

High Intelligence is Not Associated with a Greater Propensity for Mental Health Disorders

Camille Michèle Williams, Hugo Peyre, Ghislaine Labouret, Judicael Fassaya, Adoración Guzmán García, Nicolas Gauvrit, Franck Ramus

► To cite this version:

Camille Michèle Williams, Hugo Peyre, Ghislaine Labouret, Judicael Fassaya, Adoración Guzmán García, et al.. High Intelligence is Not Associated with a Greater Propensity for Mental Health Disorders. European Psychiatry, inPress, pp.1-27. 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.2343. hal-03860469

HAL Id: hal-03860469 https://hal.science/hal-03860469

Submitted on 18 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1	High Intelligence is Not Associated with a Greater Propensity for Mental Health
2	Disorders
3	Camille Michèle Williams ¹ , Hugo Peyre ^{1,2,3} , Ghislaine Labouret ¹ , Judicael Fassaya ¹ ,
4	Adoración Guzmán García ¹ , Nicolas Gauvrit ⁴ , & Franck Ramus ¹
5	
6	¹ Laboratoire de Sciences Cognitives et Psycholinguistique, Département d'Études
7	Cognitives, École Normale Supérieure, EHESS, CNRS, PSL University, 75005, Paris,
8	France
9	² INSERM UMR 1141, Paris Diderot University, Paris, France.
10	³ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Robert Debré Hospital, APHP, Paris,
11	France.
12	⁴ Human and Artificial Cognition Lab, Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Paris, France.
13	
14	*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Camille Williams, LSCP, Département d'Etudes
15	Cognitives, École Normale Supérieure, 29 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France. Email:
16	williams.m.camille@gmail.com.
17	
18	Acknowledgments This work received support under the program "Investissements d'Avenir"
19	launched by the French Government and implemented by l'Agence Nationale de la recherche
20	(ANR) with the references ANR-17-EURE-0017 and ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL. This
21	research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource. Declarations of interest: none.
22	Preregistration: https://osf.io/cywd6/?view_only=fa9f5091de124d96be3eb1a55a4e7f01.
23	Conflict of interest - On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no
24	conflict of interest.
25	Data/Code Availability - This research has been conducted using data from UK Biobank, a
26	major biomedical database (http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). Restrictions apply to the
27	availability of these data, which were used under license for this study: application 46007.
28	Preregistration, code, and supplemental tables and data are available on OSF:

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

29 https://0s1.10/Cywd0/?view_0hry=1a915091de124d90be5e01a55a4e/101. Frep	o/cywd6/?view_only=fa9f5091de124d96be3eb1a55a4e7f01. Preprin	nt:
---	--	-----

30 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.26.22275621

Ethics Approval Statement- All participants provided informed consent ("Resources tab" at <u>https://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/field.cgi?id=200</u>). All procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the Research Ethics Committee (reference 11/NW/0382) and the present study was conducted based on application 46 007.

35

36 Abstract

37

Background: Studies reporting that highly intelligent individuals have more mental health
disorders often have sampling bias, no or inadequate control group, or insufficient sample size.
We addressed these caveats by examining the difference in the prevalence of mental health
disorders between individuals with high and average general intelligence (g-factor) in the UK
Biobank.

43

Methods: Participants with general intelligence (g-factor) scores standardized relative to the same-age UK population, were divided into 2 groups: a high g-factor group (g-factor 2 SD above the UK mean; N=16,137) and an average g-factor group (g-factor within 2 SD of the UK mean; N=236,273). Using self-report questionnaires and medical diagnoses, we examined group differences in prevalence across 32 phenotypes, including mental health disorders, trauma, allergies, and other traits.

50

Results: High and average g-factor groups differed across 15/32 phenotypes and did not depend on sex and/or age. Individuals with high g-factors had less general anxiety (OR=0.69, 95%CI[0.64;0.74]) and PTSD (OR=0.67, 95%CI[0.61;0.74])), were less neurotic (β =-0.12, 95%CI[-0.15;-0.10]), less socially isolated (OR=0.85, 95%CI[0.80;0.90]), and were less likely to have experienced childhood stressors and abuse, adulthood stressors, or catastrophic trauma (OR=0.69-0.90). They did not differ in any other mental health disorder or trait. However, they generally had more allergies (e.g., eczema; OR=1.13-1.33).

58

59 **Conclusions**: The present study provides robust evidence that highly intelligent individuals 60 have no more mental health disorders than the average population. High intelligence even 61 appears as a protective factor for general anxiety and PTSD.

63 **Word count:** 240

- 64
- 65 Key words: Intelligence; Psychopathology; Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder; Anxiety;
 66 Allergies
- 67

68	Table of Contents	
69	1. Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
70	2. Methods	Error! Bookmark not defined.
71	2.1. Participants	7
72	2.2. G-factor Groups	8
73	2.4. Phenotypes	8
74	2.5. Age	Error! Bookmark not defined.
75	2.6. Statistical Analyses	11
76	3. Results	12
77 78 79 80 81 82	 3.1. Differences in the Prevalence of Phenotypes CA 3.1.1. Mental Health Disorders 3.1.2. Somatic Phenotypes 3.1.3. Trauma 3.1.4. Traits 	between Participants with High and Average 12 12 15 15 15
83 84	3.2. Phenotypes with group differences between average g-factor groups	both the high and average and the low and 15
85 86	4. Discussion	17

88 1. Introduction

89 Intelligence – the ability to learn, reason, and solve problems (Arvey et al., 1994) – is 90 associated with greater physical health and longevity . And yet, some researchers report that 91 highly intelligent individuals are at a higher risk of developing mental health and somatic 92 disorders (Karpinski et al., 2018; Kermarrec et al., 2020; Lancon et al., 2015; Smith et al., 93 2015). The majority of studies that report a negative effect of intelligence on mental and 94 somatic health, however, often suffer from sampling bias, the lack of a control group, or 95 insufficient sample size (Gauvrit, 2014; Martin et al., 2010). The present paper addresses these 96 caveats by examining the difference in the prevalence of health and somatic disorders and other 97 traits between the highly intelligent (2 sd above mean) and averagely intelligent (within 2 sd 98 of the mean) individuals of the UK Biobank (N $\simeq 261,500$).

