



HAL
open science

ASYMPTOTICALLY SELF-SIMILAR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR HARDY-H ÉNON PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

Byrame Ben Slimene

► **To cite this version:**

Byrame Ben Slimene. ASYMPTOTICALLY SELF-SIMILAR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR HARDY-H ÉNON PARABOLIC SYSTEMS. *Differential Equations and Applications*, 2022, 4, pp.439-462. 10.7153/dea-2019-11-21 . hal-03868436

HAL Id: hal-03868436

<https://hal.science/hal-03868436v1>

Submitted on 23 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ASYMPTOTICALLY SELF-SIMILAR GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR HARDY-HÉNON PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

BYRAME BEN SLIMENE

Université de Tunis El Manar, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis,
Département de Mathématiques, Laboratoire Equations aux Dérivées Partielles
LR03ES04, 2092 Tunis, Tunisia

and

Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS UMR 7539
Laboratoire Analyse, Géométrie et Applications,
99, Avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France
e-mail: byramebenslimene@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the nonlinear parabolic system $\partial_t u = \Delta u + a|x|^{-\gamma}|v|^{p-1}v$, $\partial_t v = \Delta v + b|x|^{-\rho}|u|^{q-1}u$, $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, $N \geq 1$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$, $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$, $p, q > 1$. Under conditions on the parameters p, q, γ and ρ we show the existence and uniqueness of global solutions for initial values small with respect of some norms. In particular, we show the existence of self-similar solutions with initial value $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, where φ_1, φ_2 are homogeneous initial data. We also prove that some global solutions are asymptotic for large time to self-similar solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider global in time solutions of the following nonlinear parabolic system

$$(S) \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + a|\cdot|^{-\gamma}|v|^{p-1}v, \\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + b|\cdot|^{-\rho}|u|^{q-1}u, \end{cases}$$

with initial data

$$u(0, x) = \varphi_1(x), \quad v(0, x) = \varphi_2(x), \quad (1.1)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40; 35B30; 35K58; 35K67; 35K57; Secondary 35B33.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear heat equation, Hardy-Hénon parabolic system, Global existence, Self-similar solutions, Large time behavior.

where $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$, $v = v(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$, $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$, $p, q > 1$.

In what follows, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ by $\|\cdot\|_r$. For $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote when there exists $\sup_{t \in I} [f(t), g(t)] = \max[\sup_{t \in I} f(t), \sup_{t \in I} g(t)]$. For all $t > 0$, $e^{t\Delta}$ denotes the heat semi-group, that is

$$(e^{t\Delta} f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(t, x-y) f(y) dy,$$

where

$$G(t, x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $r \in [1, \infty)$ or $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $e^{t\Delta} f$ is defined by duality.

A mild solution of the system (S)-(1.1) is a solution of the integral system

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = e^{t\Delta} \varphi_1 + a \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |v(\sigma)|^{p-1} v(\sigma)) d\sigma, \\ v(t) = e^{t\Delta} \varphi_2 + b \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\rho} |u(\sigma)|^{q-1} u(\sigma)) d\sigma. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

We investigate the existence of global solutions, including self-similar solutions for the semi-linear system (1.2). Moreover, we are concerned with estimating the decaying rate in time of some global solutions and their asymptotic behavior.

Using the key estimate established by Proposition 2.1 in [1] we can adapt the method in Fujita and Kato [9, 10] and recently used in [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 17, 18, 19].

This method is based on a contraction mapping argument on the associated integral system (1.2). Precisely we transform the problem of existence and uniqueness of global solutions into a problem of a fixed point for a function defined in a suitable Banach space equipped with a norm chosen so that we obtain directly the global character of the solution.

In this paper we seek conditions for the following parameters p, q, γ and ρ such that we have the global existence of some class of solutions, including self-similar solutions and the nonlinear asymptotic self-similar behavior of these solutions. For this we define $k, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1$ and β_2 by

$$k = \frac{(2-\gamma)q + (2-\rho)}{(2-\rho)p + (2-\gamma)}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2(pq-1)} [(2-\rho)p + (2-\gamma)], \quad (1.4)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2(pq-1)} [(2-\gamma)q + (2-\rho)], \quad (1.5)$$

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r_1} = \frac{1}{2(pq-1)} [(2-\rho)p + (2-\gamma)] - \frac{N}{2r_1}, \quad r_1 > 1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\beta_2 = \alpha_2 - \frac{N}{2r_2} = \frac{1}{2(pq-1)} [(2-\gamma)q + (2-\rho)] - \frac{N}{2r_2}, \quad r_2 > 1. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that α_1 and α_2 verify the following system

$$\begin{cases} 2 - \gamma + 2\alpha_1 = 2\alpha_2 p, \\ 2 - \rho + 2\alpha_2 = 2\alpha_1 q, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

and that

$$kp > 1, \quad q > k \text{ and } \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} = k.$$

Let us summarize the results of this paper. First of all if we suppose that the following conditions

$$2\alpha_1 < \min \left(N, \frac{p}{q}(N - \rho) \frac{(2 - \gamma)q + (2 - \rho)}{[2 + (2 - \rho)p - \gamma pq]_+} \right), \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$2\alpha_2 < \min \left(N, \frac{q}{p}(N - \gamma) \frac{(2 - \rho)p + (2 - \gamma)}{[2 + (2 - \gamma)q - \rho pq]_+} \right), \quad (1.10)$$

are satisfied, then we prove the global existence of solutions for some initial data $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ small with respect to the norm \mathcal{N} defined by

$$\mathcal{N}(\Phi) := \sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta} \varphi_1\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|e^{t\Delta} \varphi_2\|_{r_2} \right], \quad (1.11)$$

where β_1 and β_2 are given by (1.6) and (1.7), r_1 and r_2 are defined in Lemma 2.1 below. See Theorem 1 below. We also prove, for φ_1 homogeneous of degree $-2\alpha_1$ and φ_2 homogeneous of degree $-2\alpha_2$, where α_1 and α_2 are given by (1.4) and (1.5), that the initial data $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ gives rise to a global self-similar solution. See Theorem 2 below. Next we show as in [1], that solutions with initial data Ψ which behaves asymptotically like Φ in some appropriate sense as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, are asymptotically self-similar in the L^∞ -norm. See Theorem 3 below. The norm \mathcal{N} given in (1.11) is weak enough so that initial data $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ with homogeneous components have finite norm. We prove finally stronger uniqueness results in Lebesgue spaces for initial values small with respect of some norm. See Theorem 4 below.

Yamauchi in [24] studied the parabolic system (S). In [24, Theorem 2.1, p. 339] it is shown that for some nonnegative initial values under the conditions $\gamma < \min(N, 2)$, $\rho < \min(N, 2)$, $pq - 1 > 0$ and $\max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq \frac{N}{2}$, that no nonnegative nontrivial solutions exist.

The case $\gamma = \rho = 0$ has been already covered in [19]. In the case where $p = q$ and $\gamma = \rho > 0$, the parabolic system (S) behaves like a parabolic equation with singularity in the nonlinearity. For more reading about Hardy-Hénon equations see [1, 12, 14, 22].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the main results. In Section 3, we give the proofs of the main theorems. Finally, in Section 4, we give stronger uniqueness results. Throughout this paper C will be a positive constant which may have different values at different places. We denote sometimes $u(t)$ by $u(t, \cdot)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We now state the main results of the paper. Let $e^{t\Delta}$ be the linear heat semi-group defined by

$$(e^{t\Delta}\varphi)(x) = (G(t, \cdot) * \varphi)(x),$$

where G is the heat kernel

$$G(t, x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We recall the smoothing effect of the heat semi-group

$$\|e^{t\Delta}f\|_{s_2} \leq (4\pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{s_1} - \frac{1}{s_2})} \|f\|_{s_1}, \quad (2.1)$$

for $1 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \infty$, $t > 0$ and $f \in L^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We recall also the following key estimate from [1]

$$\|e^{t\Delta}(|\cdot|^{-\gamma}f)\|_{q_2} \leq C(N, \gamma, q_1, q_2) t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \|f\|_{q_1}, \quad (2.2)$$

