

A sensorimotor representation impairment in dyslexic adults: A specific profile of comorbidity

Rebecca Marchetti, Marianne Vaugoyeau, Pascale Colé, Christine Assaiante

► To cite this version:

Rebecca Marchetti, Marianne Vaugoyeau, Pascale Colé, Christine Assaiante. A sensorimotor representation impairment in dyslexic adults: A specific profile of comorbidity. Neuropsychologia, 2022, 165, pp.108134. 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2021.108134. hal-03869835

HAL Id: hal-03869835 https://hal.science/hal-03869835v1

Submitted on 16 Jan 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- A sensorimotor representation impairment in dyslexic adults: a specific profile
 of comorbidity.
- 3

Rebecca Marchetti^{a,b,c}, Marianne Vaugoyeau^{a,c}, Pascale Colé^{b,c}, Christine Assaiante^{a,c}

a Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, LNC UMR 7291, Marseille, France.

b Aix-Marseille Université, LPC UMR 7290, Marseille, France

c Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Fédération 3C, Marseille, France

Corresponding authors:

rebecca.marchetti@univ-amu.fr (RM)

marianne.vaugoyeau@univ-amu.fr (MV)

4 Abstract

5 Sensorimotor disorders have been frequently reported in children and adults with dyslexia over the past 6 30 years. The present study aimed to determine the impact of sensorimotor comorbidity risks in dyslexia 7 by investigating the functional links between phonological and sensorimotor representations in young 8 dyslexic adults. Using 52 dyslexic participants and 58 normo-readers, we investigated whether the 9 underlying phonological deficit, which is reported in the literature, was associated with a general 10 impairment of sensorimotor representations of articulatory and bodily actions. Internal action 11 representations were explored through motor imagery tasks, consisting of measuring and comparing the 12 durations of performed or imagined actions chosen from their current repertoire of daily life activities. 13 To detect sensorimotor deficits, all participants completed the extended version of the M-ABC 2, as a 14 reference test. We found sensorimotor impairments in 27% of the young adult dyslexics, then considered 15 as sensorimotor comorbid, as opposed to much less in the normo-reader group (5%). While motor 16 slowdown, reflecting motor difficulty, was present in all dyslexic adults, motor imagery performance 17 was impacted only in the specific dyslexic subgroup with sensorimotor impairments. Moreover, in 18 contrast with slowness, only the comorbid subgroup showed an increased variability in execution 19 durations. The present study highlights the importance of the quality of perception-action coupling, 20 questions the relevance of investigating sensorimotor impairment profiles beyond phonological deficits 21 and provides new arguments supporting the perspective of multiple deficits approaches in dyslexia.

22 Keywords

Sensorimotor comorbidity; M-ABC2; internal representation of action; motor imagery tasks; dyslexic
 young adults

25

27 Highlights

28	1.	A high incidence of co-morbidity between dyslexia and sensorimotor disorders has been observed
29		in young adults.
30	2.	Motor slowdown and execution variability reflecting motor difficulty are reported in dyslexic
31		adults.
32	3.	Isochrony between overt and covert actions is present in dyslexic and normo-reader adults.
33	4.	Internal representations of action are specifically affected in dyslexics with sensorimotor deficits.
34	5.	The efficiency of updating an internal model is preserved in dyslexic adults.
35		

36 Introduction

Developmental dyslexia (hereafter dyslexia) is a lifelong impairment, typically identified by reading deficits, affecting 6% to 8% of the French population (De la Haye et al., 2011; Jonas, 2012). As it is a developmental disorder and not a simple delay (Habib 2000), the difficulties experienced by individuals with dyslexia do not suddenly stop once reaching adulthood (Cavalli et al., 2018; Nergard-Nilsen & Hulme 2014; Ramus et al., 2003a; Swanson & Hsieh, 2009) and it is now well-known that these difficulties impact their lives much more widely than just in academic achievement (Davis et al. 2008; Humphrey 2002; Macdonald & Deacon 2018; Nalavałny et al., 2017).

44 Given the widespread incidence of dyslexia, we can rely on decades of research and an extensive 45 literature on the impact of dyslexia and its causes. In this context, the influence of the phonological 46 theory has increased over the past 30 years and today the phonological impairment appears to be core 47 in dyslexic disorders (Cavalli et al., 2018; Ramus & Szenkovitz 2008; Shaywitz and Shaywitz, 2005; 48 Vellutino (et al.) and Fletcher, 2004; Ramus et al., 2003a; Snowling, 2000). Nevertheless, reading is a 49 complex ability that requires perfect coordination between neural systems and supporting visual, 50 auditory, language and motor process (Stoodley and Stein, 2013), and this may explain why the 51 phonological impairment is frequently associated with other disorders.

52 As soon as 2003, Ramus and colleagues introduced the problem of heterogeneity and complexity of the 53 manifestation of developmental dyslexia in two seminal papers (Ramus et al., 2003a and b). Indeed, the 54 presence of sensorimotor deficits in dyslexia has been reported in previous studies and would affect one 55 out of two dyslexic children (Ramus et al., 2003b), and one out of four dyslexic adults (Ramus et al., 56 2003a), as confirmed by a recent review (Blank et al., 2019). Arguments in support of the sensorimotor 57 deficits in dyslexia come from postural, oculomotor, motor coordination, and implicit motor learning 58 disorders reported in dyslexic children and adults (Cignetti et al., 2018; Nicolson and Fawcett, 1999; 59 Ramus et al., 2003a and b). These disorders were extensively discussed for a long time, because the 60 finding of a sensorimotor control automatization deficit in dyslexia was not consistent across studies, 61 often based on small samples with a wide variety of methods used (Stoodley, 2016). Most probably, the 62 comorbidity between dyslexia and other neurodevelopmental disorders such as Developmental 63 Coordination Disorder may explain discrepancies and lively debates in the literature. Nevertheless, a 64 consensus emerged that motor difficulties are present in part of the dyslexia population, even though 65 larger in children than adults (Ramus et al., 2003b).

66

67 Within the context of embodied cognition, some proposals argue that phonemic representations are 68 partly rooted, in the sensorimotor experience, with the phonemic units being partially driven by the 69 internal simulation from action to perception (Pulvermüller et al., 2005; Schwartz et al., 2012). The 70 strength of this perception-action coupling is also highlighted by various neurophysiological studies 71 (Fadiga et al., 1995, Watkins et al., 2003, Scerrati et al., 2015), which show that pre-activation of visual 72 and auditory inputs can be facilitated by the prior perception of a linguistic stimulus. Moreover, this 73 functional perception-action coupling, as underpinned by the mirror neuron system (Rizzolatti et al., 74 2001; 2002), is established early in infancy and is the basis for the development of sensorimotor, 75 cognitive, and social representations (Assaiante et al., 2014, see also Wilson and Knoblich, 2005). 76 Sensorimotor representations play a key role in motor control, according to the theory of internal models 77 (Miall and Wolpert, 1996; Wolpert et al., 1998), sensorimotor representations are needed to anticipate 78 and act on one's environment.

80 A relevant paradigm to explore internal representation is to use motor imagery (MI) that mentally 81 simulates motor actions. MI is a mental process during which a movement is mentally simulated without 82 any apparent motor output (Jeannerod, 1995, see also Decety 2006 and Guillot et al., 2012). The mental 83 simulation of movement is generated by internal forward models, which are neural networks that mimic 84 the performed action by predicting a future sensorimotor state based on an efferent copy of the motor 85 intention (Miall and Wolpert, 1996; Wolpert and Flanagan, 2001). Many neuroimaging studies have 86 shown the overlap, during mentally simulation of actions, with networks, and regions involved in the 87 actual realization of those actions, such as in the pre-motor cortex, supplementary motor area, 88 somatosensory cortex, subcortical (such as the thalamus and the putamen) and cerebellar regions. 89 Potential involvement of the primary motor cortex is still under debate, as it is inconsistently observed 90 in the literature (see Hétu et al., 2013, Hardwick et al., 2018 for a review).

