



HAL
open science

Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes

Lamia Rebiai, Diane Muller-Bouvet, Raihana Benyahia, Encarnación Torralba, Melissa Lopez Viveros, Vincent Rocher, Sam Azimi, Christine Cachet-Vivier, Stéphane Bastide

► To cite this version:

Lamia Rebiai, Diane Muller-Bouvet, Raihana Benyahia, Encarnación Torralba, Melissa Lopez Viveros, et al.. Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes. *Electrochimica Acta*, 2023, 438, pp.141516. 10.1016/j.electacta.2022.141516 . hal-03861638

HAL Id: hal-03861638

<https://hal.science/hal-03861638v1>

Submitted on 20 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes

Lamia Rebiai¹, Diane Muller-Bouvet¹, Raihana Benyahia¹, Encarnación Torralba¹, Melissa Lopez Viveros², Vincent Rocher², Sam Azimi², Christine Cachet-Vivier¹, Stéphane Bastide^{1*}

¹ University of Paris Est, ICMPE (UMR 7182), CNRS, UPEC, F-94320 Thiais, France.

² Greater Paris Sanitation Authority (SIAAP), Direction of Innovation, 82 avenue Kléber, F-92700, Colombes, France

* **Author for correspondence:** Dr. Stéphane Bastide, stephane.bastide@cnsr.fr
Institut de Chimie et des Matériaux Paris-Est
2 rue Henri Dunant, 94320 Thiais, France

Highlights

- Urea conversion with Fe₂O₃/Ni photoelectrodes as substitute for N-removal in WWTPs
- Anodic photoelectrodeposition of NiOOH on n-Fe₂O₃ nanorods where h^+ are collected
- Gain of 0.5 V in urea oxidation onset potential with FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni vs. Ni electrode
- *In situ* light transmission recorded during CV confirms urea oxidation as EC process
- Urea PEC conversion leads to N₂, O₂, and NO₂⁻; FE ~ 10-20% for N removal as N₂

Keywords: photoelectrocatalysis, urea, nitrogen remediation, hematite, nickel hydroxide

Abstract

To reduce the energy cost and environmental impact of biological nitrogen removal in wastewater treatment plants, it would be advantageous to treat urea contained in urine at the source. In this perspective, FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ (nanorods) photoelectrodes decorated with Ni as catalyst are developed and tested for urea photoelectrocatalytic oxidation under solar illumination. Gains up to 0.50 V in oxidation onset potential vs. metallic Ni are obtained thanks to a Ni photoelectrodeposition method. *In situ* transmission measurements (based on NiOOH light absorption) during electrochemical cycling allowed to evaluate the state of active Ni sites and confirmed that urea oxidation mechanism is of EC type. Photoelectrolyses give faradaic efficiencies of 10-18% and 9-35% for N₂ and O₂ formation, respectively. A significant and unexpected NO₂⁻ production (~65%) is detected indicating another or incomplete reaction pathway. The photoelectrocatalytic removal of nitrogen from urea solutions is demonstrated but requires catalysts with higher selectivity towards N₂.

1. Introduction

In wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), the biological treatment used for nitrogen removal nowadays faces a couple of challenges. On one hand, it is a large consumer of electricity, with up to 60% of the electrical consumption of a conventional WWTP [1]. In Ile-de-France region, recent operational data from the Greater Paris Sanitation Authority (SIAAP) show that it is the first energy item with 30% of the total electrical consumption of Seine Aval WWTP (260 GWh, 6 M inhabitants) [2].

The main source of nitrogen received in WWTPs is urea contained in urine (80%). Relocating part of the nitrogen treatment would reduce the economic and ecological footprint (by reducing the production of N_2O) and partially meet the challenges of growing demography. Alternative elimination processes based on selective urine collection and electrooxidation [3],[4],[5],[6] or photoelectrooxidation of urea have been proposed following the first works of G. Botte *et al.* in 2009 [7]. Remediation of urea at the photoanode is coupled to H_2 production at the cathode, in an alkaline medium, according to the following reaction:



This reaction must be carried out using a nickel-based electrocatalyst ($Ni(OH)_2$) and in an alkaline medium. In theory, it allows the elimination of nitrogen from the solution (urine) in the form of N_2 (gas without greenhouse effect). Furthermore, the production of H_2 from R1 theoretically requires three times less energy than from water electrolysis (thermodynamic cell voltage of $0.37 V_{RHE}$ vs. $1.23 V_{RHE}$, respectively) [7]. The vast untapped resource of urea in urine (60 Mt/year in humans) thus makes the R1 reaction very attractive, both to avoid the energy and environmental cost of biological treatment in WWTPs, and to produce H_2 potentially more efficiently than by electrolysis of water.

To our knowledge, only a few works have been devoted to the photoelectrooxidation of urea, as reported by Zhu *et al.* in a recent review [8]. The main interest is to use solar energy to decrease the oxidation potential of urea due to the photopotential generated in a semiconductor (n-type) under illumination [9–12]. In these studies, hematite is commonly chosen as it offers highly suitable characteristics: it is inexpensive to synthesize, non-toxic and stable at high pH, which is a prerequisite because the only effective catalysts for urea oxidation are based on the $Ni(OH)_2/NiOOH$ couple which only works at high pH. Moreover, hematite is naturally n-type and absorbs light in the visible range ($E_g \sim 2.1$ eV), giving a theoretical maximum photocurrent of 12 mA cm^{-2} under AM1.5 solar illumination [13]. Lastly, there is a hydrothermal method for the synthesis of hematite nanowires well adapted for photoelectrochemistry [14], which has given rise to an abundant literature [15,16].

Wang *et al.* were the first to propose the formation of H_2 by photoelectrooxidation of urea and urine under solar illumination. They studied in an alkaline medium TiO_2 and Fe_2O_3 electrodes on


1 which Ni(OH)₂ is deposited by a dip-coating method [9]. They showed a decrease in the oxidation
2 potential of urea with hematite from 1.36 V_{RHE} in the dark to 0.91 V_{RHE} under solar illumination. After
3 the addition of Ni(OH)₂ as a catalyst, the onset potential is further shifted from -400 mV (0.51 V_{RHE})
4 and the photocurrent increases substantially to ~1.5 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V_{RHE}. They also showed that
5 voltammograms obtained in urine are similar to those obtained in urea solutions.
6

7
8 Xu *et al.* [10] obtained by hydrothermal synthesis, titanium-doped hematite (Ti-Fe₂O₃)
9 nanowires which are decorated with Ni(OH)₂ by cathodic electrodeposition in an aqueous Ni(NO₃)₂
10 solution. They studied the influence of the electrodeposition time on the photoelectrochemical
11 performances and showed that the presence of the catalyst (optimized in thickness) induced a shift of
12 ~ -100 mV in the voltammograms, with a onset potential of urea oxidation at 0.7 V_{RHE} under
13 illumination against 1.35 V_{RHE} for urea electrolysis (without photo assistance), representing a gain of
14 0.65 V. Accordingly, the photocurrent (at 1.3 V_{RHE}) increases from ~0.3 mA cm⁻² (Ti-Fe₂O₃ alone) to
15 ~1.6 mA cm⁻² after adding Ni(OH)₂.
16

