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We study the dynamics of an initially axisymmetric and vertical Lamb-Oseen vortex in a stratified-rotating fluid under the complete Coriolis force on the $f$ plane, i.e. in presence of a background rotation both along the vertical and horizontal directions. By a combination of direct numerical simulations and asymptotic analyses for small horizontal background rotation, we show that a critical layer appears at the radius where the angular velocity of the vortex is equal to the buoyancy frequency when the Froude number is larger than unity. This critical layer generates a vertical velocity which is invariant along the vertical and which first increases linearly with time and then saturates at an amplitude scaling like $R e^{1 / 3}$, where $R e$ is the Reynolds number. In turn, a quasi-axisymmetric anomaly of vertical vorticity is produced at the critical radius through the non-traditional Coriolis force. Below a critical non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ (based on the horizontal component of the background rotation) depending on $R e$, the Rayleigh's inflectional criterion is satisfied and a shear instability is subsequently triggered rendering the vertical vorticity fully non-axisymmetric. The decay of the angular velocity is then enhanced until it is everywhere lower than the buoyancy frequency. A theoretical criterion derived from the Rayleigh condition predicts well the instability. It shows that this phenomenon can occur even for a large non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ for large Re. Hence, the non-traditional Coriolis force might have much more impact on geophysical vortices than anticipated by considering the order of magnitude of $\widetilde{R o}$.
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## 1. Introduction

The Coriolis force due to the planetary rotation is an essential ingredient of geophysical fluid dynamics. When studying its effect on fluid motions, it is common to use the so-called traditional approximation which amounts to take into account only the vertical component, $\Omega_{b} \sin (\phi)$, of the planetary angular velocity vector $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{b}$ at a given latitude $\phi$. Its horizontal component $\Omega_{b} \cos (\phi)$ is neglected mainly because the associated Coriolis force (called nontraditional Coriolis force) involves vertical motions or appears in the vertical momentum equation, whereas geophysical flows are usually in hydrostatic balance with weak vertical motions compared to horizontal motions (Gerkema et al. 2008).

However, Gerkema et al. (2008) have reviewed several circumstances where the effect of the non-traditional Coriolis force becomes non-negligible. This occurs for example when the vertical velocity is not small like for the instability of Ekman layers (Wippermann 1969; Etling 1971) and for deep convection (Sheremet 2004). In the latter case, its effect is particularly intuitive since convective cells become slanted along the axis of rotation instead of the direction of gravity. The non-traditional Coriolis force may have also many effects on equatorial flows (Hayashi \& Itoh 2012; Igel \& Biello 2020) and on the propagation and frequency range of internal waves, especially when the stratification is weak (Gerkema et al. 2008). Recently, the non-traditional force has been shown to significantly modify several instabilities: the inertial instability (Tort et al. 2016; Kloosterziel et al. 2017), the symmetric instability (Zeitlin 2018) and the shear instability (Park et al. 2021). Tort \& Dubos (2014) and Tort et al. (2014) have also derived shallow water models taking into account the complete Coriolis force.

In the case of vortices, Lavrovskii et al. (2000) and Semenova \& Slezkin (2003) have shown analytically that the equilibrium shape of a meddy-like anticyclonic vortex in a stratified fluid is slightly tilted with respect to the horizontal in presence of the full Coriolis force. However, they have assumed that the vortex has a uniform vorticity and is embedded within a fluid a rest. Hence, there exist both a discontinuity of vorticity and velocity at the vortex boundary. Here, we study numerically and theoretically the evolution of a vortex with a continuous distribution of vorticity under the complete Coriolis force. The vortex is initially axisymmetric and columnar with a vertical axis in a stratified-rotating fluid under the Boussinesq and $f$-plane approximations. Since there is a misalignment between the buoyancy force and the rotation vector, this configuration is somewhat similar to the tilted vortex in a stratified non-rotating fluid considered by Boulanger et al. $(2007,2008)$. They have shown that a critical layer develops at the radius where the angular velocity of the vortex is equal to the Brunt-Väisälä frequency. Near this critical layer, they observed an intense axial flow and strong density variations that are uniform along the vortex axis but that lead to a three-dimensional shear instability under certain circumstances. We will show that a similar critical layer develops in the present configuration when the Froude number is larger than unity. For some parameters, an instability will be also triggered but it will be two-dimensional instead of being three-dimensional and due to a different mechanism. In addition, we will show that the critical layer evolution contains two different regimes: first, an unsteady inviscid phase followed by a second viscous phase which can be steady or can evolve non-linearly depending on the parameters. Such evolution is similar to the one evidenced by Wang \& Balmforth $(2020,2021)$ in their studies of forced baroclinic critical layers. They have also reported the subsequent development of a two-dimensional shear instability and studied its non-linear evolution by means of a reduced model. Our investigations are based on direct numerical simulations coupled to asymptotic analyses of the critical layer in the limit of small non-traditional Coriolis parameter following the lines of Boulanger et al. (2007, 2008); Wang \& Balmforth (2020, 2021).

As a preliminary remark, we stress that the present study has been first carried out by means of three-dimensional numerical simulations. However, the flow turned out to remain independent of the vertical coordinate although the vertical velocity is non-zero. In other words, the dynamics were two-dimensional but with 3 components of velocity (2D3C). As we will see later, this can be easily understood from the governing equations. For this reason, the subsequent simulations reported in this paper have been restricted to a two-dimensional configuration. However, in a future paper, we will show that the introduction of infinitely small three-dimensional perturbations may also lead for some parameters to a full threedimensionalisation of the vortex, i.e. a 3D3C dynamics, via an axial shear instability similar to the one reported by Boulanger et al. $(2007,2008)$. We stress that the two-dimensional dynamics is still observed in this full three-dimensional configuration in a significant range of the parameters space.

The paper is organized as follows. The problem is first formulated in $\S 2$. Direct numerical simulations are described in §3. Asymptotic analyses are conducted for small non-traditional Coriolis parameter in $\S 4$. In $\S 5$, the numerical and asymptotic results are compared. The origin of the full non-axisymmetric dynamics of the vortex will be investigated in §6. Finally, the late evolution is discussed in $\S 7$ and the conclusions are given in $\S 8$.

## 2. Formulation of the problem

### 2.1. Governing equations

We use the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations under the Boussinesq approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}+(\boldsymbol{u} . \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{u}=-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\frac{p}{\rho_{0}}\right)+b \boldsymbol{e}_{z}-2 \mathbf{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \times \boldsymbol{u}+v \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\partial b}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} b+N^{2} u_{z}=\kappa \nabla^{2} b \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}$ is the velocity field, $p$ is the pressure and $b=-g \rho / \rho_{0}$ is the buoyancy, $g$ is the gravity, $\rho$ is the density perturbation and $\rho_{0}$ is a constant reference density. $\boldsymbol{e}_{z}$ is the vertical unit vector and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ is the background rotation vector. It is assumed to have not only a vertical component but also a horizontal component along the $y$ direction: $2 \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{b}}=\tilde{f} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{y}}+f \boldsymbol{e}_{z}$ where $f=2 \Omega_{b} \sin (\phi)$ and $\tilde{f}=2 \Omega_{b} \cos (\phi)$, where $\phi$ is the latitude or, equivalently, the angle between the background rotation vector and the unit vector in the $y$ direction, $\boldsymbol{e}_{y}$ (figure 1). $v$ and $\kappa$ are the viscosity of the fluid and diffusivity of the stratifying agent, respectively. The total density field $\rho_{t}$ reads $\rho_{t}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=\rho_{0}+\bar{\rho}(z)+\rho(x, t)$, where $\bar{\rho}$ is the mean density profile along the $z$-axis. The Brunt-Väisälä frequency

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\sqrt{-\frac{g}{\rho_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{\rho}}{\mathrm{~d} z}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be assumed to be constant.

### 2.2. Initial conditions

A single vertical vortex with a Lamb-Oseen profile is taken as initial conditions. Its vorticity field reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\boldsymbol{x}, t=0)=\zeta \boldsymbol{e}_{z}=\frac{\Gamma}{\pi a_{0}^{2}} e^{-r^{2} / a_{0}^{2}} \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: Sketch of the initial vortex in a stratified fluid and in presence of a background rotation $\Omega_{b}$ inclined with an angle $\phi$
where $\Gamma$ is the circulation, $a_{0}$ is the radius and $r$ is the radial coordinate. The geometry of the flow is sketched in figure 1.

### 2.3. Non-dimensionalization

Equations (2.1-2.3) are non-dimensionalized by using $2 \pi a_{0}^{2} / \Gamma$ and $a_{0}$ as time and length units:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+(\boldsymbol{u} . \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{u}=-\boldsymbol{\nabla} p+b \boldsymbol{e}_{z}-2\left(\frac{1}{R o} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R o}} \boldsymbol{e}_{y}\right) \times \boldsymbol{u}+\frac{1}{R e} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial b}{\partial t}+u \cdot \nabla b+\frac{1}{F_{h}^{2}} u_{z}=\frac{1}{R e S c} \nabla^{2} b \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the same notation has been kept for the non-dimensional variables. Note that $\rho_{0}$ has been eliminated by redefining the pressure $p$. The Reynolds, Froude, Rossby and Schmidt numbers are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e=\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi v}, \quad F_{h}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi a_{0}^{2} N}, \quad R o=\frac{\Gamma}{\pi a_{0}^{2} f}, \quad \widetilde{R o}=\frac{\Gamma}{\pi a_{0}^{2} \tilde{f}}, \quad S c=\frac{v}{\kappa} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that two Rossby numbers Ro and $\widetilde{R o}$ are defined based on the two components of the rotation vector. The Schmidt number will be always set to unity. In the following, all results will be reported in non-dimensional form.

