

CryoEM Structure and Assembly Mechanism of a Bacterial Virus Genome Gatekeeper

Igor Orlov, Stephane Roche, Sandrine Brasilès, Natalya Lukoyanova,

Marie-Christine Vaney, Paulo Tavares, Elena V. Orlova

► To cite this version:

Igor Orlov, Stephane Roche, Sandrine Brasilès, Natalya Lukoyanova, Marie-Christine Vaney, et al.. CryoEM Structure and Assembly Mechanism of a Bacterial Virus Genome Gatekeeper. Nature Communications, In press, 13 (7283), 10.1038/s41467-022-34999-8. hal-03857097v1

HAL Id: hal-03857097 https://hal.science/hal-03857097v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2022 (v1), last revised 26 Sep 2023 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1 2 3 4 5	CryoEM Structure and Assembly Mechanism of a Bacterial Virus Genome Gatekeeper
	Igor Orlov ^{1,2,*} , Stéphane Roche ^{3,*} , Sandrine Brasiles ³ , Natalya Lukoyanova ⁴ , Marie-Christine Vaney ⁵ , Paulo Tavares ^{¶,3} , Elena V Orlova ^{¶,4}
0 7 0	Affiliations
9 10	¹ Centre for Integrative Biology (CBI), Department of Integrated Structural Biology, IGBMC, Université de Strasbourg, 67404 Illkirch, France;
11 12	² Current address: Laboratory of Cellular and Structural Biology, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue New York, NY 10065(212) 327-8000;
13 14	³ Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France;
15 16	⁴ Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, Department of Biological Sciences, Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London, WC1E 7HX, UK;
17 18	⁵ Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris, CNRS UMR3569, Unité de Virologie Structurale, 75015 Paris, France
19 20	* Equal contribution
21 22 23	[¶] Corresponding authors:
24	Elena V. Orlova, e-mail: e.orlova@bbk.ac.uk
25	Paulo Tavares, e-mail: paulo.tavares@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr
26 27	

28

29 Abstract

30

Numerous viruses package their dsDNA genome into preformed capsids 31 through a portal gatekeeper that is subsequently closed. We report the 32 structure of the DNA gatekeeper complex of bacteriophage SPP1 33 (gp6₁₂gp15₁₂gp16₆) in the post-DNA packaging state at 2.7 Å resolution 34 obtained by single particle cryo-electron microscopy. Comparison of the 35 complex with assembly-naïve structures native SPP1 of individual 36 components uncovered the complex program of conformational changes 37 leading to its assembly. After DNA packaging, gp15 binds via its C-terminus to 38 the gp6 oligomer positioning gp15 subunits for oligomerization. Gp15 refolds 39 its inner loops creating an intersubunit β -barrel that establishes different types 40 of contacts with six gp16 subunits. Gp16 binding and oligomerization is 41 accompanied by folding of helices that close the portal channel to keep the 42 43 viral genome inside the capsid. This mechanism of assembly has broad functional and evolutionary implications for viruses of the prokaryotic tailed 44 45 viruses-herpesviruses lineage.

46

47 Key words:

bacteriophage, SPP1, virus structure, cryo-electron microscopy, single particle
 analysis, portal protein, protein-protein interaction, macromolecular assembly
 mechanism

52 Introduction

53

Many double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) viruses have a specialized portal complex at 54 one 5-fold vertex of their icosahedral capsid through which dsDNA enters and exits 55 56 the virion. Tailed prokaryotic viruses and herpes viruses form a viral capsid precursor, termed procapsid, prior to DNA packaging. The procapsid contains a built-57 in portal protein complex¹⁻⁵ which serves as a docking platform for the terminase. 58 The complex of the portal and terminase constitutes the viral genome packaging 59 motor that translocates dsDNA into the procapsid. Tightly packed DNA (>400 60 mg/mL) exerts a pressure on the capsid that can reach ~60 atm^{6,7}. Dissociation of 61 the terminase from the motor is followed by closure of the portal vertex to prevent 62 leakage of the packed viral genome³. Sealing of the portal channel is achieved by 63 binding head completion proteins to assemble a complex named connector in tailed 64 prokaryotic viruses, which consists of stacked cyclical oligomers creating a channel 65 for DNA traffic^{3,8–10}. Opening of the closed connector is necessary for DNA entry in 66 the tail tube and its subsequent delivery to the host cell at the beginning of infection. 67

68 CryoEM and X-ray structures were reported for a number of portal proteins^{5,8,11–14}, 69 for the complex of P22 portal with the adaptor protein¹⁵, and for some isolated 70 proteins that participate in this process^{3,16–19}. CryoEM studies provided more 71 structural information on the portal complex assembled after DNA packaging¹⁰ and 72 when the tail is attached to the portal vertex^{8,20–23}. Nonetheless, the molecular 73 mechanism behind assembly of the DNA gatekeeper at the post-viral genome 74 packaging state is still unknown.

In bacteriophage SPP1, the gp15 and gp16 head completion proteins bind 75 sequentially to the dodecameric portal protein gp6 after DNA packaging^{9,10,19}. The 76 gp15 adaptor protein extends the portal tunnel that is fastened afterwards by binding 77 the gp16 stopper protein. Here we report a cryoEM structure of the 902 kDa 78 bacteriophage SPP1 connector in the post-DNA packaging state at a resolution of 79 2.7 Å. The *de novo* tracing of its 30 polypeptide chains within the cryoEM map 80 allowed us to determine an atomic model of the entire connector complex 81 82 (gp6₁₂gp15₁₂gp16₆). Structural comparison of the connector protein components with their assembly-naïve states in solution infers a sequential path of conformational 83 changes and different types of interactions engaged during the assembly of the viral 84

DNA gatekeeper. This structural study reveals how symmetry transition takes place
within the connector to match tail symmetry.

87

88 **Results**

89

Connector overall structure. The 902 kDa SPP1 connector complex was purified 90 from disrupted tailless DNA-filled capsids⁹. The connector structure was determined 91 by single particle analysis cryoEM at a resolution of 2.7 Å (at 0.5 threshold of FSC) 92 (Fig 1, see Online Methods and Supplementary Table 1). This resolution allowed 93 unambiguous *de novo* tracing of the polypeptide chains of gp6, gp15 and gp16 within 94 95 the cryoEM map of the complex (Extended Data Figs. 1 and 2). We have found that the connector comprises 12 subunits of both gp6 and gp15, but only 6 copies of 96 gp16 (Fig. 1) in contrast to previous reports^{10,19,20}. Gp15 and gp16 are monomeric 97 before they assemble in the connector²⁴, showing that connector assembling 98 involves gp6-gp15, gp15-gp15, gp15-gp16, and gp16-gp16 interaction events. 99

The gp6 portal protein fold at the post-DNA packaging state. The polypeptide chain of gp6 was traced in the cryoEM density map from residue 28 to 470 out of 503 residues (Fig. 2a). The overall gp6 fold in the connector is similar to the fold of gp6 in the 13-mer assembly-naïve form (PDB 2JES)¹². Gp6 encompasses four major domains: the crown, wing, stem, and clip (Fig. 2a,b). It also features a tunnel loop that protrudes towards the centre of the portal central tunnel and a β-hairpin at the outer surface of the stem domain (Fig. 2a,b).