91 Impairment of internal representations compromises the capacities for anticipation and learning and 92 many studies reported deficits in the predictive control of eye movements, anticipatory postural 93 adjustments, gait, or mental rotation in the developmental coordination disorder (DCD) population, 94 characterized by difficulty in acquiring and executing of coordinated motor skills that significantly 95 interfere with daily life and academic productivity, and support the 'internal modeling deficit' 96 hypothesis (Adams et al., 2014, 2017; Assaiante et al., 2019; Deconinck et al., 2009; Gabbard et Bobbio 97 2011; Wilson et al., 2013). In the past, few studies conducted in DCD adults, without comorbidity 98 evaluation, reported that motor imagery deficit may persist at least in a subgroup of subjects (Hyde et 99 al., 2014). Beside lifelong acquired representations of already skilled actions, motor learning involves 100 accessing the formation of new representations, where sensorimotor representations need to be updated. 101 Predictive control enables online correction by comparing real sensory feedback with predicted sensory 102 consequences, generated through a forward internal model and in the case of a mismatch, error signals 103 allow the correction of the motor command in real time. Impairments in online correction have thus 104 been observed in DCD children (Fuelscher et al., 2015; Hyde & Wilson, 2011, 2013), as well as poor 105 natural disposition to sequence learning or motor adaptation (Kagerer et al., 2004; Lejeune et al., 2016; 106 Zwicker et al., 2011; see Biotteau et al., 2016; 2017).

108 In the context of dyslexia, motor imagery, as a process of exploration of sensorimotor representations, 109 could provide insights on the quality of the perception-action coupling and especially on the functional 110 link between language and sensorimotor representations. The present study aims specifically to explore 111 the sensorimotor abilities and representations in young dyslexic adults. First, we hypothesized a higher 112 percentage of sensorimotor disorders in the dyslexic group, with respect to the normo-reader group. 113 Second, we expected a slow-down of performed actions in dyslexic subjects, enlarging the temporal 114 adjustment deficit of phonological treatment to a global temporal sensorimotor deficit. Lastly, an 115 impairment of internal model of articulatory and bodily actions was expected in dyslexic group and 116 particularly in dyslexic subjects with sensorimotor disorders.

117 Material and methods

118 Subjects

119 A total of 110 post-graduate students, aged from 18 to 29 years, participated in this study, including 52 120 participants with developmental dyslexia (DD: 21 men, 22 years \pm 2.3 SD) and 58 normo-reader (NR: 121 22 men, 22 years \pm 2 SD). 105 were right-handed and 5 left-handed. The groups were similar in age, 122 sex, and study field (natural and formal sciences, social sciences, arts and humanities). Within the 123 sample of DD (63% women), 42.3% were enrolled in human and social science programs and 57.6% in 124 formal and natural science programs. DD ranged in age from 18.1 to 29 years. Within the sample of NR 125 (67% women), 41.3% were enrolled in human and social science programs and 58.6% in formal and 126 natural science programs. NR ranged in age from 18.3 to 27 years. Participants were recruited from the 127 university population through advertisements and information sessions at Aix-Marseille University. 128 Participants gave their written informed consent prior to the experiment, which obtained the approval 129 of the local ethics committee (CPP Sud Méditerranée 2) and was performed in accordance with the 130 ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

132	All participants underwent neuropsychological assessment, including tests of intellectual abilities
133	(Raven's matrices; Raven, Raven & Court 1995) reading skills (Alouette test, Lefavrais, 2005, see
134	Cavalli et al., 2018), and phonological skills based on a pseudoword reading task (evaluated as an
135	accuracy score corresponding to the number of pseudowords correctly read in 2 minutes under time
136	pressure) reported in table 1. Participants with DD, in contrast to NR participants, met the DSM-V
137	diagnostic criteria for specific learning disorder with impairment in reading: (1) they showed difficulty
138	in mastering reading, as their accuracy and speed when reading were significantly lower, measured as a
139	performance for the Alouette reading text score <1,5 SD below the NR mean ; (2) they were diagnosed
140	for a reading problem by a speech therapist during childhood, and (3) they had no diagnosis of any
141	significant medical or social condition known to affect reading abilities and they did not have any sign
142	of intellectual disability (i.e., >25th percentile, Raven's matrices; Raven, Court, & Raven, 1995).
143	Inclusion criteria for all participants were the following: French as native language, the absence of visual
144	and auditive impairments, absence of neurological or chronic medical diseases, and an IQ scale within
145	the normal range (i.e., >25th percentile, Raven's matrices; Raven, Court, & Raven, 1995). All DD had
146	a formal diagnosis of dyslexia in elementary school or high school, and were required to be regularly
147	certified at the university office for disabilities.

	NR	(N = 58)		DD (N =	52)	Group differences
Participant characteristics	М	(SD)	М	(SD)	(W)	р
Age (years)	21.8	(2)	21.8	(2.3)	(0.02)	0.981
Non verbal IQ (Raven's matrices)	49.5	(4.8)	48	(5.2)	(1221)	0.167
Reading skills (Alouette test)	559	(93.3)	361	(73.13)	(111)	<0.001
Phonological skills	153.1	(39.69)	82.56	(23.72)	(140)	<0.001

(Pseudoword reading task)

150

Table 1. Means and standard deviations of characteristics of Normo-Readers subjects (NR) and subjects
with developmental dyslexia (DD) with and without sensorimotor impairments (DDnoSM and DDSM,
respectively).

154 **Experimental procedure**

155 Movement assessment battery, M-ABC

156 All participants completed the extended version of the M-ABC 2 (Henderson, Sugden, & Barnett, 2007). 157 The French version (Marquet-Doléac et al., 2016) for the 3rd age band, which runs from 11 to 16 years 158 and 11 months, was used for this study. The M-ABC is a reference test, extensively used in the 159 international literature to assess motor deficits, particularly in population with learning disabilities. No 160 test is yet, to our knowledge, available for young adults. Due to this lack of tool for the young adult 161 population, the M-ABC has been regularly used, either in the total version or a subgroup of tasks, for 162 an older population (see Cousins and Smyth 2003, Cantell et al., 2008, Borremans et al., 2009, Loras 163 and Sigmundsson 2012). The M-ABC 2 consists of eight tasks, grouped into three categories: manual dexterity, aiming and catching, and balance. A score below or equal to the 5th percentile is considered 164 165 to indicate a sensorimotor deficit. Assuming that the ceiling levels could be reached more easily due to the more advanced maturation of the motor system in our participants (young adults), the <5th percentile 166 167 can be considered as a conservative cut off for motor impairments. Subjects with a score below the 5th 168 percentile were selected as presenting a sensorimotor impairment.

169

170 Mental imagery paradigm

171 Data acquisition

The mental imagery paradigm aimed to explore internal representations of action (see Wilson et al.,
2004; Sinani et al., 2011). In our experiment, the subjects had to successively perform an action and

then imagine the same action they had just executed, in a series of five interlaced trials. The mental simulation of the motor tasks was clearly defined: subjects had to imagine themselves executing the action. In both cases, the subject self-records the duration of the action, both executed and imagined, using a stopwatch.

178

179 The actions of this study were chosen from the current daily life repertoire and combined sensorimotor 180 and language features. Of the 8 tasks selected, some involved sensorimotor activities of the whole-body 181 as normal gait, tandem gait, and sit-to-stand task, or focused on the upper limbs, such as grasping. Other 182 tasks involved language activities with oral production, as repetition and reading aloud or without oral 183 production, as a silent reading task, and a writing task. Motor ideation tasks on gait or sit-to-stand have 184 been regularly used in healthy adult subjects (Chabeauti et al., 2012), children (Iosa et al., 2014) or 185 elderly participants (Allali et al., 2013; Personnier et al., 2010) as well as in patient populations and 186 remediation programs (Cho et al., 2012; Malouin et al., 2004). Writing (Guilbert et al., 2020) or grasping 187 (Errante et al., 2019) were also studied in motor imagery protocols.