### 2.4. Numerical method

A pseudo-spectral method with periodic boundary conditions is used to integrate the equations (2.1-2.3) in space (Deloncle et al. 2008). Time integration is performed with
a fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme. Most of the aliasing is removed by truncating the top one third of the modes along each direction. The viscous and diffusive terms are integrated exactly. The horizontal sizes of the computational domain have been set to $l_{x}=l_{y}=18$. As shown by Bonnici (2018) and Billant \& Bonnici (2020), this is sufficiently large to minimize the effects of the periodic boundary conditions and to give results independent of the box sizes. In particular, the periodic boundary condition imposes that the net circulation over the domain is zero implying that the initial vorticity is not exactly (2.5) but $\zeta^{\prime}=\zeta-\Gamma /\left(l_{x} l_{y}\right)$. However, with $l_{x}=l_{y}=18$, the artificial background vorticity $\Gamma /\left(l_{x} l_{y}\right)$ is weak and represents only $1 \%$ of the maximum vorticity of the vortex. The horizontal resolution has been varied from $n_{x}=n_{y}=512$ for $R e=2000$ up to $n_{x}=n_{y}=1024$ for $R e=10000$. As mentioned in the introduction, preliminary simulations were fully three-dimensional with a resolution $n_{z}$ and a vertical size $l_{z}$ similar to the horizontal ones. However, the flow were always observed to remain independent of the vertical coordinate. It can be seen indeed from (2.6-2.8) that if $\partial / \partial z=0$ at $t=0$, then the flow will remain independent of the vertical coordinate for all time. Therefore, only two-dimensional simulations but with 3 components of velocity will be presented in the following. Several tests using different horizontal resolutions have been performed in order to verify the accuracy of the computations. For $R e=2000$, the velocity has been found to differ by less than $0.1 \%$ when the resolution is increased from $512 \times 512$ to $1024 \times 1024$. Similarly, for $R e=10000$, the relative variation of the velocity is less than $0.5 \%$ when the resolution is increased from $1024 \times 1024$ to $1536 \times 1536$.

## 3. Direct Numerical Simulations

### 3.1. Illustrative example of the vortex dynamic

To get an overview of the effect of the complete Coriolis force, we start by presenting the vortex evolution for the sample set of parameters $R e=2000, F_{h}=10, R o=23.1, \phi=60^{\circ}$ $(\widetilde{R o}=40)$. Figures 2 and 3 show the evolution of the vertical velocity and vertical vorticity at six different times (A movie is available in the supplementary material). Initially, the vortex is completely axisymmetric (figure $3(a)$ ) and the vertical velocity is zero (figure $2(a)$ ). As time goes on, a vertical velocity field with an azimuthal wavenumber $m=1$ develops (figure 2(b)). This structure tends to intensify and becomes more and more concentrated at a particular radius (figure $2(c)$ ). Concomitantly, a ring of negative vertical vorticity appears and grows at the same radius (figure $3(b, c)$ ). Later, the vertical velocity structure and the ring of anomalous vertical vorticity are no longer perfectly circular (figure $3(d, e, f)$ ). Two negative vortices appear on the vertical vorticity ring and revolve around the vortex center (figure $3(e, f)$ ). Simultaneously, the shape of the vertical velocity structure is deformed similarly (figure $2(e, f)$ ). As already mentioned, preliminary three-dimensional simulations with various vertical sizes $l_{z}$ of the computational domain and resolutions $n_{z}$ have shown that the velocity and vorticity fields remain always completely independent of the vertical coordinate, i.e. the same evolution is observed in any horizontal cross-section. It is also important to stress that this phenomenon occurs only in presence of the complete Coriolis force. Indeed, if $\widetilde{R o}=\infty$, the vertical velocity remains identically zero while the vertical vorticity simply decays by viscous diffusion.

From figure 3, we can distinguish two phases in the evolution of the vortex. First, a circular ring of anomalous vertical vorticity develops and then, this ring becomes non-axisymmetric. A more in-depth analysis of these two phases will be discussed later. Let us first examine the effects of the control parameters on this phenomenon.


Figure 2: Vertical velocity at different times: (a) $t=1$, (b) $t=30,(c) t=60,(d) t=75$, (e) $t=80$ and $(f) t=90$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=10, R o=23.1, \phi=60^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=40)$.


Figure 3: Vertical vorticity at different times: (a) $t=1,(b) t=30,(c) t=60,(d) t=75$,
(e) $t=80$ and $(f) t=90$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=10, R o=23 \cdot 1, \phi=60^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=40)$.

### 3.2. Effects of the stratification

When the Froude number is decreased from $F_{h}=10$ to $F_{h}=2$, the same evolution of the vertical velocity (figure $4(a)-(d)$, see the movie in the supplementary material) and the vertical vorticity (figure $4(e)-(h)$ ) is observed but at a smaller radius. However, the anomaly of the vertical vorticity does not become negative this time and the maximum vertical velocity is also lower than for $F_{h}=10$. Figure 5(a) shows the evolution of the vertical velocity


Figure 4: Vertical velocity (top) and vertical vorticity (bottom) at different times: (a,e) $t=10,(b, f) t=40,(c, g) t=65$ and $(d, h) t=80$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=2, R o=23.1$, $\phi=60^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=40)$.
maximum $u_{z m}$. In fact, three phases can be distinguished. First, $u_{z m}$ increases linearly with time with some small oscillations superimposed which will be later attributed to inertiagravity waves. The propagation of waves can be also seen in the vertical velocity field at the beginning of the movies. Then, $u_{z m}$ saturates and tends to slightly decrease. When $t \gtrsim 60$, large oscillations arise when the vortex becomes fully non-axisymmetric.

If the Froude number is below unity, such evolution is no longer observed. In $\S 4$, it will be shown that this phenomenon is due the presence of a critical layer where the angular velocity of the vortex is equal to the non-dimensional Brunt-Väisälä frequency, i.e. $\Omega=1 / F_{h}$, where $\Omega$ is the non-dimensional angular velocity of the vortex corresponding to the vorticity field (2.5).

### 3.3. Effects of the Rossby numbers

When the traditional Rossby number $R o$, which is based on the vertical component of the background rotation, is varied, while keeping the other numbers fixed ( $R e, F_{h}, \widetilde{R o}$ ), the vortex evolution remains strictly identical. This is consistent with the fact that the dynamics is independent of the vertical coordinate so that the Coriolis force associated with Ro can be eliminated from (2.7) by redefining the pressure.
In contrast, varying the non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$, which is based on the horizontal component of the background rotation, has important effects on the evolution of the vortex. Since the Rossby number Ro has no effect, the effect of $\widetilde{R o}$ has been studied by varying the latitude $\phi$ while keeping the background rotation rate $\Omega_{b}$ constant. Hence, both $\widetilde{R o}$ and $R o$ varies. Figure 6 shows the evolution of the vertical velocity and vertical vorticity when the latitude is increased from $\phi=60^{\circ}$ to $\phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}$ is increased from $\widetilde{R o}=40$ to $\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$ while keeping the other parameters fixed (A movie is available in the supplementary material).


Figure 5: Maximum vertical velocity as a function of time for $F_{h}=2$ and (a) $R e=2000$, $R o=23.1, \phi=60^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=40),(b) R e=2000, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$ and $(c)$ $R e=10000, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$.

The initial evolution (figure $6(a, b, e, f)$ is similar to the one in figure 4 but, later (figure $6(c, d, g, h)$ ), the fields keep the same shape, i.e. no significant asymmetric deformations can be seen in contrast to figure $4(d, h)$. As seen in figure $5(b)$, only two phases are then present in the evolution of the maximum vertical velocity. First, a phase where $u_{z m}$ grows linearly with weak oscillations and, second, a phase where $u_{z m}$ remains approximately constant. In addition, the maximum vertical velocity is lower (figure $6(b, c))$ and the anomalous vorticity ring is weaker (figure $6(g)$ ) than in figure 4 . At late time $t=200$ (figure $6(d, h)$ ), we can see that the vertical velocity has decreased by viscous diffusion while the vertical velocity field has moved towards the center of the vortex. This is consistent with the critical layer's interpretation since, as the angular velocity decays, the radius where $\Omega=1 / F_{h}$ decreases.

### 3.4. Effects of the Reynolds number

Figure 7 shows the evolution of the vertical velocity and vertical vorticity when the Reynolds number is increased from $R e=2000$ to $R e=10000$ while keeping the other parameters as in figure 6 . A movie is also available in the supplementary material. The maximum vertical velocity is almost doubled and the vertical velocity field is much thinner and focused near a given radius (figure $7(b)$ ) than in figure 6 . Furthermore, the ring of anomalous vertical vorticity (figure $7(f)$ ) is more intense. Later, asymmetric deformations of this ring and of the vertical velocity field are clearly visible (figure $7(c, d, g, h)$ ) in contrast to figure 6 . Oscillations are then visible in the evolution of $u_{z m}$ (figure 5(c)).