99 The notion of general intelligence (g) stems from the positive correlation in 100 performance across most cognitive tests (Spearman, 1904). It is formally defined as the 101 common source of variance underlying performance across a wide range of tests and is usually 102 computed using factor analysis. Intelligence Quotient (IQ) reflects a person's average 103 performance across cognitive tests relative to a representative sample of the same-age national 104 population. Henceforth, IQ and g will be used interchangeably and as shortcuts for general 105 intelligence scores.

106 Over the last decades, intelligence has proved to be a strong predictor of education 107 (Deary et al., 2007), socio-economic success (Schmidt & Hunter, 2004; Strenze, 2007), and 108 health outcomes (Calvin et al., 2017; Twig et al., 2018; Wraw et al., 2018; Wrulich et al., 2014). 109 For instance, having a childhood IQ one standard deviation (sd) above the mean decreases one's 110 risk of accidents and developing heart, respiratory, and digestive disease by 20-25% (Wraw et 111 al., 2018; Wrulich et al., 2014). And yet, whether intelligence also serves as a protective factor 112 for mental health disorders is still subject to debate. While some postulate that high intelligence 113 serves as a protective factor for several mental and somatic disorders (Burdick et al., 2009; 114 Ghaffari et al., 2014, 2014; Koenen et al., 2009, 2009; Sjölund et al., 2015), others suggest that 115 high intelligence is a risk factor for these phenotypes (Kanazawa, 2010; Karpinski et al., 2018; 116 Lancon et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2015, 2015; White & Batty, 2012; K. M. Williams et al., 117 2017) or that the effects of intelligence vary across mental health phenotypes (Wraw et al., 118 2016).

119 The most recent study examining the prevalence of mental health and somatic (i.e., 120 allergies, asthma, immunodeficiencies) disorders in highly intelligent individuals reported that 121 high IQ was a risk factor for affective disorders, neurodevelopmental disorders, and diseases 122 related to the immune system (Karpinski et al., 2018). However, the study suffers from 123 sampling bias because participants were recruited from the American Mensa Ltd. - a society 124 open to individuals that at some point scored in the top 2% on a verified intelligence test 125 (N=3,715). Since IQ tests are typically administered to children when parents or teachers notice 126 behavioral problems or by individuals experiencing stereotypical characteristics associated 127 with IO, selecting individuals from a sample of individuals who actively decided to take an IO 128 test or become members of a highly intelligent society may exacerbate the correlation between 129 having a high IO and mental health disorders and/or behavioral problems (Gauvrit, 2014; 130 Martin et al., 2010). The present paper thus aims to address these limitations.

131 We investigated the difference in prevalence between individuals with high (2 sd above 132 the population mean) and average (within 2 sd from the population mean) general intelligence 133 scores (g-factor scores; Williams et al., 2022) in the UK Biobank across mental health 134 disorders, somatic disorders, and certain traits. We examined group differences in the 135 prevalence of available mental health and somatic disorders in the UK Biobank, as well as 136 phenotypes that are thought to differ in prevalence in highly intelligent individuals, such as 137 subjective well-being phenotypes (e.g., well-being, social isolation; Li & Kanazawa, 2016; 138 Zettergren & Bergman, 2014), myopia (Czepita et al., 2008), chronotype (Ujma et al., 2020), 139 and trauma (McGuire & Jackson, 2020). Finally, we included sexual behaviors because studies 140 have shown heterogeneous results concerning the relationship between sexual behaviors and 141 IQ (Rahman et al., 2012; Rowe, 2002). As a point of comparison, we report differences in 142 prevalence across phenotypes between the average and low (2 sd under the population mean) 143 g-factor groups as exploratory analyses.

144

146 2. Methods

147 Analyses were conducted using R (R Core Team, 2022). R Packages are listed in S3 and the

148 preregistration, code, and supplements are available here:

149 <u>https://osf.io/cywd6/?view_only=fa9f5091de124d96be3eb1a55a4e7f01</u>).

150 2.1. Participants

Participants were taken from the UKBiobank, an open-access large prospective study with phenotypic, genotypic, and neuroimaging data from more than 500,000 participants recruited between 2006 and 2011 at 40 to 69 years old (Sudlow et al., 2015). All participants provided informed consent ("Resources tab" at https://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/field.cgi?id=200). All procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the Research Ethics Committee (reference 11/NW/0382). The present study was conducted based on the UK Biobank application 46 007.

158 2.2. G-factor

We defined our g-factor groups using g-factor scores from a previous paper that are relative to the UK population (C. M. Williams et al., 2022). In brief, we calculated g-factor scores for individuals that completed at least one of the UK Biobank cognitive tests.

UK Biobank participants completed a variety of cognitive tests upon each of their visits to
the UKBiobank assessment center (Category 100026) and online (Category 116), including:
Fluid Intelligence, Matrix Pattern Completion, Tower Rearranging, Numeric Memory, Pairs
Matching, Symbol Digit Substitution, Reaction Time, and Trail Making tests (Section S1.1).
Most tests were completed at the first visit and we used the score of the first instance of
completion if the test was completed several times.

Although the UK Biobank cognitive battery contains adapted versions of commonly-used tests and tests designed for the UK Biobank, the g-factor from well-validated tests correlates highly with the g-factor from the UK Biobank cognitive tests (r=0.83; Fawns-Ritchie & Deary, 2020).

Because UK Biobank participants tend to live in less socioeconomically deprived areas and are healthier than the UK population (Fry et al., 2017), we expected more than 2% of UK participants in the highly intelligent group (aka individuals with g-factor > 2 sd from the UK mean). To accurately identify highly intelligent individuals in the UK Biobank, we created a g-factor that would be relative to the UK mean.

