

## Iron and silicon isotope fractionation in silicate melts using first-principles molecular dynamics

Segolene Rabin, Marc Blanchard, Carlos Pinilla, Franck Poitrasson, Michel

Grégoire

### ► To cite this version:

Segolene Rabin, Marc Blanchard, Carlos Pinilla, Franck Poitrasson, Michel Grégoire. Iron and silicon isotope fractionation in silicate melts using first-principles molecular dynamics. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2023, 343, pp.212-233. 10.1016/j.gca.2022.11.017 . hal-03864317

### HAL Id: hal-03864317 https://hal.science/hal-03864317v1

Submitted on 21 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

 $\begin{array}{c}
1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array}$ 

Iron and silicon isotope fractionation in silicate melts using first-principles molecular dynamics.

S. RABIN<sup>1,2</sup>, M. BLANCHARD<sup>1</sup>, C. PINILLA<sup>3</sup>, F. POITRASSON<sup>1</sup>, M. GREGOIRE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, IRD, UPS, CNES, 14-16, av. E. Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France

<sup>2</sup> Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Analytical, Environmental, and Geo-Chemistry, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium (<u>segolene.rabin@vub.be</u>)

<sup>3</sup> Departamento de Fisica y Geociencias, Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia

### 3 ABSTRACT

15 The direct determination of silicate melts iron and silicon isotopes signature remains a major challenge 16 of high-temperature isotope geochemistry. For this reason, melts are often approximated by silicate 17 glasses. Calculation of precise equilibrium Si and Fe isotopes fractionation factors between minerals 18 and melt would indeed allow us to distinguish equilibrium fractionation from diffusion-driven kinetic 19 fractionation involved in the iron and silicon isotopes signatures of Earth and other planets. In this 20 study, we use for the first time, first-principles molecular dynamics based on density functional theory 21 to determine iron and silicon isotope compositions of different silicate melts, namely: iron-rich basalt, 22 iron-depleted basalt, basanite, trachyte and phonolite. The <sup>57</sup>Fe/<sup>54</sup>Fe reduced partition function ratios 23 ( $\beta$ -factors) of the different melts span over a 1.1 ‰ range at 1000 Kelvin (K) while <sup>30</sup>Si/<sup>28</sup>Si  $\beta$ -factors 24 are much less influenced by the melt composition with a 0.5 ‰ fractionation range at the same 25 temperature. The main parameter controlling iron isotope fractionation in silicate melts having similar 26 iron oxidation state is, after temperature, the average Fe-O bond length. The chemical environment 27 around iron (e.g. Fe-Fe distances) is suggested to contribute to Fe isotope fractionation as well. Silicon 28 isotopes fractionation seems also affected, but to a lesser extent, by its local chemical composition 29 with decreasing Si-Fe distances leading to slightly higher Si  $\beta$ -factor in the melt. From these melts Fe 30 and Si  $\beta$ -factors, a new set of equilibrium fractionation factors between a variety of minerals and melts 31 has been calculated. These new  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-melt</sub> and  $\Delta^{30}$ Si<sub>min-melt</sub> sets allow us to discuss whether processes 32 such as fractional crystallization, partial melting and diffusion could be responsible for the documented 33 Fe and Si isotopes variations in igneous rocks. Our results suggest that: 1) fractional crystallization may 34 explain at least part of the Fe and Si isotopic evolution during magmatic differentiation, for values up 35 to  $\delta^{57}$ Fe = 0.65 ‰ and  $\delta^{30}$ Si = -0.1 ‰, respectively, 2) partial melting of the upper mantle can produce 36 the Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalts (MORB) iron isotopes signature. Finally, we calculated that olivine at 37 equilibrium with a basaltic melt could display an iron isotope composition down to -0.1 % for  $\delta^{57}$ Fe. 38 Hence, the lower isotopic compositions ( $\delta^{57}$ Fe < -0.1 ‰) observed in natural olivines are most likely

39 due to diffusion-driven kinetic fractionation.

40

41

42 Keywords: Density Functional Theory (DFT); Silicate melts; Isotope fractionation; Magmatic

43 differentiation

- 1- INTRODUCTION
- 44 45

46 Understanding the detailed structural properties of iron-bearing silicate melts as well as the behavior 47 of the constituting cations remains one of the great challenges in geosciences and in the glass-making 48 industry. Many experimental and theoretical studies on melts from simple composition to natural-like 49 melts have been carried out showing that cations could be either network former or network modifier 50 depending on the nature of the cations and their coordination number (CN) that are dependent also 51 of pressure, temperature and redox state of the melt (McMillan, 1984; Oestrike et al., 1987; Stein and 52 Spera, 1996; Gerlach et al., 1998; Rossano et al., 2000; Jackson et al., 2005; Guillot and Sator, 2007b; 53 Guillot and Sator, 2007a; Karki, 2010; Angeli et al., 2011; Bauchy and Micoulaut, 2011; Le Losq et al., 54 2014; Bajgain et al., 2015; Karki, 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Ghosh and Karki, 2018; Caracas et al., 2019; 55 Solomatova and Caracas, 2019; Ghosh and Karki, 2020; Solomatova and Caracas, 2021). Silicon in melt 56 is mostly four-fold coordinated and acts as the main network former of the melt structure. Despite the 57 fact that silicon could be found as Si<sup>0</sup> in metallic inclusions of meteorites and planetary cores 58 (Poitrasson, 2017), silicon is considered as only tetravalent in the upper mantle and crust of the Earth. 59 Hence, silicon is not redox sensitive in terrestrial melts whereas iron is. Iron in melts has been assessed 60 to behave differently in the melt depending on its redox state (Fe<sup>2+</sup> or Fe<sup>3+</sup>). At low pressure, ferric iron 61 is most likely to be four-fold coordinated and acts as a network former, as Al<sup>3+</sup>, whereas ferrous iron is 62 five to six-fold coordinated and acts as a network modifier (see Mysen, 2006; Mysen and Richet, 2019 63 and references therein). However, the role of ferrous and ferric iron in melts is still debated as some 64 studies demonstrated that both could be four to six-fold coordinated and therefore they could both 65 act as network modifier (Ghosh and Karki, 2020). Recent study of Ghosh and Karki (2020) displayed 66 indeed that iron redox state has limited impact on the general melt properties. In contrast, the iron 67 spin state has more influence on the structural properties of the melt. Some uncertainties on the 68 behavior of cations in the melt remain and, one of the major unknown so far is the isotopic properties 69 of melts, including those of silicon (<sup>28</sup>Si, <sup>29</sup>Si, <sup>30</sup>Si) and iron (<sup>54</sup>Fe, <sup>56</sup>Fe, <sup>57</sup>Fe, <sup>58</sup>Fe).

70 Analytical developments and improvements over the past two decades enabled scientists to 71 investigate non-traditional stable isotope fractionation at high-temperature. Several studies carried 72 out on natural and experimental samples have revealed measurable (> 0.05 ‰) silicon and iron 73 isotopic fractionations in igneous systems: i) Iron and silicon display heavy isotope enrichment during 74 magmatic differentiation, although the trends are different (e.g. Weyer et al., 2005; Williams et al., 75 2005; Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; Shahar et al., 2008; Schoenberg et al., 2009; Savage et al., 2011; 76 Sossi et al., 2012; Weyer and Seitz, 2012; Dauphas et al., 2014; Zambardi et al., 2014; Foden et al., 77 2015; Nebel et al., 2015; Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015; Gajos et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2018; Li et 78 al., 2020), ii) Oceanic crust (MORB and Ocean Island Basalts (OIB)) displays heavier iron isotope 79 signature than some mantle peridotites (e.g. Williams et al., 2009; Williams and Bizimis, 2014; Konter 80 et al., 2016; Sossi et al., 2016; Nebel et al., 2018), iii) There is significant iron isotopes fractionation, 81 associated to Fe-Mg chemical zoning, within single crystals of olivine (Teng et al., 2011; Sio et al., 2013; 82 Oeser et al., 2015; Collinet et al., 2017a; Sio and Dauphas, 2017; Prissel et al., 2018; Sio et al., 2018; 83 Oeser et al., 2018). The potential processes involved in the documented isotopic variations, such as 84 partial melting (e.g. Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Williams et al., 2009; Sossi et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2019), 85 fractional crystallization (e.g. Schuessler et al., 2009; Sossi et al., 2012; Telus et al., 2012; Foden et al., 86 2015; Du et al., 2017), diffusion-driven kinetic fractionation (Lundstrom, 2009; Zambardi et al., 2014) 87 or even fluid exsolution during late stage of differentiation (Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; Heimann 88 et al., 2008; Telus et al., 2012), were then investigated. However, interpretations of these observed 89 isotopic variations are often limited by the lack of available equilibrium fractionation factors between 90 coexisting phases, especially between minerals and silicate melts. Hence, the models used in the 91 literature are under-constrained and precise quantification of the processes involved in Fe and Si 92 isotopic fractionation in igneous rocks is hampered. It became then essential to obtain Si and Fe 93 isotope compositions of various melts in order to quantify the processes associated to the isotopic 94 variations in magmatic settings. By now, only few experimental and in-situ studies were carried out to 95 obtain iron isotope composition of melts, using glasses as a proxy. Dauphas et al. (2014) displayed

96 significant variations in Fe isotope fractionation factors with varying composition of melts. 97 Nevertheless, the mechanisms involved in isotopic fractionation in melts are still under-constrained. 98 The degree of mass-dependent equilibrium fractionation between minerals has been associated to the 99 oxidation state (for Fe; e.g. Polyakov and Mineev, 2000; Dauphas et al., 2014), the bonding 100 environment (i.e. bond length and coordination number; e.g. Sossi and O'Neill, 2017), and the local 101 chemical environments of the atoms of interests (nature and number of the second neighbors; Macris 102 et al., 2015, Rabin et al., 2021). Grant (1954) and Poitrasson and Zambardi (2015) proposed 103 polymerization degree as a key factor influencing the Si isotope fractionation during magmatic 104 differentiation. However, at the mineral scale, this relationship does not seem to be the sole parameter 105 involved (Méheut and Schauble, 2014; Qin et al., 2016; Rabin et al., 2021). The mechanisms listed 106 above could play as well an important role on silicon and iron isotope fractionation in melts. 107

108 To investigate the mechanisms involved in melt isotope fractionation, we have calculated consistent 109 Si and Fe  $\beta$ -factors for various melt compositions using first-principles molecular dynamics (FPMD). In 110 this study, five silicic melt compositions were investigated in order to assess the effect of increasing 111 sodium, iron and silicon contents on the Si and Fe isotope composition of melts at 1 GPa. The pressure 112 effect on isotope fractionation is also explored through a FPMD basalt simulation at 12 GPa. Our calculated Si and Fe  $\beta$ -factors for melts were combined to the set of Fe and Si  $\beta$ -factors of silicate and 113 114 oxide minerals calculated by Rabin et al. (2021) and Qin et al. (2016) to obtain a full set of equilibrium 115 fractionation factors between minerals and melts of various compositions. These data enable us to 116 discuss the impact of equilibrium fractionation, through different processes such as fractional 117 crystallization and partial melting, on Fe and Si isotopes systematics in igneous contexts. 118

### 2- METHODOLOGY

119

120 121

122

### 2.1- Modelling approach

123 The structure properties as well as the reduced partition function ratios of each melt were obtained 124 from FPMD simulations based on density functional theory (DFT). All calculations were performed 125 using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrized generalized gradient approximation (GGA) 126 functional (Perdew et al., 1996) implemented in the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP; Kresse 127 and Furthmüller, 1996). The interaction between the valence and core electrons were modelled using 128 the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method (Kresse and Joubert, 1999). Plane-wave cutoff of 500 129 meV and Brillouin-zone sampling at Gamma are used. The simulation cell of each system is constrained 130 to a cube (Table 1). The design of the different melt structures in this study was conducted in 3 steps: 131 i) A primary melt structure including 4 compounds (MgO, CaO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>) was generated using 132 classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, for few nanoseconds, based on DL-POLY code (Smith 133 et al., 2002) and using empirical potentials proposed by Matsui (1994). ii) Substitution of some Mg 134 atoms by Fe, some Ca atoms by Na or K was then performed in order to reach the desired melt 135 compositions. iii) The structure thereby created was used as starting composition for the FPMD 136 simulations. The structure was first equilibrated at high temperature (well above the melting point, > 137 6000 K) using NVT canonical ensemble (fixed number of atoms N, constant volume V and constant 138 temperature T) and then cooled down to a desired lower temperature (4000 K). A temperature of 4000 139 K was settled in order to preserve the liquid state of the melts during the MD simulations (e.g. Bajgain 140 et al., 2015; Ghosh and Karki, 2018; Caracas et al., 2019). Once the system was at the desired 141 temperature, the isothermal-isobaric ensemble NPT (fixed number of atoms N, constant pressure P 142 and constant temperature T) with variable-cell dynamics was used, for few picoseconds (ps) to 143 optimize the cell parameters of each different melt composition (Table 1). The volume of the melt is 144 indeed a key parameter as the liquid structure is primarily a function of volume, rather than pressure 145 (Karki, 2010). Then, NVT canonical ensembles were used to explore the effect of the various liquid 146 compositions, as well as the pressure effect, on iron and silicon isotopic properties. Both NPT and NVT 147 ensembles used Nosé thermostats (with a Nosé mass-parameter of 3; Nosé, 1984). Each system

148 contains at least 100 atoms in order to have a simulation cell large enough to calculate equilibrium 149 properties (Karki, 2010). To confirm that 100-atoms simulation cells were sufficient to describe the 150 short- and medium-range properties of the silicate melts, a calculation on a 183-atoms simulation cell 151 was also performed. The duration of the FPMD NVT simulation is also a critical parameter in order to 152 satisfy the ergodicity principles (i.e. the system explores all the possible states of the system, with the 153 same probability as in a liquid, during the simulation time). Therefore, the simulations were carried on 154 for approximately 20 picoseconds in order to acquire representative ensemble at the desired 155 temperature (Bajgain et al., 2015). Analysis of the mean-square displacements (MSD) as a function of 156 time (Allen and Tildesley, 1989) were performed for each temperature-volume-composition condition, 157 in order to confirm that the system stays in the liquid state (Appendix A -Supplementary material, Fig. 158 S1 to S7).

159

The mean pressure applied during the simulations is chosen to be around 1 GPa (corresponding to a crustal depth of ~35 km), in order to reproduce the pressure conditions of deep magmatic chambers such as in Montagne Pelée (Pichavant, 2002) or Iceland (Kelley and Barton, 2008). Moreover, partial melting happens at pressures between 1 and 3 GPa (e.g. Bertka and Holloway, 1994). The exact mean pressures of each melt are displayed in Table 1 and more detailed analysis of pressure evolution during the MD simulations are available in the Appendix A - Supplementary material, Fig. S8.

166

167 The melts simulated here are composed of 7 to 8 chemical elements (Na, Ca, K, Fe, Mg, Al, Si, O) in 168 order to reproduce faithfully natural melt compositions. Three calc-alkaline melts (iron-rich (IR) basalt, 169 iron-depleted (ID) basalt and trachyte), and two peralkaline melts (basanite, phonolite) were studied 170 in order to assess the isotopic evolution of the melt through differentiation (increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> content) 171 as well as the effect of alkaline content in the melts on iron and silicon isotopic fractionation. Rhyolitic 172 melt was not modelled in this study, despite being of high interest as it is expected to display heavier 173 iron isotopes composition than basalt (e.g. Dauphas et al., 2014; Roskosz et al., 2022). The low iron 174 content of such melt would require a simulation cell larger than the ones used here, which is out of 175 our calculation capacity. The melts compositions are displayed in Table 2. Ghosh and Karki (2020) 176 showed that iron spin state configuration is a major parameter that influences greatly (> 15 %) the 177 structural parameters of the melt. In our study, iron atoms are set up in high spin-state because it is 178 known that iron is predominantly in high-spin states in glasses and melts at pressures relevant to crust 179 formation (Mysen and Richet, 2019b and references therein). The Hubbard U term was not added to 180 the DFT calculation as previous studies showed that there are no significant changes in terms of Fe 181 local environment and melts properties (spin and valence state) between GGA and GGA+U calculations 182 in liquid systems where Fe is in high-spin state, especially at high temperature (e.g. Karki et al., 2018; 183 Caracas et al., 2019; Solomatova and Caracas, 2019; Ghosh and Karki, 2020). All iron atoms in the melts 184 were set up as Fe<sup>2+</sup>, in order to be closer to natural Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sub>tot</sub> composition as MORB displays Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sub>tot</sub> 185 of about 0.09 ± 0.2 (Bézos et al., 2021) and OIB of about 0.2 (Cottrell and Kelley, 2014). The Born-186 effective charge tensors that represent the first-order response of the atomic positions to an electric 187 field, were obtained for iron through VASP in order to control the valence of iron in each melt 188 simulation.

