-1044.


- Mattes, B., Dang, Y., Greicius, G., Kaufmann, L.T., Prunsche, B., Rosenbauer, J., Stegmaier, J., Mikut, R., Ozbek, S., Nienhaus, G.U., *et al.* (2018). Wnt/PCP controls spreading of Wnt/beta-catenin signals by cytonemes in vertebrates. *Elife* 7.
- McMahon, A.P., Ingham, P.W., and Tabin, C.J. (2003). Developmental roles and clinical significance of hedgehog signaling. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 53, 1-114.
- Meda, F., Gauron, C., Rampon, C., Teillon, J., Volovitch, M., and Vriza, S. (2016). Nerves Control Redox Levels in Mature Tissues Through Schwann Cells and Hedgehog Signaling. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 24, 299-311.
- Mendieta-Serrano, M.A., Mendez-Cruz, F.J., Antunez-Mojica, M., Schnabel, D., Alvarez, L., Cardenas, L., Lomeli, H., Ruiz-Santesteban, J.A., and Salas-Vidal, E. (2019). NADPH-Oxidase-derived reactive oxygen species are required for cytoskeletal organization, proper localization of E-cadherin and cell motility during zebrafish epiboly. *Free Radic Biol Med* 130, 82-98.
- Mishina, N.M., Markvicheva, K.N., Bilan, D.S., Matlashov, M.E., Shirmanova, M.V., Liebl, D., Schultz, C., Lukyanov, S., and Belousov, V.V. (2013). Visualization of intracellular hydrogen peroxide with HyPer, a genetically encoded fluorescent probe. *Methods Enzymol* 526, 45-59.
- Moti, N., Yu, J., Boncompain, G., Perez, F., and Virshup, D.M. (2019). Wnt traffic from endoplasmic reticulum to filopodia. *PLoS One* 14, e0212711.
- Niethammer, P., Grabher, C., Look, A.T., and Mitchison, T.J. (2009). A tissue-scale gradient of hydrogen peroxide mediates rapid wound detection in zebrafish. *Nature* 459, 996-999.
- Pak, V.V., Ezerina, D., Lyublinskaya, O.G., Pedre, B., Tyurin-Kuzmin, P.A., Mishina, N.M., Thauvin, M., Young, D., Wahni, K., Martinez Gache, S.A., *et al.* (2020). Ultrasensitive Genetically Encoded Indicator for Hydrogen Peroxide Identifies Roles for the Oxidant in Cell Migration and Mitochondrial Function. *Cell Metab* 31, 642-653 e646.
- Petrov, K., Wierbowski, B.M., and Salic, A. (2017). Sending and Receiving Hedgehog Signals. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 33, 145-168.
- Plamont, M.A., Billon-Denis, E., Maurin, S., Gauron, C., Pimenta, F.M., Specht, C.G., Shi, J., Querard, J., Pan, B., Rossignol, J., *et al.* (2016). Small fluorescence-activating and absorption-shifting tag for tunable protein imaging in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113, 497-502.
- Polizio, A.H., Chinchilla, P., Chen, X., Manning, D.R., and Riobo, N.A. (2011). Sonic Hedgehog activates the GTPases Rac1 and RhoA in a Gli-independent manner through coupling of smoothed to Gi proteins. *Sci Signal* 4, pt7.
- Ramirez-Weber, F.A., and Kornberg, T.B. (1999). Cytonemes: cellular processes that project to the principal signaling center in *Drosophila* imaginal discs. *Cell* 97, 599-607.
- Rampon, C., Volovitch, M., Joliot, A., and Vriza, S. (2018). Hydrogen Peroxide and Redox Regulation of Developments. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 7, 159.
- Rogers, K.W., and Schier, A.F. (2011). Morphogen gradients: from generation to interpretation. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 27, 377-407.
- Sanders, T.A., Llagostera, E., and Barna, M. (2013). Specialized filopodia direct long-range transport of SHH during vertebrate tissue patterning. *Nature* 497, 628-632.
- Seipel, K., Georgiev, O., and Schaffner, W. (1992). Different activation domains stimulate transcription from remote ('enhancer') and proximal ('promoter') positions. *EMBO J* 11, 4961-4968.
- Shin, J., Park, H.C., Topczewska, J.M., Mawdsley, D.J., and Appel, B. (2003). Neural cell fate analysis in zebrafish using olig2 BAC transgenics. *Methods Cell Sci* 25, 7-14.