To adjust for sampling bias, we calculated weights for each UK Biobank participant basedon their country of residence, sex, age range, occupation status, and occupation SOC group

using public the 2001 UK census data. We then adjusted for age with a semiparametriccontinuous norming method that considers weights (Lenhard et al., 2016).

From the age-standardized cognitive tests that are relative to the UK population, we extracted a g-factor from a single-factor model. We then computed the g-factor scores' weighted mean and sd, using the census weighting factors. Finally, we standardized each person's g-factor score with the weighted sample mean and weighted sample sd for a general population mean of 0 and sd of 1.

We estimated the quality of the g-factor based on the combination of completed tests, and we selected participants who had a reliable estimate of the g-factor (correlation with full g factor > 0.7; N= 261,701 participants; Section S1.2). Participants were excluded when there was a mismatch between the self-reported (field 31) and genetic sex (field 22001). Selfreported sex was coded -0.5 for males and 0.5 for females.

191 2.3. G-factor Groups

We created 3 g-factor groups: a high g-factor group (g-factor 2 sd above the population mean), a low g-factor group (g-factor 2 sd below the population mean), and an average g-factor group (g-factor within 2 sd from the population mean). About 90% of individuals were in the average g-factor group (236,273/261,701), 6.2% in the high g-factor group (16,137/261,701),

and 3.6 % in the low g-factor group (9,291/261,701; sex differences in Section S1.2).

197 2.4. Phenotypes

To maximize the number of participants with a diagnosis, each phenotype was created by combining questions and diagnoses from mental health professionals and self-reported questionnaires (Davis et al., 2020; Guggenheim et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2013; Tables SA, Section 1.3). We examined 32 phenotypes using one or several binary, ordinal, or continuous variables (Table SA; Table SB1-B2).

203 *Table 1.* Phenotypic Prevalence in the UK Biobank across and by Sexes

		Both Sexes			Men		Women			
	Without With		th	Without	With		Without	Wi	ith	
Phenotypes	Ν	Ν	%	Ν	Ν	%	N	Ν	%	
Eating Disorder	124,092	1,489	1.19	54,488	116	0.21	69,604	1,373	1.93	
Anorexia	124,886	750	0.6	54,583	35	0.06	70,303	715	1.01	
Anxiety	69,555	12,337	15.06	34,437	4,219	10.91	35,118	8,118	18.78	
Social Anxiety	117,099	1,412	1.19	50,835	654	1.27	66,264	758	1.13	
Depression Ever	22,872	11,556	33.57	11,678	3,765	24.38	11,194	7,791	41.04	
Probable Depression	86,282	30,995	26.43	43,254	10,970	20.23	43,028	20,025	31.76	
Bipolar Disorder	30,035	226	0.75	14,494	108	0.74	15,541	118	0.75	
Schizophrenia	125,387	194	0.15	54,497	107	0.2	70,890	87	0.12	
Self-Harm Thought or Action	220,343	41,079	15.71	103,443	15,215	12.82	116,900	25,864	18.12	
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	117,290	7,820	6.25	51,826	2,626	4.82	65,464	5,194	7.35	
Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder	156,192	1,268	0.81	67,781	400	0.59	88,411	606	0.68	
Alcohol Hazardous Substance Use or Dependence	117,083	6,591	5.33	49,594	4,072	7.59	67,489	2,519	3.6	
Other Hazardous Substance Use or Dependence	258,625	2,760	1.06	117,119	1,520	1.28	141,506	1,240	0.87	
Cannabis Use Ever	97,040	28,375	22.62	40,330	14,195	26.03	56,710	14,180	20	
General Allergy	73,287	29,550	28.73	32,990	12,538	27.54	40,297	17,012	29.68	
Asthma	86,918	15,919	15.48	38,860	6,668	14.65	48,058	9,251	16.14	
Hay Fever Rhinitis	450,094	51,200	10.21	205,755	22,680	9.93	244,339	28,520	10.45	
Other Allergies	489,588	11,682	2.33	224,543	3,880	1.7	265,045	7,802	2.86	
Муоріа	76,190	33,881	30.78	34,734	15,489	30.8	41,456	18,392	30.7	
Eczema	252,947	8,448	3.23	114,743	3,902	3.29	138,204	4,546	3.18	
Insomnia	57,376	204,142	78.06	32,753	85,948	72.41	24,623	118,194	82.76	
Loneliness	452,782	23,105	4.86	204,356	11,782	5.45	248,426	11,323	4.36	
Social Isolation	444,466	45,053	9.2	201,014	21,856	9.81	243,452	23,197	8.7	

Chronotype (More Evening)	145,500	87,667	37.6	63,275	39,521	38.45	82,225	48,146	36.93
Same-sex sexual behavior	15,757	432,740	96.49	8,873	197,539	95.7	6,884	235,201	97.16
Adulthood Abuse	20,479	20,479	16.32	4,701	4,701	8.62	15,778	15,778	22.25
Adulthood Stressors	51,167	51,167	40.91	21,289	21,289	39.12	29,878	29,878	42.28
Catastrophic Trauma	63,893	63,893	50.88	30,748	30,748	56.31	33,145	33,145	46.7
Childhood Abuse	14,060	14,060	11.2	5,387	5,387	9.87	8,673	8,673	12.22
Childhood Stressors	42,779	42,779	34.07	17,770	17,770	32.54	25,009	25,009	35.24

204 N.B. Probable Depression and Cannabis Use are ordinal but here reflect the prevalence of ever meeting the criteria regardless of severity.

206 Since the age of onset of disorders was not available for all participants and/or 207 measures, we used the maximum age at which an individual provided the most recent measure 208 of a disorder to approximate lifetime prevalence (Section S1.4).

209 2.6. Statistical Analyses

To reduce the number of statistical tests when examining group differences across phenotypes, we first examined age and sex effects and interactions on each phenotype (Section S1.5.1). If age and sex's main effects or interactions did not predict a phenotype (p > 0.05), they were excluded from the g-factor group analyses. Equation 1 corresponds to the model with all possible predictors (f corresponds to the logit function for binary and ordinal phenotypes and to the linear function for continuous phenotypes).