189

190 In addition to melt simulations, first-principles calculations are performed on two silicate minerals 191 (Appendix A - Supplementary material, Table S1), using the same GGA-PBE functional and PAW 192 pseudo-potentials as described above. These silicate minerals, already studied in Rabin et al. (2021), 193 are aegirine (FeNaSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) and forsterite (Fe<sub>0.25</sub>Mg<sub>1.75</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>). The only purpose of these calculations is to 194 assess the potential bias related to the use of different pseudo-potentials on the Fe and Si  $\beta$ -factors of 195 silicate minerals. This will allow us to provide a more accurate set of fractionation factors between 196 silicate minerals and melts using mineral data from Rabin et al. (2021).

### 1982.2-Calculation of equilibrium isotope fractionation factors

199

200 The equilibrium isotope fractionation factor  $\alpha_{(a,b,Y)}$  of an element Y between two phases a and b is 201 defined as:

- 202
- 203  $\alpha_{(a,b,Y)} = \frac{\beta_{(a,Y)}}{\beta_{(b,Y)}}$  Eq. (1) 204

where  $\beta(a,Y)$ , called  $\beta$ -factor, is the reduced partition function ratio between phase a and a perfect gas of Y atoms. The usual notation of isotope fractionation, in permil (‰), is written  $10^{3}\ln\beta(a,Y)$ . The isotope fractionation factor is therefore expressed as  $10^{3}\ln\alpha(a,b,Y) = 10^{3}\ln\beta(a,Y) - 10^{3}\ln\beta(b,Y) \approx \Delta_{a-b} =$  $\delta_{a}-\delta_{b}$ . The notations  $\delta_{a}$  and  $\delta_{b}$ , used in laboratory studies, represent the isotopic compositions of coexisting phase a and b and are expressed as, in the case of iron:

211 
$$\delta^{57}Fe\ (\%_0) = \left(\frac{\binom{5^7Fe}{5^4Fe}}{\binom{5^7Fe}{5^4Fe}} - 1\right) \times 1000$$
 Eq. (2)  
212

212

217

219

The reduced partition function ratios of the melts were calculated in this study using two different methods referred to as the "snapshot" method and the velocity auto-correlation function, "VAF" method.

### 218 2.2.1- The "snapshot" method

- 220 The molecular dynamics trajectories are constituted of up to 40 000 time-steps ( $\Delta t = 0.5$  fs). In order 221 to obtain the  $\beta$ -factor of each melt, a number of snapshots are extracted from the trajectory, at regular 222 time interval, large enough to consider the snapshots as independent from each other. Then, each 223 snapshot structure is relaxed to their equilibrium structure at T = 0 K and a finite pressure of 1 GPa, 224 until the residual forces on atoms are less than 10<sup>-4</sup> Ry/au, and the residual pressures smaller than 225 0.002 GPa. Hence, the equilibrated structure does not correspond anymore strictly to a melt but rather 226 to a glass. The "frozen phonon" approach is then used to determine the dynamical matrix for each 227 snapshot: 1) Phonopy code (Togo and Tanaka, 2015) is used to generate the 6N (N = number of atoms 228 in the simulation cell) configurations corresponding to each atomic displacement in the three 229 dimensions (3N in the positive axis, 3N in the negative axis as it is a glass without symmetry). 2) self-230 consistent field (scf) calculations are then performed on each configuration using VASP. 3) Post-231 treatment is performed with Phonopy to obtain the dynamical matrix that is subsequently diagonalized 232 for the two atomic masses of interest in order to obtain the vibrational frequencies for both 233 isotopologues. The reduced partition function ratio is finally calculated from these vibrational 234 frequencies (Bigeleisen and Mayer, 1947):
- 235

236 
$$\beta(a,Y) = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{3N_{at}} \frac{v_i}{v_i} \frac{e^{(-\frac{hv_i}{2k_bT})}}{1 - e^{(-\frac{hv_i}{k_bT})}} \frac{1 - e^{(-\frac{hv_i}{k_bT})}}{e^{(-\frac{hv_i}{2k_bT})}} \right]^{1/N}$$
Eq. (3)

237

where,  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  are the vibrational frequencies of the two isotopologues (the prime symbol refers to the light isotope of the element Y), identified by a branch index *i* from 1 to  $3N_{at}$ ;  $N_{at}$  is the number of atoms in the simulation cell containing N atoms of the element Y; *h* is the Planck constant,  $k_b$  is the Boltzmann constant, and T the temperature (in Kelvin). Equation (3) takes into account that there should be no isotopic fractionation at the high-temperature limit (i.e. the "Redlich-Teller" rule, Redlich, 1935).

In order to obtain accurate and precise Si and Fe  $\beta$ -factors for each melt, "frozen phonon" calculations were performed on 6 to 10 snapshots per FPMD simulation. The snapshot  $\beta$ -factors are then averaged in order to obtain a mean  $\beta$ -factor for each melt and the uncertainties associated correspond to the standard error of the mean  $\beta$ -factor. In this method, the interatomic force constant (F) can be calculated from the dynamical matrix by averaging the force constants of the atom of interest (Fe or Si) in the three spatial dimensions *x*,*y*,*z*. The number of snapshots is large enough as shown by the cumulative mean of the  $\beta$ -factor and Fe force constant (Appendix A - Supplementary material, Fig. S9).

The same methodology based on the vibrational frequencies was used to calculate the  $\beta$ -factors of the two minerals, except that only 3N configurations were generated by Phonopy, because of crystal symmetry.

### 257 2.2.2 - The "VAF" method

258

272

282

286

259 The "snapshot" method described above is a tried-and-tested method, especially for solvated species 260 (Kowalski and Jahn, 2011; Dupuis et al., 2015; Pinilla et al., 2015; Ducher et al., 2018) but the resultant 261  $\beta$ -factor does not strictly correspond to a liquid but rather to a glass as the atomic positions are relaxed 262 at T = 0 K prior to the calculation of the  $\beta$ -factor. Another approach that allows to keep the 263 temperature effect and the liquid properties (i.e. configurational disorder as well as possible 264 anharmonic effects), is a method based on the kinetic energy of the atoms during the simulations following the thermodynamic perturbation theory (Landau and Lifshitz, 1980) where the  $\beta$ -factor is 265 266 expressed as:

267  
268 
$$ln\beta_{AX} = \frac{m-m'}{m} \left(\frac{K}{RT} - \frac{3}{2}\right)$$
 Eq. (4)  
269

where K is the kinetic energy of the element of interest and can be calculated from the partial vibrational density of state (VDOS) noted g(e):

273 
$$K = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^{e_{max}} E(e/k_B T) g(e) de$$
 Eq. (6)  
274

275 In equation 6,  $e_{max}$  is the maximum energy of the vibrational spectrum, and E(e/k<sub>B</sub>T) is the Einstein 276 function of the vibrational energy of a single harmonic oscillator at frequency v = e/h, expressed as:

277  
278 
$$\frac{E(e/k_BT)}{k_BT} = \frac{e/k_BT}{\exp(e/k_BT)} + \frac{e}{2k_BT}$$
 Eq. (7)  
279

Here, the VDOS, g(e), is obtained from the Fourier transform of the velocity auto-correlation function(VAF) thermodynamically averaged over all atoms (Meyer and Comtesse, 2011):

283 
$$g(e) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle V_i(t) | V_i(0) \rangle}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle V_i(0) | V_i(0) \rangle} \, e^{i2\pi v t}$$
 Eq. (8)  
284

 $285 \qquad \text{where } V_i \text{ is the velocity of the i-th atom.}$ 

In this method, the interatomic force constant can also be retrieved from the VDOS, using the followingequation (e.g. Dauphas et al., 2012) :

289  
290 
$$\langle F \rangle = \frac{m}{\hbar^2} \int_0^{+\infty} g(e) e^2 de$$
 Eq. (9)

291 In this study, the "VAF" method is applied on 10 000 time-steps trajectories (5 ps), enabling us to obtain 292 2 to 4  $\beta$ -factors for each melt that are then averaged. Uncertainties are estimated as the 2-standard error of this calculated mean. The "VAF" method was only applied on iron isotopes as iron can be a
 network modifier, more likely to be affected by the transition liquid-glass (i.e. Fe-O coordination
 number, Fe-O distance) compared to Si that is a network former.

- 296 297 The main limitation of using the VDOS to calculate the  $\beta$ -factor of a liquid is the fact that the VDOS 298 display a non-zero value at zero frequency due to diffusion effects. In principle, this diffusive 299 contribution should be removed before calculating the  $\beta$ -factor. Such corrections in complex silicate 300 melts would be difficult to achieve since it would require precise knowledge of the molar volume 301 contribution of each species in the melt. Furthermore, Pinilla et al. (2021) showed that these fluidicity 302 effects were negligible in the calculation of equilibrium fractionation factor of iron in liquid Fe<sub>x-1</sub>S<sub>x</sub> 303 alloys. Therefore, such effects were neglected here.
- 304 305

306

307

309

### 3- RESULTS

308 3.1- Structural melt properties

The partial radial distribution functions (RDF) of the different atomic species pairs present in the silicate melts were analyzed in order to determine the average interatomic distances as well as the atomic coordination (Appendix A - Supplementary material, Fig. S10 to S16). The atomic coordination (C) for a given species A with respect to another species B can be expressed as:

314  
315 
$$C_{AB} = 4\pi\rho_B \int_0^{r_{min}} r^2 g_{AB}(r) dr$$
 Eq. (9)

316317

 $318 \quad \text{where } g_{AB}(r) \text{ is the partial radial distribution function of the A-B atomic pairs and C_{AB} represents the$  $number of atoms of species B which lie within a spherical region of radius r_{min} centered around the$  $320 \quad \text{atom of species A.}$ 

321

322 The average cation-oxygen distances and CN are displayed in Table 3 and the results are described in 323 Appendix A - Supplementary material (under the heading "The structural properties of the melt"). The 324 results are generally consistent with previous molecular dynamics results performed at high 325 temperature (e.g. Guillot and Sator, 2007a; Bajgain et al., 2015; Ghosh and Karki, 2018; Caracas et al., 326 2019; Ghosh and Karki, 2020; Feng et al., 2021; Solomatova and Caracas, 2021). The 183-atoms 327 simulation of the basalt\_IR displays similar cation-oxygen coordination numbers (difference < 1.8%) 328 and cation-oxygen average bond lengths (difference < 1%) as the 100-atoms simulation (Table 3). This 329 allows us to confirm that 100-atoms simulations are large enough to discuss about the short- and 330 medium-range structural properties and therefore equilibrium isotopic properties.

331

332 Detailed analyses of iron and silicon environment in the different melts were performed by calculating 333 the average distance between Fe or Si and their first cation neighbors as well as the corresponding 334 coordination numbers (Table 4). The iron cationic neighbors are at a distance > 2.9 Å for all elements 335 and all melts, except in iron-rich melts where the average distance between two iron atoms can be as 336 low as 2.25 Å. Silicon is the most represented neighbor with an average Fe-Si coordination number 337 between 3.02 and 4.30. The other elements display a Fe-cation coordination number < 2, except for 338 Fe-Al coordination number in the phonolite (3.09). The silicon cationic neighbors are at a distance > 3 339 Å for all elements and all melts. The silicon close environment is more varied, with no clear preference 340 in term of nature of second neighbors (Si, Al, K). The average number of network formers (Si+Al) 341 around Si are always > 3.8 except for basalt\_ID that has an average number of 1.87. This would suggest 342 that iron-depleted basalts (basalt ID), unlike the other melts, cannot form a proper tetrahedral 343 network. This agrees with Guillot and Sator (2007a) conclusions.

344 The degree of polymerization is an important parameter that is directly associated to the composition 345 of the silicate melts. According to Mysen et al. (1985), a melt can be described in term of degree of 346 polymerization, designated as NBO/T where NBO represents the number of Non-Bridging Oxygen 347 atoms, and T stands for Tetrahedrally coordinated cations representing the network formers (Si and 348 Al in this study). These NBO/T ratios (Table 2) show that the more evolved melts such as trachyte and 349 phonolite (NBO/T = 0.28) are more polymerized than the basaltic melts (NBO/T=1).

350

351 The structural homogeneity of melts can be defined according to glass specialists using a simple 352 parameter characterizing the degree of occupation of the interstitial site C=M/T (Kuryaeva, 2004), 353 where M represents the network- modifiers, and T the network formers. A melt structure is considered 354 homogeneous when C < 0.27. The melt heterogeneity is mostly driven by the total concentration of 355 alkali and alkali-earth metals oxides (Kuryaeva, 2004). In this study, melts are heterogeneous, 356 displaying C values ranging between 0.45 for phonolite and 0.67 for the calc-alkaline basalt\_IR and 357 basalt\_ID. This high degree of occupation of interstices is indeed favorable to individual structural 358 groupings in the melts (i.e. microheterogeneous structure). The heterogeneity of aluminosilicate 359 melts, caused by high content of sodium cations, leads to changes in the properties that are not 360 accounted for in the degree of polymerization calculation. This explains why there is no apparent 361 relationship between NBO/T and the Fe-O coordination number and unclear correlation with Si-O 362 coordination number (Appendix A - Supplementary material, Fig. S17).

363

### 364

#### 3.2. Reduced partition function ratios of iron and silicon 365

366 The theoretical reduced partition function ratios have been calculated for iron and silicon. Their 367 temperature dependences are displayed in Fig. 2 as well as their corresponding polynomial fits in Table 368 5. As observed for minerals (Blanchard et al., 2017; Rabin et al. 2021), there is a linear correlation 369 between melt  $\beta$ -factor and their associated average interatomic force constant (Fig. 3). At 1000 K, the 370  $10^{3}$ ln $\beta^{57}$ Fe/<sup>54</sup>Fe for all melts span in a range of ~ 1.1 ‰ and the  $10^{3}$ ln $\beta^{30}$ Si/<sup>28</sup>Si are within a range of ~ 371 0.5 ‰ (Fig. 2). The variation of Fe isotope compositions within melts are greater than the one observed 372 in silicate minerals whereas the variation of Si isotope compositions is twice larger in minerals relative 373 to melts (Compare Fig. 2 with Fig. 1 of Rabin et al., 2021). Phonolite, the most evolved peralkaline melt 374 displays the highest iron  $\beta$ -factors followed by the calc-alkaline iron-rich basalt (Basalt IR) whereas 375 the calc-alkaline iron-poor basalt (Basalt\_ID) displays the lowest iron  $\beta$ -factors. On the other hand, the 376 highest Si  $\beta$ -factor is found in trachyte, followed closely by phonolite.

377

379

378 3.2.1. "Snapshot" vs "VAF" method.

380 The Fe reduced partition function ratios were calculated using two methods: the "VAF" method and 381 the "snapshot" method (See Part 2.2). In the "snapshot" method the  $\beta$ -factors are extrapolated to 382 magmatic temperatures using Eq. (3) using the vibrational frequencies calculated at 0 K and P = 1 GPa. 383 On the other hand,  $\beta$ -factors determined by the "VAF" method are extrapolated from the MD run at 384 4000 K and P  $\simeq$  1 GPa using Eq. (4). Results show that the "VAF" and "snapshot" methods give similar 385 force constants within uncertainties (Table 6) and therefore  $\beta$ -factors since both quantities are linearly 386 correlated (Fig. 3). This is consistent with the fact that the structures obtained with the "snapshot" 387 method are really similar to the ones from the "VAF" trajectories in terms of bond lengths, 388 coordination number and nature of Fe neighbors. The calculated uncertainties are twice larger with 389 the "VAF" method than using the "snapshot" methods, but uncertainties could be certainly reduced 390 by increasing significantly the duration of the simulations. Concerning phonolite, the discrepancy of 391 force constant between the "VAF" and "snapshot" methods associated to extremely large 392 uncertainties, notably for the former method, make the use of these results more difficult. It is clear 393 that phonolite tends to have heavier Fe isotopic values than the other melts. However, the Fe force 394 constant cannot be clearly quantified. This may be caused by the model itself of the phonolite melt 395 that contains only one Fe atom over the 102-atoms system which reduces significantly statistical

396 sampling. However, outside this exception, the similar Fe  $\beta$ -factors obtained by the "VAF" and the 397 "snapshot" methods allow to conclude that both methods are relevant to determine the isotopic 398 properties of a melt. This conclusion was previously reached by Pinilla et al. (2021) in the case of Fe-S 399 alloys at high pressure and temperature conditions. This also means that potential anharmonic effects, 400 that are at least partially taken in account with the "VAF" method, are negligible considering the 401 uncertainties obtained and that extrapolation of Fe  $\beta$ -factors at high temperature from force constants 402 obtained at low temperature is relevant (e.g. NRIXS and Lamb-Mössbauer measurements; Dauphas et 403 al., 2014; Roskosz et al., 2022). In the discussion and applications parts, the only results that will be 404 considered are the ones obtained from the "snapshot" method for clarity and consistency with Si 405 isotopes results.