Moreover, to test the updating of internal representations, we also measured the duration of the imagined action after modification of the experimental environment, by moving away the target to be reached, for normal gait and grasping tasks. We speculated that an increase in the duration of the imagined action should reflect the updated process. After the imagined modified conditions, a block of five trials was then executed in the modified conditions and used as reference.

193

194 Data analysis

For each subject, the mean duration (in seconds) and the standard deviation were calculated for each task and for each condition: the executed action (EA) and imagined action (IA). The variability in execution of the action was measured by calculating the coefficient of variation CoV $=\left(\frac{SD}{Mean Time}\right)x100$. As excessive variability is a developmental feature that reflects task difficulty, indeed, Skoura and colleagues (2009) indicated a larger variability of execution durations in children with respect to adults, we used a variability index in addition to the execution time statistics. 201 In order to evaluate the efficiency of mental representation, we used a performance index (PI) 202 corresponding to the absolute value of the executed minus imagined time divided by the executed time: $PI = \frac{|EA-IA|}{|EA|}$. A similar performance index was previously used by several studies (Personnier et al., 203 204 (2010), Kalicinski et al., (2015), Fusco et al., (2019)), and allows us to include all tasks without 205 considering the differences in duration due to their specific spatio-temporal features (eg. tandem gait 206 and sit-to-stand task) and without taking into account the sign (+/-) of the difference between EA and 207 EI. We interpret this PI as following: the closer the value of this index was to zero (small difference 208 between EI and EA), the stronger the simulation of the performance."

209

210 Statistical analyses

211 The first analysis was performed on the two groups, DD (n=52) versus NR (n=58), to evaluate the 212 occurrence of sensorimotor impairment in both groups, based on the M-ABC. The dependent variables 213 -i.e. duration of EA and IA, coefficient of variation (CoV), and PI- didn't displayed acceptable normal 214 distributions. Accordingly, the statistical analyses were performed using nonparametric test and group 215 data were reported as median and interquartile range. Between-group difference in the duration and CoV of the EA was assessed using global (tasks pooled) or task-by-task Mann-Whitney test and Kruskal-216 217 Wallis tests (whether on two or three groups). Isochrony was investigated using Wilcoxon matched-218 pared signed rank tests. The p-value of these tests was then Bonferroni corrected. The performance 219 indexes (PI) were compared between groups by a global (tasks pooled) Mann-Whitney test on the two 220 groups and a global (tasks pooled) Kruskal-Wallis test on the subgroup. No difference between the two 221 PIs meant a good update of the internal representations of action. Task-by-task Mann-Whitney test and 222 Kruskal-Wallis tests were also performed. The updating tasks on simple gait and grasping was analyzed 223 using a global (tasks pooled) Mann-Whitney test Kruskal-Wallis test.

224 Differences with a p value < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

225

226 **Results**

227 Sensorimotor evaluation

228 Associated motor impairment

Subjects that scored below the 5th percentile represented 5% of the NR group and 27% of the DD group. Sensorimotor impairment prevalence for both groups is presented in Figure 1. DD subjects with sensorimotor impairment were referred as DD*SM*, as opposed to DD subjects without sensorimotor impairment referred as DD*noSM*.



233

Fig. 1 : Prevalence of sensorimotor impairments in percentage in normo-readers subjects (left part)

and dyslexics subjects (right part).

236

237 Temporal parameters of executed actions (EA) - Mean and CoV

238 Global EA durations and CoV are summarized in Figure 2.

239 Concerning the duration of EA, the global Mann-Whitney test on the two groups revealed a significant

240 difference between NR (mdn = 3.88, 2.56 - 5.74) and DD (mdn = 4.44, 2.75 - 7.50) (U = 67425; p =

- 241 0.0006). NRs showed shorter executed duration than DD. Task by task Mann-Whitney test revealed a
- significant difference between NR and DD on the tandem (p=0.016), repetition (p=0.032), reading aloud
- (p<0.0001), silent reading (p<0.0001), and writing (p=0.036) task.

If we consider the subgroup level, the global Kruskal-Wallis test revealed a significant effect of Group (H (2) = 11.95, p= 0.0025). Post-hoc analyses revealed that executed durations were significantly shorter between NRs and the two groups of DDs (DDnoSM: p = 0.008, DDSM: p = 0.037), but no significant difference emerged between DDnoSM and DDSM. Task by task Mann-Whitney test on the subgroups demonstrated that NRs were significantly faster than both dyslexic groups in reading tasks (reading aloud: p < 0.001 for both comparisons; silent reading: p < 0.001 for both comparisons) and faster than DDnoSM in the tandem gait tasks (p = 0.043).

251

252 Concerning the CoV, the global Mann-Whitney test on the two groups revealed no effect of the Group. 253 If we consider the subgroup level, the Kruskal-Wallis test revealed a significant effect of Group (H (2) 254 = 17.53, p= 0.0002). Post-hoc analyses revealed that CoVs were significantly larger in DDSM, 255 compared both with NRs (p = 0.0002) and DDnoSM (p = 0.0003), but no significant difference emerged 256 between DDnoSM and NRs. Task by task Mann-Whitney test on the subgroups demonstrated that NRs 257 CoV were significantly smaller than DDSMs in the repetition (p=0.0023) and writing (p=0.03) tasks. 258 Thus, both groups of dyslexics were found to have significantly longer action duration than NRs. 259 Furthermore, a higher variability seems to be specific to DDSM, concerning executed action duration.



261

Fig. 2: Medians and interquartile ranges of temporal parameters of executed action in the normoreaders group (NR), dyslexics subjects (DD), and dyslexics subjects with sensorimotor impairments
(DDSM) and dyslexic subjects without sensorimotor impairments (DDnoSM). Left part (A and C):
Execution duration in seconds and right part (B and D): coefficient of variation. *** p<0.001; **
p<0.01 and *p<0.05.

267 Motor imagery

268 Temporal analysis of executed versus imagined actions – Isochrony

269 Isochrony by groups is summarized in Figure 3.

A. NR: Concerning the mean duration time, the Wilcoxon matched-pared signed rank test,
revealed a significant difference between EA and IA duration for the repetition (W =-508, p=0.0296),

reading aloud (W =-1471, p<0.001), tandem gait (W = -712, p=0.04), writing (W =-581, p<0.001) and
grasping task (W =-1024, p<0.001).
B. DD: Concerning the mean duration time, the Wilcoxon matched-pared signed rank test,
revealed a significant difference between EA and IA duration for the reading aloud (W =-948, p<0.001),
tandem gait (W = -660, p=0.0176), writing (W =-804, p<0.001) and grasping task (W =-886, p<0.001).

277



- 278
- 279

Fig. 3: Medians and interquartile ranges of durations of executed actions (EA, full boxes) and imagined actions (IA, checkerboard boxes) in all tasks for the normo-readers group (White, NR), dyslexics subjects without sensorimotor impairments (DDnoSM, light blue), and dyslexics subjects with sensorimotor impairments (DDSM, dark blue). *** p<0.001; ** p<0.01 and *p<0.05.</p>

285 Efficiency of mental imagery - performance index (PI)

286 The performance indexes are presented in figure 4.

Concerning the PI, the global Mann-Whitney test on the two groups revealed a significant difference between NRs (mdn = 0.17, 0.11-0.23) and DDs (mdn = 0.19, 0.13-0.27) (U = 76463, p = 0.0008). PI in NR is stronger than in DD, as attested by a lower score. Task by task Mann-Whitney test on the subgroups demonstrated that NRs performed better in the sit-to-stand (p=0.033) and silent reading (p=0.029) task.

