



HAL
open science

Measuring the Sixth Extinction: what do mollusks tell us?

Claire Régnier, Benoit Fontaine, Robert H Cowie, Philippe Bouchet

► **To cite this version:**

Claire Régnier, Benoit Fontaine, Robert H Cowie, Philippe Bouchet. Measuring the Sixth Extinction: what do mollusks tell us?. *The Nautilus*, 2017. hal-03864260

HAL Id: hal-03864260

<https://hal.science/hal-03864260v1>

Submitted on 21 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Measuring the Sixth Extinction: what do mollusks tell us?

Robert H. Cowie

Pacific Biosciences Research Center
University of Hawaii

Honolulu, HI 96822 USA
75005, FRANCE

Claire Re'gnier

Benoi't Fontaine
Philippe Bouchet

Muse'um national d'Histoire naturelle cowie@hawaii.edu Paris

ABSTRACT

The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) is the premier global biodiversity conservation organization. Its Red List is a rigorous vehicle for assessing the conservation status of plant and animal species. However, although all animal and bird species recognized by IUCN have been evaluated, only a tiny fraction of invertebrates have been evaluated. As a measure of the numbers of extinct species (since around the year 1500) the Red List is probably quite accurate for birds and mammals, but severely underestimates the numbers for invertebrates. Nonetheless, molluscs stand out as the major group most severely impacted by extinction, with 297 of the 744 animal species listed as extinct in the third issue of the 2016 Red List. Here we review efforts to obtain a more realistic, albeit less rigorous, assessment of the numbers of extinct mollusk species. Our approach has been based on bibliographic research and consultation with experts, rather than following the highly detailed but restrictive IUCN Categories and Criteria. In 2009, this led to an assessment that 533 mollusk species were extinct, far more than the number on the Red List. In the present study we revisited this approach and here list 638 species as extinct, 380 as possibly extinct, and 14 as extinct in the wild, a total of 1,032 species in these combined categories, and more than twice as many as listed by IUCN in these categories. However, this approach only considers species for which information is available; it is therefore biased. In a study published in 2015 we developed an alternative approach, based on a random global sample of land snails, and estimated that 3,000–5,100 mollusk species have gone extinct. We review the main reasons for these extinctions: habitat destruction, impacts of introduced species, exploitation and collecting, and, potentially, climate change, and discuss relevant case studies. Oceanic island land snails, especially those of Pacific islands, have suffered the greatest proportion of the extinctions, with some species having gone extinct before being discovered and described scientifically. The Amastridae, an endemic Hawaiian family of 325 recognized species, may have lost all but 18 species. We outline the phases in this catastrophe: 1) pre-human and/or prehistoric extinction, either natural or anthropogenic, with species known only as fossils/subfossils; 2) extinction due to habitat destruction and introduction of a number of alien species by Pacific island people as they settled the islands; 3) extinction due to extensive habitat destruction and introduction of highly destructive invasive alien species following colonization by Westerners; 4) extinction following the advent of large-scale agriculture at the end of the 19th Century, at the time of a major increase in the land snail extinction rate globally; 5) extinction due to increased military activity, tourism, commerce, urbanization and the concomitant rapidly increasing introduction of invasive species after the Second World War. Extrapolating from our assessments of mollusks, we estimate that approximately 7.5–13% of all species have gone extinct since

around year 1500. This is orders of magnitude greater than the 860 (0.04% of 2 million) listed as extinct by IUCN (2016). The biodiversity crisis is real.

Additional Keywords: Amastridae, biodiversity crisis, bivalves, Euglandina, Gambier Islands, Hawaii, IUCN, Melanopsis, Mollusca, non-marine, Powelliphanta, Rhachistia aldabrae, Red List, snails

INTRODUCTION

Over a decade ago, Lydeard et al. (2004) published a key paper outlining the decline of non-marine mollusks, the threats they face, and the high level of extinction compared with other major animal groups that had been documented as of 2002 by the International Union for Conservation of Nature on its Red List. The Red List program was initiated in 1964 and mollusks were first included in it in 1983, when 28 species were listed as extinct (Wells et al., 1983). The Red List only considers extinctions in modern historical times, from around the year 1500. Following the realization that an ill-conceived biological control program had caused the extinction in the wild of the entire fauna of partulid tree snails on the island of Moorea in French Polynesia (Murray et al., 1988), more effort was put into documenting mollusk extinctions on the Red List. A Moorean partulid appeared on the front cover of the 1990 Red List (IUCN, 1990), and when the 1994 Red List (Groombridge, 1994) was published, 255 species were listed as extinct. The number has gradually increased and the most recent Red List (IUCN, 2016) lists 297 mollusks as Extinct out of a total of 860 extinct species listed.

If we accept a figure of 2 million described species (Chapman (2009) estimated 1.9 million, and IISE (2017) documents a current yearly increment of around 18,000 newly described species; the Red List accepts 1,736,081 species), this means that between one and two species have gone extinct per year since 1500, the year from which IUCN starts counting, or about 0.8 species extinctions per million species years (E/MSY). The background rate, based on the fossil record, is around 0.1–2.0 E/MSY (Ceballos et al., 2015). That the rate documented by IUCN is within the estimated range of the background rate has provided support for the suggestion by environmental skeptics (e.g., Lomborg, 2001) that there is no “Biodiversity Crisis”, despite the views of many scientists

and the media publicity surrounding the notion of the “Sixth Extinction”, caused by human activities (Novacek, 2001; Leakey and Lewin, 1996). So the question becomes, is there really a crisis or is it a false or exaggerated claim by environmental activists and scientists with an arguably political agenda?

The key question to ask in trying to resolve this conflict is: how accurate really are the IUCN extinction data? This review summarizes the approaches that have been developed since the review of Lydeard et al. (2004) and that have attempted to begin to answer this question (Re’gnier et al., 2009, 2015a). It updates the assessments of Re’gnier et al. (2009) and reviews a case study of a Hawaiian land snail family, the Amastridae, that used these new approaches to obtain a realistic assessment of extinction (Re’gnier et al., 2015b).

IS THE IUCN RED LIST APPROPRIATE FOR ASSESSING EXTINCTION RATE?

The IUCN has assessed 85,604 species (IUCN, 2016). This represents a huge amount of detailed work by dedicated biologists, but nonetheless represents only 4.3% of the total 2 million animal and plant species. Although a small sample of overall biodiversity, if it were a random sample, some confidence could perhaps be placed in its assessment of extinction rate. However, it is not a random but a highly biased sample.

IUCN (2016) estimated that there are 5,567 known mammal species and 11,121 known bird species, total 16,688, although Chapman (2009) estimated 5,487 and 9,990, total 15,477, probably a result of differing taxonomic treatments and estimation protocols. IUCN (2016) has assessed all mammal and bird species that it recognizes. Of these, only 849 (5%) were placed in the IUCN category “data deficient”, that is, they lacked sufficient information to assess their conservation status according to the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria

about 1.31 million (IUCN, 2016) or 1.5 million species (1.36 million of Chapman (2009) extrapolated by an annual increment of 1%). Only 18,609 of these species have been assessed, 1.2% of the total, with 7,205 (39%) of these deemed data deficient. Why is this? There are two main reasons: 1) taxonomic bias, and 2) the related relative difficulty of obtaining adequate data to assess the conservation status of invertebrates compared to vertebrates according to the IUCN criteria.

TAXONOMIC BIAS

There are on average many specialists able to assess the conservation status of each mammal or bird species. As most mammal and bird species have been discovered and described, these specialists are primarily field biologists working on ecology, population biology, behavior, etc. In contrast, most invertebrate specialists are taxonomists or systematists (in the broad sense including those studying biogeography, phylogenetics, and the assessment of biodiversity), and most of these systematists each deal with tens to hundreds of species. There are roughly equal numbers of specialists focused on vertebrates, on plants and on invertebrates, yet plant species are roughly ten times, and invertebrates a hundred times, more numerous than vertebrates (Gaston and May, 1992; May, 2011).

This bias and the 100-fold greater relative number of vertebrate specialists compared to invertebrate specialists is reflected in the numbers of IUCN Species Survival Commission Specialist Groups focused on particular taxa: 73 for vertebrates and only 12 for invertebrates, with only one for the entire phylum Mollusca (Table 1). In contrast, many of the vertebrate Specialist Groups are focused on just one or a few species (e.g., African elephant, hyaenas, vultures, pelicans, etc.).

IUCN CRITERIA

The IUCN criteria are detailed and complex. They include precise quantitative determinations of remaining numbers

| Vertebrates | No. of Groups | Invertebrates | No. of Groups |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Mammals | 35 | Insects | 4 |
| Birds | 16 | Other arthropods | 3 |
| Reptiles/amphibians | 12 | Coral | 1 |
| Fish | 10 | Mollusks | 1 |
| | | Geography/habitat | 3 |
| Total | 73 | Total | 12 |

Table 1. Number of IUCN Specialist Groups for animals. (IUCN, 2012). Thus, the number of extinctions (239) listed for mammals and birds by IUCN (2016) is probably quite accurate.

However, the situation is very different for invertebrates, which constitute > 95% of described animal diversity,

of individuals, life history details, area occupied, trends in abundances and range and many other parameters that are precisely defined. This detail and precision was developed by IUCN in response to criticism that its assessments were too qualitative and subjective, indeed secretive (e.g., Mrosovsky, 1997). All mammal and bird species have been evaluated based on these stringent criteria, with very few

species considered Data Deficient. This has been possible because, as explained above, there are many specialists in the field generating the kind of data that are required.

In contrast, for the great majority of invertebrate species, few data relevant to the IUCN criteria exist other than what are available in the original descriptions (type localities and little else) and perhaps a small number of subsequent publications. Most of the field research of any relevance is undertaken as part of biodiversity inventories, the discovery and subsequent description of the vast number of species as yet unknown to science, or indeed to humanity.

MOLLUSKS ASSESSED BY IUCN

The most careful estimate of the number of described mollusk species (Rosenberg, 2014) suggested that there are 70,000–76,000, although IUCN (2016) estimated 85,000, following Chapman (2009). Compared to other invertebrate groups, a relatively high proportion of mollusk species has been assessed: 7,276 species (IUCN, 2016), or roughly 8.5–10%. However, in contrast to mammals and birds, a high proportion of these species was assessed as Data Deficient (2,463 species, 34%), for lack of adequate information addressing the IUCN criteria.

The Red List (IUCN, 2016) lists 860 species (744 animals, 116 plants) as Extinct, including 297 mollusk species. Mollusks, despite the small proportion of them that has been assessed, thus represent 35% of all species extinctions and 40% of animal extinctions, as reported by IUCN.

ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES TO ASSESSING MOLLUSK EXTINCTIONS

RE'GNIER ET AL. (2009)

Given the shortcomings of the Red List in assessing the level of extinction of invertebrates overall, alternative approaches have been sought. Re'gnier et al. (2009) re-evaluated mollusk species listed as Extinct on the Red List of 2007 based on a review of the literature and by asking a cadre of biologists with expert knowledge to provide their opinion on the veracity of the Red List assessments. This literature review and gathering of expert knowledge also identified additional species not on the Red List but either documented in the literature as extinct or simply known to the experts as extinct. Some of the species listed as extinct were considered in fact not to be so, either because they had been found alive since being listed, or because they had been synonymized with extant species; and two species were excluded as being *nomina dubia*. Despite this reduction, from 302 species listed to 269 considered in fact to be extinct, overall the number of species considered extinct (both listed and not) increased to 533, including those considered Extinct in the Wild (13) and those considered “possibly extinct” (71) (Re'gnier et al., 2009:

Supporting Information online), roughly twice as many as correctly considered Extinct on the Red List. Re'gnier et al. (2009) also listed 33 subspecies (including 5 extinct in the wild and 1 possibly extinct), for a total of 566 taxa.

UPDATE OF RE'GNIER ET AL. (2009)

Following the same approach as that of Re'gnier et al. (2009), i.e., literature search and expert consultation (see the Acknowledgements for the names of the experts who provided information), we have updated the list of species considered extinct. We took the most recent evaluation of each species as representing its current status, which was either the most recent IUCN evaluation as listed in the Red List (IUCN, 2016), Re'gnier et al. (2009), or our own literature/expert consultation. We excluded species listed as Extinct, Possibly Extinct, or Extinct in the Wild on the Red List and/or by Re'gnier et al. (2009) if they are now thought to be extant (Appendix Table A1). We also did not consider subspecies, neither those recognized in the Red List nor those listed by Re'gnier et al. (2009). Subspecies and synonyms that have been recognized in the literature subsequently but that are still retained as valid species on the Red List, as well as undescribed species listed with provisional names on the Red List, were also excluded (Appendix Table A2).

Of the 297 species listed as Extinct on the Red List, we considered six as now only Possibly Extinct, three as Extinct in the Wild, 20 as extant, and 11 that have now been considered synonyms, subspecies, *nomina dubia*, or unrankable. Of the 124 listed as “Critically Endangered (Possibly Extinct)” on the Red List, three are known to be extant, six are considered as now extinct, with a further five (Galapagos *Bulimulus* species) undescribed, five synonymized, and five unrankable. And of the 14 listed as extinct in the wild, we considered one to be extant in the wild and five to now be extinct. Thus, excluding undescribed species and species still listed as valid on the Red List but that have been synonymized, reduced to subspecies, considered unrankable, or are now thought to be extant, the current Red List (IUCN, 2016), in our view correctly lists 386 valid species in the combined categories of Extinct, Critically Endangered (Possibly Extinct), and Extinct in the Wild, which is 44 species fewer than the 430 actually listed by IUCN (Table 2).

The additional information derived from the literature search and expert consultation allowed us to estimate that in fact 638 species are extinct, 380 possibly extinct (EX?), and 14 extinct in the wild, a total of 1,032 species in the combined categories (Appendix Tables A3–5).

Table 2. Numbers of mollusk species considered extinct (EX), critically endangered (possibly extinct) (CR(PE)), and extinct in the wild (EW) in the Red List (IUCN, 2016), with species on the Red List re-assessed herein, and the results of the present study based on additional literature search and expert consultation.

| IUCN category | Red List re- | |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Red List ¹ | assessed This study ² |

| | | | |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| EX | 297 | 268 | 646 |
| CR(PE) / EX? ³ | 119 | 107 | 373 |
| EW | 14 | 11 | 14 |
| Total | 430 | 386 | 1,032 |

¹ Includes 11 fossil/subfossil species listed as extinct

² Includes 46 fossil/subfossil species listed as extinct

³ CR(PE) in the Red List, EX? in this study

Of these, 47 are known only as “fossil” or “subfossil” but in many of these cases it was not possible to say when they went extinct, perhaps in some cases from natural causes such as non-anthropogenic climate change. For comparability with the approach officially taken by IUCN of focusing on species that have gone extinct since around the year 1500 (though 11 fossil/subfossil species are included in the Red List), we might conservatively exclude the 47 fossil/subfossil species in our list and then would consider 591 species as extinct, 380 as possibly extinct, and 14 as extinct in the wild, total 985 species. Even so, our numbers of extinct and possibly extinct species both greatly exceed those of the Red List, by more than two and three times respectively. This total is also approximately double the number listed by Re’gnier et al. (2009).

Of the 1,032 species (Appendix Tables A3–5), 803 are land snails, from 52 families but dominated by species of four Pacific island families, the Amastridae (307 species), which is a Hawaiian endemic family (see below), Endodontidae (92 species), Partulidae (52 species) and Achatinellidae (44 species), as well as one more widespread family (though with greatest diversity in the Pacific), the Charopidae (54 species). Freshwater snails are represented by 177 species from 22 families, with all but two families (Hydrobiidae, 61 species; Pleuroceridae, 32 species) represented by 12 or fewer species. Freshwater bivalves are represented by 46 species from five families, with only the Unionidae (40 species) having more than one or two species. Six marine gastropods are listed.

RE’GNIER ET AL. (2015)

Re’gnier et al. (2009) and the updated assessment provided above, have only dealt with species already assessed by IUCN and those additional species that were known to be extinct, possibly extinct, or extinct in the wild, both documented in the literature and as known to biologists with expert knowledge. These species were therefore not a random sample. A more realistic estimate of the true number of mollusk extinctions would only be provided by assessing a random sample of mollusk species.

Therefore, Re’gnier et al. (2015a), focusing on land snails, generated a rigorously random sample of 200 species from a wide representation of localities across the

globe. They evaluated these species based on the IUCN categories and criteria (IUCN, 2012) by reviewing the literature as well as major museum collections. For comparison with this IUCN-based evaluation, they also sent the list of 200 species to numerous land snail experts, asking them to evaluate whether those species for which they had personal knowledge and experience were extinct. For species for which no expert was available, Re’gnier et al. (2015a) made their own assessment based on collection records. In addition, Re’gnier et al. (2015a) developed a mathematical probabilistic model, based on collection dates as documented in major museum malacological collections. This model evaluated the probability of extinction for each of the 200 species, and thereby offered an independent means of corroboration (or not) of the expert evaluation.

Based on the IUCN categories and criteria, Re’gnier et al. (2015a) were only able to evaluate 31 of the 200 species, the other 169 being categorized as Data Deficient. Of the 31, three (1.5% of the 200, but 10% of the evaluated 31) were evaluated as extinct. Under the assumptions that the 200 land snail species in the random sample are representative of the described non-marine molluscan diversity—roughly 30,000 species (Rosenberg, 2014)—and that marine molluscan extinction is negligible compared to non-marine extinction (e.g. Carlton, 1993; but see Peters et al., 2013), extrapolation leads to an estimate of 3,000 extinct mollusk species.

In contrast, the experts were able to evaluate 118 of the 200, the remaining 82 being “Impossible to Assess”. Twenty (10% of the 200, but 17% of the evaluated 118) were evaluated as extinct. Note that Re’gnier et al. (2015a) used slightly different terminology from the IUCN categories in order to draw attention to the differences between the two approaches. The probabilistic model broadly corroborated the expert evaluations in terms of the proportion of species considered extinct.