- 406
- 407 408

409

### 8 3.2.2 – Fractionation factors between minerals and melts.

410 One of the major issues in determining the processes involved in iron and silicon isotope fractionation 411 during magmatic differentiation is the lack of equilibrium Fe and Si fractionation factors between 412 minerals present at magmatic temperatures (i.e. pyroxene, olivine, oxides...) and their associated 413 melts. In this study, we combine the Fe and Si  $\beta$ -factors of various minerals present in magmatic 414 setting, obtained from first-principles calculation (Rabin et al. 2021) with the present iron and silicon 415  $\beta$ -factors of the melts in order to obtain a full set of equilibrium fractionation factors between minerals 416 and melts ( $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>mineral-melt</sub> and  $\Delta^{30}$ Si<sub>mineral-melt</sub>). The entire set of Fe and Si equilibrium fractionation factors 417 between minerals and melts, calculated after systematic bias correction (Appendix B- Supplementary 418 Data), is displayed in Table 7.

419

420 It is noticeable that most of the minerals display lighter iron and silicon isotope composition than the 421 different melts at equilibrium (Appendix B - Supplementary material). Only albite, anorthite, quartz 422 and fayalite that display heavier silicon isotopic relative to the different melts. Likewise, aegirine and 423 magnetite display heavier iron isotopic signature than basalt\_ID, basanite and trachyte melts. As 424 expected, fayalite, with its low iron force constant (F = 100 N/m), is the mineral that shows the greatest 425 iron isotope fractionation with the different melts, followed by aegirine, hedenbergite and augite 426 (Appendix B - Supplementary material).

427 428

### 4- DISCUSSION

429 430

# 431 **4.1 –** Iron and silicon environment in the silicate melts.432

433 Our results on the iron and silicon structural properties allow us to discuss the iron and silicon 434 environment in the different investigated melt. As mentioned in part 3.1, silicon structural properties 435 are independent of the melt composition whereas iron is sensitive to the compositional change of the 436 melt.

437

The nature of the network former in the melt is one of the parameters investigated that have an impact on iron atoms bonding-properties. We observe a linear relationship between Fe-O coordination number and the Al/(Si+Al) ratio in melts as described in previous studies (Mysen et al., 2003). Phonolite and basanite display the highest Fe-O coordination numbers that correspond to the highest Al/(Si+Al) ratio. Peralkaline undersaturated melts are indeed, by definition, depleted in silicon. The increasing aluminum content as network former over silicon results in an increase of the coordination number of all the network modifiers (Table 3) including the part of aluminum acting as network modifier (Fig. 1).

The iron effective charge in the investigated melts shows little variation (2.28-2.37) that cannot be correlated to systematic changes in coordination number or Fe-O distances (Tables 2 and 3). This agrees with the study of Ghosh and Karki (2020) showing that ferric and ferrous iron can have the same
 coordination number in melts and that variations of iron effective charge in melts cause no significant
 change of the structural properties of iron.

451

452 The structural heterogeneity of the melts, defined in part 3.1 as related to the abundance of 453 network modifiers, indicates the potential presence of individual structural groupings that could also 454 induce segregation of cations in the melts. Therefore, it is interesting to look at the nature and 455 distribution of the network modifier cations around iron and silicon (Table 4). Iron tends to favor iron 456 as its closest neighbor in melts when iron concentration is relatively high (basalt IR, basanite; Table 457 4). The distribution of iron atoms as a next nearest neighbor (NNN) of another iron can be determined 458 by dividing the number of Fe NNN by the total number of its NNN's (i.e.  $CN_{Fe-Fe}/CN_{Fe-X}$ , with X = Fe, 459 Ca, Na, Si, Mg, and Al, Rossano et al., 2000). In the case of a random distribution of cations in the 460 melt structure, this ratio is only dependent of the melt stoichiometry. For the basaltic melt (basalt IR), 461 a random cation distribution model predicts a value of 0.1, while our FPMD simulation indicates a value 462 of 0.14. Concerning the basanite melt, a random distribution of iron in the melt corresponds to a value 463 of 0.12. FPMD basanite simulation gives a ratio of 0.14. These results suggest a slight segregation of 464 iron in the basanite and basalt\_IR melts. Concerning silicon, unlike iron there is no systematic 465 preferences in term of the nature of second neighbors. The next nearest neighbor of silicon is 466 alternatively silicon itself, aluminum, potassium or even calcium.

### 467

### 468 **4.2 – Silicate glasses vs silicate melts.**

469 470 In many experimental studies, glasses are the only proxy for melts. When the melt quenching is fast 471 enough to avoid cation reorganization, then the glass structure associated is most likely to be close to 472 the melt one as observed with the snapshot method. A comparative study of MD simulation and 473 experimental glasses shows that cation-oxygen distances are slightly larger in glasses than in melts 474 even if the structure properties are very similar (Rossano et al., 2000). Feng et al. (2021) exhibited 475 notable structural changes (i.e. density) between the basaltic glass and its molten counterparts, 476 especially at low pressure. Ghosh et al. (2021) also pointed out that the effects of temperature on the 477 Fe-O coordination is not negligible, with a silicate glass at 300 K displaying Fe-O coordination of 4.5 478 whereas melt at 3000 K have a Fe-O coordination of 3.7. In the case of isotopic variation between melts 479 and glasses, this question has not really been assessed. As stated in the methods section, the "VAF" 480 method takes into account all features of the melt system whereas the "snapshot" method involves a 481 relaxation of atomic positions at zero temperature. Both methods give similar  $\beta$ -factors. However, it 482 is noteworthy that this computational structural relaxation does not mimic exactly the quench of a 483 glass that is inevitably slower. Many studies observed that the coordination number of an element is 484 a parameter that will affect its isotopic signature in a material (e.g. Polyakov and Mineev, 2000; 485 Méheut et al., 2009; Sossi and O'Neill, 2017; Rabin et al., 2021). Therefore, it is possible that silicate 486 glasses are not an ideal proxy for melts, as glasses display significantly higher coordination numbers 487 and therefore will underestimate the melts  $\beta$ -factor.

488 489

### 490 **4.3** – Iron isotope fractionation in melts.

491

# 492 4.3.1 – Parameters controlling Fe isotope fractionation.493

In silicate minerals, the main parameters involved in iron isotope fractionation, besides temperature, are the oxidation state of iron, i.e.  $Fe^{3+}/Fe_{tot}$  (Polyakov and Mineev, 2000; Shahar et al., 2008; Dauphas et al., 2014), the Fe-O bond length, the Fe-O coordination number (Sossi and O'Neill, 2017) and the number and nature of iron second-neighbors (Macris et al., 2015; Rabin et al., 2021). All these parameters are also expected to have an impact on melt iron isotope composition. However, the importance of a parameter over another can change due to the structural differences between melts and minerals. Furthermore, the range of Fe  $\beta$ -factors within those melts is greater than what was observed in silicate minerals (Compare Fig. 2 with Fig. 1 of Rabin et al., 2021).

502

503 The first important observation from these FPMD simulations is that the variations in Fe-O 504 coordination number (from 3.7 to 4.7) does not seem to be correlated to the iron force constants (Fig. 505 4a). Trachyte that has a Fe-O coordination number of 3.74, displays a similar force constant <F> (191 506  $\pm$  20 N/m) as basanite (196  $\pm$  13 N/m) that has a Fe-O coordination number of 4.73. The second main 507 observation is the iron force constant dependency on Fe-O distances (Fig. 5a). The basalt IR has the 508 highest Fe force constant ( $243 \pm 17 \text{ N/m}$ ) associated to the shorter Fe-O bond length (1.76 Å). This is 509 consistent with the study of Sossi and O'Neill (2017) that shows that the silicate minerals with the 510 shorter Fe-O bonds tend to be enriched in heavy <sup>57</sup>Fe.

511

512 Dauphas et al. (2014), revised by Roskosz et al. (2022), demonstrated that there is a relationship 513 between iron force constant and  $Fe^{3+}/Fe_{tot}$  in silicate glasses produced under different level of oxygen 514 fugacity (Fig. 6) with the glasses synthetized under high oxidizing condition displaying the highest Fe 515 force constant. They also demonstrated that  $Fe^{2+}$ -glasses (log(fO<sub>2</sub>) = -8) have large variations of iron 516 force constant (from 188  $\pm$  7 up to 251  $\pm$  10 N/m) depending on their SiO<sub>2</sub> content. This range is 517 relatively similar to the one observed in our study (from  $171 \pm 8$  up to  $243 \pm 17$  N/m), however no 518 relationship between SiO<sub>2</sub> content and force constant is observed in our study given the silica range 519 investigated (Fig. 6). In addition, Roskosz et al. (2022) also displayed iron mean force constant 520 variations in  $Fe^{2+}$ -rich melts with similar SiO<sub>2</sub> content. Therefore, the variation of Fe force constant in 521 melts with similar Fe<sup>2+</sup> and SiO<sub>2</sub> content definitely means that iron isotope fractionation within silicate 522 melts cannot be uniquely explained in term of iron redox state or network-former concentration. 523

524 In addition to the lack of relationship between Fe force constant and SiO<sub>2</sub> content, there seems to be 525 no correlation between iron force constant and the melt polymerization parameter NBO/T (Fig. 7a). 526 However, there is a relationship between the Fe-Fe and Fe-Mg distances and iron force constants: a 527 decrease of these distances leads to higher iron force constant (Fig. 8c and d). This explains why 528 basalt IR and basanite display higher force constant than the basalt ID and trachyte (Table 6). This 529 suggests that, like for minerals (Macris et al., 2015; Rabin et al., 2021), the melts iron force constant 530 could be influenced by the Fe local environment. However, there is no clear relationship between 531 iron/magnesium concentration in the melts and the iron force constant (Fig. 9). Further investigations 532 are necessary to confirm and quantify the impact of the Fe local environment on the iron force 533 constant. Sodium cations might also play a role on iron isotope fractionation in melts even if there is 534 no direct relationship between Fe-Na distances or Na<sub>2</sub>O and iron force constant (Figs. 8, 9). As 535 discussed in part 3.1.2, the content of sodium drives the structural heterogeneity of the melt, enabling 536 the observed segregation of cations around iron atoms. Roskosz et al. (2022) also suggested that melts 537 composition and more precisely the presence of K or Na cations leads to higher force constant. Here, 538 we do not observe a higher force constant in the trachytic melt where the concentration of K and Na 539 is relatively high. The major difference between this study and the one by Roskosz et al. (2022) is that 540 they used simplified melt compositions, therefore the variety of the iron second neighbors was 541 narrowed (Na or K and Fe itself). Furthermore, we display in the present study that neither Na nor K 542 cations are favored as Fe second neighbor in a natural-like melt where the composition is more 543 complex, except the highly alkaline melt (i.e. phonolite). Overall, our theoretical study agrees with 544 Roskosz et al. (2022) on the fact the nature of the cations in the melts, and most likely the nature of 545 the cations surrounding Fe atoms, seems to affect the iron force constant.

546

Hence, Fe isotope fractionation in melts appears mainly driven by the Fe-O bond length and by the
nature and number of the network-modifier cations around iron atoms.

550 4.3.2 - Comparison between theoretical and experimental data

552 Dauphas et al. (2014) were the first to provide experimental iron  $\beta$ -factors of silicate terrestrial glasses 553 of various compositions, as proxy of melts, using Nuclear Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering (NRIXS). 554 In Fig. 6, iron force constant obtained by NRIXS (Dauphas et al., 2014) are compiled with our calculated 555 ones. The range of iron force constants of their Fe<sup>2+</sup>-basaltic glasses (from 197  $\pm$  8 N/m up to 292  $\pm$  18 556 N/m) are similar to our calculated iron force constants for Fe<sup>2+</sup>-basaltic melts at 1 GPa (between 171 ± 557 8 N/m up to 243 ± 17 N/m), although their major element compositions are different. For the most 558 evolved lavas, our trachyte iron force constant is consistent with that of Dauphas et al. (2014). They 559 found no major increase in the iron force constant until late stage of magmatic differentiation (~ 70 560 wt% of SiO<sub>2</sub>) for the silica-saturated series. No measurement on silica undersaturated peralkaline 561 glasses were performed which prevents us to compare our phonolite and basanite iron  $\beta$ -factor. Later, 562 Prissel et al. (2018) determined iron force constants between  $189 \pm 9$  and  $203 \pm 11$  N/m for their 563 synthetic lunar glasses using NRIXS that is undistinguishable from Dauphas et al. (2014) estimates for 564 terrestrial basalts under IW fO<sub>2</sub> conditions (See Fig. 4 of Prissel et al., 2018) and lies within our range 565 of values for terrestrial basalts. Dauphas et al. (2014) and Prissel et al. (2018) concluded at no Fe 566 isotope fractionation between forsterite and melts of various planetary composition, including 567 terrestrial since they have the same force constant. Our calculated fractionation factor between 568 forsterite and the basalt with low iron content (Basalt\_ID) Δ<sup>57</sup>Fe<sub>forsterite-basalt ID</sub> = -0.01 ‰ at 1500 K agrees 569 with such a conclusion. However, this study displays a fractionation factor between forsterite and the 570 basalt with high iron content (FeO = 13.1 wt%)  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>forsterite-basalt IR</sub> = -0.15 ‰ at 1500K. If the range of 571 Fe force constant calculated for the melts is similar to the measured one for glasses (Prissel et al. 2018, 572 Dauphas et al. 2014), our basaltic melt with high FeO content displays higher iron force constant than 573 the basalt with elevated FeO contents investigated by Prissel et al. (2018). As we underlined in part 574 4.3.1, multiple parameters tend to affect the iron force constant of the melts, including differences of 575 compositions (e.g., the addition of titanium in the glasses studied by Prissel et al. (2018), although 576 these authors did not see an effect on the Fe force constant). Also, our calculation does not take into 577 account the possible effect of variable melt  $fO_2$  (Part 2.1). Moreover, our forsterite Fe force constant 578 calculated by DFT (162  $\pm$  8 N/m) is also lower than the one obtained by NRIXS measurements (197  $\pm$ 579 10 N/m; Dauphas et al. 2014).

580

581 Other studies mainly focused on inter-mineral iron isotope fractionations (Polyakov and Mineev, 2000; 582 Shahar et al., 2008; Dauphas et al., 2012; Macris et al., 2015; Roskosz et al., 2015; Sossi and O'Neill, 583 2017) as measuring iron isotope composition of glasses in equilibrium with minerals is a great 584 analytical challenge on natural and experimental samples. This is why there is only few data on iron 585 isotope fractionation factor between silicate melts and minerals. However,  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>mineral-melt</sub> were 586 assessed empirically in many studies performed on natural samples in order to model fractional 587 crystallization and other related processes (e.g. Sossi et al., 2012; Sossi et al., 2016; Williams et al., 588 2018). Williams et al. (2018) determined a  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>melt-cpx</sub> of 0.15 ‰, based on the observed difference in 589 mean Fe isotope composition between oceanic basalts and fertile mantle peridotites (Williams and 590 Bizimis, 2014) with no indication of the temperature dependence. Assuming a temperature of 1000 K, 591 our calculated  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>Basalt\_ID-Augite</sub> and  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>Basalt\_ID-Hedenbergite</sub> of about 0.12 ‰ as well as  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>Basalt\_ID-Diopside</sub> 592 = 0.08 ‰ are only slightly lower than the empirically determined one. However, looking at iron-rich 593 basalt, our calculated  $\Delta^{57}Fe_{Basalt_IR-Augite}$  and  $\Delta^{57}Fe_{Basalt_IR-Hedenbergite}$  of about 0.42 ‰ as well as our 594  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>Basalt\_ID-Diopside</sub> = 0.38 ‰ appear significantly larger than the 0.15 ‰ value proposed by Williams et 595 al. (2018). Depending on the iron content in the melt  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>cpx-melt</sub> can vary between 0.08 ‰ up to 0.42 596 ‰ at 1000 K. This is why strong cautions need to be applied when using  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>melt-mineral</sub> to model iron 597 isotope composition of natural samples. It is important to know the exact chemistry of the bulk rock 598 and of the minerals, along with the melt  $fO_2$  (see Fig. 6 and Dauphas et al., 2014; Prissel et al., 2018). 599 The  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>melt-cpx</sub> = 0.25 x 10<sup>6</sup>/T<sup>2</sup> used by Sossi et al., (2012) to model fractional crystallization at Red hill 600 volcano also fits in the calculated range. Our calculated  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>forsterite-basalt\_IR</sub> = -0.15 ‰ at 1500 K agrees 601 with the  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>ol-melt</sub> of -0.15 ‰ observed by Teng et al. (2008) at magmatic temperature. However, 602 subsequent in situ Fe isotope measurements from the same group showed that this was essentially a

kinetic effect occurring upon magma cooling (see review in Dauphas et al. (2017)). Hence, this
comparison with the literature suggest that our calculated values seem to be on the higher end relative
to previous field-based and experimental studies (Williams et al., 2018; Prissel et al., 2018) and further
investigations will be needed to clarify why.