Participants were included in the g-factor group analyses if they had a g-factor measure and answered all of the questions used to create that phenotype, except when the same question was asked several times. If participants responded as having the phenotype across any of the questions, they were marked as having the phenotype, otherwise, they were marked as not having the phenotype.

Age was mean-centered in ordinal and binary regressions, while age and continuous phenotypes were mean-centered and divided by 1sd in linear regressions to report standardized betas.

224 *Equation 1*:

f (*Phenotype*) *f* (*Phenotype*)

226

227 228

229

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{notype}) \\ = \beta 0 + \beta 1 * age + \beta 2 * age^2 + \beta 3 * sex + \beta 4 * CA_{Group} + \beta 5 \\ * age * sex + \beta 6 * age^2 * sex + \beta 7 * sex * CA_{Group} + \beta 8 * age \\ * CA_{Group} + \beta 9 * age^2 * CA_{Group} + \beta 10 * age * sex * CA_{Group} + \beta 11 \\ * age^2 * sex * CA_{Group} \end{array}$

We report results with the g-factor group predictor that survived a Bonferroni correction 230 231 for multiple comparisons of 0.05 divided by the number of coefficients in the equation with the 232 g-factor group term times the number of coefficients of interest times the number of 233 investigated phenotypes (e.g., $0.05/(6^{*}32)$) for equation 1). Since the analyses on the low g-234 factor group were exploratory, we applied the same multiple comparison correction as for the 235 high g-factor group (e.g., 0.05/(6*32)) for equation 1). Odd Ratios (ORs) reflect the odds that 236 a person will have a phenotype or disorder given that they are highly compared to averagely 237 intelligent and were calculated as the exponent of the regression estimate.

239 3. Results

The model assumptions of low-powered phenotypes with few cases in the UK Biobank (including, Anorexia, Eating Disorder, Schizophrenia, Bipolar Disorder, OCD, Social Anxiety, and Other Hazardous Substance Use) were not met and should be interpreted with caution (Supplemental Files S9-40). We additionally ran the analyses with the g-factor scores instead of the g-factor group and found consistent results with our group analyses (S2.4, Figures S5-8 and Supplemental Files S9-S40).

246 3.1. Differences in the Prevalence of Phenotypes between Participants with High and

247 Average CA

Sex and age effects across phenotypes are described in S2.1. and in Supplemental Tables SC. In Supplemental Tables SD, we provide the phenotypic prevalence by g-factor group and the mean and sd of the g-factor, Townsend Index, birth year, number of children, and number of individuals in the household of each g-factor group by diagnosis to describe the investigated populations. Regression results are reported in Supplemental Tables SE.

Across the 32 phenotypes, the prevalence differed between the high g-factor and average g-factor groups in 15 phenotypes (47%) and between the low g-factor and average g-factor groups in 12 phenotypes (38%; Figure 1). Phenotypic differences between the Low and Average g-factor are discussed in S2.3. There were no significant interactions in the analyses comparing the average to the high g-factor groups.

258 3.1.1. Mental Health Disorders

Compared to individuals in the average g-factor group, there was a 33% decrease in the odds of suffering from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (OR=0.67, 95%CI[0.61;0.74]) and a 31% decrease in the odds of having general anxiety (OR=0.69, 95%CI[0.64;0.74])) in the high g-factor group (Table 2). There was no significant difference across other mental health disorders (Figure 1).



265

Figure 1. Group Differences in Prevalence between High and Average and Low and Average

267 g-factor Groups across Phenotypes and Scores. OCD: Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder;
 268 PTSD: Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Correction for multiple comparisons varies by

269 phenotype. See supplemental tables standard error (SE) for p-value thresholds for multiple

270 comparison corrections. High g-factor: participants with a g-factor score 2SD above the mean.

271 Low g-factor: participants with a g-factor score 2SD under the mean. Average g-factor:

272 participants with a g-factor score between + or -2SD from the mean.

							Avera	ge g-Fac	tor	Hig	h g-Fact	or
		Estimate	SE	OR	t/z,	р	Control	Cases	%	Control	Cases	%
	Other Allergies	0.28	0.06	1.33	4.50	6.71E-06	229,995	6,012	2.55	15627	500	3.10
	Eczema	0.22	0.06	1.25	3.96	7.61E-05	228,451	7,556	3.20	15472	655	4.06
	Cannabis Use Ever	0.22	0.03	1.25	7.06	1.64E-12	86,279	24,836	22.35	8092	3,088	27.62
High > Average	Same-Sex Behavior	0.21	0.05	1.23	3.89	1.02E-04	209,239	8,741	4.01	14659	752	4.88
11/0/080	Chronotype (E vs M)	0.14	0.02	1.15	5.99	2.04E-09	132,775	82,172	38.23	8483	6,092	41.80
	General Allergy	0.12	0.03	1.13	4.00	6.29E-05	64,788	25,833	28.51	6851	3,149	31.49
	Myopia	0.66	0.10	1.93	6.49	8.79E-11	70,191	30,851	30.53	2903	2,281	44.00
	Trauma Catastrophic	-0.10	0.03	0.90	-3.70	2.20E-04	54,472	56,797	51.04	5640	5,554	49.62
	Social Isolation	-0.16	0.04	0.85	-4.28	1.83E-05	210,478	23,110	9.89	14634	1,414	8.81
	Trauma Childhood Stressors	-0.27	0.03	0.76	-8.92	4.62E-19	73,178	38,076	34.22	8141	3,053	27.27
High <	Trauma Adulthood Stressors	-0.37	0.03	0.69	-12.43	1.83E-35	65,178	45,654	41.19	7608	3,565	31.91
Average	General Anxiety	-0.37	0.05	0.69	-7.18	7.00E-13	61,127	11,118	15.39	6925	847	10.90
	Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	-0.40	0.07	0.67	-5.77	7.70E-09	103,826	7,022	6.33	10730	441	3.95
	Trauma Childhood Abuse	-0.48	0.05	0.62	-9.18	4.14E-20	98,544	12,688	11.41	10374	819	7.32
	Neuroticism Score	-0.12	0.01		-10.17	2.87E-24						

273 **Table 2.** *Phenotypes that differ in Prevalence between Average and High General Intelligence Groups.*

274 *N.B.* G-Factor: General Intelligence. High g-Factor: participants with a g-factor score 2SD above the mean. Average g-Factor: participants with a

275 g-factor score between + or – 2SD from the mean. Neuroticism estimate is a standardized beta. Cannabis Use: Never used vs. used at least once.

