

## Contribution of deep soil layers to the transpiration of a temperate deciduous forest: Implications for the modelling of productivity

Jean Maysonnave, Nicolas Delpierre, Christophe François, Marion Jourdan, Ivan Cornut, Stéphane Bazot, Gaëlle Vincent, Alexandre Morfin, Daniel Berveiller

## ▶ To cite this version:

Jean Maysonnave, Nicolas Delpierre, Christophe François, Marion Jourdan, Ivan Cornut, et al.. Contribution of deep soil layers to the transpiration of a temperate deciduous forest: Implications for the modelling of productivity. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 838 (Part 2), pp.155981. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155981. hal-03857864

## HAL Id: hal-03857864 https://hal.science/hal-03857864v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Contribution of deep soil layers to the transpiration of a temperate deciduous forest: quantification and implications for the modelling of productivity

Jean Maysonnave<sup>1,+</sup>, Nicolas Delpierre<sup>1,2,+,\*</sup>, Christophe François<sup>1</sup>, Marion Jourdan<sup>1</sup>, Ivan Cornut<sup>1,3</sup>, Stéphane Bazot<sup>1</sup>, Gaëlle Vincent<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Morfin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Berveiller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Ecologie Systématique et Evolution, 91405, Orsay, France. <sup>2</sup>Institut Universitaire de France (IUF) <sup>3</sup>CIRAD, UMR Eco&Sols, F-34398 Montpellier, France <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: nicolas.delpierre@universite-paris-saclay.fr <sup>+</sup>both authors contributed equally

## <sup>1</sup> Abstract

Climate change is imposing drier atmospheric and edaphic conditions on temperate forests. 2 Here, we investigated how deep soil (down to 300 cm) water extraction contributed to the pro-3 vision of water in the Fontainebleau-Barbeau temperate oak forest over two years, including the 4 2018 record drought. Deep water provision was key to sustain canopy transpiration during drought, 5 with layers below 150 cm contributing up to 60% of the transpired water in August 2018, despite 6 their very low density of fine roots. We further showed that soil databases used to parameter-7 ize ecosystem models largely underestimated the amount of water extractable from the soil by 8 trees, due to a considerable underestimation of the tree rooting depth. The consensus database 9 established for France gave an estimate of 207 mm for the soil water holding capacity (SWHC) at 10 Fontainebleau-Barbeau, when our estimate based on the analysis of soil water content measure-11 ments was 1.9 times as high, reaching  $390\pm17$  mm. Running the CASTANEA forest model with 12 the database-derived SWHC yielded a 350 gC  $m^{-2} y^{-1}$  average underestimation of annual gross 13 primary productivity under current climate, reaching up to 700 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> under climate change 14 scenario RCP8.5. It is likely that the strong underestimation of SWHC that we show at our site is 15 not a special case, and concerns a large number of forest sites. Thus, we argue for a generalisation 16

of deep soil water content measurements in forests, in order to improve the estimation of SWHC
and the simulation of the forest carbon cycle in the current context of climate change.

## <sup>19</sup> Keywords

water uptake, deep soil, water stress, soil water holding capacity, carbon fluxes, gross primary
 productivity, temperate oaks

## <sup>22</sup> Highlights

- Forest-atmosphere carbon exchanges remained insensitive to record drought.
- Deep soil (150-300 cm) provisioned up to 60% of the water transpired by the forest during
   drought.
- Soil databases were underestimating soil water holding capacity by a factor of two.
- Simulated forest productivity is strongly sensitive to soil water holding capacity parameter.
- Deep soil water content measurements are urgently needed to correctly estimate the soil water holding capacity.

## **30** Introduction

Evaporating water is vital for trees. The process of evapotranspiration plays a central role in 31 the thermoregulation of leaves, the acquisition and transport of nutrients from the soil to plant 32 organs and is an inescapable consequence of the stomatal opening for the acquisition of carbon from 33 the atmosphere. In plant communities, orders of magnitude for the amount of water transpired 34 per unit carbon gained through photosynthesis range from 190 grams of water per unit gram of 35 carbon as a global estimate for terrestrial vegetation (Cramer et al., 2009) to 330  $g(H_2O)/g(C)$  in 36 the FLUXNET database (Baldocchi and Peñuelas, 2019). This means that considerable amounts 37 of water are necessary for sustaining the basic functioning of plant communities, and particularly 38 of forests which can grow high leaf areas (Asner et al., 2003) leading to high evapotranspiration 39 fluxes. Being sessile organisms, plants rely on the soil stores to provide this water resource. Since 40 the amount of water stored in the soil and accessible to plants is generally lower than the amount 41 of water that could be evaporated considering the local energy balance, plant communities have 42 evolved a range of controls of the water loss to the atmosphere from the scale of the leaf (i.e. 43 stomatal closure; Martin-StPaul et al., 2017) to the canopy (i.e. control of the leaf area index; 44 Eagleson, 1982). More generally, the modification of a large range of physiological processes 45 (Hsiao et al., 1976) along the depletion of the plant water potential illustrates the adaptation of 46 plants to water shortage. Located at both ends of the soil-plant-atmosphere water continuum, the 47 atmospheric demand for water vapor (that relates to vapor pressure deficit, VPD), and the capillary 48 forces retaining water molecules in the soil impose a tension on the water-column ascending the 49 plant xylem. Increases in the atmospheric demand and/or the tension of water in the plant result in 50 progressive stomatal closure (Martin-StPaul et al., 2017) that tends to mitigate the water potential 51 drop-down and prevent xylem embolism. 52

<sup>53</sup> Characterising the response of the canopy to VPD is rather straightforward (Oren et al., 1999; <sup>54</sup> Novick et al., 2016; Grossiord et al., 2020) since atmospheric VPD can readily be measured, or <sup>55</sup> calculated from measurements of relative humidity and temperature. On the other hand, the role <sup>56</sup> of soil moisture in controlling canopy gas exchanges has remained more difficult to quantify. Soil <sup>57</sup> matric potential (in MPa), which would be the physical measurement most relevant to quantify

the soil water availability to the plants, is rarely measured. Instead, soil water content (SWC, 58 in  $m_{water}^3 m_{soil}^{-3}$ ) is usually monitored, and then possibly interpreted as water potential through 59 pressure-volume functions (e.g. van Genuchten, 1980). Even then, SWC is usually measured over 60 shallow soil depths for practical reasons (typically down to 20-50 cm, see e.g. Granier et al., 2007; 61 Novick et al., 2016), which in many cases is not suitable for quantifying the total stock of water 62 available to trees. Indeed, trees often grow roots deep in the soil, down to several meters (Fan et al., 63 2017), which can be essential for provisioning the water needed for transpiration and the overall 64 tree functioning during periods of water shortage (Germon et al., 2020; Christina et al., 2017; 65 McCormick et al., 2021) even if representing a small fraction of the root system mass (Jackson 66 et al., 1996). Specifically in oaks, Lucot and Bruckert (1992) documented the presence of fine roots 67 down to 4 meters in a *Quercus robur* plot with no physical or chemical constraints. Bréda et al. 68 (1995) reported both the presence of fine roots and water extraction down to 2-meters in Quercus 69 petraea. 70

Reflecting this "shallow-soil bias", models of ecosystem functioning have so far mostly con-71 sidered soil water to be extractable only in the upper horizons of the soil, defining the so-called 72 "soil water holding capacity" (SWHC, the amount of water, in millimeters, extractable from the 73 soil by plants) at typical depths of one to two meters (e.g. Piedallu et al., 2011; Krinner et al., 74 2005; Dufrêne et al., 2005; Granier et al., 2007). This parameter has been shown very sensitive in 75 the modelling of ecosystem water balance (Granier et al., 1999), carbon balance (Dufrêne et al., 76 2005), tree growth (Guillemot et al., 2017) and survival (Cheaib et al., 2012; Preisler et al., 2019). 77 Challenging the SWHC concept, and in accordance with the recognition of deep water as essential 78 for the functioning of trees, recent works have defined the Total Available Water (TAW) concept, 79 that adds "deep water" extraction by trees to SWHC considered over 1-m (Carrière et al., 2020). 80 However, this deep water resource remains poorly quantified because the trees actual rooting depth 81 and the capacity of deep roots to extract water are not known. 82

Improving our understanding of soil water provisioning of forests is particularly relevant in the context of climate change, because terrestrial ecosystems are facing an increase in atmospheric evaporative demand (Grossiord et al., 2020) and projections of reduced summer precipitation point to a likely increase in edaphic water stress over the coming decades in most continents and notably
Western Central Europe and the Mediterranean zone (Samaniego et al., 2018). How forests react
to increased water deficit will depend, to a large extent, on their access to soil water.

Recent summer drought and heat events observed over large parts of Europe (year 2003 in Western Europe, 2010 in Russia, 2018 in Northern central Europe; Bastos et al., 2020) have constituted natural experiments documenting the influence of warmer and drier conditions on ecosystem carbon and water fluxes. In particular, the combined summer 2003 drought and heat caused a seasonal reduction of gross and net carbon uptake (Reichstein et al., 2007; Bastos et al., 2020), as well as of evapotranspiration, in response to soil water stress (Granier et al., 2007).

