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# ALGEBRAIC NORM AND CAPITULATION OF $p$-CLASS GROUPS IN RAMIFIED CYCLIC $p$-EXTENSIONS 

GEORGES GRAS


#### Abstract

We examine the phenomenon of capitulation of the $p$-class group $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ of a number field $K$ in totally ramified cyclic $p$-extensions $L / K$ of degree $p^{N}$ and Galois group $G$. Using an elementary property of the algebraic norm $\nu_{L / K}$, we show that the kernel of capitulation is in relation with the "complexity" of the structure of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ measured via its exponent $p^{e(L)}$ and the length $m(L)$ of the usual filtration $\left\{\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ associated to $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module. We prove that a sufficient condition of capitulation is given by $e(L) \in[1, N-s]$ if $m(L) \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ for $s \in[0, N-1]$ (Theorem 1.1 (i)); this improves the case of "stability" $\# \mathscr{H}_{L}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}$ (i.e., $m(L)=1, s=0, e(L)=e(K) \leq N)$ (Theorem 1.1 (ii)). A sufficient condition of partial capitulation is also made explicit. Numerical examples with directly usable PARI programs, showing most often capitulations, are given over cubic fields with $p=2$ and real quadratic fields with $p=3$, taking the simplest possible $p$-extensions $L \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right), \ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right)$. Conjectures on the existence of non-zero densities are proposed (Conjectures 1.2, 2.4). Capitulations are also evoked for other invariants.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Statement of the main result. Let $L / K$ be any cyclic $p$-extension of number fields ( $p \geq 2$ prime), of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, of Galois group $G$, and let $\mathscr{H}_{K}, \mathscr{H}_{L}$ be the $p$-class groups of $K, L$, respectively.

Let $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}: \mathscr{H}_{K} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L}^{G}$ be the transfer map (or extension of classes) and let $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}: \mathscr{H}_{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K}$ be the arithmetic norm. Let $H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ be the $p$-Hilbert class field of $K$ and $L^{\mathrm{nr}}:=L \cap H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. We know that $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}:=\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)$ is the subgroup of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ which corresponds to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}} / L^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ by the Artin map of class field theory; thus, if $L / K$ is totally ramified, then $L^{\mathrm{nr}}=K$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}=\mathscr{H}_{K}$. Denote by $\operatorname{rank}_{p}(A):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(A / A^{p}\right)$ the $p$-rank of any finite abelian group $A$.

We can state:
Theorem 1.1. Let $L / K$ be a cyclic $p$-extension of number fields, of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, of Galois group $G=:\langle\sigma\rangle$. One assumes $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0} \neq 1$ :
(i) A sufficient condition for a partial capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$ in $L$, is that there exists $h \in \mathscr{H}_{L}$ such that $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(h) \neq 1, h$ of order $p^{e}$ and annihilated by $(\sigma-1)^{m}$, for integers $e, m$ with $e \in[1, N-s]$ if $m \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ for $s \in[0, N-1]$.

Let $p^{e(L)}$ be the exponent of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ and let $m(L)$ be the minimal integer such that $(\sigma-1)^{m(L)}$ annihilates $\mathscr{H}_{L}$. Then, a sufficient condition for the complete capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}:=\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)$ in $L$, is that $e(L) \in[1, N-s]$ if $m(L) \in$ $\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ for $s \in[0, N-1]$.
(ii) Let $K_{n}, n \in[0, N]$, be the subfield of $L$ of degree $p^{n}$ over $K$ and put $G_{n}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K\right)$. If $L / K$ is totally ramified, then the condition $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}=$ $\# \mathscr{H}_{K}$ implies $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$, for all $n \in[0, N]$, and the complete capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $K_{e(K)}$ if $e(K) \leq N$. If $\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}\right)$, then the p-rank is constant along the tower.

Point (i) will be given by Corollary 2.10 to Theorem 2.7. Then (ii) comes from [Gras2022 ${ }^{a}$, Theorem $3.1 \&$ Section 6, §(b)] generalizing [Fuku1994, KrSch1995, Band2007, LOXZ2022, MiYa2021]; the stability of the class groups corresponds, in point (i), to the case $m\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ (from $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}$ ), whence $s=0$ and $e(K) \leq N$ (from $e(L)=e(K)$ due to the isomorphisms $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq$ $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ given by the arithmetic norms); this particular case is called the $p$-class groups stability in the tower $L / K$. The case of the $p$-ranks for the case of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions was given by Fukuda [Fuku1994], then found again by Bandini [Band2007]. It holds for any cyclic totally ramified $p$-extension.

If $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $K_{n}$, necessarily $n \geq e(K)$ since $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K^{\circ}} \mathbf{J}_{K_{n} / K}=p^{n}$. Of course, the properties of stability may occur from a layer $K_{n_{0}}$.

Theorem 1.1 expresses that, if the "complexity" of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ is not too important, then $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $L$. Conversely, if one knows that capitulation is impossible (e.g., framework of abelian imaginary fields and minus $p$-class groups $\mathscr{H}^{-}$), then the complexity of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{-}$'s is much more important compared to that of $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{-}$and strictly increases with $n$ (see Theorem 3.2).
1.2. History and aims of the paper. The problem of capitulation ${ }^{1}$ of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $L$ (measured by the capitulation kernel $\left.\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{L / K}: \mathscr{H}_{K} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L}\right)\right)$ has been studied in a very large number of publications, as precisely in the purpose of the factorization problem, for Dedekind rings, exposed in [Mart2011];

[^1]it is impossible to give a complete bibliography, but one may cite, among many other contributions subsequent to the historical works of Hilbet-ScholzTaussky: [Kisil1970, Tera1971, Bond1981, HeSc1982, Schm1985, GrJa1985, Jaul1986, Jaul1988, Iwas1989, Suzu1991, Maire1996, Gras1997, Maire1998, Kuri1999, GrWe2000, KoMo2000, GrWe2003, Gonz2006, Vali2008, Bosc2009, Mayer2014, AZT2016, Bisw2016, Jaul2019 ${ }^{b}$, Jaul2022], in which the reader will find more history and references.

Most of these papers are related to Hilbert's Theorem 94 on capitulation in the Hilbert class field $H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, which is not our purpose since, on the contrary, we shall study totally ramified cyclic $p$-extensions $L / K$ and more precisely the simplest tamely ramified $p$-extensions $L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right), \ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right)$ prime, $[L: K]=p^{N}$, which, surprisingly, are often capitulation fields of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ when $K$ is totally real (even if $K$ is not totally imaginary).

Many classical articles give cohomological expressions of the capitulation in terms of global units as the fact that, in the non-ramified case, the capitulation kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{L / K}\right)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G, \mathbf{E}_{L}\right)$, where $\mathbf{E}_{L}$ is the group of units of $L$ (see, e.g., [Jaul1986, Chap. III, § 1] and [Jaul1988] for more comments and references).

In the same spirit, using sets of places $S, T$ and tamely ramified Galois extensions, the result of Maire [Maire1996, Théorème 4.1] gives, in our context $L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, injective maps $\mathscr{H}_{L,(\ell)} / \mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K,(\ell)}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(G, \mathscr{E}_{L,(\ell)}\right)$, where $\mathscr{E}:=$ $\mathbf{E} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for groups of units and where $\mathscr{H}_{K,(\ell)}, \mathscr{H}_{L,(\ell)}$ are ray-class groups and $\mathscr{E}_{L,(\ell)}$ the group of units congruent to 1 modulo $(\ell)$.

But the aspect "global units" is more difficult since the behavior of the unit groups in $L / K$ is less known compared to that of $p$-class groups, even if there are some links; indeed, we have the following classical exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}\right) \cdot \mathscr{H}_{L}^{\mathrm{ram}} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L}^{G} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{K} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right) / \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{E}_{L}\right) \rightarrow 1,
$$

where $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{\mathrm{ram}} \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{L}^{G}$ is generated by means of classes of the ramified prime ideals of $L$; in the right term, if $\mathscr{E}_{K} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)$depends on easier local considerations, $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{E}_{L}\right)$ is in general unknown. On the contrary, $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}\right)$, $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{\text {ram }}$, are subgroups of $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{G}$ and the order of this group is known from the Chevalley-Herbrand formula [Chev1933, pp. 4002-405] (see [Gras1978] for isotopic components, [Jaul1986, Chapitre III, p. 167] with ramification and decomposition, [Lemm2013] in the spirit of Jaulent's work); then general higher fixed points formulas [Gras2017 ${ }^{a}$, LiYu2020] allow the algorithmic computation of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ from a natural filtration.

In our previous paper [Gras2022a], giving extensive numerical computations with [PARI], we have proposed the following conjecture, whose main consequence should be an obvious immediate proof, in the semi-simple case, of the real abelian Main Conjecture " $\mathscr{H}_{\varphi}=\left(\mathscr{E}_{\varphi}: \mathscr{F}_{\varphi}\right)$ " in terms of index of Leopoldt's cyclotomic units ${ }^{2}$ :

Conjecture 1.2. (i) Let $K$ be any totally real number field and let $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ be its $p$-class group, of exponent $p^{e(K)}$.

- There exist infinitely many primes $\ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right), N \geq e(K)$, such that $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$.
- There exist infinitely many primes $\ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right), N$ large enough, such that capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ is due to a stability from some layer.

[^2](ii) Let $\ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right), N \geq 1$, be a fixed prime number and let $\mathscr{K}_{d, N}$ be the family of totally real number fields $K$, of degree $d \geq 2$, whose $p$-class group $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ is of exponent $p^{e(K)}$ with $1 \leq e(K) \leq N$. There exist infinitely many $K \in \mathscr{K}_{d, N}$ such that $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$.

This restriction to the family of $p$-extensions $L / K, L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, is another point of view compared to the case of abelian capitulations ([Gras1997], [Kuri1999], [Bosc2009], [Jaul2022]). Indeed, all techniques in these papers need to built a finite set of abelian $p$-extensions $L_{k}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, ramified at various primes, requiring many local arithmetic conditions existing from Chebotarev theorem, whose compositum with $K$ gives a capitulation field of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$; the method must apply to any abelian field $K$ (of suitable signature), of arbitrary increasing degree, obtained in an iterative process giving, for instance, that the maximal real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\bigcup_{f>0} \mu_{f}\right)$ is principal (see in [Bosc2009] the most general statements).

## 2. Complexity of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ and capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$

Let $L / K$ be a cyclic $p$-extension of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, of Galois group $G=:\langle\sigma\rangle$.

Recall that $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$, subgroup of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ image of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}} / L^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$, where $H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is the $p$-Hilbert class field of $K$ and $L^{\mathrm{nr}}=L \cap H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. Let:

$$
\nu_{L / K}:=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{N}-1} \sigma^{i},
$$

be the algebraic norm in $L / K$. From the law of decomposition in $L / K$ of an unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of $K$, of residue degree $f$, we get, for $\mathfrak{Q} \mid \mathfrak{q}$ in $L$, $\nu_{L / K}(\mathfrak{Q})=\prod_{i=0}^{p N-1} \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma^{i}}=\left((\mathfrak{q})_{L}\right)^{f}=\left(\mathfrak{q}^{f}\right)_{L}=\left(\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(\mathfrak{Q})\right)_{L} ;$ whence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)=\mathbf{J}_{L / K} \circ \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)=\mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$ (or $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ if $L / K$ is totally ramified) capitulates in $L$ if and only if $\nu_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)=1$. A partial capitulation occurs as soon as $\# \nu_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)<\# \mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$.

So, the action of the algebraic norm characterizes the capitulation (complete or incomplete) and it is clear that the result mainly depends on the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$ structure of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ which is expressed by means of the canonical associated filtration $\left\{\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ defining a classical algorithm of computation of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ that we shall recall.
2.1. Filtration of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ in the totally ramified case. Let $L / K$ be a cyclic $p$ extension of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, and Galois group $G=\langle\sigma\rangle$. To avoid technical writings, we assume that any prime ideal $\mathfrak{l}$ of $K$, ramified in $L / K$, is totally ramified, and that there are $r \geq 1$ such ramified prime ideals. Let $\mathbf{E}_{K}$ be the group of units of $K$ and put $\mathscr{E}_{K}:=\mathbf{E}_{K} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
2.1.1. The higher rank Chevalley-Herbrand formulas. The generalizations of the Chevalley-Herbrand formula to the corresponding filtration, $\left\{\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$, gives rise to the following expressions, where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{L}^{0}=1, \quad \mathscr{H}_{L}^{1}:=\mathscr{H}_{L}^{G}, \quad \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}:=\left(\mathscr{H}_{L} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)^{G}, i \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to $i=m(L)=\min \left\{m \geq 0, \mathscr{H}_{L}^{(\sigma-1)^{m}}=1\right\}$, for which $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{m(L)}=\mathscr{H}_{L}$.
Denote by $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}$ a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module of ideals of $L$, of finite type, generating $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}$, with $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{0}=1, \mathscr{I}_{L}^{i+1} \supseteq \mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}$, for all $i \geq 0$; the $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}$ are defined up to the group
of principal ideals of $L$, thus $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}\right)$ defined up to $\left(\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)\right)$. This filtration has the following properties [Gras2017 ${ }^{a}$, Theorem 3.6]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \# \mathscr{H}_{L}^{1}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K} \times \frac{p^{N(r-1)}}{\left(\mathscr{E}_{K}: \mathscr{E}_{K} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)\right)}, \\
(i i) \#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)=\frac{\# \mathscr{H}_{K}}{\# \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)} \times \frac{p^{N(r-1)}}{\left(\Lambda_{K}^{i}: \Lambda_{K}^{i} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)\right)},  \tag{2.3}\\
\Lambda_{K}^{i}:=\left\{x \in K^{\times},(x) \in \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}\right)\right\}, \\
(i i i) \quad \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}=\left\{h \in \mathscr{H}_{L}, h^{(\sigma-1)^{i}}=1\right\}, \text { for all } i \geq 0, \\
(\text { iv }) \#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right) \leq \# \mathscr{H}_{L}^{1}, \text { for all } i \geq 0, \\
\left(\text { v } \# \mathscr{H}_{L}=\prod_{i=0}^{m(L)-1} \#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right) \leq\left(\# \mathscr{H}_{L}^{1}\right)^{m(L)} .\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

The $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}$ 's are subgroups of $K^{\times}$containing $\mathbf{E}_{K}$, with $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{0}=\mathbf{E}_{K}$. In particular, any $x \in \Lambda_{K}^{i}$ is local norm in $L / K$ at all the non-ramified places. So, for any $(x)=\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{A} \in \mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}$, which is also local norm at the ramified places, $x=\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(y), y \in L^{\times}$(Hasse's norm theorem) and there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{B}$ of $L$ such that $\mathfrak{A}=(y) \mathfrak{B}^{\sigma-1}$; this constitutes an algorithm by addition of the $\mathfrak{B}$ 's to $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}$ to get $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i+1}$ then $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} .{ }^{3}$ Since $\Lambda_{K}^{0}=\mathbf{E}_{K}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite type, this algorithm allows to construct $\Lambda_{K}^{i}$ of finite type for all $i$, with $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i+1}$ (indeed, $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}^{i}\right)$ is of finite type, there is a finite number of relations of principality between the generators and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i} / \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)$is of exponent $\left.\leq p^{N}\right)$.

The $i$-sequence $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right), 0 \leq i \leq m(L)$, is decreasing, from $\# \mathscr{H}_{L}^{1}$ up to 1, because of the injective maps $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i-1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L}^{1}$ due to the action of $\sigma-1$, giving the inequality in (v).

The first (resp. second) factor in (ii) is called the class (resp. norm) factor.
2.1.2. Properties of the class and norm factors. Since the ramified places $v$ (= prime ideals) of $K$ are assumed to be totally ramified in $L / K$, their inertia groups $I_{v}(L / K)$ in $L / K$ are isomorphic to $G$. Let $\omega_{L / K}$ be the map which associates with $x \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}$ the family of Hasse's norm symbols $\left(\frac{x, L / K}{v}\right) \in I_{v}(L / K)$. Since $x$ is local norm at the unramified places, $\omega_{L / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}\right)$ is contained in:

$$
\Omega_{L / K}:=\left\{\left(\tau_{v}\right)_{v} \in \bigoplus_{v} I_{v}(L / K), \quad \prod_{v} \tau_{v}=1\right\} \simeq G^{r-1}
$$

(product formula); then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\omega_{L / K}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)$.
It follows that $\# \omega_{L / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}: \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i} \cap \mathbf{N}_{L / K}\left(L^{\times}\right)\right)$divides $p^{N(r-1)}$.
Denote by $K_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq N$, the subfields of $L$ of degree $p^{n}$ over $K$ and let $G_{n}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K\right)=:\left\langle\sigma_{n}\right\rangle$. All the previous definitions and formulas apply to the $K_{n}$ 's; we shall denote by $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)$ the invariant corresponding to $K_{n} / K$ instead of $L / K$; we have $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)=\left\{x \in K^{\times},(x) \in \mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{I}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)\right\}$. So $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(N)$ in the previous notations.

Lemma 2.1. For any $i$ fixed, we may assume that $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n+1) \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)$, for all $n \in[0, N-1]$.

Proof. For all $n \in[0, N-1]$, we have the following diagram, where the norm $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}$, on $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}$ and $\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{n+1}-1\right)^{i}}$, is surjective (total ramification),

[^3]but its restriction to $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}^{i}$ may be non injective nor surjective:
\[

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
1 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}^{i} & \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}} & \xrightarrow{\left(\sigma_{n+1}-1\right)^{i}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{n+1}-1\right)^{i}} & \longrightarrow 1 \\
& \downarrow & \mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}} \downarrow & \mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}} \downarrow \\
1 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} & \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{\left(\sigma_{n}-1\right)^{i}} \quad\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{n}-1\right)^{i}} & \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}
$$
\]

We have $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}^{i}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}$; so, for any ideal $\mathfrak{A}_{n+1} \in \mathscr{I}_{K_{n+1}}^{i}$, one may write $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{n+1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{n}$, where $\alpha_{n} \in K_{n}^{\times}$and $\mathfrak{A}_{n} \in \mathscr{I}_{K_{n}}^{i}$, in which case modifying the definition of $\mathscr{I}_{K_{n}}^{i}$ modulo principal ideals of $K_{n}$, one may assume $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{K_{n+1}}^{i}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{I}_{K_{n}}^{i}$ whence $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K}\left(\mathscr{I}_{K_{n+1}}^{i}\right) \subseteq$ $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)$; this modifies $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)$ modulo $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(K_{n}^{\times}\right)$which does not modify $\# \omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\Lambda_{K}^{i}(n)\right)$ in the formula giving $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)$. Using the process from the top, we obtain $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(N) \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(N-1) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(1) \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(0)$.
Lemma 2.2. For $i$ fixed, the integers $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)$ define an increasing n-sequence from $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{K}^{i}\right)=1$ up to $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)$; the $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}$ 's define an increasing $n$-sequence from $\# \mathscr{H}_{K}^{i}=\mathscr{H}_{K}$ up to $\# \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}$ (note that $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{0}=1$ for all $n$ ).