Of the 76,000 described mollusk species (Rosenberg, 2014), about 46,000 are marine (WoRMS, 2017) and roughly 30,000 non-marine. Therefore, as 10–17% of the 200 land snail species were considered extinct, extrapolation suggests that in fact around 3,000–5,100 mollusk species are extinct, far more than the 297 on the Red List (IUCN, 2016), the 532 estimated by Re’gnier et al. (2009), the 1,032 estimated above updating Re’gnier et al. (2009), but in the same region as the 3,000 extrapolated from the assessments of Re’gnier et al. (2015a) based on the IUCN categories and criteria. This estimate of 3,000–5,100 mollusk extinctions, even taking into account that it is based on a small sample, is shocking. And many are going extinct before they have been discovered and described (e.g., Richling and Bouchet, 2013; Sartori et al., 2013; 2014).

WHY ARE NON-MARINE MOLLUSKS GOING EXTINCT?

There are at least four possible causes of non-marine mollusk extinction, which are, for the most part, the same

causes of the extinction of non-marine species in general: habitat destruction, impacts of introduced species, exploitation and collecting, and, potentially, climate change.

HABITAT DESTRUCTION

Urbanization, deforestation, agricultural expansion and exploitation of natural resources have all had impacts on mollusks. Three examples serve to illustrate some of these threats.

Gambier Island Land Snails: Based on collections made by the Bishop Museum (Honolulu) Mangarevan Expedition in 1934 and by the Musée national d'Histoire naturelle (Paris) in 1997, 46 endemic species have been recorded from the Gambier Islands in the families Euconulidae, Endodontidae, Assimineidae, and Helicinidae (Abdou and Bouchet, 2000; Bouchet and Abdou, 2001; 2003; Richling and Bouchet, 2013). Only three of these species were still extant; the remainder were described from empty shells collected from the shell bank of the soil.

The cause of the extinction of almost this entire fauna was deforestation (Richling and Bouchet, 2013). Deforestation began with the first arrival of Polynesian settlers around 1,000 years ago and reached a peak in the 17th and 18th centuries with the total destruction of the native flora (Conte and Kirch, 2008), no doubt exacerbated after the arrival of Europeans in the early 19th Century. A few of the snail species were still extant in the 1840s–1860s, but no living specimens of all but the three known to be extant have been collected since the 19th Century (Richling and Bouchet, 2013). Similar scenarios have played out across the islands of the Pacific.

Melanopsis parreyssii in Romania: This freshwater species was listed as Critically Endangered on the Red List in 2013 (Feher, 2013). It was deemed Extinct in the Wild in 2016 (Sîrbu and Benedek, 2016). It was extremely narrowly endemic in Romania but had also been introduced to Hungary and Bulgaria. However, by 2010 these introduced populations had vanished (Feher, 2013; Sîrbu et al., 2013). The Romanian locality was part of a system sustained by a geothermal aquifer that was declared a nature reserve and a Natura 2000 Site of Community Importance. There were lakes and creeks fed by thermal springs, forming the only habitat of *Melanopsis parreyssii*. However, rapidly increasing recent development of the geothermal waters, especially for tourism, led to the springs becoming clogged and the natural thermal lakes diminished, up to the point where the only natural, but shrinking, lake that remained was Pet,ea (referred to as Ba'ile Episcopiei by Feher, 2013). By 2011 the spring serving Pet,ea Lake ceased activity and by 2015 the lake had become little more than a puddle supporting no mollusks except an invasive bivalve (Sîrbu et al., 2013; Sîrbu and Benedek, 2016). Captive breeding efforts have met with little success (Sîrbu and Benedek, 2016). Human

greed and disregard for the environment, including laws supposedly protecting it, had led to the destruction of the habitat of this narrowly endemic species and thus its extinction.

Powelliphanta augusta in New Zealand: Powelliphanta species are large predatory, worm-eating land snails endemic to New Zealand and most have very small ranges, making them highly vulnerable to habitat destruction (Walker et al., 2008; Boyer et al., 2013). A species of Powelliphanta, first collected in 1996 but not recognized as a possible new species until 2003, and confirmed as such by Trewick (2005), was discovered on Mount Augustus, a peak on the Stockton Plateau in New Zealand's South Island and the site of a large open cast coal mine (Trewick et al., 2008). By 2003, much of the snails' habitat had been destroyed, with the entire remaining 8.5 ha of ridge-top habitat under severe threat from the mining. With this imminent threat, and following legal action (see Walker et al., 2008; Boyer et al., 2013), all snails and eggs that could be found were collected and brought into captivity, beginning in 2006. Soon thereafter, all but a tiny piece of snail habitat was destroyed (Walker et al., 2008). Many of the snails were transferred back to the wild at three sites with supposedly similar habitat, but the mortality rate in these populations was such that they were unlikely to survive (Morris, 2010). One of these sites was created by transferring entire habitat from the original site to an area not slated to be mined, but the large trees did not survive well and the habitat was invaded by weedy species (Morris, 2010). The captive snails exhibit slower growth and higher hatchling mortality than estimated in the original wild population (James et al., 2013). Furthermore, a large proportion of the captive snails died following an electrical malfunction in their temperature-controlled facility (James et al., 2013). The species was described as *Powelliphanta augusta* in 2008 (Walker et al., 2008). Although *P. augusta* is not yet extinct, the destruction of its entire habitat by coal mining has left it on the brink.

IMPACTS OF INTRODUCED SPECIES

It is generally difficult to demonstrate definitively that an invasive species has caused the extinction of another species. For example, following the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) invasion of North America beginning around 1985, many of the native freshwater mussels (Unionoida) were thought to be doomed (Ricciardi et al., 1998). At localities with high densities of *D. polymorpha*, local populations of native mussels were being extirpated and some of the native species were in steep decline or becoming regionally extinct. Over 60 species were thought to be in danger of global extinction from the combined effects of zebra mussels and habitat degradation (Ricciardi et al., 1998). However, a decade later, Strayer and Malcom (2007), focusing on four species in the Hudson River, showed that although they had

declined steeply following zebra mussel invasion, by 2000–2004 populations of these species had stabilized at 4–22% of their pre-invasion densities, offering a slender hope that the native mussels might be able to co-exist with the invaders, albeit at much lower densities (Strayer and Malcom, 2007).

In contrast, the prime example of an invasive species causing extinction of mollusk species is the introduction of the predatory snail *Euglandina rosea* to the islands of the Pacific, notably to the Hawaiian Islands and the Society Islands of French Polynesia but also elsewhere (e.g., Cowie and Cook, 2001), in poorly considered efforts to control the invasive giant African snail, *Achatina fulica* (Hadfield, 1986; Murray et al., 1988). The clearest evidence of a direct impact was that as *E. rosea* spread across the island of Moorea, the endemic *Partula* tree snail species vanished in its wake; it did not control *A. fulica* (Murray et al., 1988; Cowie, 2001). On the other islands of the Society group the same story played out (Coote and Loe' ve, 2003; Gerlach, 2016).

In Hawaii, the combination of *E. rosea* and invasive rats, following on from habitat destruction, has caused the decline of endemic achatinelline tree snails (Hadfield et al., 1993), and another introduced predatory snail, *Oxychilus alliarius*, may yet impact endemic Hawaiian species, notably the single species in the endemic monotypic helicarioid genus *Kaala* (Curry et al., 2016). The invasive predatory flatworm *Platydemus manokwari* has caused the extinction of endemic Pacific island snails, notably in the Ogasawara Islands (Chiba and Cowie, 2016). Competition between invasive and native snails may also be important, but no definitive instances of this have been documented (Cowie, 2005).

The impacts of invasive species are often inextricably linked to those of habitat destruction or modification, as invasive species, such as rats (e.g., Athens, 2009), may drastically alter habitat, and habitat alteration may facilitate the spread of invasive species (Didham et al., 2007). As such, they can be at least the partial cause of extinction. However, invasive species may act in concert with or consecutively with habitat alteration, making it difficult, with some clear exceptions, to say that invasive species, per se, have been the cause of specific mollusk species extinctions.

EXPLOITATION AND COLLECTING

Numerous non-marine mollusk species are exploited for human consumption. In Europe, and especially in countries bordering the Mediterranean, various of the larger species of land snails are collected and eaten, most notably *Helix pomatia*, the “escargot de Bourgogne”, and *Cornu aspersum*, the “petit gris”, both of which are readily available in most French markets, but also more widely. However, although *C. aspersum* remains abundant and widespread in western Europe, *H. pomatia* has declined, notably in France, and efforts are increasingly being made

to culture it for export, especially in eastern Europe (Ligaszewski et al., 2007). Nonetheless, *H. pomatia* is listed as of Least Concern on the Red List (IUCN, 2016). Various other species are eaten around the Mediterranean (Yildirim et al., 2004) but none seems to have attracted conservation concern. The collection in the wild for the restaurant trade, in combination with habitat loss and alien species, has endangered the endemic “bulimes” (genus *Placostylus*) of New Caledonia (Brescia et al., 2008; Neubert et al., 2009). In Asia, various species of Ampullariidae, Viviparidae, and Pachychilidae in particular are eaten, as are a number of clams and mussels (e.g., Koehler et al., 2012), and Achatinidae are eaten in West Africa (e.g., Nyoagbe et al., 2016); but none of these species has attracted great concern because of this. There are a few records of land snails being used for medicinal purposes, e.g., *Theba pisana* (Beni'tez, 2011) and *Achatina fulica* (Cowie and D.G. Robinson, 2003), and religious purposes, e.g., *Achatina fulica* (Neto et al., 2012), and they may be a significant part of local rural economies (Osemeobo, 1991); they may also be introduced beyond their native range for such religious purposes (Va'zquez et al., 2016). But there is no evidence that these usages have led to the decline and certainly not extinction of these species.

In the 19th Century, freshwater mussels (Unionida) were commercially harvested for their pearls, notably in the United States; over-harvesting led to decline of the populations and the fishery was largely abandoned (Neves, 1999; Anthony and Downing, 2001). However, soon thereafter, the demand for shells of freshwater mussels for the button industry burgeoned, causing further declines and adding to the already serious and increasing threats from habitat degradation; but this industry essentially died out with the advent of plastics (Neves, 1999; Anthony and Downing, 2001; Strayer et al., 2004), although it persists in other parts of the world (Beasley, 2001). However, the discovery in Japan that mussel shell material could act as nuclei for the production of cultured pearls, resulted in a further phase of exploitation of mussels in the United States for export, although demand declined drastically by the late 1990s (Neves, 1999) Although habitat degradation has been generally considered the primary cause of mussel decline, over-exploitation has also been important (Strayer et al., 2004).

The hobby of shell-collecting is generally more focused on marine than on non-marine species, with some marine taxa, for instance in the genus *Conus*, threatened as a result (Peters et al., 2013). Nonetheless, among non-marine species there are a few notable instances in which shell collecting and ornamental use may have been at least in part responsible for the decline and perhaps extinction of certain species (Cowie, 2004). Most notably, collecting of snails by late 19th and early 20th Century shell collectors quite possibly had an important impact on some of the larger and more colorful Hawaiian species, primarily but by no means exclusively the beautifully colored and

patterned Achatinellinae (Hadfield, 1986). Compared to marine species, there is a much more limited collectors' trade in shells of nonmarine species, which nonetheless may lead to endangerment. However, the legal instruments of control (notably the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES)) list only three non-marine gastropod genera: the genus *Achatinella*, with 39 species listed as Extinct or Critically Endangered by IUCN (2016), the genus *Polymita*, with no species listed, and *Papustyla pulcherrima*, the Manus Island (off the north coast of New Guinea) green tree snail, which is listed as Near Threatened by IUCN (2016) (see also Whitmore, 2016). The collection of the 10,000 or so shells of the partulid tree snail *Eua zebrina* that once made up the chandeliers in the lobby of American Samoa's then main hotel surely must have significantly reduced at least some populations of that species (Cowie, 1993).

Overall, therefore, exploitation and collecting have not been a major cause of mollusk extinction, with a number of notable exceptions.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Gerlach (2007) declared that *Rhachistia aldabrae*, an endemic cerastid from Aldabra Atoll that was still locally abundant in the 1970s (Gerlach, 2009), had gone extinct in the late 1990s as a result of declining rainfall. It was therefore placed on the Red List as Extinct (Gerlach, 2009). This is the only instance of a mollusk being reported as having gone extinct as a result of climate change. However, in 2014 it was discovered alive (Battarbee, 2014). Nonetheless, there is only one tiny population (J. Gerlach, pers. comm.) and it seems likely that with ongoing climate change it may yet succumb. The Red List (IUCN, 2016) has not yet been updated.

Baur and Baur (1993) concluded that the local extirpation of the widespread European land snail *Arianta arbustorum* at sites around the city of Basel, Switzerland, had resulted from climate warming in otherwise suitable areas close to extensive urban development, and that sites from which *A. arbustorum* had disappeared had higher surface temperatures than sites where it remained. The same authors (Baur and Baur, 2013) compared historical records from 1916–1917 with survey results from 2011–2012 on nine mountain slopes in Switzerland. They found that the upper elevational limit for *A. arbustorum* had risen 164 m in the 95 year period, during which mean annual temperature in the area had risen 1.6 C. Although only a local impact, this study demonstrated the potential for climate change to affect populations of land snails.

Similarly, Pearce and Paustian (2013) undertook extensive elevational surveys in Pennsylvania, USA, to assess whether, with climate warming, species forced ever upward would eventually have nowhere further to retreat to (cf. similar studies on arthropods: Meyer et al., 2015). Of the 69 species recorded, five appeared especially

susceptible. This kind of susceptibility is of particular concern on oceanic islands, especially in the Pacific.

On many Pacific islands, habitat destruction and the introduction of invasive species at lower elevations has resulted in most of the remaining endemic land snail species being confined to higher elevation refugia (Durkan et al., 2013), either because their lower elevation populations have been extirpated or because they are evolutionarily adapted to the lower temperatures at these higher elevations and historically only ever occurred there. As such, with limited opportunity to move to higher elevations as the climate warms, they face extinction.

Thus, there is no evidence that climate change has caused the extinction of any non-marine mollusk species. However, continued warming will probably have more serious effects in the future, and ocean acidification resulting from raised carbon dioxide levels may impact marine species (Peters et al., 2015).

EXTINCTION ON PACIFIC ISLANDS: A CASE STUDY

Among the species assessed as extinct by Re'gnier et al. (2009), more than 70% were from oceanic islands and most of these were from the Hawaiian Islands, French Polynesia and the Mascarene Islands. Previous authors have suggested that 65–90% of the Hawaiian land snail species have gone extinct (Solem, 1990; Cowie and A.C. Robinson, 2003; Lydeard et al., 2004). The proportion differs among families, but three groups (Achatinellinae, Amastridae, Endodontidae) appear to have suffered "catastrophic extinction" (Solem, 1990; and see above).

To begin to get a more accurate assessment of the level of extinction in Hawaiian land snails, Re'gnier et al. (2015b) focused on the Amastridae, a family endemic to the Hawaiian Islands and with 325 known valid species (Cowie et al., 1995). Rather than using the rigid IUCN categories and criteria (IUCN, 2012), they took a less rigorous approach similar to that taken by Re'gnier et al. (2015a). They based their assessments on a comparison of information from historical collections and archived field notes in the Bishop Museum, with data from modern extensive surveys undertaken throughout the Hawaiian Islands by K.A. Hayes, N.W. Yeung, and collaborators between 2004 and 2013. They also consulted a diversity of experts with experience in the Hawaiian land snail fauna. A species was considered extinct if it had not been found since 2004 at any recently surveyed location where it had formerly been recorded. In addition, and again taking a similar approach to that adopted by Re'gnier et al. (2015a) in order to provide independent corroboration, Re'gnier et al. (2015b) undertook a statistical assessment of extinction probabilities, based on collection years and using the methods of Thompson et al. (2013) and Lee (2014).

Of the 325 species, 131 were assessed as extinct; there was insufficient evidence of extinction for 179, although most of these can probably be considered extinct (and were

considered possibly extinct in the updated analysis of global extinctions, above); but only 15 were considered definitively extant (three subsequently found extant; N.W. Yeung and K.A. Hayes, pers. comm.). Thus, a minimum of 131 (40%) and maximum 310 (95%) were considered extinct. The probabilistic assessment was consistent with the expert assessment: the probabilities of being extant for those species assessed as extinct was <0.01 (111 species), <0.1 (16 species) and 0.1–0.3 (4 species); and for those species assessed as extant it was 0.38–0.93 (15 species); the species for which there was insufficient evidence of extinction were not assessed probabilistically. The Red List (IUCN, 2016) lists only 33 amastrid species (10%) as extinct.

There have been five phases of amastrid extinction, discussed in more detail by Re'gnier et al. (2015b), as follows.

- 1) Description as fossils or subfossils and not known to be extant, but it is not possible currently to determine when they went extinct, i.e., prior to or after human colonization of the Hawaiian Islands, or prior to or after around the year 1500.
- 2) Subsequent to the first colonization of the islands by Polynesians, 800–1000 years ago, which led to considerable habitat destruction and introduction of a number of alien species.
- 3) Following European colonization, when extensive additional habitat destruction took place and highly destructive invasive alien species were introduced.
- 4) Following the advent of large-scale agriculture at the end of the 19th Century, at the time of a major increase in land snail extinction rate globally, identified as around 1895 by Re'gnier et al. (2015a).
- 5) After 1945 and the end of the Second World War, with the increased military activity, tourism, commerce, urbanization and rapidly increasing introduction of invasive species, including snails (Cowie, 1998).