As the return period of combined drought and heat events decreases with ongoing climate change (Samaniego et al., 2018), it is urgent to improve our understanding of the provisioning of water to forest ecosystems, and to evaluate the sensitivity of modelled projections of ecosystem functioning to the parameterization of soil properties.

<sup>99</sup> Here we used soil water content data measured over a 150-cm soil profile, combined with deep <sup>100</sup> soil water content estimates (i.e. sensors down to -300 cm) to evaluate the role of surface vs. deep <sup>101</sup> water in provisioning tree transpiration needs and modulating canopy gas exchange in a temperate <sup>102</sup> oak forest. We focused on the two contrasted, consecutive years of 2017 (a mild summer) and 2018 <sup>103</sup> (characterized by a hot drought). Our objectives were:

to evaluate the response of a temperate oak forest to a hot drought in terms of carbon and
 water balances,

<sup>106</sup> 2. to estimate the Soil Water Holding Capacity (SWHC, in millimeters of water) of the forest,

to estimate the contribution of soil horizons to the provision of water for canopy transpiration,
 contrasting both a dry and milder year,

4. to evaluate how the combinations of SWC and VPD modulate canopy conductance and
 transpiration,

5. to compare two estimates of SWHC: one provided by soil databases vs. one derived from our measurements of SWC, and quantify the sensitivity of the carbon balance simulated by

5

### <sup>114</sup> Materials and Methods

#### 115 Study site

The Fontainebleau-Barbeau site (FR-Fon, Delpierre et al., 2016) is located in the Paris area, 116 near the Fontainebleau forest (48.476358 N, 2.780120 E, 103 m a.s.l.). The site has a gentle slope 117 of 2° towards the Seine river which flows 750 m at the South-West of the flux tower. Sessile oak 118 (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.) is the main species, accounting for 79% of the basal area and 119 dominating an understorey mostly occupied by hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus L.*, 18% of the basal 120 area). The dominant height is 28 m. The soil is an endostagnic luvisol (IUSS Working Group 121 WRB, 2015) covered by an oligo-mull humus. It developed on a calcareous bedrock located at 122 5-10 m-depth (Thiry, 2010) and has a discontinuous buhrstone layer located between 60 to 90-cm 123 depth, over which a perched water table develops in winter, usually till late spring. Mean annual 124 air temperature and cumulated precipitation are 11.2°C and 677 mm, respectively (1980–2010, 125 Melun weather station, 12 km from the study site). The leaf area index (LAI) was on average 126 6.01 over the 2012–2018 period. In spring 2021, we established the root distribution at FR-Fon by 127 counting roots according to diameter class in a 2-m wide and 150-cm deep trench dug 50 meters 128 away from the flux tower. We noticed the presence of fine roots down to the bottom of the trench 129 (-150 cm; Suppl. Mat. S1). In autumn 2021, we extracted one 5-m deep core sample, also in the 130 vicinity of the flux tower. On this unique sample of 10-cm diameter, we observed the presence of 131 1-mm diameter roots down to a depth of 4 meters (Suppl. Mat. S1), at the top of a dense green 132 marl layer. 133

#### <sup>134</sup> Eddy covariance and micrometeorological measurements.

We measured the fluxes of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  between the forest and the atmosphere with the eddy covariance (EC) method, using both a Li7500 open-path and a Li7200 enclosed-path analyzer (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA), associated with a R3-50 and a HS-50 sonic anemometer (Gill

Instruments Ltd., Lymington, UK), respectively. Both EC systems runned concurrently at 37 138 m a.g.l., i.e. 9 m above the dominant height. EC data were acquired at 20 Hz with two data 139 loggers time-synchronized with a PTP server: a CR3000 (Campbell Scientific Inc., Loughborough, 140 UK) for the Li7500/R3-50 and the Smartflux 2 for the Li7200/HS-50 (LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, 141 USA). Half-hourly fluxes of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  were calculated using the EddyPro software (v7.0.6, 142 LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). Frequency response corrections were applied to raw fluxes, 143 accounting for high-pass (Moncrieff et al., 2005) and low-pass filtering (Moncrieff et al., 1997). 144 The influence of air density fluctuations on the fluxes was accounted for (Burba et al., 2008). 145 As usually done (Baldocchi, 2008), we did not correct eddy covariance fluxes despite a  $18\pm6\%$ 146 average energy imbalance (calculated as the Energy Balance Ratio, Wilson et al., 2002) at the 147 FR-Fon site. Half-hourly CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O fluxes have been acquired continuously from 2005 by the 148 Li7500/R3-50 device and were considered the reference data series here. Fluxes computed from 149 the Li7200/HS-50 device, running from 2012, were used to gap-fill the reference data through 150 linear regressions established annually. Following this instrumental gap-filling, the calculated Net 151 Ecosystem Productivity (NEP) and evapotranspiration (ETR) fluxes were quality-controlled, gap-152 filled, and NEP was partitioned into Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration 153 (Reco) according to standard procedures (Reichstein et al., 2005; Papale et al., 2006). We estimated 154 the uncertainty of annual GPP and NEP values as the combination of uncertainties originating from 155 the choice of a u<sup>\*</sup> threshold for filtering the data, and the propagation of random error inherent 156 to EC measurements during the statistical inference by the gap-filling algorithms (Delpierre et al., 157 2012). ETR is an integrative measurement of the quantity of water evaporated from both wet 158 surfaces (soil and canopy) and plant transpiration. Here we partitioned the eddy-covariance ETR 159 flux into (i) the canopy plus topsoil litter evaporation flux, that do not affect the amount of water 160 held in the organo-mineral horizons of the soil and (ii) the transpiration plus soil evaporation 161 flux (TrSeF), that tap the soil water stock. For doing so, we applied to eddy-covariance ETR 162 data the ratio of TrSeF to ETR calculated hourly by the CASTANEA model (see e.g. Nelson 163 et al., 2018). Air temperature and relative humidity used to calculate the vapor pressure deficit 164 (VPD) were measured at 37 m a.g.l with a HMP155A thermohygrometer (Vaisala Corp., Helsinki, 165

Finland) protected from radiation with a 43502H aspiration radiation shield (R. M. Young, Traverse
City, MI, USA). Precipitation was measured with a ARG100 raingauge (EML, North Shields, UK
raingauge) located at 36 m a.g.l.

#### <sup>169</sup> Soil water content

**Data acquisition.** Soil water content (SWC, in  $m_{water}^3 m_{soil}^{-3}$ ) was measured from 2012 with five 170 EnviroSCAN probes (Sentek Sensor Technologies, Stepney, Australia) located in the vicinity of 171 the tower and connected to a CR1000 datalogger. Each probe had a 10-cm vertical distribution of 172 15 sensors from -5 cm to -145 cm, i.e. down to 150 cm depth. From 2016, a sixth probe reached 173 the depth of -300 cm with the following vertical sensor distribution: -15, -55, -85, -135, -175, -215, 174 -255, and -295 cm. The CR1000 datalogger achieved automatic data acquisition with half-hourly 175 means calculation. In order to assess the spatial representativity of SWC measurement collected 176 by the 6 probes, 24 access tubes of 160 cm long were installed in the vicinity of the tower in 2012 177 over a 3800-m<sup>2</sup> area. We measured SWC in those tubes on a weekly basis, with a DIVINER probe 178 (Sentek Sensor Technologies, Stepney, Australia), measuring SWC in the soil profile at multiple 179 depths (at 10 cm intervals), comparable to the profiles obtained with the EnviroSCAN probes. 180 The EnviroSCAN and DIVINER measurements are based on high-frequency capacitance. Since 181 no soil-specific calibration of the probes was achieved on the Fontainebleau-Barbeau site, SWC 182 were calculated from the scaled frequency of the probes using the factory calibration equation. We 183 tested the sensitivity of the calibration equation, following the work of Provenzano et al. (2016), 184 and found minor influence on the SWC dynamics, in accordance with observations by the probe 185 manufacturer (Sentek Pty Ltd, 2001). 186

Estimation of the Soil Water Holding Capacity. We estimated the integrated SWHC down
to 300 cm using the following equation:

$$SWHC = \sum_{i=1}^{30} (FC_i - PWP_i)\delta h \tag{1}$$

with the index i identifying soil layers of  $\delta h = 10$  cm depth down to 300 cm. We identified FC

(Field Capacity, i.e. the maximum moisture of unsaturated soil, in  $m_{water}^3 m_{soil}^{-3}$ ) for each soil layer, 190 by looking at periods of stable, relaxed SWC following soil saturation occurring typically occurring 191 in early spring. For each soil layer, we identified the permanent wilting point (PWP, in  $m_{water}^3$ 192  $m_{soil}^{-3}$ ) as the lowest SWC value for that soil layer, typically reached during dry summer periods. 193 As SWC drops to PWP, root water uptake, and the associated daily oscillations of SWC, tend to 194 zero in the considered soil layer. We illustrate the basic procedure to extract FC and PWP for the 195 layer between 120 and 130 cm (index i=13) in Fig.2, assuming the PWP is reached in the very dry 196 year 2018. For each layer in the 0-160 cm zone, FC and PWP have then been deduced from the 197 SWC closest sensors. The highest vertical resolution (equal to 10 cm) and representativeness of 198 the spatial variability (20 probes) is obtained for indexes i = 1 to 16 (i.e. 10 to 160 cm depth). Less 199 information was available for the deeper layers (160-300 cm). In that case, a unique automatic 200 probe measuring SWC at 180, 220, 260, and 300 cm has been used to investigate soil layers i = 17201 to 30. As a consequence, and because we suspected those deep soil layers to remain above PWP 202 in year 2018 (see below), we used FC and PWP determined over i=14 to 16 as representative of 203 soil layers i = 17 to 30 (Suppl. Mat. 4). 204

Estimation of SWHC uncertainty. We assumed the SWHC uncertainty to result from the reading of PWP and FC on the SWC time series and the spatial (among-probes) variability. The reading uncertainty was estimated at  $\sigma_r = 1$  mm for PWP and FC respectively. The uncertainty  $\sigma_{s,i}$  attributed to spatial variability can be accurately estimated for each 10-cm layer i thanks to the DIVINER probe exploring a 3800-m<sup>2</sup> area over 160 cm (see above). For deeper (170-300 cm) layers, we hypothesized  $\sigma_{s,i}$  was equal to the average value in the upper zone. As a consequence, we calculated the the overall SWHC uncertainty as:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{30} (\sigma_{s,i}^2 + 2\sigma_r^2)}$$
(2)

where i index denotes the layer number ranging from 1 (layer 0-10 cm) to N=30 (layer 290-300 cm).