- Sies, H. (2014). Role of metabolic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generation: redox signaling and oxidative stress. *J Biol Chem* *289*, 8735-8741.
- Sies, H. (2017). Hydrogen peroxide as a central redox signaling molecule in physiological oxidative stress: Oxidative eustress. *Redox Biol* *11*, 613-619.
- Sies, H., and Jones, D.P. (2020). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as pleiotropic physiological signalling agents. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* *21*, 363-83..
- Tao, R., Zhao, Y., Chu, H., Wang, A., Zhu, J., Chen, X., Zou, Y., Shi, M., Liu, R., Su, N., *et al.* (2017). Genetically encoded fluorescent sensors reveal dynamic regulation of NADPH metabolism. *Nat Methods* *14*, 720-728.
- Tighe, A., Staples, O., and Taylor, S. (2008). Mps1 kinase activity restrains anaphase during an unperturbed mitosis and targets Mad2 to kinetochores. *J Cell Biol* *181*, 893-901.
- Timme-Laragy, A.R., Hahn, M.E., Hansen, J.M., Rastogi, A., and Roy, M.A. (2018). Redox stress and signaling during vertebrate embryonic development: Regulation and responses. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* *80*, 17-28.
- Turing, A. (1952). The Chemical Basis of Morphogenesis. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society B* *237*, 37-72.
- Urasaki, A., Morvan, G., and Kawakami, K. (2006). Functional dissection of the Tol2 transposable element identified the minimal cis-sequence and a highly repetitive sequence in the subterminal region essential for transposition. *Genetics* *174*, 639-649.

## Figures

### Fig.1 HeLa cells recapitulate typical events of Shh trafficking *ex vivo*


(A) Schematic view of important steps analysed in HeLa cells: 1) first secretion; 2) endocytosis; 3) dispatching to receiving cells. (B) Double tagging with YFAST and mCherry allows the detection of Shh in three different compartments. Left panel: in a steady state, a cell producing Shh-YFAST-mCherry exhibits both a diffuse green signal (YFAST detected early in the endoplasmic reticulum, when mCherry has not yet matured) and an abundant red vesicular signal (mCherry detected in the endosomes, where the pH prevents YFAST detection) and in filopodia where both tags are detected (B'). (C) In co-culture, pairs of Shh-producing (mCherry signal) and non-producing cells often display filopodia between them. Large red dots in the non-producing cell indicate Shh transfer to recipient cells, and their position suggests delivery via the filopodia (white arrows in the enlarged inset in C'). (D) Shh-Sbp-mCherry secretion synchronized with the RUSH system displays classic timing: Shh is correctly hooked in the endoplasmic reticulum before biotin addition, reaches the Golgi by 30-40 min after biotin addition, is secreted at approximately 1 h, can be easily detected within endosomes of the producing cell at 2 h, and can be visualized in non-producing cells at 4 h. Scale bars, 10  $\mu$ m.






## Fig. 2 Quantitative monitoring of the journey of Shh *ex vivo*.

(A-C) Quantifying Shh secretion by HeLa cells. (A, B) Schematic representation of the tools (A) and assay (B). (A) Strepta: core streptavidin linked to the KDEL hook; SBP: streptavidin binding protein domain linked to Shh or secGFP; SBi: small fragment of split nanoluciferase (HiBiT) linked to Shh or secGFP; inactive nanoLuc: large fragment of split nanoluciferase (LgBiT); active nanoLuc: reconstituted nanoluciferase. (B) Synchronized HeLa cells constitutively express the hook, and they express Shh fused to SBP and HiBiT (Shh-SBP-HiBiT) under doxycycline control. Purified LgBiT added to the medium binds HiBiT when Shh reaches the extracellular space, and nanoLuc activity gives a measure of secretion. (C) Time course of Shh synchronized secretion compared to the control (secGFP fused to SBP and HiBiT). (D-E) Quantitating Shh internalization by HeLa cells. (D) Schematic representation of the assay. Shh fused to HiBiT (Shh-HiBiT), purified from the conditioned medium of producing HeLa cells and calibrated *in vitro*, was added to HeLa cells expressing inactive nanoLuc (LgBiT) in the cytosol. Upon cell lysis, nanoluciferase is reconstituted from endocytosed Shh-HiBiT and cytoplasmic LgBiT, allowing quantification of internalization. (E) Time course of Shh internalization compared to the control (secCherry fused to HiBiT), prepared in parallel to Shh). (F-G) Quantitating Shh delivery to recipient HeLa cells. (F) Schematic representation of the assay. Two HeLa cell populations are co-cultured. In the first one, synchronization of Shh release is achieved as in the secretion assay but for a longer period of time. The second population expresses inactive nanoLuc (LgBiT) at the cell surface (anchored via the CD4 transmembrane domain). Active nanoluciferase is reconstituted by the transport of tagged Shh (Shh-SBP-HiBiT) from a donor cell to the surface of a receiving cell. (G) Time-course analysis of Shh delivery to recipient cells becomes strongly significant approximately 3 h after biotin addition. Ctrl: without biotin. Details on statistics in Methods.