607 608

### 609 **4.4 – Silicon isotope fractionation in melts**.

610 611

### 4.4.1 – Parameters controlling Si isotope fractionation.

612

The parameters proposed to control silicon isotope fractionation in silicate minerals are Si-O bond lengths, Si-O polyhedron volume (Qin et al., 2016), Si-O coordination number (Méheut et al., 2009; Méheut and Schauble, 2014; Qin et al., 2016), the nature of silicon second neighbors (Rabin et al., 2021), the electronegativity of the surrounding cations (Méheut and Schauble, 2014), and the polymerization degree (Grant, 1954; Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015).

618

619 Looking at the melts investigated here, we find that the range of Si isotope  $\beta$ -factors in melts is 620 relatively similar to that observed in silicate minerals, though to the higher end (Fig. 3b), except for 621 fayalite that displays a significantly lower  $\beta$ -factor. The average Si-O bond length and coordination 622 number are similar in all the investigated melts (Table 3). This means that neither Si-O bond length nor 623 Si-O coordination number are responsible for the Si  $\beta$ -factor variation observed in these melts (Fig.4b 624 and Fig. 5b). This lack of relationship between those parameters and the silicon isotope fractionation 625 were also observed in the silicate minerals investigated in Rabin et al. (2021) that all display a 626 coordination of 4, and an average Si-O bond length of 1.65 Å.

627

628 The melt polymerization has been proposed to be the main factor causing silicon isotope fractionation 629 among bulk igneous rock series (Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015). At the mineral scale however, polymerization of SiO4<sup>4-</sup> tetrahedra did not appear to be the sole parameter influencing Si isotope 630 631 fractionation in silicates (Méheut et al., 2009; Méheut and Schauble, 2014; Qin et al., 2016; Rabin et 632 al., 2021). In this study, there seems to be a correlation between NBO/T and Si force constant from 633 basalt to trachyte, considering the associated uncertainties (Fig. 7b). However, Phonolite has a Si force 634 constant of 700 ± 15 N/m associated with a NBO/T ratio of 0.28 whereas basalt\_ID has a similar Si force 635 constant (695 ± 13 N/m) but a NBO/T ratio of 1 (Fig. 7b). As underlined part 3.1, variable melts 636 composition, generating structural heterogeneities, can blur the potential correlation with the 637 polymerization parameter NBO/T. This scatter is well observed among terrestrial igneous rocks, as 638 opposed to lunar ones with a simpler petrogenetic history, notably in terms of protolith that were 639 likely less varied on the Moon (Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015). This suggests that in melts displaying 640 structural heterogeneities, the polymerization degree might not the main parameter influencing 641 silicon isotope composition.

642

643 A limited increase in Si force constants with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> content, a proxy for magmatic 644 differentiation, can also be inferred (Fig. 10). However, there is no relationship between Si force 645 constant and Si-Si distance (Fig. 11), nor with coordination number. The variation of SiO<sub>2</sub> content (from 646 49.1 to 63.2 wt%) in our simulated melts is relatively reduced. To evidence any strong relationship 647 between Si force constant and SiO<sub>2</sub> content a melt with > 70 wt% silica content would need to be 648 modeled. Silicon force constants are really similar for basalt\_ID, basanite and phonolite, with values 649 of  $695 \pm 13$ ,  $687 \pm 8$  and  $700 \pm 15$  N/m respectively. This means that increasing alkaline and aluminum 650 content in the melts, that seems to play a role on iron isotope fractionation, has no effect on silicon 651 fractionation (Fig. 10). This also demonstrates that electronegativity differences of the cations 652 constituting the melt ( $\chi_{Na}$  = 0.93;  $\chi_{Mg}$  = 1.31;  $\chi_{AI}$  = 1.63) does not affect the silicon isotope composition 653 of the melts. Silicon is indeed a network former in the melts and silicon structural properties as well as 654 the Si force constant are much less sensitive to melt composition than iron. The only significant

- variation of Si force constant is between basalt\_IR and trachyte with values of 669 ± 11 N/m and 716
  ± 10 N/m, respectively. Besides the polymerization degree (Fig. 7b), it seems that the major difference
  between these two melts are the Si-Fe average distance, of about 3.1 Å for basalt\_IR and 2.4 Å for
  trachyte (Table 4). The shortest average Si-Fe distance corresponds to the highest Si force constant in
  the melts (Fig. 11).
- 660

To conclude, the small but significant silicon isotope fractionation in the melts seems to be mainly driven by either the presence of iron as silicon second neighbors and/or the melt polymerization degree. The nature of the melt, whether peralkaline or calk-alkaline, is not influencing the silicon isotope signature. Further studies with a larger range of SiO<sub>2</sub> content would need to be performed in order to assess whether SiO<sub>2</sub> content is affecting or not the Si isotope composition of the melts.

666

668

### 667 4.4.2 - Comparison between theoretical and experimental data

669 As for iron isotopes, most studies focused on inter-mineral silicon isotope fractionation (Méheut et al., 670 2009; Savage et al., 2011; Méheut and Schauble, 2014; Qin et al., 2016) and there are only two studies 671 reporting so far  $\Delta_{melt-mineral}$  <sup>30</sup>Si<sup>/28</sup>Si. Qin et al. (2016) and Deng et al. (2019), used the silicon  $\beta$ -factors of 672 silicate minerals calculated by Qin et al. (2016) in order to assess Si fractionation factors between 673 silicate minerals and different melt compositions. These calculations were performed assuming that 674 silicate melts have Si isotope fractionation factors equal to the weighted sum of those for their 675 normative mineral compositions. Our set of Si isotope fractionation factors as well as the one 676 calculated by Deng et al. (2019) at 1123 K are displayed in Figure 12 (and Table S1 – Appendix B – 677 Supplementary material). Both studies display a similar order for Si fractionation factors with  $\Delta_{melt}$ - $_{diopside} \ ^{30}Si/^{28}Si > \Delta_{melt-orthoenstatite} \ ^{30}Si/^{28}Si > \Delta_{melt-olivine} \ ^{30}Si/^{28}Si > \Delta_{melt-anorthite} \ ^{30}Si/^{28}Si > \Delta_{melt-albite} \ ^{$ 678 679 silicon fractionation factors calculated by Qin et al. (2016) and Deng et al. (2019) are, however, 680 systematically higher than our calculated  $\Delta_{melt-mineral}$  <sup>30</sup>Si/<sup>28</sup>Si, by 0.1-0.2 ‰ for the trachytic melt and by 681 0.4-0.5 ‰ for basaltic (IR) melt. The discrepancies between our calculated silicon fractionation factor 682 between minerals and trachytic melt and the one estimated from Qin et al. (2016) lies within our 683 estimated uncertainties of  $\simeq 0.3$  ‰ at 1123 K. Concerning the basaltic melt, the  $\Delta_{\text{mineral-melt}}$  <sup>30</sup>Si/<sup>28</sup>Si of Qin et al. (2016) is slightly higher than our calculated  $\Delta_{mineral-melt}$  <sup>30</sup>Si/<sup>28</sup>Si within uncertainties. As a result, 684 685 Qin et al. (2016) would suggest that olivine and enstatite have a lighter silicon isotope composition 686 than the basaltic melts and that the silicon fractionation factor between the feldspars and the melt is 687 insignificant which is not what is observed in our study (Figure 12). The high Si  $\beta$ -factor of the basaltic 688 melt estimated by Qin et al. (2016) and Deng et al. (2019) is probably due to the high content of 689 feldspars in the composition of the magmatic rocks, mainly in the mesostasis. As a consequence, 690 estimated  $10^3 \ln\beta_{melt}$  is mainly driven by anorthite and albite Si  $\beta$ -factors. From our results, it appears 691 that the melt Si  $\beta$ -factor can hardly be assessed by using the weighted sum of the mineral composition 692 of the melt and their associated Si  $\beta$ -factor, especially for the more mafic ones. 693

- 5- APPLICATIONS
- 694 695

### 696 **5.1 – Magmatic differentiation**

- 697
- 698 *5.1.1- Iron isotopes* 699

Iron isotope fractionation has been widely studied on natural samples in the context of magmatic differentiation. Most of the magmatic series display heavy iron isotope compositions in the most evolved lavas (e.g. Teng et al., 2008; Sossi et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2018), especially with SiO<sub>2</sub> content > 70 wt% (e.g. Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; Schuessler et al., 2009; Zambardi et al., 2014). One of the candidates to explain such isotopic signature is fractional crystallization. However, the impact of fractional crystallization on iron isotope fractionation is still debated. Many studies considered that there is no significant iron isotopic fractionation between Fe<sup>2+</sup>-minerals and the 707 associated melt and that magnetite, an  $Fe^{3+}$  oxide, is the main mineral phase driving the iron isotope 708 composition in the lavas. Hence, the evolved lavas should display lighter iron isotopic composition 709 (Shahar et al., 2008). Therefore, fractional crystallization has been discarded as potential candidate to 710 explain the observed heavy iron isotope signature at the last stage of magmatic differentiation 711 (Zambardi et al., 2014). However, Dauphas et al. (2014) displayed the first experimental  $\beta$ -factors for 712 glasses (see section 4.3.2 above) and demonstrated that the heavy isotope signature of evolved melts 713 could compensate for the magnetite crystallization during magmatic differentiation. Thus, fractional 714 crystallization could lead to the heavy  $\delta^{57}$ Fe observed. Besides, Polyakov and Mineev (2000) and Rabin 715 et al. (2021) showed that isotopic fractionation between Fe<sup>2+</sup>-bearing minerals are significant. Taking 716 this into account in fractional crystallization models could reproduce the isotopic trend observed in 717 natural lavas (Rabin et al. 2021). Here, the model produced in Rabin et al. (2021) was modified by 718 replacing the approximated  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-melt</sub> (using melt  $\beta$ -factor of Dauphas et al. (2014)), by the  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-</sub> 719 trachyte calculated in this study (Table 7, Appendix C - Supplementary material -Table E1). Our model 720 shows a smooth increase of  $\delta^{57}$ Fe from 58 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> to 74 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> followed by a sharp increase up to 721 0.65‰ (Fig. 13a). This sharp  $\delta^{57}$ Fe increase observed at 74wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> is related to the end of ulvöspinel 722 and magnetite and beginning of ilmenite crystallization (see Appendix C - Supplementary material). If 723 most of the Fe isotope signatures of the evolved lavas and granites (e.g. Poitrasson and Freydier, 2005; 724 Telus et al., 2012; Foden et al., 2015) can be explained by our fractional crystallization model, some of 725 the heaviest iron isotopic signatures observed in some granites ( $\delta^{57}$ Fe = + 0.9 ‰; e.g. He et al., 2017; 726 Xia et al., 2017) are however not reproduced by this model. Despite the fact that this is the most 727 constrained fractional crystallization model produced so far, the lack of calculated  $\beta$ -factor for rhyolitic 728 melt could under-estimate the  $\delta^{57}$ Fe of the most evolved melt as rhyolitic melt should have a higher 729  $\beta$ -factor than trachyte (Dauphas et al., 2014). Overall, from this new model, it is possible to conclude 730 that fractional crystallization may explain at least part of the iron isotope evolution during magmatic differentiation for  $\delta^{\rm 57} {\rm Fe}$  values up to 0.65 ‰. 731

733 5.1.2-- Silicon isotopes

734

732

735 Silicon isotopes show progressive and systematic enrichment in heavy isotopes during magmatic 736 differentiation (Savage et al., 2011; Zambardi et al., 2014) going from  $\delta^{30}$ Si = -0.30±0.05 ‰ for SiO<sub>2</sub> = 737 47 wt% up to -0.10±0.07 ‰ for SiO<sub>2</sub> = 76 wt% in terrestrial volcanic rocks. Savage et al. (2011) proposed 738 fractional crystallization as the main process involved in silicon isotope fractionation during magmatic 739 differentiation. However, modelling of the effect of fractional crystallization on  $\delta^{30}$ Si along magmatic 740 differentiation performed by Savage et al. (2011) and Zambardi et al. (2014), diverge from the 741 measured silicon isotopic trends (Fig. 13b). This discrepancy can be due to the fact that, besides the 742 effect of magma differentiation, igneous rocks silicon isotope composition also records source 743 signatures, such as a possible heavy seafloor chert contribution for andesites and a light clay 744 contribution for anatectic leucogranites (Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015). Also, the lack of precise 745 fractionation factor between silicate minerals and melt is a limitation for fractional crystallization 746 modeling. Many studies (Méheut et al., 2009; Méheut and Schauble, 2014; Qin et al., 2016; Rabin et 747 al., 2021) underlined that silicon isotope fractionation between silicate minerals, even at high 748 temperature, are significant. The use of approximated  $\Delta^{30}Si_{min-melt}$  allowed Qin et al. (2016) to show, 749 through different models, that fractional crystallization is a viable mechanism to explain heavy silicon 750 isotope signatures in the most evolved lavas. Here, we are modelling the effect of fractional 751 crystallization on silicon isotopes using our  $\Delta^{30}Si_{min-trachyte}$  in order to evaluate this hypothesis (Appendix 752 C - Supplementary material - Table E2). We used the same starting composition in the MCS software 753 as in the model of fractional crystallization for iron isotopes. In this model, silicon isotope evolution is 754 expressed as follow: 755

756 
$$\delta^{30}Si\ (melt)_{j+1} = \delta^{30}Si\ (melt)_j + \sum_i X_i \times 10^3 \ln \alpha_i\ (T)$$
 Eq. (10)  
757

758 where X<sub>i</sub> is the modal proportion of minerals crystallized at each 5°C step.  $\delta^{30}$ Si<sub>melt</sub> is the initial  $\delta^{30}$ Si of 759 the melt. In this case  $\delta^{30}$ Si<sub>melt</sub> = -0.22 ‰ for SiO<sub>2</sub> = 58 wt%.

760

761 Our model is in good agreement with the measured silicon isotopic trend in terrestrial lavas (Fig. 13b). 762 The linear trend of our model ( $y = 0.0061 \times -0.588$ ) is close to the linear trend measured of the Hekla 763 volcanic suite (y =  $0.0059 \times -0.591$ ; Savage et al. (2011)) and Cedar Butte volcanic suite (y =  $0.0069 \times -0.0069 \times -0.0069$ ) 764 0.638; Zambardi et al. (2014)). The sharp  $\delta^{30}$ Si increase observed in the model at 74wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> is 765 directly correlated to the beginning of quartz crystallization associated with a decrease of anorthite 766 and albite crystallization. Our new set of fractionation factors between silicate minerals and melts 767 allow us to better constrain the fractional crystallization model for the first time. Besides the source 768 effect discussed above, it shows that fractional crystallization may explain the observed heavy silicon isotope signature in the most evolved lavas (Fig. 13b), at variance with the previous interpretation of 769 770 Zambardi et al. (2014) for the Cedar Butte volcanic suite.