17
18 More recently, Gan *et al.* [12] measured a photocurrent of 5 mA cm⁻² in a urea solution and
19 7.5 mA cm⁻² in human urine, using arrays of Co-doped Fe₂O₃ nanowires deposited on flexible support
20 and functionalized by Au nanoparticles. Ni(OH)₂ is deposited using a dip-coating method. Au and
21 Ni(OH)₂ eventually act as plasmonic nanostructures. They obtained a continuous H₂ release at the
22 cathode under low polarization (1.23 V_{RHE}).
23

24
25 The efficiency of coupling Fe₂O₃ and Ni catalysts is crucial in these systems. It depends on the
26 deposition method, the initially deposited species (Ni⁰, Ni(OH)₂), the thickness, the coverage rate of
27 nanowires, and the defects present at the interface (recombination). In this work, we explored two
28 alternative methods: Ni⁰ sputtering and NiOOH anodic photoelectrodeposition, which have been
29 recently studied with Fe₂O₃ for the photoelectrooxidation of water. Sputtering is a physical method
30 that is simple to implement and allows to obtain deposits of controlled thickness [17,18]. The anodic
31 photoelectrodeposition is particularly well adapted to an n-type semiconductor [19–21], it is expected
32 to occur where the charges (h⁺) are generated and collected along the nanowires.
33

34
35 We studied the properties of Fe₂O₃/Ni photoanodes for the photoelectrooxidation of water and
36 urea and tried to clarify the proportion of these two competing reactions. We also attempted to
37 determine whether the photopotential induced under illumination indeed allows the oxidation of
38 Ni(OH)₂ to NiOOH at lower potentials, which is the basis of the accepted EC mechanism for the
39 electrooxidation of urea on Ni [22]:
40



43
44 For this purpose, we performed *in situ* light transmittance measurements taking advantage of the
45 differences in optical absorption of Ni(OH)₂ and NiOOH in the visible spectrum.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Lastly, previous studies reported in the literature were focused on the production of hydrogen at
2 the cathode, the anodic reaction mechanism being usually assumed to be R1, *i.e.*, without analysis of
3 the products formed. Our primary goal being the removal of nitrogen from the liquid phase (preferably
4 in N₂ gas), we sought to determine the gaseous as well as the dissolved products of the reaction and to
5 calculate a faradaic efficiency for each product after photoelectrolyses of several hours.
6
7

8 9 **2. Methods and Materials**

10 **2.1 Elaboration of photoelectrodes**

11
12
13
14 Fe₂O₃ films are deposited by a hydrothermal method on the FTO substrates (Fluorine doped Tin
15 Oxide) provided by Visiotech-Systems (FTO Glass Tech7, 600 nm thick FTO layer on 2 mm thick
16 glass substrate, 7 ohms/square, 1.8 cm x 5.0 cm). Glass/FTO substrates are first cleaned by sonication
17 for 10 min in water + soap, then for 10 min in ethanol and 10 min in acetone. This procedure is
18 repeated a second time and the substrates are eventually sonicated in deionized water for 20 min.
19 Afterward, Fe₂O₃ is deposited on the substrates according to a hydrothermal method inspired from
20 Chen and Chen's work [23]. The glass/FTO substrates are immersed in a PTFE container filled with
21 25 mL of water (18 Mohm.cm, Millipore), 0.05 mol L⁻¹ FeCl₃ (VWR, 99.3%), 0.50 mol L⁻¹ of NaNO₃
22 (Merck, 99.5%) and 50 μL of HCl (VWR, 37 %). The container is placed in an oven and heated at
23 100°C for 6 hours. The obtained iron oxyhydroxide (FeOOH) is then annealed at 550°C for 1 hour and
24 800°C for 20 min (ramp rate of 4°C min⁻¹) to form Fe₂O₃ (2FeOOH → Fe₂O₃ + H₂O).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 The samples are doped with titanium by adding TiCl₄ (Merck, liquid, 97%) in the hydrothermal
34 synthesis solution at a concentration of 0.5 %_{vol} [23]. These samples are referred to as Ti-Fe₂O₃.
35
36

37 An active surface of 4 cm² is delimited with an electrolytic tape for further electrochemical
38 studies or treatments.
39
40

41 **2.2 Nickel deposition**

42 Two methods have been used to deposit Ni in the metallic or oxidized state on hematite,
43 respectively by sputtering or by photoelectrochemical (PEC) anodic deposition.
44
45

46 In the first case, Ni⁰ deposition is performed with a Cressington 208 HR sputter, at 0.3 bar argon
47 pressure and 60 mA, using a Ø57 mm nickel target (99.98%, Ted Pella, Inc). The thickness of the
48 layer is monitored by a quartz crystal microbalance.
49
50

51 In the second case, a photoelectrodeposition method inspired by Tamirat [21] and Malara [20] is
52 applied to obtain NiOOH according to the anodic reaction with *h*⁺ photogenerated in Ti-Fe₂O₃:
53



55
56 FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples are immersed in 100 mL of an aqueous solution containing 10 mmol L⁻¹
57 NiSO₄·6 H₂O (Alfa Aesar, 98%) and 30 mmol L⁻¹ (NH₄)₂SO₄ (VWR, 99.5%). The pH is adjusted to 9
58 by adding ammonia to the solution [24]. The coating was performed under AM1.5G solar illumination
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 by chronoamperometry at 1.23 V_{RHE}, the amount deposited being controlled by the charge transferred.
2 A voltammogram and a chronoamperogram corresponding to the coating process are presented in
3 [Figure S1](#) in the Supplementary Information (SI).

4
5 The photoelectrodes are electrochemically cycled several times in a 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution to
6 obtain a stable intensity of Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH peaks (see [Figure S2](#) in SI). The electrodes are referred to
7 as FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} and FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} depending on the type of deposition method.

8
9 We tested different amounts of Ni deposit by both methods. The optimum in terms of
10 photoelectrochemical response for urea oxidation is 2 nm for sputtering and 8.75 mC cm⁻² for PEC
11 deposition, as shown in [Figures S3](#) and [S4](#) in SI.

12 **2.3 Physical characterization**

13
14 Structural characterization was performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8
15 Advanced diffractometer (Cu K radiation). The morphology of the Ti-Fe₂O₃ layers was observed by
16 scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with a Zeiss Merlin FEG microscope equipped with an InLens
17 detector, at an accelerating voltage of 5-15 kV. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy used to identify
18 elements was performed with an AZtec EDS Advanced system (HKL Nordlys Nano, Oxford
19 Instruments), equipped with an X-Max SDD detector and at an accelerating voltage of 10-15 kV.

20 **2.4 Optical properties**

21
22 Optical measurements were performed using a Hitachi U-41000 spectrophotometer,
23 incorporating two light sources: a deuterium lamp in the UV (100 - 400 nm) and a halogen lamp in the
24 visible/near IR (400 - 850 nm). Using an integrating sphere, the total transmittance *T* and reflectance *R*
25 are measured vs. wavelength λ . The absorbance spectrum *A* is calculated according to $A = 1 - T - R$.