The RMSD is small between the C α positions in the wing domain (residues 29-54, 107 88-169, 178-208 and 369-420) (RMSD 0.7 Å) and in the portal stem (residues 55-62, 108 81-87, 256-280, 327-346) (RMSD 0.5 Å) (Fig. 2b). Noticeable structural differences 109 were found within the crown area, tunnel loops, β -hairpins, and clip domains (Fig. 110 2b). The tunnel loop forms a short helix in the connector complex that is absent in 111 the gp6 13-mer¹² (Fig. 2b). The low density of this area in the connector suggests 112 that this segment is flexible and can adopt other conformations. The most significant 113 difference between the two structures is observed in the gp6 clip domain that makes 114 the most extensive intersubunit bonding in the portal oligomer (Fig. 2c,d). The clip is 115 formed by an intersubunit triple-stranded β-sheet, composed of strands β12 and β14 116 from subunit *i* and β 13 from subunit *i*-1, and helix α 4 from subunit *i* (Fig. 2c,d). These 117

structural elements are found in both gp6 structures (12-mer and 13-mer) but exhibit 118 visible shifts between residues Gln282 at the end of helix α 3 and Pro325 at the 119 beginning of helix α 5 (Fig. 2b-d). Helix α 4 has a tilted orientation in the gp6 13-mer¹² 120 with its N-terminus Pro296 residue localized at the clip bottom (Fig. 2c; Extended 121 Data Fig. 3a). In the connector, Pro296 is shifted upwards by 7 Å bringing helix α 4 to 122 a more horizontal orientation closer to the qp6 β -hairpin of adjacent subunit *i*+2 (Fig. 123 2d). Changes in the clip domains of the connector gp6 oligomer lead to formation of 124 pockets where the C-termini of gp15 bind to the portal oligomer (Extended Data Fig. 125 3b,c). These pockets are absent in the assembly-naïve gp6 13-mer (Extended Data 126 Fig. 3a) explaining why it does not bind $gp15^{24}$. 127

Mapping of vital DNA packaging mutations in the portal structure. The structure of gp6 in the post-DNA packaging state allows to rationalize the effect of mutations previously shown to impair the SPP1 DNA packaging process^{25,26}. Since these mutated gp6 proteins are incorporated in procapsids, this implies that they are folded correctly and interact with the other procapsid components ensuring proper procapsid assembly²⁵. We discerned several groups of residues critical for DNA packaging (Fig. 2e).

A set of mutations that block DNA packaging was found in the gp6 crown. This region forms the upper part of the tunnel channel through which DNA is translocated into the capsid interior. Mutations affecting the crown structural organization involve residues engaged in inter-subunit bonding (Ser428, IIe437, Phe449) and/or destabilizing the crown hydrophobic core (IIe437Val, Ala443Thr, Phe449Leu) formed by helices α 7 and α 8 (Fig. 2e, in green; Supplementary Table 2).

Another cluster of mutated residues affect either the gp6 tunnel loop structure (Ser350, Glu352), or the loop interaction with α5 (Val347, Lys373) and the portal crown (Gly360). They highlight a role of the loops in the DNA packaging process (Fig. 2e, in red; Supplementary Table 2). We previously showed that substitution Glu352Gly leads to reduction of the terminase ATPase activity²⁷. This cross-talk between tunnel loops and the terminase during DNA translocation is mediated, conceivably, through helix α5 and the gp6 clip region²⁸.

DNA packaging is also disrupted by mutation of Pro325 (Fig. 2e, in purple) located at
 a hinge position between helix α5 and the clip. The polypeptide chains of the gp6 13-

mer and of the gp6 connector 12-mer change their conformation at this point leading to a different position of the clip in the two structures (Fig. 2b-e). Substitution Pro325Leu disrupts inter-subunit bonding and might extend the length of helix α 5, affecting the correct positioning of the clip elements.

The next important set of mutations is found in loops anchoring the gp6 β-hairpin at 154 proximity to the wing and stem (Fig. 2b, in blue). We hypothesize that the mutations 155 in this hairpin change its position with respect of the clip domain (Fig. 2d, β 1- β 2 in 156 blue), preventing formation of the clip pocket. The essential role of the clip pocket in 157 DNA packaging is revealed by the highly detrimental effect of amino acid 158 substitutions in Asn290, Gly293 and Glu294²⁵ of loop β 12- α 4 (Fig. 2e, in cyan; 159 Supplementary Table 2). These three residues are exposed to the portal exterior 160 161 (Fig. 2e). Asn290 is located at the tip of loop β 12- α 4, with its side chain engaged in intrasubunit and intersubunit hydrogen bonds with the carbonyl of Asp314 and with 162 the nitrogen of Gly313, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 4). These interactions 163 mimic beta interactions and are essential for the correct positioning of loops $\beta 12 \cdot \alpha 4$ 164 and β13-β14 within the clip domain. Substitution of Asn290 disrupts one or both 165 hydrogen bonds destabilizing these loops. Gly293 and Glu294 make no side chain 166 contacts suggesting that the phenotype of their substitution results from a defect in 167 the direct interaction with the terminase²⁹. Disruption of this interaction upon 168 disassembly of the packaging motor renders the gp6 clip pocket ready for gp15 169 binding. Sequential interaction of the terminase and gp15 with the same gp6 region 170 of the clip is further corroborated by finding that mutation Glu294Lys disrupts SPP1 171 DNA packaging while substitution of the same residue Glu294Gly impairs only the 172 subsequent assembly step of binding to gp15²⁶ (Supplementary Table 2; see below). 173 Collectively this structure-function analysis highlights structural elements lining the 174 portal channel and the clip as essential factors for phage DNA packaging. 175

The gp15 structure and gp6-gp15 interface. Termination of DNA packaging is followed by departure of the terminase and attachment of gp15 to gp6, initiating connector assembly. The core of gp15 comprises 4 helices (Fig. 1c, right panel). Its C-terminus is directed towards gp6 while the hairpin β 1- β 2 points towards gp16 (Fig. 1b). Gp15 interacts with the gp6 oligomer mostly via insertion of its C-terminus into the gp6 clip pocket (Fig. 3a,b; Extended Data Fig. 3c). The gp15 C-terminus establishes ten interchain bonds with the clip of gp6 chain *i* (Supplementary Table 3).