If the extinction rate were constant over this roughly 1,000 year period, it would have been between roughly 0.4 and 1.0% of the fauna per decade, given the extremes of 131 and 310 of the 325 amastrid species having gone extinct. However the rate was certainly not constant but probably has increased in a roughly exponential and step-wise manner over time. Re'gnier et al. (2015b) therefore modeled a number of scenarios reflecting this increasing rate. The overall rates obtained ranged from 0.4% of the amastrid fauna per decade (131 species extinct, beginning in the year 1000, as above) to 14% per decade (310 species extinct, beginning in 1945). These scenarios are certainly over-simplistic, but nonetheless provide a framework for discussion.

DISCUSSION

The most conservative estimate of 0.4% per decade for the Hawaiian amastrid extinction rate is similar to the global

biodiversity extinction rate of < 1% per decade estimated by Costello et al. (2013). However, this extinction rate is probably not reflective of the true rate of amastrid extinction, as not only is it based on a very conservative estimate of the number of species that have gone extinct (131) but it assumes a constant rate since the year 1000. Undoubtedly, the rate has increased over the millenium and Re'gnier et al. (2015b) suggested that a rate of around 5% per decade over the last 150–200 years would be more realistic, indeed still rather conservative, given the maximum rate they estimated of 14% per decade. A rate of 5% would lead to a loss of > 50% of a fauna within 150 years (Costello et al., 2013). Indeed, for the amastrids, with only 18 of 325 species known to be extant (i.e., including the three species discovered alive since Re'gnier et al., 2015b; see above), this scenario seems to have already played itself out.

This rate (5% per decade) is much higher than the global estimate of the loss over the last 500 years or so of 3,000–5,100 (10–17%) of the 30,000 known land snail species, as estimated by Re'gnier et al. (2015a) and outlined above. The amastrids, however, may be an extreme case, although land snail groups from other Pacific islands have suffered similar fates, notably the Endodontidae (Solem, 1976; Zimmerman et al., 2009; Sartori et al., 2013; 2014) and Partulidae (Coote et al., 2003; Gerlach, 2016), and many extinct species continue to be found, as empty shells, even before their scientific description (e.g., Richling and Bouchet, 2013). Oceanic island biotas are in general much more susceptible to extinction than more buffered continental faunas (Triantis et al., 2010). And some taxa may be more susceptible than others. Therefore it may be dangerous to base generalizations regarding extinction rates on global estimates, though even these, such as the loss of 10–17% of land snail species in 500 years described here, are cause for great concern. While it is crucial to increase awareness of the high level of global extinction, subsuming more local extinction rates, especially of particularly susceptible faunas such as those of oceanic islands, or of particularly susceptible taxa such as the Amastridae, under global rates will doom those plants and animals to extinction as their special vulnerability and need for conservation will be overlooked, or at least not adequately appreciated.

If we assume that 1) the 200 land snail species sampled by Re'gnier et al. (2015a) are representative of known non-marine invertebrate diversity and their extinction rate, 2) three-quarters of species are nonmarine (Mora et al., 2011), and 3) marine extinctions are negligible compared with non-marine extinctions (only four marine mollusks are considered as extinct; IUCN (2016), and see above), then approximately 7.5–13% of all species have gone extinct since around 1500. This is orders of magnitude greater than the 860 (0.05% of 2 million) listed as extinct by IUCN (2016). The biodiversity crisis is real.

But what of the IUCN? The studies reviewed herein have shown that it is inappropriate to use the IUCN Red List as a source of data on global extinction rates (except

for mammals and birds), and more generally that assessing overall levels of threat to all biodiversity based on the species listed by IUCN seriously downplays that threat, notably because the great majority of biodiversity (invertebrates) has not been evaluated. A similar critique was voiced by Possingham et al. (2002), who argued that threatened species lists (such as the IUCN Red List) should not be used to indicate the overall status of biodiversity and changes in it, largely because of uneven taxonomic treatment and variation in observational effort (as described above for vertebrates compared to invertebrates). Nonetheless, IUCN is the premier and most influential global conservation organization. But its goal is to “highlight taxa threatened with extinction, and thereby promote their conservation” (IUCN, 2016); documenting extinction is incidental to this goal as once extinct a species cannot be conserved. For terrestrial vertebrates IUCN is well on the way to achieving its goal, but invertebrates present a daunting challenge both because of their immense diversity and because of the lack of adequate data to apply the IUCN criteria for the vast majority of them.

Major focused efforts by IUCN continue to be made to evaluate additional mollusk species (e.g., Seddon, 2011; 2014; 2015; Pippard, 2012; Peters et al., 2013; Seddon et al., 2014; Allen et al., 2016; Böhm and Allcock, 2016; Neubert et al., 2017). These efforts have focused on particular taxa, habitats and geographic locations that were deemed a priori as especially in need of attention, i.e., to address the IUCN goals of highlighting taxa in need of conservation (above), and for which funding could be obtained. Nonetheless, at the current rate it will be many years before all mollusks, or even all non-marine mollusks, have been assessed. The approach we have taken in the two studies reviewed herein (Re’gnier et al., 2015a, b), as well as our update of the analysis of Re’gnier et al. (2009) based on new information, is an attempt to speed up the evaluation process and to develop a method that allows global trends to be more realistically determined. Admittedly, our approach is less rigorous than the process of applying the IUCN criteria to assign species to the IUCN categories, with peer review required (when at best only one specialist has any knowledge of the fauna), but is considerably quicker and more cost-effective. While there is a chance that our approach might incorrectly list some species as extinct and thereby cut them off from conservation attention, it has the potential to identify many more species in need of conservation, species that would be listed as Data Deficient by IUCN and therefore also ignored. Our approach also has the advantage that it can provide a much more realistic overview of the biodiversity crisis than can the Red List, especially regarding the extraordinary levels of extinction, which was our immediate focus in the studies reviewed above. Nonetheless, IUCN remains the preeminent global conservation agency with a crucial role in identifying conservation needs and developing global conservation strategies. Our efforts do not in any way compromise those roles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank our collaborators Amaury Lambert and Guillaume Achaz (Re’gnier et al., 2015a) and Ken Hayes, Norine Yeung, Carl Christensen, and Daniel Chung (Re’gnier et al., 2015b). We also thank all the experts who contributed information and assessments for those two studies, the numerous students and others who participated in the Hawaiian survey work, and others who provided assistance, as acknowledged in those two publications. This paper is based on a presentation given by Robert Cowie at the Mollusks in Peril Forum (Bailey-Matthews National Shell Museum, Sanibel Island, Florida, May 2016). We thank the organizers of the Forum, notably Jose’ H. Leal and Dorrie Hipschman, respectively Science Director and Executive Director of the Bailey-Matthews National Shell Museum, and Museum benefactors Smoky and Stephanie Payson, for the invitation to participate and for funding in support of that participation. Additional information was provided by M.R. Alonso, Gary Barker, Ru’diger Bieler, Fred Brook, Robert Cameron, Satoshi Chiba, Carl Christensen, Zoltan Fehe’r, Justin Gerlach, Jozef Grego, Brenden Holland, Yasunori Kano, Miguel Iban’ez, Ben Rowson, Rebecca Rundell, Menno Schilthuizen, Steve Trewick, and Norine Yeung. We thank Chuck Lydeard for reviewing the manuscript. This contribution is partly based on research supported by the French National Research Agency Losers Project Grant ANR-09-PEXT-007, an Ars Cuttoli Foundation grant, NSF grant DEB1120906 and by grants from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (CAPS program) and the Oahu Army Natural Resources Program. Contribution number 9977 of the University of Hawaii School of Ocean and Earth Sciences.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abdou A. and P. Bouchet. 2000. Nouveaux gaste’ropodes Endodontidae et Punctidae (Mollusca, Pulmonata) re’cemment e’taits de l’archipel des Gambier (Polyne’sie). *Zoosystema* 22: 689–707.
- Allen, D., E. Neubert, and M.[B.] Seddon. 2016. Final stage of the European Red List of terrestrial molluscs starts. *Tentacle* 24: 55–56.
- Anthony, J.L. and J.A. Downing. 2001. Exploitation trajectory of a declining fauna: a century of freshwater mussel fisheries in North America. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 58: 2071–2090.
- Athens, J.S. 2009. *Rattus exulans* and the catastrophic disappearance of Hawai’i’s native lowland forest. *Biological Invasions* 11: 1489–1501.
- Battarbee, R.W. 2014. The rediscovery of the Aldabra banded snail, *Rhachistia aldabrae*. *Biology Letters* 10: 20140771. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0771>
- Baur, B. and A. Baur. 1993. Climatic warming due to thermal radiation from an urban area as possible cause for the local extinction of a land snail. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 30: 333–340.

- Baur, B. and A. Baur. 2013. Snails keep the pace: shift in upper elevation limit on mountain slopes as a response to climate warming. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 91: 596–599.
- Beasley, C.R. 2001. The impact of exploitation on freshwater mussels (*Bivalvia: Hyriidae*) in the Tocantins River, Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 36: 159–165.
- Benítez, G. 2011. Animals used for medicinal and magicoreligious purposes in western Granada Province, Andalusia (Spain). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 137: 1113–1123.
- Boh'm, M. and L. Allcock. 2016. New cephalopod additions to the IUCN Red List. *Tentacle* 24: 54–55.
- Bouchet, P. and A. Abdou. 2001. Recent extinct land snails (*Euconulidae*) from the Gambier Islands with remarkable apertural barriers. *Pacific Science* 55: 121–127.
- Bouchet, P. and A. Abdou. 2003. Endemic land snails from the Pacific islands and the museum record: documenting and dating the extinction of the terrestrial *Assimineidae* of the Gambier Islands. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 69: 165–170.
- Boyer, S., S.D. Wratten, A. Holyoake, J. Abdelkrim, and R.H. Cruickshank. 2013. Using next generation sequencing to analyse the diet of a highly endangered land snail (*Powelliphanta augusta*) feeding on endemic earthworms. *PLoS ONE* 8(9): e75962.
- Brescia, F.M., C.M. Po'llabauer, M.A. Potter and A.W. Robertson. 2008. A review of the ecology and conservation of *Placostylus* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Bulimulidae) in New Caledonia. *Molluscan Research* 28: 111–122.
- Carlton, J.T. 1993. Neoextinctions of marine invertebrates. *American Zoologist* 33: 499–509.
- Ceballos, G., P.R. Ehrlich, A.D. Barnosky, A. Garc'ia, R.M. Pringle, and T.M. Palmer. 2015. Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances* 1(5): e1400253.
- Chapman, A.D. 2009. *Number of Living Species in Australia and the World*. Second edition. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Australian Government, Canberra, 80 pp.
- Chiba, S. and R.H. Cowie. 2016. Evolution and extinction of land snails on oceanic islands. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 47: 123–141.
- Conte, E. and P.V. Kirch. 2008. One thousand years of human environmental transformation in the Gambier Islands (French Polynesia). In: G. Clark, F. Leach and S. O'Connor (eds.) *Islands of Inquiry (Terra Australis 29)*. Colonization, Seafaring and the Archaeology of Maritime Landscapes. Australian National University Press, Acton, pp. 253–264.
- Coote, T. and E' . Loe've. 2003. From 61 species to five: endemic tree snails of the Society Islands fall prey to an ill-judged biological control programme. *Oryx* 37: 91–96.
- Costello, M.J., R.M. May and N.E. Stork. 2013. Can we name Earth's species before they go extinct? *Science* 339: 413–416.
- Cowie, R.H. 1993. Why tree snails are becoming scarce in Samoa. *Hawaiian Shell News* 41(3): 1, 9.
- Cowie, R.H. 1998. Patterns of introduction of non-indigenous non-marine snails and slugs in the Hawaiian Islands. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 7: 349–368.
- Cowie, R.H. 2001. Can snails ever be effective and safe biocontrol agents? *International Journal of Pest Management* 47: 23–40.
- Cowie, R.H. 2004. Disappearing snails and alien invasions: the biodiversity/conservation interface in the Pacific. *Journal of Conchology Special Publications* 3: 23–37.
- Cowie, R.H. 2005. Alien non-marine mollusks in the islands of the tropical and subtropical Pacific: a review. *American Malacological Bulletin* 20: 95–103.
- Cowie, R.H. and R.P. Cook. 2001. Extinction or survival: partulid tree snails in American Samoa. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 10: 143–159.
- Cowie, R.H. and A.C. Robinson. 2003. The decline of native Pacific island faunas: changes in status of the land snails of Samoa through the 20th century. *Biological Conservation* 110: 55–65.
- Cowie, R.H. and D.G. Robinson. 2003. Pathways of introduction of nonindigenous land and freshwater snails and slugs. In: G. Ruiz and J.T. Carlton (eds.) *Invasive Species: Vectors and Management Strategies*. Island Press, Washington, D.C., pp. 93–122.
- Cowie, R.H., N.L. Evenhuis, and C.C. Christensen. 1995. *Catalog of the native land and freshwater mollusks of the Hawaiian Islands*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden. vi þ 248 pp.
- Curry, P.A., N.W. Yeung, K.A. Hayes, W.M. Meyer, III, A.D. Taylor, and R.H. Cowie. 2016. Rapid range expansion of an invasive predatory snail, *Oxychilus alliarius* (Miller 1822), and its impact on endemic Hawaiian land snails. *Biological Invasions* 18: 1769–1780.
- Didham, R.K., J.M. Tylianakis, N.J. Gemmill, T.A. Rand, and R.M. Ewers. 2007. Interactive effects of habitat modification and species invasion on native species decline. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 22(9): 489–496.
- Durkan, T.H., N.W. Yeung, W.M. Meyer, III, K.A. Hayes, and R.H. Cowie. 2013. Evaluating the efficacy of land snail survey techniques in Hawaii: implications for conservation throughout the Pacific. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 22: 3223–3232.
- Feh'er, Z. 2013. *Melanopsis parreyssii*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2013: e.T155737A4835365. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-1.RLTS.T155737A4835365.en>. Accessed 23 June 2016.
- Gaston, K.J. and R.M. May. 1992. Taxonomy of taxonomists. *Nature* 356: 281–282.
- Gerlach, J. 2007. Short-term climate change and the extinction of the snail *Rhachistia aldabrae* (Gastropoda: Pulmonata). *Biology Letters* 3: 581–585.
- Gerlach, J. 2009. *Rhachistia aldabrae*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2009: e.T168122A6454921.
- Gerlach, J. 2016. *Icons of Evolution: Pacific Island Tree-Snails of the Family Partulidae*. Phelsuma Press, Cambridge, 334 pp.
- Groombridge, B. (ed.) 1994. *1994 IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals*. IUCN, Gland, lvi þ 286 pp.
- Hadfield, M.G. 1986. Extinction in Hawaiian achatinelline snails. *Malacologia* 27: 67–81.
- Hadfield, M.G., S.E. Miller and A.H. Carwile. 1993. The decimation of endemic Hawai'ian [sic] tree snails by alien predators. *American Zoologist* 33: 610–622.
- IISE (International Institute for Species Exploration). 2017. *SOS Reports*. <http://www.esf.edu/species/SOS.htm>. Accessed 15 February 2017.
- IUCN. 1990. *1990 IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals*. IUCN, Gland and Cambridge, xxiv þ [12] þ 192 pp.