### 3.5. Combined effects of $\widetilde{R o}$ and Re

In the previous sections, we have seen that the vertical vorticity becomes fully nonaxisymmetric in a second stage if $\phi$ is sufficiently lower than $90^{\circ}$, i.e. if $\widetilde{R o}$ is not too large (figure 4) or if the Reynolds number is large enough (figure 7), otherwise the vertical vorticity field remains quasi-axisymmetric (figure 6). Figure 8 summarizes several other simulations for various $\widetilde{R o}$ and Reynolds numbers $R e$ keeping the Froude number equal to $F_{h}=2$. Yellow and blue symbols indicate simulations where the vertical vorticity remains quasi-axisymmetric or becomes non-axisymmetric, respectively. We can see that the critical Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}_{c}$ above which the vortex remains quasi-axisymmetric increases with the Reynolds number from $\widetilde{R o_{c}} \simeq 100$ for $R e=2000$ to $\widetilde{R o}_{c} \simeq 400$ for $R e=10000$.


Figure 6: Vertical velocity (top) and vertical vorticity (bottom) at different times: (a,e) $t=10,(b, f) t=50,(c, g) t=150$ and $(d, h) t=200$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=2$,

$$
R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)
$$



Figure 7: Vertical velocity (top) and vertical vorticity (bottom) at different times: $(a, e)$ $t=10,(b, f) t=100,(c, g) t=150$ and $(d, h) t=200$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2$,

$$
R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widehat{R o}=115.2)
$$



Figure 8: Map of the simulations in the parameter space ( $R e, \widetilde{R o}$ ) for $F_{h}=2$. The yellow and blue circles represent simulations where the vertical vorticity remains quasi-axisymmetric or not, respectively. The solid and dashed lines represent the criterion (6.14) for different values of $(a, c):(\infty, 0)$ and $(\infty, 0.4)$, respectively. The number near some points indicate the figure numbers where the corresponding simulation is shown.

$$
+\frac{1}{R e}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{r}-\frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)
$$

## 4. Asymptotic analyses

In order to understand the vortex evolution observed in the DNS, it is interesting to perform an asymptotic analysis for small horizontal component of the background rotation, i.e. for $\widetilde{R o} \gg 1$, and for large Reynolds number. To this end, it is first convenient to rewrite (2.6-2.8) in cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r u_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial z}=0 \tag{4.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial t}+u_{r} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial r}+\frac{u_{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial \theta}+u_{z} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial z}-\frac{u_{\theta}^{2}}{r}=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}+\frac{2 u_{\theta}}{R o}-\frac{2 u_{z}}{\widetilde{R o}} \cos (\theta) \tag{4.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial t}+u_{r} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial r}+\frac{u_{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial \theta}+u_{z} \frac{\partial u_{\theta}}{\partial z}+\frac{u_{r} u_{\theta}}{r}=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}-\frac{2 u_{r}}{R o}+\frac{2 u_{z}}{\widetilde{R o}} \sin (\theta) \tag{4.1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{R e}\left(\nabla^{2} u_{\theta}+\frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial \theta}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial b}{\partial t}+u_{r} \frac{\partial b}{\partial r}+\frac{u_{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta}+u_{z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}+\frac{u_{z}}{F_{h}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{ReSc}} \nabla^{2} b \tag{4.1e}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also convenient to consider the equation for the vertical vorticity $\zeta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \zeta=\left(\omega+\frac{2}{R o} \boldsymbol{e}_{z}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} u_{z}+\frac{2}{r \tilde{R o}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r u_{z} \sin (\theta)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u_{z} \cos (\theta)\right)\right]+\frac{1}{R e} \Delta \zeta \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}$.
The solution is expanded with the small parameter $\varepsilon=2 / \widetilde{R o} \ll 1$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{r}, u_{\theta}, u_{z}, p, b\right)=\left(0, u_{\theta 0}, 0, p_{0}, 0\right)+\varepsilon\left(u_{r 1}, u_{\theta 1}, u_{z 1}, p_{1}, b_{1}\right)+\ldots \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\theta 0}=r \Omega$, with $\Omega=\left(1-e^{-r^{2}}\right) / r^{2}$, is the non-dimensional angular velocity of the vortex corresponding to the vorticity field (2.5).
It is first instructive to consider a steady and non-diffusive flow, i.e. $\partial / \partial t=0$ and $R e=\infty$. Then, (4.1b) reduces at leading order to the cyclostrophic balance

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{u_{\theta 0}^{2}}{r}=-\frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{2 u_{\theta 0}}{R o} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas (4.1a,4.1c-4.1e) are identically zero at leading order. At first order in $\varepsilon$, it is sufficient to consider only (4.1d) and (4.1e):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \frac{\partial u_{z 1}}{\partial \theta}=-r \Omega \sin (\theta)+b_{1}, \tag{4.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial \theta}=-\frac{u_{z 1}}{F_{h}^{2}} \tag{4.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{z 1}=\frac{r \Omega^{2} F_{h}^{2}}{F_{h}^{2} \Omega^{2}-1} \cos (\theta) \tag{4.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{-r \Omega}{F_{h}^{2} \Omega^{2}-1} \sin (\theta), \tag{4.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that the Coriolis force due to the horizontal component of the background rotation (first term in the right hand side of (4.5a)) forces a vertical velocity and buoyancy fields. These fields are independent of the vertical coordinate as observed in the DNS. However, we can remark that (4.6a-4.6b) are singular if there exists a radius $r_{c}$ where $\Omega\left(r_{c}\right)=1 / F_{h}$. Such critical radius exists wherever $F_{h}>1$ since the non-dimensional angular velocity decreases from unity on the vortex axis to zero for $r \rightarrow \infty$.
A similar critical layer occurs in the case of a tilted vortex in a stratified fluid (Boulanger et al. 2007) and in stratified rotating shear flow (Wang \& Balmforth 2020). This singularity can be smoothed if the flow is no longer assumed to be steady or inviscid. Although these two effects can a priori operate simultaneously, the unsteadiness turns out to be, first, the dominant effect while diffusive effects are negligible followed by a second phase where it is the opposite.

### 4.1. Unsteady inviscid analysis

Accordingly, we first consider (4.1a-4.1e) in the inviscid limit $R e=\infty$ but keeping the time derivatives. At leading order, the equations reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{u_{\theta 0}^{2}}{r}=\frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{2 u_{\theta 0}}{R o}  \tag{4.7}\\
\frac{\partial u_{\theta 0}}{\partial t}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that $u_{\theta 0}=r \Omega$ as before. At first order, the equations (4.1d-4.1e) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u_{z 1}}{\partial t}+\Omega \frac{\partial u_{z 1}}{\partial \theta}=b_{1}-r \Omega \sin (\theta)  \tag{4.9a}\\
\frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial t}+\Omega \frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial \theta}=-\frac{u_{z 1}}{F_{h}^{2}} \tag{4.9b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The only difference with (4.6) is the presence of the time derivatives. By imposing $u_{z 1}=$ $b_{1}=0$ at $t=0$, the solutions can be found in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{z 1}=u_{z p} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+u_{z p}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}  \tag{4.10a}\\
& b_{1}=b_{p} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+b^{*}{ }_{p} \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta} \tag{4.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the star denotes the complex conjugate and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{z p}=\frac{r \Omega}{4}\left[-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{i \alpha t}\right)+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-i \beta t}\right)\right]  \tag{4.11a}\\
& b_{p}=-i \frac{r \Omega}{4 F_{h}}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{i \alpha t}\right)+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-i \beta t}\right)\right] \tag{4.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1-F_{h} \Omega}{F_{h}}, \quad \beta=\frac{1+F_{h} \Omega}{F_{h}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compared to the steady solution (4.6), the additional terms present in (4.11) correspond to waves generated at $t=0$ to satisfy the initial conditions. These waves oscillate at the frequencies $1 / F_{h}-\Omega$ and $-1 / F_{h}-\Omega$, i.e. the non-dimensional Brunt-Väisälä frequency with an additional Doppler shift coming from the azimuthal motion of the vortex. Hence, they correspond to inertia-gravity waves with zero vertical wavenumber. In contrast to (4.6), we see now that the vertical velocity and buoyancy (4.10a-4.10b) are no longer singular at the radius $r_{c}$ where $\Omega\left(r_{c}\right)=1 / F_{h}$. Indeed, we have $\left(1-e^{i \alpha t}\right) / \alpha \simeq-i t$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ so that (4.11a-4.11b) remain finite at $r=r_{c}$.