for $0 \leq \gamma < N$, q_1 and q_2 such that $0 \leq \frac{1}{q_2} < \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{1}{q_1} < 1$, $t > 0$ and $f \in L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We note that if $q_2 = \infty$, then $e^{t\Delta}(|\cdot|^{-\gamma}f) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We begin with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (Technical lemma). *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let k be given by (1.3). Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let β_1, β_2 be given by (1.6) and (1.7). Then there exist $r_1 > 1$ and $r_2 > 1$ satisfying*

$$r_1 = kr_2, \quad (2.3)$$

such that

- (i) $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 > 0$ and $\beta_2 = k\beta_1$,
- (ii) $\frac{1}{r_1} < \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{p}{r_2} < 1$ and $\frac{1}{r_2} < \frac{\rho}{N} + \frac{q}{r_1} < 1$,
- (iii) $\beta_2 p < 1$ and $\beta_1 q < 1$,
- (iv) $\frac{N}{2r_1} \left(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2} p\right) < \frac{2-\gamma}{2}$ and $\frac{N}{2r_2} \left(-1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1} q\right) < \frac{2-\rho}{2}$,
- (v) $\frac{1}{r_1} < \frac{2\alpha_1}{N} < \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{p}{r_2}$ and $\frac{1}{r_2} < \frac{2\alpha_2}{N} < \frac{\rho}{N} + \frac{q}{r_1}$,
- (vi) $-\frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \beta_2 p + 1 + \beta_1 = 0$ and $-\frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) - \frac{\rho}{2} - \beta_1 q + 1 + \beta_2 = 0$.

We prove this lemma in the appendix.

Theorem 1 (Global existence and continuous dependence). *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4)*

and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let β_1, β_2 be given by (1.6) and (1.7). Let r_1 and r_2 be as in Lemma 2.1. Let $M > 0$ be such that

$$\nu = \max(M^{p-1}\nu_1, M^{q-1}\nu_2) < 1, \quad (2.4)$$

where ν_1 and ν_2 are two positive constants given by (3.8) and (3.9) below. Choose $R > 0$ such that

$$R + M\nu \leq M. \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ be an element of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}(\Phi) := \sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta}\varphi_1\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|e^{t\Delta}\varphi_2\|_{r_2} \right] \leq R. \quad (2.6)$$

Then there exists a unique global solution $U = (u, v) \in C((0, \infty); L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of the integral system (1.2) such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1} \|u(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq M. \quad (2.7)$$

Furthermore,

- (a) $\lim_{t \searrow 0} u(t) = \varphi_1$ and $\lim_{t \searrow 0} v(t) = \varphi_2$ in the sense of tempered distributions,
- (b) $u(t) - e^{t\Delta}\varphi_1 \in C([0, \infty), L^{\tau_1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ for τ_1 satisfying $\frac{2\alpha_1}{N} < \frac{1}{\tau_1} < \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{p}{r_2}$,
- (c) $v(t) - e^{t\Delta}\varphi_2 \in C([0, \infty), L^{\tau_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ for τ_2 satisfying $\frac{2\alpha_2}{N} < \frac{1}{\tau_2} < \frac{\rho}{N} + \frac{q}{r_1}$,
- (d) $\sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r}} \|u(t)\|_r < \infty$, $\forall r \in [r_1, \infty]$, and $u \in C((0, \infty), L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))$,
- (e) $\sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha_2 - \frac{N}{2r}} \|v(t)\|_r < \infty$, $\forall r \in [r_2, \infty]$, and $v \in C((0, \infty), L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))$.

In addition, if $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ satisfy (2.6), and if $U_1 = (u_1, v_1)$ and $U_2 = (u_2, v_2)$ respectively are the solutions of the system (1.2) with initial values Φ and Ψ , then

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq (1 - \nu)^{-1} \mathcal{N}(\Phi - \Psi). \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, if the initial data Φ and Ψ are such that

$$\mathcal{N}_\delta(\Phi - \Psi) = \sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2 + \delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_2 - \psi_2)\|_{r_2} \right] < \infty, \quad (2.9)$$

for some $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, where

$$\delta_0 = \min \{1 - \beta_1 q, 1 - \beta_2 p\}. \quad (2.10)$$

Then

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2 + \delta} \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq (1 - \nu')^{-1} \mathcal{N}_\delta(\Phi - \Psi), \quad (2.11)$$

where the positive constant M is chosen small enough so that $0 < \nu' < 1$, where ν' is given by the relations (3.16)-(3.18) below.

Finally, if we suppose also that $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}'(\Phi) := \max \left[\|\varphi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|\varphi_2\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] < R, \quad (2.12)$$

then the solution $U = (u, v)$ of the integral system (1.2) satisfies also $U \in C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \times C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)$ and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left[\|u(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] \leq M. \quad (2.13)$$

Where M and R are sufficiently small.

Now we give the following result which proves the existence of self-similar solutions.

Theorem 2 (Self-similar solutions). *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let $\varphi_1(x) = \omega_1(x)|x|^{-2\alpha_1}$, $\varphi_2(x) = \omega_2(x)|x|^{-2\alpha_2}$, where $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are homogeneous of degree 0 and $\|\omega_1\|_\infty, \|\omega_2\|_\infty$ are sufficiently small. Denote $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, then there exists a global self-similar solution $U_S = (u_S, v_S)$ of (1.2) with initial data Φ . Moreover $U_S(t) \rightarrow \Phi$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.*

We turn now to the asymptotic behavior.

Theorem 3 (Asymptotic behavior). *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let β_1, β_2 be given by (1.6) and (1.7). Let r_1 and r_2 be as in Lemma 2.1. Define $\beta_1(q)$ and $\beta_2(q)$ by*

$$\beta_1(q) = \alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2q}, \quad \beta_2(q) = \alpha_2 - \frac{N}{2q}, \quad q > 1. \quad (2.14)$$

Let Φ be given by

$$\Phi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)) := (\omega_1(x)|x|^{-2\alpha_1}, \omega_2(x)|x|^{-2\alpha_2})$$

with ω_1, ω_2 homogeneous of degree 0, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\|\omega_1\|_\infty, \|\omega_2\|_\infty$ are sufficiently small. Let

$$U_S(t, x) = \left(t^{-\alpha_1} u_S\left(1, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right), t^{-\alpha_2} v_S\left(1, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right)$$

be the self-similar solution of (1.2) given by Theorem 2.

Let $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \times C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that

$$|\psi_1(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha_1}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \psi_1(x) = \omega_1(x)|x|^{-2\alpha_1}, \quad |x| \geq A,$$

$$|\psi_2(x)| \leq \frac{c}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha_2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \psi_2(x) = \omega_2(x)|x|^{-2\alpha_2}, \quad |x| \geq A,$$

for some constant $A > 0$, where c is a small positive constant. (We take $\|\omega_1\|_\infty, \|\omega_2\|_\infty$ and c sufficiently small so that (2.6) is satisfied by Φ and Ψ).

Let $U = (u, v)$ be the global solution of (1.2) with initial data Ψ constructed by Theorem 1. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\|u(t) - u_S(t)\|_{q_1} \leq C_\delta t^{-\beta_1(q_1) - \delta}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|v(t) - v_S(t)\|_{q_2} \leq C_\delta t^{-\beta_2(q_2) - \delta}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.16)$$

for all $q_1 \in [r_1, \infty]$, $q_2 \in [r_2, \infty]$. Also, we have

$$\|t^{\alpha_1} u(t, \sqrt{t}) - u_S(1, \cdot)\|_{q_1} \leq C_\delta t^{-\delta}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\|t^{\alpha_2} v(t, \sqrt{t}) - v_S(1, \cdot)\|_{q_2} \leq C_\delta t^{-\delta}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

for all $q_1 \in [r_1, \infty]$, $q_2 \in [r_2, \infty]$.

To close this section we give the conditions on p, q, γ, ρ which guarantee that the relations (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied.

Proposition 2.2. *Let N be a positive integer. Let the real numbers $p, q > 1$. Suppose that*

$$\max[p, q] + 1 < \frac{N}{2}(pq - 1).$$

Then there exist $\gamma_0, \rho_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq \gamma < \gamma_0$, $0 < \rho < \rho_0$, (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied.