292 If we consider the subgroup level, the global Kruskal-Wallis test revealed a significant effect of Group

(H(2) = 25.56, p < 0.0001). Post-hoc analyses revealed that DDSM scores were significantly worse than

those of NRs (p < 0.0001) and DDnoSMs (p = 0.0004), while DDnoSMs and NRs did not differ. Task by

task Mann-Whitney test on the subgroups demonstrated that NRs performed better in the sit-to-stand
 (p=0.02) and repetition (p=0.01) tasks. Thus, DDSM revealed poorer skills performances in mental

imagery than in NR and DDnoSM.



298

Fig. 4: Medians and interquartile ranges of global performance index (PI) for the normo-readers group
(white, NR), dyslexics subjects without sensorimotor impairments (DDnoSM, light blue) and
dyslexics subjects with sensorimotor impairments (DDSM, dark blue). *** p<0.001; ** p<0.01 and
*p<0.05.

303

304 Efficiency of updating internal model tasks - PI

The PI between the simple versus updating paradigm in both PI tasks (i.e., locomotion and grasping), is presented in the Figure 5. The global Mann-Whitney test on the two groups and the Kruskal-Wallis test on the subgroup revealed no group effect on the updating paradigm performance, reflecting similar updating of representation in NRs and in DDs. Thus, the updating of representations was similar in DDs with or without sensorimotor impairments, as compared to NRs.



310

311 Fig.5: Medians and interquartile ranges of performance index (PI) in the simple paradigm (full box)
312 and updating paradigm (hatched box) for the normo-readers group (white, NR), dyslexics subjects
313 without sensorimotor impairments (DDnoSM, light blue), and dyslexics subjects with sensorimotor
314 impairments (DDSM, dark blue). With *** p<0.001.

315

316 Correlations between reading skills and motor imagery

Concerning motor imagery performances, no significant correlation was observed between the reading
efficiency score on the Alouette reading test and mental imagery performances (PI) whether in the DD
or NR group.

320

321 **Discussion**

The first outcome of the present study is the presence of a sensorimotor impairments in 27% of the young adult dyslexics, as revealed by the M-ABC2. This confirmed previous studies over the past 30 324 years (Cignetti et al., 2018; Ramus et al., 2003a and b) and raises the question of a sensorimotor 325 impairment profile beyond the typical consensual phonological deficit. The second main result emerging 326 from this study is that motor imagery, as a process of exploration of sensorimotor representations, was 327 impacted only in the specific dyslexic subgroup with sensorimotor impairments. This highlights the 328 importance of the quality of the perception-action coupling to establish the functional link between 329 language and sensorimotor representations, which is indispensable for reading.

330 Sensorimotor comorbidity confirmed in young dyslexic adults

From a large cohort of well-characterized young dyslexic adults matched for age, sex, education level, and non-verbal IQ, we confirmed a larger percentage of sensorimotor impairment of the young adult dyslexics (at least 27%), as opposed to the normo-reader group (at least 5%), whose sensorimotor impairment percentage is consistent with previous developmental studies reporting in global population (APA, 2013, Zoia et al., 2006).

336 In the past, from the assumption of a single and sufficient etiology of dyslexia, sensorimotor 337 impairments were not systematically evaluated. Nowadays, considering the polymorph dyslexic profiles 338 (Pennington 2006; Van Bergen et al., 2014), the impact of the sensorimotor comorbidity risks in dyslexia 339 deserves to be re-visited. To evaluate sensorimotor impairments, we chosen the M-ABC 2 test, an 340 internationally reference for Developmental Coordination Disorder (Henderson, Sugden, & Barnett, 341 2007), extended to 17 years of age and adapted to young adults with small adaptations of the daily 342 activities questionnaire, according to the recommendations of the European Academy of Childhood 343 Disability, that reports a lack of standardized assessments for adults with DCD at present (Blank et al., 344 2019). Although we reported a significant occurrence of SM impairment in DD (27%), the percentage 345 could be increased if this first evaluation was completed by an exhaustive postural study focused on 346 balance control, sensory reweighting, and motor prediction, which are mainly reported as impacted in 347 DCD populations (cf. recent reviews Adams et al., 2014, Wilson et al., 2013; 2017).

348 Motor slowdown and execution variability reflecting motor difficulty persist in 349 dyslexic adults

350 Accordingly with our expectations, the slowdown, classically observed in reading or spelling in dyslexia 351 seemed to be confirmed in some tasks in our study. Beyond reading skills, tasks mainly involving 352 sensorimotor activities of the whole body, such as tandem gait, were also executed slowly by dyslexic 353 adults. These results seem to expand the problem of temporal adjustment of phonemic control to a global 354 temporal motor deficit. Moreover, this slowdown was observed in both subgroups of dyslexic adults, 355 with or without sensorimotor impairment. In the same vein, it deserves to be noted that Goswami, as 356 soon as 2011, proposed that temporal sampling of speech by neuroelectric oscillations that encode 357 incoming information at different frequencies could explain the perceptual and phonological difficulties 358 found in individuals with dyslexia.

359 In typical sensorimotor development, the slowing of motor performances is the first adaptive strategy 360 in response to increasing difficulties that need to be overcome (Assaiante and Amblard, 1995). Similar 361 slowness accompanies motor performance in adults with developmental coordination disorder (DCD) 362 (Cousins and Smyth, 2003). A recent study about action representation deficits in adolescents with 363 developmental dyslexia (Van de Walle de Ghelcke et al., 2020) also reported slowness of both actual 364 and mental movements. These authors interpreted this novel result as an alteration in cognitive 365 mechanisms, responsible for the mental sensorimotor representation in addition to those observed in 366 movement execution. Currently we report similar results in young dyslexic adults engaged in mental 367 representation of various current daily life actions.

Excessive variability is another developmental feature that reflects task difficulty. Indeed, Skoura and colleagues (2009) indicated a larger variability of execution durations in children with respect to adults. In contrast with slowness, only the dyslexic subgroup with sensorimotor impairment (DDSM) showed an increased variability in execution durations, with respect to both dyslexics without sensorimotor impairment and the normo-reader group. High levels of variability in motor and locomotor parameters is indicative of poor motor control (Moe-Nilssen & Helbostad, 2005) and is typical of sensorimotor disorders such as in DCD children (Licari and Reynolds, 2017; Smits-Engelsman et al., 2012; Wilmut
et al., 2017). Thus, the variability observed in the young DDSMI adults may be understood as a delayed
maturation of motor control, as found for example in DCDs.

377

378 Isochrony between overt and covert actions is present in dyslexic adults and

379 normo-readers

Based on previous studies on motor imagery (Papaxanthis et al., 2002; Skoura et al., 2009), we were firstly interested in confirming the isochrony principle in various daily tasks combining multiple cognitive and motor constraints, in dyslexic adults and normo-readers. Indeed, temporal similarities were reported in half of the tasks in adult normo-readers. As similar increased durations of actual and mental movements were observed in dyslexic adults, the isochrony principle was also confirmed in this group engaged in silent reading, postural, and locomotor tasks.

386 Imagining the action previously executed, as in our protocol, involved the cooperation of two main 387 sources of sensory information: vision and proprioception that increased the vividness of the 388 representation into a unified internal representation of the body (Assaiante et al., 2014). Indeed, many 389 studies have revealed an impact of sensory information on the mental imagery process (Guilbert et al., 390 2018; Naito et al., 2002; Sirigu and Duhamel, 2001; Vargas 2004). Similarly, it was reported that motor 391 imagery is more easily performed when actual posture and imagined movement are congruent (Guilbert 392 et al., 2020; Ionta et al., 2007; Sirigu and Duhamel, 2001; Vargas 2004), as proposed in our present 393 study.