Gp15 His37 makes also a bond with clip residue Asp292 of gp6 chain i+1 (Fig. 3b) 183 while gp15 Arg102 establishes a hydrogen bond with the carbonyl of Asp68 from the 184 β -hairpin of gp6 chain *i*+2 (Fig. 3b; Supplementary Table 3). This interaction network 185 explains why mutation Glu294Gly in gp6, which disrupts its hydrogen bond with gp15 186 Met100 (Fig. 3b), or replacement of the five C-terminal residues (ArgLysMetAlaArg) 187 in gp15 with MetAlaGly, prevent gp15 stable binding to gp6^{20,26}. These interactions 188 are characterized by electrostatic potential complementarity between the gp15 C-189 terminus and the gp6 pocket and their shape matching (Extended Data Fig. 5a). 190

Gp15 conformational changes. Assembly-naïve gp15 (PDB 2KBZ) is a monomer 191 in solution composed of an α -helical core, flexible loop α 1- α 2, a large unstructured 192 193 loop between helices $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$, and the flexible C terminus, as shown by NMR structures¹⁹. The α -helical core α 1- α 3 maintains its conformation after assembly of 194 gp15 in the connector but $\alpha 0$, loop $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$, and the C-terminus undergo major 195 conformational changes (Fig. 3c, in green, blue and orange, respectively). The gp15 196 C-terminus interacts with gp6 (Fig. 3a,b; Extended Data Figure 3c). The N-terminus 197 and $\alpha 0$ undergo 145° rotation to establish contacts with helices $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ (Fig. 3c). 198 Helix α0 makes also links with the adjacent subunit through residues Arg5 and Arg8 199 forming salt bridges with Glu23 of $\alpha 1$ from subunit *j*-1 (Fig. 3d). The most dramatic 200 conformational change takes place by folding of loop $\alpha 2 \cdot \alpha 3$ into the $\beta 1 \cdot \beta 2$ hairpin 201 that forms the gp15 24-stranded intermolecular β -barrel (Figs. 1b,c and 3c). 202

Gp15 intersubunit interactions within the connector. The surface of interaction 203 between a single gp15 subunit and gp6 in the connector is only 713 Å² and the 204 calculated dissociation energy is -1.1 kcal/mol as assessed by EBI-PISA³⁰ 205 (Supplementary Table 4). This indicates that the single gp15 monomer does not 206 bind strongly to the gp6 ring³¹. In contrast, adjacent gp15 subunits have a large 207 interaction surface of 1220 Å² with 22 intersubunit hydrogen bonds (Supplementary 208 Table 3), accounting for a dissociation energy of -12.4 kcal/mol (Supplementary 209 Table 4). The initial attachment of gp15 monomers to gp6 is thus followed by gp15 210 intersubunit interactions that ensure stable association of gp15 to the gp6 oligomer. 211

The gp15-gp15 interface comprises lateral contacts between helix α 1 of subunit *j* and helices α 0, α 2 and α 3 of the adjacent subunit *j*-1 (Supplementary Table 3). Furthermore, helix α 0 binds helix α 1 of adjacent subunits at the connector periphery

through a network of salt bridges and hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3d). The gp15 β1-β2 215 hairpins of neighbour subunits form an intersubunit 24-stranded β-barrel distal from 216 the portal (Figs. 1b,c) that outlines the 31 Å-wide central tunnel of gp15 (Fig. 1c). 217 Within each gp15 subunit the β -hairpin is connected to its α -helical core via loops α 2-218 β 1 and β 2- α 3 (Figs. 3c,e). The carboxylate group of Glu85 in loop β 2- α 3 of subunit *j* 219 establishes five hydrogen bonds with the main chain and side chains of Ser88 and 220 Thr89 of subunit j+1 (Fig. 3e), stabilising the overall positioning of the β -barrel 221 222 strands.

Gp16 structure and the gp15-gp16 interface. The bottom part of the connector is formed by six gp16 subunits. Each of them comprises a β -barrel core (β 3 to β 6), the tunnel α -helix α 1 and the β 1- β 2 loop that protrudes below the connector and presumably interacts with the tail (Fig. 1d).

The interface between the gp15 dodecamer and the gp16 hexamer is characterized 227 as well by electrostatic complementarity (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Most of the gp15-228 gp16 interactions involve the bottom rim of the gp15 24-strand β -barrel (Figs. 1b and 229 3f,g). Each gp16 subunit makes contacts with four gp15 subunits (Fig. 3f; 230 Supplementary Table 3). Remarkably, residues Thr77 of three different gp15 231 subunits interact with the same gp16 subunit (Fig. 3f). Thr77 of gp15 chain j+1232 makes a hydrogen bond with gp16 Ser91 while Thr77 of gp15 chain *j* establishes 233 hydrogen bonds with residues Tyr61 and Arg98 of gp16. Finally, Thr77 of gp15 of 234 chain *j-1* is involved in two hydrogen bonds with gp16 Gln39. Additionally, Arg73 of 235 gp15 chain *j* makes a salt bridge with Glu95 of gp16 (Fig. 3f). Disruption of this 236 interaction by mutation Arg73Glu in gp15 was previously shown to prevent stable 237 binding of gp16 to gp15²⁰. A second type of interactions between gp16 and gp15 238 takes place by insertion of the gp16 N-terminus in a crease between the gp15 β-239 barrel and loops $\alpha 2$ - $\beta 1$ of gp15_i and $\alpha 0$ - $\alpha 1$ of gp15_{i+1} (Fig. 3g). This network of 240 interactions establishes the transition of 12 to 6-fold symmetry within the connector. 241 Overall, the interaction between each subunit of gp16 and the gp15 oligomer has a 242 surface of 910 Å² and dissociation energy of -13.6 kcal/mol indicating on strong 243 bonding between gp15 and gp16 oligomer 244

Gp16 conformational changes and portal tunnel closure. The gp16 subunit in the connector has a well-defined six-stranded β-barrel core (Fig. 1d) like the assembly-

naïve gp16 (PDB 2KCA) monomer in solution. The most dramatic change within the 247 gp16 structure takes place in flexible loop $\beta 2^{2}$ - $\beta 3$ (residues 43-51) that folds into the 248 tunnel α -helix (Fig. 4a). The α -helices of six gp16 subunits in the connector are hold 249 together by 5 intersubunit hydrogen bonds between adjacent subunits. Gln43 makes 250 four of them and the fifth is between Tyr47-Glu45 (Fig. 4b). The tunnel helices form a 251 constriction in the portal channel with a diameter of ~12 Å: between side chains of 252 opposite gp16 Gln51 residues (Fig. 1d). This is the only region of the connector 253 tunnel narrower than the 20 Å diameter of a DNA double-helix, locking DNA inside 254 the capsid after its encapsidation. The interaction between gp16 subunits is notably 255 weak with a surface of 513 Å² and a calculated dissociation energy of -1.6 kcal/mol 256 (Supplementary Table 4), suggesting that the gp16 hexamer is held primarily by 257 bonds with gp15 subunits. The N-terminus residues 12-30, forming loop β 1- β 2, 258 protrude below the connector and presumably interact with the tail (Fig. 1d). Tail 259 binding to gp16 might further stabilise its structure in the infectious tailed phage²⁰. 260

261 **Discussion and conclusions**

The 2.7 Å resolution structure of the SPP1 gp6₁₂gp15₁₂gp16₆ complex reported here uncovers the detailed molecular architecture of the complete viral DNA gatekeepers from tailed prokaryotic viruses. Comparison with the structure of its non-assembled components reveals the molecular events leading to assembly of the gatekeeper (Fig. 5) that have extensive functional and evolutionary implications.