Proposition 2.3. *Let N be a positive integer. Fix $0 < \gamma < \min(2, N)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(2, N)$. Let $p, q > 1$ such that*

$$p \geq \max\left(\frac{2 - \gamma}{N} + \frac{2 - \rho}{N} + 1, \frac{2 - \gamma}{\rho} + \frac{2}{\rho}\right),$$

and

$$q \geq \max\left(\frac{2 - \rho}{N} + \frac{2 - \gamma}{N} + 1, \frac{2 - \rho}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{\gamma}\right).$$

Then (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied.

The proof of those two propositions is given in the next section.

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We look for global solutions of the system (1.2) via a fixed point argument. Let us denote $U = (u, v)$, $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U) = (F_\Phi(U), G_\Phi(U)), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$F_\Phi(U)(t) = e^{t\Delta}\varphi_1 + a \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma}|v(\sigma)|^{p-1}v(\sigma)) d\sigma, \quad (3.2)$$

$$G_\Phi(U)(t) = e^{t\Delta}\varphi_2 + b \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\rho}|u(\sigma)|^{q-1}u(\sigma)) d\sigma, \quad (3.3)$$

with φ_1 and φ_2 being two tempered distributions, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$, $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$, $p, q > 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let X be the set of continuous functions

$$\begin{aligned} U &: (0, \infty) \rightarrow L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ t &\mapsto (u(t), v(t)) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\|U\|_X := \sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1} \|u(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v(t)\|_{r_2} \right] < \infty,$$

where r_1, r_2 are two positive real numbers satisfying conditions in Lemma 2.1 and β_1, β_2 are respectively given by (1.6) and (1.7).

Let $M > 0$ and define the closed ball in the Banach space X by

$$X_M = \{U \in X, \|U\|_X \leq M\}.$$

X_M , endowed with the metric $d(U_1, U_2) = \|U_1 - U_2\|_X$, is a complete metric space.

Consider the mapping \mathcal{F}_Φ defined by (3.1), where $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (2.6). We will show that $\mathcal{F}_\Phi = (F_\Phi, G_\Phi)$ is a strict contraction mapping on X_M .

Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ belong to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (2.6). Let $U_1 = (u_1, v_1)$ and $U_2 = (u_2, v_2)$ be two elements of X_M . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_1} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{r_1} &\leq t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} \\ &\quad + |a| t^{\beta_1} \int_0^t \|e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} |\cdot|^{-\gamma} [|v_1(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_1(\sigma) - |v_2(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_2(\sigma)]\|_{r_1} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, by the key estimate (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_2}{p}, r_1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_1} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{r_1} &\leq t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} \\ &\quad + |a| t^{\beta_1} \int_0^t C(t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \| |v_1(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_1(\sigma) - |v_2(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_2(\sigma) \|_{\frac{r_2}{p}} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Using the fact that, for $r > p > 1$,

$$\| |f|^{p-1}f - |g|^{p-1}g \|_{r/p} \leq p(\|f\|_r^{p-1} + \|g\|_r^{p-1})\|f - g\|_r,$$

we obtain by (3.4) and the fact that U_1 and U_2 belongs to X_M , that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_1} \|F_{\Phi}(U_1)(t) - F_{\Psi}(U_2)(t)\|_{r_1} &\leq t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} + 2p|a|Ct^{\beta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} M^{p-1} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_1} \|F_{\Phi}(U_1)(t) - F_{\Psi}(U_2)(t)\|_{r_1} &\leq t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} + 2|a|CpM^{p-1}t^{\beta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_X \\ &\leq t^{\beta_1} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} \\ &\quad + 2|a|CpM^{p-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}) - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \beta_2 p + 1 + \beta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_X. \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly using estimate (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_1}{q}, r_2)$, we obtain an analogous estimate of $t^{\beta_2} \|G_{\Phi}(U_1)(t) - G_{\Psi}(U_2)(t)\|_{r_2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_2} \|G_{\Phi}(U_1)(t) - G_{\Psi}(U_2)(t)\|_{r_2} &\leq t^{\beta_2} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_2 - \psi_2)\|_{r_2} + 2|b|CqM^{q-1}t^{\beta_2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_2}(-1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1}q) - \frac{\ell}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_X \\ &\leq t^{\beta_2} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_2 - \psi_2)\|_{r_2} \\ &\quad + 2|b|CqM^{q-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}) - \frac{\ell}{2} - \beta_1 q + 1 + \beta_2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_2}(-1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1}q) - \frac{\ell}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_X. \quad (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

Now, due to Part (vi) of Lemma 2.1, inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}(U_1) - \mathcal{F}_{\Psi}(U_2)\|_X \leq \mathcal{N}(\Phi - \Psi) + \nu \|U_1 - U_2\|_X, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\nu = \max(M^{p-1}\nu_1, M^{q-1}\nu_2),$$

with

$$\nu_1 = 2|a|Cp \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\nu_2 = 2|b|Cq \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_2}(-1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1}q) - \frac{\ell}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q} d\sigma. \quad (3.9)$$

Finally, from Parts (iii)-(iv) of Lemma 2.1, we see that both quantities ν_1 and ν_2 are finite. Setting $\Psi = 0$ and $U_2 = 0$, the inequality (3.7) becomes

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_1)\|_X \leq \mathcal{N}(\Phi) + \nu\|U_1\|_X. \quad (3.10)$$

If we choose M and R such that (2.5) and (2.6) are satisfied then by (3.10), \mathcal{F}_Φ maps X_M into itself. Letting $\Phi = \Psi$, we observe that (3.7) becomes

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_1) - \mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_2)\|_X \leq \nu\|U_1 - U_2\|_X.$$

Hence inequality (2.4) gives that \mathcal{F}_Φ is a strict contraction mapping from X_M into itself. So \mathcal{F}_Φ has a unique fixed point $U = (u, v)$ in X_M which is solution of (1.2). This achieves the proof of the existence of a unique global solution of (1.2) in X_M .

We now prove the statements (a)-(c). Let τ_1 be a positive real number satisfying

$$\frac{2\alpha_1}{N} < \frac{1}{\tau_1} < \frac{\gamma}{N} + \frac{p}{r_2}, \quad (3.11)$$

then by (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_2}{p}, \tau_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - e^{t\Delta}\varphi_1\|_{\tau_1} &\leq |a| \int_0^t \|e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma}|v(\sigma)|^{p-1}v(\sigma))\|_{\tau_1} d\sigma \\ &\leq |a| \int_0^t C(t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2}-\frac{1}{\tau_1})-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|v(\sigma)\|_{r_2}^p d\sigma \\ &\leq |a|CM^p t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2}-\frac{1}{\tau_1})-\beta_2 p+1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2}-\frac{1}{\tau_1})-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|u(t) - e^{t\Delta}\varphi_1\|_{\tau_1} \leq C_1 t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2}-\frac{1}{\tau_1})-\beta_2 p+\frac{2-\gamma}{2}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$C_1 = |a|CM^p \int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2}-\frac{1}{\tau_1})-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma,$$

is a positive constant. Owing to (3.11) and Part (iii) of Lemma 2.1, the constant C_1 is finite. Similarly using (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_1}{q}, \tau_2)$, we obtain for τ_2 satisfying

$$\frac{2\alpha_2}{N} < \frac{1}{\tau_2} < \frac{\rho}{N} + \frac{q}{r_1}, \quad (3.13)$$

the following inequality

$$\|v(t) - e^{t\Delta}\varphi_2\|_{\tau_2} \leq C_2 t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{\tau_2})-\beta_1 q+\frac{2-\rho}{2}}, \quad (3.14)$$

where C_2 is a positive constant given by

$$C_2 = |b|CM^q \int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{q}{r_1}-\frac{1}{\tau_2})-\frac{\rho}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q} d\sigma,$$

which is finite by (3.13) and Part (iii) of Lemma 2.1.

Owing to the conditions (3.11) and (3.13), the right hand sides of (3.12) and (3.14) converges to zero as $t \searrow 0$. This proves statements (a)-(c) of Theorem 1.