Internal representations of action impacted only in dyslexic with sensorimotor impairments

396 Based on a performance index of motor imagery, we reported that only dyslexic adults with 397 sensorimotor impairments showed a lower efficiency of mental imagery. Similar deficits were already 398 observed in one of the few studies focusing adults with probable DCD performing a mental hand rotation 399 task (Hyde et al., 2014). Moreover, Van de Walle de Ghelcke and colleagues (2020) claimed recently 400 that the process of action representation is also impacted in adolescents with developmental dyslexia. 401 Unfortunately, no sensorimotor evaluation was performed in these adolescents in order to examine the 402 impact of comorbidity in the sensorimotor perspective of dyslexia. Nevertheless, recent developmental 403 studies highlight a long maturational process of the cortical correlates underlying motor anticipation, 404 from late childhood to adulthood (Cignetti et al., 2018; Fortin et al., 2021) and the development of 405 internal representations (Cignetti et al., 2016; Fontan et al., 2017), with a protracted development of the 406 proprioceptive brain network during and beyond adolescence. Thus, because adolescence is a transient 407 period in typical and atypical development and neurodevelopment, we speculate that sensorimotor 408 contribution would be larger in developmental dyslexia during this period (Assaiante et al., 2014, 2019). 409 In this context, the 'internal modeling deficit' reported in DCD, seems to be shared by various 410 neurodevelopmental learning disorders and supports the sensorimotor perspective of dyslexia, with a 411 flexible contribution according to the developmental periods.

412 Efficiency of updating internal model is not impacted in dyslexic adults

413 Because of the rapid updating of an internal model is the main difficulty in motor learning, as frequently 414 reported in DCD, we hypothesized that we would find such difficulties in the dyslexic subgroup, 415 particularly those with sensorimotor impairments. By contrast with our hypothesis, we did not observe 416 updating deficits in either dyslexic group. As in normo-readers, dyslexic adults showed immediate 417 duration adaptation in imagined tasks, in response to distance increased, reflecting that the efficiency of 418 mental imagery is not impacted in the updating paradigm. Nevertheless, our updating paradigm was 419 based on easy and familiar tasks of daily life (walking and grasping). A more complex task, out of 420 ecological context, like a mental hand rotation task used by Hyde and collaborators (2014), or sequence 421 learning used by Biotteau and colleagues (2015), could induce some difficulties.

423 Conclusion

424 The original aim of the current study was to determine the impact of comorbidity risks in the dyslexia 425 deficits by integrating phonological and sensorimotor hypotheses of dyslexia in a unified framework. 426 The present study highlighted a larger percentage of sensorimotor impairments of young adult dyslexics 427 (27%), as opposed to the normo-reader group (5%), and an impaired internal representation of action 428 was found only in the subgroup of comorbid dyslexic adults. Mental action representation could be a 429 cerebral mechanism commonly impaired in dyslexia and DCD and supports the sensorimotor 430 perspective of a dyslexic's profile. However, the present study does not allow us to determine whether 431 sensorimotor representation deficits are inherent to a particular sub-group of dyslexic adults or inherent 432 to DCD, and thus are exacerbated in the case of comorbidity, like in our sample. To address these 433 different issues, future research performed in dyslexic, DCD, and comorbid subjects is required. In 434 addition, an effort should be made to increase the size of the comorbid group (14 subjects), probably by 435 direct sensorimotor deficits inclusion criteria. This would allow the exploration of the underlying neural 436 basis of the functional links between language and sensorimotor representations and whether these 437 features are supported by cerebral particularities in networks devoted to internal representation of action 438 and learning process such as fronto-parietal, cingulo-opercular, somato-motor, and cerebellar-cortical 439 networks (Cignetti et al., 2018; 2020).

440 Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge all the participants of this study. This work was supported by a grant from
the Fédération 3C, Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, in Marseille, France. We wish to thank Rochelle
Ackerley for revising the English version of the manuscript and Serge Pinto for critically reviewing the
manuscript.

445 **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

446 The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or447 publication of this article.

448 **References**

- 449 1. Adams ILJ, Lust JM, Wilson PH, Steenbergen B. Testing predictive control of movement
- 450 in children with developmental coordination disorder using converging operations. Br J
- 451 Psychol. Febr 2017;108(1):73-90.

452

- 453 2. Adams ILJ, Lust JM, Wilson PH, Steenbergen B. Compromised motor control in children
- 454 with DCD: A deficit in the internal model? A systematic review. Neuroscience &
- 455 Biobehavioral Reviews. Nov 2014;47:225-44.
- 456
- 457 3. Allali, G., van der Meulen, M., Beauchet, O., Rieger, S. W., Vuilleumier, P., & Assal, F.
- 458 The Neural Basis of Age-Related Changes in Motor Imagery of Gait : An fMRI Study. The
- 459 Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 69(11), 1389-1398. 2013;
- 460 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glt207</u>
- 461
- 462 4. Alexander-Passe N. How dyslexic teenagers cope: an investigation of self-esteem, coping
 463 and depression. Dyslexia. Nov 2006;12(4):256-75.
- 464
- 465 5. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders:
- 466 DSM-5. London American Psychiatric Publishing. 2013;

468	6. Assaiante C, Amblard B. An ontogenetic model for the sensorimotor organization of
469	balance control in humans. Human Movement Science. June 1995;14(1):13-43.
470	
471	7. Assaiante C, Barlaam F, Cignetti F, Vaugoyeau M. Body schema building during
472	childhood and adolescence: A neurosensory approach. Neurophysiologie Clinique/Clinical
473	Neurophysiology. Janu 2014;44(1):3-12.
474	
475	8. Assaiante, Christine, Vaugoyeau M, Cignetti, Fabien. Contrôle anticipé chez des enfants de
476	8 à 12 ans avec des troubles des apprentissages : importance de la comorbidité.
477	Neurophysiologie Clinique. 2019;
478	
479	9. Barlaam F, Fortin C, Vaugoyeau M, Schmitz C, Assaiante C. Development of action
480	representation during adolescence as assessed from anticipatory control in a bimanual load-
481	lifting task. Neuroscience. Sept 2012;221:56-68.
482	9.
483	10. Biotteau M, Chaix Y, Albaret J-M. Procedural learning and automatization process in
484	children with developmental coordination disorder and/or developmental dyslexia. Human
485	Movement Science. Oct 2015;43:78-89.
486	
487	11. Biotteau M, Chaix Y, Albaret J-M. What Do We Really Know About Motor Learning in
488	Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder? Curr Dev Disord Rep. June
489	2016;3(2):152-60.
490	
491	12. Biotteau, M., Albaret, JM., Lelong, S., & Chaix, Y. Neuropsychological status of French
492	children with developmental dyslexia and/or developmental coordination disorder : Are both

493	necessarily	worse than	one? Child	Neuropsychology	. 2017 ;23(4).	422-441.
	<i>.</i>				/ / //	

494 https://doi.org/10.1080/09297049.2015.1127339

495

496	13. Blank R.	Barnett AL,	Cairney J.	Green D.	Kirby A	., Polatajko	H, et al.	International
	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	- ,	, . .	,	

- 497 clinical practice recommendations on the definition, diagnosis, assessment, intervention, and
- 498 psychosocial aspects of developmental coordination disorder. Dev Med Child Neurol. March
 499 2019;61(3):242-85.

500

501 14. Borremans E, Rintala P, McCubbin JA. Motor skills of young adults with asperger

502 syndrome: a comparative study. Eujapa. 31 mars 2009;2(1):21-33.

503

504 15. Brown T. Movement Assessment Battery for Children: 2nd Edition (MABC-2). In:

505 Volkmar FR, éditeur. Encyclopedia of Autism Spectrum Disorders. New York, NY: Springer

506 New York; 2018. p. 1-20.

507

508 16. Burden, R. Is dyslexia necessarily associated with negative feelings of self-worth? A

509 review and implication for future research. Dyslexia. 2008;

510

511 17. Cantell M, Crawford SG, (Tish) Doyle-Baker PK. Physical fitness and health indices in

- 512 children, adolescents and adults with high or low motor competence. Human Movement
- 513 Science. Apr 2008;27(2):344-62.