771

773

### 772 **5.2 – Partial melting effect on iron isotopes**

774 Previous studies have suggested that mantle-derived melts may show heavier iron isotope signatures 775 compared to their presumed mantle peridotite source rocks (e.g. Williams et al., 2004; Weyer and 776 Ionov, 2007; Williams et al., 2009; Dauphas et al., 2014; Williams and Bizimis, 2014; Sossi et al., 2016; 777 Nebel et al., 2018). The changes in Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sub>tot</sub> between crystal and liquid due to partial melting has been 778 argued as a key parameter to explain this. The moderately incompatible behavior of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Kd = 779  $C_{melt}/C_{solid}$  ~4.5; Mallmann and O'Neill, 2009; Cottrell and Kelley, 2011) relative to Fe<sup>2+</sup> iron (Kd = 1; 780 Mallmann and O'Neill, 2009; Davis et al., 2013) suggests that iron isotope fractionation could occur 781 during partial melting of upper-mantle to create oceanic crust. Many studies using different models 782 (i.e. batch-melting, non-modal melting; e.g. Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Williams et al., 2009; Dauphas et 783 al., 2014; Williams and Bizimis, 2014; Sossi et al., 2016), tried to assess whether partial melting of 784 mantle peridotite, through single or numerous stages, could create the heavier isotopic signature of 785 MORB (0.7  $\% < \delta^{57}$ Fe < 0.22 %; Sossi et al. 2016). However, the role of partial melting on the iron 786 isotope signature of MORB remains debated (e.g. Weyer and Ionov, 2007; Williams et al., 2009; 787 Dauphas et al., 2014; Williams and Bizimis, 2014; Sossi et al., 2016). Many parameters in these partial 788 melting models are well constrained such as the  $Fe^{3+}/Fe_{tot}$  of MORB ( $Fe^{3+}/Fe_{tot} = 0.09 \pm 0.02$ ; Bézos et 789 al., 2021) and the temperature at depth during mantle melting. One of the main critical parameters is 790 the fractionation factor between the melt and the minerals (olivine, orthopyroxene). A recent study 791 by Dauphas et al. (2014) shows that partial melting is only responsible for one third (0.023 ‰) of the 792 isotopic fractionation between MORB and mantle peridotite. Sossi et al. (2016) proposed a correction 793 of Fe isotope signature of MORB for the effect of olivine early fractionation allowing them to assess 794 whether mantle heterogeneity could be the source of the heavy iron isotope signature of MORB. From 795 this correction, it appears that normal MORB displays a mean  $\delta^{57}$ Fe of 0.10 ± 0.01 ‰. Sossi et al. (2016) 796 demonstrated then that for an upper mantle  $\delta^{57}$ Fe = 0.05 ± 0.01 ‰, a Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sub>tot</sub> = 0.037 and a degree 797 of partial melting comprised between 0.1 and 0.2, the MORB iron isotope composition can be 798 reproduced. As mentioned above, the choice of  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-melt</sub> is critical in partial melting models. Sossi 799 et al. (2016) applied a  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-melt</sub> =  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>ol-melt</sub> = -0.4 x 10<sup>6</sup>/T<sup>2</sup> as olivine is the major iron carrier in 800 peridotites before orthopyroxene. At 1500 K, the  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>ol-melt</sub> is about -0.17 ‰ in their models, which is slightly higher, but similar within uncertainties, than our calculated  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>forsterite-basalt\_IR</sub> =  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>orthoenstatite-</sub> 801 802 basalt IR = -0.15 ‰. Our new set of equilibrium isotope fractionation factor between minerals and melts 803 allows us to confirm that normal MORB iron isotopic signature can be explained by 10 to 20 % partial 804 melting of the peridotite mantle as demonstrated by Sossi et al. (2016).

805 806

### 807 **5.3** – Iron isotope intracrystalline diffusion

809 Chemical zoning is commonly observed in phenocrysts (i.e. olivine, pyroxene) of volcanic rocks. This 810 has been interpreted as the result of crystal growth in an evolving melt during magmatic differentiation 811 or/and diffusion-controlled chemical exchange due to the contrast (disequilibrium) between crystal 812 and melt composition (e.g. Teng et al., 2011). In the past few years, studies demonstrated that iron 813 chemical zoning in olivine is mirrored by iron isotope zoning (Teng et al., 2011; Sio et al., 2013; Oeser 814 et al., 2014; Oeser et al., 2015; Collinet et al., 2017; Sio et al., 2018; Oeser et al., 2018). Iron isotopes 815 profiles across olivine display significant variations (> 1 %; Oeser et al., 2015; Oeser et al., 2018) that 816 exceeds potential equilibrium isotope fractionation. Iron isotopes profiles can therefore be used as 817 evidence for the diffusion origin of the chemical zoning in olivine, since the light isotopes (<sup>54</sup>Fe) diffuse 818 faster than the heavy isotopes (<sup>57</sup>Fe) (e.g. Richter et al. 2003). Quantifying kinetic isotope effects in 819 minerals and melts from first-principles calculations, as performed in recent studies for other elements 820 (e.g. Luo et al., 2021, 2020; Spallanzani et al., 2022), is beyond the scope of this study. We would 821 require a large simulation cell for the melt with an important number of atoms (N) in order to assess 822 with precision diffusion coefficients for the different elements in our systems, as diffusivity follows the 823 inverse of N<sup>1/3</sup> dependence (Karki, 2010). However, from our set of iron equilibrium fractionation 824 factors between silicate melts and minerals, we can assess the magnitude of iron isotope fractionation 825 during olivine crystallization over diffusion-driven kinetic isotope fractionation. The net isotope 826 fractionation measured across zoned olivine crystals depends on the rate of crystal growth relative to 827 the rate of chemical diffusion (Sio et al., 2013; Sio and Dauphas, 2017). A fast crystal growth relative 828 to diffusion process would generate olivine zoning driven by crystal growth associated with magmatic 829 differentiation. Some authors (Dauphas et al., 2014; Prissel et al., 2018; Sio et al., 2018), implied that 830 in this case only little isotopic fractionation between mineral and melt is expected as they consider 831  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>olivine-melt</sub> to be insignificant at magmatic temperature. Alternatively, when crystal growth is slow 832 relative to diffusion, then crystal zoning is induced by diffusive re-equilibration with the evolving melts 833 and higher isotopic variations within the olivine are expected. The lack of fractionation between 834 forsterite and melt was also observed in our study in the case of a basaltic melt with low iron content, 835 basalt\_ID, with a  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>forsterite-basalt-ID</sub> = -0.01 ‰ at 1500 K. Our study shows however that the equilibrium fractionation factors between olivine and a basalt with higher iron content (13.1 wt%) are significant 836 837 with a  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>forsterite-basalt IR</sub> = -0.15 ‰ and a  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>hortonolite-basalt IR</sub> = -0.18 ‰ at 1500 K. Therefore, at 838 equilibrium, considering that iron isotope fractionation is exclusively driven by crystal growth process, 839 an olivine may display according to our calculation  $\delta^{57}$ Fe as low as -0.09 ‰, considering a melt value 840 of 0.09 ‰ (BIR1g, Craddock and Dauphas, 2011; Oeser et al., 2014). Iron isotopes composition lighter 841 than -0.09 ‰, as observed in in-situ isotopic profiles with olivine rims  $\delta^{57}$ Fe as low as -2.1 ‰ (Oeser et 842 al., 2015), can therefore only be explained by diffusion-driven fractionation (Oeser et al., 2015; Collinet 843 et al., 2017b; Sio and Dauphas, 2017; Prissel et al., 2018).

844

845 Our new equilibrium  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>olivine-basalt\_IR</sub> allows us to propose that the low  $\delta^{57}$ Fe signatures observed in 846 olivines can in part be explained by equilibrium fractionation. However, our results also confirm that 847 kinetic diffusion-driven fractionation has a major influence on the iron isotope chemical profiles of 848 olivine as implied by other studies (Teng et al., 2011; Sio et al., 2013; Oeser et al., 2015; Collinet et al., 849 2017a; Sio and Dauphas, 2017; Prissel et al., 2018; Sio et al., 2018; Oeser et al., 2018). As discussed 850 above in section 5.5.1.1 and underlined by Nebel et al. (2018), although diffusion in olivine can create 851 large isotopic variations at the crystal scale, it is not expected to affect significantly (> 0.03 ‰) the melt 852 composition during magmatic differentiation as proposed by Lundstrom (2009) and Zambardi et al. 853 (2014). 854

- 6- Conclusion
- 855 856

This study provides, for the first time, equilibrium Fe and Si isotope  $\beta$ -factors of various melts, using first-principles molecular dynamics. We first demonstrated, through comparison of the "snapshot" and "VAF" methods, that both methods are appropriate to study isotope fractionation of melts. Iron, that acts mainly as a network-modifier in the melts, displays significant isotope fractionation among the 861 different compositions of melt. The Fe-O mean coordination number appears not playing a significant 862 role on the observed Fe  $\beta$ -factor variations in melts. The main parameters driving the iron isotope 863 composition in melts is the Fe-O bond length. The chemical environment around iron (i.e. iron second 864 neighbors) is also suggested to contribute to Fe isotope fractionation, as smaller Fe-Fe and Fe-Mg 865 distances leads to higher Fe  $\beta$ -factor values in the melts. Further investigations are necessary in order 866 to confirm this hypothesis. Overall, these results show that significant Fe isotope fractionation is 867 expected without changes of iron redox. On the other hand, silicon isotope fractionation appears to 868 be less sensitive to compositional changes in melts and displays limited isotope fractionation among 869 the different melts. This is most likely due to its network-former role in the melt. Since structural 870 parameters such as Si-O bond length and coordination number remain constant, they do not play a 871 major role on the Si  $\beta$ -factors variation observed in these melts. The silicon isotope compositional 872 variations were also expected to be related to the depolymerization degree (NBO/T; Grant, 1954; 873 Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015). However, this relationship may be blurred by the high alkaline 874 content of the melts. Silicon  $\beta$ -factors appear to be also driven by its local environment, notably the 875 presence of iron as silicon second neighbors.

876

877 This Fe and Si  $\beta$ -factors of melts allowed us to calculate a consistent set of equilibrium fractionation 878 factors between various minerals and melts. This represents a major step forward in the understanding 879 and quantifying of the processes involved in iron and silicon isotope fractionation in magmatic settings. 880 In this perspective, we used our new  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-melt</sub> and  $\Delta^{30}$ Si<sub>min-melt</sub> in several models from the literature 881 in order to assess the impact of the addition of more constrained fractionation factors on them. Our 882 results support fractional crystallization as a possible driving process involved in both Si and Fe isotope 883 evolution during magmatic differentiation for the melts chemical range investigated. Our calculated 884  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>ol-melt</sub> allows us to confirm the model of Sossi et al. (2016) showing that generation of MORB with 885  $\delta^{57}$ Fe = 0.1 ‰ can be explained by partial melting of peridotites (with a degree of partial melting 886 comprised between 10 and 20%). This different from nil  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>ol-melt</sub> fractionation factor however 887 contradicts Prissel et al. (2018) conclusions, thus calling for further investigations. We also showed 888 that there is significant iron isotope fractionation between Fe<sup>2+</sup>-bearing minerals and melts. Olivine 889 crystallization at equilibrium could show a  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>olivine-basalt</sub> range from 0 to -0.18 %. Our new set of iron 890 fractionation factors can therefore help to quantify the equilibrium fractionation versus the diffusion-

- 891 driven kinetic fractionation in future iron isotope diffusion models.
- 892

### 893 APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The file includes all the parameters and discussions relative to the structural properties of the melts(including MSDs and RDFs).

### 896 APPENDIX B. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

897 The file includes the Fe and Si fractionation factors between the different melts and silicate minerals 898 as well as the detailed calculation of the fractionation factors (systematic bias correction).

### as well as the detailed calculation of the fractionation factors (system

- 899 APPENDIX C. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
- This MS Excel file includes Fe and Si fractional crystallization results and VAF iron beta fractionationfactors.

### 902

### 903 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

904

905 We thank the associate editor Dr. Fang Huang and the three anonymous reviewers for their detailed 906 and thoughtful reviews that improved this manuscript. This work was supported by the ECOS-907 NORD/COLCIENCIAS French-Colombian cooperation program (Project number: C17U01). CP also

- acknowledges funding from COLCIENCIAS through research grants No. 2015-710-51568. This work was
- also supported through a grant to FP from the "Programme National de Planétologie-PNP" of
- 910 CNRS/INSU, co-funded by CNES. Calculations were performed using the HPC resources from CALMIP
- 911 (Grant 2020 P1037). SR deeply thanks CALMIP staff for their support.

### 913 **REFERENCES**

- 914
- Allen M. P. and Tildesley D. J. (1989) Computer simulation of liquids. J. Solution Chem. 18, 99. Available
   at: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00646086.
- Angeli F., Villain O., Schuller S., Ispas S. and Charpentier T. (2011) Insight into sodium silicate glass
   structural organization by multinuclear NMR combined with first-principles calculations.
   *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75, 2453–2469.
- 920Bajgain S., Ghosh D. B. and Karki B. B. (2015) Structure and density of basaltic melts at mantle921conditions from first-principles simulations. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–7. Available at:922http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9578.
- Bauchy M. and Micoulaut M. (2011) Atomic scale foundation of temperature-dependent bonding
  constraints in network glasses and liquids. *J. Non. Cryst. Solids* 357, 2530–2537. Available at:
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2011.03.017.
- Bertka C. M. and Holloway J. R. (1994) Anhydrous partial melting of an iron-rich mantle I: subsolidus
   phase assemblages and partial melting phase relations at 10 to 30 kbar. *Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol.* 115, 313–322. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00310770.
- 929 Bézos A., Guivel C., La C., Fougeroux T. and Humler E. (2021) Unraveling the confusion over the iron 930 oxidation state in MORB glasses. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **293**, 28–39.
- Bézos A. and Humler E. (2005) The Fe3+/ΣFe ratios of MORB glasses and their implications for mantle
   melting. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 69, 711–725.
- 933Bigeleisen J. and Mayer M. G. (1947) Calculation of Equilibrium Constants for Isotopic Exchange934Reactions. J. Chem. Phys. 15, 261–267. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1746492.
- Blanchard M., Balan E. and Schauble E. A. (2017) Equilibrium Fractionation of Non-traditional Isotopes:
  a Molecular Modeling Perspective. *Rev. Mineral. Geochemistry* 82, 27–63. Available at:
  https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2017.82.2.
- Bohrson W. A., Spera F. J., Ghiorso M. S., Brown G. A., Creamer J. B. and Mayfield A. (2014)
  Thermodynamic model for energy-constrained open-system evolution of crustal magma bodies
  undergoing simultaneous recharge, assimilation and crystallization: The magma chamber
  simulator. Journal of Petrology 55, 1685–1717.
- 942Caracas R., Hirose K., Nomura R. and Ballmer M. D. (2019) Melt–crystal density crossover in a deep943magma ocean. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 516, 202–211. Available at:944https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.031.
- Collinet M., Charlier B., Namur O., Oeser M., Médard E. and Weyer S. (2017a) Crystallization history of
   enriched shergottites from Fe and Mg isotope fractionation in olivine megacrysts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 207, 277–297. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.03.029.
- Collinet M., Charlier B., Namur O., Oeser M., Médard E. and Weyer S. (2017b) Crystallization history of
   enriched shergottites from Fe and Mg isotope fractionation in olivine megacrysts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 207, 277–297.
- Cottrell E. and Kelley K. A. (2014) Redox Heterogeneity of the Mantle Inferred from Hotspots. In AGU
   *Fall Meeting Abstracts* pp. V23G-02.
- 953 Cottrell E. and Kelley K. A. (2011) The oxidation state of Fe in MORB glasses and the oxygen fugacity of 954 mantle. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 305, 270-282. Available the upper at: 955 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.03.014.
- 956 Craddock P. R. and Dauphas N. (2011) Iron and carbon isotope evidence for microbial iron respiration
   957 throughout the Archean. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **303**, 121–132. Available at:
   958 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X10008186.
- Dauphas N., Roskosz M., Alp E. E., Golden D. C., Sio C. K., Tissot F. L. H., Hu M. Y., Zhao J., Gao L. and
  Morris R. V. (2012) A general moment NRIXS approach to the determination of equilibrium Fe
  isotopic fractionation factors: Application to goethite and jarosite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*962 94, 254–275.
- Dauphas N., Roskosz M., Alp E. E., Neuville D. R., Hu M. Y., Sio C. K., Tissot F. L. H., Zhao J., Tissandier