26 **2.5 Photoelectrochemical analytical studies**

27
28 Photoelectrochemical measurements were performed with a conventional 3-electrode cell
29 connected to a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT 204 potentiostat. The working electrodes FTO/Ti
30 Fe₂O₃/"Ni" are described in [paragraphs 2.1](#) and [2.2](#). The counter electrode is a platinum wire. The
31 reference electrode is an Ag/AgCl electrode. All electrolyte solutions are prepared from analytical
32 grade NaOH (VWR, 98.6%) and urea (VWR, 99.8%).

33
34 The electrolyte is an aqueous solution of NaOH at 1 mol L⁻¹ (pH = 13.6), with or without urea at
35 0.33 mol L⁻¹. Voltammetric curves were established at 10 mV s⁻¹. They are presented with the
36 potentials given according to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), after conversion according to:

$$37 \quad E \text{ (V vs. RHE)} = E \text{ (V vs. Ag/AgCl)} + 0.208 + 0.059 \text{ pH}$$

38
39 The measurements under illumination were performed using a Newport LSH7320 solar
40 simulator delivering AM 1.5G light with a power of 1000 W m⁻².

41 **2.6 Photoelectrolysis**

42
43 The photoelectrochemical device used for photoelectrolysis is described in [Figure S5](#) in SI.

1 **Gas chromatography.** To collect the gases produced during the photoelectrooxidation of urea
2 and water (N₂ and O₂, respectively), an *in situ* gas collection device in the anode compartment has
3 been set up, which is directly connected to the gas chromatograph to avoid contamination by air (see
4 [Figure S5](#)). A MicroGas CP-4900 chromatograph from Varian was used, equipped with a 5Å CP-
5 Molsieve column (10 m) under argon and a moisture filter.
6

7
8 **Ionic chromatography.** A Dionex ICS-5000+ System chromatograph (Thermo Scientific) was
9 employed with IonPac TM AS19 4 µm (2 x 250 mm, 0.25 mL min⁻¹) and IonPac CS16 4 µm
10 (5 x 500 mm, 1 mL min⁻¹) columns for anions and cations, respectively. High purity hydroxide eluents
11 for gradient runs were obtained using an electrolytic eluent generator and methanesulfonic acid (30
12 mM) eluent for isocratic runs were prepared manually.
13

14
15
16 **Urea determination.** Urea removal rates were determined by optical absorption using
17 Megazymes enzyme assay kits and a Hitachi U-41000 spectrometer.
18

19 **2.7 In situ spectrophotometric measurements**

20
21
22 A silicon photodiode (Hamamatsu) placed behind the photoelectrode and the
23 photoelectrochemical cell (see diagram in [Figure S6](#) in SI) is used to measure a photocurrent
24 proportional to the transmittance, which is recorded by the potentiostat simultaneously with the cyclic
25 voltammetry. The spectral range of silicon extends significantly beyond that of hematite (1100 nm vs.
26 590 nm). The photodiode signal, therefore, corresponds mainly to photons with wavelengths between
27 590 nm and 1100 nm, with a variation of about 10% depending on the state of the nickel in the
28 deposited layer, *i.e.* Ni(OH)₂ or NiOOH.
29
30
31
32
33

34 **3. Results and discussion**

35 **3.1 Morphology and optical properties of photoanodes FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni**

36
37
38 Plane view and cross-section images of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples are shown in [Figure 1](#), before
39 (A) and after Ni deposition by sputtering (2 nm, B) and NiOOH PEC deposition (17.5 mC cm⁻², C),
40 followed by electrochemical cycling in NaOH 1 mol L⁻¹.
41
42
43

44 The hematite film on the surface of the FTO layer appears as agglomerates of nanorods with an
45 average height of ~ 400 nm and width of ~ 150 nm ([Figure 1A](#)). These agglomerates are spaced by 50-
46 100 nm wide trenches, at which the FTO substrate can be observed (circle and red arrow).
47

48 The visible roughness at the top of the nanorods corresponds to the deposition of Ni ([Figure](#)
49 [1B-C](#)). In the plan view, we see that they are sheets oriented perpendicularly to the surface, typical of
50 Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH. In the case of sputtering deposition ([Figure 1B](#)), Ni is sometimes present down to
51 the base of nanorods, which is made possible by the presence of trenches. It is therefore likely that Ni
52 is also deposited on the FTO layer in these areas. In the case of NiOOH deposition by PED, the cross-
53 section ([Figure 1C](#)) shows that Ni_{PEC} is found only at the top of the nanorods, with a clear stop at mid-
54 height (see [Figure S7](#) in SI for a lower magnification view).
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **Figure 2** shows the absorbance of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples before and after Ni coverage by
4 sputtering (2 nm, A) and by PEC deposition (8.75 mC cm⁻², B).
5

6 The sputtering deposition of 2 nm Ni results in almost identical optical properties to the initial
7 Ti-Fe₂O₃ layer on the useful part of the spectrum for hematite (350-590 nm). In the case of PEC
8 deposition, a slight decrease in absorbance at short wavelengths is observed which is due to a higher
9 reflectivity.
10

11 The fact that the absorbance remains important (from 55 to 30%) at wavelengths above 590 nm
12 is related to the combination of several factors: the existence of electronic transitions in Ti-Fe₂O₃
13 corresponding to an indirect bandgap of 1.9 eV, the existence of states in its bandgap related to surface
14 defects [25] and the absorption by free carriers of the highly doped FTO layer (F-SnO₂).
15

16 From these spectra, the maximum photocurrent densities achievable under AM1.5G solar
17 illumination (*i.e.*, with QE = 1 between 350 and 590 nm), are theoretically 10.7 and 10.8 mA cm⁻² for
18 the samples with sputtered Ni and PEC deposited NiOOH, respectively. This is lower than the
19 theoretical maximum photocurrent of 12.5 mA cm⁻² for Fe₂O₃, mainly because of reflection losses.
20

21 **3.2 Photoelectrochemical properties of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni**

22 **3.2.1 Sputtered Ni**

23 Voltammograms of a Ti-Fe₂O₃ photoelectrode measured in the dark and under solar
24 illumination in a 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution (dashed and solid blue curves, respectively) are presented in
25 **Figure 3A**.
26

27 In the dark, Ti-Fe₂O₃ gives no anodic current up to 1.75 V_{RHE} (blue dashed curve), in agreement
28 with the absence of *h+* as majority carriers in n-type hematite. The increase in current from 1.75 V_{RHE}
29 is attributed to the oxidation of water on FTO.
30

31 After depositing Ni_{SP} (2 nm), a couple of anodic and cathodic peaks are observed in the dark at
32 1.48 and 1.33 V_{RHE} (red dashed curve) which corresponds to the Ni^{II}(OH)₂/Ni^{III}OOH system. These
33 signals are stable after the five cycles required for the development of these species on the surface (see
34 **Figure S2** in SI). Considering the blocking character of n-type Ti-Fe₂O₃ and the potential of the peaks,
35 these signals correspond to Ni deposited on FTO areas between the Ti-Fe₂O₃ nanorods (*cf.* circle and
36 red arrow of **Figure 1A**, and EDX analysis **Figure S8** in SI).
37