276 E: Evening, M: Morning. Cannabis Use: never used versus used at least once.

277 3.1.2. Somatic Phenotypes

Compared to individuals in the average g-factor group, the odds of having some type of allergy increased by 13% for individuals in the high g-factor group (OR=1.13, 95%CI[1.08;1.18]). This was explained by their greater propensity to having eczema and other allergies (e.g., food; respectively 1.25 and 1.33 times more likely), which were included in the general allergy diagnosis (Table 2). The odds of being myopic increased by 93% in the high gfactor group (OR=1.93, 95%CI[1.82;2.04]) and this remained significant when controlling for educational attainment (OR=1.75; S2.2).

285 3.1.3. Trauma

Compared to individuals in the average g-factor group, the odds of experiencing catastrophic trauma, adulthood stressors, childhood abuse, and childhood stressors decreased by 10% (OR=0.90, 95%CI[0.87;0.94]), 31% (OR=0.69, 95%CI[0.66;0.72]), 38% (OR=0.62, 95%CI[0.58;0.67]), and 24% (OR=0.76, 95%CI[0.73;0.79]; Table 2) in the high g-factor group, respectively.

291 3.1.4. Traits

292 Compared to individuals in the average g-factor group, the odds of feeling more socially 293 isolated decreased by 15% in the high g-factor group (OR=0.85, 95%CI[0.80;0.90]), whereas 294 the odds of having an evening-like chronotype, ever engaging in same-sex behavior, and ever 295 using cannabis increased by 15% (OR=1.15, 95%CI[1.11;1.19]), 23% (OR=1.23, 296 95%CI[1.14;1.33]), and 25% (OR=1.25, 95%CI[1.20;1.31]) respectively in the high g-factor 297 group. Fig S4 shows that there are more individuals with a higher-than-average g-factor that 298 have used cannabis 1 to 100 times and that over 100 times there are no group differences. The 299 high g-factor group had a lower neuroticism score than individuals in the average g-factor 300 group (β =-0.12, SE=0.01; Table 2).

301 3.2. Phenotypes with group differences between both the high and average and the low and

302 average g-factor groups

303 Childhood stressors, childhood abuse, adulthood stressors, PTsd, and social isolation 304 were more prevalent in the low compared to the average g-factor group (Table S2) and were 305 more prevalent in the average compared to the high g-factor group (Table 2), suggesting that 306 the prevalence of these phenotypes decreases with an increasing g-factor. The low g-factor 307 group had a higher neuroticism score than the average g-factor group (β =0.22, SE=0.02), which 308 had a higher neuroticism score than the high g-factor group. The odds of ever trying cannabis 309 and having an evening-like chronotype respectively decreased by 41% (OR=0.59,

- 310 95%CI[0.53;0.65]) and 14% (OR=0.86, 95%CI[0.82;0.90]) in the low compared to the average
- 311 g-factor group.
- 312

313 **4. Discussion**

We examined differences in the prevalence of mental health disorders, somatic and certain traits between individuals with high (2 sd above mean) and average g-factor scores (within 2 sd of the mean) in the UK Biobank (N \approx 7,266 - 252,249). We contrasted these results with differences observed between individuals with low and average g-factor scores.

318 We found that the high g-factor group did not have more mental health disorders than 319 the average g-factor group in general and that they were less likely to have general anxiety and 320 PTsd. Individuals with higher intelligence were also less likely to have experienced trauma and 321 stressors, except for adulthood abuse, which may be part of the explanation for the previous 322 finding. The high g-factor group was also less neurotic and felt less socially isolated. In 323 contrast, the low g-factor group was more neurotic, felt more socially isolated, and had a greater 324 prevalence of trauma, stressors, and PTsd than the average g-factor group, suggesting that the 325 prevalence of these phenotypes decreases with increasing intelligence. Among the few somatic 326 disorders that were examined, we found that individuals with high intelligence were more 327 myopic and had more allergies, although they had a lower prevalence of hay fever rhinitis, and 328 asthma. Individuals with high intelligence were also more likely to present certain traits, such 329 as having an afternoon-evening chronotype, ever tried cannabis, and ever engaged in same-sex 330 behavior, whereas the low g-factor group was less likely to have ever tried cannabis and 331 engaged in same-sex behavior than the average g-factor group. There were no differences 332 between groups in the prevalence of insomnia.

333 The result of the present study contradicts several studies that reported an increased risk 334 for various psychiatric disorders in individuals with high intelligence (e.g., Karpinski et al., 335 2018; Smith et al., 2015). These studies were generally based on small samples and suffered 336 from major sampling bias or a lack of a control group (Gauvrit, 2014; Martin et al., 2010). We 337 find that high intelligence is not a risk factor for psychiatric disorders and even a protective 338 factor for general anxiety. Higher intelligence was associated with a decrease in trauma 339 exposure, and consequently PTsd. This is consistent with previous findings (McGuire & 340 Jackson, 2020) and with the association of childhood trauma with lower intelligence (Enlow et 341 al., 2012).

With regard to somatic disorders, we replicate the increased risk of allergies in individuals with high intelligence (Benbow, 1985; Karpinski et al., 2018). One possible explanation for this association is that allergies and intelligence share neural correlates (Takeuchi et al., 2018). Another possibility is that more intelligent individuals with a higher gfactor live in more urban areas (Jokela, 2014), where allergies are more prevalent (Schröder et al., 2015), or that individuals with high intelligence are more aware of allergic symptoms and
have better access to health care. However, the prevalence between groups did not differ across
all allergies (e.g., Asthma, Hay Fever Rhinitis).