Proof. Consider, for $i \geq 0$ fixed, the two factors of the finite $n$-sequence (for $n=0$, the two factors are trivial):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)=\frac{\# \mathscr{H}_{K}}{\# \mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)} \times \frac{p^{n(r-1)}}{\# \omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\Lambda_{K}^{i}(n)\right)} \\
\text { As } \mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}^{i}\right) \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right), p^{c_{K_{n}}^{i}}:=\frac{\# \mathscr{H}_{K}}{\# \mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)} \text { defines an }
\end{gathered}
$$

increasing $n$-sequence from 1 up to a value $p^{c_{L}^{i}} \mid \# \mathscr{H}_{K}$. The norm factor:

$$
p^{\rho_{K_{n}}^{i}}:=\frac{p^{n(r-1)}}{\# \omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)}
$$

defines an increasing $n$-sequence from 1 up to $p^{\rho_{L}^{i}}$ since, from Lemma 2.1:

$$
p^{\rho_{K_{n+1}}^{i}-\rho_{K_{n}}^{i}}=p^{r-1} \frac{\# \omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)}{\# \omega_{K_{n+1} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n+1)\right)} \geq p^{r-1} \frac{\# \omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)}{\# \omega_{K_{n+1} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)}
$$

then, in the restriction $\Omega_{K_{n+1} / K} \longrightarrow \Omega_{K_{n} / K}$ (whose kernel is of order $p^{r-1}$ because of the total ramification of each place), the image of $\omega_{K_{n+1} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)$ is $\omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)$ because of the properties of Hasse's symbols, whence:

$$
p^{\rho_{K_{n+1}}^{i}-\rho_{K_{n}}^{i}} \geq 1
$$

and the result for the $n$-sequence $p^{\rho_{K_{n}}^{i}}$, with maximal value $p^{\rho_{L}^{i}}$. The first claim of the lemma holds for the $n$-sequence $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right)$; for $n=N$, one gets the formula $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)=p^{c_{L}^{i}} \cdot p^{\rho_{L}^{i}}$.

Assuming, by induction, that the $n$-sequence $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}$ is increasing, the property follows for the $n$-sequence $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i+1}$.

Remarks 2.3. (i) The $i$-sequences $p^{c_{L}^{i}}, p^{\rho_{L}^{i}}$, and $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)=p^{c_{L}^{i}} \cdot p^{\rho_{L}^{i}}$ are decreasing up to a divisor of $\# \mathscr{H}_{K}, p^{N(r-1)}, \# \mathscr{H}_{K} \cdot p^{N(r-1)}$, respectively.
(ii) The $n$-sequence $m\left(K_{n}\right)$ is an increasing sequence from 0 up to $m(L)$. Then the $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's define an increasing $n$-sequence from $\# \mathscr{H}_{K}$ up to $\# \mathscr{H}_{L}$ since we have:

$$
\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}} \geq \#\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n+1}}^{\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n+1} / K_{n}\right)}\right)=\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \frac{p^{r-1}}{\omega_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{K_{n}}\right)} \geq \# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}
$$

The integers $e\left(K_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} / \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{p}\right)$ define increasing $n$-sequences.
The interest of this filtration is that standard probabilities may be applied, at each layer $n$, to the algorithm computing $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i+1}$ from $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}$ by means of the two factors $p^{c_{K_{n}}^{i}}$ and $p^{\rho_{K_{n}}^{i}}$, giving plausible heuristics in the spirit of works of [KoPa2022, Smith2022]. So we propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.4. Let $L / K$ be a cyclic $p$-extension of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, of Galois group $G$; we assume that $L / K$ is ramified at $r \geq 1$ places of $K$, totally ramified in $L / K$. Then, the orders of each of the two factors (class and norm) in the $i$-sequence $\#\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right)$, follow binomial laws as i increases (based, for $x \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}$, on the relations $(x)=\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(\mathfrak{A}), x=\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(y)$ and $\mathfrak{A}=(y) \mathfrak{B}^{\sigma-1}$ when they apply for $x$, depending on Hasse's symbols), with the following probabilities:

- Let $\gamma \in G^{r-1}$; the probability, for $x \in \Lambda_{K}^{i}$, that $\omega_{L / K}(x)=\gamma$, is $\frac{1}{p^{N(r-1)}}$.
- Let $c \in \mathscr{H}_{K}$; the probability, for an ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ of $L$, that the p-class of $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(\mathfrak{A})$ equals $c$, is $\frac{1}{\# \mathscr{H}_{K}}$.

Remarks 2.5. (i) If the ramified places are not totally ramified in $L / K$, the previous formulas (2.3) must be modified according to [Gras2017 ${ }^{a}$, Formula 29], but the definitions of the invariants $m(L)$ and $e(L)$ are unchanged; only the group $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ must be replaced by $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$, using Formula $(2.1), \nu_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)=$ $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}\right)$, and the fact that $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}\right)=1$ if and only if $\nu_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L}\right)=1$.
(ii) Another way to work with totally ramified extensions is to replace the base field $K$ by $L^{\mathrm{nr}}=L \cap H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$; but in that case one try to principalize $\mathscr{H}_{L^{\mathrm{nr}}}$ and the algebraic norm becomes $\nu_{L / L^{\text {nr }}}$ instead of $\nu_{L / K}$.
2.2. Program computing the filtrations $\left\{\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$. The following program may be used for the calculation of the Galois structure of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's, $K_{n} \subseteq L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, whatever the base field $K$ given, as usual, by means of a monic polynomial of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ (here, of prime-to- $p$ degree to simplify the computation of a generator S of $\left.\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{n} / K\right)\right)$.

For this, one must indicate the prime $p$ in p , the number Nn of layers $K_{n}$ considered, the polynomial PK defining $K$, a prime ell congruent to 1 modulo $2 p^{N}, \mathrm{~N} \geq \mathrm{Nn}$, and a value $m \mathrm{Kn}$ for computing the $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}}:=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}^{(\mathrm{S}-1)^{i}}$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{mKn}$, where the $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's are the generators of the whole class group $\mathbf{H}_{K_{n}}$ given by PARI (in CKn $=\mathrm{Kn}$.clgp), and where the generator S of $G_{n}$ is chosen in $\mathrm{G}=$ nfgaloisconj $(\mathrm{Kn})$ testing the orders.

So $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{i}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{\left(\sigma_{n}-1\right)^{i}}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m\left(K_{n}\right)$ (see (2.3) (iii)). The invariant $m\left(K_{n}\right)$ is obtained (assuming mKn large enough) for the first $i$ giving zero matrices in the test of principality of the $h_{j}^{i}$ 's.

Since PARI works with generators $h_{i}$ of $\mathbf{H}_{K_{n}}$, of orders $p^{n_{i}} t_{i}, p \nmid t_{i}$ (given in CKn[2]), we consider $t=\prod t_{i}$, the non $p$-part of $\mathrm{HKn}=\mathrm{Kn}$.no (the whole class number) and put $\bar{h}_{i}=h_{i}^{t}$ giving generators of $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$; thus, for any data $\left[\mathrm{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{r}}\right]$ given by bnfisprincipal $(\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{Y})[1]$ for an ideal Y whose class is $h_{1}^{e_{1}} \cdots h_{r}^{e_{r}}$, the program gives, instead, the list $E:=\left[\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{r}}\right]$ defining the class of $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)$ as $\overline{\mathrm{h}}_{1}^{\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{1}} \ldots \overline{\mathrm{~h}}_{r}^{\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{r}}$, where $\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\operatorname{lift}\left(\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)\right)$; this does not modify the Galois structure of $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ and the outputs are more readable. So, the ideal Y is $p$-principal if and only if $\mathrm{E}=[0, \ldots, 0]$.

The corresponsing outputs are writen under the form $\bar{h}_{i}^{(\sigma-1)^{j}}=\left[\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{r}\right]$ instead of $\bar{h}_{i}^{(\sigma-1)^{j}}=\bar{h}_{1}^{\bar{c}_{1}} \cdots \bar{h}_{r}^{\bar{r}_{r}}$.

Below, we take as example a cyclic cubic field of conductor $f=703$, for which $\mathscr{H}_{K} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, ell $=97, \mathrm{mKn}=3$; the results are given for $n=1$ and $n=2$ and rho is the number of prime ideals of $K$ dividing $\ell$ :

```
PROGRAM COMPUTING THE h_i^[(S-1)^j]:
{p=2;Nn=2;PK=x^3+x^2-234*x-729;ell=97;mKn=3;
K=bnfinit(PK,1);CK0=K.clgp;rho=matsize(idealfactor(K,ell)) [1] ;
print("p=",p," Nn=",Nn," PK=",PK," ell=",ell,
" mKn=",mKn," CKO=",CKO[2]," rho=",rho);
for(n=1,Nn,Qn=polsubcyclo(ell,p^n);Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1];
Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);CKn=Kn.clgp;dn=poldegree(Pn);
print("CK",n,"=", CKn[2]);rKn=matsize(CKn[2]) [2];
\\Search of a generator S of Gal(Kn/K):
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn) ; Id=x; for (k=1,dn,Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);if(ks==p^n,S=G[k] ; break));
\\Computation of the image of CKn by (S-1)^j:
for(i=1,rKn,X=CKn[3][i];Y=X;for(j=1,mKn,YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
T=idealpow(Kn,Y,-1) ; Y=idealmul(Kn,YS,T) ; B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,Y) [1] ;
\\computation in Ehij of the modified exponents of B:
Ehij=List;for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij,c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)^",j, "]=",Ehij));print()))}
p=2 Nn=2 PK=x^3+x^2-234*x-729 ell=97 mKn=3 CKO=[6,2] rho=1
CK1=[6,2,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[1, 1,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,1,1] 解和[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,1,1]
h_1~[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2~[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1~[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0]
CK2=[12,4,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,1,1] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,1,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,2,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)~2]=[2,2,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1~[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0]
```

This gives $m\left(K_{1}\right)=2, \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}^{\sigma_{1}-1}=\left\langle h_{1} h_{2}, h_{3} h_{4}\right\rangle, \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}^{G_{1}}=\left\langle h_{1} h_{2}^{-1}, h_{3} h_{4}^{-1}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle h_{1} h_{2}, h_{3} h_{4}\right\rangle \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Then $m\left(K_{2}\right)=3, \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}-1}=\left\langle h_{2}^{2} h_{3} h_{4}, h_{2}^{2} h_{3}, h_{1}^{2} h_{2}^{2}, h_{1}^{2}\right\rangle$ $=\left\langle h_{3}, h_{4}, h_{1}^{2}, h_{2}^{2}\right\rangle \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{4}$, whence $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{G_{2}}=\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right)^{2} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. We have $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{\left(\sigma_{2}-1\right)^{2}}=\left\langle h_{1}^{2}, h_{2}^{2}\right\rangle \simeq\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right)^{2} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{2}=\left\langle h_{3}, h_{4}, h_{1}^{2}, h_{2}^{2}\right\rangle \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{4}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{3}=1$.

These computations will prove later a partial capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $K_{1}$ and a complete capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $K_{2}$.

Remark 2.6. An astonishing fact, in a diophantine viewpoint, is that, when the class of $\mathfrak{a}$ capitulates in some $K_{n}$, the writing of the generator $\alpha \in K_{n}^{\times}$, of the extended ideal $(\mathfrak{a})_{K_{n}}$ of $K$, on the $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $\mathrm{Kn} . \mathrm{zk}$ of the field $K_{n}$, needs most often oversized coefficients (several thousand digits and, often, PARI proves the principality without giving these coefficients). If the reader wishes to verify this fact, it suffices to add the instruction print(bnfisprincipal(Kn, Y)) giving the whole data for the ideal Y considered.
2.3. Decomposition of the algebraic norm $\nu_{L / K}$. Put $x=\sigma-1$; then

$$
\nu_{L / K}=\sum_{i=0}^{p N-1} \sigma^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{N-1}}(x+1)^{i}=\frac{(x+1)^{p^{N}}-1}{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{p^{N}}\binom{p^{N}}{i} x^{i-1} .
$$

We have the following elementary property which is perhaps known in Iwasawa's theory, but we have not found suitable references; see however [Jaul1986, IV. 2 (b)], [Wash1997, § 13.3] or [BaCa2016, Cald2020]:

Theorem 2.7. The prime number $p \geq 2$ and the integer $N \geq 1$ being given, the algebraic norm $\nu_{L / K}=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{N-1}} \sigma^{i}$ is of the form:

$$
\nu_{L / K}=(\sigma-1)^{k} \cdot A_{k}(\sigma-1, p)+p^{f(k)} \cdot B_{k}(\sigma-1, p), \text { for all } k \in\left[1, p^{N}-1\right]
$$

$A_{k}, B_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sigma-1, p]$, where $f(k)=N-s$ if $k \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right], s \in[0, N-1]$.
Proof. From:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{L / K}=\binom{p^{N}}{1} & +x\binom{p^{N}}{2}+\cdots+x^{k-1}\binom{p^{N}}{k} \\
& +x^{k}\left[\binom{p N}{k+1}+x\binom{p^{N}}{k+2}+\cdots+x^{p^{N-1-k}}\binom{p^{N}}{p^{N}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce that:

$$
A_{k}(\sigma-1, p)=\binom{p N}{k+1}+(\sigma-1)\binom{p N}{k+2}+\cdots+(\sigma-1)^{p^{N}-1-k}\binom{p^{N}}{p^{N}} .
$$

The computation of $B_{k}(\sigma-1, p)$ depends on the $p$-adic valuations of the $\binom{p^{N}}{j}, j \in[1, k]$. To find the maximal factor $p^{f(k)}$ dividing all the coefficients of the polynomial:

$$
\binom{p^{N}}{1}+(\sigma-1)\binom{p^{N}}{2}+\cdots+(\sigma-1)^{k-1}\binom{p^{N}}{k},
$$

in other words, to find the $p$-part of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\binom{p^{N}}{1},\binom{p^{N}}{2}, \ldots,\binom{p^{N}}{k}\right)$, we consider $s \in[0, N-1]$. Let $v$ the $p$-adic valuation map.
Lemma 2.8. One has $v\left(\binom{p^{N}}{p^{s}}\right)=N-s$, for all $s \in[0, N-1]$.
Proof. We have $\binom{p^{N}}{p^{s}}=\frac{p^{N}!}{p^{s!} \cdot\left(p^{N}-p^{s}\right)!}$; then, using the well-known formula:

$$
v(m!)=\frac{m-S(m)}{p-1}, \quad m \geq 1
$$

where $S(m)$ is the sum of the digits in the writing of $m$ in base $p$, we get $v\left(p^{N}!\right)=\frac{p^{N}-1}{p-1}, v\left(p^{s}!\right)=\frac{p^{s}-1}{p-1}$ and $v\left(\left(p^{N}-p^{s}\right)!\right)=\frac{p^{N}-p^{s}-(p-1)(N-s)}{p-1}$ since $p^{N}-p^{s}$ may be written $p^{s}\left(p^{N-s}-1\right)$ with:
$p^{N-s}-1=1(p-1)+p(p-1)+p^{2}(p-1)+\cdots+p^{N-s-2}(p-1)+p^{N-s-1}(p-1)$, giving $N-s$ times the digit $p-1$. Whence:

$$
v\left(\binom{p^{N}}{p^{s}}\right)=\frac{1}{p-1}\left(p^{N}-1-\left(p^{s}-1\right)-\left(p^{N}-p^{s}-(p-1)(N-s)\right)\right)=N-s,
$$

for all $s \in[0, N-1]$.
Lemma 2.9. For all $k \in\left[p^{s}+1, p^{s+1}-1\right], s \in\left[0, N_{1}\right]$, we have $v\left(\binom{p^{N}}{k}\right) \geq$ $N-s$.

Proof. Consider $\binom{p^{N}}{k}\binom{p^{N}}{p^{s}}^{-1}, k \in\left[p^{s}+1, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ (the interval is empty for $p=2, s=0$; so we drop this obvious case), to show that its valuation is non-negative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\binom{p^{N}}{k}}{\binom{p^{s}}{p^{s}}} & =\frac{p^{N}!}{k!\left(p^{N}-k\right)!} \times \frac{p^{s}!\left(p^{N}-p^{s}\right)!}{p^{N}!} \\
& =\frac{p^{s}!}{k!} \times \frac{\left(p^{N}-p^{s}\right)!}{\left(p^{N}-k\right)!} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(p^{s}+1\right)\left(p^{s}+2\right) \cdots\left(p^{s}+\left(k-p^{s}\right)\right)} \times \frac{\left(p^{N}-p^{s}\right)!}{\left(p^{N}-k\right)!} \\
& =\frac{\left(p^{N}-k+1\right)\left(p^{N}-k+2\right) \cdots\left(p^{N}-k+\left(k-p^{s}\right)\right)}{\left(p^{s}+1\right)\left(p^{s}+2\right) \cdots\left(p^{s}+\left(k-p^{s}\right)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $k=p^{s}+h, h \in\left[1, p^{s}(p-1)-1\right]$; then we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\binom{p^{N}}{k}}{\binom{p^{N}}{p^{s}}}= & \frac{\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+1\right]\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+2\right] \cdots\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+(h)\right]}{\left[p^{s}+1\right]\left[p^{s}+2\right] \cdots\left[p^{s}+h\right]} \\
= & \frac{\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+1\right]\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+2\right] \cdots\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+(h)\right]}{\left[p^{s}+h\right]\left[p^{s}+h-1\right] \cdots\left[p^{s}+h-(h-1)\right]} \\
= & \frac{\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+1\right]\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+2\right]}{\left[\left(p^{s}+h\right)-1\right]} \cdots \frac{\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+(h-1)\right]}{\left[\left(p^{s}+h\right)-2\right]} \\
& \times \frac{p^{N}-p^{s}}{p^{s}+h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that each factor of the form $\frac{\left[p^{N}-\left(p^{s}+h\right)+j\right]}{\left[\left(p^{s}+h\right)-j\right]}$ is a $p$-adic unit for $j \in[1, h-1]$. Now, consider the last factor $\frac{p^{N}-p^{s}}{p^{s}+h}=\frac{p^{s}}{p^{s}+h}$, up to a $p$-adic unit; since $h \leq p^{s}(p-1)-1$ one can put $h=\lambda p^{u}, p \nmid \lambda, u \leq s$; the case $u<s$ is obvious and if $u=s$, the relation $h \leq p^{s}(p-1)-1$ implies $\lambda \leq p-2$, thus $p^{s}+h=p^{s}(1+\lambda)$ with $1+\lambda \leq p-1$ and $\frac{p^{s}}{p^{s}+h}$ is a $p$-adic unit, whence the lemma.