38 Under illumination, the photocurrent for water oxidation on Ti-Fe₂O₃ starts at 0.95 V_{RHE}, with a
39 pseudo-plateau from 1.30 V_{RHE} and beyond. The addition of Ni (red curve) does not lead to any gain in
40 the photocurrent onset potential, whereas NiOOH normally plays the role of catalyst for water
41 oxidation, as it has been previously reported in electrochemistry [26] or photoelectrochemistry,
42 especially on Fe₂O₃ [20]. There is though a small oxidation/reduction signal at the onset of
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 photocurrent. Moreover, we note that the signal from the Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH couple present in the dark
2 (FTO/Ni_{SP}) adds to the photocurrent. More importantly, the addition of Ni_{SP} results in a decrease of the
3 photocurrent by a factor of 2, despite the assumed catalytic effect of NiOOH already mentioned.
4

5 The photocurrent values determined at 1.23 V_{RHE} and 1.40 V_{RHE} (*i.e.* before the Ni signal on
6 FTO) are reported in the [table of Figure 3](#).
7

8 [Figure 3B](#) allows to observe the effect of urea on the response of Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP}. In the dark and
9 in presence of urea, the anodic current increases very strongly from 1.35 V_{RHE} due to the oxidation of
10 urea at FTO/Ni_{SP} areas. Under illumination, the photocurrent appears at a potential ~ 100 mV more
11 negative with urea than in NaOH and is ~ 50% more intense at 1.23 V_{RHE}. From the [table of Figure 3](#),
12 we notice that the photocurrent is always lower than that obtained for Ti-Fe₂O₃ without Ni (0.35 *vs.*
13 0.45 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V_{RHE}), despite the expected catalytic effect of Ni on urea oxidation [20].
14
15

16 3.2.2 Photoelectrodeposited NiOOH

17 [Figure 4A](#) shows voltammograms of a Ti-Fe₂O₃ sample before and after NiOOH PEC
18 deposition (8.75 mC cm⁻², Ni_{PEC}) in NaOH (A) and NaOH + urea (B), respectively.
19

20 The voltammograms of Ti-Fe₂O₃ in NaOH measured in the dark and under illumination are
21 similar to those described for sputtered Ni ([Figure 3A](#)). After depositing NiOOH, Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC}
22 appears to block current in the dark, without any parasitic peaks from FTO/Ni. Under illumination, the
23 Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH peaks are centered at 0.90 V_{RHE}. This potential is much lower than that for a
24 conventional Ni electrode (1.40 V_{RHE}), *i.e.*, it represents a potential gain of 0.5 V. The presence of
25 Ni_{PEC} results in a photocurrent onset potential shift of -50 mV compared to Ti-Fe₂O₃ ([Figure 4A](#)), with
26 a ~10% higher photocurrent pseudo-plateau (values listed in the [table of Figure 4](#)).
27
28

29 In NaOH + urea and in the dark ([Figure 4B](#)), a small peak appears at 1.55 V_{RHE}, probably
30 related to a very small amount of Ni deposited on FTO, of which the signal in NaOH is even not
31 distinguishable.
32
33

34 Under illumination, the photocurrent starts from the Ni(OH)₂ oxidation peak (0.7 V_{RHE}) and is
35 higher than the photocurrent measured in the presence of NaOH only ([Figure 4A](#)). This could suggest
36 that at least 10-20% of the photocurrent is related to urea oxidation.
37
38

39 [Figure 4C](#) compares the voltammograms of the two types of Ni deposition under the conditions
40 expected for the application, *i.e.*, NaOH 1 mol L⁻¹ with 0.33 mol L⁻¹ of urea (similar to that in urine).
41 The PEC deposition appears to be more efficient than the sputtering deposition, with (i) the absence of
42 parasitic peaks due to the selectivity of deposition on Ti-Fe₂O₃, (ii) active Ni sites twice more
43 important, and finally beneficial potential shift on the photoelectrochemical response by -200 mV.
44
45

46 3.2.3 *In situ* transmittance measurements

47 Cyclic voltammetry cannot provide more information about the catalytic mechanisms involved
48 in the oxidation of water and urea at the active Ni sites. The electrochromic properties of
49 Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH are already well known [27,28] and the fact that NiOOH absorbs visible light, while
50 Ni(OH)₂ is transparent, offers the possibility to evaluate the proportions of these two forms during
51
52
53
54

1 photoelectrocatalysis by considering that the transmittance depends on the surface concentration of
2 NiOOH according to the Beer-Lambert-Bouguer law. *In situ* transmittance measurements were
3 performed during cyclic voltammetry under different urea concentrations for samples with Ni
4 deposited either by sputtering or by PED.
5

6 **Sputtered Ni.** Figure 5 shows voltammograms and transmittance measurements obtained with
7 Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} (A and B, respectively). The transmittance is normalized to 1 at the equilibrium
8 potential, $\sim 0.8 V_{RHE}$, *i.e.*, when Ni(OH)₂ (transparent) is predominant.
9

10
11 In the absence of urea and when the photoelectrode is swept anodically (red curve), Ni(OH)₂ is
12 converted to NiOOH with consequently a transmittance that decreases and tends to a minimum value
13 (red curve, $1.8 V_{RHE}$). This evolution is marked by two successive drops, one starting at $0.85 V_{RHE}$, the
14 other at $1.40 V_{RHE}$. Comparing with the voltammograms of Figure 5A, the first one must correspond to
15 Ni_{SP} deposited on Ti-Fe₂O₃ nanorods, the second one to Ni_{SP} deposited on FTO.
16
17

18
19 On the reverse sweep (*i.e.*, to cathodic potentials), the transmittance describes a quasi-plateau,
20 then increases due to the reduction of NiOOH to Ni(OH)₂, first on FTO, then on Ti-Fe₂O₃.
21
22

23
24 When urea is added at 10, 50, 100, 150, and 300 mmol L⁻¹, the minimum in transmittance,
25 measured at $\sim 1.85 V_{RHE}$, increases relative to NaOH alone, in proportion to the urea concentration.
26 The transmittance corresponding specifically to Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} (not FTO/Ni_{SP}) is highlighted in Figure
27 5B by a dashed line at $1.27 V_{RHE}$.
28
29

30
31 The increase in transmittance reveals a decrease in the number of NiOOH sites, which agrees
32 with an EC process (Electrochemical reaction followed by a Chemical reaction). Indeed, if the
33 mechanism was direct (electrochemical oxidation of urea on NiOOH), there would be no influence of
34 the urea concentration on the transmittance. This EC mechanism was proposed by Vedharathinam and
35 Botte based on Raman spectroscopy studies [29]. It is directly confirmed by these UV-Vis *in situ*
36 transmittance measurements and for the first time in a photoelectrochemical process.
37
38

39
40 **PEC deposited NiOOH.** Figure 6 shows the voltammograms and transmittance measurements
41 obtained with Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} (A and B, respectively).
42