350 In line with a previous literature review (Czepita et al., 2008), the risk of myopia was 351 greater for individuals with high intelligence. While near-work activities (e.g., reading and 352 computer use) seem to be a risk factor for myopia (Huang et al., 2015; Mutti et al., 2002), this 353 association appears to be distinct from that of higher intelligence and education level (K. M. 354 Williams et al., 2017). Although additional years of education contribute to an increase in the 355 risk of developing myopia (Mountjoy et al., 2018), most of the evidence points toward shared 356 genetic factors between intelligence and myopia (K. M. Williams et al., 2017), which is 357 consistent with our observation that the risk of myopia associated with a high g-factor only 358 slightly decreased when adjusting for educational attainment.

359 Our results indicate more afternoon-evening chronotypes in individuals with high 360 intelligence than in individuals with average intelligence, which could be explained by 361 differences in the work schedules of the different g-factor groups (Ujma et al., 2020). In line 362 with a previous study (Rahman et al., 2012), we find that individuals with high intelligence are 363 more likely to ever have engaged in same-sex behavior. Although consistent with previous 364 studies (Rahman et al., 2012; Willmott & Brierley, 1984), our measure reflects sexual 365 exploration rather than sexual orientation. We also found an association between ever trying 366 cannabis and intelligence, but this was only true for individuals who consumed cannabis less 367 than 101 times in their lifetime, suggesting that this measure also reflects a tendency to explore. 368 One possibility is that individuals with higher intelligence, which is positively correlated with 369 the "Openness to Experience" personality trait (r=0.30; Stankov, 2018), may be more likely to 370 seek out new experiences and explore alternative behaviors than the average.

This paper was first limited in its ability to study neurodevelopmental disorders and some psychotic disorders, which were absent or had few cases in the UK Biobank. We nonetheless investigated phenotypic differences in prevalence between g-factor groups across a greater number of psychiatric disorders than previously done (Brown et al., 2021; Karpinski et al., 2018) and examined additional phenotypes, including traumatic experiences.

376 Second, the prevalence of some psychiatric disorders and traits differs from the general 377 population (Davis et al., 2020) because the UK Biobank has a "healthy volunteer" bias (Fry et 378 al., 2017). However, this should not affect the validity of the group comparisons because the 379 phenotypes were designed with the same criterion between high and average IQ groups. Third, while some data may not be missing at random, our participants are more representative of highly intelligent individuals in the general population than those from previous Mensa studies, which did not have a control group and examined individuals that had to take or decided to take an IQ test and join a society for the highly intelligent.

Fourth, although we used self-report diagnoses, which are less precise than professional diagnoses, UK Biobank participants self-reporting any psychiatric diagnosis appear to have an elevated risk of any symptom-based outcome (Davis et al., 2019). By using professional and probable diagnoses with validated short mental health tests, we included individuals with mental health disorders that have not sought or received mental health assistance (Kessler et al., 2007; Newson et al., 2011).

Fifth, the prevalence of psychiatric disorders and traits between g-factor groups may
differ across the lifespan. However, we were interested in lifetime prevalence, making the UK
Biobank, a prospective aging study, a good candidate for the question at hand.

Therefore, while this paper is not without limitations, we provide a large scaled analysis of the difference in the prevalence of numerous phenotypes, including mental health and somatic disorders, across individuals of varying intelligence. We find that high intelligence is not a risk factor for psychiatric disorders and is a protective factor for general anxiety and PTsd. Whereas our results support the advantageous nature of higher intelligence (Brown et al., 2021), general intelligence may still be relevant for psychiatric evaluation: higher intelligence may affect the presentation of symptoms and the available resources for recovery.

401 402	References Arvey, R. D., Bouchard, T. J., Carroll, J. B., Cattell, R. B., Cohen, D. B., Dawis, R. V., &
403	Willerman, L. (1994). Mainstream science on intelligence. Wall Street Journal, 13(1),
404	18–25.
405	Benbow, C. P. (1985). Intellectually gifted students also suffer from immune disorders.
406	Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 8(3), 442–442.
407	https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00001059
408	Brown, M. I., Wai, J., & Chabris, C. F. (2021). Can You Ever Be Too Smart for Your Own
409	Good? Comparing Linear and Nonlinear Effects of Cognitive Ability on Life
410	Outcomes. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 16(6), 1337–1359.
411	https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620964122
412	Burdick, K. E., Gunawardane, N., Woodberry, K., & Malhotra, A. K. (2009). The role of
413	general intelligence as an intermediate phenotype for neuropsychiatric disorders.
414	Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, 14(4–5), 299–311.
415	https://doi.org/10.1080/13546800902805347
416	Calvin, C. M., Batty, G. D., Der, G., Brett, C. E., Taylor, A., Pattie, A., Čukić, I., & Deary, I.
417	J. (2017). Childhood intelligence in relation to major causes of death in 68 year
418	follow-up: Prospective population study. BMJ, 357. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j2708
419	Christensen, G. T., Mortensen, E. L., Christensen, K., & Osler, M. (2016). Intelligence in
420	young adulthood and cause-specific mortality in the Danish Conscription Database -
421	A cohort study of 728,160 men. Intelligence, 59, 64–71.
422	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2016.08.001
423	Czepita, D., Lodygowska, E., & Czepita, M. (2008). Are children with myopia more
424	intelligent? A literature review. Annales Academiae Medicae Stetinensis, 54(1), 13-
425	16; discussion 16.