- IUCN. 2012. IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 3.1. Second edition. IUCN, Gland and Cambridge, iv þ 32 pp.
- IUCN. 2016. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 9 December 2016.
- James, A.F., R. Brown, K.A. Weston, and K. Walker. 2013. Modelling the growth and population dynamics of the exiled Stockton coal plateau landsnail, *Powelliphanta augusta*. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 40: 175–185.
- Köhler, F., Mary [B.] Seddon, A.E. Bogan, D.V. Tu, P. SriAroon and D. Allen. 2012. The status and distribution of freshwater molluscs of the Indo-Burma region. In: D.J. Allen, K.G. Smith and W.R.T. Darwall (compilers) *The Status and Distribution of Freshwater Biodiversity in Indo-Burma*. IUCN, Cambridge, UK, and Gland, Switzerland, pp. 66–88.
- Lee, T.E. 2014. A simple numerical tool to infer whether a species is extinct. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5: 791–796.
- Leakey, R.E. and R. Lewin. 1996. *The Sixth Extinction. Biodiversity and its Survival*. Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London, 288 pp.
- Ligaszewski, M., A. Łysak, and Z. Mach-Paluszkiwicz. 2007. Reproductive performance of *Helix pomatia* (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Helicidae) and survival of its hatchlings under farm conditions. *American Malacological Bulletin* 22: 1–6.
- Lomborg, B. 2001. *The Skeptical Environmentalist: Measuring the Real State of the World*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, xxiii þ 515 pp.
- Lydeard, C., R.H. Cowie, W.F. Ponder, A.E. Bogan, P. Bouchet, S. Clark, K.S. Cummings, T.J. Frest, O. Gargominy, D.G. Herbert, R. Hershler, K. Perez, B. Roth, M. Seddon, E.E. Strong, and F.G. Thompson. 2004. The global decline of nonmarine mollusks. *BioScience* 54: 321–330.
- May, R.M. 2011. Why worry about how many species and their loss? *PLoS Biology* 9: e1001130.
- Meyer, W.M., III, J.A. Eble, K. Franklin, R.B. McManus, S.L. Brantley, J. Henkel, P.E. Marek, W.E. Hall, C.A. Olson, R. McInroy, E.M. Bernal Loaiza, R.C. Brusca, and W. Moore. 2015. Ground-dwelling arthropod communities of a sky island mountain range in southeastern Arizona, USA: obtaining a baseline for assessing the effects of climate change. *PLoS ONE* 10(9): e0135210.
- Mora, C., D.P. Tittensor, S. Adl, A.G.B. Simpson and B. Worm. 2011. How many species are there on earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biology* 9(8): e1001127.
- Morris, R. 2010. An unfortunate Experiment. *Forest and Bird* 337: 14–18.
- Mrosovsky, N. 1997. IUCN's credibility critically endangered. *Nature* 389: 436.
- Murray, J., E. Murray, M.S. Johnson and B. Clarke. 1988. The extinction of *Partula* on Moorea. *Pacific Science* 42: 150–153.
- Neto, N.A.L., R.A. Voeks, T.L.P. Dias, and R.R.N. Alves. 2012. Mollusks of Candombe: symbolic and ritualistic importance. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 8: 10.
- Neubert E., C. Che'rel-Mora, and P. Bouchet. 2009. Polytypy, clines, and fragmentation: the bulimes of New Caledonia revisited (Pulmonata, Orthalicoidea, Placostylidae). *Mémoires du Musée National d'Histoire Naturelle* 198: 37–131.
- Neubert, E., M.B. Seddon, and D. Allen. 2017. IUCN review workshop on terrestrial molluscs of Europe successfully held in Uppsala. *Tentacle* 25: in press.
- Neves, R. J. 1999. Conservation and commerce: management of freshwater mussel (Bivalvia: Unionoidea) resources in the United States. *Malacologia* 41: 461–474.
- Novacek, M.J. 2001. *The Biodiversity Crisis: Losing What Counts*. New Press, New York. 224 pp.
- Nyoagbe, L.A., V. Appiah, J. Nketsia-Tabiri, D. Larbi and I. Adjei. 2016. Evaluation of African giant snails (*Achatina* and *Archachatina*) obtained from markets (wild) and breeding farms. *African Journal of Food Science* 10: 94–104.
- Osemebo G.J. 1991. Effects of common property resource utilization on wildlife conservation in Nigeria. *GeoJournal* 23: 241–248.
- Pearce, T.A. and M.E. Paustian. 2013. Are temperate land snails susceptible to climate change through reduced altitudinal ranges? A Pennsylvania example. *American Malacological Bulletin* 31: 213–224.
- Peters, H., B.C. O'Leary, J.P. Hawkins, K.E. Carpenter and C.M. Roberts. 2013. *Conus*: first comprehensive conservation Red List assessment of a marine gastropod mollusc genus. *PLoS ONE* 8(12): e83353. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083353
- Peters, H., B. O'Leary, J.P. Hawkins and C.M. Roberts. 2015. Identifying species at extinction risk using global models of anthropogenic impact. *Global Change Biology* 21: 618–628.
- Pippard, H. 2012. Data evaluation begins for Pacific Island land snails. *Tentacle* 20: 37.
- Possingham, H.P., S.J. Andelman, M.A. Burgman, R.A. Medellin, L.L. Master, and D.A. Keith. 2002. Limits to the use of threatened species lists. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 17: 503–507.
- Re'gnier, C., B. Fontaine, and P. Bouchet. 2009. Not knowing, not recording, not listing: numerous unnoticed mollusk extinctions. *Conservation Biology* 23: 1214–1221.
- Re'gnier, C., G. Achaz, A. Lambert, R.H. Cowie, P. Bouchet and B. Fontaine. 2015a. Mass extinction in poorly known taxa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(25): 7761–7766.
- Re'gnier, C., P. Bouchet, K.A. Hayes, N.W. Yeung, C.C. Christensen, D.J.D. Chung, B. Fontaine, and R.H. Cowie. 2015b. Extinction in a hyperdiverse endemic Hawaiian land snail family and implications for the underestimation of invertebrate extinction. *Conservation Biology* 29: 1715–1723.
- Ricciardi, A., R.J. Neves, and J.B. Rasmussen. 1998. Impending extinction of North American freshwater mussels (Unionoidea) following zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) invasion. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 67: 613–619.
- Richling, I. and P. Bouchet. 2013. Extinct even before scientific recognition: a remarkable radiation of helicid snails (Helicidae) on the Gambier Islands, French Polynesia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 22: 2433–2468.
- Rosenberg, G. 2014. A new critical estimate of named species-level diversity of the Recent Mollusca. *American Malacological Bulletin* 32: 308–322.
- Sartori, A.F., O. Gargominy, and B. Fontaine. 2013. Anthropogenic extinction of Pacific land snails: a case study of Rurutu, French Polynesia, with description of eight new species of endodontids (Pulmonata). *Zootaxa* 3640: 343–372.

- Sartori, A.F., O. Gargominy, and B. Fontaine. 2014. Radiation and decline of endodontid land snails in Makatea, French Polynesia. *Zootaxa* 3772(1): 1–68.
- Seddon, M.[B.] 2011. A sampled approach to Red Listing: freshwater mollusc assessment. *Tentacle* 19: 50–51.
- Seddon, M.[B.] 2014. Annual report of the IUCN SSC Mollusc Specialist Group 2013. *Tentacle* 22: 39–41.
- Seddon, M.[B.] 2015. Progress on listing. *Tentacle* 23: 37.
- Seddon, M.B., U. Kebapç, i, M. Lopes-Lima, D. van Damme and K.G. Smith. 2014. Freshwater molluscs. In: K.G. Smith, V. Barrios, W.R.T. Darwall and C. Numa (eds.). *The Status and Distribution of Freshwater Molluscs in the Eastern Mediterranean*. IUCN, Cambridge, Malaga and Gland, pp. 43–56.
- Șîrbu, I. and A.M. Benedek. 2016. Requiem for *Melanopsis parreyssii* or the anatomy of a new extinction in Romania. *Tentacle* 24: 26–28.
- Șîrbu, I., A. Gagiú and A.M. Benedek. 2013. On the brink of extinction: fate of the Pet,ea thermal lake (Romania) and its endemic species. *Tentacle* 21: 35–37.
- Solem, A. 1990. How many Hawaiian land snail species are left? and what we can do for them. *Bishop Museum Occasional Papers* 30: 27–40.
- Solem, A. 1976. Endodontoid land snails from Pacific Islands (Mollusca: Pulmonata: Sigmurethra). Part 1. Family Endodontidae. *Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago*, xii þ 508 pp.
- Strayer, D.L. and H.M. Malcom. 2007. Effects of zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) on native bivalves: the beginning of the end or the end of the beginning? *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 26: 111–112.
- Strayer, D.L., J.A. Downing, W.R. Haag, T.L. King, J.B. Layzer, T.J. Newton and S.J. Nichols. 2004. Changing perspectives on pearly mussels, North America’s most imperiled animals. *BioScience* 54: 429–439.
- Thompson, C.J., T.E. Lee, L. Stone, M.A. McCarthy and M.A. Burgman. 2013. Inferring extinction risks from sighting records. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 338: 16–22.
- Trewick, S.A. 2005. Taxonomic status of the land snail *Powelliphanta* “Augustus”—evidence from mitochondrial DNA data. Unpublished report to the New Zealand Department of Conservation, Hokitika, 4 pp.
- Trewick, S.A., K.J. Walker and C.J. Jordan. 2008. Taxonomic and conservation status of a newly discovered giant landsnail from Mount Augustus, New Zealand. *Conservation Genetics* 9: 1563–1575.
- Triantis, K.A., P.A.V. Borges, R.J. Ladle, J. Hortal, P. Cardoso, C. Gaspar, F. Dinis, E. Mendonc,a, L.M.A. Silveira, R. Gabriel, C. Melo, A.M.C. Santos, I.R. Amorim, S.P. Ribeiro, A.R.M. Serrano, J.A. Quartau, and R.J. Whittaker. 2010. Extinction debt on oceanic islands. *Ecography* 33: 285–294.
- Va’zquez, A.A., J. Sa’ñchez, E. Mart’inez, and A. Alba. 2016. Facilitated invasion of an overseas invader: human mediated settlement and expansion of the giant African snail, *Lissachatina fulica*, in Cuba. *Biological Invasions* DOI 10.1007/s10530-016-1266-3, on line early
- Walker, K.J., S.A. Trewick, and G.M. Barker. 2008. *Powelliphanta augusta*, a new species of land snail, with a description of its former habitat, Stockton coal plateau, New Zealand. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 38: 163–186.
- Wells, S.M., R.M. Pyle, and N.M. Collins. 1983. The IUCN Invertebrate Red Data Book. IUCN, Gland. [ii] þ i–l þ 632 pp.
- Whitmore, N. 2016. Harnessing local ecological knowledge for conservation decision making via Wisdom of Crowds: the case of the Manus green tree snail *Papustyla pulcherrima*. *Oryx* 50(4): 684–692.
- WoRMS Editorial Board. 2017. World Register of Marine Species. Available from <http://www.marinespecies.org> at VLIZ. Accessed 15 February 2017. doi:10.14284/170
- Yildirim, M.Z., U. Kebapç, i, and B.A. Gu’mu’. 2004. Edible snails (terrestrial) of Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 28: 329–335.
- Zimmermann, G., O. Gargominy, and B. Fontaine. 2009. Quatre espe’ces nouvelles d’Endodontidae (Mollusca, Pulmonata) e’teints de Rurutu (I’les Australes, Polyne’sie franc,aïse). *Zoosystema* 31: 791–805.

APPENDIX

Table A1. Species considered extinct, extinct in the wild or critically endangered (possibly extinct) by Re’gnier et al. (2009) and/or the Red List (IUCN, 2016) but now known or thought to be extant (or unrankable). DD – data deficient, VU – vulnerable, EN – endangered, CR – critically endangered, EW – extinct in the wild, EX – Extinct.

| Species | Red List | Re’gnier et al. | References indicating species is extant |
|---|----------|-----------------|---|
| ACHATINELLIDAE | | | |
| <i>Achatinella livida</i> Swainson, 1828 | EX | Extant | M.G. Hadfield, pers. comm. |
| <i>Auriculella uniplicata</i> (Pease, 1868) | EX | Extant | N.Y. Yeung, pers. comm. |
| <i>Perdicella fulgurans</i> Sykes, 1900 | EX | Extant | M.G. Hadfield, pers. comm. |
| <i>Perdicella maniensis</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | EX | Extant | M.G. Hadfield, pers. comm. |
| <i>Perdicella zebrina</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | EX | Extant | M.G. Hadfield, pers. comm. |
| CERASTIDAE | | | |
| <i>Rhachistia aldabrae</i> (Martens, 1898) | EX | EX | Battarbee, 2014 |
| CYCLOPHORIDAE | | | |
| <i>Cyclophorus horridulum</i> (Morelet, 1882) | EX | EX? | Abdou et al., 2004 |
| <i>Cyclosurus mariei</i> Morelet, 1881 | EX | – | Abdou et al., 2004 |

| | | | |
|--|--------|-----|---|
| HYDROBIIDAE | | | |
| <i>Belgrandiella zermanica</i> Radoman, 1973 | VU | EX | Slapnik and Lajtner, 2011 |
| <i>Bracenia spiridoni</i> Radoman, 1973 | EN | EX | Pesčič, 2010a; Pesčič and Gločar, 2013 |
| <i>Islamia zermanica</i> Radoman, 1973 | CR(PE) | EX | Beran et al., 2016 |
| <i>Marstonia castor</i> (Thompson, 1977) | CR | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Mercuria globulina</i> (Letourneux and Bourguignat, 1887) | EX | – | Gločar et al., 2015 |
| <i>Tanousia zrmanjae</i> (Brusina, 1866) | CR | EX | Beran, 2011; Falniowski, 2011a |
| <i>Vinodolia fiumana</i> Radoman, 1973 | EN | EX | Szarowska et al., 2013; Falniowski and Seddon, 2014 |
| <i>Vinodolia fluviatilis</i> (Radoman, 1973) | EN | EX | Beran, 2011; Falniowski, 2011b |
| <i>Vinodolia gluhodolica</i> (Radoman, 1973) | EN | EX | Pesčič, 2010b; Gločar and Pesčič, 2014 |
| LITHOGLYPHIDAE | | | |
| <i>Clappia cahabensis</i> Clench, 1965 | EX | – | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus alcoviensis</i> Krieger, 1915 | EX | – | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus amnicoloides</i> Walker, 1915 | EX | EX | “unrankable”, Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus crassus</i> Walker, 1904 | CR(PE) | – | “unrankable”, Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus currierianus</i> Lea, 1863 | CR(PE) | – | “unrankable”, Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus hendersoni</i> Walker, 1909 | CR(PE) | – | “unrankable”, Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus humerosus</i> Walker, 1906 | CR(PE) | – | “unrankable”, Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus nanus</i> Walker, 1904 | CR(PE) | – | “unrankable”, Johnson et al., 2013 |
| LITTORINIDAE | | | |
| <i>Littoraria flammea</i> (Philippi, 1847) | EX | EX | Dong et al., 2015 |
| NEOCYCLOTIDAE | | | |
| <i>Incertyclus martinicensis</i> (Shuttleworth, 1857) | EX | EX | Delannoye et al., 2015 |
| PARTULIDAE | | | |
| <i>Partula leefei</i> Smith, 1897 | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula nodosa</i> Pfeiffer, 1853 | EW | EW | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Samoana annectens</i> (Pease, 1864) | DD | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Samoana diaphana</i> (Crampton and Cooke, 1953) | EN | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Samoana inflata</i> (Reeve, 1842) | EX | – | Gerlach, 2016 |
| PHYSIDAE | | | |
| <i>Physella columbiana</i> Keep, 1887 | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Physella hemphilli</i> Taylor, 2003 | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| PLANORBIDAE | | | |
| <i>Rhodacmea filosa</i> (Conrad, 1834) | CR | EX | O' Foighil et al., 2011 |
| PLEUROCERIDAE | | | |
| <i>Elimia lachryma</i> (Reeve, 1861) | EX | – | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Elimia melanoides</i> (Conrad, 1834) | – | EX | Minton et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Elimia mutabilis</i> (Lea, 1862) | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Elimia troostiana</i> (Lea, 1838) | CR(PE) | – | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Elimia varians</i> (Lea, 1861) | VU | EX | Cordeiro and Perez, 2012 |
| <i>Elimia vanuxemiana</i> Lea, 1843 | EX | – | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Leptoxis compacta</i> (Anthony, 1854) | EX | EX | Whelan et al., 2012 |

(Continued)

Table A1. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al. | References indicating species is extant |
|--|----------|-----------------|---|
| <i>Leptoxis foremanii</i> (Lea, 1843) | EX | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| STREPTAXIDAE | | | |
| <i>Gulella mayottensis</i> (Connolly, 1885) | EX | – | Abdou et al., 2004 |
| VIVIPARIDAE | | | |
| <i>Tchangmargarya yangtsunghaiensis</i> (Tchang and Tsi, 1949) | CR(PE) | – | Zhang et al., 2015 |
| UNIONIDAE | | | |
| <i>Pleurobema taitianum</i> (Lea, 1834) | EN | EX | Cummings and Cordeiro, 2012 |

Table A2. Species listed on the Red List (IUCN, 2016) or by Re'gnier et al. (2009) as extinct or critically endangered (possibly extinct) but now considered as subspecies or synonyms of other species, as nomina dubia, or that are undescribed. These are excluded from the present analysis.

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al. | Source for subspecies/synonym/undescribed status |
|---|----------|-----------------|--|
| HYDROBIIDAE | | | |
| <i>Bythiospeum dubium</i> (Geyer, 1904) | CR(PE) | – | Synonym of <i>Bythiospeum acicula</i> ; Richling et al., 2016 |
| <i>Bythiospeum gonostoma</i> (Geyer, 1905) | CR(PE) | – | Synonym of <i>Bythiospeum acicula</i> ; Richling et al., 2016 |
| <i>Bythiospeum putei</i> (Geyer, 1904) | CR(PE) | – | Synonym of <i>Bythiospeum acicula</i> ; Richling et al., 2016 |
| <i>Bythiospeum turritum</i> (Clessin, 1877) | CR(PE) | – | Synonym of <i>Bythiospeum acicula</i> ; Richling et al., 2016 |
| <i>Pseudamnicola desertorum</i> (Bourguignat, 1862) | EX 2010 | – | Synonym of <i>Pseudamnicola letourneuxiana</i> ; Glo'er et al., 2010 |
| ORTHALICIDAE | | | |
| <i>Bulimulus</i> sp. nov. 'josevillani' | CR(PE) | | undescribed; IUCN (2016) |
| <i>Bulimulus</i> sp. nov. 'krameri' | CR(PE) | | undescribed; IUCN (2016) |
| <i>Bulimulus</i> sp. nov. 'nilsodhneri' | CR(PE) | | undescribed; IUCN (2016) |
| <i>Bulimulus</i> sp. nov. 'tuideroyi' | CR(PE) | | undescribed; IUCN (2016) |
| <i>Bulimulus</i> sp. nov. 'vanmoli' | CR(PE) | | undescribed; IUCN (2016) |
| PARTULILDAE | | | |
| <i>Partula callifera</i> Pfeiffer, 1857 | EX | | Subspecies of <i>Partula dentifera</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula candida</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX | | Subspecies of <i>Partula dentifera</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula cedista</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX | | Subspecies of <i>Partula dentifera</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula citrina</i> Pease, 1866 | EX | | Subspecies of <i>Partula dentifera</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula formosa</i> Garrett, 1884 | EX | | Subspecies of <i>Partula dentifera</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula imperforata</i> Pfeiffer, 1877 | EX | | Subspecies of <i>Partula dentifera</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |

| | | | |
|--|---------|----|--|
| <i>Partula raiatensis</i> Garrett, 1884 | EX | | Synonym of <i>Partula imperforata</i> ; Gerlach, 2016 |
| PLEUROCERIDAE | | | |
| <i>Elimia timida timida</i> Goodrich, 1942 | – | EX | Dillon and Robinson, 2011 |
| UNIONIDAE | | | |
| <i>Nodularia cariei</i> (Germain, 1919) | EX 1996 | EX | Synonym of <i>Coelatura aegyptiaca</i> ; Graf and Cummings, 2009 |
| <i>Unio madagascariensis</i> Sganzin, 1842 | EX 2016 | – | Nomen dubium; Graf and Cummings, 2009 |
| <i>Unio malgachensis</i> Germain, 1911 | EX 2016 | – | Nomen dubium; Graf and Cummings, 2009 |
| R.H. Cowie et al., 2017 | | | Page 17 |

Table A3. Terrestrial species considered extinct (EX), possibly extinct (EX?) or extinct in the wild (EW) in the present study, compared with their status as evaluated by Re'gnier et al. (2009), and on the Red List (IUCN, 2016). Red List categories are extinct (EX), extinct in the wild (EW), critically endangered (possibly extinct) (CR(PE)), critically endangered (CR), and data deficient (DD); the date of the IUCN evaluation follows the status. EX? is treated as equivalent to CR(PE). A dash indicates the species was not evaluated. Sources are only provided if the status in this study differs from the later of IUCN (2016) and Re'gnier et al. (2009). Species explicitly described as fossil or subfossil are asterisked.