Following Wang \& Balmforth (2020), the behaviour of these solutions in the vicinity of the critical radius can be studied more precisely by introducing the variable $\eta=r-r_{c}$. When $\eta \ll 1$, the vertical velocity approximates to

$$
u_{z 1}=\left[\left(\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{2 \eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{\Omega_{c}}{2 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{r_{c}}{2}-\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}^{\prime \prime} \Omega_{c}}{4 \Omega_{c}^{\prime 2}}\right)\left(1-\cos \left(\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t\right)\right)+\frac{r_{c}}{4}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{2}{F_{h}} t\right)\right)\right] \cos (\theta)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[\left(\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{2 \eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{\Omega_{c}}{2 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}}+\frac{r_{c}}{2}-\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}^{\prime \prime} \Omega_{c}}{4 \Omega_{c}^{\prime 2}}\right) \sin \left(\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t\right)+\frac{r_{c}}{4} \sin \left(\frac{2}{F_{h}} t\right)\right] \sin (\theta)+O(\eta) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $c$ indicates a value taken at $r_{c}$. The terms involving $\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t$ in the sin and $\cos$ functions have not been expanded in (4.13) since this quantity can be large when $t$ is large even for small $\eta$. The approximation (4.13) is therefore uniformly valid whatever $t$. Taking into account only the leading order, (4.13) can be simplified and rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{z 1}=\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c} t}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1-\cos U}{U}\right) \cos (\theta)-\frac{\sin U}{U} \sin (\theta)\right]+O(1) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t$. This expression shows that the radial profile of the vertical velocity in the vicinity of $r_{c}$ depends only on the self-similar variable $U$. This implies that the vertical velocity will be more and more concentrated around $r_{c}$ as time increases. In addition, (4.14) shows that the amplitude of $u_{z 1}$ will increase linearly with time for a fixed value of $U$. In practice, the approximation (4.14) will be accurate only when $t$ is large since the neglected terms are of order unity. Since $\Omega_{c}=1 / F_{h}$, this will occur more and more later when $F_{h}$ increases. We emphasize that the inertia-gravity wave oscillating at frequency $1 / F_{h}+\Omega_{c}=2 / F_{h}$ is neglected in (4.14) unlike in (4.13).

### 4.2. Unsteady viscous analysis

Here, viscous and diffusive effects are taken into account in addition to the time evolution. Following Boulanger et al. (2007) and Wang \& Balmforth (2020, 2021), we assume that the Reynolds number is large $R e \gg 1$ and consider only the vicinity of the critical radius by introducing a rescaled radius such that $\tilde{r}=R e^{1 / 3}\left(r-r_{c}\right)$. We also assume that the evolution occurs over the slow time $T=R e^{-1 / 3} t$. Since $R e \gg 1$, the leading order solution of (4.1a-4.1e) is still $u_{\theta 0}=r \Omega$. At order $\varepsilon$, the equations (4.1d-4.1e) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{R e^{1 / 3}} \frac{\partial u_{z 1}}{\partial T}+\left(\Omega_{c}+\frac{\tilde{r} \Omega_{c}^{\prime}}{R e^{1 / 3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{R e^{2 / 3}}\right)\right) \frac{\partial u_{z 1}}{\partial \theta}=b_{1}  \tag{4.15a}\\
& -\left(r_{c} \Omega_{c}+\frac{\tilde{r}\left(\Omega_{c}+r_{c} \Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right)}{R e^{1 / 3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{R e^{2 / 3}}\right)\right) \sin (\theta)+\frac{1}{R e^{1 / 3}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z 1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{R e^{2 / 3}}\right), \\
& \frac{1}{R e^{1 / 3}} \frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial T}+\left(\Omega_{c}+\frac{\tilde{r} \Omega_{c}^{\prime}}{R e^{1 / 3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{R e^{2 / 3}}\right)\right) \frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial \theta}=-\frac{u_{z 1}}{F_{h}^{2}}+\frac{1}{S c}\left[\frac{1}{R e^{1 / 3}} \frac{\partial^{2} b_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{R e^{2 / 3}}\right)\right] . \tag{4.15b}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations can be solved by expanding $u_{z 1}$ and $b_{1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{z 1} & =R e^{1 / 3}\left[\tilde{u}_{z 1}(\tilde{r}, T) \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .\right]+\tilde{u}_{z 2}(\tilde{r}, T) \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .+\ldots,  \tag{4.16a}\\
b_{1} & =\operatorname{Re} e^{1 / 3}\left[\tilde{b}_{1}(\tilde{r}, T) \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .\right]+\tilde{b}_{2}(\tilde{r}, T) \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .+\ldots . \tag{4.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting (4.16a-4.16b) in (4.15a-4.15b), we get at order $O\left(R e^{1 / 3}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
i \Omega_{c} \tilde{u}_{z 1}=\tilde{b}_{1}  \tag{4.17a}\\
i \Omega_{c} \tilde{b}_{1}=-\frac{\tilde{u}_{z 1}}{F_{h}^{2}} \tag{4.17b}
\end{gather*}
$$

which both yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{b}_{1}=i \Omega_{c} \tilde{u}_{z 1} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations at order $O(1)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial T}+i \Omega_{c} \tilde{u}_{z 2}+i \tilde{r} \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{z 1}=\tilde{b}_{2}-\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{2 i}+\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{r}^{2}} \tag{4.19a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{b}_{1}}{\partial T}+i \Omega_{c} \tilde{b}_{2}+i \tilde{r} \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{b}_{1}=-\frac{1}{F_{h}^{2}} \tilde{u}_{z 2}+\frac{1}{S c} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \tilde{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{r}^{2}} . \tag{4.19b}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (4.18), the solvability condition to find $\tilde{b}_{2}$ and $\tilde{u}_{z 2}$ from (4.19a-4.19b) requires $\tilde{u}_{z 1}$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial T}+i \tilde{r} \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{z 1}=\frac{i}{4} r_{c} \Omega_{c}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{S c}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{r}^{2}} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown by Wang \& Balmforth (2020, 2021), the solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{z 1}=i A \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T / \gamma} \exp \left(-\frac{z^{3}}{3}+i \gamma \tilde{r} z\right) \mathrm{d} z, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\pi r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{2\left|2 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|^{2 / 3}\left(1+\frac{1}{S c}\right)^{1 / 3}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\left|2 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 3}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{S c}\right)^{1 / 3}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T / \gamma \gg 1$, the upper bound in the integral can be replaced by infinity so that (4.21) recovers the steady solution (Boulanger et al. 2007)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{z 1}=i A \operatorname{Hi}(i \gamma \tilde{r}), \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Hi is the Scorer's function (Abramowitz \& Stegun 1972). We will see that the time interval where both the unsteadiness and viscous effects are important is short so that (4.23) turns out to be reached quickly after the inviscid regime.

### 4.3. Effect on the vertical vorticity

We now turn to the study of the effect of the vertical velocity on the vertical vorticity. Since the flow is invariant along the vertical, the equation for the vertical vorticity (4.2) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \zeta=\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r u_{z} \sin (\theta)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u_{z} \cos (\theta)\right)\right]+\frac{1}{R e} \Delta_{h} \zeta . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation shows that the vertical velocity generated at order $O(\varepsilon)$ will in turn force a vertical vorticity field at order $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$. In order to compute this second order horizontal flow, the vertical vorticity and stream function can be expanded as

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta & =\zeta_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{2}+\ldots  \tag{4.25}\\
\psi & =\psi_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \psi_{2}+\ldots \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\zeta_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)$ are the non-dimensional vertical vorticity and stream function of the base flow (2.5) and $\zeta_{2}=\Delta_{h} \psi_{2}$. The second order vertical vorticity follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{2}}{\partial t}+\Omega \frac{\partial \zeta_{2}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \zeta_{0}}{\partial r}=\frac{1}{r}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r u_{z 1} \sin (\theta)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(u_{z 1} \cos (\theta)\right)\right]+\frac{1}{R e} \Delta_{h} \zeta_{2} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the first order vertical velocity $u_{z 1}$ has an azimuthal wavenumber $m=1, \zeta_{2}$ and $\psi_{2}$ can be sought in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{2} & =\zeta_{20}(r, t)+\left[\zeta_{22}(r, t) e^{2 i \theta}+c . c .\right]  \tag{4.28}\\
\psi_{2} & =\psi_{20}(r, t)+\left[\psi_{22}(r, t) e^{2 i \theta}+c . c .\right] \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we will determine only the axisymmetric part $\zeta_{20}$ since we will show that the component $\zeta_{22}$ grows slower than $\zeta_{20}$.

### 4.3.1. Inviscid evolution of $\zeta_{20}$

When $u_{z 1}$ follows the unsteady inviscid expression (4.10a), the axisymmetric vertical vorticity $\zeta_{20}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial t}=-\frac{i}{2 r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\left(u_{z p}^{*}-u_{z p}\right)\right) . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assuming that $\zeta_{20}=0$ at $t=0$, the solution reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{20}= & \frac{\zeta_{0}}{4}\left(\frac{\cos (\alpha t)-1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{\cos (\beta t)-1}{\beta^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{r \Omega \Omega^{\prime}}{4}\left[\frac{\alpha t \sin (\alpha t)+2(\cos (\alpha t)-1)}{\alpha^{3}}-\frac{\beta t \sin (\beta t)+2(\cos (\beta t)-1)}{\beta^{3}}\right] . \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the vicinity of the critical radius $\eta=r-r_{c}$, this solution approximates at leading order to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{20}=\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{4 \Omega_{c}^{\prime 2} \eta^{3}}\left[2\left(1-\cos \left(\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t\right)\right)-\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t \sin \left(\eta \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t\right)\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^{2}}\right), \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta t$ has been considered finite as in (4.13) so that the approximation remains valid even for long time. In terms of the similarity variable $U$, (4.32) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{20}=\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c} \Omega_{c}^{\prime} t^{3}}{4}\left[\frac{2(1-\cos U)-U \sin U}{U^{3}}\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^{2}}\right) . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression shows that $\zeta_{20}$ remains finite and even vanishes when $U \rightarrow 0$ but $\zeta_{20}$ is more and more concentrated in the vicinity of the critical layer as time increases. Furthermore, its amplitude increases like $t^{3}$ at leading order.
Using the same approach for $\zeta_{22}$, it can be shown that its amplitude grows like $t^{2}$ instead of $t^{3}$. Indeed, the forcing term of $\zeta_{22}$ is proportional to $t^{2}$ like for $\zeta_{20}$ but the left hand side of (4.27) is dominated by the term $2 i \Omega_{c} \zeta_{22}$ instead of $\partial \zeta_{20} / \partial t$ for the axisymmetric component. Thus, $\zeta_{22}$ is proportional to $t^{2}$, at least initially. This explains why the vertical vorticity is observed in the DNS to remain quasi-axisymmetric during the first two phases.