Finally, the continuous dependance relation (2.8) of Theorem 1 follows by considering $\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_1) = U_1$ and $F_\Psi(U_2) = U_2$ in the inequality (3.7).

Now, if in addition Φ and Ψ satisfy (2.9), then following the same steps as above but with the norm

$$\|U = (u, v)\|_{X, \delta} = \sup_{t > 0} \left[t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|u(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2 + \delta} \|v(t)\|_{r_2} \right],$$

we obtain by the key estimate (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_2}{p}, r_1)$, the fact that U_1 and U_2 belongs to X_M and the estimate $\|v_1(\sigma) - v_2(\sigma)\|_{r_2} \leq \sigma^{-\beta_2 - \delta} \|U_1 - U_2\|_{X, \delta}$

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{r_1} &\leq t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} + |a|t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \\ &\times \int_0^t C(t - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \| |v_1(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_1(\sigma) - |v_2(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_2(\sigma) \|_{\frac{r_2}{p}} d\sigma \\ &\leq t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} + 2|a|CpM^{p-1}t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \\ &\times \left[\int_0^t (t - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p - \delta} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_{X, \delta} \\ &\leq t^{\beta_1 + \delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} \\ &\quad + 2|a|CpM^{p-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}) - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \beta_2 p + 1 + \beta_1} \\ &\times \left[\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p - \delta} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_{X, \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain also

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta_2 + \delta} \|G_\Phi(U_1)(t) - G_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{r_2} &\leq t^{\beta_2 + \delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_2 - \psi_2)\|_{r_2} \\ &\quad + 2|b|CqM^{q-1}t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}) - \frac{\rho}{2} - \beta_1 q + 1 + \beta_2} \\ &\times \left[\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_2}(-1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1}q) - \frac{\rho}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q - \delta} d\sigma \right] \|U_1 - U_2\|_{X, \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_1) - \mathcal{F}_\Psi(U_2)\|_{X, \delta} \leq \mathcal{N}_\delta(\Phi - \Psi) + \nu' \|U_1 - U_2\|_{X, \delta}, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\nu' = \max(M^{p-1}\nu'_1, M^{q-1}\nu'_2), \quad (3.16)$$

with

$$\nu'_1 = 2|a|Cp \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_1}(-1 + \frac{r_1}{r_2}p) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p - \delta} d\sigma, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\nu'_2 = 2|b|Cq \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2r_2}(-1 + \frac{r_2}{r_1}q) - \frac{\rho}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q - \delta} d\sigma. \quad (3.18)$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_1) = U_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_\Psi(U_2) = U_2$, then (3.15) becomes

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1+\delta} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2+\delta} \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq (1 - \nu')^{-1} \mathcal{N}_\delta(\Phi - \Psi).$$

Now, since $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ with δ_0 given by (2.10), ν'_1 and ν'_2 are finite. Thus, (2.11) holds by choosing $\nu' < 1$ (this choice is possible for M small enough), where ν' is given by (3.16)-(3.18).

We now prove statements (d)-(e) of Theorem 1 for $r = \infty$, we use some arguments of [18]. Let us consider two real numbers r and r' such that $r = kr'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < r_1 < r \leq \infty, & \quad 1 < r_2 < r' \leq \infty, \\ 0 < \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) < \frac{2-\gamma}{2}, & \quad 0 < \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r'} \right) < \frac{2-\rho}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Remark that a such choice is possible owing to Lemma A.1. Write now,

$$u(t) = e^{\frac{t}{2}\Delta} u(t/2) + a \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |v(\sigma)|^{p-1} v(\sigma)) d\sigma.$$

Then by using the smoothing properties of the heat semigroup (2.1), the estimate (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_2}{p}, r)$, (3.19) and the estimate (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r}} \|u(t)\|_r &\leq C \sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r_1}} \|u(t)\|_{r_1} \right] \\ &\quad + |a| t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |v(\sigma)|^{p-1} v(\sigma))\|_r d\sigma \\ &\leq CM + C t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \|v(\sigma)\|_{r_2}^p d\sigma \\ &\leq CM + CM^p t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \\ &\leq CM + CM^p \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2r}} \|u(t)\|_r \right] \leq C(M) < \infty.$$

Analogously, we obtain the following estimate on the second component v :

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\alpha_2 - \frac{N}{2r'}} \|v(t)\|_{r'} \right] \leq C(M) < \infty.$$

We iterate this procedure, for the next step we replace in (3.19) r_1 by r , r_2 by r' and we consider two real numbers s_2 and s'_2 such that $s_2 = ks'_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < r < s_2 \leq \infty, & \quad 1 < r' < s'_2 \leq \infty, \\ 0 < \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{p}{r'} - \frac{1}{s_2} \right) < \frac{2-\gamma}{2}, & \quad 0 < \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{q}{r} - \frac{1}{s'_2} \right) < \frac{2-\rho}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2s_2}} \|u(t)\|_{s_2}, t^{\alpha_2 - \frac{N}{2s'_2}} \|v(t)\|_{s'_2} \right] \leq C(M) < \infty.$$

We therefore construct two sequences $(s_i)_i$ and $(s'_i)_i$ with $s_0 = r_1$, $s'_0 = r_2$, $s_1 = r$, $s'_1 = r'$ and such that $s_i = ks'_i$, $\forall i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < s_i < s_{i+1} \leq \infty, & \quad 1 < s'_i < s'_{i+1} \leq \infty, \\ 0 < \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{p}{s'_i} - \frac{1}{s_{i+1}} \right) < \frac{2-\gamma}{2}, & \quad 0 < \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{q}{s_i} - \frac{1}{s'_{i+1}} \right) < \frac{2-\rho}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\alpha_1 - \frac{N}{2s_i}} \|u(t)\|_{s_i}, t^{\alpha_2 - \frac{N}{2s'_i}} \|v(t)\|_{s'_i} \right] \leq C(M) < \infty, \quad \forall i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now by Lemma A.1, one can choose the sequences $(s_i)_i$ and $(s'_i)_i$ such that they reach ∞ for some finite i . We finally obtain

$$\sup_{t>0} [t^{\alpha_1} \|u(t)\|_{\infty}, t^{\alpha_2} \|v(t)\|_{\infty}] \leq C(M) < \infty,$$

with $C(M) \searrow 0$ as $M \searrow 0$.

Finally, if in addition Φ satisfies (2.12), the fact that the solution $U = (u, v)$ of the integral system (1.2) with initial value Φ belongs to $C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \times C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)$ and the proof of the affirmation (2.13) are based on a contraction mapping argument in the set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_M = \left\{ U = (u, v) \in C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \times C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \cap C\left((0, \infty), L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \right. \\ \left. \times C\left((0, \infty), L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right); \max \left[\sup_{t \geq 0} [\|u(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}], \sup_{t > 0} [t^{\beta_1} \|u(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v(t)\|_{r_2}] \right] \leq M \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Endowed with the metric

$$\begin{aligned} d(U_1, U_2) := d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \max \left[\sup_{t \geq 0} [\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}], \right. \\ \left. \sup_{t > 0} [t^{\beta_1} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{r_2}] \right], \end{aligned}$$

Y_M is a nonempty complete metric space.

Consider the mapping \mathcal{F}_Φ defined by (3.2)-(3.3), where $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (2.12). We will show that $\mathcal{F}_\Phi = (F_\Phi, G_\Phi)$ is a strict contraction mapping on Y_M .

Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ belong to $L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying (2.12). Let $U_1 = (u_1, v_1)$ and $U_2 = (u_2, v_2)$ be two elements of Y_M . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} &\leq \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} \\ &\quad + |a| \int_0^t \|e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} \cdot |^{-\gamma} [|v_1(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_1(\sigma) - |v_2(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_2(\sigma)]\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, by the key estimate (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\frac{r_2}{p}, \frac{N}{2\alpha_1})$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} &\leq \|\varphi_1 - \psi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} \\ &\quad + |a| \int_0^t C(t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \| |v_1(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_1(\sigma) - |v_2(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_2(\sigma) \|_{\frac{r_2}{p}} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

we obtain by (3.20) and the fact that U_1 and U_2 belongs to Y_M , that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} &\leq \|\varphi_1 - \psi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} + |a|C \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^t (t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} 2p\sigma^{-\beta_2 p} M^{p-1} d\sigma \right] d(U_1, U_2), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} &\leq \|\varphi_1 - \psi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} + 2|a|CpM^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^t (t-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \right] d(U_1, U_2) \\ &\leq \|\varphi_1 - \psi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} \\ &\quad + 2|a|CpM^{p-1} t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2} - \beta_2 p + 1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \right] d(U_1, U_2). \end{aligned}$$

Owing to (1.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} &\leq \|\varphi_1 - \psi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} + 2|a|CpM^{p-1} t^{\alpha_1 - p\alpha_2 + \frac{2-\gamma}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \right] d(U_1, U_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since α_1, α_2 satisfy (1.4) and (1.5), using the fact that $r_1 > \frac{N}{2\alpha_1}$ and due to Part (iv) of Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\alpha_1 - p\alpha_2 + \frac{2-\gamma}{2} = 0, \quad \frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) + \frac{\gamma}{2} < \frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1}) + \frac{\gamma}{2} < 1.$$

Using also the fact that $\beta_2 p < 1$, we get

$$\|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}} \leq \mathcal{N}'(\Phi - \Psi) + M^{p-1}\nu_1''d(U_1, U_2), \quad (3.21)$$

with ν_1'' is a finite positive constant defined by

$$\nu_1'' = 2|a|Cp \times \left[\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{p}{r_2} - \frac{2\alpha_1}{N}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_2 p} d\sigma \right].$$

Similarly, we get

$$\|G_\Phi(U_1)(t) - G_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \leq \mathcal{N}'(\Phi - \Psi) + M^{q-1}\nu_2''d(U_1, U_2), \quad (3.22)$$

with ν_2'' is a finite positive constant defined by

$$\nu_2'' = 2|b|Cq \times \left[\int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{q}{r_1} - \frac{2\alpha_2}{N}) - \frac{\rho}{2}} \sigma^{-\beta_1 q} d\sigma \right].$$

Owing to (3.21) and (3.22) we get

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left[\|F_\Phi(U_1)(t) - F_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|G_\Phi(U_1)(t) - G_\Psi(U_2)(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] \leq \mathcal{N}'(\Phi - \Psi) + \nu''d(U_1, U_2), \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\nu'' = \max(M^{p-1}\nu_1'', M^{q-1}\nu_2'').$$

We can conclude now from (3.7) and (3.23) and from the estimate $\mathcal{N}(\Phi - \Psi) \leq \mathcal{N}'(\Phi - \Psi)$, that

$$d(\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U_1), \mathcal{F}_\Psi(U_2)) \leq \mathcal{N}'(\Phi - \Psi) + \max(\nu, \nu'')d(U_1, U_2). \quad (3.24)$$

It is clear that if $U \in Y_M$, then $\mathcal{F}_\Phi(U) \in C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \times C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \cap C\left((0, \infty), L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \times C\left((0, \infty), L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)$. Hence, by choosing M and R such that

$$R + M \max(\nu, \nu'') \leq M, \quad (3.25)$$

it follows that \mathcal{F}_Φ is a strict contraction from Y_M into itself. So \mathcal{F}_Φ has a unique fixed point in Y_M which is solution of (1.2).

Remark finally when the initial data Φ belongs to $L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm \mathcal{N}' , that the condition (2.6) is satisfied, since $\mathcal{N}(\Phi) \leq \mathcal{N}'(\Phi)$. We note also that by the previous calculations, precisely (3.24) we have the following continuous dependence property: Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and let $U_\Phi = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)$

and $U_\Psi = (u_\Psi, v_\Psi)$ be the solutions of (1.2) with initial values Φ and respectively Ψ , with $\sup_{t \geq 0} \left[\|u_\Phi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_\Phi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] \leq M$ and $\sup_{t \geq 0} \left[\|u_\Psi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_\Psi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] \leq M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left[\|u_\Phi(t) - u_\Psi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_\Phi(t) - v_\Psi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] &\leq (1 - K)^{-1} \\ &\times \max \left[\|\varphi_1 - \psi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|\varphi_2 - \psi_2\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

for some positive constant $K = \max(\nu, \nu'')$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Let us define the scaling operator d_λ by

$$[d_\lambda \varphi](x) = \varphi(\lambda x).$$

It follows that

$$e^{t\Delta} d_\lambda = d_\lambda e^{\lambda^2 t \Delta}, \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We now construct self-similar solution with initial data Φ . We adapt the method used in [1]. Let us define Φ_λ , for $\lambda > 0$, by

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) := (\lambda^{2\alpha_1} \varphi_1(\lambda x), \lambda^{2\alpha_2} \varphi_2(\lambda x)).$$

It is clear that Φ_λ satisfies

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) = \Phi(x), \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Let U be the solution of the integral system (1.2) with initial data Φ constructed by Theorem 1 (remark that $\mathcal{N}(\Phi) < \infty$, since r_1 satisfies Parts (i)-(ii) of Lemma A.1 below and by homogeneity, also $\mathcal{N}(\Phi)$ is sufficiently small since $\|\omega_1\|_\infty$ and $\|\omega_2\|_\infty$ are sufficiently small). That is U belong to X_M . We want to prove that $U_\lambda = U$, $\forall \lambda > 0$, where $U_\lambda(t, x) := (u_\lambda(t, x), v_\lambda(t, x))$, $\forall \lambda > 0$, with

$$u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{2\alpha_1} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x),$$

and

$$v_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{2\alpha_2} v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x).$$

To do this it suffice to prove that U_λ is also a solution of (1.2) with the same initial data $\Phi_\lambda = \Phi$ and that U_λ belong to X_M . On one hand due the homogeneity properties of the

system (1.2), if $U = (u, v)$ solves this system, then the scaled function solve it also. In fact

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\lambda u(\lambda^2 t) &= d_\lambda e^{\lambda^2 t \Delta} \varphi_1 + a \int_0^{\lambda^2 t} d_\lambda e^{(\lambda^2 t - \sigma) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |v(\sigma)|^{p-1} v(\sigma)) d\sigma \\
&= e^{t \Delta} d_\lambda \varphi_1 + a \int_0^{\lambda^2 t} e^{(t - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda^2}) \Delta} (d_\lambda (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |v(\sigma)|^{p-1} v(\sigma))) d\sigma \\
&= e^{t \Delta} d_\lambda \varphi_1 + a \int_0^{\lambda^2 t} \lambda^{-\gamma} e^{(t - \frac{\sigma}{\lambda^2}) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |d_\lambda v(\sigma)|^{p-1} d_\lambda v(\sigma)) d\sigma \\
&= e^{t \Delta} d_\lambda \varphi_1 + a \int_0^t \lambda^{2-\gamma} e^{(t-\sigma) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |d_\lambda v(\lambda^2 \sigma)|^{p-1} d_\lambda v(\lambda^2 \sigma)) d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (1.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{2\alpha_1} d_\lambda u(\lambda^2 t) &= e^{t \Delta} d_\lambda (\lambda^{2\alpha_1} \varphi_1) + a \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} \lambda^{2-\gamma+2\alpha_1} |d_\lambda v(\lambda^2 \sigma)|^{p-1} d_\lambda v(\lambda^2 \sigma)) d\sigma \\
&= e^{t \Delta} d_\lambda (\lambda^{2\alpha_1} \varphi_1) + a \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |\lambda^{2\alpha_2} d_\lambda v(\lambda^2 \sigma)|^{p-1} \lambda^{2\alpha_2} d_\lambda v(\lambda^2 \sigma)) d\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude finally that

$$u_\lambda(t) = e^{t \Delta} \varphi_1 + a \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |v_\lambda(\sigma)|^{p-1} v_\lambda(\sigma)) d\sigma. \quad (3.27)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$v_\lambda(t) = e^{t \Delta} \varphi_2 + b \int_0^t e^{(t-\sigma) \Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\rho} |u_\lambda(\sigma)|^{p-1} u_\lambda(\sigma)) d\sigma. \quad (3.28)$$