- L., Médard E. and Cordier C. (2014) Magma redox and structural controls on iron isotope
  variations in Earth's mantle and crust. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **398**, 127–140.
- 966Davis F. A., Humayun M., Hirschmann M. M. and Cooper R. S. (2013) Experimentally determined967mineral/melt partitioning of first-row transition elements (FRTE) during partial melting of968peridotite at 3GPa. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 104, 232–260. Available at:969http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.11.009.
- 970 Deng Z., Chaussidon M., Guitreau M., Puchtel I. S., Dauphas N. and Moynier F. (2019) An oceanic
  971 subduction origin for Archaean granitoids revealed by silicon isotopes. *Nat. Geosci.* 12, 774–778.
  972 Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0407-6.
- Du D. H., Wang X. L., Yang T., Chen X., Li J. Y. and Li W. (2017) Origin of heavy Fe isotope compositions
  in high-silica igneous rocks: A rhyolite perspective. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 218, 58–72.
  Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.09.014.
- Ducher M., Blanchard M. and Balan E. (2018) Equilibrium isotopic fractionation between aqueous Zn
  and minerals from first-principles calculations. *Chem. Geol.* 483, 342–350. Available at:
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.02.040.
- Dupuis R., Benoit M., Nardin E. and Méheut M. (2015) Fractionation of silicon isotopes in liquids: The
  importance of configurational disorder. *Chem. Geol.* **396**, 239–254. Available at:
  http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009254115000029.
- Feng S., Majumdar A., Kuang H., Pan Y., litaka T. and Tse J. S. (2021) A comparative study on pressureinduced structural transformations in a basaltic glass and melt from Ab initio molecular dynamics
  calculations. *Phys. Chem. Miner.* 48, 1–14. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00269-02101165-3.
- 986Foden J., Sossi P. A. and Wawryk C. M. (2015) Fe isotopes and the contrasting petrogenesis of A-, I- and987S-typegranite.Lithos212–215,32–44.Availableat:988http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2014.10.015.
- Gajos N. A., Lundstrom C. C. and Taylor A. H. (2016) Spatially controlled Fe and Si isotope variations:
  an alternative view on the formation of the Torres del Paine pluton. *Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol.*171.
- Gerlach S., Claußen O. and Russel C. (1998) Self-diffusion of iron in alkali magnesia silica glass melts.
   J. Non. Cryst. Solids 226, 11–18.
- Ghosh D. B. and Karki B. B. (2020) Effects of valence and spin of Fe in MgSiO3 melts: Structural insights
  from first-principles molecular dynamics simulations. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 279, 107–118.
  Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.03.040.
- 997Ghosh D. B. and Karki B. B. (2018) First-principles molecular dynamics simulations of anorthite998(CaAl2Si2O8) glass at high pressure. Phys. Chem. Miner. 45, 575–587. Available at:999http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00269-018-0943-4.
- 1000Grant F. S. (1954) The geological significance of variations in the abundances of the isotopes of silicon1001in rocks. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 5, 225–242. Available at:1002http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0016703754900460.
- 1003Guillot B. and Sator N. (2007a) A computer simulation study of natural silicate melts. Part I: Low1004pressure properties. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 1249–1265.
- 1005Guillot B. and Sator N. (2007b) A computer simulation study of natural silicate melts. Part II: High1006pressure properties. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71, 4538–4556.
- He Y., Wu H., Ke S., Liu S. A. and Wang Q. (2017) Iron isotopic compositions of adakitic and non-adakitic
   granitic magmas: Magma compositional control and subtle residual garnet effect. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 203, 89–102. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.01.005.
- Heimann A., Beard B. L. and Johnson C. M. (2008) The role of volatile exsolution and sub-solidus
   fluid/rock interactions in producing high 56Fe/54Fe ratios in siliceous igneous rocks. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 4379–4396.
- Huang F., Wu Z., Huang S. and Wu F. (2014) First-principles calculations of equilibrium silicon isotope
   fractionation among mantle minerals. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 140, 509–520. Available at:
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.05.035.

- 1016Jackson W. E., Farges F., Yeager M., Mabrouk P. A., Rossano S., Waychunas G. A., Solomon E. I. and1017Brown G. E. (2005) Multi-spectroscopic study of Fe(II) in silicate glasses: Implications for the1018coordination environment of Fe(II) in silicate melts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 69, 4315–4332.
- 1019Karki B. B. (2015) First-principles computation of mantle materials in crystalline and amorphous1020phases. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 240, 43–69.
- 1021Karki B. B. (2010) First-principles molecular dynamics simulations of silicate melts: Structural and<br/>dynamical properties. *Theor. Comput. Methods Miner. Phys. Geophys. Appl.* **71**, 355–389.
- Karki B. B., Ghosh D. B., Maharjan C., Karato S. I. and Park J. (2018) Density-Pressure Profiles of Fe Bearing MgSiO3 Liquid: Effects of Valence and Spin States, and Implications for the Chemical
   Evolution of the Lower Mantle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 45, 3959–3966.
- Kelley D. F. and Barton M. (2008) Pressures of crystallization of Icelandic Magmas. J. Petrol. 49, 465–
  492.
- Kim H. I., Sur J. C. and Lee S. K. (2016) Effect of iron content on the structure and disorder of iron bearing sodium silicate glasses: A high-resolution 29Si and 17O solid-state NMR study. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 173, 160–180. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.10.023.
- 1031 Konter J. G., Pietruszka A. J., Hanan B. B., Finlayson V. A., Craddock P. R., Jackson M. G. and Dauphas
   1032 N. (2016) Unusual δ56Fe values in Samoan rejuvenated lavas generated in the mantle. *Earth* 1033 *Planet. Sci. Lett.* **450**, 221–232. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.06.029.
- Kowalski P. M. and Jahn S. (2011) Prediction of equilibrium Li isotope fractionation between minerals
   and aqueous solutions at high P and T: An efficient ab initio approach. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**, 6112–6123. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.07.039.
- 1037Kresse G. and Furthmüller J. (1996) Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations1038using a plane-wave basis set. Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169–11186. Available at:1039https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169.
- 1040Kresse G. and Joubert D. (1999) From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave1041method.Phys.Rev.B59,1758–1775.Availableat:1042https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758.
- 1043 Kuryaeva R. G. (2004) Degree of polymerization of aluminosilicate glasses and melts. *Glas. Phys. Chem.*1044 **30**, 157–166.
- Landau L. D. and Lifshitz E. M. (1980) Course of Theoretical Physics. Statistical Physics. Part 1. Pergamon
   5., 544.
- Li Q. W., Zhao J. H., Wang Q., Zhang Z. F., An Y. J. and He Y. T. (2020) Iron isotope fractionation in
  hydrous basaltic magmas in deep crustal hot zones. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 279, 29–44.
  Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.03.032.
- 1050Le Losq C., Neuville D. R., Florian P., Henderson G. S. and Massiot D. (2014) The role of Al3+ on rheology1051and structural changes in sodium silicate and aluminosilicate glasses and melts. Geochim.1052Cosmochim. Acta 126, 495–517. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.11.010.
- Lundstrom C. (2009) Hypothesis for the origin of convergent margin granitoids and Earth's continental
   crust by thermal migration zone refining. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 73, 5709–5729. Available
   at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703709004116 [Accessed April 26,
   2019].
- 1057Luo, H., Karki, B. B., Ghosh, D. B., and Bao, H.: First-principles computation of diffusional Mg isotope1058fractionation in silicate melts, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 290, 27–40,1059https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.08.028, 2020.
- Luo, H., Karki, B. B., Ghosh, D. B., and Bao, H.: Deep neural network potentials for diffusional lithium
  isotope fractionation in silicate melts, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 303, 38–50,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.03.031, 2021.
- 1063Macris C. A., Manning C. E. and Young E. D. (2015) Crystal chemical constraints on inter-mineral Fe1064isotope fractionation and implications for Fe isotope disequilibrium in San Carlos mantle1065xenoliths. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta154, 168–185. Available at:1066http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.024.
- 1067 Mallmann G. and O'Neill H. S. C. (2009) The crystal/melt partitioning of V during mantle melting as a

- 1068function of oxygen fugacity compared with some other elements (Al, P, Ca, Sc, Ti, Cr, Fe, Ga, Y, Zr1069and Nb). J. Petrol. 50, 1765–1794.
- Matsui M. (1994) A Transferable Interatomic Potential Model for Crystals and Melts in the System CaO MgO-Al2O3-SiO2. *Mineral. Mag.* 58A, 571–572.
- McMillan P. (1984) Structural studies of silicate glasses and melts-applications and limitations of
   Raman spectroscopy. *Am. Mineral.* 69, 622–644.
- Méheut M., Lazzeri M., Balan E. and Mauri F. (2009) Structural control over equilibrium silicon and
   oxygen isotopic fractionation: A first-principles density-functional theory study. *Chem. Geol.* 258,
   28–37. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.051.
- 1077 Méheut M. and Schauble E. A. (2014) Silicon isotope fractionation in silicate minerals: Insights from
   1078 first-principles models of phyllosilicates, albite and pyrope. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 134, 137–
   1079 154. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.02.014.
- Meyer R. and Comtesse D. (2011) Vibrational density of states of silicon nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* 83, 1–6.
- Mysen B. O. (2006) The structural behavior of ferric and ferrous iron in aluminosilicate glass near meta aluminosilicate joins. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 2337–2353.
- 1084Mysen B. O., Lucier A. and Cody G. D. (2003) The structural behavior of Al3+ in peralkaline melts and1085glasses in the system Na2O-Al2O3-SiO2. Am. Mineral. 88, 1668–1678. Available at:1086https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2003-11-1206.
- 1087 Mysen B. and Richet P. (2019a) Properties of Aluminosilicate Systems., Silic. Glas. Melts, 263-300.
- 1088 Mysen B. and Richet P. (2019b) Structure of Iron Silicate Glasses and Melts. *Silic. Glas. Melts*, 403–430.
- Nebel O., Sossi P. A., Bénard A., Wille M., Vroon P. Z. and Arculus R. J. (2015) Redox-variability and
   controls in subduction zones from an iron-isotope perspective. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 432, 142–
   1091 151. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.09.036.
- 1092 Nebel O., Sossi P. A., Foden J., Bénard A., Brandl P. A., Stammeier J. A., Lupton J., Richter M. and Arculus
   1093 R. J. (2018) Iron isotope variability in ocean floor lavas and mantle sources in the Lau back-arc
   1094 basin. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 241, 150–163.
- 1095 Nosé S. (1984) A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. *J.* 1096 *Chem. Phys.* 81, 511–519. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447334.
- 1097 Oeser M., Dohmen R., Horn I., Schuth S. and Weyer S. (2015) Processes and time scales of magmatic
   1098 evolution as revealed by Fe-Mg chemical and isotopic zoning in natural olivines. *Geochim.* 1099 *Cosmochim. Acta* 154, 130–150. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.025.
- 1100Oeser M., Ruprecht P. and Weyer S. (2018) Combined Fe-Mg chemical and isotopic zoning in olivine1101constraining magma mixing-to-eruption timescales for the continental arc volcano Irazú (Costa1102Rica) and Cr diffusion in olivine. Am. Mineral. 103, 582–599.
- Oeser M., Weyer S., Horn I. and Schuth S. (2014) High-precision fe and mg isotope ratios of silicate
   reference glasses determined in situ by femtosecond LA-MC-ICP-MS and by solution nebulisation
   MC-ICP-MS. *Geostand. Geoanalytical Res.* 38, 311–328.
- Oestrike R., Yang W. hong, Kirkpatrick R. J., Hervig R. L., Navrotsky A. and Montez B. (1987) High resolution 23Na, 27Al and 29Si NMR spectroscopy of framework Aluminosilicate glasses.
   *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 51, 2199–2209.
- Perdew J. P., Burke K. and Ernzerhof M. (1996) Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865–3868.
- 1111Pichavant M. (2002) Physical conditions, structure, and dynamics of a zoned magma chamber: Mount1112Pelée (Martinique, Lesser Antilles Arc). J. Geophys. Res. 107.
- Pinilla C., Blanchard M., Balan E., Natarajan S. K., Vuilleumier R. and Mauri F. (2015) Equilibrium
   magnesium isotope fractionation between aqueous Mg2+ and carbonate minerals: Insights from
   path integral molecular dynamics. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 163, 126–139.
- Pinilla C., De Moya A., Rabin S., Morard G., Roskosz M. and Blanchard M. (2021) First-principles
   investigation of equilibrium iron isotope fractionation in Fe(1-x)Sx alloys at Earth's core formation
   conditions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 569, 117059.
- 1119 Poitrasson F. (2017) Silicon Isotope Geochemistry. *Rev. Mineral. Geochemistry* 82, 289–344. Available

- 1120 at: https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2017.82.8.
- 1121Poitrasson F. and Freydier R. (2005) Heavy iron isotope composition of granites determined by high1122resolutionMC-ICP-MS.Chem.Geol.222,132–147.Availableat:1123https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009254105002615.
- 1124Poitrasson F. and Zambardi T. (2015) An Earth-Moon silicon isotope model to track silicic magma1125origins.Geochim.Cosmochim.Acta167,301–312.Availableat:1126http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.07.005.
- 1127Polyakov V. B. and Mineev S. D. (2000) The use of Mossbauer spectroscopy in stable isotope1128geochemistry. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 64, 849–865.
- Prissel K. B., Krawczynski M. J., Nie N. X., Dauphas N., Couvy H., Hu M. Y., Alp E. E. and Roskosz M.
  (2018) Experimentally determined effects of olivine crystallization and melt titanium content on
  iron isotopic fractionation in planetary basalts. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 238, 580–598.
- 1132Qin T., Wu F., Wu Z. and Huang F. (2016) First-principles calculations of equilibrium fractionation of O1133and Si isotopes in quartz, albite, anorthite, and zircon. Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol. 171.
- Rabin S., Blanchard M., Pinilla C., Poitrasson F. and Grégoire M. (2021) First-principles calculation of
   iron and silicon isotope fractionation between Fe-bearing minerals at magmatic temperatures:
   The importance of second atomic neighbors. *Geochim. Acta* 304, 101–118.
- 1137Richter F. M., Davis A. M., DePaolo D. J. and Watson E. B. (2003) Isotope fractionation by chemical1138diffusion between molten basalt and rhyolite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 67, 3905–3923.
- Redlich O. (1935) Eine allgemeine beziehung zwischen den schwingungsfrequenzen isotoper molekeln.
   *Z Phys Chem* 28, 371–382.
- Roskosz M., Dauphas N., Hu J., Hu M. Y., Neuville D. R., Brown D., Bi W., Nie N. X., Zhao J. and Alp E. E.
  (2022) Structural, redox and isotopic behaviors of iron in geological silicate glasses: A NRIXS study
  of Lamb-Mössbauer factors and force constants. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 321, 184–205.
  Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2022.01.021.
- Roskosz M., Sio C. K. I., Dauphas N., Bi W., Tissot F. L. H., Hu M. Y., Zhao J. and Alp E. E. (2015) Spinelolivine-pyroxene equilibrium iron isotopic fractionation and applications to natural peridotites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 169, 184–199.
- 1148Rossano S., Ramos A. Y. and Delaye J. M. (2000) Environment of ferrous iron in CaFeSi2O6 glass;1149contributions of EXAFS and molecular dynamics. J. Non. Cryst. Solids 273, 48–52.
- 1150Savage P. S., Georg R. B., Williams H. M., Burton K. W. and Halliday A. N. (2011) Silicon isotope1151fractionation during magmatic differentiation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 6124–6139.1152Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.07.043.
- Savage P. S., Georg R. B., Williams H. M., Turner S., Halliday A. N. and Chappell B. W. (2012) The silicon
   isotope composition of granites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 92, 184–202. Available at:
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.06.017.
- Schoenberg R., Marks M. A. W., Schuessler J. A., von Blanckenburg F. and Markl G. (2009) Fe isotope
  systematics of coexisting amphibole and pyroxene in the alkaline igneous rock suite of the
  Ilímaussaq Complex, South Greenland. *Chem. Geol.* 258, 65–77. Available at:
  https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009254108002416 [Accessed April 26,
  2019].
- 1161Schuessler J. A., Schoenberg R. and Sigmarsson O. (2009) Iron and lithium isotope systematics of the1162Hekla volcano, Iceland Evidence for Fe isotope fractionation during magma differentiation.1163Chem. Geol. 258, 78–91. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.021.
- Shahar A., Young E. D. and Manning C. E. (2008) Equilibrium high-temperature Fe isotope fractionation
  between fayalite and magnetite: An experimental calibration. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 268, 330–
  338. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X08000435
  [Accessed April 26, 2019].
- 1168Sio C. K. I. and Dauphas N. (2017) Thermal and crystallization histories of magmatic bodies by Monte1169Carlo inversion of Mg-Fe isotopic profiles in olivine. *Geology* **45**, 67–70.
- 1170Sio C. K. I., Dauphas N., Teng F. Z., Chaussidon M., Helz R. T. and Roskosz M. (2013) Discerning crystal1171growth from diffusion profiles in zoned olivine by in situ Mg-Fe isotopic analyses. Geochim.