#### <sup>214</sup> Calculation of Root Water Uptake.

The amount of water uptaken from the soil by trees, the so-called RWU flux (Root Water 215 Uptake, in mm day<sup>-1</sup>), can be calculated from SWC time series (Hupet et al., 2002). The major 216 interest of using SWC data to infer RWU is that it does not require any prior knowledge of the 217 root distribution. In that context, different methods exist to extract RWU, from numerical model 218 inversion (Zuo and Zhang, 2002) to the use of daily fluctuations in SWC (Li et al., 2002). Indeed, 219 RWU manifests as fluctuations in soil moisture in the rhizosphere, influenced by evapotranspiration 220 which occurs preferentially over daytime. As a consequence, RWU can be assessed using linear 221 regression and differentiation of daytime vs. nighttime change in SWC. In a methods comparison 222 (Guderle and Hildebrandt, 2015), this approach using daily SWC oscillations proved to be the 223 best regarding a combination of criteria: the quality of estimations and lesser induced error due to 224 moisture sensor accuracy (the calibration error). Consequently, we focused on this method, and 225 calculated RWU at our site from SWC measurements with the python package rootwater (Jackisch 226 and Mälicke, 2020). This algorithm analyses daily fluctuations in SWC and takes into account 227 possible hydraulic redistribution, a mechanism by which water is moved via the root system from 228 moister to drier soil layers (Caldwell et al., 1998; Ishikawa and Bledsoe, 2000; Neumann and Cardon, 229 2012). We applied the *rootwater* algorithm on days when SWC profiles exhibited the specific 230 transpiration-induced shape of RWU (i.e. daytime decline of SWC). We therefore rejected days 231 displaying strong drainage along the soil profile, which could blur the effect of RWU. For selected 232 days, a reference daily moisture profile was built, based on the SWC values at sunset/sunrise, and 233 linear interpolations. The reference reflects "perfect" RWU over daytime and diffusion over night 234 time (Jackisch et al., 2020). The theoretical step shape profile was then compared to the observed 235 profile thanks to an evaluation of the Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE, Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970). 236

#### <sup>237</sup> Response of canopy conductance to VPD and SWHC.

In order to evaluate how the combinations of SWC and VPD modulated canopy conductance ( $G_{can}$ ) and evaporation, we first calculated  $G_{can}$  through inverting the Penman-Monteith equation, as in Novick et al. (2016). We then fitted  $G_{can}$  as a function of VPD with the following equation  $_{241}$  (Novick et al., 2016):

$$G_{can} = G_{can,ref}[1 - m \times ln(VPD)]$$
(3)

where  $G_{can}$  is the canopy conductance for water vapor (mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $G_{can,ref}$  is its value at reference VPD of 1 kPa, and m (ln(kPa)<sup>-1</sup>) is the slope of the relation. We fitted this equation for different classes of soil humidity, computed as Relative Extractable Water (REW, defined as REW = SWC<sub>0-300cm</sub>/SWHC<sub>0-300cm</sub> where SWC<sub>0-300cm</sub> is the actual stock of water extractable by trees, in millimeters, over a 300-cm soil depth for a given day and SWHC<sub>0-300cm</sub> is the maximum water content extractable by trees, in millimeters, over a 300-cm soil depth).

#### 248 CASTANEA model

One of our objectives was to determine the sensitivity of ecosystem models to parameterizations 249 of SWHC. To this aim, we used the CASTANEA model (Dufrêne et al., 2005) to simulate  $CO_2$ 250 (namely GPP) and  $H_2O$  (namely ETR) fluxes at FR-Fon, under different climate conditions. 251 CASTANEA is a process-based ecosystem model that simulates the forest carbon and water fluxes 252 (including transpiration, canopy, litter and soil evaporation) at an half-hourly time step. First, we 253 calibrated some of the model most sensitive parameters (Supplementary notes SN1) in order to 254 simulate GPP and ETR accurately over periods with no water stress over the period of 2006-2019. 255 Then, we performed prospective simulations under a changing climate, considering three climate 256 models under two RCP scenarios (RCP4.5 and 8.5, see Jourdan et al., 2021 for details on the 257 climate models). With those simulations, we quantified the sensitivity of simulated GPP to the 258 SWHC parameter. SWHC is a forcing parameter to the model, and has been identified as very 259 sensitive, with 10% variation of SWHC yielding 13-24% variations of annual NEP, 5-8% variations 260 of annual GPP and 3-4% variations of annual ETR in simulated fluxes (Dufrêne et al., 2005). 261

### <sup>262</sup> Soil database for parameterizing SWHC.

We parameterized CASTANEA with two different SWHC estimates at the FR-Fon forest. We 263 used our own estimate of SWHC, based on SWC measurements (see Results). Beside this, and 264 similar to what is usually done for parameterizing ecosystem models (e.g. Cheaib et al., 2012), we 265 used a SWHC estimate obtained from a soil database (Badeau et al., 2010). Badeau et al. built a 266 1-km<sup>2</sup> resolution database of SWHC over France, from a soil database of soil texture and rooting 267 depth (Jamagne et al., 1995). Each 1x1 km grid point encompasses different soil types, and the 268 database gives for each grid point the average value of SWHC with respect to the area of each soil 269 type over the 1x1 km grid. 270

## $_{271}$ Results



#### <sup>272</sup> Annual fluxes and climatic water balance

Fig. 1: Annual carbon and water fluxes at FR-Fon for the period 2005-2018. (A) displays the annual gross primary productivity (GPP), (B) the net ecosystem productivity (NEP), (C) the annual precipitation (Prec, light blue) and evapotranspiration (ETR, dark blue) and (D) a climatic water balance, namely the difference between Apr-Sep precipitation and evapotranspiration. In (A) and (B), error bars display the 5th and 95th percentile of the GPP and NEP uncertainty distributions (see text for details).

The growing season (April-September) climatic water balance (P-ETR) was largely negative 273 on all years (-179 mm on average) and reached its lowest value in 2018 (-310 mm; Fig.1d). In spite 274 of this, year 2018 was the year of highest GPP (1997 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, Fig.1a) and the year of highest 275 NEP on record (587 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, Fig.1b), as compared to averages of 1844 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and 491 276 gC m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> respectively over 2005-2018. Annual evapotranspiration averaged 657 mm y<sup>-1</sup> over 277 2005-2018, and reached its third highest value in 2018 (700 mm y<sup>-1</sup>, Fig.1c). Accordingly, when 278 considering seasonal patterns, GPP, NEP and ETR appeared not influenced by the climatic water 279 deficit evolving over the 2018 growing season (Suppl. Mat. S3 and S5). 280

#### 281 Estimation of SWHC

In order to estimate SWHC, we focused on year 2018, which was the driest on record (based on the climatic water balance data, Fig. 1d; see also the comparison of 2017-2018 soil water content data, Suppl. Mat. S5). In that year, we observed seasonal variations of soil water content

down to 300 cm, which was the location of the deepest SWC probe. In the 290-300 cm layer, 285 SWC fluctuated from 0.31 to 0.25  $\text{m}^3/\text{m}^3$  during year 2018, reaching its maximum in July and its 286 minimum in November (Fig.2a). The seasonal dynamics at 290-300 cm was clear, although less 287 ample than at a shallower layer (e.g. 120-130 cm, Fig.2a) where it fluctuated from 0.36 (end of 288 June) down to  $0.23 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$  (November, Fig.2a). Interestingly, we observed daily fluctuations of 289 the SWC signals at all measurements depths, down to 290-300 cm (Fig.2b). The daily fluctuations 290 were neat from May at 120-130 cm depth and their amplitude increased in July, when they also 291 became visible in the 290-300 cm layer (Fig.2b). As for the upper layers (not shown), the SWC 292 signal had a clear shape in the 290-300 cm layer, with a decrease during the day and a stall during 293 the night, which was evocative of the day/night cycle of tree transpiration, and root water uptake 294 (Fig.2a inset). 295