### 4.3.2. Viscous evolution of $\zeta_{20}$

When the vertical velocity is given by the unsteady viscous solution (4.16a) and (4.21), it is possible to also obtain its effect on the second order axisymmetric vertical vorticity $\zeta_{20}$. Expressing first (4.27) in terms of $\tilde{r}$ and $T$ gives at leading order when $R e \gg 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R e^{1 / 3}} \frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial T}=\frac{-i}{2} R e^{2 / 3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}}\left(\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*}-\tilde{u}_{z 1}\right)+O\left(R e^{1 / 3}\right)+\frac{1}{R e^{1 / 3}} \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}+\ldots \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown by Wang \& Balmforth (2021), the exact solution of (4.34) can be found by means of a Fourier transform in $\tilde{r}$ using (4.21). This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{20}=-i \frac{R e A}{2 \gamma \pi} \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T} \exp \left(\frac{-q^{3}}{3 \gamma^{3}}+i q \tilde{r}\right)\left(\frac{1-\exp \left(q^{3} /\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|-q^{2} T\right)}{q}\right) \mathrm{d} q+c . c . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In appendix, an approximation of (4.35) valid for large time $T \gg 1$ is found in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{20}=\zeta_{20}^{(1)}+\zeta_{20}^{(2)} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta_{20}^{(1)}(\tilde{r})=\operatorname{Re} \frac{A}{2} \int_{0}^{\tilde{r}}\left(\mathrm{Hi}^{*}(i \gamma u)+\operatorname{Hi}(i \gamma u)\right) \mathrm{d} u,  \tag{4.37a}\\
\zeta_{20}^{(2)}(\tilde{r}, t)=-\operatorname{Re} \frac{A}{2 \gamma} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}}{\sqrt{4 t \operatorname{Re}^{-1 / 3}}}\right) . \tag{4.37b}
\end{gather*}
$$

This approximation shows that $\zeta_{20}$ saturates and tends toward (4.37a) for $t R e^{-1 / 3} \rightarrow \infty$ for $\tilde{r}=O(1)$. However, when $|\tilde{r}| \rightarrow \infty$ and $t R e^{-1 / 3}$ is finite, $\zeta_{20}$ vanishes. The approximation (4.36) will be compared to numerical solutions of (4.34) as well as DNS in section (5.2).

To summarize, the axisymmetric vertical vorticity correction at order $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \zeta_{20}$, follows two distinct regimes. First, it evolves purely inviscidly and grows like $t^{3}$ according to (4.31). Then, it tends to saturate and follows the approximation (4.36) for large times. This shows that $\zeta_{20}$ saturates toward the steady solution (4.37a) for finite $\tilde{r}$.

### 4.4. Non-linear analysis of the critical layer

The viscous linear analysis of the critical layer in sections 4.2 and 4.3 has shown that the vertical velocity scales as $u_{z}=O\left(\varepsilon R e^{1 / 3}\right)$. This creates a vorticity correction of the order $\delta \zeta=O\left(\varepsilon^{2} R e\right)$ (see (4.25), (4.28), (4.36)-(4.37)). Since $r=r_{c}+\tilde{r} / R e^{1 / 3}$ in the critical layer, the corresponding angular velocity correction $\delta \Omega$ is given at leading order by $\delta \zeta \simeq r_{c} R e^{1 / 3} \partial \delta \Omega / \partial \tilde{r}$ so that $\delta \Omega=O\left(\varepsilon^{2} R e^{2 / 3}\right)$. In turn, we see that this angular velocity correction would have the same order as the other terms of order $O\left(1 / R e^{1 / 3}\right)$ in (4.15) if $\varepsilon^{2} R e^{2 / 3}=O\left(1 / R e^{1 / 3}\right)$, i.e. if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e=\frac{\widetilde{R e}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{R e}$ is of order unity. For this distinguished limit, there is therefore a non-linear feedback of the horizontal flow on the evolutions of the vertical velocity and buoyancy as considered by Wang \& Balmforth $(2020,2021)$. Using the scaling (4.38), the typical order of magnitudes of the different variables can be expressed in terms of $\varepsilon$ only: $u_{z}=O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 3}\right), b=O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 3}\right)$, $\delta \zeta=O(1), \delta \Omega=O\left(\varepsilon^{2 / 3}\right), u_{r}=O\left(\varepsilon^{4 / 3}\right)$ and the radius and slow time are $\tilde{r}=\varepsilon^{-2 / 3}\left(r-r_{c}\right)$ and $T=\varepsilon^{2 / 3} t$, respectively. We also assume $\partial / \partial z=0$. Accordingly, we expand the variables as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{z}=\varepsilon^{1 / 3}\left[\tilde{u}_{z 1} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .\right]+\varepsilon\left[\tilde{u}_{z 2} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .\right]+\ldots  \tag{4.39a}\\
b=\varepsilon^{1 / 3}\left[\tilde{b}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .\right]+\varepsilon\left[\tilde{b}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+c . c .\right]+\ldots  \tag{4.39b}\\
\Omega=\Omega_{0}+\varepsilon^{2 / 3} \Omega_{1}+\ldots  \tag{4.39c}\\
u_{r}=\varepsilon^{4 / 3} u_{r 1}+\ldots  \tag{4.39d}\\
\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1}+\varepsilon^{2 / 3} \zeta_{2}+\ldots \tag{4.39e}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega_{0}$ and $\zeta_{0}$ are the non-dimensional angular velocity and vorticity corresponding to (2.5). In the vicinity of $r_{c}$, they can be expanded as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{0} & =\Omega_{c}+\tilde{r} \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{2 / 3}+\ldots  \tag{4.40a}\\
\zeta_{0} & =\zeta_{c}+\tilde{r} \zeta_{c}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{2 / 3}+\ldots \tag{4.40b}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that there are only components of the form $\exp ( \pm i \theta)$ in $(4.39 a-4.39 b)$ because the flow is invariant along the vertical and because third harmonics arise only at higher order. Then,
(4.1d-4.1e) become at leading order

$$
\varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial T}+\varepsilon u_{r 1} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial \tilde{r}}+i\left(\Omega_{c}+\Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{c} \varepsilon^{2 / 3}\right) \varepsilon^{1 / 3} \tilde{u}_{z 1}+i \varepsilon \Omega_{1} \tilde{u}_{z 1}+i \varepsilon \Omega_{c} \tilde{u}_{z 2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\varepsilon^{1 / 3} \tilde{b}_{1}+\varepsilon \tilde{b}_{2}-\varepsilon \frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{2 i}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\widetilde{R e}} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{5 / 3}\right) \tag{4.41a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{b}_{1}}{\partial T}+\varepsilon u_{r 1} \frac{\partial \tilde{b}_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}}+i\left(\Omega_{c}+\Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{r} \varepsilon^{2 / 3}\right) \varepsilon^{1 / 3} \tilde{b}_{1}+i \varepsilon \Omega_{1} \tilde{b}_{1}+i \varepsilon \Omega_{c} \tilde{b}_{2} \\
& =-\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 3}}{F_{h}^{2}} \tilde{u}_{z 1}-\frac{\varepsilon}{F_{h}^{2}} \tilde{u}_{z 2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\widetilde{\operatorname{Re}} S c} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{b}_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{5 / 3}\right) . \tag{4.41b}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the equation (4.2) for the vorticity becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{2 / 3} \frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial T}+\left(\Omega_{c}+\Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{r} \varepsilon^{2 / 3}\right) \frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial \theta}+\varepsilon^{2 / 3} \Omega_{1} \frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial \theta}+\varepsilon^{2 / 3} u_{r 1} \frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}}+\varepsilon^{2 / 3} \Omega_{c} \frac{\partial \zeta_{2}}{\partial \theta} \\
& =\varepsilon^{2 / 3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}}\left(\left(\tilde{u}_{z 1} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}+\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}\right) \sin (\theta)\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2 / 3}}{\widetilde{R e}} \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{4 / 3}\right) \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