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| ACHATINELLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Achatinella abbreviata</i> Reeve, 1850 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella apexfulva</i> (Dixon, 1789) | – | – | EX? | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Achatinella buddii</i> Newcomb, 1854 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella caesia</i> Gulick, 1858 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella casta</i> Newcomb, 1854 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella cestus</i> Newcomb, 1854 | – | – | EX? | USFWS, 1993 |
| <i>Achatinella decora</i> (Fe'russac, 1821) | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella dimorpha</i> Gulick, 1858 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella elegans</i> Newcomb, 1854 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella juddii</i> Baldwin, 1895 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella juncea</i> Gulick, 1856 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella lehuiensis</i> Smith, 1873 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella papyracea</i> Gulick, 1856 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella phaeozona</i> Gulick, 1856 | – | – | EX? | USFWS 1993 |
| <i>Achatinella rosea</i> Swainson, 1828 | – | – | EX | USFWS 1993 |
| <i>Achatinella spaldingi</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella stewartii</i> (Green, 1827) | – | – | EX? | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Achatinella thaanumi</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella valida</i> Pfeiffer, 1855 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Achatinella viridans</i> Mighels, 1845 | – | – | EX? | USFWS, 1993 |
| <i>Achatinella vittata</i> Reeve, 1850 | – | – | EX | USFWS, 1993 |
| <i>Achatinella vulpina</i> (Fe'russac, 1821) | – | – | EX | USFWS, 1993 |

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----|-----|---------------------------------|
| <i>Auriculella expansa</i> Pease, 1868 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Hotumatua anakenana</i> Kirch et al., 2009 | – | – | EX | Kirch et al., 2009 |
| <i>Lamellidea monodonta</i> (Pilsbry and Hirase, 1904) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Lamellidea nakadai</i> (Pilsbry and Cooke, 1915)* | EX 1994 | – | EX | |
| <i>Newcombia canaliculata</i> (Baldwin, 1893) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Newcombia gagei</i> Severns, 2009* | – | – | EX | Severns, 2009 |
| <i>Newcombia perkinsi</i> Sykes, 1896 | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Newcombia pfeifferi</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Newcombia philippiana</i> (Pfeiffer, 1857) | EX 1994 | – | EX | |
| <i>Newcombia sulcata</i> (Pfeiffer, 1857) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Partulina variabilis</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EW | |
| <i>Partulina confusa</i> (Sykes, 1900) | CR 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partulina crassa</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | EX 1986 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partulina dubia</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Partulina horneri</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partulina montagui</i> Pilsbry, 1913 | EX 1986 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partulina semicarinata</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EW | |
| <i>Perdicella carinella</i> (Baldwin, 1906) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Perdicella ornata</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Perdicella thwingi</i> (Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914) | – | – | EX | B.S. Holland, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Perdicella zebra</i> (Newcomb, 1855) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Tornelasmias capricorni</i> Iredale, 1944 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| AMASTRIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Amastra abavus</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra aemulator</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra affinis</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra albocincta</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra albolabris</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra amicta</i> Smith, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

(Continued)

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Amastra anthonii</i> (Newcomb, 1861) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra antiqua</i> (Baldwin, 1895)* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra assimilis</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra aurostoma</i> Baldwin, 1896) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra badia</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra baldwiniana</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

(Continued)

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----|-----|-----------------------|
| <i>Amastra buplicata</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra borcherdingi</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra breviata</i> Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra caputadamantis</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra conica</i> Baldwin, 1906* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra conifera</i> Smith, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra cornea</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra crassilabrum</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra cyclostoma</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra davisiana</i> Cooke, 1908 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra decorticata</i> Gulick, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra delicata</i> Cooke, 1933 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra durandi</i> Ancey, 1897 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra dwightii</i> Cooke, 1933 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra elegantula</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra elephantina</i> Cooke, 1917* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra elliptica</i> Gulick, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra elongata</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra eos</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra extincta</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856)* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra farcimen</i> (Pfeiffer, 1857) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra flavescens</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra flemingi</i> Cooke, 1917* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra forbesi</i> Cooke, 1917* | EX 1996 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra fossilis</i> Baldwin, 1903* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra fragilis</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra fragosa</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra fraterna</i> Sykes, 1896 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra globosa</i> Cooke, 1933* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra gouveii</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra grayana</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra gulickiana</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra hawaiiensis</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra hitchcocki</i> Cooke, 1917* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra humilis</i> (Newcomb, 1855) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra hutchinsonii</i> (Pease, 1862) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra implicata</i> Cooke, 1933 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra inflata</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra inopinata</i> Cooke, 1933* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra irwiniana</i> Cooke, 1908 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra johnsoni</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra juddii</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra kalamaulensis</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra kauaiensis</i> (Newcomb, 1860) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra kaunakakaiensis</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra knudsenii</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra laeva</i> Baldwin, 1906 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra lahainana</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra lineolata</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra luctuosa</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra luteola</i> (Fe'rrusac, 1825) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra magna</i> (Adams, 1851) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Amastra makawaoensis</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra malleata</i> Smith, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra mastersi</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra melanosis</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra metamorpha</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra mirabilis</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra modesta</i> (Adams, 1851) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra modicella</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra moesta</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra montagui</i> Pilsbry, 1913 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra montana</i> Baldwin, 1906 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra montivaga</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra morticina</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra mucronata</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nana</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nannodes</i> Cooke, 1933 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra neglecta</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nigra</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nubifera</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nubigena</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nubilosa</i> (Mighels, 1845) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nucleola</i> (Gould, 1845) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra nucula</i> Smith, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra obesa</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra oswaldi</i> Cooke, 1933 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra ovatula</i> Cooke, 1933* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra pagodula</i> Cooke, 1917* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra paulula</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra peasei</i> Smith, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra pellucida</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra perversa</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra petricola</i> (Newcomb, 1855) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra pilsbryi</i> Cooke, 1913 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra porcus</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra porphyrostoma</i> (Pease, 1869) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra praeopima</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra problematica</i> Cooke, 1933 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra pullata</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra pusilla</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra reticulata</i> (Newcomb, 1854) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra ricei</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra rubida</i> Gulick, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra rubristoma</i> Baldwin, 1906 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra rugulosa</i> Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra seminigra</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

(Continued)

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------------------|
| <i>Amastra seminuda</i> Baldwin, 1906 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra senilis</i> Baldwin, 1903* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra sericea</i> (Pfeiffer, 1859) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra similaris</i> Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra sinistrorsa</i> Baldwin, 1906* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra sola</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra soror</i> (Pfeiffer, 1868) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra spaldingi</i> Cooke, 1908 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra sphaerica</i> Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra spicula</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra subcornea</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra subcrassilabris</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra subobscura</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Amastra subrostrata</i> (Pfeiffer, 1859) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra subsoror</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra sykesi</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra tenuilabris</i> Gulick, 1873 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra tenuispira</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra textilis</i> (Fe'rrusac, 1825) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra thaanumi</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra thurstoni</i> Cooke, 1917* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra transversalis</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra tricincta</i> Hyatt and Pilsbry, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra tristis</i> (Fe'rrusac, 1825) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra turritela</i> (Fe'rrusac, 1821) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra ultima</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra umbilicata</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra umbrosa</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra undata</i> (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra uniplicata</i> (Hartman, 1888) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra variegata</i> (Pfeiffer, 1849) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra vetusta</i> (Baldwin, 1895)* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra violacea</i> (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra viriosa</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Amastra whitei</i> Cooke, 1917 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Armsia petasus</i> (Ancey, 1899) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia anceophila</i> Cooke, 1931 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia bicolor</i> (Jay, 1839) | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia cochlea</i> (Reeve, 1849)* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia cumingiana</i> (Pfeiffer, 1855) | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia dolei</i> Ancey, 1893* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia evelynae</i> Cooke and Kondo, 1952* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia glossema</i> Cooke, 1931 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| <i>Carelia hyattiana</i> Pilsbry, 1911 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|----|-----|-----------------------|
| Carelia kalalauensis Cooke, 1931 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia knudseni Cooke, 1931 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia lirata Cooke, 1931* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia lymani Cooke, 1931* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia mirabilis Cooke, 1931* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia necra Cooke, 1931* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia olivacea Pease, 1866 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia paradoxa (Pfeiffer, 1854) | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia periscelis Cooke, 1931 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia pilsbryi Sykes, 1909 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia sinclairi Ancey, 1892* | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia tenebrosa Cooke, 1931 | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Carelia turricula (Mighels, 1845) | EX 1990 | EX | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella alexandri (Newcomb, 1865) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella bulbosa (Gulick, 1858) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella citrina (Pfeiffer, 1848) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella concinna (Newcomb, 1854) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella depicta (Baldwin, 1895) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella gravida (Fe'russac, 1825) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella kuhnsi (Cooke, 1908) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella picta (Mighels, 1845) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella remyi (Newcomb, 1855) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella straminea (Reeve, 1850) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella tetrao (Newcomb, 1855) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Laminella venusta (Mighels, 1845) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina accineta (Mighels, 1845) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina acuminata (Gould, 1847) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Leptachatina anceyana Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina antiqua Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina approximans Ancey, 1897 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina arborea Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina attenuata Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina baldwini Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina balteata Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina brevicula (Pease, 1869) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina callosa (Pfeiffer, 1857) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina captiosa Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina cingula (Gould, 1847) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina compacta (Pease, 1869) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina concolor Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina conicoides Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina conspicienda Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina convexuscula Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina cookei Pilsbry, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------------------|
| Leptachatina corneola (Pfeiffer, 1846) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina coruscans (Hartman, 1888) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina costulata (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina costulosa Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina deceptor Cockerell, 1927* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina defuncta Cooke, 1910* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina dimidiata (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina dormitor Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina dulcis Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina emerita Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina exilis (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina exoptabilis Cooke, 1910* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina extensa Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina fossilis Cooke, 1910* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina fraterna Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina fulgida Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina fumida (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina fusca (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina fuscula (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina gayi Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina glutinosa (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina grana (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina guttula (Gould, 1847) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina haenensis Cockerell, 1927* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina henshawi Sykes, 1903 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina hyperodon Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina illimis Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina imitatrix Sykes, 1900* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina impressa Sykes, 1896 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina irregularis (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina isthmica Ancey and Sykes, 1899* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina knudseni Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina konaensis Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina kuhnsi Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina labiata (Newcomb, 1853) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina laevigata Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina laevis Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina lagena (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina lanaiensis Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina lanceolata Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina leiahiensis Cooke, 1910* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| Leptachatina lenta Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina leucochila (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina longiuscula Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|-----------------------|
| Leptachatina lucida Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina maniensis (Pfeiffer, 1855) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina marginata (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina mcgregori Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina microdon Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina molokaiensis Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina morbida Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina nematoglypta Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina obsoleta (Pfeiffer, 1857) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina obtusa (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina octogyrata (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina omphalodes (Ancey, 1899) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina opipara Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina optabilis Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina oryza (Pfeiffer, 1856)* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina ovata Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina pachystoma (Pease, 1869) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina perforata Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina perkinsi Sykes, 1896 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina petila (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina pilsbryi Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina praestabilis Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina pulchra Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina pumicata (Mighels, 1845) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina pupoidea Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina pyramis (Pfeiffer, 1846) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina resinula (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina saccula (Hartman, 1888) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina sagittata Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina sandwicensis (Pfeiffer, 1846) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina saxatilis (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina sculpta (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina scutilus (Mighels, 1845) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina semipicta Sykes, 1896 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina simplex (Pease, 1869) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina smithi Sykes, 1896 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina somniator Pilsbry and Cooke, 1914* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina stiria (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina striata (Newcomb, 1861) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina striatula (Gould, 1845) | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina subcylindracea Cooke, 1910* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina subovata Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina subula (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina succincta (Newcomb, 1855) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina supracostata Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina tenebrosa Pease, 1870 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina tenuicostata (Pease, 1869)* | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina terebralis (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina teres (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina thanumi Cooke, 1911 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina triticea (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina turrita (Gulick, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina vana Sykes, 1900 | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

(Continued)

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------------------|
| Leptachatina varia Cooke, 1910 | – | – | EX | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |
| Leptachatina ventulus (Fe'russac, 1825) | – | – | EX? | Re'gnier et al., 2015 |

Source for revised status;
comments

er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015
er et al., 2015

s, 2014

2010; F. Brook, pers.
m, 2016
ndell, pers. comm., 2016

(Continued)

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Euhadra nachicola</i> Kuroda, 1929 | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Euhadra sadoensis</i> (Pilsbry and Hirase, 1903) | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Helicostyla carbonaria</i> (Sowerby, 1842) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Helicostyla collodes</i> (Sowerby 1841) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla cunctator</i> (Reeve, 1849) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla daphnis</i> (Broderip, 1841) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla moreleti</i> (Pfeiffer, 1890) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla pfeifferi</i> Semper, 1877 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla phloiodes</i> (Pfeiffer, 1842) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla pilsbryi</i> (Hidalgo 1890) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helicostyla propitia</i> (Fulton, H.C. 1907) | – | EX? | EX? | |

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|-----|---|
| <i>Helicostyla velata</i> (Broderip, 1841) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Helicostyla zebuensis</i> (Broderip, 1841) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Mandarina luhuaana</i> (Sowerby, 1839) | – | EX | EX | |
| BULIMULIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Bulimulus achatellinus</i> (Forbes, 1850) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus adelphus</i> (Dall, 1917) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus bruno</i> von Ihering, 1917 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Bulimulus deridderi</i> (Coppo, 1985) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus duncanus</i> (Dall, 1893) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus eos</i> (Odhner, 1951) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus lycodus</i> (Dall, 1917) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus saeronius</i> (Dall, 1917) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bulimulus tanneri</i> (Dall, 1895) | CR(PE) 2003 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Naesiotus arnaldoi</i> (Lanzieri and Rezende, 1971) | – | – | EX? | Salvador et al., 2013 |
| CAMAENIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Aegista inexpecta</i> Kuroda and Minato, 1977 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Amphidromus dohrni</i> (Pfeiffer, 1863) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Amphidromus metabletus</i> Moellendorff, 1900 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Amphidromus sinensis</i> (Benson, 1851) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Satsuma fausta</i> (Pilsbry, 1902) | – | – | EX | Association of Wildlife Research and EnVision Conservation Office, 2015 |
| CERASTIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Pachnodus curiosus</i> Gerlach, 2003 | EX 2009 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Pachnodus ladiguensis</i> Gerlach, 2003 | EX 2009 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Pachnodus velutinus</i> (Pfeiffer, 1868) | EX 2009 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Rachis comorensis</i> (Morelet, 1881) | EX 1994 | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Rachis sanguineus</i> (Barclay, 1857) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Rachistia vesiculatus</i> (Benson, 1859) | – | EX | EX | |
| CHAROPIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Charopa perryi</i> Smith, 1897 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Charopa rotumana</i> Smith 1897 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Damonita geminoropiformis</i> Climo, 1981 | – | – | EX | Spencer et al., 2009 |
| <i>Discocharopa aperta</i> (Moellendorff, 1888) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helenoconcha leptalea</i> (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helenoconcha minutissima</i> (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Helenoconcha polyodon</i> (Sowerby, 1844) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |

(Continued)

| | | | |
|---|---------|----|----|
| <i>Helenoconcha pseustes</i> (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| <i>Helenoconcha sexdentata</i> (Smith, 1893) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| <i>Helenodiscus bilamellata</i> (Sowerby, 1844) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| <i>Helenodiscus vernoni</i> (Smith 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| <i>Hirasea biconcava</i> Hirase, 1907 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Hirasea diplomphalus</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Hirasea eutheca</i> Hirase, 1907 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Hirasea goniobasis</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Hirasea hypolia</i> Hirase, 1907 | – | EX | EX |