The affirmation follows from (3.27)-(3.28). On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\lambda(t)\|_{r_1} &= \lambda^{2\alpha_1} \|d_\lambda u(\lambda^2 t)\|_{r_1} \\
&= \lambda^{2\alpha_1} \lambda^{-\frac{N}{r_1}} \|u(\lambda^2 t)\|_{r_1} \\
&= (\lambda^2)^{\beta_1} \|u(\lambda^2 t)\|_{r_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t>0} t^{\beta_1} \|u_\lambda(t)\|_{r_1} &= \sup_{\lambda^2 t > 0} (\lambda^2 t)^{\beta_1} \|u(\lambda^2 t)\|_{r_1} \\
&= \sup_{t>0} t^{\beta_1} \|u(t)\|_{r_1},
\end{aligned}$$

similarly $\sup_{t>0} t^{\beta_2} \|v_\lambda(t)\|_{r_2} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\beta_2} \|v(t)\|_{r_2}$. It follows so that $\|U_\lambda\|_X = \|U\|_X$. Then by uniqueness in X_M $U_\lambda = U$ and thus U is self-similar. Let us denote it by U_S . The fact that $U_S(t) \rightarrow \Phi$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ follows by statement (c) in Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 5.1 in [1], we simply indicate that

- (i) $\sup_{t>0} t^{\beta_1+\delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_1 - \psi_1)\|_{r_1} < \infty$, for $0 < \delta < \frac{N}{2} - \alpha_1$.
- (ii) $\sup_{t>0} t^{\beta_2+\delta} \|e^{t\Delta}(\varphi_2 - \psi_2)\|_{r_2} < \infty$, for $0 < \delta < \frac{N}{2} - \alpha_2$.

By the formula (2.11), we have that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1+\delta} \|u(t) - u_S(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2+\delta} \|v(t) - v_S(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq C\mathcal{N}_\delta(\Phi - \Psi).$$

That is

$$\sup_{t>0} \left[t^{\beta_1+\delta} \|u(t) - u_S(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2+\delta} \|v(t) - v_S(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq \mathcal{C},$$

for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small and \mathcal{C} a finite positive constant. This gives (2.15)-(2.16) directly for $q_1 = r_1$ and $q_2 = r_2$.

We now turn to prove the asymptotic result in the L^∞ -norm. Write

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - u_S(t) &= e^{\frac{t}{2}\Delta} (u(t/2) - u_S(t/2)) + \\ &\quad a \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} [|\cdot|^{-\gamma} (|v(\sigma)|^{p-1}v(\sigma) - |v_S(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_S(\sigma))] d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) - v_S(t) &= e^{\frac{t}{2}\Delta} (v(t/2) - v_S(t/2)) + \\ &\quad b \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} [|\cdot|^{-\gamma} (|u(\sigma)|^{q-1}u(\sigma) - |u_S(\sigma)|^{q-1}u_S(\sigma))] d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T > 0$ be an arbitrary real number. By using the smoothing properties of the heat semi-group with $(s_1, s_2) = (r_1, \infty)$ and the estimate (2.2) with $(q_1, q_2) = (\infty, \infty)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\alpha_1+\delta} \|u(t) - u_S(t)\|_\infty &\leq t^{\alpha_1+\delta} \|e^{\frac{t}{2}\Delta} (u(t/2) - u_S(t/2))\|_\infty + |a|t^{\alpha_1+\delta} \times \\ &\quad \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|e^{(t-\sigma)\Delta} [|\cdot|^{-\gamma} (|v(\sigma)|^{p-1}v(\sigma) - |v_S(\sigma)|^{p-1}v_S(\sigma))]\|_\infty d\sigma \\ &\leq Ct^{\beta_1+\delta} \|u(t/2) - u_S(t/2)\|_{r_1} + |a|Ct^{\alpha_1+\delta} \times \\ &\quad \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\sigma)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} (\|v(\sigma)\|_\infty^{p-1} + \|v_S(\sigma)\|_\infty^{p-1}) \|v(\sigma) - v_S(\sigma)\|_\infty d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.11) to estimate the first term and the fact that $\|v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq Ct^{-\alpha_2}$, $\|v(t)\|_{\infty} \leq Ct^{-\alpha_2}$ to estimate the last term, we get

$$t^{\alpha_1+\delta}\|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C(\delta) + |a|C \times \left[\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-\sigma)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sigma^{-\alpha_2 p - \delta} d\sigma \right] \sup_{t \in (0, T]} \left(t^{\alpha_2+\delta} \|v(t) - v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \right).$$

Which leads to

$$t^{\alpha_1+\delta}\|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C(\delta) + C \sup_{t \in (0, T]} \left[t^{\alpha_1+\delta} \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty}, t^{\alpha_2+\delta} \|v(t) - v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \right]. \quad (3.29)$$

Similarly we have

$$t^{\alpha_2+\delta}\|v(t) - v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C(\delta) + C \sup_{t \in (0, T]} \left[t^{\alpha_1+\delta} \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty}, t^{\alpha_2+\delta} \|v(t) - v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \right]. \quad (3.30)$$

Using (3.29) and (3.30) we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T]} \left[t^{\alpha_1+\delta} \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty}, t^{\alpha_2+\delta} \|v(t) - v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty} \right] \leq C'(\delta).$$

Since the constant $C'(\delta)$ does not depend on $T > 0$, one can take the supremum over $(0, \infty)$. This prove (2.15)-(2.16) for $r_1 = \infty$ and $r_2 = \infty$. Using the interpolation inequality

$$\|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{q_1} \leq \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{r_1}^{\mu_1} \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty}^{1-\mu_1},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{\mu_1}{r_1} + \frac{1-\mu_1}{\infty} = \frac{\mu_1}{r_1}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{q_1} &\leq \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{r_1}^{\mu_1} \|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{\infty}^{1-\mu_1} \\ &\leq Ct^{\mu_1[-\beta_1(r_1)-\delta] + (1-\mu_1)[- \beta_1(\infty)-\delta]} \\ &= Ct^{-\beta_1(q_1)-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$\|v(t) - v_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{q_2} \leq Ct^{-\beta_2(q_2)-\delta}.$$

Hence the general results (2.15)-(2.16). The estimate (2.17)-(2.18) follows by a simple dilation argument. We prove just the first estimate (2.17), the proof of the second estimate

is similar. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t) - u_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\|_{q_1} &= \|u(t, \cdot) - t^{-\alpha_1} u_{\mathcal{S}}(1, \frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t}})\|_{q_1} \\
&= \|d_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} u(t, \cdot \sqrt{t}) - t^{-\alpha_1} d_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} u_{\mathcal{S}}(1, \cdot)\|_{q_1} \\
&= \|d_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} [u(t, \cdot \sqrt{t}) - t^{-\alpha_1} u_{\mathcal{S}}(1, \cdot)]\|_{q_1} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{-\frac{N}{q_1}} \|u(t, \cdot \sqrt{t}) - t^{-\alpha_1} u_{\mathcal{S}}(1, \cdot)\|_{q_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by using inequality (2.15) and relation (2.14), we get (2.17). \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. If $\gamma = 0$ and $\rho = 0$, then (1.9) and (1.10) are verified. Since these are strict inequalities, they must hold for small $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\rho > 0$. This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let α_1 and α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5) respectively. Under the conditions

$$q \geq \frac{2-\rho}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{\gamma},$$

and

$$p \geq \frac{2-\gamma}{\rho} + \frac{2}{\rho},$$

we have that conditions (1.9) and (1.10) are equivalent to the conditions $2\alpha_1 < N$ and $2\alpha_2 < N$. Now, since $q \geq \frac{2-\rho}{N} + \frac{2-\gamma}{N} + 1$, we see that $2\alpha_1 < N$ and since $p \geq \frac{2-\gamma}{N} + \frac{2-\rho}{N} + 1$, we obtain that $2\alpha_2 < N$. This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