We estimated the quantity of water extractable in each soil layer from SWC measurements 296 (Suppl. Mat. S4). Field capacity (FC, the maximum moisture of unsaturated soil) was consid-297 ered to be reached when SWC reached stable, high values (e.g. skyblue dashed line on Fig.2a). 298 after checking the disappearance of a seasonal perched water table from piezometer data (not 299 shown). We supposed that one soil layer had reached the permanent wilting point (PWP) when 300 SWC reached a stable, low value (e.g. red dashed line on Fig.2a). We only had one probe for 301 characterizing the water availability deeper than 160 cm (measuring at depths 170-180, 210-220, 302 250-260 and 290-300 cm, cf. Material and Methods), as opposed to 20 probes for shallower layers 303 (0-160 cm). Below 160 cm, it was also difficult to identify clear FC and PWP values (e.g. for the 304 290-300 cm layer on Fig.2a). Hence we extrapolated values of FC and PWP obtained for the layers 305 140-160 cm (Suppl. Mat. S4) to deeper layers, which is coherent with the homogeneous nature 306 of the soil (sandy loam) over 120-300 cm. We calculated SWHC to reach 390 mm over 0-300 cm. 307 with an estimated uncertainty of 17 mm, combining the reading uncertainty of FC and PWP, and 308 the variability observed among the 20 measurements probes. 309



Fig. 2: SWC time series of the layer 120-130 cm (black color) and 290-300 cm (orange color) during the 2018 dry year. (A) displays the measured SWC profile calculated as the mean of five 160-cm length EnviroSCAN probes (for layer 120-130 cm); one 300-cm length EnviroSCAN probe (for layer 290-300 cm). The skyblue- and red-dashed lines are the FC and PWP values for layer 120-130 cm, respectively. (B) displays results from a passband filter (fourth-order Butterworth filter) applied to the SWC profiles and centered around daily fluctuations. The inset in (A) is a zoom on SWC profile at 290-300 cm between 2018-08-02 and 2018-08-08, with vertical dashed lines indicating midday.

#### <sup>310</sup> Seasonal and interannual patterns of root water uptake

The root water uptake (RWU) flux calculated from the *rootwater* algorithm applied over 0-300 311 cm compared generally well with the transpiration fluxes derived from ET measurements (Fig.3). 312 Both the seasonal shapes, and the actual flux values were similar between those independent 313 methods. The *rootwater* algorithm tended to generate lower flux values as compared to the ET-314 derived transpiration (average of 2.22 vs. 2.34 mm/day, respectively, in 2017 and 2.34 vs. 2.56 315 mm/day in 2018) though the extrema were similar (minima of 0 and maxima of 6-7 mm per 316 day). From Figure 3 we inferred that water extraction could occur deeper than 300 cm since 317 RWU (calculated over 0-300 cm) was generally lower than the ET-derived transpiration flux and 318 appeared noticeably underestimated in year 2018 on DoY 240-260, when extraction from deep 319 horizons was particularly strong (see below). 320

The seasonal patterns of RWU in individual soil layers are displayed on Fig.4. The contribution of deep layers increased as superficial layers dried up. The plasticity of RWU was fast. For instance, the contribution of the 0-150 cm layers decreased from 72% to 55% over 12 days (DoY 165 to 176 in 2017, Fig.4a); from 69% to 51% over 7 days (DoY 214 to 220 in 2017, Fig.4a); and from 64%



Fig. 3: Daily water fluxes in mm per day over the year in 2017 (a) and 2018 (b). Black dots illustrate the root water uptake (RWU) calculated from the soil water content (SWC) integrated over 300 cm, assuming no hydraulic redistribution. The black-solid line corresponds to tree transpiration (T) resulting from the daily average evapotranspiration fluxes calculated from the flux tower (EC), from which T was deduced thanks to the CASTANEA ecosystem model (see text). Red markers represent the absolute relative error between the water fluxes estimated from SWC and EC which is obtained as  $\varepsilon = abs(RWU-T)/T$ . Blue dots represent the daily NSE values of the rootwater algorithm applied over 0-300 cm.

to 43% over 21 days (DoY 198 to 217 in 2018, Fig.4b). More generally, the role of deep water extraction increased over the growing season. Before DoY 160, the contribution to RWU of layers deeper than 150 cm was less than 20% in both years. This contribution went up to more than 40% and almost 60% on DoY 220, for 2017 and 2018 respectively.

RWU seasonal patterns differed for years 2017 and 2018, owing to their meteorological characteristics. For instance, over the period of DoY 160-180, we observed deeper water extraction in 2017 as compared to 2018, in relation with drier soil conditions (Suppl. Mat. S5). In the same logic, the drier period of DoY 220-260 in 2018 showed a deeper water extraction as compared to 2017.



Fig. 4: Root water uptake (RWU) dynamics in 2017 (a) and 2018 (a). The RWU in mm day<sup>-1</sup> was estimated using the *rootwater* algorithm on the integrated soil water content considered over 0-300 cm depth. The proportion per horizon was obtained using the information on separated layers, assuming hydraulic redistribution if observed on the SWC profile. The colors corresponded to different layers, from lightest to darkest blue: 0-30 cm, 30-60 cm, 60-90 cm, 90-120 cm and 120-150 cm depth. Layers 150-220 cm and 220-300 cm are in light red and dark red respectively. Five EnviroSCAN probes were used to calculate RWU over 0-150 cm, one probe was used over 150-300 cm (see text). White dots (right axis) represent NSE values of the *rootwater* algorithm. Blanks in the RWU time series mark episodes of high precipitation (typically above 5 mm per day) hindering the estimation of RWU by the algorithm.

#### <sup>334</sup> Soil influences canopy conductance despite high SWHC.

The contribution of deeper layers to RWU increased as soil dryness developed over the growing 335 season. This revealed the plasticity of water uptake to maintain high levels of evapotranspiration. 336 Yet this plasticity was limited by the total amount of water in the soil, as shown in Fig.5. On 337 panels (a) and (b), half-hourly latent heat fluxes were plotted against the Relative Extractable 338 Water (REW) defined as REW = SWC/SWHC<sub>0-300cm</sub>, over the period DoY 165-255. REW 339 decreased from 0.6 to 0.2 in 2017 (Fig.5a) and from 1.0 (i.e. field capacity) to 0.1 in 2018 (Fig.5b). 340 The large REW range explored over 2018 made the correlation between latent heat fluxes and 341 REW clearly visible (Fig.5b). Latent heat fluxes showed no trend along REW for high REW 342

values (typically over 0.6) and decreased as REW decreased.

Figure 5c further illustrated the dual control exerted by REW and VPD on canopy conductance. 344 Canopy conductance decreased with both VPD and REW (Fig.5c). At a reference VPD of 1 kPa, 345 canopy conductance  $(G_{can}=G_{can,ref})$  decreased from 0.52 to 0.32 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Fig.5c) as REW 346 decreased from 0.87 to 0.12 (Fig.5e, showing that the values are comparable to those reported by 347 Novick et al., 2016 for mesic sites), while m, the slope of the relation between,  $G_{can}$  and VPD, 348 was in the range [0.46; 0.66], apparently increasing as REW decreased (Fig.5d, also showing data 349 in line with Novick et al., 2016), noting that the comparison of the m slopes along REW may be 350 uncertain because m at low soil water content was estimated from a fit established over a narrow 351 VPD range (red curve on Fig.5c). 352

#### <sup>353</sup> Sensitivity of an ecosystem model to SWHC parameterization.

The SWHC value of 390 mm determined from SWC measurements was 1.9 times higher than 354 the value of 207 mm estimated from the 1-km French soil database for the corresponding grid 355 point (Badeau et al., 2010), and in the high end of the SWHC distribution of soils appearing 356 in the database over France (Fig.6a). The CASTANEA model fairly simulated the seasonality 357 of GPP observed at FR-Fon when parameterized with SWHC= 390 mm (Fig.6b), as well as the 358 interannual variability of GPP (Suppl. Mat. SN1). On the contrary, parameterizing CASTANEA 359 with SWHC = 207 mm, as obtained from the soil database (Badeau et al., 2010) leaded to higher 360 soil water stress and reduced GPP in summer, as compared to GPP derived from the flux tower 361 measurements (Fig.6b). Over the period 2006-2019, annual GPP simulated with SWHC= 390 362 mm were 365 gC m<sup>2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> higher than with SWHC= 207 mm. The GPP anomaly caused by the 363 under-estimation of SWHC in the model parameterization remained rather stable in future climate 364 conditions under RCP4.5 scenario, but nearly doubled to 700 gC m<sup>2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for two out of three climate 365 models under RCP8.5 (Fig.6c). 366



Fig. 5: Effect of SWC and VPD on canopy conductance and evapotranspiration. The data plotted were selected in 165 < DoY < 255, between 10 am and 6 pm, with VPD  $\geq 1$  kPa and latent heat flux  $\geq 150 \text{ W/m}^2$ . In (a) and (b), the point colors refer to DoY, with the darkest points for DoY 165 and the yellowest points for DoY 255. In (c), the lines represent the fit of equation 3 for different intervals of REW, with the colored lines being for 2018 while the black dashed line is for 2017 with 0.25 < REW < 0.5. Panels d) and e) display the values of m and  $G_{can,red}$  calculated from our data as well as those calculated by Novick et al. (2016) (their Figure 2 e and f) over an ensemble of Ameriflux sites. We represented here average values obtained at sites comparable to Fontainebleau-Barbeau according to values of the Dryness Index (the ratio of annual PET to annual P, with values from 1.0 to 1.7). For the sake of comparison, SWC data reported by Novick et al. (2016) (over 0-30 cm) were converted to REW by dividing the actual SWC value by the maximum SWC value reported on their figure.