- 1172 *Cosmochim. Acta* **123**, 302–321. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.06.008.
- 1173Sio C. K., Roskosz M., Dauphas N., Bennett N. R., Mock T. and Shahar A. (2018) The isotope effect for1174Mg-Fe interdiffusion in olivine and its dependence on crystal orientation, composition and1175temperature. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta1176https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.06.024.
- Smith W., Yong C. W. and Rodger P. M. (2002) DL\_POLY: Application to molecular simulation. *Mol. Simul.* 28, 385–471. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/08927020290018769.
- Solomatova N. V. and Caracas R. (2021) Buoyancy and Structure of Volatile-Rich Silicate Melts. J.
   *Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 126, 1–14.
- 1181Solomatova N. V. and Caracas R. (2019) Pressure-Induced Coordination Changes in a Pyrolitic Silicate1182Melt From Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics Simulations. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 124, 11232–118311250.
- Sossi P. A., Foden J. D. and Halverson G. P. (2012) Redox-controlled iron isotope fractionation during
   magmatic differentiation: An example from the Red Hill intrusion, S. Tasmania. *Contrib. to Mineral. Petrol.* 164, 757–772.
- 1187Sossi P. A., Nebel O. and Foden J. (2016) Iron isotope systematics in planetary reservoirs. *Earth Planet.*1188Sci. Lett. **452**, 295–308. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.07.032.
- 1189Sossi P. A. and O'Neill H. S. C. (2017) The effect of bonding environment on iron isotope fractionation1190between minerals at high temperature. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 196, 121–143. Available at:1191https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703716305324.
- Spallanzani, R., Koga, K. T., Cichy, S. B., Wiedenbeck, M., Schmidt, B. C., Oelze, M., and Wilke, M.:
   Lithium and boron diffusivity and isotopic fractionation in hydrated rhyolitic melts, Contributions
   to Mineralogy and Petrology, 177, 74, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-022-01937-2, 2022.
- 1195Stein D. J. and Spera F. J. (1996) Molecular dynamics simulations of liquids and glasses in the system1196NaAlSiO4-SiO2: Physical properties and transport mechanisms. Am. Mineral. 81, 284–302.
- Telus M., Dauphas N., Moynier F., Tissot F. L. H., Teng F. Z., Nabelek P. I., Craddock P. R. and Groat L.
   A. (2012) Iron, zinc, magnesium and uranium isotopic fractionation during continental crust differentiation: The tale from migmatites, granitoids, and pegmatites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 97, 247–265.
- 1201Teng F.-Z., Dauphas N. and Helz R. T. (2008) Iron Isotope Fractionation During Magmatic Differentiation1202in Kilauea Iki Lava Lake. Science (80-. ).320, 1620–1622. Available at:1203http://science.sciencemag.org/content/320/5883/1620.abstract.
- 1204Teng F. Z., Dauphas N., Helz R. T., Gao S. and Huang S. (2011) Diffusion-driven magnesium and iron1205isotope fractionation in Hawaiian olivine. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **308**, 317–324. Available at:1206http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.06.003.
- 1207Togo A. and Tanaka I. (2015) First principles phonon calculations in materials science. Scr. Mater. 108,12081–5. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.07.021.
- Weyer S., Anbar A. D., Brey G. P., Münker C., Mezger K. and Woodland A. B. (2005) Iron isotope
   fractionation during planetary differentiation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 240, 251–264.
- Weyer S. and Ionov D. A. (2007) Partial melting and melt percolation in the mantle: The message from
   Fe isotopes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **259**, 119–133.
- 1213Weyer S. and Seitz H. M. (2012) Coupled lithium- and iron isotope fractionation during magmatic1214differentiation.Chem.Geol.294–295,42–50.Availableat:1215http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.11.020.
- 1216Williams H. M. and Bizimis M. (2014) Iron isotope tracing of mantle heterogeneity within the source1217regions of oceanic basalts. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **404**, 396–407. Available at:1218http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.07.033.
- Williams H. M., McCammon C. A., Peslier A. H., Halliday A. N., Teutsch N., Levasseur S. and Burg J.-P.
  (2004) Iron Isotope Fractionation and the Oxygen Fugacity of the Mantle. *Science (80-. ).* 304, 1656 LP 1659. Available at: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/304/5677/1656.abstract.
- Williams H. M., Nielsen S. G., Renac C., Griffin W. L., O'Reilly S. Y., McCammon C. A., Pearson N., Viljoen
   F., Alt J. C. and Halliday A. N. (2009) Fractionation of oxygen and iron isotopes by partial melting

- 1224processes: Implications for the interpretation of stable isotope signatures in mafic rocks. Earth1225Planet.Sci.Lett.283,156–166.Availableat:1226https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X0900226X.
- Williams H. M., Peslier A. H., McCammon C., Halliday A. N., Levasseur S., Teutsch N. and Burg J. P.
  (2005) Systematic iron isotope variations in mantle rocks and minerals: The effects of partial
  melting and oxygen fugacity. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 235, 435–452.
- Williams H. M., Prytulak J., Woodhead J. D., Kelley K. A., Brounce M. and Plank T. (2018) Interplay of
   crystal fractionation, sulfide saturation and oxygen fugacity on the iron isotope composition of
   arc lavas: An example from the Marianas. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 226, 224–243.
- Xia Y., Li S. and Huang F. (2017) Iron and Zinc isotope fractionation during magmatism in the
   continental crust: Evidence from bimodal volcanic rocks from Hailar basin, NE China. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 213, 35–46. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.06.018.
- I236 Zambardi T., Lundstrom C. C., Li X. and McCurry M. (2014) Fe and Si isotope variations at Cedar Butte
   volcano; insight into magmatic differentiation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 405, 169–179. Available at:
   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.08.020.
- 1239 1240





Figure 1 - Population analysis of the cation-oxygen coordination numbers for the different simulatedmelts.









Figure 3 -  $10^{3} \ln \beta$  as a function of the average force constant for iron (a) and silicon (b) at T = 1500K. Minerals in plain symbols  $10^{3}\ln\beta$  are taken from the first-principles study of Rabin et al. 2021. The linear fit for iron is f(x) =0.0019 x for melts and minerals. The linear fit for silicon is  $f(x) = 0.0046 \times for$  melts and minerals.













1266Figure 6 - Comparison of iron force constant of glasses (circles and upside-down triangles) under different oxygen1267fugacity measured experimentally by Dauphas et al. (2014) and Roskosz et al. (2022) with our calculated iron1268force constant for the different melts (triangles) versus SiO2 (in Wt %).





1271 Figure 7 - Relationship between the degree of polymerization (NBO/T) and the Fe force constant (a) or Si force constant (b) of the melts.





Figure 8 - Relationship between the average Fe-cation distance and the Fe force constant.



Figure 9 - Relationship between the average iron force constant and the SiO<sub>2</sub> (a), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (b), FeO (c), MgO (d),
 CaO (e) and Na<sub>2</sub>O (f) content of the melts.





Figure 10 - Relationship between the average silicon force constant and the SiO<sub>2</sub> (a), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (b), FeO (c), MgO (d), CaO (e) and Na<sub>2</sub>O (f) content of the melts.







1313DiopsideOrthoenstatiteOlivineAnorthiteAlbite1314Figure 12 - Comparison between  $\Delta_{melt-mineral}^{30}Si/^{28}Si$  calculated in this study and the ones estimated in Deng et al.13151315(2019) from Qin et al. (2016) at 1123 K. The melt β-factors used correspond to the basalt\_IR (this study; red) and1316MORB β-factors (Deng et al. 2019, Qin et al. 2016; orange) in figure a) and to the trachyte (this study; turquoise)1317and the granite (Deng et al. 2019, Qin et al. 2016; dark blue) β-factors in figure b). The minerals corresponding1318to the  $\Delta_{melt-mineral}^{30}Si/^{28}Si$  are displayed on the x-axis. Olivine corresponds here to forsterite (Mg2SiO4). The  $\Delta_{melt-1}$ 1319mineral  $^{30}Si/^{28}Si$  displayed in this figure are also available in Table S1 – Appendix B – Supplementary material.





1324 Figure 13 - Models of  $\delta^{57}$ Fe (a; blue line) and  $\delta^{30}$ Si (b; dark blue cross) evolution during magmatic differentiation 1325 : Models were obtained using the MCS software (Bohrson et al., 2014) with starting andesite composition (SiO<sub>2</sub>= 1326 58.23, TiO<sub>2</sub> = 0.94, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 16.94, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 0.03, FeO = 7.31, MgO = 3.99, CaO = 7.08, Na<sub>2</sub>O = 3.47, K<sub>2</sub>O = 1.57, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 1327 = 0.24,  $H_2O$  = 0.20) with an QFM buffer (Dauphas et al., 2014). Note that the "jumps" in the models correspond 1328 to abrupt changes in term of mineralogy during melt differentiation in the MCS software. The grey circles in the 1329 background correspond to  $\delta^{57}$ Fe (a) and  $\delta^{30}$ Si (b) from the literature (Foden et al., 2015; Poitrasson and Freydier, 1330 2005; Savage et al., 2011; Schuessler et al., 2009; Sossi et al., 2012; Telus et al., 2012; Teng et al., 2013; Williams 1331 et al., 2018; Zambardi et al., 2014, Savage et al., 2012; Poitrasson and Zambardi, 2015; Du et al., 2017; He et al., 1332 2017; Xia et al., 2017). The fractionation factor  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>min-melt</sub> ( $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>enstatite-trachyte;</sub>  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>diopside-trachyte;</sub>  $\Delta^{57}$ Fe<sub>ulvospinel-</sub> 1333 trachyte ;  $\Delta^{57}$ Femagnetite-trachyte) and  $\Delta^{30}$ Simin-melt ( $\Delta^{30}$ Sienstatite-trachyte;  $\Delta^{30}$ Sidiopside-trachyte;  $\Delta^{30}$ Sianorthite-trachyte ;  $\Delta^{30}$ Sialbite-trachyte; 1334  $\Delta^{30}$ Siguartz-trachyte ) used in these models are displayed in Table 7. The linear trends in b) represent the linear fit of 1335 bulk rock analyses obtained by Savage et al. (2011) in black and Zambardi et al. (2014) in green from natural 1336 magmatic rocks analysis as well as the linear trend of our fractional crystallization modelled value (in red). 1337

|               | nb atoms | V (ų)   | Density $oldsymbol{ ho}$ (g/cm³) | Pressure (GPa) | Temperature (K) |
|---------------|----------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Basalt_IR     | 100      | 1341.5  | 1.64                             | 1.1            | 4000            |
| Basalt_IR_183 | 183      | 2489.52 | 1.39                             | 0.9            | 4000            |
| Basalt_IR_HP  | 100      | 1079.03 | 1.98                             | 11.7           | 4000            |
| Basalt_ID     | 100      | 1289.51 | 1.66                             | 0.7            | 4000            |
| Basanite      | 110      | 1366.48 | 1.78                             | 1.2            | 4000            |
| Trachyte      | 104      | 1355.82 | 1.68                             | 1.8            | 4000            |
| Phonolite     | 102      | 1248.44 | 1.82                             | 0.9            | 4000            |

1343

Table 1 - Characteristics of the modeled melts. The pressure given for each melt represents the mean pressure 1344 of each FPMD trajectories. The temperature is the target temperature of the FPMD simulations. Basalt IR 183

1345

and Basalt\_IR\_HP have the same composition as the iron-rich basalt Basalt\_IR with either a larger simulation 1346 cell (183 atoms) or a higher pressure (12 GPa). Basalt\_ID is the iron depleted basalt.

1347

Basalt\_IR Basalt\_ID **Basanite** Trachyte Phonolite SiO<sub>2</sub> 50.54 62.20 49.09 48.75 56.48  $AI_2O_3$ 13.89 14.30 16.55 16.83 23.15 FeO<sub>T</sub> 13.05 6.72 14.57 6.79 3.60 0.00 MgO 10.98 15.07 7.19 CaO 10.18 10.48 9.10 2.66 0.00 Na<sub>2</sub>O 2.81 2.90 3.87 5.81 10.29  $K_2O$ 5.71 6.48 -\_ -Fe mean valence 2.3 2.37 2.36 2.33 2.28 NBO/T 1 0.71 0.29 0.28 1

1348 Table 2 - Composition in wt % and degree of polymerization (NBO/T) of the modeled melts as well as the mean

1349 valence of iron atoms in the melts that correspond to the Born effective charge calculated in VASP. See Table 1 1350 for sample denomination.

1351

|            | Ca-O |      | Ca-O Na-O |      |       | 0    | Fe-O |      | Mg-O |      | Al-O |      | Si-O |      |
|------------|------|------|-----------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|            | CN   | d(Å) | CN        | d(Å) | CN    | d(Å) | CN   | d(Å) | CN   | d(Å) | CN   | d(Å) | CN   | d(Å) |
| Basalt_IR  | 6.92 | 2.28 | 6.55      | 2.30 | -     | -    | 3.91 | 1.76 | 5.31 | 1.99 | 4.57 | 1.77 | 4.11 | 1.64 |
| Basalt_183 | 6.88 | 2.26 | 6.43      | 2.28 | -     | -    | 3.95 | 1.78 | 5.25 | 1.97 | 4.49 | 1.77 | 4.07 | 1.64 |
| Basalt_HP  | 9.53 | 2.24 | 9.27      | 2.24 | -     | -    | 5.08 | 1.80 | 7.12 | 1.95 | 5.45 | 1.76 | 4.64 | 1.64 |
| Basalt_ID  | 6.95 | 2.28 | 6.24      | 2.35 | -     | -    | 4.37 | 1.94 | 5.27 | 1.99 | 4.59 | 1.77 | 4.09 | 1.63 |
| Basanite   | 7.48 | 2.31 | 7.76      | 2.30 | -     | -    | 4.73 | 1.90 | 5.32 | 1.98 | 4.75 | 1.77 | 4.17 | 1.64 |
| Trachyte   | 6.49 | 2.25 | 6.80      | 2.32 | 10.28 | 2.68 | 3.74 | 1.85 | -    | -    | 4.66 | 1.81 | 4.08 | 1.63 |
| Phonolite  | -    | -    | 7.71      | 2.25 | 11.42 | 2.65 | 5.11 | 1.63 | -    | -    | 4.73 | 1.78 | 4.23 | 1.64 |

1352 Table 3 - Cation-oxygen coordination number as well as the average cation-oxygen bond length of the modeled

1353 melts. See Table 1 for sample denomination.

|       | Basalt_IR |      | Basa | lt_ID | Basa | nite | Trac | hyte | Phonolite |      |  |
|-------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|--|
|       | d(Å)      | CN   | d(Å) | CN    | d(Å) | CN   | d(Å) | CN   | d(Å)      | CN   |  |
| Fe-Ca | 3.37      | 1.27 | 3.37 | 1.13  | 3.50 | 1.49 | -    | -    | -         | -    |  |
| Fe-Na | 3.35      | 0.64 | 3.09 | 1.14  | 3.30 | 0.91 | 3.09 | 0.63 | 3.09      | 1.27 |  |
| Fe-K  | -         | -    | -    | -     | -    | -    | -    | -    | 3.59      | 2.07 |  |
| Fe-Fe | 2.25      | 1.21 | 6.09 | -     | 2.49 | 1.24 | 3.06 | -    | -         | -    |  |
| Fe-Mg | 2.98      | 1.43 | 3.34 | 2.07  | 3.15 | 1.05 | -    | -    | -         | -    |  |
| Fe-Al | 3.10      | 1.06 | 3.05 | 1.29  | 3.01 | 1.79 | 3.10 | 1.68 | 3.17      | 3.09 |  |
| Fe-Si | 3.09      | 3.02 | 3.08 | 4.06  | 3.15 | 4.19 | 2.97 | 4.11 | 3.00      | 4.30 |  |
|       |           |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |           |      |  |
| Si-Ca | 3.36      | 1.30 | 3.17 | 1.38  | 3.26 | 1.11 | 3.24 | 0.37 | -         | -    |  |
| Si-Na | 3.36      | 0.76 | 3.30 | 0.51  | 3.22 | 1.16 | 3.30 | 1.17 | 3.20      | 2.49 |  |
| Si-Fe | 3.09      | 0.68 | 3.10 | 0.56  | 3.15 | 1.21 | 2.95 | 0.38 | 3.02      | 0.22 |  |
| Si-Mg | 3.20      | 1.70 | 3.23 | 1.80  | 3.15 | 1.02 | -    | -    | -         | -    |  |
| Si-Al | 3.06      | 1.30 | 3.12 | 0.65  | 3.12 | 1.56 | 3.11 | 1.42 | 3.09      | 1.69 |  |
| Si-Si | 3.04      | 2.36 | 3.06 | 1.22  | 3.04 | 2.31 | 3.04 | 2.88 | 3.02      | 2.81 |  |
| Si-K  | -         | -    | -    | -     | -    | -    | 3.58 | 2.16 | 3.50      | 2.80 |  |

1356Table 4 - Iron-cation and silicon-cation coordination numbers and their corresponding average distance in the1357modeled melts. See Table 1 for sample denomination.