The connector structure shows the conformation of the portal protein gp6 in its post-267 DNA packaging state. Locations of mutations that arrest DNA packaging before the 268 endonucleolytic cleavage that terminates the process^{25,26} identify clusters of residues 269 in several structural elements of gp6 (Fig. 2e). Two clusters were found in the crown 270 and in loops that line the portal tunnel. Other mutations affect structural elements 271 that build the clip pocket exposed for binding of the terminase (Fig. 2e and Extended 272 Data Fig. 3b). The previous finding that motion of stem helix α 5 is necessary for DNA 273 packaging²⁸ and localisation of mutations in the gp6 structure suggest that the tunnel 274 loops act jointly with the terminase during DNA packaging^{27,29}. Their cross-talk 275 through helix α5 might support DNA translocation, driven primarily by the terminase 276 pumping activity²⁹, and a ratchet mechanism in which tunnel loops act to prevent 277 sliding of DNA out of the phage capsid as it reaches high concentration inside the 278

capsid. The previously proposed ratchet function of the tunnel loops^{8,32,33}, is conceivably coordinated with the DNA packaging motor activity. This retention mechanism operating during genome packaging is transient. Keeping the DNA inside the capsid requires binding of gp15 and gp16 to the portal system upon terminase departure¹⁰. The process is coordinated by sequential interaction of the terminase and gp15 with a common binding interface within a pocket in the gp6 clip (Figs 2e and 3a,b).

Comparison of the NMR structures of SPP1 assembly-naive gp15 and gp16¹⁹ with 286 their conformations within the connector highlights the structural rearrangements 287 occurring during assembly of the DNA gatekeeper (Figs. 3c, 4a and 5). The analysis 288 of gp15 and gp16 structural homologues (Extended Data Figs. 6-8) expands further 289 290 our understanding of their conformational landscape. Gp15-like proteins have a conserved α -helical core but differ in the conformations of loop $\alpha 0-\alpha 1$, loop $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ 291 and/or of the C-terminus. These variations inform on structural changes on the 292 pathway from the monomer to the dodecameric state (Extended Data Fig. 6). The C-293 terminus of SPP1 gp15 has a defined conformation only if it is bound to the portal 294 protein. That corroborates with their role in anchoring the gp15-like adaptor proteins 295 to the portal clip (Fig. 5a). During connector assembly, gp15 helix α 0 changes its 296 position to a more horizontal orientation (Figs. 3c and 5a,b). Interestingly, in the non-297 assembled monomer of the gp15 homologue YqbG helix a position, 298 stabilized by intrachain bonds, that is close to the orientation found in the gp15 299 connector state (Extended Data Fig. 6b). In SPP1 such conformation requires 300 intersubunit bonding of $\alpha 0$ that bridges helices $\alpha 1$ of neighbour subunits (Fig. 3d). 301 Disruption of these interactions in mutant gp15 Arg5Glu Arg8Glu (Fig. 3d) allows for 302 303 formation of the gp15-g16 complex in phage capsids but prevents closure of the connector tunnel²⁰. Re-positioning of $\alpha 0$ is thus an essential assembly step (step **a** to 304 **b** in Fig. 5; Movie 1). 305

The NMR structures of gp15 and YqbG monomers^{19,34} both show highly mobile loops $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ (Extended Data Fig. 6b. Its α -helical core has intersubunit interactions similar to those between SPP1 gp15 subunits within the connector. The homologue gp6 protein from siphophage HK97 forms a 13-mer ring in assembly-naïve conditions¹⁸ and the loop $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ of HK97gp6¹⁸ has an antiparallel β -like interchain interaction (Extended Data Figs. 6b and 7a). This loop is located in a position similar

to loop $\alpha 2 \cdot \alpha 3$ from SPP1 gp15 that folds to build a β -sheet within the connector 312 (Extended Data Figs. 6b and 7b). Although gp6 of HK97 forms most likely a 12-mer 313 when it assembles in the phage particle¹⁸, the 13-mer structure suggests that its 314 oligomerization leads to formation of β-like interactions between the α2-α3 loops of 315 adjacent subunits. We hypothesize that they represent a precursor state of an inter-316 subunit β-barrel in HK97, as was found in SPP1 gp15. Rigid-body fitting of gp15 317 NMR monomers into the SPP1 connector exposes multiple clashes between loops 318 $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ of adjacent subunits, dictating conformational changes in their fold. These 319 320 changes result conceivably in formation of the β -barrel during gp15 oligomerization (a to c in Fig. 5; Movie 1). Collectively, analysis of SPP1 gp15 homologous protein 321 structures reveal different conformations that are necessary for achieving the 322 functional gp15 assembled state. 323

The gp15 β-barrel creates a platform for gp16 attachment to the SPP1 portal 324 complex (Fig. 5d). Connector stoppers, typified by gp16, are characterised by a 325 common β -barrel core³ (Extended Data Fig. 8). The monomers of phage λ gpFII¹⁷ 326 and XkdH have a flexible loop equivalent to the SPP1 gp16 monomer $\beta 2'-\beta 3$ loop. 327 This loop is folded into a α -helix in the monomer of the putative stopper SF1141 328 (Extended Data Fig. 8b). Loop $\beta 2' \beta 3$ of SPP1 gp16 folds also into a α -helix after 329 binding of gp16 subunits to the gp15 ring in SPP1 (step **f** to **h** in Fig. 5; Movie 1). 330 Five inter-helices contacts stabilize the helical conformation occluding the portal 331 channel (Fig. 4b) while not imposing a strong barrier for disruption of this bonding 332 that is necessary for subsequent channel opening. The weak gp16 intersubunit 333 bonding (Supplementary Table 4) indicates that its interaction with gp15 plays a 334 major role for endorsed positioning of the six gp16 subunits in the connector. This 335 corroborates with mutations in gp15 that impair specifically portal tunnel closure by 336 gp16²⁰ (see above). The 12 to 6-fold symmetry transition within the gp15-gp16 337 complex enables a direct match of the connector with the hexameric structure of the 338 phage pre-assembled long tail. 339

The portal vertex complex represents an essential DNA traffic gate conserved among all viruses of the prokaryotic tailed viruses-herpesviruses lineage³⁵. The portal protein component is conserved in the lineage. In contrast, the effectors acting after DNA packaging diverged as viruses evolved to infect different cellular hosts (Fig. 6). Eukaryotic herpesviruses deviated first by extension of the portal protein clip

with "tentacle" α -helices protruding towards a cap that closes the portal system^{3,4} 345 (Fig. 6). Clip domains, like in SPP1 gp6, are one of the most conserved structural 346 elements in the portal protein from tailed bacteriophages^{3,14}. These viruses have also 347 gp15-like proteins that form dodecamers extending the portal DNA tunnel. However, 348 the β-barrel region of SPP1 gp15 has changed significantly in the homologous 349 proteins from phages with a short tail (Fig. 6). Phages P68 and φ 29 have a β -barrel 350 longer than >100 Å forming the short tail DNA conduit^{23,37} (Extended Data Fig.7c,d) 351 while T7⁸ and possibly P22¹⁵ have no equivalent to the SPP1 gp15 β -barrel (Fig. 6). 352 This evolutionary divergence is further marked by the absence of gp16 homologous 353 proteins in phages with short tails, with the possible exception of a domain from the 354 phage φ 29 knob protein (Fig. 6 and Extended Data Fig. 8). In contrast, gp16-like 355 proteins are conserved among phages with long tails³⁸. The molecular architecture of 356 the gp15-gp16 interface accomplishes the connector-to-tail symmetry transition and 357 matches the connector tunnel-forming gp15 structure with the tail tube-like fold of 358 $qp16^{39}$. This β -barrel fold is the common feature of some components of long tail 359 tubes^{39,40}. The gp16-like proteins evolved to establish three main functions: firstly the 360 interaction with gp15-like proteins, secondly to build a temporary retention system of 361 362 the viral DNA, and thirdly to bind to the tail-to-head joining protein at the tail tube end^{3,20,21,41,42}. 363