## 367 Discussion

Influence of Drought 2018 on carbon and water fluxes at FR-Fon. The growing season of 2018 was amongst the driest encountered at FR-Fon over the past 40 years (ranked sixth over the period 1980-2018 as regards the difference of P-PET, not shown). Yet the annual produc-



Fig. 6: Sensitivity of the CASTANEA model simulations to the parameterization of Soil Water Holding Capacity (SWHC). (a) Distribution of the SWHC values in the French Soil Database, with all 1-km<sup>2</sup> pixels occupied by forests (grey bars, n= 68 373 points) and forest pixels mostly occupied by deciduous oaks (n= 35 352 points). Red arrow point to the SWHC estimate obtained for FR-Fon grid point in the Badeau et al. (2010) database, Blue arrow point to our estimate of SWHC, obtained from the analysis of SWC measurements; (b) Comparison of GPP calculated from the FR-Fon flux tower measurements with CASTANEA simulations for two values of SWHC (390 mm and 207 mm), averaged over the 2006-2019 period; (c) Projections of simulated difference in GPP between CASTANEA model runs using SWHC= 390 mm and SWHC= 207 mm over the 2020-2100 period (lines displayed are the 20 years rolling averages). Three climatic models were considered (Hadgem, MPI and CNRM) with two CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenarios for each (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5). The red points at the beginning of the time series illustrate the average anomaly calculated over 2006-2019.

tivity remained high, with the highest annual NEP and highest annual GPP from the start of flux measurements in 2005 (Fig.1a,b). Lower than average GPP values over July-October were compensated by higher than average GPP over April-July (Suppl. Mat. S3b), probably owing to higher than average temperatures and radiation (Suppl. Mat. S2a,b). The higher than average spring photosynthesis was not caused by an early budburst, which occurred on DoY 105 for oaks (i.e. equal to the average 2006-2018 date, Soudani et al., 2021). The July-October 2018 negative

anomaly of GPP was moderate, probably in relation to the high SWHC. Indeed, a lower SWHC 377 would have resulted in lower GPP (Fig.6b). Higher than average NEP were encountered during 378 most of the growing season, and notably during July-October, when GPP was lower than average. 379 During this period, Reco was strongly reduced (Suppl. Mat. S3c), possibly as consequence of 380 summer drought. This was not in line with the higher sensitivity of GPP than of Reco to soil 381 water stress, as evidenced during the 2003 hot drought (Granier et al., 2007). A similar report 382 of 2018 higher than average NEP and GPP owing to enhanced spring carbon uptake, followed by 383 close-to-average summer values has been reported in a Czech floodplain deciduous forest (Kowalska 384 et al., 2020). More generally, the analysis of an ensemble of DGVM simulations and the FLUX-385 COM products (i.e. fluxes simulated by machine-learning techniques) over central Europe showed 386 a seasonal (summer) influence of the 2018 heat and drought on carbon fluxes, but little to no 387 influence on carbon fluxes at the annual scale (Bastos et al., 2020), nor on stem growth (Salomón 388 et al., 2022). This contrasted with reports of negative annual carbon uptake anomalies evidenced 389 in Northern Europe (Lindroth et al., 2020). 390

Accuracy of the SWHC estimate. We estimated SWHC to reach  $390 \pm 17$  mm at FR-391 Fon. This value was the  $99.93^{th}$  percentile of the distribution of SWHC values estimated with 392 pedotransfer functions integrated down to 1-meter for French forests or oak stands (i.e. the same 303 percentile for both distributions, Fig.6a). It was also very high when compared to estimates 394 published at other sites, at which roots have been evidenced to go deeper than 1 meter (e.g. 165 395 mm for a 200 cm root exploration depth in an oak stand in Bréda et al., 1995, maximum of 186 mm 396 over 16 European forest sites with soils reaching depths down to 160 cm in Granier et al., 2007, see 397 also De La Motte et al., 2020). However, SWHC values similar to, or even higher than our estimate 398 of 390 mm have been published for temperate forests (e.g. 338 mm for the Hesse Beech forest in 399 North-Eastern France, De La Motte et al., 2020, or 450 mm for a mixed Beech-Oak forest near 400 Louvain-la-Neuve in Belgium, De Wergifosse et al., 2020). The value of 390 mm for SWHC was 401 1.9 times higher than the database estimate of 207 mm for the FR-Fon grid point (Badeau et al., 402 2010). It is noticeable that the latter estimate was obtained from the integration of pedo-transfer 403 functions over a soil depth of 112 cm, far lower than the actual root exploration depth at FR-Fon 404

(Suppl. Mat. S1). If considering a soil depth of 112 cm, we would estimate a SWHC of 191 mm 405 based on our SWC measurements (integration of SWHC data per soil layers displayed in Suppl. 406 Mat. S4). From this, we conclude (1) that our estimate of SWHC is conservative, meaning that 407 deriving FC and PWP from SWC data (Suppl. Mat. S4) yielded similar (actually, slightly lower) 408 values of SWHC than obtained from pedo-transfer functions (Badeau et al., 2010); (2) that what 409 makes the SWHC of FR-Fon forest so high as compared to Badeau et al. (2010) grid point estimate 410 is the difference of root exploration depth. Contrasting with the 112 cm-depth estimate of Badeau 411 et al. (2010), our analysis of SWC data suggest that roots can actually extract water at least down 412 to -300 cm at FR-Fon (Fig.4), which is supported by the observation of roots down to -300 and 413 even -400 cm on one soil core taken at the site (Suppl. Mat S1). 414

Actually, we consider the 390-mm value as a lower-bound estimate for SWHC at FR-Fon. 415 Indeed, we estimated this value on the basis of SWC measurements recorded during the dry 2018 416 summer. While we are confident that our estimates of water content at field capacity were reliable, 417 we may have underestimated the values of the wilting point (Suppl. Mat. S4), particularly for 418 the soil layers below 100-cm depth that may have remained above the wilting point during this 419 dry episode (note the decreasing trend of SWHC with depth below 100-cm in (Suppl. Mat. S4a). 420 A body of evidence further supports this hypothesis: (i) our calculation of Root Water Uptake 421 (RWU) is slightly lower than the ETR flux measured by eddy covariance (Fig.3). Yet, the latter 422 is possibly underestimated in relation with the 18% lack of energy balance closure at the FR-Fon 423 site. Had we increased ETR by 18% to close the energy balance, our current estimate of RWU 424 would have more nearly lagged behing ETR. (ii) We observe that even at low REW (REW < 0.25), 425 red curve on Fig.5c), the canopy conductance remains relatively high. For instance Granier and 426 Bréda (1996) (their Fig.1), report in a sessile oak stand values of canopy conductance ( $G_{can,max}$ ) 427 of 1 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (= 0.41 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) under high water supply at VPD= 1 kPa, comparable to our 428 estimates of 0.50 mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at FR-Fon (Fig.5c and e). Their value of  $G_{can}$  decreases to 60% of 429  $G_{can,max}$  for REW= 0.2 (Fig.2 in Granier and Bréda, 1996). In our case, we estimated that  $G_{can}$ 430 decreased to 63% of its maximum value (0.33 as compared to 0.52, Fig.5e) for REW= 0.1, pointing 431 to a possible underestimation of REW that would stem from the underestimation of SWHC. 432

Temporal pattern of RWU extraction along the soil profile. The *rootwater* algorithm 433 (Jackisch and Mälicke, 2020) fits a model to the daily variations of SWC in a given soil layer 434 to calculate root water uptake. In the original publication, the authors consider a model fit 435 of NSE>0.5 as indicative of most reliable RWU estimates (Jackisch et al., 2020). Our RWU 436 calculations did not always meet this criterion, with some values of NSE< 0.5 notably in early 437 summer (before DoY 120 in 2017, or DoY 170 in 2018) and late summer (after DoY 220 in 2018) 438 (Fig.3), meaning that processes other than root water uptake (i.e. water percolation, capillary 439 rise) may intervene. Yet we are confident that our calculations of RWU, and their distribution 440 among soil layers are representative of the actual uptake of water by roots, not only because 441 RWU integrated over 0-300 cm matched the canopy transpiration flux (Fig.3) but also because 442 the algorithm achieved model fits with NSE>0.5 at times when the deepest layers (150-300 cm)443 contributed a large amount of RWU (e.g. DoY 160-220 in 2017 and up to DoY 220 in 2018, Fig.4). 444 Our calculations of root water uptake (RWU) showed that deep soil layers (i.e. below 150 cm) 445 provisioned some water for canopy transpiration early during the leafy season, from DoY 150 (end 446 of May) in 2017 and DoY 130 (mid-May) in 2018 (Fig.4). This contribution increased substantially, 447 up to achieving 50% of the water uptaken for transpiration over DoY 180-220 in 2017, and even 448 60% over DoY 210-260 in 2018 (Fig.4). A similar pattern of increasing contributions of deep soil 449 layers to water provision for tree transpiration has already been reported (e.g. Bréda et al., 1995 450 in an oak site, Bréda et al., 2002 in an ash forest). It is however not systematic and, for instance, 451 a regular, not increasing, and minimal contribution of soil layers below 170 cm depth was reported 452 in a Beech forest along a mild summer in Germany (Jackisch et al., 2020). 453

Interestingly, Bréda et al., 1995 reported, as we do, variations of SWC in soil layers located below the known root depth (reaching -200 cm in their study). We notice here that significant variations of SWC were observed at a depth of 3 meters (Fig.2b), i.e. 150 cm below the root depth established from root counting profiles made at our site (Suppl. Mat. S1). Yet, the root profile did not "close" at -150 cm at FR-Fon, and we found punctual evidence (from one soil core of 5.5-m length) that roots can actually grow down to -300 cm, and even -400 cm at this site. These observations were coherent with rooting depth of -200 cm, which are frequently reported in <sup>461</sup> *Quercus petraea* (Bréda et al., 1995; Lebourgeois and Jabiol, 2002) and we notice that that fine <sup>462</sup> roots down to -400 cm have already been reported in *Quercus robur* (Lucot and Bruckert, 1992).