43
44 In the absence of urea, the transmittance starts to drop at $\sim 0.75 V_{RHE}$, which corresponds to the
45 onset of photocurrent. Contrary to the sputtering case, only one step is observed since
46 photoelectrodeposition takes place almost exclusively on Ti-Fe₂O₃ nanorods. The sharp increase in
47 photocurrent from $1.60 V_{RHE}$ is not associated with a change of transmittance since it corresponds to
48 the oxidation of water.
49
50

51
52 The backward scan gives a stable transmittance plateau for which 100% of the active Ni sites
53 are in the NiOOH state. The dashed line at $1.27 V_{RHE}$ is used to compare the transmittance value with
54 that obtained for sputtered Ni (0 mmol L⁻¹, Figure 5B). The decrease in transmittance with Ni_{PEC} is
55 8.6% (a. v.) *vs.* 4.1% (a. v.) with Ni_{SP}. This means that there are twice as many active NiOOH sites at
56 this potential with PEC deposition.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 This result is confirmed by a deposition study performed directly on bare FTO. From
2 voltammograms recorded in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH (Figure S9), the amount of active Ni sites, calculated
3 from the oxidation peak of Ni(OH)₂ to NiOOH, is 1.65 times higher by PEC deposition than by
4 sputtering (28 nanomoles cm⁻² for a PEC deposition of 8.75 mC cm⁻² and 17 nanomoles cm⁻² for a
5 sputtering deposit of 2 nm).
6
7

8
9 Between 0 and 50 mmol L⁻¹ of urea, the maximum transmittance (at 1.27 V_{RHE}) varies linearly
10 with the concentration. Kinetics are mainly controlled by the diffusion of urea to the surface. From 50
11 to 300 mmol L⁻¹, the decrease in transmittance saturates, implying that it is the catalytic process that
12 limits the kinetics. It may be assumed that the sites are blocked in the reduced Ni(OH)₂ state for some
13 time due to adsorbed reaction intermediates preventing their oxidation to NiOOH. At 300 mmol L⁻¹ of
14 urea, the decrease in transmittance is three times smaller than without urea (-3.0 % vs. -8.6 %,
15 respectively). If we assume that all active Ni sites are engaged in a catalytic cycle between the two
16 states, it implies that at any moment there is about 1/3 of the active sites that are in the NiOOH state,
17 meaning that the rate of electrochemical oxidation is twice as small as the rate of chemical reduction
18 by urea.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 3.2.4 Discussion

28 The results of photoelectrochemistry and *in situ* transmittance measurements show a significant
29 difference between the two methods of Ni deposition.
30

31 The oxidation/reduction peaks of the Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH are shifted in both cases from 1.40 to
32 0.9 V_{RHE} under illumination, due to the h⁺ photogenerated in the valence band of Ti-Fe₂O₃, *i.e.*, at
33 more positive potentials than the potential of the electrode. They are however hardly visible in the Ni-
34 sputtering case, and on the contrary very apparent in the NiOOH PEC deposition method. Such a shift
35 has already been reported for the electrochemical deposition of NiOOH on hematite [30], or the ALD
36 deposition of NiO on hematite [31] in studies on solar water splitting (OER).
37
38
39
40
41

42 Other methods of Ni deposition on hematite have been used in urea oxidation studies, such as
43 dip coating [9,12] or cathodic electrodeposition [10]. However, a comparison with the present study
44 regarding the position of Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH peaks is not possible because voltammograms in NaOH
45 without urea, on which these peaks are visible, are not reported.
46
47

48 In the case of sputtering, a strong decrease of the photocurrent in NaOH is observed after
49 deposition. The *in-situ* transmittance measurements show that it is not due to a decrease in the light
50 transmitted through the Ni layer. For example, at 1.27 V_{RHE} in NaOH, the photocurrent drops by 50%
51 (see Figure 3A) while the transmittance decreases by only 4% (see Figure 5B). This is confirmed by
52 the measurement of the specific absorption spectrum of a NiOOH film formed under anodic
53 polarization in NaOH (see Figure S10 in SI), which is found to be between 5% at 590 nm and 10% at
54 400 nm.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 The most likely explanation is that sputtering creates defects at the Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} interface that
2 led to significant recombination of photogenerated h⁺ in Ti-Fe₂O₃, as is often observed for sputtered
3 metals on semiconductors.

4
5 In the case of PEC deposited Ni, photoelectrooxidation of water on Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} appears to
6 begin as early as 0.68 V_{RHE} vs. 0.85 V_{RHE} on bulk Ti-Fe₂O₃. However, Young and Hamann, who
7 obtained the same type of results with NiO deposits, were able to show by current transient
8 measurements that the peak corresponds to the oxidation of Ni(OH)₂ only, not to the HER [31]. In our
9 case, we similarly observe that the photocurrent at the oxidation peak of Ni(OH)₂ in NaOH (*i.e.*,
10 between 0.7 and 0.9 V_{RHE}) is not amplified upon addition of urea (Figure 4B). therefore, urea
11 oxidation really starts at 0.9 V_{RHE}, which is consistent with the fact that the decrease in transmittance is
12 affected by urea addition only above 0.9 V_{RHE} (Figure 6B).

13
14 On Ni electrodes, it is typical to obtain a current amplification factor of ~8 between the urea
15 oxidation peak (at 0.3 mol L⁻¹) and the Ni(OH)₂ oxidation peak [32]. In the case of
16 FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} under solar illumination, the amplification factor of the photocurrent
17 (pseudo-plateau) reaches ~1.2 at best. This discrepancy shows that the system is mainly limited by the
18 collection of photogenerated h⁺ charges in hematite and/or by their recombination at the interface.

28 3.3 Urea electrolysis

29 To determine the faradaic efficiencies of the competing water and urea photoelectrooxidation
30 reactions, we performed quantitative photoelectrolysis of urea on FTO/ Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} electrodes.

31
32 Firstly, urea was determined before and after electrolysis to calculate the abatement rate. To
33 obtain significant concentration differences, the starting solution (1 mol L⁻¹ of NaOH) contained
34 0.012 mol L⁻¹ of urea which is 30 times lower than the classical concentration of urea in urine
35 (~0.3 mol L⁻¹). Two photoelectrolysis were conducted with a Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} photoelectrode (8.75 mC
36 cm⁻²) at 1.51 V_{RHE} for 6 hours under AM1.5G (constant temperature of 23°C). Abatement rates of 40
37 and 38 ± 7% were measured, demonstrating the activity of photoelectrodes towards in urea oxidation.
38 No decrease in urea concentration was observed in a control experiment at zero current under the same
39 illumination conditions (6 hours under 1000 W m⁻², AM1.5G, 23°C), indicating that urea does not
40 spontaneously decompose under prolonged solar illumination (in NaOH 1 mol L⁻¹).