At leading order, (4.41-4.42) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon^{1 / 3} i \Omega_{c} \tilde{u}_{z 1}=\varepsilon^{1 / 3} \tilde{b}_{1}  \tag{4.43a}\\
\varepsilon^{1 / 3} i \Omega_{c} \tilde{b}_{1}=-\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 3}}{F_{h}^{2}} \tilde{u}_{z 1}  \tag{4.43b}\\
\Omega_{c} \frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial \theta}=0 \tag{4.43c}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first two equations are identical to (4.17) and the third one implies $\zeta_{1} \equiv \zeta_{1}(\tilde{r}, T)$ and $u_{r 1}=0$. At the next order, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial T}+i \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{r} \tilde{u}_{z 1}+i \Omega_{1} \tilde{u}_{z 1}+i \Omega_{c} \tilde{u}_{z 2}=\tilde{b}_{2}-\frac{r_{c} \Omega_{c}}{2 i}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R e}} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}} \tag{4.44a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{b}_{1}}{\partial T}+i \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{r} \tilde{b}_{1}+i \Omega_{1} \tilde{b}_{1}+i \Omega_{c} \tilde{b}_{2}=-\frac{\tilde{u}_{z 2}}{F_{h}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{\operatorname{Re} S c}} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{b}_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}} \tag{4.44b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial T}+\Omega_{c} \frac{\partial \zeta_{2}}{\partial \theta}=-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}}\left(\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*}-\tilde{u}_{z 1}+\tilde{u}_{z 1} \mathrm{e}^{2 i \theta}-\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-2 i \theta}\right)+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R e}} \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}} \tag{4.44c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (4.44a) and (4.44b) are identical to (4.19) except for the presence of the terms involving $\Omega_{1}$. They can be combined to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial T}+i \Omega_{c}^{\prime} \tilde{r} \tilde{u}_{z 1}+i \Omega_{1} \tilde{u}_{z 1}=\frac{i}{4} r_{c} \Omega_{c}+\frac{1}{2 \widetilde{R e}}\left(1+\frac{1}{S c}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}_{z 1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}}, \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas (4.44c) splits into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial T}=-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}}\left(\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*}-\tilde{u}_{z 1}\right)+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R e}} \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}} \tag{4.46a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{c} \frac{\partial \zeta_{2}}{\partial \theta}=-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}}\left(\tilde{u}_{z 1} \mathrm{e}^{2 i \theta}-\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-2 i \theta}\right) . \tag{4.46b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta_{1}=r_{c} \partial \Omega_{1} / \partial \tilde{r}$, the equations (4.45-4.46a) form a closed system of equations. An equation for $\Omega_{1}$ can be obtained by integrating (4.46a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Omega_{1}}{\partial T}=-\frac{i}{2 r_{c}}\left(\tilde{u}_{z 1}^{*}-\tilde{u}_{z 1}\right)+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R e}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Omega_{1}}{\partial \tilde{r}^{2}} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.46b) shows that the azimuthal wavenumbers $m= \pm 2$ are generated in the vorticity only at higher order explaining again why the vorticity remains quasi-axisymmetric in the DNS during the first two phases. For this reason, components of the form $\exp ( \pm 3 i \theta)$ arise in the vertical velocity and density (4.39a-4.39b) only at the higher order $\varepsilon^{5 / 3}$.

## 5. Comparison between the DNS and the asymptotic analyses

We now compare in details the asymptotic and numerical results.

### 5.1. Vertical velocity

Figure 9 shows the evolution of the maximum vertical velocity $u_{z m}(\theta, t)$ (solid line) for $\theta=0$ (figure $9(a)$ ) and $\theta=\pi / 2$ (figure $9(b)$ ) for the set of parameters $R e=10000, F_{h}=2$, $R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. Two different angles are considered since the theoretical vertical velocity has the form $u_{z}=u_{z c}(r, t) \cos (\theta)+u_{z s}(r, t) \sin (\theta)$. Hence, the plots for $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\pi / 2$ allow us to check the predictions for $u_{z c}$ and $u_{z s}$, respectively.

We can see that the inviscid theoretical solution (4.10a) (red dashed line in figure $9(a, b)$ ) predicts very well the initial linear increase of the maximum vertical velocity in the DNS for both angles. The unsteady viscous solution ( $4.16 a, 4.21$ ) (yellow dashed line) increases also linearly initially and is in good agreement with the DNS except that it lacks the small oscillations. They are indeed due to inertia-gravity waves oscillating at frequency $1 / F_{h}+\Omega=$ $2 / F_{h}$ near $r_{c}$ (see the last term of (4.11a)) and of the two lines of (4.13) which are neglected in (4.14) and section 4.2.

When the growth of $u_{z m}$ is no longer linear, the time-dependent viscous solution (4.21) remains in very good agreement with the DNS and describes perfectly the transition towards the steady viscous solution (4.23) (green dashed line). The latter solution is close to the levels of saturation of $u_{z m}(\theta, t)$ for $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\pi / 2$ in the DNS, although the agreement is not as good as for the initial regime. However, the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ (blue dashed lines) are in better agreement with the DNS indicating that non-linear effects are also active in the saturation. By essence, none of the theoretical solutions can exhibit oscillations associated with the late non-axisymmetric evolution observed in the DNS.

Figures $9(c, d)$ show a similar comparison when the Reynolds number is reduced to $R e=$ 2000, keeping the other parameters fixed. In this case, $u_{z m}(\theta=0, t)$ and $u_{z m}(\theta=\pi / 2, t)$ do not oscillate at late time in the DNS (black solid line). The agreement with (4.10a) and (4.21) or (4.23) are then excellent in the initial and saturation regimes, respectively. In addition, we see that the predictions of the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ remain very close to the linear ones, i.e. (4.21), showing that non-linear effects are weak in this case.
Figure 9 shows that the transition from the unsteady inviscid solution (4.10a) to the steady viscous one, (4.23), occurs in a short time range. Therefore, the unsteady viscous solution (4.21) can be well approximated by (4.10a) for $t \leqslant \mathcal{T}$ and (4.23) for $t \geqslant \mathcal{T}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}=2 \pi \frac{R e^{1 / 3} \mathrm{Hi}(0)}{\left|2 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|^{2 / 3}(1+1 / S c)^{1 / 3}}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the time when the overall maximum given by (4.10a) and (4.23) becomes equal. This time


Figure 9: Comparison between the maximum vertical velocity in the DNS (black solid line), predicted by the unsteady inviscid solution (4.10a) (red dashed line), by the unsteady viscous solution ( $4.16 a, 4.21$ ) (yellow dashed line), by the steady viscous solution (4.16a,4.23) (green dashed line) and by the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ (blue dashed line) for (a) $\theta=0$ and (b) $\theta=\pi / 2$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}$ and for (c) $\theta=0$ and (d) $\theta=\pi / 2$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$.
is independent of $\widetilde{R o}$ and depends only on the Reynolds number, the Froude number via $\Omega_{c}^{\prime}$ and the Schmidt number.
Figure 10 displays a detailed comparison of the radial profile of $u_{z}$ for $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\pi / 2$ predicted by (4.10a) (red dashed line) and observed in the DNS (black solid line) for different instants in the inviscid regime, i.e. $t \leqslant \mathcal{T}$ for the same parameters as figures $9(a, b)$. We see that the agreement is excellent even when $t \simeq \mathcal{T}$ (figures $10(c, f)$ ). The approximation $(4.21)$ and the solution of the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ for the vertical velocity are also represented by yellow and blue dashed lines, respectively, in figures $10(b, c, e, f)$. The approximation (4.14) is almost identical to (4.21) in this time range and not represented. As expected, the agreement between the DNS and (4.21) or $(4.45,4.47)$ is very good near $r_{c}$ but deteriorates away from $r_{c}$. We can notice that the blue and yellow dashed lines are superposed everywhere except close to the critical radius $r_{c}$ for $t=40$ (figures $10(c, f)$ ). In this region, the blue dashed lines are in very good agreement with the DNS indicating that non-linear effects are important there. However, away from $r_{c}$, it is (4.10a) (red dashed line) which better agrees with the DNS. For $t=5$ (figures $10(a, d)$ ), the approximations (4.21) and $(4.45,4.47)$ are not accurate and not shown. This is because the profile of $u_{z}$ is not yet sufficiently localized around $r_{c}$ at this early time and, therefore, it cannot be well described by a local approximation near $r_{c}$. Indeed, we can see in figures $10(a, d)$ that the profiles of $u_{z}$ are quite different from those in figures $10(b, c, e, f)$.
Figure 11 shows again the vertical velocity profiles observed in the DNS for the same parameters, but for $t \geqslant \mathcal{T}$ this time they are compared to the unsteady and steady viscous


Figure 10: Comparison between the vertical velocity at $\theta=0$ (top row) and $\theta=\pi / 2$ (bottom row) in the DNS (black solid line), predicted by the unsteady inviscid solution (4.10a) (red dashed line), by the unsteady viscous solution (4.21) (yellow dashed line) and by the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ (blue dashed line) at $(a, d) t=5,(b, e) t=25$ and $(c, f) t=40$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. The circle symbols represent the location of the critical radius in the unsteady inviscid solution (4.10a).
solutions (4.21) (yellow dashed lines) and (4.23) (green dashed lines) as well as the predictions of the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ (blue dashed lines). At $t=40$ (figures $11(a, d)$ ), the steady viscous solution (4.23) (green dashed lines) is already in good agreement with the DNS since $t=40$ is close to the time $\mathcal{T}$ where the transition from (4.10a) to (4.23) occurs (figures $9(a, b))$. Nevertheless, it departs slightly from the DNS near $r_{c}$ unlike the unsteady viscous solution (4.21) and non-linear predictions from (4.45,4.47). At longer times, $t=60$ (figures $11(b, e)$ ) and $t=80$ (figures $11(c, f)$ ), (4.21) and (4.23) become almost identical and remain in satisfactory agreement with the vertical velocity profiles of the DNS. Nevertheless, we can see a shift between the numerical and theoretical profiles. In contrast, the solution of the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ remains in very good agreement with the DNS and does not exhibit such shift. This indicates that the shift is due to the viscous and non-linear variations of the angular velocity. For example, this makes the critical radius where $\Omega\left(r_{c}\right)=1 / F_{h}$ to move towards the vortex center as seen in figure 11. This phenomenon is absent from the linear equations $(4.21,4.23)$ since they do not take into account any variation of the angular velocity.