Underestimating SWHC yields substantial errors in the simulation of the forest pro-463 ductivity. Predicting the effects of current and future water stress on the functioning and distri-464 bution of forests is one of the key issues in ecological research. A variety of mechanistic models can 465 be used to this aim, with some hypothesizing the forest vulnerability to rely foremost on its carbon 466 balance (e.g. Dufrêne et al., 2005), some others simulating the integrity of the plant hydraulics 467 (Cochard et al., 2021), and some integrating both (Davi and Cailleret, 2017; Naudts et al., 2015). 468 Whatever the model type, it requires an accurate estimate of the amount of soil water that can 469 be used by trees. Several papers have pointed recently the role of "deep" water reserves as key 470 to sustain ecosystem functioning (McCormick et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2017; Carrière et al., 2020). 471 We showed that using a SWHC estimate of 390 mm, as opposed to 207 mm as predicted by a ref-472 erence soil database, impacts strongly the simulation of GPP in the CASTANEA model (Fig.6c). 473 CASTANEA is a "carbon-centric", still sensitive to the soil water balance through a modulation 474 of the stomatal conductance vs. assimilation relation (Dufrêne et al., 2005). This model is not 475 able to simulate the degree of xylem embolism, expected to increase as climate warms and dries 476 (Cochard et al., 2021). Running a hydraulic-enabled model such as SUREAU (Cochard et al., 477 2021) or MAESPA (Duursma and Medlyn, 2012) at FR-Fon would quantify to what extent the 478 deep-component of SWHC (i.e. the extra 390-207 = 183 mm of soil water reserve evidenced in this 479 paper) helps mitigating the expected increase of embolism, and probability of death of trees in a 480 warmer and drier climate. 481

### 482 Conclusions and perspectives.

We evidenced that the FR-Fon oak forest can tap at least 390 mm of water from the soil. This 483 is a large amount for a temperate forest, as compared to published estimates of SWHC based on 484 measurements (Bréda et al., 1995; De La Motte et al., 2020) or the modelling (Granier et al., 2007) 485 of the soil water balance. This value is 1.9 times higher than the estimate of SWHC (= 207 mm) 486 retrieved for the FR-Fon grid point in the French consensus soil database (Badeau et al., 2010), 487 which is used in modelling studies predicting the future of French forests (Cheaib et al., 2012). Yet, 488 several papers pointed recently the role of "deep" water in provisioning water to sustain ecosystem 489 functioning, particularly during drought periods as the one encountered at FR-Fon in 2018. The 490 monitoring of soil water has generally received little attention at flux measurements sites, with 491 measurements conducted at most sites over shallow depths (typically down to 30-50 cm, Novick 492 et al., 2016). For instance, the ICOS-network protocol states "the minimum depth of the [...] 493 SWC profiles is set to 1 m. If limited by the presence of the bedrock or a water-impermeable layer, 494 the profiles can be less deep" (Op De Beeck et al., 2018). Evidence is accumulating (our results 495 and e.g. McCormick et al., 2021; Carrière et al., 2020) that water from deep soil layers can be 496 extracted by trees in many situations, even in the presence of a "bedrock or water-impermeable 497 layer", and that the SWHC has so far been generally largely underestimated in forests (Fig.6a), 498 at least locally. Hence we stress here that the setup proposed by Op De Beeck et al. (2018) should 499 be considered a minimum. SWC measurements conducted over too shallow depths will overlook 500 the provisioning of water for canopy evapotranspiration by deeper layers. The contribution of 501 these deep layers will probably increase as drought become more frequent and intense with climate 502 change. While installing probes deep in the soil can be difficult, or even not practicable for some 503 rocky sites, indirect methods such as electrical resistivity tomography (e.g. Carrière et al., 2020) 504 are promising for evaluating the rooting depth and getting improved, higher estimates of SWHC. 505 Beyond the documentation of SWHC at particular sites, we need more accurate maps of SWHC 506 in order to improve the simulation of the functioning and vulnerability of forests under climate 507 change. 508

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank Augusto Zanella and Cécile Quantin for their contributions in the description of soil cores, Kamel Soudani and Eric Dufrêne for insightful discussions and their critical readings of the manuscript, Claude Doussan for discussing with us questions relative to the role of capillarity, and students Annaëlle Desgrippes and Jocelyn Ragu for their contribution in the acquisition of root distribution data. IC acknowledges funding by the ANR under the "Investissements d'avenir" programme with the reference ANR-16-CONV-0003 (CLAND).

## 516 Authors contributions

ND, JM and DB designed the research; DB, AM and GV collected the data; JM, ND and DB analysed the field data; CF did the model simulations; CF and ND analyzed the model simulations; JM, ND and DB wrote a first working paper; ND wrote the final version of the manuscript with significant inputs from MJ, IC, CF and SB.

## 521 References

Asner, G. P., Scurlock, J. M., and Hicke, J. A. (2003). Global synthesis of leaf area index observations: Implications for ecological and remote sensing studies. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 12(3):191–205.

Badeau, V. V., Dupouey, J.-L., Cluzeau, C., Drapier, J., and Le Bas, C. (2010). Climate change
and the biogeography of French tree species: first results and perspectives. In *Forests, Carbon Cycle and Climate Change*, Update Sciences and Technologies, pages 231–252. Editions Quae.

Baldocchi, D. (2008). 'Breathing' of the terrestrial biosphere: Lessons learned from a global
 network of carbon dioxide flux measurement systems. Australian Journal of Botany, 56(1):1–26.

- Baldocchi, D. and Peñuelas, J. (2019). The physics and ecology of mining carbon dioxide from the
  atmosphere by ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 25(4):1191–1197.
- Bastos, A., Fu, Z., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Weber, U., Reichstein, M.,
  Anthoni, P., Arneth, A., Haverd, V., Jain, A., Joetzjer, E., Knauer, J., Lienert, S., Loughran,
  T., McGuire, P. C., Obermeier, W., Padrón, R. S., Shi, H., Tian, H., Viovy, N., and Zaehle, S.
  (2020). Impacts of extreme summers on European ecosystems: A comparative analysis of 2003,
  2010 and 2018: European extreme summers and the C-cycle. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
- <sup>537</sup> Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 375(1810).
- <sup>538</sup> Bréda, N., Granier, A., Barataud, F., and Moyne, C. (1995). Soil water dynamics in an oak stand
  <sup>539</sup> I. Soil moisture, water potentials and water uptake by roots. *Plant and Soil*, 172(1):17–27.
- Bréda, N., Lefèvre, Y., and Badeau, V. (2002). Forest soil extractable water: Specificity and
  estimation. *Houille Blanche*, 88(3):24–32.
- <sup>542</sup> Burba, G. G., McDermitt, D. K., Grelle, A., Anderson, D. J., and Xu, L. (2008). Addressing the
  <sup>543</sup> influence of instrument surface heat exchange on the measurements of CO2 flux from open-path
  <sup>544</sup> gas analyzers. *Global Change Biology*, 14(8):1854–1876.