4. STRONGER UNIQUENESS RESULTS

It has been proved in Theorem 1 that for small initial data $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect of the norm \mathcal{N}' , there exists a solution $U_{\Phi} = (u_{\Phi}, v_{\Phi})$ of the integral system (1.2) and uniqueness is guaranteed only among continuous functions $U : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which also verify $\sup_{t>0} [t^{\beta_1} \|u(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v(t)\|_{r_2}]$ is sufficiently small. Our aim in this section is to prove that uniqueness is guaranteed for solutions which belong to $C([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C((0, \infty), L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C((0, \infty), L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$, which improves the result of uniqueness in Lebesgue spaces given in Theorem 1. We will use arguments of type Brezis Cazenave [2]. We have obtained the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let β_1, β_2 be given by (1.6) and (1.7). Let r_1 and r_2 be as in Lemma 2.1. Let $M, R > 0$ be such that (3.25) is satisfied. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying (2.12). Let $U_\Phi = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi) \in Y_M$ be the solution of the integral system (1.2) with initial data Φ constructed by Theorem 1. Let $V = (v_1, v_2) \in C([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C((0, \infty), L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C((0, \infty), L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ be a solution of (1.2) with the same initial data Φ . Then*

$$V(t) = U_\Phi(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

The proof of this theorem relies on the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let β_1, β_2 be given by (1.6) and (1.7). Let r_1 and r_2 be as in Lemma 2.1. Let $M, R > 0$ be such that (3.25) is satisfied. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying (2.12). Let $U_\Phi = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)$ be the solution of the integral system (1.2) with initial data Φ constructed by Theorem 1. Then for all $T > 0$, there exists a unique solution $U_{\Phi, T} = U_\Phi \in Y_{M, T}$ of (1.2) with initial data Φ , where*

$$Y_{M, T} = \left\{ U = (u, v) \in C([0, T], L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C([0, T], L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C((0, T), L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C((0, T), L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)); \max \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|u(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}], \sup_{t \in (0, T)} [t^{\beta_1} \|u(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v(t)\|_{r_2}] \right] \leq M \right\}.$$

Proof. The existence of the unique solution $U_{\Phi, T}$ of (1.2) with initial data Φ follows by a fixed point argument in $Y_{M, T}$. Let $U_\Phi \in Y_M$ the solution of (1.2) with initial data Φ . Owing to the fact that $U_\Phi \in Y_M \subset Y_{M, T}$ and by uniqueness in $Y_{M, T}$, we obtain $U_{\Phi, T} = U_\Phi$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let α_1, α_2 defined by (1.4) and (1.5). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Let $M, R > 0$ be such that (3.25) is satisfied. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying (2.12). Let $U_\Phi = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)$ be the solution of the integral system (1.2) with initial data Φ constructed by Theorem 1. Let $(\Phi_\tau) = ((\varphi_{1, \tau}, \varphi_{2, \tau}))$ be a family of functions satisfying (2.12) such that*

$$\Phi_\tau \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow 0} \Phi, \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then the family of solutions $(U_{\Phi_\tau}) = ((u_{\Phi_\tau}, v_{\Phi_\tau}))$ of the integral system (1.2) verify

$$U_{\Phi_\tau}(t) \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow 0} U_\Phi(t), \text{ in } L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Proof. By continuous dependance (3.26) in Y_M , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left[\|u_{\Phi_\tau}(t) - u_\Phi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_{\Phi_\tau}(t) - v_\Phi(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] &\leq (1 - K)^{-1} \\ &\times \max \left[\|\varphi_{1,\tau} - \varphi_1\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|\varphi_{2,\tau} - \varphi_2\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right], \forall t \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Since $V = (v_1, v_2) \in C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \times C\left([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)$, then there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}'(V(s)) = \max \left[\|v_1(s)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_2(s)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] < R, \forall s \in [0, \varepsilon_1]. \quad (4.1)$$

Let us define $V_\tau = (v_{1,\tau}, v_{2,\tau})$ by $V_\tau(t) = V(t + \tau)$, $\forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$, $\forall t \in [0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$. We have from (4.1) and since $(t^{\beta_1} \|v_{1,\tau}(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v_{2,\tau}(t)\|_{r_2}) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $\forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$

- (a) $\max \left[\|v_{1,\tau}(0)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_{2,\tau}(0)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] = \max \left[\|v_1(\tau)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_2(\tau)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] < R, \forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$,
- (b) $\sup_{t \in [0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]} \left[\|v_{1,\tau}(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}, \|v_{2,\tau}(t)\|_{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}} \right] < R \leq M, \forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$,
- (c) There exists $0 < T_\tau \leq \varepsilon_1$ such that $\sup_{t \in (0, \frac{T_\tau}{2}]} \left[t^{\beta_1} \|v_{1,\tau}(t)\|_{r_1}, t^{\beta_2} \|v_{2,\tau}(t)\|_{r_2} \right] \leq M$, $\forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$.

It follows then that $V_\tau \in Y_{M, \frac{T_\tau}{2}}$, using now Lemma 4.1 we deduce that $V_\tau(t) = U_{V_\tau(0)}(t)$, $\forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$, $\forall t \in [0, \frac{T_\tau}{2}]$, where $U_{V_\tau(0)}$ is the solution of the integral system (1.2) with initial data $V_\tau(0)$ constructed by Theorem 1. Hence $V_\tau(t) = U_{V_\tau(0)}(t)$, $\forall \tau \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}]$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$. By Lemma 4.2, we obtain $V_\tau(t) \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow 0} U_\Phi(t)$, in $L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$. On the other hand $V_\tau(t) = V(t + \tau) \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow 0} V(t)$, in $L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$, (since V is continuous in $[0, \infty)$). Finally, we conclude by uniqueness of the limit that $V(t) = U_\Phi(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$. \square

Consider now the integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta} \varphi + a \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} (|\cdot|^{-\gamma} |u(s)|^{p-1} u(s)) ds, \quad (4.2)$$

where $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $p > 1$. Set

$$q_c = \frac{N(p-1)}{2-\gamma}. \quad (4.3)$$

Suppose that

$$\frac{N(p-1)}{2-\gamma} > 1, \text{ (i.e. } q_c > 1\text{)}. \quad (4.4)$$

By choosing $\gamma = \rho$, $p = q$ and $r_1 = r$ in Lemma A.1, using the fact that $\frac{1}{q_c} - \frac{2}{Np} = \frac{2+(2-\gamma)p-\gamma p^2}{Np(p^2-1)}$ and the equivalence $q_c > 1 \Leftrightarrow (A.1)$, it follows that there exists $r > q_c$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{q_c} - \frac{2}{Np} < \frac{1}{r} < \frac{N-\gamma}{Np} \quad (4.5)$$

Corollary 4.3. *Let N be a positive integer. Suppose that $p > 1$. Let $0 < \gamma < \min(N, 2)$. let q_c defined by (4.3). suppose that (4.4) is satisfied. Let $r > q_c$ satisfying (4.5). Let $\varphi \in L^{q_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ sufficiently small. Then there exists a global solution of the integral equation (4.2), which is unique in the class of functions $u \in C([0, \infty), L^{q_c}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C((0, \infty), L^r(\mathbb{R}^N))$.*

Proof. Let N be a positive integer. Suppose that $p = q > 1$. Suppose that $\gamma = \rho$ with $0 < \gamma < \min(N, 2)$. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ defined by (1.4). Suppose that (A.1) is satisfied. Let β_1, β_2 be given by (1.6) and (1.7). Let $r_1 = r_2$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Let $M, R > 0$ be such that (3.25) is satisfied. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_1) \in L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying (2.12). Let $U_\Phi = (u_\Phi, u_\Phi) \in Y_M$ be the solution of the integral system (1.2) with initial data Φ constructed by Theorem 1. Let $V = (v_1, v_1) \in C([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C([0, \infty), L^{\frac{N}{2\alpha_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C((0, \infty), L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C((0, \infty), L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ be a solution of (1.2) with the same initial data Φ . Then by Theorem 4

$$V(t) = U_\Phi(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 4.4. *The previous corollary improves the class of uniqueness for the scalar Hardy-Hénon parabolic equations given by Theorem 1.1 (iii)-(b) in [1].*

Remark 4.5. *Using the same steps as above we prove that for initial data $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\frac{N}{2\alpha_1} < q_1 < r_1$ and $\frac{N}{2\alpha_2} < q_2 < r_2$, there exists a local solution $U_\Phi = (u_\Phi, v_\Phi)$ of the integral system (1.2) and uniqueness is guaranteed in the class of solutions which belong to $C([0, T], L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C([0, T], L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C((0, T], L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times C((0, T], L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$, for any fixed $0 < T < T_{\max}$, where T_{\max} is the maximal existence time. This improves the result of uniqueness in Lebesgue spaces given by Theorem 1.1 (iii)-(a) in [1].*

APPENDIX A. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Let us state the following result which will be needed in the proof of the technical lemma.