41
42 Secondly, to quantify the reaction products, four electrolysis were conducted with FTO/Ti-
43 Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} photoelectrodes (8.75 mC cm⁻²) under solar illumination for 4 h in a solution of 1 mol L⁻¹
44 NaOH and 0.33 mol L⁻¹ urea. The applied potential was 1.51 V_{RHE}, which corresponds to the
45 photocurrent pseudo-plateau (see Figure 6A). The GC revealed the presence of N₂ and O₂ as well as
46 traces of H₂. For one of the electrolysis, the solution was also analyzed by ion chromatography (IC).
47 The IC showed the presence of NO₂⁻ (and traces of cyanates (CNO⁻) already present in the initial
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

solution). The faradaic efficiency for each product (N_2 , O_2 , NO_2^-) was calculated from the quantities formed. The results are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Faradaic yields of products formed in the gas and liquid phase during four photoelectrolysis with FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_PEC of a 0.33 mol L⁻¹ urea solution in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH at 1.51 V_{RHE} during 4 h.

electrolysis	O ₂		N ₂		NO ₂ ⁻		Σ (calculated charges) / Total charge (%)	
	Total charge (C)	calculated charge (C)	yield (%)	calculated charge (C)	yield (%)	calculated charge (C)		yield (%)
E1	23.2	3.1	13.3	2.3	10.0	/	/	23.2
E2	25.3	8.9	35.0	2.8	11.1	/	/	46.3
E3	25.0	2.3	9.3	4.4	17.6	/	/	26.8
E4	27.2	4.0	14.8	4.7	17.3	17.4	64.9	96.0
Average	25.2 ± 2	4.6 ± 4	18.1 ± 12	3.6 ± 1	14.0 ± 4	/	/	/

It is observed that the yield of N₂ formation is relatively low, reaching at best 17.6 %. At the same time, the evolution of O₂ is not negligible, with a yield varying between 9 and 35 %. In total, the formation of these two species represents only 23 to 46 % of the charge transferred. In the case of electrolysis E4 where the ionic species formed in solution were measured, most of this charge is related to the formation of nitrites (65%). N₂, O₂ and nitrites account for 96% of the past charge. This is in good agreement with results obtained for conventional electrocatalysis of urea solutions on Ni plates, where the faradaic efficiency for nitrite is measured at ~60% (unpublished results). Recently, Li *et al.* have reported for the first time the formation of nitrites during urea electrolysis on Ni foam electrodes with faradaic efficiencies up to 80% [33].

Eventually, it appears that ~80% of the charges are involved in the oxidation of urea (to N₂ and NO₂⁻), a conclusion that could not be drawn from the comparison between voltammograms measured in NaOH and in urea (Figure 4B).

Based on these results, which are reported for the first time in terms of the balance of electrolysis products formed by photoelectrooxidation of urea, we demonstrate that photoelectrocatalysis of urea on hematite with a nickel (Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH) catalyst can effectively oxidize urea to N₂. The yield is modest (10-18%) but it is a starting point without any particular optimization of the photoelectrolysis conditions (applied potential, stirring, etc.). From the point of view of a pollution control application where the aim is to remove nitrogen (in particular in the form of an inert gas like N₂) before treatment in a WWTP, the important part of nitrite formed, a soluble product which remains in solution, is a problem which requires a strong improvement of the selectivity, either by finding more favorable electrolysis conditions (*e.g.*, adjustment of the potential, pulsed electrolysis), or by modifying the catalyst (*e.g.*, increasing the active surface, adding a co-element to Ni). The interest of the Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_PEC photoelectrodes developed in this work lies in the -500 mV gain in potential under solar illumination that they allow compared to a metallic Ni electrode.

4. Conclusion

The performances of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ photoelectrodes decorated with sputter-deposited Ni or photoelectrodeposited NiOOH towards the photoelectrooxidation of urea under solar illumination has been studied. For thickness optimized deposition, it appears that PEC deposition is more efficient than sputtering deposition. The latter suffers from recombination at the interface (photocurrent reduced by a factor of 2) and by a lower number of active Ni sites, as *in situ* transmittance measurements have shown. Ni PEC deposited on FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ gives a couple of Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH peaks centered at 0.90 V_{RHE}, a potential gain of 0.50 V compared to a conventional Ni metal electrode under the same conditions (1.40 V_{RHE}).

In situ transmission measurements confirmed that the mechanism of urea oxidation is EC. At 300 mmol L⁻¹ urea under 1.27 V_{RHE}, it appears that 2/3 of the Ni sites are in the Ni(OH)₂ state and 1/3 in the NiOOH state, *i.e.*, the lifetime of Ni(OH)₂ is twice longer than NiOOH. This technique will be developed to access kinetic and catalytic constants.

Quantitative photoelectrolysis was carried out and gave faradaic efficiencies ranging from 10 to 18% for N₂ and 9 to 35% for O₂. The remaining passed charges are involved in significant nitrite production (~ 65%), which points to the existence of another reaction pathway than the one leading to N₂ (R1). In the end, photoelectrooxidation of urea accounts for ~ 80% of the passed charge. These results clearly demonstrate the feasibility of removing nitrogen from urea in solution as N₂ in air by a photoelectrocatalytic process using FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni photoelectrodes under solar illumination. However, significant progress is still needed, especially to improve its selectivity towards N₂ production and its overall photovoltaic conversion efficiency.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

L. Rebiai : Experiments, Investigation, Validation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, **D. Muller-Bouvet**: Investigation, Data curation, **R. Benyahia**: Investigation, Validation, Data curation, **E. Torralba**: Resources, **C. Cachet-Vivier**: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, **Melissa Lopez Viveros** : Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, **Vincent Rocher** : Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, **Sam Azimi**: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, **S. Bastide**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the French National Research Agency in the framework of the HYUREA project (19-CE04-0009). L. Rebiai acknowledges the Doctoral School "Science, Ingénierie et Environnement" at Paris Est Sup for her PhD Research Fellowship and R. Pires for the scanning electron microscopy training. The authors also thank L. Latapie for the IC analyses conducted at the Chemical Engineering Laboratory (LGC) of Toulouse.

Supplementary material

PDF file containing "Supplementary Information".