### 5.2. Vertical vorticity

The asymptotic analyses have shown that the axisymmetric component of the vertical velocity is given by $\zeta=\zeta_{0}(r)+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{20}(r, t)+\ldots$, where $\zeta_{20}$ follows (4.31) and (4.35) in the inviscid and viscous regimes, i.e. $t \leqslant \mathcal{T}$ and $t \geqslant \mathcal{T}$, respectively. A global view of these two regimes and


Figure 11: Comparison between the vertical velocity at $\theta=0$ (top row) and $\theta=\pi / 2$ (bottom row) in the DNS (black solid line), predicted by the unsteady viscous solution (4.16a,4.21) (yellow dashed line), by the steady viscous solution ( $4.16 a, 4.23$ ) (green dashed line) and by the non-linear equations (4.45,4.47) (blue dashed line) at ( $a, d$ ) $t=40,(b, e) t=60,(c, f) t=80$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}$ $(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. The circle symbols represent the location of the critical radius in the unsteady viscous solution (4.16a).
the associated approximations can be gained by plotting $\partial \zeta_{20} / \partial r$ at $r=r_{c}$ (figure 12). The black solid line shows the evolution of $\partial \zeta_{20} / \partial r\left(r_{c}, t\right)$ computed numerically from (4.35). $\partial \zeta_{20} / \partial r\left(r_{c}, t\right)$ increases initially like $t^{4}$ in agreement with the approximation (4.33) (red dashed line). Subsequently, for $t \gg \mathcal{T}, \partial \zeta_{20} / \partial r\left(r_{c}, t\right)$ increases more slowly and saturates towards $\partial \zeta_{20}^{(1)} / \partial r\left(r_{c}\right)$ (black dashed line) for $t \rightarrow \infty$. The approximation (4.36) (blue dashed line) is in good agreement with the solution (4.35) (black line) in this regime. It will allow us to derive a theoretical criteria for the onset of non-axisymmetry in section 6.4.

Figure 13 compares the radial profile of the theoretical vorticity $\zeta=\zeta_{0}(r)+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{20}(r, t)+\ldots$ with $\zeta_{20}$ given by the unsteady inviscid solution (4.31) (red dashed line) to the DNS when $t \leqslant \mathcal{T}$. The agreement is excellent and predicts very well the deformation of the vertical vorticity profile near $r_{c} \simeq 1.2$. A similar comparison is displayed in figure 14 for three different times such that $t \gtrsim \mathcal{T}$ and $\zeta_{20}$ given by the unsteady viscous solution (4.35). The agreement continues to be very good even for $t=85$ (figure $14(c)$ ), confirming the validity of the solution (4.35). A slight shift can be however noticed near $r_{c}$ and near the vortex axis. In contrast, the predictions of the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ (blue dashed lines) are in very good agreement with the DNS near $r_{c}$. This shows again that non-linear effects are not negligible in the critical layer.
Finally, the prediction for late time $t \gg \mathcal{T}$ based on the steady viscous solution (4.36) has been compared to a DNS for a larger non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}=500$, the other parameters being identical. The vortex remains then quasi-axisymmetric in the DNS


Figure 12: Comparison between the evolution of $\partial \zeta_{20} / \partial r\left(r_{c}, t\right)$ from the theoretical expressions: the unsteady viscous solution (4.35) (Black solid line), the unsteady inviscid solution (4.31) (red dashed line), the viscous solutions (4.36) (blue dashed line) and (4.37a) (black dashed line) for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2$.
(figure 8) allowing us to see the late evolution of the vorticity without the non-axisymmetric perturbations. Figure 15 shows that the deformation of the vorticity profile near $r_{c}$ is weak but well predicted by (4.36) although there is a shift for $t \geqslant 300$. In this case, the predictions from the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ (not shown) are identical to those from (4.36) indicating that the non-linear effects are weak. However, if we take also into account the global viscous decay of the leading order vorticity $\zeta_{0}$ which is significant for these large times, then the agreement with the DNS is perfect (yellow dashed line)

Besides, a feature of high interest is that the vertical vorticity profile exhibits two extrema near $r_{c}, d \zeta / d r=0$, as soon as $t \geqslant 40$ (figures 13(c) and 14). According to the Rayleigh's inflectional criterion (Rayleigh 1880), this is a necessary condition for the shear instability. However, only the first extremum, where $\zeta$ has a local minimum, satisfies the stiffer Fjørtoft (1950) instability condition $\left[\Omega(r)-\Omega\left(r_{I}\right)\right] \partial \zeta / \partial r<0$, where $r_{I}$ is the extremum. This gives us hindsight on the possible origin of the late non-axisymmetric evolution of the vertical vorticity. The next section will investigate whether or not this hypothesis is correct.

## 6. Analysis of the non-axisymmetric evolution

As seen in the previous sections, a ring of anomalous vertical vorticity develops near the critical radius and, subsequently, this ring may become non-axisymmetric when the nontraditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ is below a critical value depending on the Reynolds number (figure 8). In this section, the main question is: what is the origin of this non-axisymmetric evolution? Is it due to a shear instability associated to the inflection point in the vertical vorticity profile (see figure 14)? or is it an intrinsic behaviour of the vortex under the complete Coriolis force? Regarding the latter hypothesis, we have seen indeed from (4.274.29) that the vertical velocity field forces not only an axisymmetric vertical vorticity field but also a non-axisymmetric one with an azimuthal wavenumber $m=2$.


Figure 13: Comparison between the vertical vorticity at $\theta=\pi / 2$ in the DNS (black solid line) and the asymptotic expressions $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{20}$ where $\zeta_{20}$ follows the unsteady inviscid solution (4.31) (red dashed line) and $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1}$ with $\zeta_{1}$ given by the non-linear equations (4.45,4.47) (blue dashed line) at (a) $t=25$, (b) $t=35$ and (c) $t=40$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. The circle symbols represent the location of the critical radius in the unsteady inviscid solution (4.31).


Figure 14: Comparison between the vertical vorticity at $\theta=\pi / 2$ in the DNS (black solid line) and the asymptotic expressions $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{20}$ where $\zeta_{20}$ follows the unsteady viscous solution (4.35) (red dashed line) and $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1}$ with $\zeta_{1}$ given by the non-linear equations (4.45,4.47) (blue dashed line) at (a) $t=50$, (b) $t=65$ and (c) $t=85$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. The circle symbols represent the location of the critical radius in the unsteady inviscid solution (4.35).

### 6.1. Azimuthal decomposition of $\zeta$ and $u_{z}$

In order to better understand the onset of non-axisymmetric vertical vorticity, we have first decomposed $\zeta$ thanks to an azimuthal Fourier transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\zeta}_{m}(r, t)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \zeta(r, \theta, t) e^{-i m \theta} \mathrm{~d} \theta \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta(x, y, t)$ has been first interpolated on a grid of cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta)$. The same transform has been applied to $u_{z}$ in order to obtain $\hat{u}_{z_{m}}$. The mean power in each azimuthal wavenumber is then defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\zeta}(m, t)=\frac{\int_{0}^{l_{x} / 2} \hat{\zeta}_{m}^{2}(r, t) r \mathrm{~d} r}{\int_{0}^{l_{x} / 2} r \mathrm{~d} r}=\frac{8}{l_{x}^{2}} \int_{0}^{l_{x} / 2} \hat{\zeta}_{m}^{2}(r, t) r \mathrm{~d} r \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 15: Comparison between the vertical vorticity at $\theta=\pi / 2$ in the DNS (black solid line) and the asymptotic expressions $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{20}$ where $\zeta_{20}$ follows the viscous solution (4.36) (red dashed line) and $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\zeta_{1}$ with $\zeta_{1}$ given by the non-linear equations $(4.45,4.47)$ and the viscous decay of $\zeta_{0}$ also taken into account (yellow dashed line) at (a) $t=200$, (b) $t=300$ and (c) $t=400$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.0, \phi=87.7^{\circ}$
$(\widetilde{R o}=500)$. The circle symbols represent the location of the critical radius in the viscous solution (4.36).
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{u_{z}}(m, t)=\frac{8}{l_{x}^{2}} \int_{0}^{l_{x} / 2} \hat{u}_{z_{m}}^{2}(r, t) r \mathrm{~d} r . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure $16(a)$ displays the evolution of the logarithm of the power of the first three azimuthal wavenumbers of the vertical vorticity. These are only even, i.e. $m=0, m=2, m=4$. Similarly, figure $16(b)$ shows the logarithm of the power of the first three azimuthal wavenumbers of $u_{z}$. They are odd in this case: $m=1, m=3, m=5$. It can be seen that $E_{\zeta}(0, t)$ and $E_{u_{z}}(1, t)$ (black solid lines) remain approximately constant except that $E_{u_{z}}(1, t)$ sustains large oscillation after $t \simeq 120$.