426	Davis, K. A. S., Coleman, J. R. I., Adams, M., Allen, N., Breen, G., Cullen, B., Dickens, C.,
427	Fox, E., Graham, N., Holliday, J., Howard, L. M., John, A., Lee, W., McCabe, R.,
428	McIntosh, A., Pearsall, R., Smith, D. J., Sudlow, C., Ward, J., Hotopf, M. (2020).
429	Mental health in UK Biobank – development, implementation and results from an
430	online questionnaire completed by 157 366 participants: A reanalysis. BJPsych Open,
431	6(2). https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2019.100
432	Davis, K. A. S., Cullen, B., Adams, M., Brailean, A., Breen, G., Coleman, J. R. I., Dregan,
433	A., Gaspar, H. A., Hübel, C., Lee, W., McIntosh, A. M., Nolan, J., Pearsall, R., &
434	Hotopf, M. (2019). Indicators of mental disorders in UK Biobank-A comparison of
435	approaches. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 28(3), e1796.
436	https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1796
437	Deary, I. J., Strand, S., Smith, P., & Fernandes, C. (2007). Intelligence and educational
438	achievement. Intelligence, 35(1), 13-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2006.02.001
439	Enlow, M. B., Egeland, B., Blood, E. A., Wright, R. O., & Wright, R. J. (2012). Interpersonal
440	trauma exposure and cognitive development in children to age 8 years: A longitudinal
441	study. J Epidemiol Community Health, 66(11), 1005–1010.
442	https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2011-200727
443	Fawns-Ritchie, C., & Deary, I. J. (2020). Reliability and validity of the UK Biobank
444	cognitive tests. <i>PLOS ONE</i> , 15(4), e0231627.
445	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231627
446	Fry, A., Littlejohns, T. J., Sudlow, C., Doherty, N., Adamska, L., Sprosen, T., Collins, R., &
447	Allen, N. E. (2017). Comparison of Sociodemographic and Health-Related
448	Characteristics of UK Biobank Participants With Those of the General Population.
449	American Journal of Epidemiology, 186(9), 1026–1034.
450	https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwx246

- Gauvrit, N. (2014). Précocité intellectuelle: Un champ de recherches miné. ANAE Approche
 Neuropsychologique Des Apprentissages Chez l'Enfant, 132/133, 527–534.
- 453 Ghaffari, J., Abbaskhanian, A., Jalili, M., & Yazdani Charati, J. (2014). IQ Score of Children
- with Persistent or Perennial Allergic Rhinitis: A Comparison with Healthy Children. *Iranian Journal of Child Neurology*, 8(3), 44–48.
- 456 Guggenheim, J. A., Williams, C., & for the UK Biobank Eye and Vision Consortium. (2015).
- 457 Role of Educational Exposure in the Association Between Myopia and Birth Order.
- 458 *JAMA Ophthalmology*, *133*(12), 1408–1414.
- 459 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.3556
- 460 Huang, H.-M., Chang, D. S.-T., & Wu, P.-C. (2015). The Association between Near Work
- 461 Activities and Myopia in Children—A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLOS*

462 *ONE*, *10*(10), e0140419. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140419

463 Jokela, M. (2014). Flow of cognitive capital across rural and urban United States.

464 Intelligence, 46, 47–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2014.05.003

- 465 Kanazawa, S. (2010). Evolutionary psychology and intelligence research. *The American*
- 466 *Psychologist*, 65(4), 279–289. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019378
- 467 Karpinski, R. I., Kolb, A. M. K., Tetreault, N. A., & Borowski, T. B. (2018). High
- 468 intelligence: A risk factor for psychological and physiological overexcitabilities.
- 469 Intelligence, 66, 8–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2017.09.001
- 470 Kermarrec, S., Attinger, L., Guignard, J.-H., & Tordjman, S. (2020). Anxiety disorders in
- 471 children with high intellectual potential. *BJPsych Open*, *6*(4).
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2019.104
- 473 Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustun, T. B.
- 474 (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature. *Current*

- 475 *Opinion in Psychiatry*, 20(4), 359–364.
- 476 https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c

477 Koenen, K. C., Moffitt, T. E., Roberts, A. L., Martin, L. T., Kubzansky, L., Harrington, H.,

- 478 Poulton, R., & Caspi, A. (2009). Childhood IQ and Adult Mental Disorders: A Test of
- 479 the Cognitive Reserve Hypothesis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *166*(1), 50–57.
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08030343
- 481 Lancon, C., Martinelli, M., Michel, P., Debals, M., Auquier, P., Guedj, E., & Boyer, L.

482 (2015). Comorbidités psychiatriques et qualité de vie chez les sujets adultes à haut

483 potentiel intellectuel: Relations avec l'estime de soi. *La Presse Médicale*, 44(5),

484 e177–e184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lpm.2014.11.018

- 485 Li, N. P., & Kanazawa, S. (2016). Country roads, take me home... to my friends: How
- 486 intelligence, population density, and friendship affect modern happiness. *British*487 *Journal of Psychology*, 107(4), 675–697. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12181
- 488 Martin, L. T., Burns, R. M., & Schonlau, M. (2010). Mental Disorders Among Gifted and
- 489 Nongifted Youth: A Selected Review of the Epidemiologic Literature. *Gifted Child*
- 490 *Quarterly*, 54(1), 31–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986209352684
- 491 McGuire, A., & Jackson, Y. (2020). The Role of Trauma Type and Age in the Relation
- 492 Between Trauma Exposure and Intelligence. *Child Maltreatment*, 25(2), 192–202.
- 493 https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559519860596
- 494 Mountjoy, E., Davies, N. M., Plotnikov, D., Smith, G. D., Rodriguez, S., Williams, C. E.,
- 495 Guggenheim, J. A., & Atan, D. (2018). Education and myopia: Assessing the
- 496 direction of causality by mendelian randomisation. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*, 361,
- 497 k2022. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k2022