This leads to the expression of $f(k)$ on $\bigcup_{s=0}^{N-1}\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]=\left[1, p^{N}-1\right]$, to the proof of the theorem and then that of Theorem 1.1 (i).

The following corollary, proving Theorem 1.1 (ii), is of easy use in practice:
Corollary 2.10. (i) A sufficient condition for a partial capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$ in $L$, is that there exists $h \in \mathscr{H}_{L}$ such that $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(h) \neq 1$, $h$ of order $p^{e}$, annihilated by $(\sigma-1)^{m}$, for integers $e, m$ such that $e \in[1, N-s]$ if $m \in$ $\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ for $s \in[0, N-1]$.
(ii) Let $m(L)$ be the minimal integer such that $\mathscr{H}_{L}^{m(L)}=\mathscr{H}_{L}$ and let $p^{e(L)}$ be the exponent of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$. A sufficient condition for the complete capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$ in $L$, is that $e(L) \in[1, N-s]$ if $m(L) \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ for $s \in[0, N-1]$.
Proof. If $h \in \mathscr{H}_{L}, \nu_{L / K}(h)=\mathbf{J}_{L / K}\left(\mathbf{N}_{L / K}(h)\right)=\left(h^{(\sigma-1)^{k}}\right)^{A} \times\left(h^{p^{f(k)}}\right)^{B}$, for all $k \in\left[1, p^{N}-1\right]$. Thus, $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}$ is non-injective as soon as $h$ fulfills the conditions stated in (i) with $k=m \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ and $f(k)=N-s \geq e$. For the triviality of $\nu_{L / K}$, it suffices that $m=m(L)$ and $e=e(L)$ be solution.

In other words, if the length $m(L)$ of the filtration is not too large as well as the exponent $p^{e(L)}$ of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$, then using suitable values of $k$, we obtain $\nu_{L / K}(h)=1$ for all $h \in \mathscr{H}_{L}$ (or only for some ones), whence complete (or partial) capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}^{0}$ in $L$ (or capitulation complete or incomplete of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ if $L / K$ is totally ramified).

Another way to interpret this result is to say that if $N$ is large enough and if the Galois complexity of the $p$-class groups $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$, for the layers $K_{n}$, does not increase too much, then $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $L$ (in the totally ramified case).

For this, we introduce the following definition:
Definition 2.11. Let $L / K$ be any cyclic $p$-extension totally ramified of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, and let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$. Let $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ be the $p$-class groups of $K$ and $L$, respectively. We denote by $p^{e(L)}$ the exponent of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ and by $m(L)$ the length of the filtration $\left\{\mathscr{H}_{L}^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ (i.e., the least integer $m$ such that $(\sigma-1)^{m}$ annihilates $\mathscr{H}_{L}$, cf. §2.1.1). Then we say that $L / K$ is of smooth complexity if the conditions $e(L) \in\left[1, N-s\right.$ if $m(L) \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right]$ for $s \in[0, N-1]$, are fulfilled.
2.3.1. Program giving the decompositions of $\nu_{L / K}$. The following program put $\nu_{L / K}$ in the form $P(x, p)=x^{k} A(x, p)+p^{f(k)} B(x, p), 1 \leq k \leq p^{N}-1$, where $x=\sigma-1$ and $p$ are considered as indeterminate variables. This is necessary to have universal expressions for any $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module; in other words, we do not reduce modulo $p$ the coefficients of $A$ and $B$. One must note that, except the cases $k=1, B=1$ and $k=p^{N}-1, A=1$, the polynomials $A$ and $B$ are not invertible in the group algebra, which allows improvements of the standard reasoning with $\left(k, p^{f(k)}\right)$.

One must precise the numerical prime number $p$ in Prime and $N$ in N :

```
PROGRAM OF DECOMPOSITION OF THE ALGEBRAIC NORM
{Prime=2;N=3;P=0;for(i=1,Prime^N,C=binomial(Prime^N,i);
v=valuation(C,Prime); c=C/Prime^v;P=P+c*p^v*x^(i-1));print("P=",P);
for(k=1,Prime^N-1,B=lift(Mod(P,x^k));w=valuation(B,p);print();
print("P=x^",k,".A+p^",w,".B");print("A=",(P-B)/x^k);print("B=",B/p^w))}
```

2.3.2. Case $p=2, N=1: ~ P=x+p$.
$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p}$
$\mathrm{A}=1$
$B=1$
2.3.3. Case $p=3, N=1$ : $P=x^{2}+p * x+p$.

```
P=x^1.A+p^1.B P=x^2.A+p^1.B
A=x+p A=1
B=1 B=x+1
```

2.3.4. Case $p=2, N=2$ : $P=x^{3}+p^{2} * x^{2}+3 * p * x+p^{2}$.

| $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x} \wedge 1 . \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{p} \wedge 2 . \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2 . \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 1 . \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3 . \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 1 . \mathrm{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A $=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2 * x+3 * p$ | $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2$ | $\mathrm{A}=1$ |
| $\mathrm{B}=1$ | $B=3 * x+p$ | $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+3 * x+\mathrm{p}$ |

For the next examples, we only write P .
2.3.5. Case $p=3, N=2$ :

```
P}=\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}8+\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}7+4*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}\6+28*\textrm{p}*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}5+14*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}4+14*\mp@subsup{p}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}\3+28*\textrm{p}*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}2+4*\mp@subsup{p}{}{\wedge}2*\textrm{x}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}
```


### 2.3.6. Case $p=2, N=3$ :

```
P}=\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}7+\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}6+7*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}5+7*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}4+35*\textrm{p}*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}3+7*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}2+7*\mp@subsup{p}{}{\wedge}2*\textrm{x}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}
```


### 2.3.7. Case $p=2, N=4$ :



```
    +1001*p^3*x^9+715*p^ 4*x^8+6435*p*x^7+715*p^ 4*x^6+1001*p^3*x^5+273*p^ 4*x^4
    +455*p^2*x^3+35*p^4*x^2+15*p^3*x+p^4
```

2.3.8. Case $p=3, N=3$ :

```
P}=\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}26+\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}25+13*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}24+325*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}23+650*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}222+2990*\mp@subsup{\textrm{p}}{}{\wedge}3*\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\wedge}2
    +32890*p^2*x^20+32890*p^3*x^19+82225*p^3*x^18+1562275*p*x^17
    +312455*p^3*x^16+482885*p^3*x^15+1931540*p^2*x^14+742900*p^3*x^13
    +742900*p^3*x^12+1931540*p^2*x^11+482885*p^3*x^10+312455*p^3*x^9
    +1562275*p*x^8+82225*p^3*x^7+32890*p^3*x^6+32890*p^2*x^5+2990*p^3*x^4
    +650*p^3*x^3+325*p^2*x^2+13*p^3*x+p^3
```

Thus, as soon as $\nu_{L / K}=(\sigma-1)^{k} \times A_{k}(\sigma-1, p)+p^{f(k)} \times B_{k}(\sigma-1, p)$, with $m(L) \leq k$ and $e(L) \leq f(k)$, then $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $L$. But the reciprocal does not hold and capitulation (complete or incomplete) may occur whatever the invariants $m(L)$ and $e(L)$. We shall give examples where the above property applies, apart from the obvious case of stability. More generally, on may reduce P modulo $x^{m(L)}$ and modulo $p^{e(L)}$, which may give interesting relations.
2.4. Non-trivial uses of the decomposition of $\nu_{L / K}$. In what follows, the extensions $L / K$ are always totally ramified.

Example 2.12. Let's begin with an example where the structure of $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ grows sufficiently with $n$, giving no capitulation up to $n=3$; next, another choice of $\ell$ leads to capitulation, but where Theorem 1.1 (i) does not apply.
(i) We consider the cyclic cubic field $K$ of conductor $703, \mathrm{p}=2, \mathrm{mKn}=3$ and ell $=17$. Then $\mathscr{H}_{K} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ and we get, from the Program 4.1 (with several hours for the layer $n=3$ ):

```
p=2 Nn=3 f=703 PK=x^3+x^2-234*x-729 mKn=3 CKO=[6,2] ell=17 rho=1
CK1=[12,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,2]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[2,0]
No capitulation in K1, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=2
CK2=[24,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[6,6]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[4,4] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[4,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[4,4]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[4,0]
No capitulation in K2, m(K2)=3, e(K2)=3
CK3=[48,16]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[8,10] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[10,14]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[4,12] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[12,8]
norm in K3/K of the component 1 of CK3:[8,8]
norm in K3/K of the component 2 of CK3:[8,0]
No capitulation in K3, m(K2)=4, e(K2)=4
```

For $n=1$, the algebraic norm $\nu_{K_{1} / K}$ is given by $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}+2$. There is no capitulation and no pair $(k, f(k))$ satisfying the conditions since $m\left(K_{1}\right)=2$ $(s=1)$ and $e\left(K_{1}\right)=2>n-s=1-1=0$.

For $n=2, \nu_{K_{2} / K}$ is given by $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}^{3}+2^{2} * \mathrm{x}^{2}+3 * 2 * \mathrm{x}+2^{2}$. There is no capitulation and conditions are not satisfied since $m\left(K_{2}\right)=3(s=1)$ and $e\left(K_{2}\right)=3>n-s=2-1=1$.

For $n=3, \nu_{K_{3} / K}$ is given by:

$$
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}^{7}+2^{3} * x^{6}+7 * 2^{2} * x^{5}+7 * 2^{3} * x^{4}+35 * 2 * x^{3}+7 * 2^{3} * x^{2}+7 * 2^{2} * x+2^{3},
$$

+ but there is no term of the form $2^{4} B$ for $e\left(K_{3}\right)=4$.
In this case the complexity increases due to successive exponents $1,2,3,4$. We ignore what happens for $n=4$.
(ii) Changing $\ell=17$ into $\ell=97$ gives complete capitulation in $K_{2}$ because of a smooth complexity, but higher than a stability:

```
p=2 Nn=2 f=703 PK=x^3+x^2-234*x-729 CKO=[6,2] ell=97 rho=1
CK1=[6,2,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,1,1] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,1,1]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[1,1,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[1,1,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,1,1]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:[0,0,1,1]
No capitulation in K1, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=1
CK2=[12,4,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,1,1] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,1,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,2,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[2,2,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
```

$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $K 2, m(K 2)=3, e(K 2)=2$
For $n=2, \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}^{3}+2^{2} * \mathrm{x}^{2}+3 * 2 * \mathrm{x}+2^{2}, m\left(K_{2}\right)=3$ and $e\left(K_{2}\right)=2$, then, modulo $\left(x^{3}, 2^{2}\right)$, it follows that $3 * 2 * \mathrm{x}$ annihilates $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}$, which is confirmed by the data. The conditions of application of Theorem 1.1 (i) are for $m\left(K_{2}\right)=3, s=1$ and $e\left(K_{2}\right) \leq 2-s$, which are not fulfilled. So, Theorem 1.1 (i) gives a sufficient condition, not necessary.

Example 2.13. We consider the cyclic cubic field $K$ of conductor 1777, $p=2$ and $\ell=17$. Then $\mathscr{H}_{K} \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{2}$ of exponent 4 .

```
p=2 Nn=3 f=1777 PK=x^3+x^2-592*x+724 CKO=[4,4] ell=17 rho=3
CK1=[8,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,2]
No capitulation in K1, m(K1)=1, e(K1)=3
CK2=[8,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[4,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,4]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K2)=1, e(K2)=3
CK3=[8,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
norm in K3/K of the component 1 of CK3:[0,0]
norm in K3/K of the component 2 of CK3: [0,0]
Complete capitulation in K3, m(K3)=1, e(K3)=3
```

In this case, the stability from $K_{1}$ implies necessarily the capitulation in $K_{3}$ (so the third computation is for checking). Moreover, for all $n \geq 1, \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ is annihilated by $\sigma-1$, whence $m\left(K_{n}\right)=1, s=0, e\left(K_{3}\right)=n-s, \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}$ as expected from Theorem 1.1 (ii) and given by Program 2.2.
Example 2.14. (i) We consider the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{142})$ with $p=3$ and various primes $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 3), \ell \not \equiv 1(\bmod 9)$, so that $N=1, L=K_{1}$, and the conditions of Theorem 1.1 (i) are $e\left(K_{1}\right)=1 \leq 1-s$, whence $s=0$ and $m\left(K_{1}\right) \in[1,2]$ :
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-142 \quad \mathrm{~N}=1 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad$ ell=13 $\quad \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1 $=[3,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=1$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=1$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-142 \quad \mathrm{~N}=1 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad \mathrm{ell}=1123 \quad \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1 $=[21,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,2] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=1$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-142 \quad \mathrm{~N}=1 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad \mathrm{ell}=208057 \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1 $=[3,3,3,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,1] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,1,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: [ $0,0,0,0$ ]
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=1$
(ii) For $p=5$ and $N=1$ the conditions become $e\left(K_{1}\right)=1 \leq 1-s$, whence $s=0$, with $m\left(K_{1}\right) \in[1,4]$, which offers more possibilities:

```
p=5 PK=x^2-401 N=1 CKO=[5] ell=1231 rho=2
CK1=[5,5]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0]
Complete capitulation in K1, m(K1)=1, e(K1)=1
p=5 PK=x^2-401 N=1 CKO=[5] ell=1741 rho=1
CK1=[5,5]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[3,3] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0]
Complete capitulation in K1, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=1
p=5 PK=x^2-401 CK0=[5] ell=4871 rho=1
CK1=[10,10,10,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[4,0,4,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[1,4,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[3,4,2,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[3,1,4,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[3,1,4,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[2,4,1,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
Complete capitulation in K1, m(K1)=3, e(K1)=1
```

Example 2.15. We consider the cubic field of conductor $f=20887$ with $p=2$ and $\ell=17$ :
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{Nn}=2 \mathrm{f}=20887 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6962 * \mathrm{x}-225889 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4,2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \quad \mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2, $0,0,0$ ]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 1)=1, e(K 1)=3$
We note that $\mathbf{N}_{K_{1} / K}\left(h_{i}\right) \neq 1$ for $i=3,4$, otherwise $\mathbf{N}_{K_{1} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right)$ would be of 2-rank 2 instead of 4 (absurd). Since $m\left(K_{1}\right)=1$ (all classes are invariant), Theorem 1.1 (i) applies non-trivially for the classes $h_{3}, h_{4}$ of order 2 ( $m=1$, $s=0, e \in[1,1]$, which is indeed the case).

Let's give the complete data proving the capitulation of the two classes of $K$ of order 2 ; the instruction CKO $=$ K.clgp gives:

```
[64,[4,4,2,2],[[2897,2889, 2081;0,1,0; 0,0,1],[2897, 825,2889;0,1,0;0,0,1],
[17,16,13;0,1,0;0,0,1],[53,36,44;0,1,0; 0,0,1]]]
```

it describes $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ with 4 representative ideals of generating classes; that of order 2 are:

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{3}=[17,16,13 ; 0,1,0 ; 0,0,1], \quad \mathfrak{a}_{4}=[53,36,44 ; 0,1,0 ; 0,0,1] ;
$$

the following 6 large coefficients (on the integral basis computed by PARI) give integers $\alpha_{i}$ of $L$ with the relations $\left(\mathfrak{a}_{i}\right)_{L}=\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ :

```
[[0,0,0,0]~,[4482450896,-1173749328,81969609,69123722,7646555,39729395]~]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:List([0,0,0,0])
[[0,0,0,0]~ ,[-4877380814,1968946273,-1411818,102996743,38571732,40207952]~]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:List([0,0,0,0])
```

At the layer $n=2$, the result is similar, but shows that the classes of order 4 of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ never capitulate:

```
CK2=[16, 16, 2, 2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[8,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,8,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[4,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,4,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K2)=2, e(K2)=4
```

2.5. Case where no capitulation can happen. For instance, if $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field and $L / K$ a cyclic extension, totally ramified, of degree $p^{N}$, with $L / \mathbb{Q}$ abelian, we know that there is never capitulation (except few cases for $p=2$ ). This implies necessarily that, as soon as $\mathscr{H}_{K} \neq 1$, the structure of $\mathscr{H}_{L}$ does not allow the previous use of the algebraic norm and the complexity is not smooth according to the Definition 2.11; in the following example, for $p=3, n=1$, 2 , we examine the structure of $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ :

Example 2.16. We consider $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-199}), p=3$ and $\ell=19$ and use a modified version on Program 6 given further:

```
{p=3;Nn=2;m=199;ell=19;mKn=2;PK=x^2+m;K=bnfinit(PK,1);
CK0=K.clgp;rho=(kronecker(-m,ell)+3)/2;print("rho=",rho);
for(n=1,Nn,Qn=polsubcyclo(ell, p^n);Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1] ;
dn=poldegree(Pn);Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);if(n==1,print ();
print("PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2]," ell=",ell," rho=",rho));
CKn=Kn.clgp;print("CK",n,"=",CKn[2]);rKn=matsize(CKn[2]) [2];
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn) ;Id=x;for(k=1,dn,Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);if(ks==p^n,S=G[k] ; break));
for(i=1,rKn,X=CKn[3] [i] ; Y=X;for(j=1,mKn,YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
T=idealpow(Kn,Y,-1);Y=idealmul(Kn,YS,T);B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,Y) [1] ;
Ehij=List;for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii] ; w=valuation(CKn[2] [ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij,c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)~", j, "]=",Ehij)));
for(i=1,rKn,A0=CKn[3] [i];A=1;for(t=1, p^n,As=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,A);
A=idealmul(Kn,A0,As));B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,A) [1];
Enu=List; for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2] [ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Enu,c,ii));print("norm in K",n,"/K of the component ",i,
" of CK",n,":",Enu)))}
p=3 Nn=2 PK=x^2+199 CKO=[9] ell=19 rho=1
CK1=[513]=[27.19]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[9]
h_1^[(S-1) ~ 2]=[0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3]
m(K1) =2, e(K1)=3
CK2=[749493, 19, 19]=[81.19.487, 19, 19]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[45,0,0] h_ h^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0]
m(K2) =2, e(K1)=4
```

(i) Case $n=1$. We have $\mathscr{H}_{K} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 9 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3^{3} \mathbb{Z}$; so, $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}^{G_{1}}=$ \# $\mathscr{H}_{K}=9$ since $\ell$ is inert in $K$ (trivial norm factor); thus, necessarily $m\left(K_{1}\right)=$ 2 and $e\left(K_{1}\right)=3$ (i.e., $x^{2}$ and $3^{3}$ annihilate $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}$ ).