The architecture of the connector and its assembly mechanism reveal the 364 evolutionary process of the tailed prokaryotic viruses-herpesviruses lineage (Fig. 6). 365 366 Lineage branching begins with one of their common building blocks, a portal protein, to assemble viral machines that infect eukaryotic cells or use a tail device to attack 367 prokaryotes. In tailed prokaryotic viruses there are gp15-like proteins that bind to the 368 portal protein to form short tails or connect the gp16-like proteins. The latter 369 370 interaction creates an interface for attaching long tail tubes assembled in an independent pathway. Our connector structure and comparative structural biology 371 study proposes a molecular mechanism at work at different stages of phage 372 connector assembly in phages with long tails and reveals its divergence breakpoints 373 during viral lineage evolution. 374

375 **Online content**

Online Methods, Nature Research reporting summaries, Extended Data Figs. 1 to 8 and Supplementary Tables 1 to 4, Movie 1, acknowledgements, peer review information.

Author contributions E.V.O. and P.T. designed and supervised the research. S.B. purified SPP1 DNA-filled capsids and connectors. N.L. prepared the EM grids, I.O., E.V.O. analysed the EM data and did structural analysis. I.O., E.V.O .S.R. and M-C.V. analysed the map and performed modelling. P.T., E.V.O., and S.R. wrote the manuscript; I.O., E.V.O. S.R. and M.-C.V. have prepared the figures. All authors participated in the discussions of the results, figures, and critical reading of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement: The connector map and atomic models of gp6, gp15,
 and gp16 are deposited to the EMDB database under accession codes EMD-14509
 and PDB entry 7Z4W.

Acknowledgments: We thank Dr D. Houldershaw and Y. Goudetsidis for computer 389 390 support at Birkbeck throughout the duration of the project. Cryo-EM samples were prepared and optimised at the ISMB EM facility at Birkbeck College, with financial 391 support from Wellcome Trust (079605/2/06/2). We acknowledge Diamond Light 392 Source for access and support of the cryo-EM facilities at the UK National electron 393 Bio-Imaging Centre (eBIC, under proposal EM14704) funded by the Wellcome Trust, 394 the Medical Research Council UK and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences 395 Research Council. We grateful to Dr Y, Chaban for his assistance with the data 396 collection at the eBIC. We thank Dr A. Isidro (VMS, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) 397 for a sample of HSV-1 C-capsids and Dr R. Lurz (MPIMG, Berlin, Germany) for 398 kindly providing the micrographs shown in Fig. 6. Part of this work was supported by 399 institutional funding from CNRS. 400

401 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

402 **References**

- 4031.Bazinet, C. & King, J. The DNA Translocating Vertex of DSDNA404Bacteriophage. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **39**, 109–129 (1985).
- August 2. Newcomb, W. W. *et al.* The UL6 Gene Product Forms the Portal for Entry of DNA into the Herpes Simplex Virus Capsid. *J. Virol.* **75**, 10923–10932 (2001).

- 407 3. Tavares, P. The Bacteriophage Head-to-Tail Interface. in *Subcellular* 408 *Biochemistry* (eds. Harris, J. R. & Bhella, D.) vol. 88 305–328 (Springer, 2018).
- 409
 4. Liu, Y.-T., Jih, J., Dai, X., Bi, G.-Q. & Zhou, Z. H. Cryo-EM structures of herpes simplex virus type 1 portal vertex and packaged genome. *Nature* 570, 257– 261 (2019).
- 5. Dedeo, C. L., Cingolani, G. & Teschke, C. M. Portal Protein: The Orchestrator
 of Capsid Assembly for the dsDNA Tailed Bacteriophages and Herpesviruses. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* 6, 141–160 (2019).
- 415 6. Smith, D. E. *et al.* The bacteriophage φ29 portal motor can package DNA against a large internal force. *Nature* **413**, 748–752 (2001).
- 417 7. Rao, V. B. & Feiss, M. Mechanisms of DNA Packaging by Large Double418 Stranded DNA Viruses. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* 2, 351–378 (2015).
- 8. Cuervo, A. *et al.* Structures of T7 bacteriophage portal and tail suggest a viral
 DNA retention and ejection mechanism. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3746 (2019).
- 421 9. Lurz, R. *et al.* Structural organisation of the head-to-tail Interface of a bacterial
 422 virus. *J. Mol. Biol.* **310**, 1027–1037 (2001).
- 423 10. Orlova, E. V. *et al.* Structure of a viral DNA gatekeeper at 10 Å resolution by
 424 cryo-electron microscopy. *EMBO J.* 22, (2003).
- 425 11. Simpson, A. A. *et al.* Structure of the bacteriophage φ29 DNA packaging
 426 motor. *Nature* **408**, 745–750 (2000).
- Lebedev, A. A. *et al.* Structural framework for DNA translocation via the viral portal protein. *EMBO J.* 26, (2007).
- 429 13. Sun, L. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the bacteriophage T4 portal protein
 430 assembly at near-atomic resolution. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 7548 (2015).
- 431 14. Javed, A. *et al.* Cryo-EM Structures of Two Bacteriophage Portal Proteins
 432 Provide Insights for Antimicrobial Phage Engineering. *Viruses* 13, 2532 (2021).
- 433 15. Olia, A. S., Prevelige, P. E., Johnson, J. E. & Cingolani, G. Three-dimensional
 434 structure of a viral genome-delivery portal vertex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18,
 435 597–603 (2011).
- Maxwell, K. L. *et al.* The solution structure of bacteriophage λ protein W, a
 small morphogenetic protein possessing a novel fold11Edited by P. E. Wright. *J. Mol. Biol.* **308**, 9–14 (2001).
- 439 17. Maxwell, K. L., Yee, A. A., Arrowsmith, C. H., Gold, M. & Davidson, A. R. The 440 Solution Structure of the Bacteriophage λ Head–Tail Joining Protein, gpFII. *J.* 441 *Mol. Biol.* **318**, 1395–1404 (2002).
- 18. Cardarelli, L. *et al.* The Crystal Structure of Bacteriophage HK97 gp6: Defining
 a Large Family of Head–Tail Connector Proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* 395, 754–768
 (2010).
- Lhuillier, S. *et al.* Structure of bacteriophage SPP1 head-to-tail connection
 reveals mechanism for viral DNA gating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106,
 8507–8512 (2009).