- 498 Mutti, D. O., Mitchell, G. L., Moeschberger, M. L., Jones, L. A., & Zadnik, K. (2002).
- 499 Parental Myopia, Near Work, School Achievement, and Children's Refractive Error.
- 500 Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science, 43(12), 3633–3640.
- Newson, R. S., Karlsson, H., & Tiemeier, H. (2011). Epidemiological fallacies of modern
 psychiatric research. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 65(4), 226–237.
- 503 https://doi.org/10.3109/08039488.2010.539268
- 504 R Core Team. (2022). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
- 505 Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- 506 Rahman, Q., Bhanot, S., Emrith-Small, H., Ghafoor, S., & Roberts, S. (2012). Gender
- 507 nonconformity, intelligence, and sexual orientation. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*,
- 508 *41*(3), 623–630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-011-9737-1
- 509 Rowe, D. C. (2002). IQ, Birth Weight, and Number of Sexual Partners in White, African
- 510 American, and Mixed Race Adolescents. *Population and Environment*, 23(6), 513–
- 511 524. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016313718644
- 512 Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. (2004). General Mental Ability in the World of Work:
- 513 Occupational Attainment and Job Performance. *Journal of Personality and Social*514 *Psychology*, 86(1), 162–173. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.86.1.162
- 515 Schröder, P. C., Li, J., Wong, G. W. K., & Schaub, B. (2015). The rural-urban enigma of
- allergy: What can we learn from studies around the world? *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology*, 26(2), 95–102. https://doi.org/10.1111/pai.12341
- 518 Sjölund, S., Hemmingsson, T., & Allebeck, P. (2015). IQ and Level of Alcohol
- 519 Consumption—Findings from a National Survey of Swedish Conscripts. *Alcoholism*,
- 520 *Clinical and Experimental Research*, *39*(3), 548–555.
- 521 https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.12656

522	Smith, D. J., Anderson, J., Zammit, S., Meyer, T. D., Pell, J. P., & Mackay, D. (2015).
523	Childhood IQ and risk of bipolar disorder in adulthood: Prospective birth cohort
524	study. BJPsych Open, 1(1), 74-80. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjpo.bp.115.000455
525	Smith, D. J., Nicholl, B. I., Cullen, B., Martin, D., Ul-Haq, Z., Evans, J., Gill, J. M. R.,
526	Roberts, B., Gallacher, J., Mackay, D., Hotopf, M., Deary, I., Craddock, N., & Pell, J.
527	P. (2013). Prevalence and Characteristics of Probable Major Depression and Bipolar
528	Disorder within UK Biobank: Cross-Sectional Study of 172,751 Participants. PLOS
529	ONE, 8(11), e75362. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075362
530	Spearman, C. (1904). "General Intelligence," Objectively Determined and Measured. The
531	American Journal of Psychology, 15(2), 201–292. https://doi.org/10.2307/1412107
532	Stankov, L. (2018). Low Correlations between Intelligence and Big Five Personality Traits:
533	Need to Broaden the Domain of Personality. Journal of Intelligence, 6(2), E26.
534	https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence6020026
535	Strenze, T. (2007). Intelligence and socioeconomic success: A meta-analytic review of
536	longitudinal research. Intelligence, 35(5), 401–426.
537	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2006.09.004
538	Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P., Elliott, P.,
539	Green, J., Landray, M., Liu, B., Matthews, P., Ong, G., Pell, J., Silman, A., Young,
540	A., Sprosen, T., Peakman, T., & Collins, R. (2015). UK Biobank: An Open Access
541	Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle
542	and Old Age. PLOS Medicine, 12(3), e1001779.
543	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779
544	Takeuchi, H., Taki, Y., Nouchi, R., Yokoyama, R., Kotozaki, Y., Nakagawa, S., Sekiguchi,
545	A., Iizuka, K., Yamamoto, Y., Hanawa, S., Araki, T., Miyauchi, C. M., Sakaki, K.,
546	Nozawa, T., Ikeda, S., Yokota, S., Daniele, M., Sassa, Y., & Kawashima, R. (2018).

547	Allergic tendencies are associated with larger gray matter volumes. Scientific Reports,
548	8(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21985-8
549	Twig, G., Tirosh, A., Derazne, E., Haklai, Z., Goldberger, N., Afek, A., Gerstein, H. C.,
550	Kark, J. D., & Cukierman-Yaffe, T. (2018). Cognitive function in adolescence and the
551	risk for premature diabetes and cardiovascular mortality in adulthood. Cardiovascular
552	Diabetology, 17(1), 154. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-018-0798-5
553	Ujma, P. P., Baudson, T. G., Bódizs, R., & Dresler, M. (2020). The relationship between
554	chronotype and intelligence: The importance of work timing. Scientific Reports,
555	10(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62917-9
556	White, J., & Batty, G. D. (2012). Intelligence across childhood in relation to illegal drug use
557	in adulthood: 1970 British Cohort Study. J Epidemiol Community Health, 66(9), 767-
558	774. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2011-200252
559	Williams, C. M., Labouret, G., Wolfram, T., Peyre, H., & Ramus, F. (2022). A General
560	Cognitive Ability Factor for the UK Biobank. PsyArXiv.
561	https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/9rkyz
562	Williams, K. M., Hysi, P. G., Yonova-Doing, E., Mahroo, O. A., Snieder, H., & Hammond,
563	C. J. (2017). Phenotypic and genotypic correlation between myopia and intelligence.
564	Scientific Reports, 7(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45977
565	Willmott, M., & Brierley, H. (1984). Cognitive characteristics and homosexuality. Archives
566	of Sexual Behavior, 13(4), 311-319. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01541904
567	Wraw, C., Deary, I. J., Der, G., & Gale, C. R. (2016). Intelligence in youth and mental health
568	at age 50. Intelligence, 58, 69–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2016.06.005
569	Wraw, C., Der, G., Gale, C. R., & Deary, I. J. (2018). Intelligence in youth and health
570	behaviours in middle age. Intelligence, 69, 71-86.
571	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2018.04.005

- 572 Wrulich, M., Brunner, M., Stadler, G., Schalke, D., Keller, U., & Martin, R. (2014). Forty
- 573 years on: Childhood intelligence predicts health in middle adulthood. *Health*
- 574 Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American
- 575 *Psychological Association*, *33*(3), 292–296. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030727
- 576 Zettergren, P., & Bergman, L. R. (2014). Adolescents With High IQ and Their Adjustment in
- 577 Adolescence and Midlife. *Research in Human Development*, *11*(3), 186–203.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1080/15427609.2014.936182

579