1358

|           |         | 10 <sup>3</sup> ln β <sup>57</sup> | ′Fe/⁵⁴Fe          |        | 10 <sup>3</sup> | In β <sup>30</sup> Si/ <sup>28</sup> | Si              |
|-----------|---------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
|           | А       | В                                  | Value at 1500 K   | А      | В               | С                                    | Value at 1500 K |
| Basalt_IR | -0.0059 | 1.0332                             | $0.461 \pm 0.031$ | 0.0028 | -0.1474         | 6.9342                               | 3.073 ± 0.058   |
| Basalt_ID | -0.0035 | 0.7269                             | 0.324 ± 0.015     | 0.0031 | -0.1569         | 7.2036                               | 3.202 ± 0.056   |
| Basanite  | -0.0061 | 0.8986                             | 0.406 ± 0.057     | 0.0031 | -0.1578         | 7.1926                               | 3.191 ± 0.062   |
| Trachyte  | -0.0044 | 0.8178                             | 0.365 ± 0.041     | 0.0033 | -0.165          | 7.4202                               | 3.290 ± 0.047   |
| Phonolite | -0.0155 | 1.8063                             | 0.813 ± 0.084     | 0.0033 | -0.1643         | 7.334                                | 3.254 ± 0.081   |

<sup>1359</sup> 

1360 Table 5 - Polynomial fits of the reduced partition function ratios for  ${}^{57}$ Fe/ ${}^{54}$ Fe and  ${}^{30}$ Si/ ${}^{28}$ Si. Polynomial fits use

1361 equation of type  $Ax^2 + Bx$  for  $10^3 \ln\beta^{57}$ Fe<sup>-54</sup>Fe and  $Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx$  for  $10^3 \ln\beta^{30}$ Si<sup>-28</sup>Si with x =  $10^6/T^2$  for T between

1362 0 and 1500 K. See Table 1 for sample denomination.

|            | Fe force con        | Si force constant |                     |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|            | « Snapshot » method | « VAF » method    | « Snapshot » method |
| Basalt_IR  | 243 ± 17            | 235 ± 24          | 669 ± 11            |
| Basalt_ID  | 171 ± 8             | 193 ± 19          | 695 ± 13            |
| Basanite   | 196 ± 13            | 196 ± 23          | 687 ± 8             |
| Phonolite  | 403 ± 44            | 290 ± 99          | 700 ± 15            |
| Trachyte   | 191 ± 20            | 159 ± 38          | 716 ± 10            |
| Basalt_HP  | -                   | 269 ± 33          | -                   |
| Basalt_183 | -                   | 222 ± 12          | -                   |

1364

1365 Table 6 - Comparison of the iron average force constants of the melts (in N/m) using either the "snapshot"

method or the "VAF" method as well as the silicon force constants of the melts using the "snapshot" method.See Table 1 for sample denomination.

| Δ <sub>A-B</sub> <sup>57</sup> Fe/ <sup>54</sup> Fe |           |                |        |     | Δ <sub>A-B</sub> <sup>29</sup> Si/ <sup>30</sup> Si |  |         |                |                |        |     |       |
|---|-----------|----------------|--------|-----|---|--|---------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|-------|
|   | $C_1$     | C <sub>2</sub> | at 1   | 500 | ) К   |  | C1      | C <sub>2</sub> | C <sub>3</sub> | at 1   | 150 | 0 K   |
| $\Delta_{Aegirine\text{-}Basalt\_IR}$               | 0.0013    | -0.0081        | -0.003 | ±   | 0.04  | $\Delta_{Aegirine-Basalt_IR}$              | -0.0003 | 0.0128         | -0.1088        | -0.046 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{\text{Enstatite-Basalt}\_\text{IR}}$       | 0.0028    | -0.32          | -0.142 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Enstatite-Basalt_IR}$             | 0.0001  | -0.0029        | 0.229          | 0.101  | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Forsterite-Basalt\_IR}$                    | 0.0036    | -0.3385        | -0.150 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Forsterite-Basalt_IR}$            | 0.0004  | -0.0035        | 0.2951         | 0.130  | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Augite\text{-}Basalt\_IR}$                 | 0.0038    | -0.4266        | -0.189 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{\text{Augite-Basalt}\_\text{IR}}$ | -0.0002 | 0.0077         | 0.0022         | 0.002  | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{Hortonolite\text{-}Basalt\_IR}$            | 0.0039    | -0.4042        | -0.179 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Hortonolite-Basalt_IR}$           | -0.0003 | 0.01           | 0.074          | 0.035  | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Basalt_IR}$                   | 0.0043    | -0.4329        | -0.192 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Basalt_IR}$          | -0.0002 | 0.0067         | -0.0376        | -0.015 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{Fayalite-Basalt_IR}$                       | 0.0047    | -0.5601        | -0.248 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Fayalite-Basalt_IR}$              | -0.0007 | 0.0268         | -0.515         | -0.224 | ±   | 0.14  |
| $\Delta_{	extsf{Diopside-Basalt_IR}}$               | 0.0039    | -0.3884        | -0.172 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{	ext{Diopside-Basalt}_IR}$        | 0.0004  | 0.000009       | 0.1026         | 0.046  | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Ulvospinel\text{-}Basalt\_IR}$             | 0.0033    | -0.3104        | -0.137 | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Forsterite}$ -Mg-Basalt_IR        | 0.0001  | -0.0092        | 0.4385         | 0.193  | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Magnetite\text{-}Basalt\_IR}$              | 0.0023    | -0.0476        | -0.021 | ±   | 0.04  | $\Delta_{Quartz-Basalt_IR}$                | 0.0062  | -0.0694        | 0.7804         | 0.334  | ±   | 0.17  |
|   |           |                |        |     |   | $\Delta_{Anorthite-Basalt_IR}$             | 0.0059  | -0.066         | 0.7351         | 0.314  | ±   | 0.17  |
|   |           |                |        |     |   | $\Delta_{Albite-Basalt_IR}$                | 0.0055  | -0.0609        | 0.6467         | 0.276  | ±   | 0.171 |
| $\Delta_{Aegirine-Basalt_ID}$                       | -0.0011   | 0.2982         | 0.132  | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Aegirine-Basalt_ID}$              | -0.0006 | 0.0223         | -0.3782        | -0.164 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{\text{Enstatite-Basalt_ID}}$               | 0.0004    | -0.0137        | -0.006 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Enstatite-Basalt_ID}$             | -0.0002 | 0.0066         | -0.0404        | -0.017 | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Forsterite-Basalt_ID}$                     | 0.0012    | -0.0322        | -0.014 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Forsterite-Basalt_ID}$            | -0.0003 | 0.006          | 0.0257         | 0.013  | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Augite-Basalt_ID}$                         | 0.0014    | -0.1203        | -0.053 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Augite-Basalt_ID}$                | -0.0005 | 0.0172         | -0.2672        | -0.115 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{Hortonolite-Basalt\_ID}$                   | 0.0015    | -0.0979        | -0.043 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Hortonolite-Basalt_ID}$           | -0.0006 | 0.0195         | -0.3434        | -0.149 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Basalt_ID}$                   | 0.0019    | -0.1266        | -0.056 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Basalt_ID}$          | -0.0005 | 0.0162         | -0.307         | -0.133 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{Fayalite-Basalt_ID}$                       | 0.0023    | -0.2538        | -0.112 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Fayalite-Basalt_ID}$              | -0.001  | 0.0363         | -0.7844        | -0.342 | ±   | 0.14  |
| $\Delta_{\text{Diopside-Basalt}_{ID}}$              | 0.0015    | -0.0821        | -0.036 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Diopside}$ -Basalt_ID             | -0.0003 | 0.0095         | -0.1668        | -0.072 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{UIvospinel\text{-}Basalt\_ID}$             | 0.0009    | -0.0041        | -0.002 | ±   | 0.02  | $\Delta_{Forsterite-Mg-Basalt_ID}$         | -0.0002 | 0.0003         | 0.1691         | 0.075  | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Magnetite\text{-}Basalt\_ID}$              | -0.000056 | 0.258656       | 0.115  | ±   | 0.03  | $\Delta_{Quartz-Basalt_ID}$                | 0.0059  | -0.0599        | 0.511          | 0.216  | ±   | 0.17  |
|   |           |                |        |     |   | $\Delta_{Anorthite-Basalt_ID}$             | 0.0052  | -0.0514        | 0.3773         | 0.158  | ±   | 0.17  |
|   |           |                |        |     |   | $\Delta_{Albite-Basalt_ID}$                | 0.0056  | -0.0565        | 0.4657         | 0.196  | ±   | 0.17  |
| $\Delta_{Aegirine-Basanite}$                        | 0.0015    | 0.1265         | 0.057  | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Aegirine-Basanite}$               | -0.0006 | 0.0232         | -0.3672        | -0.159 | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Enstatite-Basanite}$                       | 0.003     | -0.1854        | -0.082 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Enstatite-Basanite}$              | -0.0002 | 0.0075         | -0.0294        | -0.012 | ±   | 0.17  |
| $\Delta_{Forsterite-Basanite}$                      | 0.0038    | -0.2039        | -0.090 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Forsterite-Basanite}$             | -0.0003 | 0.0069         | 0.0367         | 0.018  | ±   | 0.17  |
| $\Delta_{Augite-Basanite}$                          | 0.004     | -0.292         | -0.129 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Augite-Basanite}$                 | -0.0005 | 0.0181         | -0.2562        | -0.110 | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Hortonolite-Basanite}$                     | 0.0041    | -0.2538        | -0.112 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Hortonolite-Basanite}$            | -0.0006 | 0.0204         | -0.3324        | -0.144 | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Basanite}$                    | 0.0045    | -0.2983        | -0.132 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Basanite}$           | -0.0005 | 0.0171         | -0.296         | -0.128 | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{Fayalite-Basanite}$                        | 0.0049    | -0.4255        | -0.188 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Fayalite-Basanite}$               | -0.001  | 0.0372         | -0.7734        | -0.336 | ±   | 0.15  |
| $\Delta_{	ext{Diopside-Basanite}}$                  | 0.0041    | -0.2538        | -0.112 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Diopside}$ -Basanite              | -0.0003 | 0.0104         | -0.1558        | -0.067 | ±   | 0.16  |
| $\Delta_{UIvospineI-Basanite}$                      | 0.0035    | -0.1758        | -0.077 | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Forsterite}$ -Mg-Basanite         | -0.0002 | 0.0012         | 0.1801         | 0.080  | ±   | 0.17  |
| $\Delta_{Magnetite-Basanite}$                       | 0.0025    | 0.087          | 0.039  | ±   | 0.06  | $\Delta_{Quartz-Basanite}$                 | 0.0059  | -0.059         | 0.522          | 0.221  | ±   | 0.18  |
|   |           |                |        |     |   | $\Delta_{Anorthite-Basanite}$              | 0.0052  | -0.0505        | 0.3883         | 0.163  | ±   | 0.18  |
|   |           |                |        |     |   | $\Delta_{Albite-Basanite}$                 | 0.0056  | -0.056         | 0.4767         | 0.201  | ±   | 0.18  |

1370 Table 7 - Polynomial fits of iron and silicon fractionation factors between various minerals and melts.

1371 Polynomial fits use equation of type  $C_1x^2 + C_2x$  for  $\Delta^{57}Fe^{-54}Fe$  and  $C_1x^3 + C_2x^2 + C_3x$  for  $\Delta^{30}Si^{-28}Si$  with  $x = 10^6/T^2$ 

for T between 0 and 1500 K. The iron and silicon  $\beta$ -factor of minerals were taken from Rabin et al. (2021) for all

1373 minerals except quartz, albite and anorthite that were taken from Qin et al. (2016).

| 1 | 374 |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 1 | 375 |  |

| $\Delta_{A-B}$ 57Fe/54Fe            |         |                |        |     |      | Δ <sub>A-B</sub> <sup>29</sup> Si/ <sup>30</sup> Si |         |                |                |        |        |  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------|--------|-----|------|---|---------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|--|
| А                                   | C1      | C <sub>2</sub> | at 1   | 500 | ) К  | А   | C1      | C <sub>2</sub> | C <sub>3</sub> | at 15  | 00 K   |  |
| $\Delta_{Aegirine-Trachyte}$        | -0.0002 | 0.2073         | 0.092  | ±   | 0.05 | $\Delta_{Aegirine-Trachyte}$                        | -0.0008 | 0.0304         | -0.5948        | -0.258 | ± 0.15 |  |
| $\Delta_{Enstatite}$ -Trachyte      | 0.0013  | -0.1046        | -0.046 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{Enstatite}$ -Trachyte                      | -0.0004 | 0.0147         | -0.257         | -0.111 | ± 0.16 |  |
| $\Delta_{Forsterite-Trachyte}$      | 0.0021  | -0.1231        | -0.054 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{Forsterite}$ -Trachyte                     | -0.0005 | 0.0141         | -0.1909        | -0.082 | ± 0.16 |  |
| $\Delta_{Augite-Trachyte}$          | 0.0023  | -0.2112        | -0.093 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{Augite-Trachyte}$                          | -0.0007 | 0.0253         | -0.4838        | -0.210 | ± 0.16 |  |
| $\Delta_{Hortonolite}$ -Trachyte    | 0.0024  | -0.1888        | -0.083 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{ m Hortonolite-Trachyte}$                  | -0.0008 | 0.0276         | -0.56          | -0.244 | ± 0.15 |  |
| $\Delta_{Hedenbergite-Trachyte}$    | 0.0028  | -0.2175        | -0.096 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{Hedenbergite}$ -Trachyte                   | -0.0007 | 0.0243         | -0.5236        | -0.228 | ± 0.16 |  |
| $\Delta_{Fayalite}$ -Trachyte       | 0.0032  | -0.3447        | -0.153 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{Fayalite}$ -Trachyte                       | -0.0012 | 0.0444         | -1.001         | -0.436 | ± 0.15 |  |
| $\Delta_{\text{Diopside-Trachyte}}$ | 0.0024  | -0.173         | -0.076 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{Diopside}$ -Trachyte                       | -0.0005 | 0.0176         | -0.3834        | -0.167 | ± 0.16 |  |
| $\Delta_{Ulvospinel}$ -Trachyte     | 0.0018  | -0.095         | -0.042 | ±   | 0.04 | $\Delta_{	extsf{Forsterite-Mg-Trachyte}}$           | -0.0004 | 0.0084         | -0.0475        | -0.019 | ± 0.17 |  |
| $\Delta_{Magnetite}$ -Trachyte      | 0.0008  | 0.1678         | 0.075  | ±   | 0.05 | $\Delta_{Quartz	ext{-Trachyte}}$                    | 0.0057  | -0.0518        | 0.2944         | 0.121  | ± 0.17 |  |
|                                     |         |                |        |     |      | $\Delta_{Anorthite}$ -Trachyte                      | 0.005   | -0.0433        | 0.1607         | 0.063  | ± 0.17 |  |
|                                     |         |                |        |     |      | $\Delta_{Albite}$ -Trachyte                         | 0.0054  | -0.0484        | 0.2491         | 0.102  | ± 0.17 |  |
|                                     |         |                |        |     |      |   |         |                |                |        |        |  |

1377 Table 7 – Suite.