Lemma A.1. *Let N be a positive integer. Let $p, q > 1$. Let $0 \leq \gamma < \min(N, 2)$ and $0 < \rho < \min(N, 2)$. Let k given by (1.3). Suppose that (1.9) and (1.10) are satisfied. Then there exists a real number r_1 satisfying the conditions*

- (i) $N \frac{pq-1}{(2-\rho)p+(2-\gamma)} < r_1$,
- (ii) $Nk \frac{pq-1}{(2-\gamma)q+(2-\rho)} < r_1$,
- (iii) $\frac{N}{N-\gamma}kp < r_1$,
- (iv) $\frac{N}{N-\rho}q < r_1$,
- (v) $\frac{N}{2-\gamma}(kp-1) < r_1$,
- (vi) $\frac{N}{2-\rho}(q-k) < r_1$,
- (vii) $r_1 < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{[2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq]_+}$,
- (viii) $r_1 < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{[2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq]_+}$.

Proof. We will treat the cases where $2 + (2 - \rho)p - \gamma pq > 0$ and $2 + (2 - \gamma)q - \rho pq > 0$, the other cases are simple. One can easily see that r_1 exists if and only if the left-hand sides of inequalities (i)-(vi) are less than the right-hand sides of inequalities (vii) and (viii). Since $pq - 1 > 0$ we verify easily

- (i) $N \frac{pq-1}{(2-\rho)p+(2-\gamma)} < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$,
- (ii) $Nk \frac{pq-1}{(2-\gamma)q+(2-\rho)} < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$,
- (iii) $\frac{N}{2-\gamma}(kp-1) < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$,
- (iv) $\frac{N}{2-\rho}(q-k) < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$,
- (v) $N \frac{pq-1}{(2-\rho)p+(2-\gamma)} < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$,
- (vi) $Nk \frac{pq-1}{(2-\gamma)q+(2-\rho)} < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$,
- (vii) $\frac{N}{2-\gamma}(kp-1) < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$,
- (viii) $\frac{N}{2-\rho}(q-k) < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$.

Condition $2\alpha_1 < N$ implies that $\frac{N}{N-\gamma}kp < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$, condition $2\alpha_1 < \frac{p}{q}(N - \rho) \frac{(2-\gamma)q+(2-\rho)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$ implies that $\frac{N}{N-\rho}q < Nk \frac{p(pq-1)}{2+(2-\rho)p-\gamma pq}$, condition $2\alpha_2 < N$ implies that $\frac{N}{N-\rho}q < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$ and finally condition $2\alpha_2 < \frac{q}{p}(N - \gamma) \frac{(2-\rho)p+(2-\gamma)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$ implies that $\frac{N}{N-\gamma}kp < N \frac{q(pq-1)}{2+(2-\gamma)q-\rho pq}$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Owing to relation (2.3) and Lemma A.1, the proof of Lemma 2.1 is simple and can be omitted. \square

Remark A.2. *In the case where $\gamma = \rho$ and $p = q$ it suffice to change the hypothesis (1.9) and (1.10) by the hypothese*

$$2\alpha_1 < N. \tag{A.1}$$

Acknowledgement: This article is part of my doctoral thesis. I would like to thank Professors Fred Weisler and Slim Tayachi for their guidance and helpful advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BEN SLIMENE, S. TAYACHI, F.B. WEISSLER, *Well-posedness, global existence and large time behavior for Hardy-Hénon parabolic equations*, *Nonlinear Anal*, **152** (2017), pp. 116-148.
- [2] H. BREZIS, T. CAZENAVE, *A nonlinear heat equation with singular initial data*, *J. Analyse Math*, **68** (1996), pp. 277-304.
- [3] M. CANNONE, *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations*, *Rev. Math. Ib*, **13** (1997), pp. 515-541.
- [4] M. CANNONE, Y. MEYER, F. PLANCHON, *Solutions autosimilaires des équations de Navier-Stokes*, Séminaire "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" de l'école polytechnique, Exposé VIII, 1993-1994.
- [5] T. CAZENAVE, F. B. WEISSLER, *Asymptotically self-similar global solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger and heat equations*, *Math. Z.*, **228** (1998), pp. 83-120.
- [6] T. CAZENAVE, F. DICKESTEIN, F. B. WEISSLER, *An equation whose Fujita critical exponent is not given by scaling*, *Nonlinear Anal*, **68** (2008), pp. 862-874.
- [7] Y. GIGA, T. MIYAKAWA, *Navier-Stokes flow in \mathbb{R}^3 with measures as initial vorticity and Morrey spaces*, *Comm. in PDE*, **14** (1989), pp. 577-618.
- [8] T. KATO, *Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions*, *Math. Z.*, **187** (1984), pp. 471-480.
- [9] H. FUJITA, T. KATO, *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal*, **16** (1964), pp. 269-315.
- [10] T. KATO, H. FUJITA, *On the non stationary Navier-Stokes system*, *Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova*, **32** (1962), pp. 243-260.
- [11] T-Y. LEE, W-M. NI, *Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, **333** (1992), pp. 365378.
- [12] Q. H. PHAN, *Singularity and blow-up estimates via Liouville-type theorems for Hardy-Hénon parabolic equations*, *J. Evol. Equ*, **13** (2013), pp. 411-442.
- [13] Q. H. PHAN, *Liouville-type theorems and bounds of solutions for Hardy-Hénon elliptic systems*, *Adv. Differential Equations*, **17** (2012), pp. 605-634.
- [14] Q. H. PHAN, Ph. SOUPLLET, *Liouville-type theorems and bounds of solutions of Hardy-Hénon equations*, (English) [*J. Differ. Equations*, **252** (2012), pp. 2544-2562 .
- [15] ROSS G. PINSKY, *Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for $u_t = \Delta u + a(x)u^p$ in \mathbb{R}^d* , *J. Differential Equations*, **133** (1997), pp. 152-177.
- [16] S. SNOUSSI, S. TAYACHI, *Asymptotic self-similar behavior of solutions for a semilinear parabolic system*, *Comm. Cont. Math*, **3** (2001), pp. 363-392.

- [17] S. SNOUSSI, S. TAYACHI, F. B. WEISSLER, *Asymptotically self-similar global solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a nonlinear gradient term*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **129** (1999), pp. 1291-1307.
- [18] S. SNOUSSI, S. TAYACHI, F. B. WEISSLER, *Asymptotically self-similar global solutions of a general semilinear heat equation*, Math. Ann, **321** (2001), pp. 131-155.
- [19] S. SNOUSSI, S. TAYACHI, *Global existence, asymptotic behavior and self-similar solutions for a class of semilinear parabolic systems*, Nonlinear Anal, **48** (2002), pp. 13-53.
- [20] Ph. SOUPLET, S. TAYACHI, F. B. WEISSLER, *Exact self-similar blow-up of solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a nonlinear gradient term*, Indiana Univ. Math. J, **45** (1996), pp. 655-682.
- [21] S. TAYACHI, *Forward self-similar solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a nonlinear gradient term*, Differential Integral Equations, **9** (1996), pp. 1107-1117.
- [22] X. WANG, *On the Cauchy problem for reaction-diffusion equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **337** (1993), pp. 549-590.
- [23] X. WANG, *Global existence, large time behavior and life span of solutions of a semilinear parabolic Cauchy problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **333** (1992), pp. 365-378.
- [24] Y. YAMAUCHI, *Blow-up results for a reaction-diffusion system*, Methods Appl. Anal, **13** (2006), pp. 337-350.