But for $n=1, \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{x}^{2}+3 * \mathrm{x}+3 \equiv 3 * \mathrm{x}+3\left(\bmod \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}^{3} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 9 \mathbb{Z}$ is not annihilated by $x+1$ (invertible). So, $\nu_{K_{1} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$ as expected.
(ii) Case $n=2$. Then $\left.\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3^{4} \mathbb{Z}\right]$; we have $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{G_{2}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}=9$, giving $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{\sigma_{2}-1}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{G_{2}}$, whence $m\left(K_{2}\right)=2$ and $e\left(K_{2}\right)=4$. Similarly, the data confirm this. The decompositions of P being of the form:

```
x^8+3^2*x^7+4*3^2*x^6+28*3*x^5+14*3^2*x^4+14*3^2*x^3+28*3*x^2+4*3^2*x+3^2
```

the conditions are not fulfilled to apply Theorem 1.1 (i) $\left(m\left(K_{2}\right)=2, s=0\right.$, $\left.e\left(K_{2}\right)=4\right)$. More precisely, $\mathrm{P} \equiv 4 * 3^{2} * \mathrm{x}+3^{2}\left(\bmod \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{9} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \mathbb{Z}$ is not annihilated by $4 * x+1$ (invertible). So $\nu_{K_{2} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$ as for the case $n=1$.

Example 2.17. We consider $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-199}), \ell=37$.

```
p=3 Nn=2 PK=x^2+199 CKO=[9] ell=37 rho=1
CK1=[54,6,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[21,1,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[18,0,1] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[18,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,1] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[18,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^3]=[18,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^4]=[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[12,0,1]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[18,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,0]
m(K1) =4, e(K1)=3
CK2=[42442542,18,9]
m(K2)=?, e(K1)=4
```

(i) Case $n=1$. In this case, $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3^{3} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$; the above data shows that $m\left(K_{1}\right)=4$ for $e\left(K_{1}\right)=3$, and a more complex structure, since $s=1$, but $e\left(K_{1}\right)=3$. We have $\nu_{K_{1} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$.
(ii) Case $n=2$. For $K_{2}$, $\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3^{4} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \mathbb{Z}$. So $m\left(K_{2}\right)=4$, $s=1$ with $e\left(K_{2}\right)=4$.

## 3. Other contexts for capitulation kernels

Questions of capitulation of other arithmetic invariants are at the origin of many papers (e.g., [Maire1996, KoMo2000, Brig2007, Vali2008, Jaul2016, GJN2016, Jaul2019 ${ }^{a}$, Jaul2019 ${ }^{b}$, KhPr2000, Jaul2022, Gras2022a ${ }^{a}$ ] and their references); they are related to generalized $p$-class groups with conditions of ramification and decomposition, wild kernels of K-theory, torsion groups in $p$-ramification theory, Tate-Chafarevich groups, Bertrandias-Payan modules about the embedding problem, logarithmic class groups ${ }^{4}$. The same techniques, using the algebraic norm, may be applied; the results essentially depend on the properties of $\mathbf{N}_{L / K}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}$.
3.1. Arithmetic invariants that do not capitulate. We note that some invariants never capitulate. For instance we will evoke the case of the torsion group $\mathscr{T}_{K}$ of the Galois group of the maximal abelian $p$-ramified pro-pextension of a number field $K$; then under Leopoldt's conjecture, the transfer map is always injective, whatever the extensions of number fields considered. This has some consequences because of the formula:

$$
\# \mathscr{T}_{K}=\# \mathscr{W}_{K} \cdot \# \mathscr{R}_{K} \cdot \# \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{K},
$$

where $\mathscr{W}_{K}$ is a canonical invariant built on the groups of (local and global) roots of unity of $K, \mathscr{R}_{K}$ is the normalized $p$-adic regulator, and $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_{K}$ a subgroup of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ (see, for instance [Gras2005, Theorem IV.2.1], [Gras2018, Diagram § 3 and §5], [Gras2021 $\left.{ }^{a}\right]$ ). Note that for Bertrandias-Payan modules the transfers $\mathbf{J}_{L / K}$ are injective, except few special cases discussed in [GJN2016].

[^4]Thus, as we have seen, in any cyclic $p$-extension $L / K$ of Galois group $G$, the complexity of the invariants $\mathscr{T}_{K_{n}}$ must be increasing with $n$, regarding that of $K$; this may be checked by means the following general framework:

Definition 3.1. Assume given a family $\mathscr{X}_{k}$ of finite invariants of $p$-power order, indexed by the set of number fields $k$ (or a suitable subset), fulfilling the following conditions:
(i) For any Galois extension $k^{\prime} / k$, of Galois group $G, \mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[G]$-module;
(ii) There exist arithmetic norms $\mathbf{N}_{k^{\prime} / k}: \mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{k}^{0} \subseteq \mathscr{X}_{k}$ and transfer maps $\mathbf{J}_{k^{\prime} / k}: \mathscr{X}_{k} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}}$, such that $\mathbf{J}_{k^{\prime} / k} \circ \mathbf{N}_{k^{\prime} / k}=\nu_{k^{\prime} / k}$.

When $G$ is a cyclic $p$-group, we define the associated filtration $\left\{\mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}}^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ defined by $\mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}}^{i+1} / \mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}}^{i}:=\left(\mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}} / \mathscr{X}_{k^{\prime}}^{i}\right)^{G}$, for all $i \geq 0$.

Thus, in a cyclic $p$-extension $L / K$, the conditions $e(L) \in[1, N-s]$ for $m(L) \in\left[p^{s}, p^{s+1}-1\right], s \in[0, N-1]$, of Theorem 1.1 (i), apply in the same way, independently of the fact of being able to calculate the orders of the $\mathscr{X}_{L}^{i}$ 's by means of a suitable algorithm moving from $\mathscr{X}_{L}^{i}$ to $\mathscr{X}_{L}^{i+1}$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $L / K$ be a cyclic $p$-extension of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$, and let $K_{n}$ be the subfield of $L$ of degree $p^{n}$ over $K, n \in[0, N]$. We assume that, for all $n \in[0, N-1]$, the arithmetic norms $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}$ are surjective and that the transfer maps $\mathbf{J}_{K_{n+1} / K_{n}}$ are injective.
Then $\# \mathscr{X}_{n+h} \geq \# \mathscr{X}_{n} \cdot \# \mathscr{X}_{n}\left[p^{h}\right]$, for all $n \in[0, N]$ and $h \in[0, N-n]$.
Proof. Put $G_{n}^{n+h}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{n+h} / k_{n}\right)$ and so on for the maps $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{J}$. From the exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{n+h} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{n+h} / \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n} \rightarrow 1,
$$

we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{n+h}^{G_{n}^{n+h}} / \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n} & \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{X}_{n+h} / \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\right)^{G_{n}^{n+h}} \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G_{n}^{n+h}, \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G_{n}^{n+h}, \mathscr{X}_{n+h}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G_{n}^{n+h}, \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\right)\left[p^{h}\right] \simeq \mathscr{X}_{n}\left[p^{h}\right]$ (injectivity of $\left.\mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h}\right)$, and:

$$
\# \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G_{n}^{n+h}, \mathscr{X}_{n+h}\right)=\# \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(G_{n}^{n+h}, \mathscr{X}_{n+h}\right)=\#\left(\mathscr{X}_{n+h}^{G_{n}^{n+h}} / \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\right),
$$

since $\nu_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n+h}=\mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \circ \mathbf{N}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n+h}=\mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\left(\right.$ surjectivity of $\left.\mathbf{N}_{n}^{n+h}\right)$, giving an exact sequence of the form:

$$
1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{X}_{n+h} / \mathbf{J}_{n}^{n+h} \mathscr{X}_{n}\right)^{G_{n}^{n+h}} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}_{n}\left[p^{h}\right] \rightarrow A^{\prime}, \text { with } \# A^{\prime}=\# A .
$$

We then obtain the inequality $\# \mathscr{X}_{n+h} \geq \# \mathscr{X}_{n} \cdot \# \mathscr{X}_{n}\left[p^{h}\right]$.
Corollary 3.3. Let $p^{e_{n}}$ be the exponent of $\mathscr{X}_{n}, n \in[0, N]$, and assume $N$ arbitrary large. Then, as soon as $e_{n} \in[0, N-n]$, we have $\# \mathscr{X}_{n+e_{n}} \geq\left(\# \mathscr{X}_{n}\right)^{2}$. In any Iwasawa's theory context, if $\mu=0$, then $e_{n} \leq \lambda$ for all $n \gg 0$. In particular, if $\lambda=\mu=0$, then $\mathscr{X}_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 0$.
Proof. The first claim is obvious; if $\mu=0$ in the formula $\# \mathscr{X}_{n}=p^{\lambda n+\mu p^{n}+\nu}$ for all $n$ large enough, the relation $\# \mathscr{X}_{n+1} \geq \# \mathscr{X}_{n} \cdot p^{e_{n}}$ implies the second one.

So we must notice, in the practice, that Theorem 1.1 (i) does not apply since the complexity of the $\mathscr{X}_{K_{n}}$ crucially increases with $n$.

Example 3.4. We use the program [Gras2019a, Corollary 2.2, Program I, §3.2] computing the group structure of the $\mathscr{T}_{K_{n}}$ 's for quadratic fields $K$, $p=2, K_{n} \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ (so the number $r_{2}+1$ of independent $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extensions is 1
for $m>0$ and $2^{n}+1$ for $m<0$ ). One must chose an arbitrary constant $E$, assuming $E>e_{n}+1$, to be controlled a posteriori:

```
MAIN PROGRAM COMPUTING THE STRUCTURE OF TKn (real quadratic fields):
{p=2;ell=257;Nn=4;E=16;for(m=2,150,if(core(m)!=m,next);PK=x^2-m;
print("p=",p," PK=",PK," ell=",ell);for(n=0,Nn,Qn=polsubcyclo(ell,p^n);
Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1];Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);Knmod=bnrinit(Kn, p^E);
CKnmod=Knmod.cyc;TKn=List;d=matsize(CKnmod)[2] ; for(j=1,d-1, c=CKnmod[d-j+1];
w=valuation(c,p);if(w>0,listinsert(TKn, p^w, 1)));print("TK",n,"=",TKn)))}
```

In a very simple context $\left(K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}), L=K\left(\mu_{257}\right), p=2\right)$, the complexity of the torsion groups $\mathscr{T}_{K_{n}}$ is growing dramatically (for the $p^{k}$-ranks as well as the exponents) as shown by the following excerpts:

```
p=2 PK=x^2-2 ell=257
TKO=[]
TK1=[8,8]
TK2=[16,16, 4, 4, 2, 2]
TK3=[32, 32, 8, 8, 4, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]
TK4=[64,64,16,16,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,2,2,2, 2]
p=2 ell=257 PK=x^2-73
TK0=[2]
TK1=[64,8, 2, 2]
TK2=[128,16,8,4,4, 2, 2, 2]
TK3=[256,32,16,16,8,8,8,4,2,2,2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]
TK4=[512,64,32,32,16,16,16,8,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]
p=2 ell=257 PK=x^2-105
TKO=[2,2]
TK1=[16,8,2,2]
TK2=[32,16, 8, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2]
TK3=[64,32, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]
TK4=[128,64,16,16,16,16,16,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]
p=2 ell=257 PK=x^2-113
TK0=[4]
TK1=[128,16,4]
TK2=[256, 32, 8, 4, 4, 4, 2]
TK3=[512,64, 16, 8, 8, 8, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]
TK4=[1024,128,32,16,16,16,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2]
```

In that example, the analogue of the Chevalley-Herbrand formula gives, in $K_{n} / K, \# \mathscr{T}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}=\mathscr{T}_{K} \cdot p^{\rho n}$, where $\rho=1$ or 2 is the number of primes $\mathfrak{l} \mid \ell$ in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ [Gras2005, Theorem IV.3.3, Exercise 3.3.1]; unfortunately, we do not know formulas, similar to that of (2.3), for the orders of the $\mathscr{T}_{K_{n}}^{i}$ for $i>1$.

Consider, for instance, the above case of $m=113, L=K_{4}, \# \mathscr{T}_{L}=2^{59}$, $\rho=2, \nu_{L / K}\left(\mathscr{T}_{L}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, with the associated polynomial:


```
    +1001*p^3*x^9+715*p^ 4*x^8+6435*p*x^7+715*p^ 4*x^6+1001*p^ 3*x^5
    +273*p^4*x^4+455*\mp@subsup{p}{}{\wedge}2*\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}3+35*\mp@subsup{p}{}{\wedge}4*x^2+15*p^3*x+p^4
```

Since $\#\left(\mathscr{T}_{L}^{i+1} / \mathscr{T}_{L}^{i}\right) \leq \# \mathscr{T}_{L}^{G}=4 \cdot 2^{8}=2^{10}$, this gives $m(L) \geq 10(s \geq 3)$. These computations show that $m(L)$ and $e(L)=10$ are large. Moreover, the conditions of Theorem 1.1 (i), $e(L) \leq 4-s$ can not be satisfied.

Taking imaginary quadratic fields does not modify the behavior of the $\mathscr{T}_{K_{n}}$ since, for all $n, \mathbf{J}_{K_{n} / K}$ is still injective and $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}$ surjective:

```
MAIN PROGRAM COMPUTING THE STRUCTURE OF TKn (imaginary quadratic fields):
{p=2;ell=257;Nn=3;E=16;for(m=2,150,if(core(m)!=m,next);PK=x^2+m;print("p=",p,
" ell=",ell," PK=",PK);for(n=0,Nn,r2=2^n+1;PKn=polsubcyclo(ell,p^n);
Pn=polcompositum(PK,PKn)[1];Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);Knmod=bnrinit(Kn, p^E);
CKnmod=Knmod.cyc;TKn=List;d=matsize(CKnmod)[2];for(j=1,d-r2,c=CKnmod[d-j+1];
w=valuation(c,p);if(w>0,listinsert(TKn, p^w,1)));print("TK",n,"=",TKn)))}
p=2 PK=x^2+2 ell=257
TKO=[]
```

```
TK1=[16,2]
TK2=[16,4,4,4,4]
TK3=[32, 8, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4]
TK4=[64,16,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4]
p=2 ell=257 PK=x^2+3
TKO=[]
TK1=[16]
TK2=[32,8,4]
TK3=[64, 16, 4, 4,4,4,4]
TK4=[128, 32, 4, 4,4,4,4,4,4, 4,4,4,4,4,4]
p=2 ell=257 PK=x^2+7
TKO=[2]
TK1=[8,2,2]
TK2=[16,4,2,2,2,2,2]
TK3=[32,8,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
TK4=[64,16,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
```

For cyclic cubic fields and $p=2, \ell=257$, we obtain for instance:

```
MAIN PROGRAM COMPUTING THE STRUCTURE OF TKn (cyclic cubic fields):
{p=2;ell=257;Nn=3;E=16;bf=7;Bf=10^3;
for(f=bf,Bf,h=valuation(f,3);if(h!=0 & h!=2,next);F=f/3^h;
if(core(F)!=F,next);F=factor(F);Div=component(F,1);d=matsize(F)[1];
for(j=1,d,D=Div[j];if(Mod(D,3)!=1,break));for(b=1,sqrt(4*f/27),
if(h==2 & Mod(b,3)==0,next);A=4*f-27*b^2;if(issquare(A,&a)==1,
if(h==0,if (Mod (a,3)==1,a=-a);PK=x^3+x^2+(1-f)/3*x+(f*(a-3)+1)/27);
if(h==2,if(Mod(a,9)==3,a=-a);PK=x^3-f/3*x-f*a/27);print("p=",p," f=",f,
" PK=",PK," ell=",ell);for(n=0,Nn,Qn=polsubcyclo(ell,p^n);
Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1] ;Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);Knmod=bnrinit(Kn,P^E);
CKnmod=Knmod.cyc;TKn=List;d=matsize(CKnmod)[2];for(j=1,d-1,c=CKnmod [d-j+1];
w=valuation(c,p);if(w>0,listinsert(TKn,p^w,1)));print("TK",n,"=",TKn)))))}
p=2 f=31 PK=x^3+x^2-10*x-8 ell=257
TKO=[2,2]
TK1=[8,2,2,2,2]
TK2=[16,4,2,2,2,2,2,2, 2, 2, 2]
TK3=[32,8,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
p=2 f=43 PK=x^3+x^2-14*x+8 ell=257
TKO=[2,2]
TK1=[16,16,8,2,2]
TK2=[32,32,16,4,4,4,2,2,2,2,2]
TK3=[64,64,32,8,8,8,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
p=2 f=171 PK=x^3-57*x-152 ell=257
TKO=[8,8]
TK1=[16,16,8,2,2]
TK2=[32,32,16,4,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
TK3=[64,64,32,8,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
p=2 f=277 PK=x^3+x^2-92*x+236 ell=257
TKO=[4,4]
TK1=[8,4,4,4,4]
TK2=[16,8,8,4,4,4,4,4,2,2,2]
TK3=[32,16,16,8,8,8,8,8,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
```

3.2. Capitulation in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$-extensions. The problem of capitulations in a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ extension $\widetilde{K}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_{n}$ of $K$ has a long history from Iwasawa pioneering works showing, for instance, that the capitulation $\operatorname{kernels} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right)$ have a bounded order as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [Iwas1973, Theorem 10, §5]. The reader may refer for instance to [GrJa1985, BaCa2016, Cald2020] for classical context of $p$-class groups and to [KoMo2000, Vali2008] for wild kernels, [Jaul2016, Jaul2019a] for logarithmic class groups.
3.2.1. Survey of known results under the assumption $\mu=0$. If $\mu=0$, in the writing $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=p^{\lambda n+\mu p^{n}+\nu}$ for $n \gg 0$, the following properties are proved in
 be the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian pro-p-extension of $\widetilde{K}$ and let $\mathscr{H}_{\widetilde{K}}:=\underset{n}{\lim } \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ (for the transfer maps) be its $p$-class group; then $\mathfrak{H}_{\widetilde{K}} \simeq T \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\lambda}$, where $T$ is a finite group. Moreover, for all $n \gg 0$ :

- $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}: \mathfrak{H}_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ induces an isomorphism of $T$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right)$.
- $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right) \oplus \mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right) \oplus_{i=1}^{\lambda} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n+\alpha_{i}} \mathbb{Z}$,
for some relative integers $\alpha_{i}$.
From now on, we take the base field " $K=K_{n_{0}}$ ", $n_{0}$ large enough, in such a way that $\widetilde{K} / K$ is totally ramified and such that all the above properties are fulfilled from $n=0$. Thus, $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=p^{\lambda n+\nu}, \lambda, \nu \geq 0$ with $p^{\nu}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}$, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lambda} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n+\alpha_{i}} \mathbb{Z}, \text { with } \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right) \simeq T, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in this new context, $\alpha_{i} \geq 0$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{K} \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lambda} \mathbb{Z} / p^{\alpha_{i}} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha_{i} \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.5. Under the above choice of the base field $K$ in the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension $\widetilde{K}$ and assuming $\mu=0$, the capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $\widetilde{K}$ is equivalent for all $n \geq 0$ to the isomorphism $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K} \oplus \mathbf{J}_{\widetilde{K} / K_{n}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\lambda}$.