References

- [1] Y. Gu, Y. Li, X. Li, P. Luo, H. Wang, X. Wang, J. Wu, F. Li, Energy Self-sufficient Wastewater Treatment Plants: Feasibilities and Challenges, *Energy Procedia*. 105 (2017) 3741–3751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.868>.
- [2] S. Azimi, V. Rocher, Energy consumption reduction in a wastewater treatment plant, *Water Practice and Technology*. 12 (2017) 104–116. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wpt.2017.006>.
- [3] W. Xu, Z. Wu, S. Tao, Urea-Based Fuel Cells and Electrocatalysts for Urea Oxidation, *Energy Technol*. 4 (2016) 1329–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201600185>.
- [4] K. Ye, G. Wang, D. Cao, G. Wang, Recent Advances in the Electro-Oxidation of Urea for Direct Urea Fuel Cell and Urea Electrolysis, *Top Curr Chem (Z)*. 376 (2018) 42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41061-018-0219-y>.
- [5] E. Urbanczyk, M. Sowa, W. Simka, Urea removal from aqueous solutions-a review, *J. Appl. Electrochem*. 46 (2016) 1011–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-016-0993-6>.
- [6] X. Sun, R. Ding, Recent progress with electrocatalysts for urea electrolysis in alkaline media for energy-saving hydrogen production, *Catal. Sci. Technol*. 10 (2020) 1567–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CY02618E>.
- [7] B.K. Boggs, R.L. King, G.G. Botte, Urea electrolysis: direct hydrogen production from urine, *Chem. Commun.* (2009) 4859–4861. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B905974A>.
- [8] B. Zhu, Z. Liang, R. Zou, Designing Advanced Catalysts for Energy Conversion Based on Urea Oxidation Reaction, *Small*. 16 (2020) 1906133. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201906133>.
- [9] G. Wang, Y. Ling, X. Lu, H. Wang, F. Qian, Y. Tong, Y. Li, Solar driven hydrogen releasing from urea and human urine, *Energy Environ. Sci*. 5 (2012) 8215–8219. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2EE22087C>.
- [10] D. Xu, Z. Fu, D. Wang, Y. Lin, Y. Sun, D. Meng, T. feng Xie, A Ni(OH)₂-modified Ti-doped α -Fe₂O₃ photoanode for improved photoelectrochemical oxidation of urea: the role of Ni(OH)₂ as a cocatalyst, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 17 (2015) 23924–23930. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP03310A>.
- [11] W.M. Omymen, J.R. Rogan, B.Z. Jugović, M.M. Gvozdenović, B.N. Grgur, Photo-assisted electrochemical oxidation of the urea onto TiO₂-nanotubes modified by hematite, *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*. 21 (2017) 990–997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscs.2017.05.010>.
- [12] J. Gan, B.B. Rajeeva, Z. Wu, D. Penley, Y. Zheng, Plasmon-enhanced hierarchical photoelectrodes with mechanical flexibility for hydrogen generation from urea solution and human urine, *J Appl Electrochem*. 50 (2020) 63–69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-019-01369-0>.
- [13] Gurudayal, P.S. Bassi, T. Sritharan, L.H. Wong, Recent progress in iron oxide based photoanodes for solar water splitting, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 51 (2018) 473002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6463/aae138>.


- 1 [14] T. Lindgren, H. Wang, N. Beermann, L. Vayssieres, A. Hagfeldt, S.-E. Lindquist, Aqueous
2 photoelectrochemistry of hematite nanorod array, *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*. 71
3 (2002) 231–243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0248\(01\)00062-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0248(01)00062-9).
- 4 [15] A.G. Tamirat, J. Rick, A.A. Dubale, W.-N. Su, B.-J. Hwang, Using hematite for
5 photoelectrochemical water splitting: a review of current progress and challenges, *Nanoscale*
6 *Horiz.* (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NH00098J>.
- 7 [16] A. Tofanello, S. Shen, F.L. de Souza, L. Vayssieres, Strategies to improve the
8 photoelectrochemical performance of hematite nanorod-based photoanodes, *APL Materials*. 8
9 (2020) 040905. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0003146>.
- 10 [17] E. López-Fernández, J. Gil-Rostra, J.P. Espinós, A.R. González-Elipe, A. de Lucas Consuegra,
11 F. Yubero, Chemistry and Electrocatalytic Activity of Nanostructured Nickel Electrodes for
12 Water Electrolysis, *ACS Catal.* 10 (2020) 6159–6170. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00856>.
- 13 [18] S. Corby, M.-G. Tecedor, S. Tengeler, C. Steinert, B. Moss, C.A. Mesa, H.F. Heiba, A.A.
14 Wilson, B. Kaiser, W. Jaegermann, L. Francàs, S. Gimenez, J.R. Durrant, Separating bulk and
15 surface processes in NiO_x electrocatalysts for water oxidation, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*. 4
16 (2020) 5024–5030. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SE00977F>.
- 17 [19] P. Qiu, F. Li, H. Zhang, S. Wang, Z. Jiang, Y. Chen, Photoelectrochemical performance of α -
18 Fe₂O₃@NiOOH fabricated with facile photo-assisted electrodeposition method, *Electrochimica*
19 *Acta*. 358 (2020) 136847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.136847>.
- 20 [20] F. Malara, A. Minguzzi, M. Marelli, S. Morandi, R. Psaro, V. Dal Santo, A. Naldoni, α -Fe₂O₃
21 /NiOOH: An Effective Heterostructure for Photoelectrochemical Water Oxidation, *ACS Catal.* 5
22 (2015) 5292–5300. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.5b01045>.
- 23 [21] A.G. Tamirat, W.-N. Su, A.A. Dubale, H.-M. Chen, B.-J. Hwang, Photoelectrochemical water
24 splitting at low applied potential using a NiOOH coated codoped (Sn, Zr) α -Fe₂O₃ photoanode, *J.*
25 *Mater. Chem. A*. 3 (2015) 5949–5961. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA06915C>.
- 26 [22] D.A. Daramola, D. Singh, G.G. Botte, Dissociation Rates of Urea in the Presence of NiOOH
27 Catalyst: A DFT Analysis, *J. Phys. Chem. A*. 114 (2010) 11513–11521.
28 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp105159t>.
- 29 [23] Y.-J. Chen, L.-Y. Chen, The study of carrier transfer mechanism for nanostructural hematite
30 photoanode for solar water splitting, *Applied Energy*. 164 (2016) 924–933.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.08.105>.
- 32 [24] C. Natarajan, H. Matsumoto, G. Nogami, Improvement in Electrochromic Stability of
33 Electrodeposited Nickel Hydroxide Thin Film, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 144 (1997) 121.
34 <https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1837373>.
- 35 [25] C. Du, M. Zhang, J.-W. Jang, Y. Liu, G.-Y. Liu, D. Wang, Observation and Alteration of
36 Surface States of Hematite Photoelectrodes, *J. Phys. Chem. C*. 118 (2014) 17054–17059.
37 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5006346>.
- 38 [26] M.S. Burke, S. Zou, L.J. Enman, J.E. Kellon, C.A. Gabor, E. Pledger, S.W. Boettcher, Revised
39 Oxygen Evolution Reaction Activity Trends for First-Row Transition-Metal (Oxy)hydroxides in
40 Alkaline Media, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6 (2015) 3737–3742.
41 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcllett.5b01650>.
- 42 [27] J. Velevska, M.P. Gjorgjevich, N. Stojanov, Electrochromic Nickel Oxide Thin Films for Solar
43 Light Modulation, *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research*. 31 (2017) 94–
44 104. <https://gssrr.org/index.php/JournalOfBasicAndApplied/article/view/6856>.
- 45 [28] H. Nolan, M.P. Browne, N.C. Berner, G.S. Duesberg, P.E. Colavita, M.E.G. Lyons,
46 Electrochromic Nickel Oxide Films for Smart Window Applications, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 11
47 (2016) 6636–6647. <https://doi.org/10.20964/2016.08.38>.
- 48 [29] V. Vedharathinam, G.G. Botte, Direct evidence of the mechanism for the electro-oxidation of
49 urea on Ni(OH)₂ catalyst in alkaline medium, *Electrochimica Acta*. 108 (2013) 660–665.
50 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2013.06.137>.
- 51 [30] C.G. Morales-Guio, M.T. Mayer, A. Yella, S.D. Tilley, M. Grätzel, X. Hu, An Optically
52 Transparent Iron Nickel Oxide Catalyst for Solar Water Splitting, *Journal of the American*
53 *Chemical Society*. 137 (2015) 9927–9936. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b05544>.
- 54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [31] K.M.H. Young, T.W. Hamann, Enhanced photocatalytic water oxidation efficiency with
2 Ni(OH)₂ catalysts deposited on α -Fe₂O₃ via ALD, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 8727–8730.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CC02598A>.
- 4 [32] A.T. Miller, B.L. Hassler, G.G. Botte, Rhodium electrodeposition on nickel electrodes used for
5 urea electrolysis, J Appl Electrochem. 42 (2012) 925–934. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-012-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-012-0478-1)
6 0478-1.
- 7 [33] J. Li, J. Li, T. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Li, H. Wang, X. Chen, M. Gong, Z. Liu, X. Yang, Deciphering
8 and Suppressing Over- Oxidized Nitrogen in Nickel- Catalyzed Urea Electrolysis, Angew.
9 Chem. Int. Ed. 60 (2021) 26656–26662. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202107886>.
- 10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes

Lamia Rebiai *et al.*

Graphical Abstract



Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes

Lamia Rebiai *et al.*

FIGURES

Figure 1. SEM plane view and cross-section images of Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples before (A) and after deposition of 2 nm of Ni by sputtering (B) or after NiOOH PEC deposition at 17.5 mC cm⁻² (C). Scale bars: 100 and 200 nm for plan view and cross-section images, respectively. For cross-section imaging, metallization with 2 nm of Pt₈₀Pd₂₀ was applied to avoid charge accumulation.

Figure 2. Absorbance and reflectance of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples, with or without sputtered Ni (2 nm, A) and PEC deposited Ni (8.75 mC cm⁻², B). The dashed line at 590 nm corresponds to the gap of Fe₂O₃ (2.1 eV).

Figure 3. Voltammetry in the dark and under illumination of (A) FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ in NaOH, before and after sputtering deposition of 2 nm of Ni (Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP}); (B) Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} in NaOH with or without urea. Table: Photocurrent values of both FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ and Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} electrodes at 1.23 et 1.40 V_{RHE}. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0.33 mol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².

Figure 4. Voltammetry in the dark and under illumination of (A) FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ in NaOH, before and after PEC deposition of NiOOH (8.75 mC cm⁻²); (B) Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} in NaOH, with or without urea; (C) FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} and FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} in NaOH with urea (under illumination only). Table: Photocurrent values of both FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ and Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} electrodes at 1.23 et 1.50 V_{RHE}. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0.33 mol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².

Figure 5. (A) Voltammetry under illumination of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} (2 nm) in NaOH and different concentrations of urea, with an insert showing the photocurrent onset potential region; (B) Transmittance measured *in situ* during voltammetry. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0, 10, 50, 150 and 300 mmol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 2 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².

Figure 6. (A) Voltammetry under illumination of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} (8.75 mC cm⁻²) in NaOH and different urea concentrations; (B) Transmittance measured *in situ* during voltammetry. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0, 10, 50, 150 and 300 mmol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 2 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².

Figure 1

SEM plane view and cross-section images of Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples before (A) and after deposition of 2 nm of Ni by sputtering (B) or after NiOOH PEC deposition at 17.5 mC cm⁻² (C). Scale bars: 100 and 200 nm for plan view and cross-section images, respectively. For cross-section imaging, metallization with 2 nm of Pt₈₀Pd₂₀ was applied to avoid charge accumulation.




Figure 2

Absorbance and reflectance of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ samples, with or without sputtered Ni (2 nm, A) and PEC deposited Ni (8.75 mC cm⁻², B). The dashed line at 590 nm corresponds to the gap of Fe₂O₃ (2.1 eV).





Figure 3

Voltammetry in the dark and under illumination of (A) FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ in NaOH, before and after sputtering deposition of 2 nm of Ni (Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP}); (B) Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} in NaOH with or without urea. Table: Photocurrent values of both FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ and Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} electrodes at 1.23 et 1.40 V_{RHE}. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0.33 mol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².



		NaOH (Fig. A)		NaOH + urea (Fig. B)	
E / V _{RHE}		1.23	1.40	1.23	1.40
J_{ph} mA cm ⁻²	Fe ₂ O ₃	0.45	0.66	0.45	0.66
	Fe ₂ O ₃ /Ni _{SP}	0.22	0.29	0.35	0.47

Figure 4

Voltammetry in the dark and under illumination of (A) FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ in NaOH, before and after PEC deposition of NiOOH (8.75 mC cm⁻²); (B) Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} in NaOH, with or without urea; (C) FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} and FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} in NaOH with urea (under illumination only). Table: Photocurrent values of both FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃ and Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} electrodes at 1.23 et 1.50 V_{RHE}. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0.33 mol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².




Figure 5

(A) Voltammetry under illumination of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{SP} (2 nm) in NaOH and different concentrations of urea, with an insert showing the photocurrent onset potential region; (B) Transmittance measured *in situ* during voltammetry. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0, 10, 50, 150 and 300 mmol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 2 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².





Figure 6

(A) Voltammetry under illumination of FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} (8.75 mC cm⁻²) in NaOH and different urea concentrations; (B) Transmittance measured *in situ* during voltammetry. [NaOH] = 1 mol L⁻¹; [urea] = 0, 10, 50, 150 and 300 mmol L⁻¹. Scan rate: 2 mV s⁻¹. Illumination: AM1.5G, 1000 W m⁻².



Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes

Lamia Rebiai *et al.*

TABLE

Table 1. Faradaic yields of products formed in the gas and liquid phase during four photoelectrolysis with FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni_{PEC} of a 0.33 mol L⁻¹ urea solution in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH at 1.51 V_{RHE} during 4 h.

electrolysis	O ₂			N ₂		NO ₂ ⁻		Σ (calculated charges) /Total charge (%)
	Total charge (C)	calculated charge (C)	yield (%)	calculated charge (C)	yield (%)	calculated charge (C)	yield (%)	
E1	23.2	3.1	13.3	2.3	10.0	/	/	23.2
E2	25.3	8.9	35.0	2.8	11.1	/	/	46.3
E3	25.0	2.3	9.3	4.4	17.6	/	/	26.8
E4	27.2	4.0	14.8	4.7	17.3	17.4	64.9	96.0
Average	25.2 ± 2	4.6 ± 4	18.1 ± 12	3.6 ± 1	14.0 ± 4	/	/	/

Photoelectrocatalytic conversion of urea under solar illumination using Ni decorated Ti-Fe₂O₃ electrodes

Lamia Rebiai et al.

Highlights

- Urea conversion with Fe₂O₃/Ni photoelectrodes as substitute for N-removal in WWTPs
- Anodic photoelectrodeposition of NiOOH on n-Fe₂O₃ nanorods where h^+ are collected
- Gain of 0.5 V in urea oxidation onset potential with FTO/Ti-Fe₂O₃/Ni vs. Ni electrode
- *In situ* light transmission recorded during CV confirms urea oxidation as EC process
- Urea PEC conversion leads to N₂, O₂, and NO₂⁻ ; FE ~ 10-20% for N removal as N₂