- Chaban, Y. *et al.* Structural rearrangements in the phage head-to-tail interface
 during assembly and infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, 7009–7014
 (2015).
- 451 21. Bárdy, P. *et al.* Structure and mechanism of DNA delivery of a gene transfer 452 agent. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3034 (2020).
- Leiman, P. G., Chipman, P. R., Kostyuchenko, V. A., Mesyanzhinov, V. V. &
 Rossmann, M. G. Three-Dimensional Rearrangement of Proteins in the Tail of
 Bacteriophage T4 on Infection of Its Host. *Cell* **118**, 419–429 (2004).
- 456 23. Xu, J., Wang, D., Gui, M. & Xiang, Y. Structural assembly of the tailed 457 bacteriophage φ29. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2366 (2019).
- 458 24. Lhuillier, S. Etude biochimique et structurale de l'éjection de l'ADN de SPP1.
 459 (Université de Paris-Sud, France, 2006).
- Isidro, A., Santos, M. A., Henriques, A. O. & Tavares, P. The high-resolution
 functional map of bacteriophage SPP1 portal protein. *Mol. Microbiol.* 51, 949–
 962 (2004).
- 463 26. Isidro, A., Henriques, A. O. & Tavares, P. The portal protein plays essential
 464 roles at different steps of the SPP1 DNA packaging process. *Virology* 322,
 465 253–263 (2004).
- 466 27. Oliveira, L., Henriques, A. O. & Tavares, P. Modulation of the viral ATPase
 467 activity by the portal protein correlates with DNA packaging efficiency. *J. Biol.*468 *Chem.* 281, 21914–21923 (2006).
- 28. Cuervo, A., Vaney, M.-C., Antson, A. A., Tavares, P. & Oliveira, L. Structural
 rearrangements between portal protein subunits are essential for viral DNA
 translocation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, (2007).
- 472 29. Oliveira, L., Cuervo, A. & Tavares, P. Direct Interaction of the Bacteriophage
 473 SPP1 Packaging ATPase with the Portal Protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 7366–
 474 7373 (2010).
- 475 30. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of Macromolecular Assemblies from 476 Crystalline State. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372**, 774–797 (2007).
- 477 31. Chen, J., Sawyer, N. & Regan, L. Protein-protein interactions: General trends
 478 in the relationship between binding affinity and interfacial buried surface area.
 479 *Protein Sci.* 22, 510–515 (2013).
- 480 32. Grimes, S., Ma, S., Gao, J., Atz, R. & Jardine, P. J. Role of φ29 Connector
 481 Channel Loops in Late-Stage DNA Packaging. *J. Mol. Biol.* 410, 50–59 (2011).
- 482 33. Bayfield, O. W., Steven, A. C. & Antson, A. A. Cryo-EM structure in situ
 483 reveals a molecular switch that safeguards virus against genome loss. *Elife* 9,
 484 (2020).
- 485 34. Liu, G. *et al.* NMR structure of protein yqbG from Bacillus subtilis reveals a
 486 novel α-helical protein fold. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinforma.* 62, 288–291
 487 (2005).
- 488 35. Abrescia, N. G. A., Bamford, D. H., Grimes, J. M. & Stuart, D. I. Structure

- 489 Unifies the Viral Universe. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 795–822 (2012).
- 490 36. Li, Z., Pang, J., Dong, L. & Yu, X. Structural basis for genome packaging,
 491 retention, and ejection in human cytomegalovirus. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4538
 492 (2021)..
- 493 37. Hrebík, D. *et al.* Structure and genome ejection mechanism of Staphylococcus 494 aureus phage P68. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, (2019).
- 495 38. Lopes, A., Tavares, P., Petit, M.-A., Guérois, R. & Zinn-Justin, S. Automated
 496 classification of tailed bacteriophages according to their neck organization.
 497 BMC Genomics 15, 1027 (2014).
- 498 39. Cardarelli, L. *et al.* Phages have adapted the same protein fold to fulfill multiple 499 functions in virion assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 14384–14389 (2010).
- 40. Veesler, D. & Cambillau, C. A Common Evolutionary Origin for TailedBacteriophage Functional Modules and Bacterial Machineries. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **75**, 423–433 (2011).
- Auzat, I., Petitpas, I., Lurz, R., Weise, F. & Tavares, P. A touch of glue to
 complete bacteriophage assembly: The tail-to-head joining protein (THJP)
 family. *Mol. Microbiol.* 91, 1164–1178 (2014).
- Seul, A. *et al.* Biogenesis of a Bacteriophage Long Non-Contractile Tail. *J. Mol. Biol.* 433, 167112 (2021).

509 **Figure legends**

Fig. 1 | Structure of the SPP1 connector $(gp6_{12}gp15_{12}gp16_6)$ complex. a, 510 CrvoEM map of the SPP1 connector. One subunit of each gp6, gp15 and gp16 511 oligomer is shown in blue, magenta, and green, respectively. **b**, Atomic model of the 512 connector. For clarity, only the front half of the oligomer is shown. Four subunits of 513 gp6 are displayed in purple, cyan, blue and black. Four subunits of gp15 are in 514 orange, red, magenta, and brown. Three copies of gp16 are in variations of green. 515 Subunit numbers with their colour codes indicated on the right are used consistently 516 throughout the manuscript. c, gp15 dodecamer viewed from the capsid side (left 517 panel). Secondary structure elements are labelled on one subunit (right panel). d, 518 519 gp16 hexamer viewed from the capsid side (left panel). Secondary structure elements are labelled on one subunit (right panel). 520

521

522 Fig. 2 | Comparison of SPP1 portal protein gp6 structures and localization of mutations impairing viral DNA packaging. a, Secondary structural elements of the 523 524 gp6 subunit in the SPP1 connector. Domains are colour coded: the crown domain (residues 421-470) is in green, the wing domain (residues 28-54, 88-255 and 369-525 420) in orange, the tunnel loop with the tilted region of helix α 6 (residues 347-368) in 526 red, the stem domain (residues 55-62, 81-87, 256-280 and 327-346) in magenta, the 527 gp6 β -hairpin (residues 63-80) in blue and the clip domain (residues 281-326) in 528 cyan. b, Superposition of the gp6 subunit from the connector (12-mer) and the 529 subunit of the gp6 assembly-naïve 13-mer (shown in grey). **c**, Clip domain of the gp6 530 13-mer (PDB 2JES¹²). **d**, Clip domain of qp6 in the connector complex. Residues 531 307-312 of gp6, chain *i-1*, are shown in purple, 281-326 of chain *i* in cyan, residues 532 291-294 of chain *i*+1 in blue and residues 64-76 of chain *i*+2 in dark blue. The clip is 533 in the same orientation as in **b**. 7Å distance indicates the shit of $\alpha 4$ in the connector 534 relative to $\alpha 4$ in the gp6 13-mer (see **c**). **e**, Localization of mutations impairing SPP1 535 DNA packaging with residues coloured according to their mutation group (see 536 Supplementary Table 2). The connector qp6 subunit is rotated 180° relative to **a** and 537 b. 538

- 539
- 540

Fig. 3 | Intermolecular interfac es within the SPP1 connector. a, gp6-gp15 541 interface. The C-terminus of the gp15 subunit (in magenta) interacts with the clip 542 pocket formed by three gp6 subunits displayed in cyan (i), blue (i+1) and dark blue 543 (*i*+2) as in Fig. 1b. View from the outside of the connector. **b**, Zoomed in view of the 544 gp6-gp15 interactions of the outlined area in (a). Interchain hydrogen bonds and salt 545 bridges between gp6 and gp15 subunits are displayed as dashed lines in black. 546 c, Comparison of the assembly-naïve gp15 monomer (left) (PDB 2KBZ¹⁹) and the 547 gp15 subunit in the connector (centre) superposed through their α -helical core 548 (magenta). Helix $\alpha 0$ is shown in green, loop $\alpha 2 \cdot \alpha 3$ is in blue, and the C-terminus is in 549 orange. The overlay of the gp15 subunit from the connector with the NMR monomer 550 (in grey) is shown in the right panel. The green arrow indicates rotation of helix $\alpha 0$ on 551 ~145°. **d**, Intersubunit interaction of gp15 α 0 with helix α 1 of adjacent subunit viewed 552 from outside of the connector. e, Gp15 Glu85 intersubunit bonding viewed from the 553 connector tunnel. **f**, View from the outer surface of the β -barrel shows the interface 554 contacts between the gp15 β-barrel bottom rim and gp16. Dashed lines indicate 555 hydrogen bonds and salt bridges between one gp16 subunit and three gp15 556 subunits. **g**, Side view of the β -barrel showing the interaction between gp15 and the 557 558 gp16 N-terminus.

559

Fig. 4 | Conformational changes of gp16. a, Comparison of the NMR structure of 560 the assembly-naïve gp16 monomer (left panel, PDB 2KCA¹⁹) with one subunit of 561 gp16 in the connector (central panel). The N-terminus is shown in dark blue 562 (residues 1-7) and loop $\beta 2' \beta 3$ is in red (residues 40-56). The right panel shows the 563 overlay of two gp16 conformations aligned through their β -sheet core, the NMR 564 structure is indicated in grey. **b**, Tunnel helices of two adjacent subunits of the gp16 565 hexamer viewed from the capsid side. Black dashed lines show hydrogen bonds. 566 The position of the 6-fold symmetry axis is shown by a black hexamer. 567

568

Fig. 5 | Model of connector assembly. a, Anchoring of the gp15 monomer in the gp6 clip. Twenty models of the gp15 monomer NMR structures¹⁹ are superposed to highlight stable and flexible structural elements before assembly (left panel). The N-terminus α 0 and loop α 2- α 3 (shown in green and blue, respectively) undergo

conformational changes during assembly. a-c, Binding to gp6 of adjacent gp15 573 subunits leads to clashes between gp15 loops $\alpha 2 - \alpha 3$ inducing changes and folding 574 of the β 1- β 2 hairpin (bent blue arrow in **a** indicates the change occurring in **b** upon 575 oligometization), building the gp15 intersubunit β -barrel. Helices α 0 reposition during 576 oligomerization (bent green arrow in **a** shows the change occurring in **b**), to bridge 577 helices $\alpha 1$ of adjacent subunits. Views from the tail side in the bottom part of the 578 figure show only gp15 subunits for clarity. d, Binding of gp16 to the gp15 ring. 579 Superposed twenty models of the gp16 monomer NMR structure¹⁹ are shown on the 580 left panel. The gp16 C-terminus and loop β2'-β3, that change conformation during 581 assembly, are rendered in dark blue and red, respectively. e-h, Folding of gp16 582 loops \(\beta2'-\beta3\) to tunnel helices stabilized by inter-helices interactions following 583 oligomerization of gp16 in the connector. Views are shown from the phage tail side. 584

585

Fig. 6 | Evolutionary divergence of the prokaryotic tailed viruses-herpesviruses 586 lineage at the portal vertex. The portal protein (in grey-blue) is conserved in all 587 viruses of the lineage. Addition of a turret domain (in blue) to the portal in 588 herpesviruses marks their divergence from prokaryote viruses. The adaptor protein 589 (light magenta) that binds to the portal clip of prokaryotic viruses has various 590 structural features in the region distal from the portal. They define two evolutionary 591 division points for viruses with short tails, typified by phages T7 and φ 29, from the 592 phages with long tails. The adaptor proteins of phages with long tails encompass a 593 594 β-barrel for binding stopper proteins (in green). The stopper builds the docking interface for pre-assembled long tails. Domains of the herpes cytomegalovirus 595 (HCMV) portal protein and of tailed phage adaptors that define divergence points in 596 the lineage evolutionary trajectory are shown in dark blue and magenta, respectively. 597 The structures presented are the SPP1 connector (gp6₁₂gp15₁₂gp16₆; PDB 7ZW4) 598 (this work), HCMV pUL104₁₂ (PDB 7ETM)³⁶, T7 gp8₁₂gp11₁₂ (PDB 6R21)⁸, and φ29 599 $qp10_{12}qp11_{12}$ (PDB 6QZF)²³. Representative images of a herpesvirus capsid and the 600 mature phages in negative stain (2% uranyl acetate) are shown close to the 601 corresponding connectors. The scale bar corresponds to 50 nm. 602

603

Online Methods 605 Connector complex purification. The SPP1 connector complex purification was previously 606 described⁹. Briefly, SPP1 tailless particles were produced by infection of the non-permissive 607 host *B. subtilis* YB886 with phage SPP1*sus9*⁴³ and purified by isopycnic centrifugation in a 608 discontinuous CsCl gradient⁴⁴. Tailless particles were disrupted with 50 mM EDTA for 30 min 609 at 55°C. Then, MgCl₂ was added to a final concentration of 100 mM and free DNA was 610 digested overnight with 50 U Benzonase in an oven at 37°C. Connector complexes were 611 then purified by sedimentation through a 10-30% (w/v) glycerol gradient in TBT buffer (100 612 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂) run at 35,000 rpm in a SW41 rotor 613 (Beckman Coulter) for 3 h at 4°C. Fractions containing connectors were identified by the 614 presence of gp6, gp15 and gp16 in SDS-PAGE and western blots⁹. They were then pooled 615 followed by concentration and buffer exchanged to 0.5x TBT in a Vivaspin microconcentrator 616 617 with a cut-off of 100 kDa.

Cryo-EM grid preparation and data acquisition. 3 µL of suspension of purified 618 gp6gp15gp16 connector complexes at 0.64 mg/mL were applied to a freshly glow-619 620 discharged (ising PELCO Easiglow, Ted Pella, USA) C-flat grid (Protochips, USA; 2/2 400 mesh) and blotted for 5 seconds before plunge-freezing the grids and vitrified using a 621 Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisherTM) at 96% humidity, and 4 °C. Data were collected on a 622 Titan Krios microscope (ThermoFisher[™], the eBIC Diamond light source facility, Harwell, 623 Oxfordshire, UK) operating at 300 kV with the specimen maintained at liquid nitrogen 624 temperatures. Images were recorded using a Falcon III camera (ThermoFisher[™]) in 625 integrating mode that enables faster data acquisition. Data collection was done using the 626 EPU software (ThermoFisher[™]) within a defocus range of -1.2 to -2.5 µm. Movies (40 627 frames per movie) were collected with a dose of 1.12 $e^{-}/Å^2$ per frame at the specimen plane 628 over a 1 s exposure. The calibrated pixel size was 0.69 Å at the specimen level. 629

Image Processing. 3,876 movies were aligned using MotionCorr2⁴⁵. CTFFIND4⁴⁶ was used 630 to determine defocus values (Extended Data Fig. 1). Micrographs were screened manually 631 to assess CTF quality and selected based on the presence of high-resolution Thon rings at 632 least to 4 Å and beyond for further processing. A set of 10 randomly selected micrographs 633 634 were used for manual picking of particles. Five 2D classes corresponding to the side views were then used for automated particle picking form the entire data set. About 520,000 635 particle images were picked from ~3,500 micrographs using Relion 2.047. Correction of the 636 contrast transfer function was done by phase flipping during the following processing. 637

Initial steps of processing were done in Relion 2.0. The data set of particle images wassubjected to 3 rounds of 2D classification to remove atypical images that included some ice

640 contamination, particles that were overlapping or attached to the frame boundaries. The 641 best classes representing mostly side views (~10,000 images) were selected firstly for the 642 initial alignments and reconstruction. The portal protein gp6 map (EMD-1021)¹⁰ low pass 643 filtered to 20 Å, that revealed symmetry C12, was used as an initial model. Then we have 644 performed a 3D classification using 5 classes. No symmetry was applied during these steps 645 of analysis. Two classes were not well defined, two classes were rather similar with apparent 646 C12 symmetry and the last one had mirrored structure with approximate 12-fold symmetry.

The two structures with the correct handedness, assessed by fitting the main domains of one subunit from the gp6 13 mer X-ray structure (PDB code 2JES)¹², were averaged and used as a starting model to obtain the structure of the connector complex using the entire data set of 520,000 particles. Several iterations of 3D refinement alternated with 3D classification resulted in a structure at ~3.5 Å resolution.

In the next steps of refinement, we used a subset of movie frames from 2 to 21 after their 652 653 alignment to eliminate frames with high dose of radiation and re-extracted particle images. These images and the model obtained in Relion 2.0 were exported in CryoSPARC⁴⁸ for 654 further processing. After three rounds of 2D classification in CryoSPARC, 401,807 particle 655 images were selected for the next two rounds of refinement. The structure of the 656 gp6gp15gp16 complex with imposed symmetry C12 indicated that density of the region 657 corresponding to the gp16 protein was nearly twice lower when compared to the densities of 658 gp6 and gp15. This finding indicated that protein components within the connector have 659 different symmetries. Therefore, we reduced the symmetry to investigate the distribution of 660 densities corresponding to each protein component. Utilisation of 3-fold symmetry revealed 661 662 six subunits in the region of gp16 implying that the gp16 ring has C6 symmetry. This 663 symmetry was used at the final refinement of the complex structure. The electron densities corresponding to each of the three proteins were within the same range in the structure 664 obtained. 665

The final structure of the complex was obtained at a resolution of 2.4 Å as estimated by the gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) at a 0.143 threshold and 2.7 Å at a 0.5 threshold. Local resolution variations in the reconstruction was estimated using ResMap⁴⁹ (Extended Data Fig. 2).

Polypeptide chains tracing. Interpretation of the cryoEM map was done using Chimera⁵⁰, COOT⁵¹ and PHENIX⁵². The initial atomic model building for gp6 was done by rigid body fitting of the stem domain (helices α3 and α5, residues 256-280 and 327-368 from the X-ray structure of a gp6 13-mer ring, PDB code 2JES¹²). The remaining amino acids of the sequence were fitted *de novo* by manual tracing using COOT with extensive adjustments of the model to the cryoEM densities. That step was followed by real space refinement of the atomic model against the experimental map in PHENIX, including restraints for rotamers, cbeta, and Ramachandran constraints. Note that the short β -strand β 10 (residues 215-217) is only found in chains A, C, E, G, I, and K due to poor local electron density in the gp6 wing. The atomic models of gp15 and gp16 were build *de novo* in the cryoEM map. The amino acid sequences were traced manually with COOT and real space refinement with PHENIX in the cryoEM density map.

682 Refined atomic models of each protein in the connector complex were obtained by several rounds of rebuilding in COOT and real space refinement with rotamer, c-beta, and 683 Ramachandran restraints in PHENIX. Manual inspection of the residues in the complete gp6, 684 gp15 and gp16 polypeptide chains tracing was done using COOT to correct the orientation 685 of rotamers and to trace regions of lower electron density quality (gp6 residues 170-176 and 686 217-241). Protein residues of the final atomic model show well-refined geometrical 687 parameters: most favoured regions, 91.71%; additionally, allowed regions, 8.18%; and 688 0.10% of outliers in Ramachandran plots (Supplementary Table 1). Inter-subunit bonding, 689 interaction interfaces and subunits dissociation energy in the connector complex were 690 computed using PISA³⁰ (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). The protein interfaces electrostatic 691 potential was calculated and displayed using Pymol⁵³. 692

3D homology search. Structure homology searches were carried with DALI⁵⁴ using 693 individual subunits of the connector (this work) and the NMR structures of gp15 and gp16¹⁹. 694 Residue conservation of structurally aligned homologous proteins was analysed and 695 displayed in the linear protein sequence with DALI⁵⁴. Pairwise structure comparison between 696 domains of gp6 in the connector (this work) and in the assembly-naïve gp16 (PDB 2JES¹²) 697 were carried with the DALI server⁵⁴ using default settings. The PDB coordinates of the 698 corresponding domains were provided as input. The Ca coordinates of the polypeptide chain 699 in the domains were used for calculation of the RMSD. 700

701 **Structure display.** Figures were prepared using Pymol⁵³ and Chimera⁵⁰ software.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature
 Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

704 **References**

- Becker, B. *et al.* Head morphogenesis genes of the Bacillus subtilis
 bacteriophage SPP1. *J. Mol. Biol.* 268, 822–839 (1997).
- 70744.Jakutyte, L. et al. Bacteriophage infection in rod-shaped gram-positive708bacteria: Evidence for a preferential polar route for phage SPP1 entry in

- Bacillus subtilis. *J. Bacteriol.* **193**, (2011).
- Zheng, S. Q. *et al.* MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion
 for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 14, 331–332 (2017).
- 46. Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs. *J. Struct. Biol.* **192**, 216–221 (2015).
- Kimanius, D., Forsberg, B. O., Scheres, S. H. & Lindahl, E. Accelerated cryoEM structure determination with parallelisation using GPUs in RELION-2. *Elife*5, (2016).
- Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC:
 algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* 14, 290–296 (2017).
- 49. Kucukelbir, A., Sigworth, F. J. & Tagare, H. D. Quantifying the local resolution of cryo-EM density maps. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 63–65 (2014).
- 50. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera?A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).
- 51. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics.
 Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. **60**, 2126–2132 (2004).
- 52. Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.* **74**, 531–544 (2018).
- 53. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of Macromolecular Assemblies from Crystalline
 State. J. Mol. Biol. 372, 774–797 (2007).
- 730 54. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.2.3, Schrödinger, LLC.
- For the persistence of protein shape. *Protein Sci.* 29, 128–140 (2020).
- 733
- 734