Proof. If $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $\widetilde{K}$, then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{K}$ and, from (3.2), $\alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i \in[1, \lambda]$. So $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\lambda}$, for all $n \geq 0$, since each capitulation kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K_{n}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $T$, whence isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{K} / K}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{K}$ (isomorphisms given by the arithmetic norms).

Reciprocally, assume that $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\lambda}$; then, from (3.1):

$$
\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\widetilde{K} / K_{n}}\right) \oplus \stackrel{\oplus}{i=1}_{\stackrel{\lambda}{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\mathbb{Z}} / p^{n+\alpha_{i}} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\lambda}
$$

Comparing the structures gives $\alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i \in[1, \lambda]$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\widetilde{K} / K_{n}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$ for all $n$, whence the capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $\widetilde{K}$.

If the proposition applies, for all $n \geq 1$, the capitulation in incomplete; for instance $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}} \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\widetilde{K} / K_{1}}\right) \oplus(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\lambda}$ with $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbf{J}_{\widetilde{K} / K_{1}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$.
3.2.2. Case of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $K$. Assume that $K$ is totally real and let $K_{\infty}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_{n}$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $K$, assuming the previous choice of $K$ in $K_{\infty}$. Greenberg's conjecture [Gree1976] for $K_{\infty}$ $(\lambda=\mu=0)$ is equivalent to the stability of the $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's from $K$, giving capitulations of all the class groups in $K_{\infty}$ from $n=0$, then equalities $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=$ $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}$ for all $n \geq 0$, the isomorphisms $\mathscr{H}_{K_{m}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$, for all $m \geq n \geq 0$; thus, for $n \geq 1, m\left(K_{n}\right)=1(s=0)$ with $e\left(K_{n}\right)=e(K)$, which is exactly the limit case of application of Theorem 1.1 (i) for $n \geq e(K)$.

In [KrSch1995, Paga2022] such properties of stability are used to check the conjecture by means of analytic formulas.

In [Jaul2016, Jaul2019 ${ }^{a}$, Jaul2019 ${ }^{b}$ ], it is proved that Greenberg's conjecture is equivalent to the capitulation of the logarithmic class group $\widetilde{\mathscr{T}}_{K}$ in $K_{\infty}$; this may be effective if, by chance, a capitulation occurs in the firsts layers over the base field $K$; indeed, this criterion is probably the only one giving an algorithmic test (using [BeJa2016]) from the base field.

In what follows, we will analyze the generalized Chevalley-Herbrand formula in $K_{\infty} / K$.

Conventions 3.6. Taking in the sequel, as totally real base field $K$, a suitable layer " $K_{n_{0}}$ " in $K_{\infty}$, we may assume, without restricting the generality, the following properties of $K_{\infty} / K$ :
(i) $p$ is totally ramified in $K_{\infty} / K$;
(ii) Iwasawa's formula $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=p^{\lambda n+\mu p^{n}+\nu}$ is valid for all $n \geq 0$ (this gives new invariants of the form $\left(\lambda, \mu p^{n_{0}}, \nu+\lambda n_{0}\right)$ that we still denote $\left.(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\right)$.

Then, as for the "tame casee", formulas (2.3) hold with $r=\#\{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} \mid p$ in $K\}$ and the filtration still depends on the class and norm factors. However, the norm factors can be interpreted, from generalizations of Taya results, as divisor of the normalized $p$-adic regulator of $K$, as follows from class field theory:

Definitions 3.7. (i) Let $H_{K_{n}}^{\mathrm{gen}}$ be the genus field of $K_{n}$ (i.e., the subfield of the $p$-Hilbert class field $H_{K_{n}}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, abelian over $K$ and maximal, whence the subfield of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K_{n}}^{\mathrm{nr}} / K\right)$ fixed by the image of $\left.\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{\sigma_{n}-1}\right)$, and let $K_{\infty} H_{K_{n}}^{\text {gen }}$, which is abelian p-ramified over $K$, whence $K_{\infty} H_{K_{n}}^{\mathrm{gen}} \subseteq H_{K}^{\mathrm{pr}}$, the maximal $p$-ramified abelian pro-p-extension of $K$. So $\mathscr{T}_{K}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{pr}} / K_{\infty}\right)$ is finite under Leopoldt's conjecture.

We define $H_{K_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{gen}}:=\bigcup_{n} K_{\infty} H_{K_{n}}^{\mathrm{gen}}$ and put $\mathscr{G}_{K}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{gen}} / K_{\infty}\right)$.
We denote by $K_{n_{1}}, n_{1} \geq 0$, the minimal layer such that $K_{\infty} H_{K_{n_{1}}}^{\mathrm{gen}}=H_{K_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{gen}}$ (even with the above conventions, $K_{n_{1}}$ may be distinct from $K$ ).
(ii) Let $H_{K}^{\mathrm{bp}}$ be the Bertrandias-Payan field fixed by $\mathscr{W}_{K} \simeq\left(\oplus_{v \mid p} \mu_{K_{v}}\right) / \mu_{K}$, where $K_{v}$ is the $v$-completion of $K$ and $\mu_{k}$ the group of pth-roots of unity of the field $k$ (local or global); if $U_{v}$ is the group of principal units of $K_{v}$, then $\mu_{K_{v}}=\operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(U_{v}\right)$.
(iii) Let $\iota \mathscr{E}_{K}$ be the image of $\mathscr{E}_{K}$ in $U_{K}:=\prod_{v \mid p} U_{v}$ and let $I_{v}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{pr}} / K_{\infty}\right):=$ $\operatorname{tor}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(U_{v} / \iota \mathscr{E}_{K} \cap U_{v}\right)$ be the inertia groups of $v$ in $H_{K}^{\mathrm{pr}} / K_{\infty}$; the subgroup of $\mathscr{T}_{K}$ generated by these inertia groups fixes $H_{K_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{gen}}$.
(iv) Let $\mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{gen}} / K_{\infty} H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ and let $\mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{ram}}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{bp}} / H_{K_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{gen}}\right)$, where $\mathscr{R}_{K}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{bp}} / K_{\infty} H_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ is the normalized p-adic regulator defined in [Gras2018, Section 5].

These definitions may be summarized by the following diagram [Gras2021 ${ }^{a}$, Section 2]:


Recall, under the above conventions about the choice of the base field $K$, some results, given in [Gras $2017^{b}$, Gras2019 ${ }^{b}$ ] and generalizing some particular results of Taya [Taya1996, Taya1999, Taya2000]:
Proposition 3.8. For all $n \geq 0$, the norm factor $\frac{p^{n \cdot(r-1)}}{\omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{E}_{K}\right)}$ divides $\# \mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K} \times \frac{p^{n \cdot(r-1)}}{\omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{E}_{K}\right)}$ divides $\# \mathscr{G}_{K}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K} \cdot \# \mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$; then, equality holds for all $n \geq n_{1}$. If $\mathscr{H}_{K}=\mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}=1$, then $\lambda=\mu=0$.

Thus, the norm factors $\frac{p^{n \cdot(r-1)}}{\omega_{K_{n} / K}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{K}^{i}(n)\right)}$, associated to the filtration, divide $\# \mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, which allows computations in the base field $K$ without Hasse's symbols.

Recall that $m\left(K_{n}\right)$ is the length of the filtration for $K_{n}$ and that $m(K)=0$; so, formulas (2.3) apply in general for $L=K_{n}, n \geq 1$ :

Proposition 3.9. Let $v_{p}$ denotes the p-adic valuation; then, under the previous conventions about the base field $K$, we have for all $n \geq 1$ :

$$
m\left(K_{n}\right) \leq \lambda \cdot n+\mu \cdot p^{n}+\nu \leq v_{p}\left(\# \mathscr{H}_{K} \cdot \# \mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right) \cdot m\left(K_{n}\right) .
$$

Corollary 3.10. Greenberg's conjecture is equivalent (under Leopoldt's conjecture) to each of the following properties:
(i) $m\left(K_{n}\right)$ is bounded over $n$.
(ii) $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}(\forall n \geq 0)$ and $\mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}=1$.

Proof. (i) is obvious.
(ii) If $\lambda=\mu=0$, then there is stability from $K$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$ for all $n$. There exists $n_{1} \gg 0$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} H_{K_{n_{1}}}^{\text {gen }}\right)=\mathscr{G}_{K}$ by definition; thus, since $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}=\# \mathscr{G}_{K}$, for all $n \geq n_{1}$, it follows that $\mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}=1$.

Reciprocally, $\mathscr{R}_{K}^{\mathrm{nr}}=1$ implies $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}$ for all $n \geq 0$; so the second element of the filtration is of order $\frac{\# \mathscr{H}_{K}}{\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}\right)}=\frac{\# \mathscr{H}_{K}}{\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)}=1$, using $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}^{G_{n}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{K}$. Whence $m\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ is bounded.

We can wonder if Greenberg's conjecture is equivalent, under Conventions 3.6 and $\mu=0$, to $\nu_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)=1$, for all $n \geq e(K)$, obtained with the two particular conditions $m\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ (i.e., $s=0$ ) and $e\left(K_{n}\right)=e(K)$.

Indeed, under Greenberg's conjecture, $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}} \simeq \mathscr{H}_{K}$ (via the $\mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}$ 's), for all $n \geq 0$, which characterizes the stability with $m\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ and $e\left(K_{n}\right)=e(K)$, precisely the kind of annihilation of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's by $\nu_{K_{n} / K}$ with $m\left(K_{n}\right)=1$ (i.e., $s=0$ ) and $e\left(K_{n}\right)=e(K)$.

Reciprocally, from Proposition 3.5, if $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates, then $\nu_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)=$ $\mathbf{J}_{K_{n} / K} \circ \mathbf{N}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}\right)=1$ and $e\left(K_{n}\right)=e(K)$ implies $\lambda=0$.

But, unfortunately, in the practice, these phenomenon (if any) holds from an unknown layer.

This may be suggested by the following example of the cyclic cubic field of conductor $f=2689$, of 2-class group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ extension, giving $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}, \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{3}} \simeq$ $\mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$ (see details of the PARI programs and data in §4.3):

```
p=2 f=2689 PK=x^3+x^2-896*x+5876 CKO=[2,2]
CK1=[28,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,2]
No capitulation of CKO in K1
CK2=[56,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[4,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,4]
No capitulation of CKO in K2
CK3=[56,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K3/K of the component 1 of CK3:[0,0]
norm in K3/K of the component 2 of CK3:[0,0]
Complete capitulation of CKO in K3, m(K3)=1, e(K2)=3
```

At any layer, $\sigma_{n}-1$ annihilates $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ and capitulation in $K_{\infty}$ holds for all $n$. Stability occurs from $K_{2}$ giving a checking of Greenberg's conjecture.

Remark 3.11. It is interesting to check the numerical examples given by Fukuda [Fuku1994] for real quadratic fields and $p=3$ (stability from $K$ ) with the simplified usual program and suitable polynomials defining the layers of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-extension; we limit the computations to the first layer and use the fact that the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's are cyclic:

```
{p=3;Lm=List([3137,3719,4409,6809,7226,9998]); for (k=1,6,PK=x^2-Lm[k];
K=bnfinit(PK,1);CK0=K.clgp;Pn=polcompositum(PK,polsubcyclo(p^2,p)) [1];
Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1) ; CKn=Kn.clgp;print();G=nfgaloisconj(Kn);Id=x;for(k=1,6,
Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);
if(ks==p,S=G[k];break));A0=CKn[3] [1];A=1;for(t=1,p,
As=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,A);A=idealmul(Kn,A0,As));
C=bnfisprincipal(Kn,A)[1];print("PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2],
" CK",1,"=",CKn[2]," norm in K",1,"/K of CK",1,":",C))}
PK=x^2-3137 CK0=[9] CK1=[9] norm in K1/K of CK1:[3] ~
PK=x^2-3719 CK0=[9] CK1=[9] norm in K1/K of CK1:[3] ~
PK=x^2-4409 CK0=[9] CK1=[9] norm in K1/K of CK1: [3] ~
PK=x^2-6809 CK0=[9] CK1=[9] norm in K1/K of CK1:[3] ~
PK=x^2-7226 CK0=[18] CK1=[18] norm in K1/K of CK1:[3] ~
PK=x^2-9998 CK0=[9] CK1=[9] norm in K1/K of CK1:[3] ~
```

The stability from $K$ implies Greenberg's conjecture and capitulation of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ in $K_{2}$, with an incomplete capitulation in $K_{1}$.

## 4. Examples for cyclic cubic $K$ and $p=2$

We consider various totally ramified cyclic $p$-extensions $L / K$, where $L=$ $K L_{0}, L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ cyclic, especially $L_{0} \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right), \ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right)$.

### 4.1. Cyclic cubic fields $K, L \subset K\left(\mu_{17}\right), L \subset K\left(\mu_{97}\right)$.

4.1.1. Case $\ell=17$. In that examples, $L_{0}$ is the real subfield of degree 8 of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$. We eliminate the cases of stability $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}$ since complete capitulation holds in a suitable layer if $e(K) \leq 3$. The number vHK eliminates fields such that $\# \mathrm{CKn}<\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{vHK}}$; it may be chosen at will. The images $\mathbf{J}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}\right)$ are computed for $n=1$ and $n=2$. The number $\rho \in\{1,3\}$ is the number of prime ideals above $\ell$ in $K$.

We give an excerpt of the various cases obtained (all these examples show the random framework of the structures and of the capitulation, complete or incomplete); the deductions of $m(\mathrm{Kn}), \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Kn})$ from the outputs, are left to the reader. We indicate if $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $K_{3}$ (no computed) which holds as soon as $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}$ (stability from $K_{1}$ ) and $e(K) \leq 2$ :
main program for cyclic cubic fields
\{ $\mathrm{p}=2 ; \mathrm{Nn}=2 ; \mathrm{bf}=7 ; \mathrm{Bf}=10 \wedge 4 ; \mathrm{vHK}=2$;ell=17; $\mathrm{mKn}=2$;
for ( $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{bf}, \mathrm{Bf}, \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{valuation}(\mathrm{f}, 3$ );if( $\mathrm{h}!=0$ \& $\mathrm{h}!=2$, next ) ; F=f/3^h;
if (core ( F ) ! $=\mathrm{F}$, next ) ; F=factor ( F ) ; Div=component ( $\mathrm{F}, 1$ ) ; d=matsize ( F ) [1];
for ( $\mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{D}=\operatorname{Div}[\mathrm{j}] ; \mathrm{if}(\operatorname{Mod}(\mathrm{D}, 3)!=1$, break $)$ ) ;for (b=1, sqrt (4*f/27),
if ( $\mathrm{h}==2 \& \operatorname{Mod}(\mathrm{~b}, 3)==0$, next $) ; \mathrm{A}=4 * \mathrm{f}-27 * \mathrm{~b}{ }^{\wedge} 2$;if (issquare $(\mathrm{A}, \& \mathrm{a})==1$,
if $\left(\mathrm{h}==0, \mathrm{if}(\operatorname{Mod}(\mathrm{a}, 3)==1, \mathrm{a}=-\mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+(1-\mathrm{f}) / 3 * \mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{f} *(\mathrm{a}-3)+1) / 27\right)$;
if $\left(\mathrm{h}==2\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{if}(\operatorname{Mod}(\mathrm{a}, 9)==3, \mathrm{a}=-\mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-\mathrm{f} / 3 * \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{f} * \mathrm{a} / 27\right)$;
K=bnfinit(PK,1);rho=matsize(idealfactor (K,ell)) [1];
$\backslash$ Test over the order of the p-class group of K :
HK=K.no;if (valuation(HK, p) <vHK, next); CKO=K.clgp;