- <sup>545</sup> Caldwell, M. M., Dawson, T. E., and Richards, J. H. (1998). Hydraulic lift: Consequences of water
  <sup>546</sup> efflux from the roots of plants. *Oecologia*, 113(2):151–161.
- <sup>547</sup> Carrière, S. D., Martin-StPaul, N. K., Cakpo, C. B., Patris, N., Gillon, M., Chalikakis, K., Doussan,
  <sup>548</sup> C., Olioso, A., Babic, M., Jouineau, A., Simioni, G., and Davi, H. (2020). The role of deep vadose
  <sup>549</sup> zone water in tree transpiration during drought periods in karst settings Insights from isotopic
  <sup>550</sup> tracing and leaf water potential. *Science of the Total Environment*, 699.
- <sup>551</sup> Cheaib, A., Badeau, V., Boe, J., Chuine, I., Delire, C., Dufrêne, E., François, C., Gritti, E. S.,
  <sup>552</sup> Legay, M., Pagé, C., Thuiller, W., Viovy, N., and Leadley, P. (2012). Climate change impacts on
  <sup>553</sup> tree ranges: Model intercomparison facilitates understanding and quantification of uncertainty.
  <sup>554</sup> Ecology Letters, 15(6):533–544.
- <sup>555</sup> Christina, M., Nouvellon, Y., Laclau, J. P., Stape, J. L., Bouillet, J. P., Lambais, G. R., and
  <sup>556</sup> le Maire, G. (2017). Importance of deep water uptake in tropical eucalypt forest. *Functional*<sup>557</sup> *Ecology*, 31(2):509–519.
- <sup>558</sup> Cochard, H., Pimont, F., Ruffault, J., and Martin-StPaul, N. (2021). SurEau: a mechanistic model
  <sup>659</sup> of plant water relations under extreme drought. *Annals of Forest Science*, 78(2).
- <sup>560</sup> Cramer, M. D., Hawkins, H. J., and Verboom, G. A. (2009). The importance of nutritional
   <sup>561</sup> regulation of plant water flux. *Oecologia*, 161(1):15–24.
- <sup>562</sup> Davi, H. and Cailleret, M. (2017). Assessing drought-driven mortality trees with physiological
   <sup>563</sup> process-based models. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 232:279–290.
- <sup>564</sup> De La Motte, L. G., Beauclaire, Q., Heinesch, B., Cuntz, M., Foltýnová, L., Šigut, L., Kowalska,
  <sup>565</sup> N., Manca, G., Ballarin, I. G., Vincke, C., Roland, M., Ibrom, A., Lousteau, D., Siebicke, L.,
  <sup>566</sup> Neiryink, J., and Longdoz, B. (2020). Non-stomatal processes reduce gross primary productiv<sup>567</sup> ity in temperate forest ecosystems during severe edaphic drought: Edaphic drought in forest
  <sup>568</sup> ecosystems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1810).
- <sup>569</sup> De Wergifosse, L., André, F., Beudez, N., De Coligny, F., Goosse, H., Jonard, F., Ponette, Q.,
- Titeux, H., Vincke, C., and Jonard, M. (2020). HETEROFOR 1.0: A spatially explicit model

- for exploring the response of structurally complex forests to uncertain future conditions-Part 2:
  Phenology and water cycle. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13(3):1459–1498.
- <sup>573</sup> Delpierre, N., Berveiller, D., Granda, E., and Dufrêne, E. (2016). Wood phenology, not carbon
  <sup>574</sup> input, controls the interannual variability of wood growth in a temperate oak forest. New
  <sup>575</sup> Phytologist, 210(2):459–470.
- <sup>576</sup> Delpierre, N., Soudani, K., François, C., Le Maire, G., Bernhofer, C., Kutsch, W., Misson, L.,
  <sup>577</sup> Rambal, S., Vesala, T., and Dufrêne, E. (2012). Quantifying the influence of climate and
  <sup>578</sup> biological drivers on the interannual variability of carbon exchanges in European forests through
  <sup>579</sup> process-based modelling. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 154-155:99–112.
- <sup>580</sup> Dufrêne, E., Davi, H., François, C., Maire, G. L., Dantec, V. L., and Granier, a. (2005). Modelling <sup>581</sup> carbon and water cycles in a beech forest. *Ecological Modelling*, 185(2-4):407–436.
- <sup>582</sup> Duursma, R. A. and Medlyn, B. E. (2012). MAESPA: A model to study interactions between <sup>583</sup> water limitation, environmental drivers and vegetation function at tree and stand levels, with <sup>584</sup> an example application to  $[CO2] \times$  drought interactions. *Geoscientific Model Development*, <sup>585</sup> 5(4):919–940.
- Eagleson, P. S. (1982). Ecological optimality in water-limited natural soil-vegetation systems: 1.
  Theory and hypothesis. *Water Resources Research*, 18(2):325–340.
- Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho, G., Jobbágy, E. G., Jackson, R. B., and Otero-Casal, C. (2017). Hydrologic
  regulation of plant rooting depth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(40):10572–10577.
- Germon, A., Laclau, J. P., Robin, A., and Jourdan, C. (2020). Tamm Review: Deep fine roots in
  forest ecosystems: Why dig deeper? *Forest Ecology and Management*, 466(4):118135.
- <sup>593</sup> Granier, A. and Bréda, N. (1996). Modelling canopy conductance and stand transpiration of an <sup>594</sup> oak forest from sap flow measurements. *Annales des Sciences Forestières*, 53(2-3):537–546.

- Granier, A., Bréda, N., Biron, P., and Villette, S. (1999). A lumped water balance model to
  evaluate duration and intensity of drought constraints in forest stands. *Ecological Modelling*,
  116(2-3):269–283.
- Granier, A., Reichstein, M., Bréda, N., Janssens, I. A., Falge, E., Ciais, P., Grünwald, T., Aubinet,
- <sup>599</sup> M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Facini, O., Grassi, G., Heinesch, B., Ilvesniemi,
- H., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Köstner, B., Lagergren, F., Lindroth, A., Longdoz, B., Loustau, D.,
- Mateus, J., Montagnani, L., Nys, C., Moors, E., Papale, D., Peiffer, M., Pilegaard, K., Pita,
- G., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rebmann, C., Rodrigues, A., Seufert, G., Tenhunen, J., Vesala,
- T., and Wang, Q. (2007). Evidence for soil water control on carbon and water dynamics in European forests during the extremely dry year: 2003. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 143(1-2):123-145.
- Grossiord, C., Buckley, T. N., Cernusak, L. A., Novick, K. A., Poulter, B., Siegwolf, R. T., Sperry,
  J. S., and McDowell, N. G. (2020). Plant responses to rising vapor pressure deficit. New *Phytologist*, 226(6):1550–1566.
- Guderle, M. and Hildebrandt, A. (2015). Using measured soil water contents to estimate evapo transpiration and root water uptake profiles-a comparative study. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(1):409–425.
- Guillemot, J., Francois, C., Hmimina, G., Dufrêne, E., Martin-StPaul, N. K., Soudani, K., Marie,
  G., Ourcival, J. M., and Delpierre, N. (2017). Environmental control of carbon allocation matters
  for modelling forest growth. New Phytologist, 214(1):180–193.
- Hsiao, T. C., Acevedo, E., Fereres, E., and Henderson, D. W. (1976). Stress metabolism Water stress, growth and osmotic adjustment. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 273:479–500.
- Hupet, F., Lambot, S., Javaux, M., and Vanclooster, M. (2002). On the identification of macroscopic root water uptake parameters from soil water content observations. *Water Resources Research*, 38(12):1300.

- Ishikawa, C. M. and Bledsoe, C. S. (2000). Seasonal and diurnal patterns of soil water potential
  in the rhizosphere of blue oaks: Evidence for hydraulic lift. *Oecologia*, 125(4):459–465.
- IUSS Working Group WRB (2015). World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015.
   International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. Num ber 106. FAO, Rome.
- Jackisch, C., Knoblauch, S., Blume, T., Zehe, E., and Hassler, S. K. (2020). Estimates of tree root water uptake from soil moisture profile dynamics. *Biogeosciences*, 17(22):5787–5808.
- Jackisch, C. and Mälicke, M. (2020). cojacoo/rootwater: Rootwater with updated Astral references (v0.3).
- Jackson, R. B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J. R., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O. E., and Schulze, E. D.
  (1996). A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia*, 108(3):389–411.
- Jamagne, M., Hardy, R., King, D., and Bornand, M. (1995). La base de données géographique des
  sols de France. *Etude et Gestion des Sols*, 2(3):153–172.
- Jourdan, M., François, C., Delpierre, N., St-Paul, N. M., and Dufrêne, E. (2021). Reliable predictions of forest ecosystem functioning require flawless climate forcings. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 311(12):108703.
- Kowalska, N., Šigut, L., Stojanović, M., Fischer, M., Kyselova, I., and Pavelka, M. (2020). Analysis
   of floodplain forest sensitivity to drought: Floodplain forest during drought. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1810).
- Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ogée, J., Polcher, J., Firedlingstein, P., Ciais,
  P., Sitch, S., and Prentice, I. C. (2005). A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the
  coupled atmosphere-biosphere system. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19:GB 1015.
- Lebourgeois, F. and Jabiol, B. (2002). Enracinements comparés du Chêne sessile, du Chêne
  pédonculé et du Hêtre. Réflexions sur l'autécologie des essences. *Revue forestière française*,
  54(1):17–42.