R.H. Cowie et al., 2017

Page 25

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Hirasea insignis</i> Pilsbry and Hirase, 1904 | EN | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Hirasea major</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Hirasea operculina</i> (Gould, 1859) | EN | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Hirasea sinuosa</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Lauopa mbalavuana</i> Solem, 1983 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Libera subcavernula</i> (Tryon, 1887) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Libera tumuloides</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha acuticosta</i> (Garrett, 1884) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha consimilis</i> (Pease, 1868) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha consobrina</i> (Garrett, 1884) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha maupiensis</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha parvidens</i> (Pease, 1861) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha punctiperforata</i> (Garrett, 1884) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha saintjohni</i> Solem, 1976 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha subtilis</i> (Garrett, 1884) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha unilamellata</i> (Garrett, 1874) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha zebrina</i> (Garrett, 1874) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mocella elliottae</i> (Climo, 1969) | – | – | EX | Spencer et al., 2009 |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----|-----|--|
| <i>Mocella spelaeus</i> (Climo, 1971) | – | – | EX | Spencer et al., 2009 |
| <i>Propilula cyclaria</i> (Morelet, 1875) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea canalis</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea decorticata</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea harveyensis</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea muri</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm, 2016 |
| <i>Sinployea otareae</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea planospira</i> (Garrett, 1881) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea proxima</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea rudis</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea tenuicostata</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Sinployea titikaveka</i> Brook, 2010 | – | EX? | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm, 2016 |
| <i>Sinployea tupapa</i> Brook, 2010 | – | EX? | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm, 2016 |
| <i>Sinployea youngi</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Taipidon anceyana</i> (Garrett, 1887) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Taipidon marquesana</i> (Garrett, 1887) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Taipidon octolamellata</i> (Garrett, 1887) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Trachycystis rariplicata</i> (Benson, 1887) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Zelandiscus elevatus</i> (Climo, 1978) | – | – | EX | Climo, 1981 |
| CHRONIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Trochochlamys ogasawarana</i> (Pilsbry, 1902) | – | EX | EX | |
| CLAUSILIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Neophaedusa spelaeonis</i> Kuroda and Minato, 1975 | DD | DD? | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| COCHLICELLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Monilearia pulverulenta</i> (Lowe, 1861) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? | |
| CYCLOPHORIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Cyclophorus acutimarginatus</i> (Sowerby, 1842) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Cyclophorus stenomphalus</i> (Pfeiffer, 1846) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Nobuea kurodai</i> Minato and Tada, 1978 | DD 1996 | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| DIPLOMMATINIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Diplommatina alata</i> (Crosse 1866) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Diplommatina aurea</i> Beddome 1889 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |

(Continued)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|---|
| <i>Diplommatina gibboni</i> Beddome 1889 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Opisthostoma decrespignyi</i> (H. Adams, 1865) | CR(PE) 2004 | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Opisthostoma otostoma</i> Boettger, 1893 | CR(PE) 2004 | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Palaina albata</i> (Beddome 1889) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Palaina patula</i> (Crosse 1866) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Palaina platycheilus</i> (Beddome 1889) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Palaina pupa</i> Crosse 1866 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Plectostoma charasense</i> (Tomlin, 1948) | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Plectostoma dindingense</i> Liew et al., 2014 | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Plectostoma sciaphilum</i> (van Benthem Jutting, 1952) | EX 2014 | – | EX | Schilthuizen and Clements, 2008; Liew et al., 2014 |
| <i>Plectostoma turriforme</i> (van Benthem Jutting, 1952) | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| DISCIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Keraea garachicoensis</i> (Wollaston 1878) | – | EX | EX | |
| DYAKIIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Dyakia clippeus</i> (Mousson, 1849) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| ENDODONTIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Aaadonta angaurana</i> Solem 1976 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Aaadonta kinlochi</i> Solem 1976 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Aaadonta pelewana</i> Solem 1976 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | R.J. Rundell, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Anceyodonta alternata</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta andersoni</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta constricta</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta densicostata</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta difficilis</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta ganhuuensis</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta gatavakensis</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta hamyana</i> (Ancey, 1889) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta labiosa</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta obesa</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta sexlamellata</i> (Pfeiffer, 1845) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta soror</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta subconica</i> Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Anceyodonta umbilicata</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Australdonta anneae</i> Sartori et al., 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| <i>Australdonta collicella</i> Zimmermann et al., 2009 | – | – | EX | |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|----|-----|-------------------------|
| Australdonta ectopia Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Australdonta florencei Sartori et al., 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| Australdonta magnasulcatissima Zimmermann et al., 2009 | – | – | EX | Zimmerman et al., 2009 |
| Australdonta microspiralis Zimmermann et al., 2009 | – | – | EX | Zimmermann et al., 2009 |
| Australdonta oheatora Sartori, Gargominy and Fontaine, 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| Australdonta pakalolo Sartori, Gargominy and Fontaine, 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| Australdonta pharcata Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Australdonta pseudplanulata Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Australdonta rimatarana Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Australdonta sibleti Sartori et al., 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| Australdonta sulcata Zimmermann et al., 2009 | – | – | EX | Zimmermann et al., 2009 |
| Australdonta teaae Sartori et al., 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| Australdonta tubuaiana Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Endodonta apiculata (Ancey, 1889) | CR(PE) 2000 | – | EX? | |
| Gambiodonta agakuitaiana Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Gambiodonta grandis Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Gambiodonta mangarevana Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| Gambiodonta mirabilis Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|----------|--------------------------|---------------|---|
| <i>Gambiodonta pilsbryi</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gambiodonta tumida</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Hirasea planulata</i> Pilsbry and Hirase, 1903 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Kleokyphus callimus</i> Solem, 1976 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Kleokyphus cowiei</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Kleokyphus hypsus</i> Solem, 1976 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha aurora</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha ceuthma</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Mautodontha domaneschii</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha harperae</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha makateaensis</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha occidentalis</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha passosi</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha rarotongensis</i> (Pease, 1870) | – | – | EX? | Brook et al., 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Mautodontha spelunca</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha temaoensis</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Mautodontha virginiae</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Minidonta anatonuana</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta aroa</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta arorangi</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta bieleri</i> Sartori et al., 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| <i>Minidonta boucheti</i> Sartori et al., 2013 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2013 |
| <i>Minidonta extraria</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta flammulina</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta gravacosta</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta haplaenopla</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta iota</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta kavera</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta macromphalus</i> Preece, 1998 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta manuaensis</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta micra</i> Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |

| | | | | |
|---|---------|----|----|--|
| <i>Minidonta micraconica</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta ngatangia</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta perminima</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta planulata</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta pue</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta rutaki</i> Brook, 2010 | – | – | EX | Brook, 2010; F. Brook, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Minidonta simulata</i> Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta sulcata</i> Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta taravensis</i> Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta taunensis</i> Solem and Cooke, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Minidonta vallonina</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Pseudothelidion spurca</i> (Sowerby, 1844) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Pseudolibera aubertdelaruei</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera cookei</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera elieporii</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera extincta</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera lillianae</i> Solem, 1976 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera matthieui</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera paraminderae</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| <i>Pseudolibera parva</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Pseudolibera solemi</i> Sartori et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Sartori et al., 2014 |
| <i>Rikitea insolens</i> Cooke and Solem, 1976 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Rikitea tapinoptyx</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Thaumatodon multilamellata</i> (Garrett, 1872) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| ENIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Mirus hachijoensis</i> (Kuroda, 1945) | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| EUCONULIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Advena campbelli</i> (Gray, 1834) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Aukena endodonta</i> Bouchet and Abdou, 2001 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Aukena tridentata</i> (Baker, 1940) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Coneuplecta turrita</i> (Semper, 1873) | CE(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | |

| | | | |
|---|---------|----|----|
| <i>Cookeana anathesis</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Cookeana vindex</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Diastole matafaoi</i> Baker, 1938 | EX 1996 | – | EX |
| <i>Diastole rurutui</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Fanulena perrugosa</i> Iredale, 1945 | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| <i>Lamprocystis rurutuana</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Microcystis adusta</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Microcystis andersoni</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Microcystis kondoi</i> Baker, 1938 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Nancibella quintalia</i> (Cox, 1870) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| <i>Philonesia pyramidalis</i> Preece, 1998 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Philonesia weisleri</i> Preece, 1998 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Quintalia flosculus</i> Cox, 1866 | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| <i>Quintalia stoddartii</i> Gray, 1834 | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| GASTROCOPTIDAE | | | |
| <i>Campolaemus perexilis</i> (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| <i>Gastrocopta chichijimana</i> Pilsbry, 1916 | EX 1994 | – | EX |
| <i>Gastrocopta ogasawarana</i> Pilsbry, 1916 | EX 1994 | – | EX |
| GASTRODONTIDAE | | | |
| <i>Atlantica engonata</i> (Shuttleworth, 1852) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Atlantica retexta</i> (Shuttleworth, 1852) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Atlantica textilis</i> (Shuttleworth, 1852) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Janulus pompylius</i> (Shuttleworth, 1852) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Poecilozonites reinianus</i> (Pfeiffer, 1863) | – | EX | EX |
| HELICARIONIDAE | | | |
| <i>Caldwellia philyrina</i> Morelet, 1873 | EX 1996 | – | EX |
| <i>Ctenoglypta newtoni</i> (Nevill, 1871) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| <i>Ctenophila aigretteianum</i> Griffiths, 2000 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Dancea bewsheriana</i> (Morelet, 1875) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Dupontia affouchensis</i> Griffiths, 2000 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Dupontia proletaria</i> (Morelet, 1860) | EX 1996 | – | EX |
| <i>Epiglypta howinsulae</i> (Cox, 1873) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Erepta chloritifformis</i> Griffiths and Vincent, 2004 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Erepta nevillei</i> (H. Adams, 1867) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |

(Continued)

| | | | |
|---|---------|----|----|
| <i>Erepta pyramidalis</i> Griffiths and Florens, 2004 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Erepta thiriouxi</i> (Germain, 1918) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Erepta wendystrahmi</i> Griffiths, 2000 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Harmogenanina linophora</i> (Morelet, 1860) | EX 1994 | – | EX |
| <i>Harmogenanina subdetecta</i> Germain, 1921 | EX 1994 | – | EX |
| <i>Hirasiella clara</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Pachystyla rufozonata</i> (H. Adams), 1867 | EX 1994 | – | EX |
| <i>Pachystyla waynepagei</i> Griffiths, 2000 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Plegma duponti</i> (Morelet, 1866) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Pseudophasis nevillei</i> (H. Adams, 1867) | – | EX | EX |
| HELICIDAE | | | |

R.H. Cowie et al., 2017

Page 29

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Hemicycla modesta</i> (Fe'russac, 1821) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? | |
| HELICINIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Alcacia guadeloupensis</i> (Sowerby, 1842) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Nesiocina abdoui</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina gambierensis</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina grohi</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina mangarevae</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina pauciplicata</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina pazi</i> (Crosse 1865) | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina superoperculata</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina trilamellata</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Nesiocina unilamellata</i> Richling and Bouchet, 2013 | – | – | EX | Richling and Bouchet, 2013 |
| <i>Ogasawarana arata</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Ogasawarana capsula</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Ogasawarana chichijimana</i> Minato, 1980 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Ogasawarana discrepans</i> Pilsbry, 1902 | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Ogasawarana habei</i> Minato, 1980 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Ogasawarana metamorpha</i> Minato, 1980 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Ogasawarana nitida</i> Minato, 1980 | DD | – | EX | Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan, 2016 |
| <i>Ogasawarana rex</i> Minato, 1980 | – | EX | EX | |

(Continued)

| | | | |
|---|-------------|----|-----|
| <i>Orobophana carinacosta</i> Preece, 1998 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Pleuropoma hendersoni</i> Preece, 1998 | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Pseudotrochatella undulata</i> (Morelet, 1878) | – | EX | EX |
| HOLOSPIRIDAE | | | |
| <i>Holospira piloceri</i> (Pfeiffer, 1841) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Coelostemma richardi</i> Thompson, 1971 | – | EX | EX |
| HYGROMIIDAE | | | |
| <i>Discula lyelliana</i> (Lowe, 1852) | CR(PE) 2011 | EX | EX? |
| <i>Discula tetrica</i> (Lowe, 1852) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Geomitra delphinuloides</i> (Lowe, 1860) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Geomitra grabhami</i> (Wollaston, 1878) | CR(PE) 2011 | EX | EX? |
| <i>Helicopsis paulhessei</i> (Lindholm, 1936) | EX 2011 | – | EX |
| <i>Montserratina becasis</i> (Rambur, 1868) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Pseudocampylaea loweii</i> (Fe'russac, 1835) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| <i>Trochoidea picardi</i> (Haas, 1955) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| LAURIIDAE | | | |
| <i>Leiostyla abbreviata</i> Lowe, 1852 | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Leiostyla cassida</i> (Lowe, 1831) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Leiostyla gibba</i> Lowe, 1852 | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Leiostyla lamellosa</i> Lowe, 1852 | EX 2011 | EX | EX |
| <i>Leiostyla simulator</i> (Pilsbry, 1923) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| MEGALOMASTOMATIDAE | | | |
| <i>Madgeaconcha sevathiani</i> Griffiths and Florens, 2004 | CR(PE) 2014 | EX | EX? |
| NEOCYCLOTIDAE | | | |
| <i>Amphicyclotulus guadeloupensis</i> de la Torre, et al., 1942 | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| <i>Incerticyclus cinereus</i> (Droue't, 1859) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| ODONTOSTOMIDAE | | | |
| <i>Tomigerus gibberulus</i> (Burrow, 1815) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| <i>Tomigerus turbinatus</i> (Pfeiffer, 1845) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |
| OLEACINIDAE | | | |
| <i>Oleacina guadeloupensis</i> (Pfeiffer, 1857) | EX 1996 | EX | EX |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---------|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
|---------|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|-----|--|
| <i>Oleacina paivana</i> (Pfeiffer, 1866) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| OREOHELICIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Oreohelix florida</i> Pilsbry, 1939 | – | EX | EX | |
| PARMACELLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Cryptella tamaranensis</i> Hutterer, 1990 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Parmacella gervaisii</i> Moquin-Tandon, 1850 | – | EX | EX | |
| PARTULIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Eua globosa</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1934 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Palaopartula leucothoe</i> (Semper, 1865) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Partula arguta</i> (Pease, 1866) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula atilis</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula aurantia</i> Crampton, 1932 | EX 1988 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula auriculata</i> Broderip, 1832 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula bilineata</i> Pease, 1866 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula clarkei</i> Gerlach, 2016 | – | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula cootei</i> Gerlach, 2016 | – | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula crassilabris</i> Pease, 1866 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula cuneata</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula cytherea</i> Cooke and Crampton, 1930 | EX 1996 | EX | EX? | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula dentifera</i> Pfeiffer, 1853 | EW 1996 | EW | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula desolata</i> Bauman and Kerr, 2013* | – | – | EX | Bauman and Kerr, 2013; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula diminuta</i> Adams, 1851 | – | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula dolichostoma</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula dolorosa</i> Crampton and Cooke, 1953 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula eremita</i> Crampton and Cook, 1953 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula faba</i> (Gmelin, 1791) | EW 1996 | EW | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula garrettii</i> Pease, 1865 | EX 2009 | EW | EW | |
| <i>Partula guamensis</i> (Pfeiffer, 1846) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula hebe</i> (Pfeiffer, 1846) | EW 1996 | EW | EW | |
| <i>Partula jackieburchi</i> (Kondo, 1981) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula labrusca</i> Crampton and Cooke, 1953 | EX 2009 | EW | EW | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula langfordi</i> Kondo, 1970 | CR 1996 | – | EX | Kerr, 2013; Bauman and Kerr, 2013; Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula leptochila</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula levistriata</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula lugubris</i> Pease, 1865 | EX 2009 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula lutea</i> Lesson, 1831 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula magistri</i> Gerlach, 2016 | – | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula makatea</i> Gerlach, 2016* | – | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |

(Continued)

| | | | | |
|---|---------|----|-----|---------------|
| <i>Partula mirabilis</i> Crampton, 1924 | EW 1996 | – | EW | |
| <i>Partula mooreana</i> Hartman, 1880 | EW 1996 | EW | EW | |
| <i>Partula navigatoria</i> (Pfeiffer, 1849) | EX 2009 | EX | EW | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula pearcekellyi</i> Gerlach, 2016 | – | – | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula planilabrum</i> Pease, 1864 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula producta</i> Pease, 1865 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula protracta</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula remota</i> Crampton, 1956 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula rosea</i> Broderip, 1832 | EW 2009 | – | EW | |
| <i>Partula rufa</i> Lesson, 1831 | – | – | EX? | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula sagitta</i> Crampton and Cooke, 1953 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula salifana</i> Crampton, 1925 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula suturalis</i> Pfeiffer, 1855 | EW 2009 | EW | EW | |
| <i>Partula tohiveana</i> Crampton, 1924 | EW 1996 | EW | EW | |
| <i>Partula tristis</i> Crampton and Cooke, 1953 | EW 1996 | EW | EX | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Partula turgida</i> (Pease, 1865) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula umbilicata</i> Pease, 1866 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Partula varia</i> Broderip, 1832 | EW 2009 | EX | EW | Gerlach, 2016 |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| <i>Samoana cramptoni</i> Pilsbry and Cooke, 1934 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Samoana minuta</i> (Pfeiffer, 1856) | – | – | EX? | Gerlach, 2016 |
| <i>Samoana pilsbryi</i> Gerlach, 2016 | – | – | EX? | Gerlach, 2016 |
| PLEURODONTIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Discolepis desidens</i> (Rang, 1834) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Polydontes perplexa</i> (Pfeiffer, 1850) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Polydontes undulata</i> (Fe'russac, 1821) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| POLYGYRIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Vespericola ohlone</i> Roth, 2003 | – | – | EX? | Roth, 2003 |
| POMATIIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Tropidophora carinata</i> (Born, 1780) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Tropidophora desmazuresi</i> (Crosse, 1873) | EX 1994 | – | EX | |
| <i>Tropidophora icterica</i> (Sowerby, 1847) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Tropidophora lienardi</i> Morelet, 1876 | – | EX | EX | |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----|-----|--|
| <i>Tropidophora mauritiana</i> (H. Adams, 1867) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Tropidophora scabra</i> (H. Adams, 1867) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Tropidophora semilirata</i> (Morelet, 1881) | EX 1994 | – | EX | Evaluated as <i>Tropidophora</i> 'semilineata' by IUCN (2016) |
| <i>Tropidophora vincentflorensi</i> Griffiths, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| PRISTILOMATIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Gyalina hausdorfi</i> Riedel, 1990 | – | EX | EX | |
| PUNCTIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Punctum mokotoense</i> Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 | – | EX | EX? | Abdou and Bouchet, 2000 |
| PUPILLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Pupilla obliquicosta</i> Smith, 1892 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| RHYTIDIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Delos gardineri</i> Smith, 1897 | CR(PE) 2012 | EX | EX? | Barker, 2012 |
| <i>Schizoglossa major</i> Powell, 1938 | – | – | EX | Spencer et al., 2009 |
| STREPTAXIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Conturbatia crenata</i> Gerlach, 2001 | CR(PE) 2009 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Gibbus lyonetianus</i> Pallas, 1780 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonidomus newtoni</i> (Adams, 1867) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonospira adamsiana</i> Nevill, 1871 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonospira cirneensis</i> Madge, 1946 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonospira helodes</i> (Morelet, 1875) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonospira majuscula</i> (Morelet, 1878) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonospira mondraini</i> (H. Adams, 1868) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gonospira nevilli</i> Adams, 1867 | EX 1994 | – | EX | |
| <i>Gulella mamellensis</i> Griffiths, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Microstrophia abnormalis</i> Griffiths, 2004 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Microstrophia baideri</i> Griffiths, 2004 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Plicadomus newtoni</i> (H. Adams, 1867) | – | EX | EX | |
| STROPHOCHEILIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Anthinus multicolor</i> Rang, 1831 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anthinus turnix</i> (Gould 1846) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Gonyostomus egregius</i> (Pfeiffer, 1845) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Gonyostomus goniostoma</i> (Wood, 1828) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Megalobulimus cardosoi</i> (Morretes, 1952) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| SUBULINIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Chilonopsis blofeldi</i> Forbes, 1852 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Chilonopsis exulatus</i> (Benson, 1850) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Chilonopsis helena</i> Quoy and Gaimard, 1833 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Chilonopsis melanoides</i> (Wollaston, 1892) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |

(Continued)

| | | | |
|---|---------|----|-----|
| Chilonopsis nonpareil* (Perry, 1811) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| Chilonopsis subplicatus (Sowerby, 1852) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| Chilonopsis subtruncatus (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| Chilonopsis turtoni (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX |
| Vegrandinia trinidadensis (Breure and Coelho, 1976) | – | – | EX? |

Table A3. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| SUCCINEIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Succinea atollica</i> Hertlein and Allison, 1968 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Succinea rotumana</i> Smith, 1897 | CR(PE) 2012 | EX | EX? | Barker, 2012 |
| UROCOPTIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Anoma adamsi</i> Pilsbry, 1904 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma alboanfractus</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma dohriana</i> (Pfeiffer, 1871) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma flexuosa</i> (Pfeiffer, 1866) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma fuscolabris</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma gossei</i> (Pfeiffer, 1846) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma gracilis</i> (C. B. Adams, 1851) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma integra</i> (C.B. Adams, 1851) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma jarvisi</i> Pilsbry, 1903 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma nitens</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma prunicolor</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma pulchella</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma pulla</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma radiata</i> (Chitty, 1853) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma solida</i> (C. B. Adams, 1851) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Anoma tricolor</i> (Pfeiffer, 1847) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| UROCYCLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Colparion madgei</i> Laidlaw, 1938 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Malagarion borbonica</i> (Morelet, 1860) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Zingis radiolata</i> Martens, 1878 | CR(PE) 2004 | – | EX? | |
| VERTIGINIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Lyropupa perlonga</i> (Pease, 1871) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Nesopupa turtoni</i> (Smith, 1892) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Vertigo bermudensis</i> Pilsbry, 1919 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Vertigo marki</i> Gulick, 1904 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| ZONITIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Zonites embolium</i> Fuchs and Ka'ufel, 1936 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Zonites santoriniensis</i> Riedel and Norris 1987 | – | EX | EX | Kornilios et al., 2009 |

Table A4. Freshwater species considered extinct (EX), possibly extinct (EX?) or extinct in the wild (EW) in the present study, compared with their status as evaluated by Re'gnier et al. (2009), and on the Red List (IUCN, 2016). Red List categories are extinct (EX), extinct in the wild (EW), critically endangered (possibly extinct) (CR(PE)), critically endangered (CR), least concern (LC), and data deficient (DD); the date of the IUCN evaluation follows the status. EX? is treated as equivalent to CR(PE). A dash indicates the species was not evaluated. Sources are only provided if the status in this study differs from the later of IUCN (2016) and Re'gnier et al. (2009).

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| GASTROPODA | | | | |
| AMNICOLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Amnicola rhombostoma</i> Thompson, 1968 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Lyogyrus bakerianus</i> (Pilsbry, 1917) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| ASSIMINEIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Pseudogibbula cara</i> Pilsbry and Bequaert, 1927 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Valvatorbis mauritii</i> Bequaert and Clench, 1936 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| BITHYNIIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Gabbiella barthi</i> (Brown, 1980) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Gabbiella matadina</i> Mandahl-Barth, 1968 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Soapitia dageti</i> Binder, 1961 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| BYTHINELLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Bythinella eutrepha</i> (Paladilhe, 1867) | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bythinella gibbosa</i> (Moquin-Tandon, 1856) | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| <i>Bythinella limnopsis</i> Letourneux and Bourguignat, 1887 | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| <i>Bythinella mauritanica</i> Letourneux and Bourguignat, 1887 | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| <i>Bythinella microcochlia</i> Letourneux and Bourguignat, 1887 | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| <i>Bythinella punica</i> Letourneux and Bourguignat, 1887 | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| COCHLIOPIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Dyris amazonicus</i> (Haas, 1949) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Heleobia peiranoi</i> (Weyrauch, 1963) | – | – | EX | Rumi et al., 2006 |
| <i>Heleobia spinellii</i> (Gredler, 1859) | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| <i>Heleobia steindachneri</i> (Westerlund, 1902) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Heleobia sublineata</i> (Pilsbry 1911) | – | – | EX | Rumi et al., 2006 |
| <i>Juturnia brunei</i> (Taylor, 1987) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX | Hershler et al., 2014 |
| <i>Littoridina gaudichaudii</i> Souleyet, 1852 | EX 1996 | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Sioliella effusa</i> Haas, 1949 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Tryonia hertleini</i> (Drake, 1956) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Tryonia santarosae</i> Hershler et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Hershler et al., 2014 |
| <i>Tryonia shikueii</i> Hershler et al., 2014 | – | – | EX | Hershler et al., 2014 |
| GLACIDORBIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Glacidorbis costatus</i> Ponder and Avern, 2000 | – | EX | EX | |

HYDROBIIDAE

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|-----|---|
| <i>Alzoniella galaica</i> (Boeters and Rolan, 1988) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Antibaria notata</i> (Frauenfeld, 1865) | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Belgrandia moitessieri</i> (Bourguignat, 1866) | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Belgrandia varica</i> (Paget, 1854) | CR(PE) 2010 | EX | EX? | Prie', 2010 |
| <i>Belgrandiella boetersi</i> Reischu'tz and Falkner, 1998 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Belgrandiella cavernica</i> Boettger, 1957 | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Belgrandiella intermedia</i> (Boeters, 1970) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Belgrandiella kreisslorum</i> Reischu'tz, 1997 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Belgrandiella multiformis</i> Fischer and Reischu'tz, 1995 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Bracenicia spiridoni</i> Radoman, 1973 | – | EX | EX | |
| <i>Bythiospeum pfeifferi</i> (Clessin, 1890) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Dalmatinella fluviatilis</i> Radoman, 1973 | EN 2011 | EX | EX | Evaluated as <i>Vinodolia fluviatilis</i> by IUCN (2016) |

(Continued)

UTILUS, Vol. 131, No. 1

Source for revised status;
comments

i et al., 2012

i et al., 2012

ez Perera and Perera
derrama, 2010
ez Perera and Perera
derrama, 2010
da and Ramos, 2006

for Biological Diversity et
2009

er et al., 2016

ted as *Heleobia*
ndachneri by IUCN (2016)
(Continued)

Table A4. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| <i>Trichonia trichonica</i> Radoman, 1973 | CR 2011 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Turcorientalia hohenackeri</i> (Küster, 1853) | VU 2011 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Vinodolia fiumana</i> Radoman, 1973 | EN 2014 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Vinodolia gluhodolica</i> (Radoman, 1973) | EN 2010 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Vinodolia lacustris</i> (Radoman, 1973) | CR 2010 | – | EX | Albrecht et al., 2012 |
| <i>Vinodolia matjasici</i> (Bole, 1961) | CR 2010 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Zaumia sanctizaumi</i> (Radoman, 1964) | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| IRIDINIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Aspatharia divaricata</i> (Martens, 1897) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Chambardia letourneuxi</i> | EX 2010 | – | EX | |
| LITHOGLYPHIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Clappia umbilicata</i> (Walker, 1904) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Fluminicola minutissimus</i> Pilsbry, 1907 | – | – | EX ? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Fluminicola nuttallianus</i> Lea, 1838 | – | EX | EX ? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus crassilabris</i> Walker, 1915 | EX 2000 | EX | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Somatogyrus wheeleri</i> Walker, 1915 | EX 2000 | EX | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| LYMNAEIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Erinna aulacospira</i> (Ancey, 1899) | DD | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Galba cyclostoma</i> (Walker, 1908) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Galba perpolita</i> (Dall, 1905) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Galba tazewelliana</i> (Wolf, 1870) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Galba vancouverensis</i> (F.C. Baker, 1939) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Lantzia carinata</i> (Jousseume, 1872) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Lymnaea plicata</i> Hylton Scott 1953 | – | – | EX | Rumi et al., 2006 |
| <i>Stagnicola neopalustris</i> (F.C. Baker, 1911) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Stagnicola petoskeyensis</i> (Walker, 1908) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Stagnicola pilsbryi</i> Hemphill, 1890 | EX 2012 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Stagnicola utahensis</i> (Call, 1884) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX | Center for Biological Diversity et al., 2009 |
| MELANOPSIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Melanopsis germaini</i> Pallary, 1939 | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Melanopsis infracincta</i> Martens, 1874 | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Melanopsis khabourensis</i> Pallary, 1939 | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Melanopsis pachya</i> Pallary, 1939 | CR(PE) 2014 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Melanopsis parreyssii</i> (Philippi, 1847) | CR 2011 | – | EX | Sîrbu and Benedek, 2016 |
| MOITESSIERIIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Henrigirardia wienini</i> (Girardi, 2001) | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Iglica gratulabunda</i> (Wagner, 1910) | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Paladilhiopsis janinensis</i> Schu"tt, 1962 | CR(PE) 2011 | EX | EX? | |
| <i>Spiralix corsica</i> Bernasconi, 1994 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| NERITIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Neritina tiassalensis</i> Binder, 1955 | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| PACHYCHILIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Sulcospira martini</i> (Schepmann, 1898) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Sulcospira pisum</i> (Brot, 1868) | – | – | EX? | Marwoto and Isnaningsih, 2012 |
| <i>Sulcospira sulcospira</i> (Mousson, 1849) | DD 2011 | EX? | EX? | Marwoto and Isnaningsih, 2012 |
| PHYSIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Physella microstriata</i> (Chamberlain and Berry, 1930) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| PLANORBIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Amphigyra alabamensis</i> Pilsbry, 1906 | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Ceratophallus concavus</i> (Mandahl-Barth, 1954) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX ? | |
| <i>Glyptophysa oconnori</i> (Cumber, 1941) | DD 2011 | – | EX | Spencer et al., 2009 |
| <i>Neoplanorbis carinatus</i> Walker, 1908 | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Neoplanorbis smithi</i> Walker, 1908 | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Neoplanorbis tantillus</i> Pilsbry, 1906 | EX 2012 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Neoplanorbis umbilicatus</i> Walker, 1908 | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |

Table A4. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Planorbella columbiensis</i> (F.C. Baker, 1935) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Planorbella multivolvis</i> (Case, 1847) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Planorbella traskii</i> (Lea, 1856) | – | – | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Rhodacmea hinkleyi</i> (Walker, 1908) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Vorticifex solida</i> (Dall, 1870) | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| PLEUROCERIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Athearnia crassa</i> (Haldeman, 1841) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia brevis</i> (Reeve, 1860) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia clausa</i> (Lea, 1861) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia fusiformis</i> (Lea, 1861) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia gibbera</i> (Goodrich, 1922) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia hartmaniana</i> (Lea, 1861) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia impressa</i> (Lea, 1841) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia jonesi</i> (Goodrich, 1936) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|
| <i>Elimia laeta</i> (Jay, 1839) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia macglameriana</i> (Goodrich, 1936) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia pilsbryi</i> (Goodrich, 1927) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia pupaeformis</i> (Lea, 1864) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Elimia pupoidea</i> (Anthony, 1854) | – | – | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Elimia pygmaea</i> (Smith, 1936) | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gyrotoma excisa</i> (Lea, 1843) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gyrotoma lewisii</i> (Lea, 1869) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gyrotoma pagoda</i> (Lea, 1845) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gyrotoma pumila</i> (Lea, 1860) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gyrotoma pyramidata</i> (Shuttleworth, 1845) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Gyrotoma walkeri</i> (Smith, 1924) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis clipeata</i> (Smith, 1922) | EX 2000 | – | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis formosa</i> (Lea, 1860) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis ligata</i> (Anthony, 1860) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis lirata</i> (Smith, 1922) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis minor</i> (Hinkley, 1912) | – | – | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Leptoxis occultata</i> (Smith, 1922) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis showalterii</i> (Lea, 1860) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis torrefacta</i> (Goodrich, 1922) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leptoxis trilineata</i> (Say, 1829) | – | – | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Leptoxis vittata</i> (Lea, 1860) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Lithasia hubrechtii</i> Clench, 1956 | – | – | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| <i>Lithasia jayana</i> (Lea, 1841) | – | – | EX | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| POMATIOPSIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Pomatiopsis hinkleyi</i> Pilsbry, 1896 | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| TATEIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Beddomeia tumida</i> Petterd, 1889 | CR(PE) 2011 | EX | EX? | Clark, 2011 |
| <i>Fluvidona dulvertonensis</i> (Tennison-Woods, 1876). | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Leiorhagium solemi</i> Haase and Bouchet, 1998 | EX 2011 | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Posticobia norfolkensis</i> (Sykes, 1900) | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Potamolithus concordianus</i> Parodiz, 1966 | – | – | EX | Rumi et al., 2006 |
| THIARIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Aylacostoma brunneum</i> Vogler and Peso, 2014 | – | – | EW | M.G. Quintana, pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Aylacostoma chloroticum</i> Hylton-Scott, 1953 | EW 2000 | EW | EW | M.G. Quintana pers. comm., 2016 |

(Continued)

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----|----|--|
| <i>Aylacostoma guaraniticum</i> Hylton-Scott, 1953 | EW 2000 | EW | EX | Rumi et al., 2006; M.G. Quintana pers. comm., 2016 |
| <i>Aylacostoma stigmaticum</i> Hylton-Scott, 1953 | EW 2000 | EW | EX | Rumi et al., 2006; M.G. Quintana pers. comm., 2016 |

R.H. Cowie et al., 2017

Page 37

Table A4. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status; comments |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Melanooides agglutinans</i> (Bequaert and Clench, 1941) | CR(PE) 2010 | – | EX? | |
| VALVATIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Valvata klemmi</i> Schu"tt, 1962 | EN 2011 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Valvata virens</i> Tryon, 1863 | – | – | EX? | Johnson et al., 2013 |
| VIVIPARIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Bellamya phthinotropis</i> (Martens, 1892) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Viviparus bermondianus</i> (d'Orbigny, 1842) | – | EX | EX | |
| BIVALVIA | | | | |
| CORBICULIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Corbicula linduensis</i> Bollinger, 1914 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| <i>Corbicula subplanata</i> Martens, 1897 | – | EX? | EX? | |
| DREISSENIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Dreissena caspia</i> Eichwald, 1855 | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? | |
| MYCETOPODIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Anodontites moricandi</i> (Lea, 1860) | – | EX? | EX? | |
| SPHAERIIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Eupera crassa</i> (Mandahl-Barth, 1954) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Pisidium betafoense</i> Kuiper, 1953 | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| UNIONIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Alasmidonta mccordi</i> Ahearn, 1964 | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Alasmidonta robusta</i> Clarke, 1981 | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Alasmidonta wrightiana</i> (Walker, 1901) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Coelatura rothschildi</i> (Neuville and Anthony, 1906) | CR(PE) 2016 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Cuneopsis demangei</i> Haas, 1929 | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? | |
| <i>Elliptio nigella</i> (Lea, 1852) | CR 2012 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Epioblasma arcaeiformis</i> (Lea, 1831) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Epioblasma biemarginata</i> (Lea, 1857) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Epioblasma flexuosa</i> (Rafinesque, 1820) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Epioblasma haysiana</i> (Lea, 1834) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Epioblasma lenior</i> (Lea, 1842) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |

(Continued)

| | | | |
|--|-------------|----|-----|
| <i>Epioblasma lewisii</i> (Walker, 1910) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Epioblasma othcaloogensis</i> (Lea, 1857) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? |
| <i>Epioblasma personata</i> (Say, 1829) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Epioblasma propinqua</i> (Lea, 1857) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Epioblasma sampsonii</i> (Lea, 1862) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Epioblasma stewardsonii</i> (Lea, 1852) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Epioblasma turgidula</i> (Lea, 1858) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Germainaia geayi</i> (Germain, 1911) | EX 2016 | – | EX |
| <i>Lamprotula crassa</i> (Wood, 1815) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Lamprotula liedtkei</i> Rolle, 1904 | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Lamprotula nodulosa</i> (Wood, 1815) | CR(PE) 2011 | – | EX? |
| <i>Lampsilis binominata</i> Simpson, 1900 | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Medionidus mcglameriae</i> van der Schalie, 1939 | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Obovaria haddletoni</i> (Atheam, 1964) | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? |
| <i>Pleurobema altum</i> (Conrad, 1854) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema avellanum</i> Simpson, 1900 | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema bournianum</i> (Lea, 1840) | EX 2000 | EX | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema chattanoogaense</i> (Lea, 1858) | – | EX | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema curtum</i> Lea, 1859 | CR(PE) 2012 | – | EX? |
| <i>Pleurobema flavidulum</i> (Lea, 1861) | EX 2000 | – | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema hagleri</i> Frierson, 1900 | EX 2000 | – | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema hanleyianum</i> (Lea, 1852) | CR 2012 | EX | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema johannis</i> (Lea, 1859) | EX 2000 | – | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema murrayense</i> (Lea, 1868) | EX 2000 | – | EX |
| <i>Pleurobema nucleopsis</i> (Conrad, 1849) | EX 2000 | – | EX |

Table A4. (cont.)