$\mathrm{Kn}=\mathrm{bnfinit}(\mathrm{Pn}, 1)$; $\mathrm{HKn}=\mathrm{Kn} . \mathrm{no}$; dn=poldegree $(\mathrm{Pn})$;
$\backslash$ Test for elimination of the stability from K :
if ( $\mathrm{n}==1$ \& valuation $(\mathrm{HKn}, \mathrm{p}$ )==valuation ( $\mathrm{HK}, \mathrm{p}$ ), break);
if ( $n==1, p r i n t(" f=", f, "$ PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2]," ell=",ell," rho=",rho));
CKn=Kn.clgp;print("CK",n, "=", CKn [2]); rKn=matsize (CKn [2]) [2];
\} \backslash Search of a generator \mathrm { S } of \mathrm { Gal } ( \mathrm { Kn } / \mathrm { K } ) :
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn); Id=x;for (k=1, dn, Z=G [k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
$\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{nf}$ galoisapply (Kn, $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{k}], \mathrm{Z}$ ); $\mathrm{ks}=\mathrm{ks}+1$ ) ; if ( $\mathrm{ks}==\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{k}]$; break) );
<br>Computation of the filtration:
for (i=1,rKn, X=CKn[3] [i] ; Y=X;for(j=1,mKn, YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
$\mathrm{T}=$ idealpow (Kn, $\mathrm{Y},-1$ ) ; $\mathrm{Y}=$ idealmul ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{YS}, \mathrm{T}$ ) ; $\mathrm{B}=$ bnfisprincipal ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) [1] ;
Ehij=List;for (ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii]; w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p); c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij, c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)^",j,"]=",Ehij)));
<br>Computation of the algebraic norms:
for ( $\mathrm{i}=1, \mathrm{rKn}, \mathrm{A} 0=\mathrm{CKn}[3][\mathrm{i}] ; \mathrm{A}=1$; for ( $\mathrm{t}=1, \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{As}=\mathrm{nfgaloisapply}(\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A})$;
$\mathrm{A}=$ idealmul ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{AO}, \mathrm{As}$ )) ; $B=$ bnfisprincipal ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{A}$ ) [1];
Enu=List; for (ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii]; w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p); $c=l i f t(M o d(c, p へ w)) ;$
listput(Enu,c,ii));print("norm in $\mathrm{K} ", \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{C/K}$ of the component $", i$,
" of CK",n,":",Enu))))) \}
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=607 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-202 * \mathrm{x}-1169 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=1
CK1 $=[2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,1,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[1,0,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,1,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[1,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[1,0,0,1]$
CK2 $=[2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,1,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,1,0] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=1$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=703 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-234 * \mathrm{x}-729 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=1
CK1=[12,4]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [2,2]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [2,0]
CK2 $=[24,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,6]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [4,4]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[4,0]
No capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=1009 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-336 * \mathrm{x}-1719 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=1
CK1=[28,4]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1:[2,2]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: [2,0]
CK2 $=[28,4]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=1197 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-399 * \mathrm{x}+2926 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,6] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=3
CK1 $=[12,12]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2]
CK2=[12,12]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$ Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1$, e(K2) $=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=1777 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-592 * \mathrm{x}+724 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4] \quad$ ell=17 $\mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1 $=[8,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2]
CK2 $=[8,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: [4,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
Complete capitulation in K3, m(K2) $=1$, e(K2) $=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=1789 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-596 * \mathrm{x}-5632 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad$ ell=17 $\mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[24,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [4,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,4]
CK2=[312,8]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, $e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=1951 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-650 * \mathrm{x}-289 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=3
CK1 $=[4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[4,4,4,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,2,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, e(K2) $=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=2077 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-692 * \mathrm{x}-7231 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad$ ell=17 $\mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[6,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,1,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[1,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[1,1,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[1,1,0,1]$
CK2 $=[6,2,2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-1} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,0,1,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,0,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1,0,1] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,1,1,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,1,1,0,0]$
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,1,1,0,1,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,1,1,0]
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,1,1,0] \quad h-6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,1,1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=1$
$p=2 \quad f=2817 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-939 * x+6886 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12,4] \quad$ ell=17 $\mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[84,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$
CK2 $=[84,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: 0,0$]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0]
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=2$
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=3357 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-1119 * x+9325 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=3
CK1 $=[6,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[12,4,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=3409 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-1136 * x-10732 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad$ ell=17 $\mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1 $=[6,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,1] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[1,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[1,1,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of $\mathrm{CK}:[0,0,1,1]$
$\mathrm{CK} 2=[6,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,1] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=1$
$p=2 \quad f=5479 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-1826 * x+13799 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[2,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,1] h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[1,0,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[1,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,1,1,1]$ norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[1,0,0,1]$ CK2 $=[4,4,4,4]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[3,1,3,1] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[3,3,0,3]
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,2,2] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,3,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2,2,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,2,2,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,2,2,2] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,2,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,2,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: [2,2,2,2]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: [2, 2, 0,2$]$
No capitulation, $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{K} 2)>2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=6247 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-2082 * \mathrm{x}-35631 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4]$ ell=17 rho=1
CK1=[24,8,2,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,6,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,2,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[6,0,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[4,0,0,0]$
CK2=[24,8,2,2]
$\mathrm{h}_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6,1,1] \quad \mathrm{h} 2^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,6,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-4 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in K3, m(K2) $>2$, e(K2) $=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=7687 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-2562 * \mathrm{x}-48969 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2,2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
CK2 $=[4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \quad 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=8629 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-2876 * \mathrm{x}-50176 \mathrm{CKO}=[14,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[56,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,4] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,6]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [4,4]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: [4,0]
CK2 $=[112,16]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,4] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[12,10]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [0,8]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[8,8]$
No capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=4$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=9247 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3082 * \mathrm{x}-27056 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12,4] \quad$ ell=17 rho=3
CK1 $=[24,8]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$ CK2 $=[48,16]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: 44,0$]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
No capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=4$
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=9283 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3094 * \mathrm{x}-5501 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=1
CK1=[48,16]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[8,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,8]$
CK2 $=[48,16]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=4$
(...)
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=20887 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6962 * \mathrm{x}-225889 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4,2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=3
CK1 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2,0,0]
norm in $K 1 / K$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: [0,0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 1)=1, e(K 1)=3$
$\mathrm{CK} 2=[16,16,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[8,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,8,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=4$
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=25119 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-8373 * \mathrm{x}+2791 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12,4] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[12,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of $\mathrm{CK} 1:[0,2,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 1 / K$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2=[24, 8, 2, 2, 2, 2]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,4,0,0,1,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,6,1,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0,0,0] h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,1,1,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,1,1,0] \quad h \_6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,1,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,1,1,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,1,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, $e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=29467 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-9822 * \mathrm{x}-20736 \mathrm{CKO}=[84,4] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=3
CK1 $=[168,8,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,1,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[6,0,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[4,6,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[336,16,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,8,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[8,8,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
No capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=4$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=33061 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-11020 * \mathrm{x}-262039 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2,2,2] \quad$ ell=17 rho=1
CK1 $=[12,4,2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-1 \wedge}^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,0,1,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,1,1,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h-6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,1,0,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1,0,1]$
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 5 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[24,8,4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-1} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,4,2,2,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0,2,0,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,2,2,0,0]$
h_3^[(S-1)^2] $=[4,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h-4 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[4,4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, $e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=37087 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-12362 * \mathrm{x}-401089 \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2,2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1 $=[4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-4 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1$, $e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=44857 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-14952 * \mathrm{x}-704421 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2,2,2] \quad$ ell=17 rho=3
CK1=[12,12,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: [2,2,0,0]
CK2 $=[12,12,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \quad 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=48769 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-16256 * \mathrm{x}-7225 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[24,8] \quad$ ell=17 $\mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1=[48,16]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2]
CK2 $=[48,16]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [4,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=4$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=55609 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-18536 * \mathrm{x}-823837 \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4,2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1=[56, 8, 2, 2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[6,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,4,0,0]$
CK2=[56, 8, 2, 2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=3$
Let's give some comments on interesting examples found above:

```
p=2 f=9283 PK=x^3+x^2-3094*x-5501 CK0=[2, 2] ell=17 rho=1
CK1=[48,16]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[6,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,6]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[4,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^3]=[8,0] h_2^[(S-1)^3]=[0,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^4]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^4]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[8,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,8]
No capitulation,m(K1)=4,e(K1)=4
CK2=[48,16]
```

$h_{-1} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[8,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 4\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 4\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{K} 2)=4, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=4$
There is complete capitulation, even if conditions of Theorem 1.1 (i) are not fulfilled for the $K_{n} / K$ 's. Moreover, the exponent of $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}$ is $2^{4}$ giving a larger complexity in $K_{1} / K$, but in $K_{n}, n \geq 2$, the exponent is still $2^{4}$ (no increasing of the complexity). If $K_{5}$ had existed, we would have get the following data in $K_{4}^{\prime}=K_{5}$ with the base field $K^{\prime}=K_{1}$ (i.e., $N^{\prime}=4$ ) and the relation $\nu_{K_{4}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}^{\prime}}\right)=1$ (one has the canonical isomorphisms between the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}^{\prime}}$ and the identities $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}^{\prime}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}^{\prime}}^{G_{n}^{\prime}}$ for all $n>1$ ):
CK6=[16,16] (up to odd factors)
$h^{\prime} 1^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h^{\prime} \_2^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h^{\prime} 1^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h^{\prime} 2^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
$h^{\prime} 1^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0] \quad h^{\prime} 2^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0]$
$h^{\prime} 1^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 4\right]=[0,0] \quad h^{\prime} \_2^{\wedge}\left[\left(S^{\prime}-1\right)^{\wedge} 4\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $K^{\prime} 5 / K^{\prime}$ of the component 1 of CK'5: $[0,0]$
norm in $K^{\prime} 5 / K^{\prime}$ of the component 2 of CK'5: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m\left(K^{\prime} 5\right)=1$, e( $\left.K^{\prime} 5\right)=4$
So, for this layer $L^{\prime}=K_{4}^{\prime}$, Theorem 1.1 (i) applies with $e\left(K_{5}^{\prime}\right)=4 \in\left[4-s^{\prime}\right]$ since $m\left(L^{\prime}\right)=1\left(s^{\prime}=0\right)$, and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}^{\prime}}$ capitulates in $K_{4}^{\prime}$.

Some other examples as:

```
p=2 f=7687 PK=x^3+x^2-2562*x-48969 CKO=[2,2,2,2] ell=17 rho=1
CK1=[4,4, 2, 2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,2,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[2,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:[0,2,0,0]
CK2=[4,4, 2, 2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
Complete capitulation, m(K2)=2, e(K2)=2
p=2 f=44857 PK=x^3+x^2-14952*x-704421 CKO=[6,2,2,2] ell=17 rho=3
CK1=[12,12,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,2,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[2,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:[2,2,0,0]
CK2=[12,12,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2, 2,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^1]=[2,2,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
```

norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: [0,0,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=2$
suggest that the size of the $p$-rank is not an obstruction to a capitulation in such cyclic sub- $p$-extensions of $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$; here, the 2-ranks are even because of the structure of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[\mu_{3}\right]$-module of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's. In the above cases, the capitulation is obtained by means of a stability in larger layers.
4.1.2. Case $\ell=97$. Similarly, we give a table for $\ell=97$ allowing capitulations up to $K_{4}$. One finds much more cases of capitulation (not in the table below since they are very numerous); it seems clearly that a larger value of $N$ intervenes in the phenomenon of capitulation, even for the same values of $n=1,2$ :

```
p=2 f=349 PK=x^3+x^2-116*x-517 CKO=[2,2] ell=97 rho=1
CK1=[4,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[0,2]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[2,2]
CK2=[4,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0]
Complete capitulation, m(K2)=2, e(K2)=2
p=2 f=547 PK=x^3+x^2-182*x-81 CKO=[2,2] ell=97 rho=1
CK1=[2,2,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,1,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,1,0,1]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[1,0,1,1] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,1,0,1]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[1,1,1,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,1,0,1]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[1,0,1,1]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:[0,1,0,1]
CK2=[2,2,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,1,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
Complete capitulation, m(K2)=2, e(K2)=1
p=2 f=607 PK=x^3+x^2-202*x-1169 CKO=[2,2] ell=97 rho=1
CK1=[8,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[6,4] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[4,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[4,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,4]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[0,4]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[4,4]
CK2=[104,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[6,4] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[4,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[4,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,4]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0]
Complete capitulation, m(K2)>2, e(K2)=3
p=2 f=703 PK=x^3+x^2-234*x-729 CK0=[6,2] ell=97 rho=1
CK1=[6,2,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[1,1,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,1,1] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,1,1]
```

$h_{-1} \wedge^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1:[1, $1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[1,1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1]$
CK2 $=[12,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,1,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, e(K2) $=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=1957 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-652 * \mathrm{x}+6016 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1=[12,4]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$
CK2 $=[24,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [4,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
No capitulation, $m(K 2)=1$, e(K2)=3
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=4207 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-1402 * \mathrm{x}+14335 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[12,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0]$
CK2 $=[12,4,4,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,2] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=4639 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-1546 * \mathrm{x}+6529 \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2]$ ell=97 rho=1
CK1 $=[4,4]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
CK2 $=[4,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=9391 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3130 * \mathrm{x}-24347 \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-1} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0]$
CK2 $=[4,4,4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,2,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0,1,0]$
h_3^[(S-1)^1] $=[2,0,2,0,1,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2,2,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2,2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, e(K2) $=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=10513 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3504 * \mathrm{x}-80989 \mathrm{CKO}=[8,8]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[8,8]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2]
CK2=[8,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=1$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=11149 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3716 * \mathrm{x}+39228 \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[12,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [0,2]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1:[2,2]
CK2 $=[12,4]$
$h_{-1}{ }^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$p=2 f=15823 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-5274 * x+141821$ CKO=[4,4] ell=97 rho=3
CK1=[4,4]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$
CK2 $=[4,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=19177 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6392 * \mathrm{x}-79549 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1=[24,8,2,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,4,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,4,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[336,112,4,4]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,4,1,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[12,6,1,1]
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,8,0,2] \quad h-4 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[8,0,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,8,2,2] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[8,12,0,2]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[8,8,2,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[8,0,2,2]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=20419 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6806 * \mathrm{x}-3025 \mathrm{CKO}=[42,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1=[84,4,2,2]
$h_{-1 \wedge}^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h-2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
CK2 $=[84,4,4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0,1,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,2,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,2,2,1,1]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,2,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$p=2 f=20887 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6962 * \mathrm{x}-225889 \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4,2,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[6,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[4,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[4,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $K 3, m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=21931 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-7310 * \mathrm{x}-3249 \mathrm{CKO}=[12,12]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[24,24]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1:[6,4]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[4,2]
CK2 $=[24,24]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: 44,0$]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
Complete capitulation in $K 3, m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=3$
$p=2 \mathrm{f}=24589 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-8196 * \mathrm{x}-33696 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2]$ ell=97 $\mathrm{rho}=3$
$\mathrm{CK} 1=[6,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,0,0,1]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [1,0,0,1]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[1,0,0,1]$
CK2 $=[6,2,2,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,0,1,1,1] \quad h \_6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,1,1,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[1,1,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=1$
$p=2 \mathrm{f}=25171 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-8390 * \mathrm{x}+273152 \mathrm{CKO}=[14,2]$ ell=97 rho=3
CK1 $=[84,4,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 1 / K$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[84,4,4,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=2$
4.2. Cyclic cubic fields $K, L \subset K\left(\mu_{17.97}\right)$. We use the same program with suitable defining polynomials, of degrees 2 and 4 , respectively, so that $L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ be cyclic with total ramification of 17 and 97 . Since two primes $\ell_{1}=17$ and $\ell_{2}=97$ ramify in $K_{n} / K$, the factor norm is in general non trivial, which gives larger 2-class groups but, a priori, this does not modify the notion of complexity in the tower:

```
{p=2;Nn=2;bf=7;Bf=10^6;vHK=4;mKn=2;L4=List;
L4=[x^2-x-412, x^4-x^3-618*x^2+1752*x+8960];
for(f=bf,Bf,h=valuation(f,3);if(h!=0 & h!=2,next);F=f/3^h;
if(core(F)!=F,next);F=factor(F);Div=component(F,1);d=matsize(F)[1];
for(j=1,d,D=Div[j];if(Mod(D,3)!=1,break));for(b=1,sqrt(4*f/27),
if(h==2 & Mod(b,3)==0,next);A=4*f-27*b^2;if(issquare(A,&a)==1,
if (h==0,if (Mod (a,3)==1, a=-a);PK=x^3+x^2+(1-f)/3*x+(f*(a-3)+1)/27);
if (h==2,if(Mod (a,9)==3,a=-a);PK=x^3-f/3*x-f*a/27);
```