**Fig. 3: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> affects Shh secretion, endocytosis and delivery in HeLa cells.**


(A, D, G) Schematic steps (Fig. 3): secretion (A), endocytosis (D), delivery (G). A-C, Effects of increased (B) or decreased (C) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels on secretion of Shh or secGFP from cells expressing Lck-DAO supplemented (or not) with D-Ala (B) or cells treated (or not) with extracellular catalase (C). (D-F) Effects of increased (E) or decreased (F) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels on Shh or secCherry endocytosis by cells expressing Lck-DAO supplemented (or not) with D-Ala (E) or cells treated (or not) with extracellular catalase (F). G-I, Effects of increased (H) or decreased (I) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels on delivery to recipient cell surface of cells expressing Lck-DAO supplemented (or not) with D-Ala (H) or cells treated (or not) with extracellular catalase (I). Details on statistics in Methods.






**Fig. 4: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels are dynamic in time and space in the floor plate.**

(A-B) Variation in time. (A) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in Tg(2.4Shha-ABC:Gal4-FF; UAS:Hyper7) zebrafish transgenic embryos at different times after fertilization as indicated (lateral view, anterior to the left). Scale bar, 200 μm. (B) Variations in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels at different stages. Statistics are presented compared to 30 hpf. (C-D) Transient dorso-ventral gradient. (C) Embryos injected at the one-cell stage with mRNA for farnesylated mCherry were imaged for membranes (left panels: mCherry-F) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (right panels: HyPer7; bottom: same field as in the left panel; top: enlarged view as indicated by the dotted line). fp: floor plate; nc: notochord. Scale bar: 20 μm. (D) Variations in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels along the apico-basal axis of MFP cells. Details on statistics in Methods.



**Fig. 5 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> modulates filopodia production and OPC behaviour in the zebrafish spinal cord.**

(A) Shh-mCherry visualization in MFP filopodia in live embryos at 48 hpf (Scale bar: 5  $\mu$ m). (A') Enlarged view (scale bar: 1  $\mu$ m). (B) Visualization of filopodia at 48 hpf in *Tg(2.4Shha-ABC:Gal4-FF;UAS:Igk-mb5-DAO-mCherry)* before or after D-Ala (10 mM) injection (scale bar: 5  $\mu$ m). (C) Quantification of filopodia in MFP cells (see Methods) in Ctrl and D-Ala-injected larvae. (D) Proportion of filopodia longer than 1  $\mu$ m in MFPs of Ctrl and D-Ala-injected larvae. (E) Detection of GFP at 72 hpf in *Tg(olig2:EGFP)* larvae incubated from 30 hpf in control solution or in NOX-i at 10  $\mu$ M. (F) Quantification of OPCs generated at 72 hpf. Details on statistics in Methods. sc: spinal cord; vmn: ventral motor nerves.



### Fig. 6: Shh regulates H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels and filopodial growth.

(A-E) Cells stably expressing membrane-bound HyPer (A-B) either transfected with constructs coding for Shh-Cherry (A, B) or Cherry (A), were (C-E) treated (Shh) or not (Ctrl) with Shh, or were (F, G) treated with various inhibitors. (A) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was measured separately in cells expressing (Expr+) and non-expressing (Expr-) the transfected construct, coding for either Shh-mCherry (right) or Cherry (left). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in the non-expressing cells transfected with mCherry were taken as 100%. (B) Merging the red (Shh-mCherry) and green (Lck-Hyper<sub>488nm</sub>) signals highlights Shh-bearing filopodia (left), where H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels are distinctly elevated (right). (C-E) Treated cells (Shh) display higher H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels (imaged in C, quantified in D) and have longer filopodia (imaged in C, quantified in E) than untreated cells (Ctrl). (F) Cells treated (or not) with Shh were treated (or not) with either cyclopamine (Shh-i) or VAS2870 (NOX-i). (G) Cells treated (or not) with Shh were treated (or not) with either NSC23766 (Rac1-i) or CPYPP (DOCK-i) alone or in combination. In D and F-G, untreated cells were taken as 100%. Details on statistics in Methods. Scale bar: 50  $\mu$ m.