514

515 18. Cantell MH, Smyth MM, Ahonen TP. Two distinct pathways for developmental

516 coordination disorder: Persistence and resolution. Human Movement Science. Nov

517 2003;22(4-5):413-31.

519	19. Cavalli E, Colé P, Leloup G, Poracchia-George F, Sprenger-Charolles L, El Ahmadi A.
520	Screening for Dyslexia in French-Speaking University Students: An Evaluation of the
521	Detection Accuracy of the <i>Alouette</i> Test. J Learn Disabil. may 2018;51(3):268-82.
522	
523	20. Chabeauti, P. Y., Assaiante, C., & Vaugoyeau, M. Extreme short-term environmental
524	constraints do not update internal models of action as assessed from motor imagery in adults.
525	Neuroscience. 2012; 222, 69-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.07.002
526	
527	21. Cho, H., Kim, J., & Lee, GC. (2012). Effects of motor imagery training on balance and
528	gait abilities in post-stroke patients : A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Rehabilitation.
529	2013; 27(8), 675-680. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215512464702
530	
531	22. Cignetti F, Chabeauti P-Y, Sveistrup H, Vaugoyeau M, Assaiante C. Updating process of
532	internal models of action as assessed from motor and postural strategies in children.
533	Neuroscience. March 2013;233:127-38.
534	
535	23. Cignetti F, Fontan A, Menant J, Nazarian B, Anton J-L, Vaugoyeau M, et al. Protracted
536	Development of the Proprioceptive Brain Network During and Beyond Adolescence. Cereb
537	Cortex. 4 janu 2016.
538	
539	24. Cignetti F, Nemmi F, Vaugoyeau M, Girard N, Albaret J-M, Chaix Y, et al. Intrinsic
540	Cortico-Subcortical Functional Connectivity in Developmental Dyslexia and Developmental
541	Coordination Disorder. Cerebral Cortex Communications. 5 aug 2020;1.
542	

543	25. Cignetti F, Vaugoyeau M, Fontan A, Jover M, Livet M-O, Hugonenq C, et al.
544	Feedforward motor control in developmental dyslexia and developmental coordination
545	disorder: Does comorbidity matter? Res Dev Disabil. may 2018;76:25-34.
546	
547	26. Cousins M, Smyth MM. Developmental coordination impairments in adulthood. Human
548	Movement Science. Nov 2003;22(4-5):433-59.
549	
550	27. Davis TE, Nida RE, Zlomke KR, Nebel-Schwalm MS. Health-Related Quality of Life in
551	College Undergraduates with Learning Disabilities: The Mediational Roles of Anxiety and
552	Sadness. J Psychopathol Behav Assess. 24 oct 2008;31(3):228.
553	
554	28. De La Haye F, Gombert J-E, Riviere J-P, Rocher T. Les évaluations en lecture dans le
555	cadre de la journée d'appel de préparation à la défense: 2010. 2011;8.
556	
557	29. Decety J. Neural mechanisms subserving the perception of human actions. Trends in
558	Cognitive Sciences. 1 may 1999;3(5):172-8.
559	
560	30. Decety, J., Grèzes, J. The power of simulation: Imaging one's own and other's behavior.
561	Brain Research. 2006;
562	
563	31. Deconinck FJA, Spitaels L, Fias W, Lenoir M. Is developmental coordination disorder a
564	motor imagery deficit? Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 27 July
565	2009;31(6):720-30.
566	

567	32. Errante, A., Bozzetti, F., Sghedoni, S., Bressi, B., Costi, S., Crisi, G., Ferrari, A., &
568	Fogassi, L. Explicit Motor Imagery for Grasping Actions in Children With Spastic Unilateral
569	Cerebral Palsy. Frontiers in Neurology. 2019; 10, 837.
570	https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.00837
571	
572	33. Fadiga L, Fogassi L, Pavesi G, Rizzolatti G. Motor facilitation during action observation:
573	a magnetic stimulation study. Journal of Neurophysiology. 1 June 1995;73(6):2608-11.
574	
575	34. Flanagan JR, Wing AM. The Role of Internal Models in Motion Planning and Control:
576	Evidence from Grip Force Adjustments during Movements of Hand-Held Loads. J Neurosci.
577	15 fébr 1997;17(4):1519-28.
578	
579	35. Fontan A, Cignetti F, Nazarian B, Anton J-L, Vaugoyeau M, Assaiante C. How does the
580	body representation system develop in the human brain? Developmental Cognitive
581	Neuroscience. Apr 2017;24:118-28.
582	
583	36. Fortin, C., Barlaam, F., Vaugoyeau, M., Assaiante, C. Neurodevelopment of posture-
584	movement coordination from late childhood to adulthood as assessed from bimanual load-
585	lofting task: an event-related potential study. Neuroscience. 2021;
586	
587	37. Fuelscher I, Williams J, Enticott PG, Hyde C. Reduced motor imagery efficiency is
588	associated with online control difficulties in children with probable developmental
589	coordination disorder. Research in Developmental Disabilities. Oct 2015;45-46:239-52.
590	

591	38. Furnes, B, Norman, E. Three forms of metacognition in normally developing readers and
592	readers with dyslexia. Dyslexia. 2015;

- 594 39. Fusco, A., Iasevoli, L., Iosa, M., Gallotta, M. C., Padua, L., Tucci, L., Antonucci, G.,
- 595 Baldari, C., & Guidetti, L. Dynamic motor imagery mentally simulates uncommon real
- 596 locomotion better than static motor imagery both in young adults and elderly. PLOS ONE.
- 597 2019; 14(6), e0218378. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218378</u>

598

- 599 40. Goswami, U. A temporal sampling framework for developmental dyslexia. Trends in
- 600 Cognitive Sciences. 2011; 15(1), 3-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.10.001</u>

601

602 41. Gabbard C, Bobbio T. The Inability To Mentally Represent Action May Be Associated

603 With Performance Deficits in Children With Developmental Coordination Disorder.

604 International Journal of Neuroscience. Janu 2011;121(3):113-20.

605

606 42. Grabski K, Sato M. Adaptive phonemic coding in the listening and speaking brain.

607 Neuropsychologia. Janu 2020;136:107267.

608

- 609 43. Guilbert J, Jouen F, Molina M. Motor imagery development and proprioceptive
- 610 integration: Which sensory reweighting during childhood? Journal of Experimental Child
- 611 Psychology. Febr 2018;166:621-34.

- 613 44. Guilbert J, Fernandez J, Molina M, Morin M-F, Alamargot D. Imagining handwriting
- 614 movements in a usual or unusual position: effect of posture congruency on visual and
- 615 kinesthetic motor imagery. Psychological Research. 2 aug 2020.