K=bnfinit(PK,1); HK=K.no;if(valuation(HK, p)<vHK, next) ; CKO=K.clgp; rho=matsize (idealfactor (K, 17)) [1]+matsize (idealfactor (K, 97)) [1];
for ( $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{Nn}, \mathrm{Qn}=\mathrm{L} 4[\mathrm{n}] ; \mathrm{Pn}=$ polcompositum (PK, Qn) [1];Kn=bnfinit (Pn, 1) ; HKn=Kn.no;dn=poldegree(Pn);if(n==1 \& valuation(HKn,p)==vHK,break); if ( $n==1, \operatorname{print}() ; \operatorname{print}(" f=", f, " P K=", P K, " C K O=", C K O[2]$, " ell=", ell," rho=", rho));
CKn=Kn.clgp;print ("CK", n, "=", CKn[2]) ; rKn=matsize (CKn[2]) [2] ;
$\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{nf}$ galoisconj( Kn ) ; Id=x; for ( $\mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{dn}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{k}] ; \mathrm{ks}=1$; while (Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply (Kn, G[k], Z) ; ks=ks+1) ;if (ks==p^n, S=G[k] ;break)) ;
for ( $i=1, r K n, X=C K n[3][i] ; Y=X ; f o r(j=1, m K n, Y S=n f g a l o i s a p p l y(K n, S, Y) ;$
T=idealpow ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{Y},-1$ ) ; $\mathrm{Y}=$ idealmul ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{YS}, \mathrm{T}$ ) ; $\mathrm{B}=$ bnfisprincipal ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) [1] ;
Ehij=List; for (ii=1, rKn, c=B[ii]; w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p); c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput (Ehij, c,ii)) ; print("h_", i, "~[", " (S-1)~", j, "]=", Ehij)));
for $\left(i=1, r K n, A 0=C K n[3][i] ; A=1\right.$; for $\left(t=1, p^{\wedge} n, A s=n f g a l o i s a p p l y(K n, S, A)\right.$;
$\mathrm{A}=$ idealmul ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{AO}, \mathrm{As}$ ) ) ; $B=b n f$ isprincipal ( $\mathrm{Kn}, \mathrm{A}$ ) [1] ;
Enu=List; for (ii=1, rKn, c=B[ii]; w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p); c=lift(Mod(c, p^w)); listput(Enu, c,ii)); print("norm in $K ", n, " / K$ of the component ",i, " of CK", n, ":", Enu))))))\}
$p=2 \quad f=1777 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-592 * \mathrm{x}+724 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4]$
CK1 $=[8,8,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
$\mathrm{CK} 2=[16,16,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: [4,0,0]
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
No capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=2817 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-939 * \mathrm{x}+6886 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12,4]$
CK1 $=[444,4,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,1,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,1,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of $\operatorname{CK} 1:[0,0,1,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[888,8,4,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,4,2,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,2,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, m(K2) $>2$, e(K2) $=3$
$p=2 \quad f=4297 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-1432 * x+20371 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4]$
CK1 $=[4,4,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[4,4,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=2$
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=7687 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-2562 * \mathrm{x}-48969 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2,2,2]$
$\mathrm{CK} 1=[4,4,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK1: $[2,2,0,0,0]$
$\mathrm{CK} 2=[4,4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,2,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,2,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=2$
$p=2 \quad \mathrm{f}=10513 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3504 * \mathrm{x}-80989 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[8,8]$
CK1 $=[8,8,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[8,8,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: [4,0,0]
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=20887 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6962 * \mathrm{x}-225889 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4,2,2]$
$\mathrm{CK} 1=[8,8,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[16,16,4,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=4$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=21931 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-7310 * \mathrm{x}-3249 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12,12]$
CK1 $=[12,12,2,2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,1,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0,1,0,0]$
$\mathrm{h}_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad \mathrm{h}_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 7^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0,1,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 7 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[24,24,4,4,4,2,2]$
$\mathrm{h}_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0,3,0,3,1,1] \quad \mathrm{h}_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,2,3,1,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,2,2,0,0] h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,2,0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 7^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0] h_{-} 6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 7^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,2,0,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[4,4,0,2,2,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 7 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=3$
4.3. Cyclic cubic fields $K, L=K(\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}})$. In that case, $L$ is a subfield of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $K$. The program is unchanged, except that the cyclotomic polynomials $\mathrm{Qn}=$ polsubcyclo(ell, $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ) are replaced by $\mathrm{Q} 1=\mathrm{x}^{2}-2$ and $\mathrm{Q} 2=\mathrm{x}^{4}-4 * x^{2}+2$; we find often cases of capitulation from stability, noting that " $N$ " is unlimited:

```
{p=2;Nn=2;bf=7;Bf=10~6;vHK=4;mKn=2;
for(f=bf,Bf,h=valuation(f,3);if(h!=0 & h!=2,next);F=f/3^h;
if(core(F)!=F,next);F=factor(F);Div=component(F,1);d=matsize(F) [1];
for(j=1,d,D=Div[j];if(Mod(D,3)!=1,break));for(b=1,sqrt(4*f/27),
if(h==2 & Mod(b,3)==0,next);A=4*f-27*b^2;if(issquare(A,&a)==1,
if (h==0,if (Mod (a,3)==1,a=-a);PK=x^3+x^2+(1-f)/3*x+(f*(a-3)+1)/27);
if (h==2,if(Mod (a,9)==3,a=-a);PK=x^3-f/3*x-f*a/27);
K=bnfinit(PK,1);rho=matsize(idealfactor(K,p)) [1];HK=K.no;
if (valuation(HK,p)<vHK,next);CKO=K.clgp;for(n=1,Nn,Qn=x;
for(i=1,n,Qn=Qn^2-2);Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1];
Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);HKn=Kn.no;dn=poldegree(Pn);
if(n==1,print();print("f=",f," PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2]," rho=",rho));
CKn=Kn.clgp;print("CK",n,"=", CKn[2]) ;rKn=matsize(CKn[2]) [2] ;
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn) ; Id=x;for(k=1,dn,Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);if(ks==p^n,S=G[k] ; break));
for(i=1,rKn,X=CKn[3] [i] ; Y=X;for(j=1,mKn,YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
T=idealpow(Kn,Y,-1) ; Y=idealmul(Kn,YS,T) ; B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,Y) [1] ;
Ehij=List;for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij,c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)~",j, "]=",Ehij)));
for(i=1,rKn,A0=CKn[3] [i];A=1;for(t=1, p^n,As=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,A);
A=idealmul(Kn,A0,As));B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,A) [1];
Enu=List;for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2] [ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Enu,c,ii));print("norm in K",n,"/K of the component ",i,
" of CK",n,":",Enu))))))}
p=2 f=1777 PK=x^3+x^2-592*x+724 CK0=[4,4] rho=3
CK1=[4,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,0]
```

norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$
CK2 $=[4,4]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: 0,0$]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=1$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=7687 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-2562 * \mathrm{x}-48969 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2,2,2] \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[12,4,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [0,2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [2,2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[24,8,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: [4, 4, 0, 0]
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: [4, $0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: [0,0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=3$
$p=2 \quad f=10513 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-3504 * x-80989 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[8,8] \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[8,8]$
$\left.h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]\right)$
norm in $K 1 / K$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$
CK2 $=[8,8]$
$h \_1 \wedge[(S-1) \wedge 1]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=1$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=16363 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-5454 * \mathrm{x}-16969 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2,2,2] \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1=[4,4,2,2]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [0,2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [2,2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,2,0,0]$
CK2 $=[4,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation, $m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=16627 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-5542 * \mathrm{x}-159496 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12,4] \quad \mathrm{rho}=3$
CK1=[24,24]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,2]$
CK2 $=[24,24]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4]$

Complete capitulation in $K 3, m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=20599 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6866 * \mathrm{x}+216671 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[28,4] \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[28,4,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,1,1]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[56,8,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6,0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,6,1,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,4,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{f}=20887 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-6962 * \mathrm{x}-225889 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,4,2,2] \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,0,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: [0,2,0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $K 1 / K$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[4,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[8,8,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,4,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $K 3, m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=3$
$p=2 \quad f=31513 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-10504 * x-417839 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[28,4] \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[84,4,2,2]$
$h \_1 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,1,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0,0,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of $\mathrm{CK} 1:[0,0,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 1 / K$ of the component 4 of CK1: $[0,0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[168,8,2,2,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,1,0,1,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,6,0,0,0,1]$
$h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,0,0,1] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,1,1,0]$
$h \_5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,0,1,1,0] \quad h \_6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,4,1,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,1,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,1,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,4,0,0,0,0] \quad h \_6^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[4,4,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $K 2 / K$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 6 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation, $m(K 2)>2, e(K 2)=3$

For some ambiguous cases, one must determine CK3, which takes several hours (or more); for instance, we got the following result, suggesting that everything regularizes if $n$ is large enough, as Greenberg's conjecture predicts:

```
p=2 f=2689 PK=x^3+x^2-896*x+5876 CKO=[2,2] rho=3
CK1=[28,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,2]
CK2=[56,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[4,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,4]
CK3=[56,8]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K3/K of the component 1 of CK3:[0,0]
norm in K3/K of the component 2 of CK3:[0,0]
Complete capitulation, m(K3)=1, e(K2)=3
```


## 5. Examples for pure cubic $K$ and $p=2$

5.1. Pure cubic fields $K, L \subset K\left(\mu_{17}\right)$. We consider the set of pure cubic fields $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{R})$; although these fields are not totally real, it is known that capitulation may exist in compositum $L=K L_{0}$, with suitable abelian $p$-extensions $L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ (conjectured in [Gras1997], proved in [Bosc2009]); so we limit ourselves to the usual $L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right), \ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{N}\right)$. The extension $L / \mathbb{Q}$ is not Galois, but, by chance, the instruction $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{nfgaloisconj}(\mathrm{Kn})$ of PARI computes the group of automorphisms, whence $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ in our case; this simplifies the search of S of order $p^{n}$. Taking $\mathrm{p}=2, \mathrm{Nn}=3$ and restricting to fields $K$ such that $\# \mathscr{H}_{K} \geq p^{2}, \ell=17$, we obtain many capitulations:

```
MAIN PROGRAM FOR PURE CUBIC FIELDS:
{p=2;Nn=3;vHK=2;ell=17;mKn=2;for(R=2,10^4,PK=x^3-R;
if(polisirreducible(PK)==0,next);K=bnfinit(PK,1);
rho=matsize(idealfactor(K,ell))[1];
\\Test over the order of the p-class group of K:
HK=K.no;if(valuation(HK,p)<vHK,next);CKO=K.clgp;
for(n=1,Nn,Qn=polsubcyclo(ell,P^n);Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1];
Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);HKn=Kn.no;
\\Test for elimination of the stability from K:
if(n==1 & valuation(HKn,p)==valuation(HK,p),break);
if(n==1,print();print("PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2]," ell=",ell," rho=",rho));
CKn=Kn.clgp;print("CK",n, "=",CKn[2]);rKn=matsize(CKn[2])[2];
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn);Id=x;for(k=1,p^n,Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);if(ks==p^n,S=G[k];break));
for(i=1,rKn, X=CKn[3][i];Y=X;for( j=1,mKn,YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
T=idealpow(Kn,Y,-1);Y=idealmul(Kn,YS,T);B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,Y) [1];
Ehij=List;for(ii=1,rKn,c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p); c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij,c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)^",j,"]=",Ehij)));
for(i=1,rKn,A0=CKn[3] [i];A=1;for (t=1, p^n,As=nfgaloisapply (Kn,S,A);
A=idealmul(Kn,A0,As));B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,A)[1];
Enu=List;for(ii=1,rKn,c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Enu,c,ii));print("norm in K",n,"/K of the component ",i,
" of CK",n,":",Enu))))}
p=2 PK=x^3-43 CKO=[12] ell=17 rho=2
CK1=[12,6]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,1] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[2,1]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0]
Incomplete capitulation in K1, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=2
```

CK2= $[12,12]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [0,2]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $K 2, m(K 2)=2, e(K 2)=2$
СK3 $=[12,12]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,3] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK3: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK3: $[0,0]$
Stability from K2, complete capitulation in K3, m(K3) $=2$, e(K3)=2
$\mathrm{p}=2 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-113 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[2,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17 \quad \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1 $=[6,2,2]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \quad 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [0,1,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=1$
CK2 $=[6,2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1,1,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[1,1,0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[1,1,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[1,1,0,0,0] h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,1,0,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,1,1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,1,1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,1,1,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)>2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=1$
CK3 $=[12,2,2,2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,1,0,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,1,1,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,1,1,1]$
h_5^[(S-1)^1] $=[0,1,0,0,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,1,1,1] \quad h \_2 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,1,1,1,1]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,1,1,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0,1,1,0]$
$h_{-} 5^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,1,1,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK3: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK3: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK3: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK3: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 5 of CK3: $[0,0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in K3, no stability, m(K3)>2, e(K3)=2
$\mathrm{p}=2 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-122 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[12] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=2
CK1=[12,4]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1] \quad \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2] \quad \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [2,1]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)>2, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
CK2=[12,4]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,3] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: [0,2]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Stability from K1, incomplete capitulation in $K 2, m(K 2)>2$, $e(K 2)=2$
CK3 $=[12,4]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[2,0]$
norm in K3/K of the component 1 of CK3: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK3: $[0,0]$

Stability from K1, complete capitulation in K3, m(K3)>2, e(K3)=2
$\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-141 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[4,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=17$ rho=2
CK1=[8,2]
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[2,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=1, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=3$
CK2 $=[16,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=1, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=4$
CK3 $=[288,18]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K3/K of the component 1 of CK3: $[8,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 3 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK3: $[0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $K 3, m(K 3)=1, e(K 3)=5$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3$-174 $\mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad$ ell=17 rho=2
CK1 $=[12,6,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,1,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,1,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
CK2 $=[2040,12,2,2]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[4,0,1,1] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,1]
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,1] \quad h \_4 \wedge\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,1] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,2,0,0]$
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,2,0,0] $\quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[4,2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)>2, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=3$
CK3 $=[4080,12,2,2]$
Unfortunately, the computations for $n=3$ in this last example take too much time; probably, the capitulation is still incomplete. We shall examine separately this field:
5.2. Pure cubic field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{174}), \ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$. Varying $\ell$, we find many capitulations in the layer $K_{2}$ :

```
p=2 PK=x^3-174 CKO=[6,2] ell=193 rho=1
CK1=[12,6]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[2,0]
Incomplete capitulation in K1, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=2
CK2=[12,6]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0]
Complete capitulation in K2, m(K2)=2, e(K2)=2
p=2 PK=x^3-174 CKO=[6,2] ell=353 rho=2
CK1=[48,6]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[6,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[8,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[4,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[8,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[8,0]
Incomplete capitulation in K1, m(K1)>2, e(K1)=4
CK2=[48,6,3,3]
```

$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[8,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{1} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)>2$, e(K2) $=4$
$\mathrm{p}=2 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-174 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=401 \quad \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1 $=[60,6,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{2} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[2,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=1$
CK2 $=[60,6,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, e(K2)=1
$\mathrm{p}=2 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-174 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6,2] \quad \mathrm{ell}=577 \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1 $=[84,6,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,1,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,1,1] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,1,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [2,1,1]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[2,1,1]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[2,1,1]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
CK2 $=[168,6,6,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[4,1,0,0] \quad h_{-} 4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[4,0,0,0] \quad h-4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0,0,0] \quad h \_4^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 3\right]=[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 4 of CK2: $[0,0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=3$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=3$
The last case $\ell=577$ clearly shows that complexity of the Galois structure of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's does not increase too much and that stability or conditions of Theorem 1.1 (i) are not necessary for capitulation. We obtain the following information on the structure of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's for $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{174})$ and $\ell=577$ :
In $K_{1}$, one find $m\left(K_{1}\right)=2, e\left(K_{1}\right)=2$ and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}^{G_{1}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. For $K_{2}$, $m\left(K_{2}\right)=3, e\left(K_{2}\right)=3$; thus $\nu_{K_{2} / K}$ reduces to $4(\sigma-1)^{2}+6(\sigma-1)+4$. The conditions of Theorem 1.1 (i) are not fulfilled. The above data shows that this reduces to the annihilation by $A=6(\sigma-1)+4$; but $h_{1}^{A}=h_{1}^{12} h_{1}^{4}=1, h_{i}^{A}=1$ for the other generators.

## 6. Examples for real quadratic $K$ and $p=3$

We consider various (totally ramified) cyclic $p$-extensions $L / K$, where $L=$ $K L_{0}, L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ cyclic of $p$-power degree. As for the case of cubic base fields, we favor the case $L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right), \ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{N}\right)$.
6.1. Quadratic fields $K, L \subset K\left(\mu_{109}\right)$ and $L \subset K\left(\mu_{163}\right)$. Thus, $L_{0}$ is the real subfield of maximal 3-power degree of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$. We eliminate the cases of
stability in $K_{1} / K$ (test valuation $(\mathrm{HKn}, \mathrm{p})==\mathrm{vHK}, \mathrm{vHK}=$ valuation $(\mathrm{HK}, \mathrm{p})$ ). The images $\mathbf{J}_{K_{n} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}\right)$ are computed for $n=1$ and $n=2$. The number $\rho \in\{1,2\}$ is the number of prime ideals above $\ell$ in $K$ :

```
MAIN PROGRAM FOR REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS
{p=3;Nn=2;bm=2;Bm=10^8;vHK=1;ell=109;mKn=2;
for(m=bm,Bm,if(core(m)!=m,next);PK=x^2-m;K=bnfinit(PK,1);
\\Test over the order of the p-class group of K:
HK=K.no;if(valuation(HK,p)<vHK,next);
CKO=K.clgp;rho=(kronecker(m,ell)+3)/2;
for(n=1,Nn,Qn=polsubcyclo(ell,p^n);Pn=polcompositum(PK,Qn) [1];
Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);HKn=Kn.no;dn=poldegree(Pn);
\\Test for elimination of the stability from K:
if(n==1 & valuation(HKn,p)==valuation(HK,p),break);
if(n==1,print();print("PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2]," ell=",ell," rho=",rho));
CKn=Kn.clgp;print("CK",n,"=",CKn[2]);rKn=matsize(CKn[2])[2];
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn);Id=x;for(k=1,dn,Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);if(ks==\mp@subsup{p}{}{\wedge}n,S=G[k];break));
for(i=1,rKn,X=CKn[3][i];Y=X;for(j=1,mKn,YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
T=idealpow(Kn,Y,-1);Y=idealmul(Kn,YS,T);B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,Y) [1];
Ehij=List;for(ii=1,rKn,c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij, c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)^",j,"]=",Ehij)));
for(i=1,rKn,A0=CKn[3][i];A=1;for(t=1,p^n,As=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,A);
A=idealmul(Kn,AO,As));B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,A)[1];
Enu=List;for(ii=1,rKn,c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2][ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Enu,c,ii));print("norm in K",n,"/K of the component ",i,
" of CK",n,":",Enu))))}
```