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This | Source for revised study status; comments |
|---|----------|-----------------------|------|--|
| <i>Pleurobema perovatum</i> (Conrad, 1834) | EX 2000 | – | EX | |
| <i>Pleurobema troschelianum</i> (Lea, 1852) | EX 2000 | – | EX | |
| <i>Pleurobema verum</i> (Lea, 1861) | EX 2000 | EX | EX | |
| <i>Quadrula tuberosa</i> (Lea, 1840) | CR 1996 | EX | EX | Evaluated as <i>Theliderma tuberosa</i> by IUCN (2016) |

| Species | Red List | Re'gnier et al., 2009 | This study | Source for revised status |
|--|----------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| CONIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Conasprella sauros</i> (Garcia, 2006) | DD | – | EX? | Peters et al., 2013 |
| <i>Conus bellulus</i> Rolán, 1990 | – | – | EX? | Peters et al., 2013 |
| <i>Conus colmani</i> Röckel and Korn, 1990 | DD | – | EX? | Singleton, 2007 |
| LOTTIIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Lottia alveus</i> Conrad, 1831 | EX 1994 | EX | EX | |
| NACELLIDAE | | | | |
| <i>Collisella edmitchelli</i> Lipps, 1966 | EX 1996 | EX | EX | |

POTAMIDIDAE

Cerithideopsis fuscata (Gould, 1857)

–

EX

EX

Table A5. Marine species considered extinct (EX) or possibly extinct (EX?) in the present study, compared with their status as evaluated by Re'gnier et al. (2009), and on the Red List (IUCN, 2016). Dashes indicate that the species was not evaluated. A source is only provided for species of Conidae, for which the status in this study differs from that of IUCN (2016) and Re'gnier et al. (2009).

LITERATURE CITED (APPENDIX)

- Abdou A. and P. Bouchet. 2000. Nouveaux gastéropodes Endodontidae et Punctidae (Mollusca, Pulmonata) récemment éteints de l'archipel des Gambier (Polynésie). *Zoosystema* 22: 689–707.
- Abdou, A., I. Muratov, and P. Bouchet. 2004. Mollusques terrestres de Mayotte: éléments pour l'inventaire des ZNIEFF. *Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris*, 45 pp.
- Albrecht, C., T. Hauffe, K. Schreiber, and T. Wilke. 2012. Mollusc biodiversity in a European ancient lake system: lakes Prespa and Mikri Prespa in the Balkans. *Hydrobiologia* 682: 47–59.
- Arconada, B. and M.-A. Ramos. 2006. Revision of the genus *Islamia* Radoman, 1973 (Gastropoda, Caenogastropoda, Hydrobiidae), on the Iberian Peninsula and description of two new genera and three new species. *Malacologia* 48: 77–132.
- Association of Wildlife Research and EnVision Conservation Office, 2015. Search System of Japanese Red Data. <http://www.jpnrdb.com/index.html> Accessed 22 December 2016.
- Barker, G. 2012. *Delos gardineri*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2012: e.T195520A2383269.
- Barker, G. 2012. *Succinea rotumana*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2012: e.T177716A1495957.
- Battarbee, R.W. 2014. The rediscovery of the Aldabra banded snail, *Rhachistia aldabrae*. *Biology Letters* 10: 20140771.
- Bauman, S. and A.M. Kerr. 2013. *Partula desolata* sp. nov. (Pulmonata: Partulidae), an extinct land snail from Rota, Mariana Islands, Micronesia. *Micronesica* 2013-05: 1–12.
- Beran, L. 2011. Non-marine molluscs (Mollusca: Gastropoda, Bivalvia) of the Zrmanja River and its tributaries (Croatia). *Natura Croatica* 20: 397–409.
- Beran, L., A. Osikowski, S. Hofman, and A. Falniowski. 2016. *Islamia zermanica* (Radoman, 1973) (Caenogastropoda: Hydrobiidae): morphological and molecular distinctness. *Folia Malacologica* 24: 25–30.
- Brook, F.J. 2010. Coastal landsnail fauna of Rarotonga, Cook Islands: systematics, diversity, biogeography, faunal history, and environmental influences. *Tuhinga* 21: 161–252.
- Brook, F.J., R.K. Walter and J.A. Craig. 2010. Changes in the terrestrial molluscan fauna of Miti'a'aro, southern Cook Islands. *Tuhinga* 21: 75–98.
- Center for Biological Diversity, Freshwater Mollusk Conservation Society, J.E. Deacon and D. Duff. 2009. Petition to list 42 species of Great Basin springsnails from Nevada, Utah, and California as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act. Center for Biological Diversity, 133 p.
- Clark, S. 2011. *Beddomeia tumida*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2011: e.T2713A9470828.
- Climo, F.M. 1981. Classification of New Zealand Arionacea (Mollusca: Pulmonata). VIII. Notes on some charopid species, with description of new taxa (Charopidae). *National Museum of New Zealand Records* 2(3): 9–15.

- Cordeiro, J. and K. Perez. 2012. *Elimia varians*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2012: e.T7598A3139176.
- Cummings, K. and J. Cordeiro. 2012. *Pleurobema taitianum*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2012: e.T17671A1443931.
- Delannoye, R., L. Charles, J.-P. Pointier and D. Massemin. 2015. Mollusques continentaux de la Martinique. Collection inventaires et biodiversité. Biotope, Me'ze; Muse'um national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 328 pp.
- Dillon, R.T., Jr. and J.D. Robinson. 2011. The opposite of speciation: genetic relationships among the populations of *Pleurocera* (Gastropoda: Pleuroceridae) in central Georgia. *American Malacological Bulletin* 29: 159–168.
- Dong, Y., Huang, X. and Reid, D.G. 2015. Rediscovery of one of the very few 'unequivocally extinct' species of marine molluscs: *Littoraria flammea* (Philippi, 1847) lost, found—and lost again? *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 81: 313–321.
- Falniowski, A. 2011a. *Tanousia zrmanjæ*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2011: e.T155570A4800013.
- Falniowski, A. 2011b. *Vinodolia fluviatilis*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2011: e.T155506A4789193.
- Falniowski, A. and M.B. Seddon. 2014. *Vinodolia fiumana*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2014: e.T155531A55202657.
- Gerlach, J. 2016. *Icons of Evolution: Pacific island tree-snails, family Partulidae*. Phelsuma Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Glo'er, P. and V. Pešić. 2014. New subterranean freshwater gastropods of Montenegro (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae), with description of one new genus and two new species. *Ecologica Montenegrina* 1: 244–248.
- Glo'er, P., S. Bouzid and H.D. Boeters. 2010. Revision of the genera *Pseudamnicola* Paulucci 1878 and *Mercuria* Boeters 1971 from Algeria with particular emphasis on museum collections (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia: Hydrobiidae). *Archiv für Molluskenkunde* 139: 1–22.
- Glo'er, P., H.D. Boeters and F. Walther. 2015. Species of the genus *Mercuria* Boeters, 1971 (Caenogastropoda: Truncatelloidea: Hydrobiidae) from the European Mediterranean region, Morocco and Madeira, with descriptions of new species. *Folia Malacologica* 23: 279–291.
- Graf, D.L. and K.S. Cummings. 2009. Actual and alleged freshwater mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Unionoidea) from Madagascar and the Mascarenes, with description of a new genus, *Germainia*. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 158: 221–238.
- Hershler, R., J.J. Landye, H.P. Liu, M. De la Maza-Benignos, P. Ornelas, and E.W. Carson. 2014. New species and records of Chihuahuan desert springsnails, with a new combination for *Tryonia brunei*. *Western North American Naturalist* 74: 47–65.
- Hershler, R., H.P. Liu, C. Babbitt, M.G. Kellogg and J.K. Howard. 2016. Three new species of western California springsnails previously confused with *Pyrgulopsis stearnsiana* (Caenogastropoda, Hydrobiidae). *Zookeys* 601: 1–19.
- IUCN. 2016. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 22 December 2016.
- Johnson, P.D., A.E. Bogan, K.M. Brown, N.M. Burkhead, J.R. Cordeiro, J.T. Garner, P.D. Hartfield, D.A.W. Lepitzki, G.L. Mackie, E. Pip, T.A. Tarpley, J.S. Tiemann, N.V. Whelan and E.S. Strong. 2013. Conservation status of freshwater gastropods of Canada and the United States. *Fisheries* 38: 247–282.
- Kebapci, U., S.B. Koca, and M.Z. Yildirim. 2012. Revision of *Graecoanatica* (Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae) species in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 36: 399–411.
- Kerr, A.M. 2013. The partulid tree snails (Partulidae: THE NAUTILUS, Vol. 131, No. 1 Stylommatophora) of the Mariana Islands, Micronesia. University of Guam Marine Laboratory Technical Report 152: i–vii, 1–22.
- Kirch, P.V., C.C. Christensen and D.W. Steadman. 2009. Subfossil Land Snails from Easter Island, Including *Hotumatua anakenana*, new genus and species (Pulmonata: Achatinellidae). *Pacific Science* 63: 105–122.
- Kornilios, P., Poulakakis, N., Mylonas, M. and Vardinoyannis, K. 2009. The phylogeny and biogeography of the genus *Zonites* Montfort, 1810 (Gastropoda: Pulmonata): preliminary evidence from mitochondrial data. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 75: 109–117.
- Liew, T.-S., J.J. Vermeulen, M.E. bin Marzuki and M. Schilthuizen. 2014. A cybertaxonomic revision of the micro-landsnail genus *Plectostoma* Adam (Mollusca, Caenogastropoda, Diplommatinidae), from Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Indochina. *ZooKeys* 393: 1–107.
- Marwoto, R.M. and N.R. Isnainingsih. 2012. The freshwater snail genus *Sulcospira* Troschel, 1857 from Java, with description of a new species from Tasikmalaya, West Java, Indonesia (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Pachychilidae). *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 60: 1–10.
- Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan. 2016. State of Japan's environment at a glance: extinct and endangered species listed in the Red Data Book. <http://www.env.go.jp/en/nature/biodiv/reddata.html> Accessed 25 November 2016.
- Minton, R.L., J.T. Garner and C. Lydeard. 2003. Rediscovery, systematic position, and re-description of "*Leptoxis*" *melanoides* (Conrad, 1834) (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Cerithioidea: Pleuroceridae) from the Black Warrior River, Alabama, U.S.A. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 116: 531–541.
- O' Foighil, D., J. Li, T. Lee, P. Johnson, R. Evans, and J.B. Burch. 2011. Conservation genetics of a critically endangered limpet genus and rediscovery of an extinct species. *PLoS ONE* 6(5): e20496.
- Pešić, V. 2010a. *Bracenicula spiridoni*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2010: e.T155983A4878325.
- Pešić, V. 2010b. *Vinodolia gluhodolica*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2010: e.T155573A4802004.
- Pešić, V. and P. Glo'er. 2013. A new freshwater snail genus (Hydrobiidae, Gastropoda) from Montenegro, with a discussion on gastropod diversity and endemism in Skadar Lake. *ZooKeys* 281: 69–90.
- Prie'v, V. 2010. *Belgrandia varica*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2010: e.T155668A4818436.
- Radea, C., A. Parmakelis, V. Papadogiannis, D. Charou and K.A. Triantis. 2013. The hydrobioid freshwater gastropods (Caenogastropoda, Truncatelloidea) of Greece: new records, taxonomic re-assessments using DNA sequence data and an update of the IUCN Red List Categories. *ZooKeys* 350: 1–20.
- Re'gnier, C., B. Fontaine and P. Bouchet. 2009. Not knowing, not recording, not listing: numerous unnoticed mollusk extinctions. *Conservation Biology* 23: 1214–1221.

- Re'gnier, C., P. Bouchet, K.A. Hayes, N.W. Yeung, C.C. Christensen, D.J.D. Chung, B. Fontaine and R.H. Cowie. 2015. Extinction in a hyperdiverse endemic Hawaiian land snail family and implications for the underestimation of invertebrate extinction. *Conservation Biology* 29(6): 1715–1723.
- Richling, I. and P. Bouchet. 2013. Extinct even before scientific recognition: a remarkable radiation of helicininid snails (Helicinidae) on the Gambier Islands, French Polynesia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 22: 2433–2468.
- R.H. Cowie et al., 2017
- Richling I., Y. Malkowsky, J. Kuhn J., H.-J. Niederhöfer and H.D. Boeters. 2016. A vanishing hotspot — the impact of molecular insights on the diversity of Central European *Bythiospeum Bourguignat, 1882* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Truncatelloidea). *Organisms, Diversity and Evolution Online First*. DOI 10.1007/s13127-016-0298-y.
- Roth, B. 2003. Polygyrid land snails, *Vespericola* (Gastropoda: Pulmonata), 4. A new and possibly extinct species from central California, U.S.A. *Zootaxa* 215: 1–6.
- Rumi, A., Gregoric, D.E.G., V. Nu'ñez, I.I. Ce'sar, M.A. Roche, M.P. Tassara, S.M. Marti'n and M.F.L. Armengol. 2006. Freshwater Gastropoda from Argentina: species richness, distribution patterns, and an evaluation of endangered species. *Malacologia* 49: 189–208.
- Salvador, R.B., C.M. Cunha, and L.R.L. Simone. 2013. Taxonomic revision of the orthalicid land snails (Pulmonata: Stylommatophora) from Trindade Island, Brazil. *Journal of Natural History* 47: 949–961.
- Sartori, A.F., O. Gargominy, and B. Fontaine. 2013. Anthropogenic extinction of Pacific land snails: a case study of Rurutu, French Polynesia, with description of eight new species of endodontids (Pulmonata). *Zootaxa* 3640: 343–372.
- Sartori, A.F., O. Gargominy and B. Fontaine. 2014. Radiation and decline of endodontid land snails in Makatea, French Polynesia. *Zootaxa* 3772: 1–68.
- Schilthuizen, M. and R. Clements. 2008. Tracking land snail extinctions from space. *Tentacle* 16: 8–9.
- Severns, M. 2009. A new species of *Newcombia* from the Pleistocene of Kaua'i, Hawaiian Islands, USA (Gastropoda, Pulmonata, Achatinellidae). *Basteria* 73: 57–60.
- Si'rbu, I. and A.M. Benedek. 2016. Requiem for *Melanopsis parreyssii* or the anatomy of a new extinction in Romania. *Tentacle* 24: 26–28.
- Slapnik, R. and J. Lajtner. 2011. *Belgrandiella zermanica*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2011: e.T155706A4827523.
- Spencer, H.G., B.A. Marshall and R.C. Willan. 2009. Checklist of New Zealand living Mollusca. In: *New Zealand Inventory of Biodiversity. Volume one. Kingdom Animalia: Radiata, Lophotrochozoa, Deuterostomia* (Gordon, D.P., ed.), p. 196–219. Canterbury University Press, Christchurch.
- Szarowska, M., S. Hofman and A. Falniowski. 2013. *Vinodolia fiumana* Radoman, 1973 (Caenogastropoda: Rissooidea): rediscovery and relationships of a species presumed extinct. *Folia Malacologica* 21: 135–142.
- Singleton, J.F. 2007. Cone news from Australia – 9. Extant or extinct? *Cone Collector* 4: 5–6.
- Center for Biological Diversity, T. Curry, N. Greenwald, J. Deacon, D. Duff, and The Freshwater Mollusk Conservation Society. 2009. Petition to List 42 Species of Great Basin Springsnails from Nevada, Utah, and California as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act. 133 p.
- USFWS [U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service]. 1993. Recovery Plan for the O'ahu Tree Snails of the Genus *Achatinella*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland. 64 pp. þ 64 pp. of appendices þ 5 figs.
- Va'zquez-Perera, A.A. and S. Perera-Valderrama. 2010. Endemic freshwater molluscs of Cuba and their conservation status. *Tropical Conservation Science* 3: 190–199.
- Watters, G.T. 2014. A preliminary review of the Annulariidae (Gastropoda: Littorinoidea) of the Lesser Antilles. *The Nautilus* 128: 65–90.
- Whelan, N.V., P.D. Johnson and P.M. Harris. 2012. Rediscovery of *Leptoxis compacta* (Anthony, 1854) (Gastropoda: Cerithioidea: Pleuroceridae). *PloS ONE*, 7(8): e42499.
- Zhang L.-J., S.-C. Chen, L.-T. Yang, L. Jin, and F. Ko'hler F. 2015. Systematic revision of the freshwater snail *Margarya Nevill, 1877* (Mollusca: Viviparidae) endemic to the ancient lakes of Yunnan, China, with description of new taxa. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 174: 760–800.
- Zimmermann, G., O. Gargominy and B. Fontaine. 2009. Quatre especes nouvelles d'Endodontidae (Mollusca, Pulmonata) e'teints de Rurutu (I'les Australes, Polyne'sie franc,aise). *Zoosystema* 31: 791–805.