617	45. Guilbert, J., Fernandez, J., Molina, M., Morin, MF., & Alamargot, D. Imagining
618	handwriting movements in a usual or unusual position : Effect of posture congruency on
619	visual and kinesthetic motor imagery. Psychological Research. 2021; 85(6), 2237-2247.
620	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-020-01399-w
621	
622	46. Guillot A, Di Rienzo F, MacIntyre T, Moran A, Collet C. Imagining is Not Doing but
623	Involves Specific Motor Commands: A Review of Experimental Data Related to Motor
624	Inhibition. Front Hum Neurosci. 2012;6.
625	
626	47. Habib M. The neurological basis of developmental dyslexia: An overview and working
627	hypothesis. Brain. 1 déc 2000;123(12):2373-99.
628	
629	48. Hardwick, R. M., Caspers, S., Eickhoff, S. B., & Swinnen, S. P. Neural correlates of
630	action : Comparing meta-analyses of imagery, observation, and execution. Neuroscience &
631	Biobehavioral Reviews. 2018; 94, 31-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.08.003
632	
633	49. Henderson, S. E., Sugden, D. A., Barnett, A. L. Movement assessment battery for
634	children. London : Harcourt Assessment. 2007;26.
635	
636	50. Hétu, S., Grégoire, M., Saimpont, A., Coll, MP., Eugène, F., Michon, PE., & Jackson,
637	P. L. The neural network of motor imagery : An ALE meta-analysis. Neuroscience &
638	Biobehavioral Reviews. 2013;37(5), 930-949. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.03.017</u>
639	

640	51. Humphrey N, Mullins, Patricia M. Self-Concept and Self-Esteem in Developmental
641	Dyslexia: Implications for Theory and Practice. Journal of Research in Special Educational
642	Needs. 2002;v2(n2):p1-13.
643	
644	52. Hyde C, Wilson P. Online motor control in children with developmental coordination
645	disorder: chronometric analysis of double-step reaching performance: Online motor control in
646	children with developmental coordination disorder. Child: Care, Health and Development.
647	Janu 2011;37(1):111-22.
648	
649	53. Hyde CE, Wilson PH. Impaired Online Control in Children With Developmental
650	Coordination Disorder Reflects Developmental Immaturity. Developmental
651	Neuropsychology. Febr 2013;38(2):81-97.
652	
653	54. Hyde C, Fuelscher I, Buckthought K, Enticott PG, Gitay MA, Williams J. Motor imagery
654	is less efficient in adults with probable developmental coordination disorder: Evidence from
655	the hand rotation task. Research in Developmental Disabilities. Nov 2014;35(11):3062-70.
656	
657	55. Hyde, C., Fuelscher, I., Williams, J., Lum, J. A. G., He, J., Barhoun, P., & Enticott, P. G.
658	Corticospinal excitability during motor imagery is reduced in young adults with
659	developmental coordination disorder. Research in Developmental Disabilities. 2018 ; 72,
660	214-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.11.009
661	
662	56. Ionta S, Fourkas AD, Fiorio M, Aglioti SM. The influence of hands posture on mental
663	rotation of hands and feet. Exp Brain Res. 19 sept 2007;183(1):1-7.
664	

- 665 57. Iosa, M., Zoccolillo, L., Montesi, M., Morelli, D., Paolucci, S., & Fusco, A. The brain's
- sense of walking : A study on the intertwine between locomotor imagery and internal
- 667 locomotor models in healthy adults, typically developing children and children with cerebral
- 668 palsy. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2014; 8, 859.
- 669 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00859</u>
- 670
- 671 58. Jeannerod M. Mental imagery in the motor context. Neuropsychologia. Nov
- 672 1995;33(11):1419-32.
- 673
- 674 59. Jonas N. Pour les générations les plus récentes, les difficultés des adultes diminuent à
- 675 l'écrit, mais augmentent en calcul. 2012;4.
- 676
- 677 60. Kagerer FA, Bo J, Contreras-Vidal JL, Clark JE. Visuomotor Adaptation in Children with
- 678 Developmental Coordination Disorder. Motor Control. Oct 2004;8(4):450-60.
- 679
- 680 61. Kalicinski, M., Kempe, M., & Bock, O. Motor Imagery : Effects of Age, Task
- 681 Complexity, and Task Setting. Experimental Aging Research. 2015; 41(1), 25-38.
- 682 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0361073X.2015.978202</u>
- 683
- 684 62. Kaltner S, Jansen P. Mental rotation and motor performance in children with
- 685 developmental dyslexia. Research in Developmental Disabilities. March 2014;35(3):741-54.

- 687 63. Kreutzer JS, DeLuca J, Caplan B, éditeurs. Encyclopedia of Clinical Neuropsychology.
- 688 Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
- 689

690	64. Lefavrais, P. Alouette-R. Les éditions du centre de psychologie appliquée. 2005;
691	
692	65. Lejeune C, Wansard M, Geurten M, Meulemans T. Procedural learning, consolidation,
693	and transfer of a new skill in Developmental Coordination Disorder. Child Neuropsychology.
694	17 febr 2016;22(2):143-54.
695	
696	66. Licari MK, Reynolds JE. Understanding Performance Variability in Developmental
697	Coordination Disorder: What Does It All Mean? Curr Dev Disord Rep. June 2017;4(2):53-9.
698	
699	67. Lorås H, Sigmundsson H. Interrelations between Three Fine Motor Skills in Young
700	Adults. Percept Mot Skills. Aug 2012;115(1):171-8.
701	
702	68. Lum JAG, Ullman MT, Conti-Ramsden G. Procedural learning is impaired in dyslexia:
703	Evidence from a meta-analysis of serial reaction time studies. Research in Developmental
704	Disabilities. Oct 2013;34(10):3460-76.
705	
706	69. Macdonald SJ, Deacon L. Twice upon a time: Examining the effect socio-economic status
707	has on the experience of dyslexia in the United Kingdom. Dyslexia. Feb 2019;25(1):3-19.
708	
709	70. Malouin, F., Belleville, S., Richards, C. L., Desrosiers, J., & Doyon, J. Working memory
710	and mental practice outcomes after stroke. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.
711	2004; 85(2), 177-183. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993(03)00771-8
712	

713	71. Marquet-Doléac, J. S R, Albaret, J. M. MABC-2 Batterie d'évaluation du mouvement
714	chez l'enfant -2e édition- adaptation française. Edition du centre de psychologie appliquée.
715	2016;
716	
717	72. Martel M, Cardinali L, Roy AC, Farnè A. Tool-use: An open window into body
718	representation and its plasticity. Cognitive Neuropsychology. 17 féb 2016;33(1-2):82-101.
719	
720	73. Miall, R. C., Wolpert, D. M. Forward models for physiological motor control. Neural
721	Networks. 1996;
722	
723	74. Moe-Nilssen R, Helbostad JL. Interstride trunk acceleration variability but not step width
724	variability can differentiate between fit and frail older adults. Gait & Posture. Feb
725	2005;21(2):164-70.
726	
727	75. Naito E, Kochiyama T, Kitada R, Nakamura S, Matsumura M, Yonekura Y, et al.
728	Internally Simulated Movement Sensations during Motor Imagery Activate Cortical Motor
729	Areas and the Cerebellum. J Neurosci. 1 may 2002;22(9):3683-91.
730	
731	76. Nalavany BA, Logan JM, Carawan LW. The relationship between emotional experience
732	with dyslexia and work self-efficacy among adults with dyslexia. Dyslexia. Febr
733	2017;24(1):17-32.
734	
735	77. Nergård-Nilssen T, Hulme C. Developmental Dyslexia in Adults: Behavioural
736	Manifestations and Cognitive Correlates: Developmental Dyslexia in Adults. Dyslexia. Aug
737	2014;20(3):191-207.

7	3	8
	~	~

739	78. Nicolson RI, Fawcett AJ. Developmental dyslexia: The role of the cerebellum. 1999;23.
740	
741	79. Papaxanthis C, Schieppati M, Gentili R, Pozzo T. Imagined and actual arm movements
742	hAve similar durations when performed under different conditions of direction and mass. Exp
743	Brain Res. Apr 2002;143(4):447-52.
744	
745	81. Pennington B. From single to multiple deficit models of developmental disorders.
746	Cognition. Sept 2006;101(2):385-413.
747	
748	82. Personnier, P., Kubicki, A., Laroche, D., & Papaxanthis, C. Temporal features of
749	imagined locomotion in normal aging. Neuroscience Letters. 2010;476(3), 146-149.
750	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2010.04.017
751	
752	83. Pulvermüller F. Brain mechanisms linking language and action. Nat Rev Neurosci. July
753	2005;6(7):576-82.
754	
755	84. Ramus F. Theories of developmental dyslexia: insights from a multiple case study of
756	dyslexic adults. Brain. 1 apr 2003;126(4):841-65.
757	
758	85. Ramus F, Pidgeon E, Frith U. The relationship between motor control and phonology in
759	dyslexic children. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. July 2003;44(5):712-22.
760	
761	86. Ramus F, Szenkovits G. What Phonological Deficit? Quarterly Journal of Experimental
762	Psychology. Janu 2008;61(1):129-41.