6.1.1. Case $\ell=109$.

norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: [9,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
No capitulation in $K 2, m(K 2)>2, e(K 1)=3$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-223 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad \mathrm{ell}=109$ rho=2
CK1 $=$ [9]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6] \quad \quad h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [3]
No capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
CK2= [9]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3] \quad \quad h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [0]
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-229 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad \mathrm{ell}=109 \quad \mathrm{rho}=1$
CK1= [9]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3] \quad \quad h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [3]
No capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
CK2 $=$ [9]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6] \quad h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: [0]
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-254 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad \mathrm{ell}=109 \quad \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1=[3,3]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2] \quad \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=1$
CK2 $=[3,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[1,1] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[2,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=1$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-427 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[6] \quad \mathrm{ell}=109$ rho=2
CK1=[18]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0] \quad \quad h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [3]
No capitulation in K1, $m(K 1)=1, e(K 1)=2$
CK2 $=$ [18]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0] \quad \quad h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: [0]
Complete capitulation in K2, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-574 \mathrm{CKO}=[6] \quad \mathrm{ell}=109$ rho=2
CK1=[18,2,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[3,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
Incomplete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 1)=1, \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 1)=2$
CK2=[54,2,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h-3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[9,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
No capitulation in K2, $m(K 2)=1, e(K 1)=3$
6.1.2. Case $\ell=163$.
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-79 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3]$ ell=163 rho=1
CK1 $=[18,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0] \quad \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: $[3,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
No capitulation in K1, $m(K 1)=2$, $e(K 1)=2$
CK2 $=[18,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0] \quad \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(\mathrm{S}-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $K 2, m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
$\mathrm{p}=3 \quad \mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-223 \quad \mathrm{CKO}=[3] \quad \mathrm{ell}=163 \quad \mathrm{rho}=2$
CK1 $=[18,2]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[3,0] $\quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [3,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
No capitulation in K1, $m(K 1)=2$, $e(K 1)=2$
CK2=[18,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
$P K=x^{\wedge} 2-254$ CKO=[3] ell=163 rho=2
CK1=[18,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [3,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
No capitulation in K1, $m(K 1)=1$, $e(K 1)=2$
CK2 $=[18,2]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: [0,0]
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=1$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
6.1.3. Examples with $\operatorname{rank}_{3}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K}\right)=2$. Due to a very large calculation time for the degrees $\left[K_{2}: \mathbb{Q}\right]=18$, we have only some results showing that, as for the case of cubic fields and $p=2$ (degrees $\left[K_{2}: \mathbb{Q}\right]=12$ ) capitulation does occur at the layer $n=2$ :

norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2: [0,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
$\mathrm{PK}=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-23659 \mathrm{CKO}=[6,3]$ ell=37 rho=2
CK1 $=[18,3,3]$
$h_{1} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0,1] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[6,0,0] \quad h \_3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: $[3,0,0]$
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: $[6,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 3 of CK1: $[0,0,0]$
CK2 $=[18,3,3]$
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[3,1,1] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,2]
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[3,0,0] \quad h_{-} 3^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[6,0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2: $[0,0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=3$, e(K2) $=2$
PK=x^2-32009 CKO=[3,3] ell=19 rho=1
CK1 $=[9,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [3,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
CK2 $=[9,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0]$
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] $\quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: $[0,0]$
Complete capitulation in $\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~K} 2)=2$, $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{K} 2)=2$
PK=x^2-32009 CKO=[3,3] ell=37 rho=2
CK1 $=[9,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[6,0]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK1: [3,0]
norm in $\mathrm{K} 1 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK1: $[0,0]$
CK2 $=[9,3]$
$h_{-} 1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[0,0] \quad \quad h \_2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 1\right]=[3,0]$
$h \_1^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0] \quad h_{-} 2^{\wedge}\left[(S-1)^{\wedge} 2\right]=[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 1 of CK2: $[0,0]$
norm in $\mathrm{K} 2 / \mathrm{K}$ of the component 2 of CK2: [0,0]
Complete capitulation in K2, $m(K 2)=2$, $e(K 2)=2$
6.2. Quadratic fields $K, L \subset K\left(\mu_{109 \cdot 163}\right)$. As for the previous case of cubic fields with $p=2$, two primes $\ell_{1}=109$ and $\ell_{2}=163$ ramify in $K_{n} / K$, giving larger 3 -class groups. We limit ourselves to the layer $K_{1}$ of degree 3 . Most often, we have $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}^{G_{1}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}\left(m\left(K_{1}\right)=1\right)$; we give an excerpt of cases where $m\left(K_{1}\right) \geq 2$, but we have only two examples of $m\left(K_{1}\right) \geq 3$ in the interval selected ( $m=116279$ and $m=370878$ ).

```
{p=3;bm=2;Bm=10^6;vHK=3;mKn=2;for(m=bm,Bm,if(core(m)!=m,next);
PK=x^2-m;K=bnfinit(PK,1);HK=K.no;if(valuation(HK,p)<vHK,next);CKO=K.clgp;
QK1=x^3-x^2-5922*x-17109;Pn=polcompositum(PK,QK1) [1];
Kn=bnfinit(Pn,1);HKn=Kn.no;dn=poldegree(Pn);
if(valuation(HKn, p)==valuation(HK,p),break);
print("p=3"," PK=",PK," CKO=",CKO[2]);
CKn=Kn.clgp;print("CK",1,"=",CKn[2]);rKn=matsize(CKn[2]) [2];
G=nfgaloisconj(Kn) ;Id=x;for(k=1,dn,Z=G[k];ks=1;while(Z!=Id,
Z=nfgaloisapply(Kn,G[k],Z);ks=ks+1);if(ks==p,S=G[k] ; break));
for(i=1,rKn,X=CKn[3] [i];Y=X;for ( j=1,mKn,YS=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,Y);
T=idealpow(Kn,Y,-1);Y=idealmul(Kn,YS,T) ; B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,Y) [1];
Ehij=List;for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2] [ii],p);c=lift(Mod(c,p^w));
listput(Ehij,c,ii));print("h_",i,"^[","(S-1)~",j, "]=",Ehij)));
for(i=1,rKn,A0=CKn[3][i];A=1;for(t=1,p,As=nfgaloisapply(Kn,S,A);
A=idealmul(Kn,A0,As));B=bnfisprincipal(Kn,A) [1];
Enu=List; for(ii=1,rKn, c=B[ii];w=valuation(CKn[2] [ii],p); c=lift(Mod(c, p^w));
listput(Enu,c,ii));print("norm in K",1,"/K of the component ",i,
" of CK",1,":",Enu)))}
p=3 PK=x^2-8761 CKO=[27]
CK1=[27,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K1)>1, e(K1)=3
p=3 PK=x^2-36073 CKO=[27]
CK1=[27,3,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[18,1,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: [0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1: [0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=3
p=3 PK=x^2-65029 CKO=[27]
CK1=[81,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0]
No capitulation, m(K1)=1, e(K1)=4
p=3 PK=x^2-116054 CK0=[27]
CK1=[81,3,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[54,0,2] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1: [0,0,0]
No capitulation, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=4
p=3 PK=x^2-116279 CKO=[27]
CK1=[81,3,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[27,0,1] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[27,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[27,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)~2]=[0,0,0]
```

```
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[30,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,0]
No capitulation, m(K1)>2, e(K1)=4
p=3 PK=x^2-156566 CKO=[9,3]
CK1=[27, 3, 3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[18,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[18,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1: [0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K1)=*, e(K1)=3
p=3 PK=x^2-255973 CKO=[9,3]
CK1=[9, 9,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,6,0] h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0] h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,3,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=2
p=3 PK=x^2-339887 CKO=[27]
CK1=[81, 3, 3, 3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[27,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[27,1,0,2] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1: [0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1: [0,0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K1)=2, e(K1)=4
p=3 PK=x^2-370878 CKO=[54]
CK1=[1134,6,6,3]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[378,2,2,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,2,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,4,0]
h_1~[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,2,0] h_2^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[168,0,2,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1: [0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1: [0,0,0,0]
Incomplete capitulation, m(K1)>2, e(K1)=4
```


## 7. Isotopic components and capitulation

Consider a real cyclic field $K$ of prime-to- $p$ degree $d$ and $L=L_{0} K$ with $L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}$ real cyclic of degree $p^{N}, N \geq 1$. Then $L / \mathbb{Q}$ is cyclic of degree $D=d \cdot p^{N}$ with Galois group $\Gamma=g \times G$ where $g=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ and $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right) ; L$ is associated to an irreducible rational character $\chi$, sum of irreducible $p$-adic characters $\varphi$ of $\Gamma$ of "order" the order $D$ of any $\psi \mid \varphi$ of degree 1 .

This non semi-simple context is problematic for the definition of isotopic $p$ adic components of the form $\mathscr{H}_{L, \varphi}$; this is extensively developed in [Gras $2021^{b}$ ]. So we just recall the definitions and explain how the phenomenon of capitulation gives rise to difficulties about the classical algebraic definition of the literature, compared to the arithmetic one that we have introduced to state the Main Conjecture in the general case.

Indeed, classical works deal with an algebraic definition of the $\varphi$-components of $p$-class groups, which presents an inconsistency regarding analytic formulas; this definition is, for $\Gamma$ cyclic of order $D$ divisible by $p$ and for all $\varphi \mid \chi$ :

$$
\mathscr{H}_{L, \varphi}^{\text {alg }}:=\mathscr{H}_{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\mu_{D}\right],
$$

with the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\mu_{D}\right]$-action $\tau \in \Gamma \mapsto \psi(\tau)$, with $\psi \mid \varphi$ of order $D$ (see [Solo1990, Lemma II.2] or [Grei1992, Definition, p. 451]).

We then have proved, with this definition [Gras $2021^{b}$, § 3.2.4, Theorem 3.7], the following first reduction of the problem:

$$
\mathscr{H}_{L, \varphi}^{\text {alg }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{L}, \nu_{L / k}(x)=1, \forall k \varsubsetneqq L\right\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\mu_{D}\right]
$$

giving rise to our corresponding arithmetic definitions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{H}_{L, \chi}^{\mathrm{ar}}:=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{L}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{L / k}(x)=1, \forall k \varsubsetneqq L\right\}, \\
& \mathscr{H}_{L, \varphi}^{\text {ar }}:=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{L}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{L / k}(x)=1, \forall k \varsubsetneqq L\right\} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Gamma]} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[\mu_{D}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then have (since $L / K$ is totally ramified):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathscr{H}_{L}^{\mathrm{ar}}=\prod_{\chi} \# \mathscr{H}_{L_{\chi}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ runs trough the set of irreducible rational characters of $L$ and $L_{\chi}$ is the subfield of $L$ fixed by $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$.

This notion leads to an unexpected semi-simplicity, especially in accordance with analytic formulas, which enforces the Main Conjecture in that case:

$$
\mathscr{H}_{L, \chi}^{\mathrm{ar}}=\bigoplus_{\varphi \mid \chi} \mathscr{H}_{L, \varphi}^{\mathrm{ar}} .
$$

We have $\mathscr{H}_{\varphi}^{\text {ar }}=\mathscr{H}_{\varphi}^{\text {alg }}$ as soon as the $\mathbf{J}_{L / k}$ 's are injective for all $k \varsubsetneqq L$, but as we have seen, this does not hold in general when $K \subseteq k \varsubsetneqq L$ since there is often partial capitulation. One can even say that the classic admitted definition is ineffective and fallacious in the real case.

Let's give numerical examples showing the consequences of capitulation for these non-arithmetic definitions; for all them, $p$-adic characters are also rational:

Example 7.1. Consider $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{4409}), p=3, \ell=19$ and $L=K_{2} \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ of degree 9 over $K$. The prime 2 splits in $K$, is inert in $K_{2} / K$ and such that $\mathfrak{q} \mid 2$ in $K$ generates $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ (cyclic of order 9 ); considering the extension $\mathfrak{Q}_{i}:=(\mathfrak{q})_{K_{i}}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ in $K_{i}$, we test its order in $\mathscr{H}_{K_{i}}, i=1,2$ (we are going to see that $\mathscr{H}_{K_{i}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 9 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i$ (stability), which is supported by the fact that $\mathbf{N}_{K_{2} / K}\left(\mathfrak{Q}_{2}\right)=\mathfrak{q}^{9}$ is principal, but $\left.\mathbf{N}_{K_{2} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{K}\right)$; the general program gives:

```
p=3 PK=x^2-4409 CKO=[9] ell=19 rho=2
CK1=[9]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1:[3]
CK2= [9]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0]
```

The capitulation, incomplete in $K_{1}$, is complete in $K_{2}$ (stability from $K$ ).
We use obvious notations for the characters defining the fields $K_{i}, i=$ $0,1,2$. Since arithmetic norms are surjective (because of the stability, they are isomorphisms and $\mathscr{H}_{K_{i}}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{i}}^{G_{i}}$ ), the above computations prove that:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\nu_{K_{2} / K_{1}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right) & =\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right)^{1+\sigma_{2}^{3}+\sigma_{2}^{6}}=\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right)^{3} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \\
\nu_{K_{1} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right) & =\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right)^{1+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{1}^{2}}=\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right)^{3} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

whence:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\text {ar }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}, \mathbf{N}_{K_{2} / K_{1}}(x)=1\right\}=1, \\
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\text {alg }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}, \nu_{K_{2} / K_{1}}(x)=1\right\}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}[3] \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} . \\
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {ar }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}, \mathbf{N}_{K_{1} / K}(x)=1\right\}=1, \\
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {alg }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}, \nu_{K_{1} / K}(x)=1\right\}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}[3] \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Formula (7.1) gives the product of orders of the $\chi$-components:

$$
\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \quad \& \quad \# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\mathrm{ar}},
$$

of the form $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}=9 \times 1 \times 1$ and $\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}=9 \times 1$ since $\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\text {ar }}=\# \mathscr{H}_{K}=9$.
These formulas are not fulfilled in the algebraic sense, because:
$\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\text {alg }} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {alg }} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\text {alg }}=9 \times 3 \times 3=3^{4} \quad \& \quad \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\text {alg }} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {alg }}=9 \times 3=3^{3}$.
Our Main Conjecture requires that $\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{i}}^{\text {ar }}=\left(\mathscr{E}_{K_{i}}: \mathscr{E}_{K_{i}}^{0} \cdot \mathscr{F}_{K_{i}}\right)$, where $\mathscr{E}_{K_{i}}^{0}$ is the subgroup of $\mathscr{E}_{K_{i}}$ generated by the units of the strict subfields of $K_{i}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{K_{i}}$ the group of classical Leopoldt's cyclotomic units; these equalities are fulfilled in that numerical example (see [Gras2021 ${ }^{b}$, Examples 3.12, 3.13]).

Example 7.2. This example is analogous for a cyclic cubic field and $p=2$, except that capitulation takes place from $K$ :

```
p=2 f=1951 PK=x^3+x^2-650*x-289 CK0=[2,2] ell=17 rho=3
CK1=[4,4,2,2]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,0,0,0]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 1 of CK1: [0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 2 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 3 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K1/K of the component 4 of CK1:[0,0,0,0]
CK2=[4,4,4,4]
h_1^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1)^1]=[2,0,2,0] h_4^[(S-1)^1]=[0,2,0,2]
h_1^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_2^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
h_3^[(S-1) ^2]=[0,0,0,0] h_4^[(S-1)^2]=[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 1 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 2 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 3 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
norm in K2/K of the component 4 of CK2:[0,0,0,0]
```

Numerical data give:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\nu_{K_{2} / K_{1}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right) & =\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}^{2} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{4}, \\
\nu_{K_{2} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}\right) & =\nu_{K_{1} / K}\left(\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Whence:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\text {ar }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}, \mathbf{N}_{K_{2} / K_{1}}(x)=1\right\} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}, \\
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\text {alg }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}, \nu_{K_{2} / K_{1}}(x)=1\right\}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}[2] \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{4} . \\
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {ar }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}, \mathbf{N}_{K_{1} / K}(x)=1\right\} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{4}, \\
\mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {alg }}=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}, \nu_{K_{1} / K}(x)=1\right\}=\mathscr{H}_{K_{1}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})^{2} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Which gives:

$$
\# \mathscr{H}_{K_{2}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\mathrm{ar}}=2^{8} \quad \& \quad \# \mathscr{H}_{K_{1}}=\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\mathrm{ar}} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\mathrm{ar}}=2^{6}
$$

contrary to $\# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\text {alg }} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {alg }} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{2}}^{\text {alg }}=2^{6} \quad \& \quad \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{0}}^{\text {alg }} \cdot \# \mathscr{H}_{\chi_{1}}^{\text {alg }}=2^{2}$, of the form $2^{2} \cdot 2^{6} \cdot 2^{4}=2^{12}$ and $2^{2} \cdot 2^{6}=2^{8}$, respectively; which relativizes the interest of algebraic definitions.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS AND REMARKS

a) We have conjectured in Conjecture $1.2(\mathrm{i})$ that, varying $\ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right)$, $N$ large enough, there are infinitely many cases with stability from a suitable layer in $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, yielding capitulation (Theorem 1.1 (ii)), which reinforces the simple capitulation phenomenon; this would be coherent with Greenberg's conjecture, equivalent to the stability of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ in the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension, for $n \gg 0$. In other words, the conjecture may be seen as the "tame version", it being understood that our towers are finite, so that capitulation needs large $N$ 's allowing a kind of "finite Iwasawa's theory". The more general criterion of Theorem 1.1 (i), using the algebraic norm, shows the link between capitulation and complexity of the filtration of the $\mathscr{H}_{K_{n}}$ 's, likely to be governed by natural density results (Conjecture 2.4).
b) Due to the computations given in various frameworks in this paper, it is difficult to imagine that, for all $\ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right), N$ large enough, $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ does not capitulate in $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, all the more that we were limited to testing with few values of $\ell$ (among infinitely many !) and only for the layers $n \leq 3$. It is reasonable to think that, restricting to primes $\ell$ with $N \gg 0, N-s$ is larger than $e\left(K_{N}\right)$ taking into account that $s$ is logarithmic regarding $m\left(K_{N}\right)$ which essentially depends on the magnitude of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ (exponent and $p$-rank).

Similarly, we were limited to small $p$ 's because of the degrees $\left[K_{n}: \mathbb{Q}\right]=$ $[K: \mathbb{Q}] p^{n}$ for PARI calculations; but the nature of the theoretical results does not seem to depend on it; this is strengthened by the algorithmic aspect of formulas 2.3.
c) The remarkable circumstance of capitulations in these simplest ramified cyclic $p$-extensions and certainly in most arbitrary cyclic $p$-extensions $L / K$, is certainly a basic principle for many arithmetic properties, as the following ones:
(i) The abelian Main Conjecture for real abelian fields, whose proof becomes trivial, in the semi-simple case, as soon as $\ell$ is taken inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ and if $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in some $K_{n}$.
(ii) Capitulations prevent to get standard algebraic definitions of $p$-adic isotopic components of arithmetic invariants in the non semi-simple case.
(iii) When capitulation is, on the contrary, structurally impossible (e.g., case of torsion groups of $p$-ramification theory), the complexity of the corresponding invariants increases in the towers.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ I recently learned (from a Lemmermeyer text) that the word capitulation was coined by Arnold Scholz. It is possible that this term may be considered as incongruous; a solution is to consider that a non principal ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is a troublemaker with respect to elementary arithmetic, in which case, the terminology is perfectly understandable. Conjectures about ideal capitulations have perhaps a moral significance.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The complete statement being the following [Gras2022 ${ }^{b}$, Section 1.4, then Theorem 4.6]: Assume that $K$ is a real cyclic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, of prime-to-p degree. Let $\ell \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2 p^{N}\right)$ be a prime number totally inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}$ and let $L \subset K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ be the subfield of degree $p^{N}$ over $K$. Then, if $\mathscr{H}_{K}$ capitulates in $L$, the "Main Conjecture" on the $p$-adic components $\mathscr{H}_{K, \varphi}$, of $\mathscr{H}_{K}$, holds (i.e., we have $\# \mathscr{H}_{K, \varphi}=\left(\mathscr{E}_{K, \varphi}: \mathscr{F}_{K, \varphi}\right)$ for all $p$-adic character $\varphi$ of $\left.K\right)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ For explicit class field theory, Hasse's norm theorem, norm residue symbols, product formula, see, e.g., [Gras2005, Theorem II.6.2, Definition II.3.1.2, Theorems II.3.1.3, 3.4.1].

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Invariant defined in [Jaul1994] and being, in the class field theory viewpoint, isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{K}^{\mathrm{lc}} / K^{\mathrm{cyc}}\right)$, where $H_{K}^{\mathrm{lc}}$ is the maximal abelian locally cyclotomic pro-p-extension of $K$ and $K^{\text {cyc }}$ its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension

