



**HAL**  
open science

## Ping-pong Swaps

Ricardo Pérez-Marco, Cyril Grunspan

► **To cite this version:**

| Ricardo Pérez-Marco, Cyril Grunspan. Ping-pong Swaps. 2022. hal-03868779v1

**HAL Id: hal-03868779**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03868779v1>**

Preprint submitted on 23 Nov 2022 (v1), last revised 16 Jan 2023 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# PING-PONG SWAPS

## PEER-TO-PEER CROSSCHAIN SWAPS WITHOUT ESCROW NOR TRUSTED THIRD PARTY

CYRIL GRUNSPAN AND RICARDO PÉREZ-MARCO

**ABSTRACT.** We propose Ping-Pong Swaps: A secure pure peer-to-peer crosschain swap mechanism of tokens or cryptocurrencies that does not require escrow nor an intermediate trusted third party. The only technical requirement is to be able to open unidirectional payment channels in both blockchain protocols. This allows anonymous cryptocurrency trading without the need of a centralized exchange, nor DEX's in DeFi platforms, nor multisignature escrow systems with penalties. Direct peer-to-peer crosschain swaps can be performed without a bridge platform. This enables the creation of a global peer-to-peer market of pairs of tokens or cryptocurrencies. Ping-pong swaps with fiat currency is possible if banks incorporate simple payment channel functionalities. Some immediate applications are simple and fast rebalancing of Lightning Network channels, and wrapping tokens in smartchains.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Satoshi Nakamoto's foundational article [1] describes Bitcoin protocol as a peer-to-peer payment protocol. After Bitcoin's invention, many other cryptocurrencies were developed following the Bitcoin code or ideas. Some years later, smartchains were build, where it was easy to create tokens for various purposes using smartcontracts, like for example ERC-20 tokens in the Ethereum network. These tokens are used in ICO's but also some of them can represent stable coins, like for example Tether whose value is tied to the USD. Most of the trading occuring in cryptocurrency exchanges involves trading pairs A/B of two cryptocurrencies or tokens. These trades in exchanges are centralized are processed in the internal balances of the exchanges and require the trust on the exchange, and thus have a counterparty risk (for example the risk of fractional reserve and insolvency of the exchange). Our goal is to extend Nakamoto's peer-to-peer payment philosophy to the global cryptocurrency market.

When both tokens A and B are in the same smartchain, one can devise smartcontracts that allow a decentralized trade of the pair A/B through the interaction with

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 68M01, 91A05, 91G80.

*Key words and phrases.* Bitcoin, blockchain, peer-to-peer, payment channel, swap.

the smartcontract. This is the basic principle of Decentralized Exchanges (DEX's), like Uniswap in the ETH network, or Cake in the BSC network. These are fundamental pieces of the DeFi technology that is being build.

When both tokens or cryptocurrencies A and B do not belong to the same blockchain, the situation is more complex. Some cryptographic solutions of “Atomic Swaps” ([2]) have been proposed in certain blockchains with specific properties (see for example the Decred project [3]). Some peer-to-peer exchanges work in the base of an escrow system and penalties in case of dishonest behavior, as for example Bisq ([4]) or Hodlhodl ([5]). Also a “bridge industry” is being developed for crosschain swaps (Ren, Anyswap, Axelar, Thorchain, etc). Many of these projects aim to be decentralized but so far they do not achieve the decentralization quality that one expects from Bitcoin standards.

The goal of this article is to propose a very simple procedure that allows peer-to-peer decentralized swaps without escrow nor trusted third party involved (and, of course, no mutual trust required between both parties). The only important (but mild) technical requirement is that in both blockchains we can open simple unidirectional payment channels. An unidirectional payment channel can be open if we can create 2-2 multisignature transactions and we dispose of timelock scripts.

## 2. PAYMENT CHANNELS

**2.1. General payment channels.** Payment channels allow off-chain transactions that are instant and secure. They also allow micropayments and have no commission cost, except for the opening or closing of the channel on-chain. They require smartcontracts with a 2-2 multisignature and a timelock script. They can be unidirectional, i.e. allowing the exchange of the cryptocurrency in only one direction, or bidirectional. Unidirectional payment channels are much simpler than bidirectional ones that require a constant monitorization of the blockchain. This is not necessary in the case of unidirectional payment channels. Payment channels were first proposed by Satoshi Nakamoto himself in some private emails, and J. Spillman proposed a simple unidirectional payment channel that could be implemented after Segwit. Later, other sorts of more complex payment channels were proposed (see [6]). The Lightning Network that is currently being implemented, is build using the composition property of bidirectional Poon-Dryja payment channels, as described in the Lightning Network article [7]. For our purposes we only need the simpler Spillman's unidirectional channels. We describe in the next section how to build these in the Bitcoin network. In other smartchain with a rich scripting programming languages, one can build smartcontracts that simulate multisignatures, timelocks and unidirectional payment channels. We will not discuss the specifics for each smartchain or token type. With a rich scripting language the task is in general much easier than in

the Bitcoin network. For example, in the Ethereum network, the goal of the Raiden project [8] is to create a Lightning Network for tokens in the ETH blockchain and they have developed payment channels.

**2.2. Unidirectional payment channel in Bitcoin.** We describe how to build an unidirectional payment channel from Alice to Bob in the Bitcoin protocol (or similar). Alice wants to pay Bob. Alice and Bob agree on the total amount of bitcoins that will be locked in a 2-2 multisignature address with two outputs to addresses controlled by each one of them. Bob provides a signed transaction with the total amount and a timelock, so that Alice can recover the totality of her funds if the channel is not closed before some predetermined time  $t_0$  (in blockchain time). Then Alice sends the total amount of bitcoins to this 2-2 multisignature address. This on-chain transaction opens the payment channel. Now, each time Alice wants to pay Bob, she only needs to sign a 1-2 signature new transaction which transfers the new balance to the output addresses. Bob can cash-out anytime by providing the second signature and broadcasting the transaction. This action will close the payment channel. But Bob waits for more payments from Alice. In any case, Bob must close the channel before  $t_0$  or Alice will be able to recover all the funds.

With this procedure, Alice can make instant payments or micro-payments with no fee involved that are done off-chain by simple data communication from Alice to Bob. The computational cost is only formatting the transaction with 1 of 2 signatures. The ECDSA (or Snorr) signature requires only a small fraction of a second.

### 3. PING-PONG SWAPS.

We explain in this section ping-pong swaps with a very specific example using the Bitcoin and Litecoin networks, from which the general procedure is clear. The code of Litecoin is a fork of the code of Bitcoin and has incorporated most of the script functionalities from Bitcoin, thus we can also open in the Litecoin network Spillman unidirectional payment channels.

**3.1. Preliminary setup and opening channels.** Imagine now the situation where Alice wants to buy from Bob a cryptocurrency. For example, Alice has BTC and wants to buy LTC from Bob. In both of these two blockchains we can create unidirectional payment channels as described in the previous section. First they agree on an amount and a price for the trade of the pair BTC/LTC. Suppose that Alice wants to spend 1 BTC and they agree on the price  $1 \text{ LTC} = 0.003521 \text{ BTC}$  (current exchange rate at the moment). This means that Bob has to provide 284 LTC to Alice.

In the BTC network, Alice opens an unidirectional channel with Bob for the total amount of 1 BTC. In the LTC network, Bob opens an unidirectional channel with

Alice in the amount of 284 LTC. They both agree on timelocks (1 hour for example, enough time to avoid double spends with the timelocked recovery transactions).

They also agree on the granularity of the swap, which can be, for example, of 0.1% of the total amount. This is some small fraction of the total amount that is not worth cheating from any of the parties involved in the trade.

**3.2. Ping-pong micropayments.** After this setup, Alice and Bob engage in a “ping-pong” sequence of micropayments. First Alice pays 0.1% of the total amount (that is, 100.000 satoshis) to Bob through the Bitcoin payment channel. Once Bob has received the 1-2 signed transaction from Alice, he pays 0.2% of the total amount of LTC (0.568 LTC to be precise) to Alice through the LTC payment channel. Then, once Alice has received Bob’s payment, she sends 0.2% of the total amount of BTC, then Bob sends again 0.2% of LTC, and so on. In the last transaction Alice will send only 0.1%. In this example, Alice will perform a total of 501 payments and Bob 500 payments. Once they both transfer the total amount, they can close the channels and recover the funds in an address of their own.

Obviously, all these micropayments are done by a software wallet designed for this swap purpose. In the example, the total transaction is completed after 1001 signatures, thus in less than a second if the channel payments (signature) take under a millisecond. In general, the processing time is inversely proportional to the granularity of the swap.

**3.3. Cheating.** The important observation, is that the only way that Alice or Bob can cheat is by interrupting the “ping-pong” transactions and closing their receiving channel, pocketing the granularity of the payment (0.1% in our example). The other party will then close the channel to secure the payment already received. The granularity can be adjusted to have a small enough value to desincentivize any cheating. If the granularity is smaller than the fees for opening and closing the channels, there is no profit for the cheater (although he saves one granularity).

#### 4. IMPLEMENTATION.

In principle, this can be easily implemented as a mobile app having some functionalities of a software wallet in a computer or mobile phone. Once Alice and Bob agree on their trade, the app provides the necessary information to the other party to open the channels, and each one opens its unidirectional channel. Both apps communicate directly for the “ping-pong” of transactions, and finalizing the swap by closing the channels. This should be almost instantaneous, except for the waiting time of on-chain transaction to open and close the channels. If both Alice and Bob have channels in the Lightning Network in BTC, they can use it as one of the channels.

## 5. A DECENTRALIZED GLOBAL MARKET.

In order to find counterparts, the app can connect to some servers where people can post their trade offers, and the matching can be done automatically or manually. The advertisement of the offers can involve some centralized servers, but only for posting purposes. One can imagine a better system using a decentralized communication protocol similar to the one used in the Bitcoin network. In this setup, offers propagate flooding the network, as is done in the broadcasting of transactions in the Bitcoin network. Each app is a communication node, connects to 8 or more neighbours, and relays the “mempool” of offers for each trading pair A/B. This creates an international decentralized bid-ask order book for each trading pair. This builds a purely decentralized exchange and worldwide global market, which is uncensorable and with no centralized structure. Properly implemented, this global market offers anonymous trading, with almost no fees. The classical exchange and broker industry will still be useful for crypto/fiat pairs, or for those pairs of cryptocurrencies that involve one whose blockchains does not allow payment channels.

## 6. APPLICATION: WRAPPING CRYPTOCURRENCIES INTO OTHER CHAINS.

Non-native cryptocurrencies can be used in other smartchains if they are wrapped into native tokens. For example, bitcoins are wrapped into the Ethereum blockchain as tokens WBTC, in the Binance Smart Chain as BTCB, in RSK network ([9]) as R-BTC, or in Liquid network ([10]) as L-BTC. The procedure of wrapping can be more or less decentralized or elaborate depending on the chain. In Ethereum and the Binance smartchains, the tokenization is done by a trusted third party, a company or an exchange. In most of the situations, the tokenization can be done through a centralized exchange. In Liquid and RSK the procedure can be more decentralized, but requires an important number of confirmations of the transaction in the Bitcoin network (over 100 for RSK and Liquid, thus more than 16 hours). The wrapping procedure may also involve extra fees other than the transaction fees.

With ping-pong swaps we can simplify the procedure, taking advantage of anyone willing to unwrap tokens, with a ping-pong swap between the native and wrapped tokens. For example, Alice having native BTC, can initiate a ping-pong swap with Bob having wrapped BTC. Alice opens a payment channel with Bob in the BTC network, and Bob one to Alice in the smartchain. If the smartchain has fast confirmation times, the procedure takes the time of the confirmations for opening and closing the BTC channel. For example, we could wrap BTC and obtain R-BTC in RSK with a ping-pong swap in 4 confirmations (2 confirmations for opening and closing the channel is enough for security purposes, see [11]) instead of 100 confirmations required by RSK’s powpeg procedure.

## 7. APPLICATION: REBALANCING LIGHTNING NETWORK CHANNELS.

One of the most common problem of the LN as is being implemented is the rebalancing of payment channels. The channels used are all bidirectional. Unbalanced channels become unidirectional and their routing capability is impaired. Several solutions have been proposed to balance LN payment channels. By circularity, if we can find a convenient circular composition of channels that allows the rebalancing. Since the general flow of payments is from customers to vendors, this is not a realistic general solution. Other solutions like splines involve on-chain transaction, thus on-chain fees and waiting time. Here we propose a solution using ping-pong swaps using a secondary chain that has fast confirmations and cheap fees.

In case Alice and Bob have an open LN payment channel that they want to rebalance by sending funds from Alice to Bob, they can run a simple ping-pong swap. They will use the existing bidirectional LN channel as Alice payment channel, and Bob will open an unidirectional channel to Alice in the Bitcoin network or another blockchain (in this last case, they need to agree first on the conversion rate). They will proceed exactly as before. This procedure only needs two on-chain transactions for opening and closing the channel from Bob to Alice.

Notice that this allows to rebalance your Bitcoin LN channel using another cryptocurrency or token. If we use one that has fast confirmation time in its blockchain (as the Ethereum network), the whole procedure of rebalancing can be performed in seconds. If we use one that has cheap transaction fees, the cost will be small.

## 8. FIAT SWAPS

Ping-pong swaps can be performed with cryptocurrencies/fiat pairs if commercial banks incorporate the payment channel technology. In their centralized setting, this is very simple. Banks need to allow high frequency micropayments between client accounts. They need to have API's that allow the interaction of the users cryptowallet with their bank account in order to check the dynamics of the ping-pong micropayments. Then you can setup for example a BTC/USD ping-pong swap. Both Alice and Bob need to be customers of the bank, and Alice will open a payment channel to Bob's account internal to the bank. The app will perform the ping-pong swap checking with the APIs the bank account balance and ordering the micropayments.

Incorporating this technology, banks can become cryptoexchanges. They will only be custodial of the fiat funds. The users keep at all times control of their cryptocurrencies with their private keys.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Nakamoto. *Bitcoin: a peer-to-peer electronic cash system*, <https://bitcoin.org>, 2008.
- [2] *Atomic swaps*, [https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Atomic\\_swap](https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Atomic_swap).
- [3] *Decred atomic swaps*, <https://github.com/decred/atomicswap>
- [4] *Bisq*, <https://bisq.network>
- [5] *Hodlhodl*, <https://holdhodl.com>
- [6] *Payment channels*, [https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Payment\\_channels](https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Payment_channels)
- [7] J. Poon, T. Dryja *The Bitcoin Lightning Network: Scalable off-chain instant payments*, <https://lightning.network/lightning-network-paper.pdf>
- [8] *Raiden project*, <https://raiden.network>
- [9] *RSK sidechain*, <https://rsk.co>
- [10] *Liquid*, <https://blockstream.com/liquid>
- [11] C. Grunspan, R. Pérez-Marco. *On profitability of Nakamoto double spend*, Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences, **36**, no. 3, p. 732-746, 2022.

LÉONARD DE VINCI, PÔLE UNIV., RESEARCH CENTER, PARIS-LA DÉFENSE, FRANCE

*Email address:* [cyril.grunspan@devinci.fr](mailto:cyril.grunspan@devinci.fr)

CNRS, IMJ-PRG, PARIS, FRANCE

*Email address:* [ricardo.perez.marco@gmail.com](mailto:ricardo.perez.marco@gmail.com)

AUTHOR'S BITCOIN BEER ADDRESS (ABBA)<sup>1</sup>: 1KrQVxQqFyUY9WuWcr5EHGVvhCS841LPLN



---

<sup>1</sup>If you find this article useful, you can send some anonymous satoshis to support our research at the pub.