764	87. Raven, J. C., Court, J. H., Raven, J. Raven's progressive matrices and vocabulary scales.
765	Oxford Psychologists Press. 1995;
766	
767	88. Rizzolatti G, Fogassi L, Gallese V. Neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the
768	understanding and imitation of action. Nat Rev Neurosci. Sept 2001;2(9):661-70.
769	
770	89. Rizzolatti G, Fogassi L, Gallese V. Motor and cognitive functions of the ventral premotor
771	cortex. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. Apr 2002;12(2):149-54.
772	
773	90. Scerrati E, Baroni G, Borghi AM, Galatolo R, Lugli L, Nicoletti R. The modality-switch
774	effect: visually and aurally presented prime sentences activate our senses. Front Psychol. 30
775	oct 2015;6.
776	
777	91. Schmitz C, Assaiante C. Developmental sequence in the acquisition of anticipation during
778	a new co-ordination in a bimanual load-lifting task in children. Neuroscience Letters. Sept
779	2002;330(3):215-8.
780	
781	92. Schmitz C, Martin N, Assaiante C. Development of anticipatory postural adjustments in a
782	bimanual load-lifting task in children. Experimental Brain Research. 4 may
783	1999;126(2):200-4.
784	
785	93. Schwartz J-L, Basirat A, Ménard L, Sato M. The Perception-for-Action-Control Theory
786	(PACT): A perceptuo-motor theory of speech perception. Journal of Neurolinguistics. Sept
787	2012;25(5):336-54.

789	94. Shaywitz SE, Shaywitz BA. Dyslexia (Specific Reading Disability). Biological
790	Psychiatry. June 2005;57(11):1301-9.
791	
792	95. Sinani C, Sugden DA, Hill EL. Gesture production in school vs. clinical samples of
793	children with Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD) and typically developing
794	children. Research in Developmental Disabilities. July 2011;32(4):1270-82.
795	
796	96. Sirigu A, Duhamel JR. Motor and Visual Imagery as Two Complementary but Neurally
797	Dissociable Mental Processes. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience. 1 oct 2001;13(7):910-9.
798	
799	97. Sirigu, A., Cohen, L., Duhamel, J. R., Pillon, B., Dubois, B., Agid, Y., et al. Congruent
800	unilateral impairments for real and imagined hand movements. Cognitive Neuroscience and
801	Neuropsychology. 1995;
802	
803	98. Skoura X, Vinter A, Papaxanthis C. Mentally Simulated Motor Actions in Children.
804	Developmental Neuropsychology. 11 may 2009;34(3):356-67.
805	
806	99. Smits-Engelsman, B. C. M., Blank, R., Van der Kaay, A. C., MOsterd Van der Meijs, R.,
807	Vlugt Van den Brand, E., Polatjko, H. J., et al. Efficacity of interventions to improve motor
808	performance in children with developmental coordination disorder: a combined systematic
809	review and meta-analysis. Developmental medecine&child neurology. 2012;
81 9 mi	ts-Engelsman, B., Blank, R., Kaay, AC., Meijs, R., Brand, E., Polatajko, H., & Wilson, P.
811	(2012). Efficacy of interventions to improve motor performance in children with

812	developmental coordination disorder : A combined systematic review and meta-analysis.
813	Developmental medicine and child neurology, 55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12008</u>
814	
815	Cignetti F, Fontan A, Menant J, Nazarian B, Anton JL, Vaugoyeau M, Assaiante C.
816	Protracted Development of the Proprioceptive Brain Network During and Beyond
817	Adolescence. Cereb Cortex. 2017 Feb 1;27(2):1285-1296. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv323.
818	PMID: 26733535.
819	100. Snowling, M.J. Dyslexia. (2nd Ed.) Oxford: Blackwell. 2000;
820	
821	101. Stoodley CJ. The Role of the Cerebellum in Developmental Dyslexia. In: The Linguistic
822	Cerebellum. Elsevier; 2016. p. 199-221.
823	
824	102. Stoodley CJ, Stein JF. Cerebellar Function in Developmental Dyslexia. Cerebellum. Apr
825	2013;12(2):267-76.
826	
827	103. Swanson HL, Hsieh C-J. Reading Disabilities in Adults: A Selective Meta-Analysis of
828	the Literature. Review of Educational Research. Déc 2009;79(4):1362-90.
829	
830	104. van Bergen E, van der Leij A, de Jong PF. The intergenerational multiple deficit model
831	and the case of dyslexia. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience. 2 June 2014;8.
832	
833	105. van de Walle de Ghelcke A, Skoura X, Edwards MG, Quercia P, Papaxanthis C. Action
834	representation deficits in adolescents with developmental dyslexia. J Neuropsychol. 20 Aug
835	2020;jnp.12220.
836	

837	106. Vargas CD. The Influence of Hand Posture on Corticospinal Excitability during Motor
838	Imagery: A Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Study. Cerebral Cortex. 13 May
839	2004;14(11):1200-6.
840	
841	107. Vellutino FR, Fletcher JM, Snowling MJ, Scanlon DM. Specific reading disability
842	(dyslexia): what have we learned in the past four decades? J Child Psychol & Psychiat. Janu
843	2004;45(1):2-40.
844	
845	108. Warlop, G., Vansteenkiste, P., Lenoir, M., Van Causenbroeck, J., & Deconinck, F. J. A.
846	Gaze behaviour during walking in young adults with developmental coordination disorder.
847	Human Movement Science. 2020; 71, 102616. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2020.102616</u>
848	
849	109. Watkins KE, Strafella AP, Paus T. Seeing and hearing speech excites the motor system
850	involved in speech production. Neuropsychologia. Janu 2003;41(8):989-94.
851	
852	110. Wilmut K. Performance Under Varying Constraints in DevelopmentalCoordination
853	Disorder (DCD): Difficulties and Compensations. Curr Dev Disord Rep. June
854	2017;4(2):46-52.
855	
856	111. Wilson M, Knoblich G. The Case for Motor Involvement in Perceiving Conspecifics.
857	Psychological Bulletin. 2005;131(3):460-73.
858	
859	112. Wilson PH, Maruff P, Butson M, Williams J, Lum J, Thomas PR. Internal representation
860	of movement in children with developmental coordination disorder: a mental rotation task.
861	Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2004;46(11):754-9.

8	62	2
---	----	---

863	113. Wilson PH, Ruddock S, Smits-Engelsman B, Polatajko H, Blank R. Understanding
864	performance deficits in developmental coordination disorder: a meta-analysis of recent
865	research: Review. Dev Med Child Neurol. Marc 2013;55(3):217-28.
866	
867	114. Wilson PH, Smits-Engelsman B, Caeyenberghs K, Steenbergen B, Sugden D, Clark J, et
868	al. Cognitive and neuroimaging findings in developmental coordination disorder: new insights
869	from a systematic review of recent research. Dev Med Child Neurol. Nov
870	2017;59(11):1117-29.
871	
872	115. Wolpert DM, Flanagan JR. Motor prediction. Current Biology. Sept
873	2001;11(18):R729-32.
874	
875	116. Wolpert DM, Miall RC, Kawato M. Internal models in the cerebellum. Trends in
876	Cognitive Sciences. Sept 1998;2(9):338-47.
877	
878	117. Zoia S, Barnett A, Wilson P, Hill E. Developmental Coordination Disorder: current
879	issues. Child Care Health Dev. nov 2006;32(6):613-8.
880	
881	118. Zwicker JG, Missiuna C, Harris SR, Boyd LA. Brain activation associated with motor
882	skill practice in children with developmental coordination disorder: an fMRI study. Int j dev
883	neurosci. avr 2011;29(2):145-52.
884	
885	
886	