- Li, Y., Fuchs, M., Cohen, S., Cohen, Y., and Wallach, R. (2002). Water uptake profile response of
  corn to soil moisture depletion. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 25(4):491–500.
- Lindroth, A., Holst, J., Linderson, M. L., Aurela, M., Biermann, T., Heliasz, M., Chi, J., Ibrom,
  A., Kolari, P., Klemedtsson, L., Krasnova, A., Laurila, T., Lehner, I., Lohila, A., Mammarella,
- I., Mölder, M., Löfvenius, M. O., Peichl, M., Pilegaard, K., Soosar, K., Vesala, T., Vestin, P.,
- <sup>651</sup> Weslien, P., and Nilsson, M. (2020). Effects of drought and meteorological forcing on carbon
- and water fluxes in Nordic forests during the dry summer of 2018. *Philosophical Transactions*
- of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 375(1810).
- Lucot, E. and Bruckert, S. (1992). Common oak (Quercus robur) root system organisation developed without restricting edaphic conditions - colluvial leached brown soil. *Annales des Sciences Forestieres*, 49(5):465–479.
- Martin-StPaul, N., Delzon, S., and Cochard, H. (2017). Plant resistance to drought depends on
   timely stomatal closure. *Ecology Letters*, 20(11):1437–1447.
- McCormick, E. L., Dralle, D. N., Hahm, W. J., Tune, A. K., Schmidt, L. M., Chadwick, K. D.,
  and Rempe, D. M. (2021). Widespread woody plant use of water stored in bedrock. *Nature*,
  597(7875).
- Moncrieff, J., Clement, R., Finnigan, J., and Meyers, T. (2005). Averaging, detrending and
   filtering of eddy covariance time series. In *Handbook of micrometeorology*, pages 7–31. Springer
   Netherlands.
- Moncrieff, J., Massheder, J., de Bruin, H., Elbers, J., Friborg, T., Heusinkveld, B., Kabat, P., Scott,
  S., Soegaard, H., and Verhoef, a. (1997). A system to measure surface fluxes of momentum,
  sensible heat, water vapour and carbon dioxide. *Journal of Hydrology*, 188-189:589–611.
- Nash, J. E. and Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, 10(3):282–290.
- <sup>670</sup> Naudts, K., Ryder, J., McGrath, M. J., Otto, J., Chen, Y., Valade, A., Bellasen, V., Berhongaray,
- G., Bönisch, G., Campioli, M., Ghattas, J., De Groote, T., Haverd, V., Kattge, J., MacBean,

- N., Maignan, F., Merilä, P., Penuelas, J., Peylin, P., Pinty, B., Pretzsch, H., Schulze, E. D., 672 Solvga, D., Vuichard, N., Yan, Y., and Luyssaert, S. (2015). A vertically discretised canopy 673 description for ORCHIDEE (SVN r2290) and the modifications to the energy, water and carbon 674 fluxes. Geoscientific Model Development, 8(7). 675
- Nelson, J., Carvalhais, N., Cuntz, M., Delpierre, N., Knauer, J., Ogée, J., Migliavacca, M., Reich-676 stein, M., and Jung, M. (2018). Coupling Water and Carbon Fluxes to Constrain Estimates of 677 Transpiration: The TEA Algorithm. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 123(12).

678

- Neumann, R. B. and Cardon, Z. G. (2012). The magnitude of hydraulic redistribution by plant 679 roots: A review and synthesis of empirical and modeling studies. New Phytologist, 194(2):337-680 352. 681
- Novick, K. A., Ficklin, D. L., Stoy, P. C., Williams, C. A., Bohrer, G., Oishi, A. C., Papuga, 682 S. A., Blanken, P. D., Noormets, A., Sulman, B. N., Scott, R. L., Wang, L., and Phillips, R. P. 683 (2016). The increasing importance of atmospheric demand for ecosystem water and carbon 684 fluxes. Nature Climate Change, 6(11):1023–1027. 685
- Op De Beeck, M., Gielen, B., Merbold, L., Ayres, E., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Acosta, M., Pavelka, 686 M., Montagnani, L., Nilsson, M., Klemedtsson, L., Vincke, C., De Ligne, A., Moureaux, C., 687 Maranon-Jimenez, S., Saunders, M., Mereu, S., and Hörtnagl, L. (2018). Soil-meteorological 688 measurements at ICOS monitoring stations in terrestrial ecosystems. International Agrophysics, 689 32(4):619-631.690
- Oren, R., Sperry, J. S., Katul, G. G., Pataki, D. E., Ewers, B. E., Phillips, N., and Schäfer, 691 K. V. (1999). Survey and synthesis of intra- and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity 692 to vapour pressure deficit. Plant. Cell and Environment, 22(12):1515–1526. 693
- Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Aubinet, M., Canfora, E., Bernhofer, C., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B., 694 Rambal, S., Valentini, R., Vesala, T., and Yakir, D. (2006). Towards a standardized processing of 695 Net Ecosystem Exchange measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and uncertainty 696 estimation. *Biogeosciences*, 3(4):571–583. 697

- Piedallu, C., Gégout, J. C., Bruand, A., and Seynave, I. (2011). Mapping soil water holding 698 capacity over large areas to predict potential production of forest stands. Geoderma, 160(3-699 4):355-366.700
- Preisler, Y., Tatarinov, F., Grünzweig, J. M., Bert, D., Ogée, J., Wingate, L., Rotenberg, E., 701 Rohatyn, S., Her, N., Moshe, I., Klein, T., and Yakir, D. (2019). Mortality versus survival 702 in drought-affected Aleppo pine forest depends on the extent of rock cover and soil stoniness. 703 Functional Ecology, 33(5):901-912. 704
- Provenzano, G., Rallo, G., and Ghazouani, H. (2016). Assessing Field and Laboratory Calibration 705 Protocols for the Diviner 2000 Probe in a Range of Soils with Different Textures. Journal of 706 Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, 142(2):04015040. 707
- Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Papale, D., Valentini, R., Running, S., Viovy, N., Cramer, W., Granier, 708 A., Ogée, J., Allard, V., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Carrara, A., Grünwald, 709 T., Heimann, M., Heinesch, B., Knohl, A., Kutsch, W., Loustau, D., Manca, G., Matteucci, G., 710 Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J. M., Pilegaard, K., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Schaphoff, S., Seufert, 711 G., Soussana, J. F., Sanz, M. J., Vesala, T., and Zhao, M. (2007). Reduction of ecosystem 712 productivity and respiration during the European summer 2003 climate anomaly: A joint flux 713 tower, remote sensing and modelling analysis. Global Change Biology, 13(3):634–651. 714
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., 715 Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H., 716 Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Migli-717 etta, F., Ourcival, J. M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., 718 Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R. (2005). On the separation 719 of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved 720 algorithm. Global Change Biology, 11(9):1424–1439.
- Salomón, R. L., Peters, R. L., Zweifel, R., Sass-Klaassen, U. G., Stegehuis, A. I., Smiljanic, M.,

721

722

- Poyatos, R., Babst, F., Cienciala, E., Fonti, P., Lerink, B. J., Lindner, M., Martinez-Vilalta, 723
- J., Mencuccini, M., Nabuurs, G. J., van der Maaten, E., von Arx, G., Bär, A., Akhmetzyanov, 724

L., Balanzategui, D., Bellan, M., Bendix, J., Berveiller, D., Blaženec, M., Cada, V., Carraro, 725 V., Cecchini, S., Chan, T., Conedera, M., Delpierre, N., Delzon, S., Ditmarová, , Dolezal, J., 726 Dufrêne, E., Edvardsson, J., Ehekircher, S., Forner, A., Frouz, J., Ganthaler, A., Gryc, V., 727 Güney, A., Heinrich, I., Hentschel, R., Janda, P., Ježík, M., Kahle, H. P., Knüsel, S., Krejza, 728 J., Kuberski, L., Kučera, J., Lebourgeois, F., Mikoláš, M., Matula, R., Mayr, S., Oberhuber, 729 W., Obojes, N., Osborne, B., Paljakka, T., Plichta, R., Rabbel, I., Rathgeber, C. B., Salmon, 730 Y., Saunders, M., Scharnweber, T., Sitková, Z., Stangler, D. F., Stereńczak, K., Stojanović, 731 M., Střelcová, K., Světlík, J., Svoboda, M., Tobin, B., Trotsiuk, V., Urban, J., Valladares, F., 732 Vavrčík, H., Vejpustková, M., Walthert, L., Wilmking, M., Zin, E., Zou, J., and Steppe, K. 733 (2022). The 2018 European heatwave led to stem dehydration but not to consistent growth 734 reductions in forests. *Nature Communications*, 13(1):28. 735

Samaniego, L., Thober, S., Kumar, R., Wanders, N., Rakovec, O., Pan, M., Zink, M., Sheffield, J.,
Wood, E. F., and Marx, A. (2018). Anthropogenic warming exacerbates European soil moisture
droughts. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(5):421–426.

<sup>739</sup> Sentek Pty Ltd (2001). CALIBRATION MANUAL For Sentek Soil Moisture Sensors Version 2.0.
 <sup>740</sup> Technical report, Sentek Pty Ltd.

Soudani, K., Delpierre, N., Berveiller, D., Hmimina, G., Pontailler, J. Y., Seureau, L., Vincent,
G., and Dufrêne, É. (2021). A survey of proximal methods for monitoring leaf phenology in
temperate deciduous forests. *Biogeosciences*, 18(11):3391–3408.

Thiry, M. (2010). Le plateau de Brie à Fontaine-le-Port : géologie, géomorphologie, hydrologie et
aménagement du territoire. Technical report, Association Naturaliste de la Vallée du Loing et
du massif de Fontainebleau.

van Genuchten, M. T. (1980). A Closed-form Equation for Predicting the Hydraulic Conductivity
of Unsaturated Soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 44(5):892–898.

Wilson, K., Goldstein, A., Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C.,
Ceulemans, R., Dolman, H., Field, C., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Law, B. E., Kowalski, A., Meyers,

- T., Moncrieff, J., Monson, R., Oechel, W., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., and Verma, S. (2002).
  Energy balance closure at FLUXNET sites. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 113(1-4):223–243.
- Zuo, Q. and Zhang, R. (2002). Estimating root-water-uptake using an inverse method. Soil Science,
  167(9):561–571.