It is also worth to point out that $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ starts to grow at the beginning of the simulation since $\zeta_{22}$ increases like $t^{2}$ due to the forcing by the vertical velocity as shown in §4.3.1 (figure $16(b))$. However, when $t \leqslant 80$, its power remains negligible compared to the one of the axisymmetric mode, $E_{\zeta}(0, t)$. In contrast, after $t \simeq 80, E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ grows exponentially before saturating at $t \geqslant 120$. There is therefore a clear transition towards an exponential growth, a feature consistent with the instability hypothesis. Nevertheless, we can see that the azimuthal mode $m=3$ of $u_{z}$ (figure $16(b)$ ) grows also exponentially at the same time. The higher modes, $m=4$ of $\zeta$ and $m=5$ of $u_{z}$, start to increase also exponentially but somewhat later. Thus, it is unclear if the growth of $E_{u_{z}}(3, t)$ is a consequence of the growth of $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$, if it is the opposite, or if the exponential growth is due to a coupling between $E_{u_{z}}(3, t)$ and $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$.

### 6.2. Truncated model

To answer the latter question, we have derived a truncated model taking into account only the first azimuthal wavenumbers of each quantity. More precisely, the different variables have been written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{r}=\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}}(r, t) \cos (2 \theta)+\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}}(r, t) \sin (2 \theta),  \tag{6.4a}\\
u_{\theta}=\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}(r, t)+\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}}(r, t) \cos (2 \theta)+\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}}(r, t) \sin (2 \theta),  \tag{6.4b}\\
p(r, \theta)=\hat{p}_{0}(r, t)+\hat{p}_{2 c}(r, t) \cos (2 \theta)+\hat{p}_{2 s}(r, t) \sin (2 \theta),  \tag{6.4c}\\
\zeta=\hat{\zeta}_{0}(r, t)+\hat{\zeta}_{2 c}(r, t) \cos (2 \theta)+\hat{\zeta}_{2 s}(r, t) \sin (2 \theta), \tag{6.4d}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 16: Evolution of the power $(a) E_{\zeta}(m, t)$ for the azimuthal wavenumbers $m=0$ (black solid line), $m=2$ (red dashed line) and $m=4$ (green dashed line) and the power (b) $E_{u_{z}}(m, t)$ for the azimuthal wavenumbers $m=1$ (black solid line), $m=3$ (red dashed line) and $m=5$ (green dashed line) for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3$ and $\phi=80^{\circ}$

$$
(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{z}=\hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}(r, t) \cos (\theta)+\hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}(r, t) \sin (\theta), \tag{6.4e}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\hat{b}_{1 c}(r, t) \cos (\theta)+\hat{b}_{1 s}(r, t) \sin (\theta) . \tag{6.4f}
\end{equation*}
$$

These decompositions have been introduced in (4.1a-4.1e) and the following governing equations have been obtained for the vertical velocity and buoyancy by truncating all the higher modes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}}{\partial t}= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}}{\partial r}+\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}}{\partial r}\right)-\frac{1}{r}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}} \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}} \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}-\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}} \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}\right)\right)  \tag{6.5a}\\
& +\hat{b}_{1 c}+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2} \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R o}}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}}-\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}}{\partial t}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}}{\partial r}-\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}} \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}} \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}+\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}} \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}\right)\right)  \tag{6.5b}\\
& +\hat{b}_{1 s}+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2} \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R o}}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}}+\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}}-2 \hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \hat{b}_{1 c}}{\partial t}= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{b}_{1 c}}{\partial r}+\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{b}_{1 s}}{\partial r}\right)-\frac{1}{r}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}} \hat{b}_{1 s}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}} \hat{b}_{1 s}-\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}} \hat{b}_{1 c}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{\hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}}{F_{h}^{2}}+\frac{1}{R e S c} \nabla^{2} \hat{b}_{1 c},  \tag{6.5c}\\
\frac{\partial \hat{b}_{1 s}}{\partial t}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{b}_{1 s}}{\partial r}-\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{b}_{1 c}}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}} \hat{b}_{1 c}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}} \hat{b}_{1 c}+\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}} \hat{b}_{1 s}\right)\right)  \tag{6.5d}\\
& -\frac{\hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}}{F_{h}^{2}}+\frac{1}{R e S c} \nabla^{2} \hat{b}_{1 s} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the same truncation approach for the vertical vorticity gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{0}}{\partial t}= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}}{\partial r}+\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{2 s}}{\partial r}\right)-\frac{1}{r}\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}} \hat{\zeta}_{2 s}-\hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}} \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}\right)+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2} \hat{\zeta}_{0},  \tag{6.6a}\\
& +\frac{1}{\widetilde{R o}}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}}{\partial r}+\frac{\hat{u}_{z_{11 s}}}{r}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}}{\partial t}=-\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{0}}{\partial r}-\frac{2 \hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}}{r} \hat{\zeta}_{2 s}+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2} \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{R o}}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 s}}}{\partial r}-\frac{\hat{u}_{z_{1, s}}}{r}\right),  \tag{6.6b}\\
& \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{2 s}}{\partial t}=-\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{2 \hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}}{r} \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2}+\frac{1}{\widetilde{R o}}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{z_{1 c}}}{\partial r}-\frac{\hat{u}_{z_{11}}}{r}\right), \tag{6.6c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\zeta}_{0}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r \hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}}{\partial r}, \tag{6.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divergence equation also implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r \hat{u}_{r_{2 c}}}{\partial r}+\frac{2}{r} \hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}}=0, \\
& \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r \hat{u}_{r_{2 s}}}{\partial r}-\frac{2}{r} \hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}}=0 . \tag{6.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

Such truncated model can be seen as a heuristic extension of the asymptotic analyses. Indeed, it takes into account both time dependence and diffusive effects in the evolution of the vertical velocity and buoyancy (6.5). Moreover, the modifications of the axisymmetric flow field $\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}\right)$ and the generated $m=2$ mode $\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}}, \hat{u}_{r_{2 s}}, \hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}}, \hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}}\right)$ are also taken into account. The latter is governed by (6.6b-6.6c) and it appears also in the evolution of the axisymmetric flow field ( $6.6 a$ ). However, like in the asymptotic analyses, only the first azimuthal wavenumber of $u_{z}$ and $b$ are considered, i.e. the mode $m=3, m=5, \ldots$ are neglected. Similarly, the higher modes $m=4, m=6, \ldots$ are neglected in the evolution of the horizontal flow field (6.6).
Figures $17(a, b)$ compares the power $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ and $E_{u_{z}}(1, t)$, respectively, obtained in the DNS and in the truncated model. There are some differences for $t \geqslant 100$ but, qualitatively, the same type of evolution as in the DNS is obtained with the truncated model. This is remarkable since the truncated model crudely neglects many azimuthal modes and, in particular, the azimuthal mode $m=3$ in the vertical velocity and buoyancy fields. Hence, this proves that the growth of $E_{u_{z}}(3, t)$ in figure $16(b)$ does not play a key role in the onset of nonaxisymmetry in the vertical vorticity.

We can make a step further in the understanding of this phenomenon by freezing the axisymmetric velocity field. In other words, the time evolution of $\left(\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}, \hat{\zeta}_{0}\right)$ is suppressed after a given time $t_{f}$. We also set the forcing terms due to the non-traditional Coriolis force to be zero in (6.6b) and (6.6c). Hence, the latter equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}}{\partial t}=-\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}} \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{0}\left(r, t_{f}\right)}{\partial r}-\frac{2 \hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}\left(r, t_{f}\right)}{r} \hat{\zeta}_{2 s}+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2} \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}, \tag{6.9a}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 17: Evolution of the power $(a) E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ and $(b) E_{u_{z}}(1, t)$ in the DNS (black solid line) and in the truncated model (red dashed line) for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2, R o=20.3$ and

$$
\phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{2 s}}{\partial t}=-\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}} \frac{\partial \hat{\zeta}_{0}\left(r, t_{f}\right)}{\partial r}+\frac{2 \hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}\left(r, t_{f}\right)}{r} \hat{\zeta}_{2 c}+\frac{1}{R e} \nabla^{2} \hat{\zeta}_{2 s} \tag{6.9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations describe simply the linear evolution of perturbations with azimuthal wavenumber $m=2$ on a steady axisymmetric vortex with azimuthal velocity $\hat{u}_{\theta_{0}}\left(r, t_{f}\right)$. The perturbations $\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 c}}, \hat{u}_{\theta_{2 c}}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{u}_{r_{2 s}}, \hat{u}_{\theta_{2 s}}\right)$ are initialized by a white noise whose amplitude is adjusted so as to have a power of the same order as $E_{\zeta}\left(2, t_{f}\right)$. Figure 18 shows the evolution of the power $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ for different freezing time $t_{f} ; t_{f}=40$ (yellow dashed line), $t_{f}=50$ (blue dashed line), $t_{f}=65$ (red dashed line), $t_{f}=85$ (green dashed line) compared to the evolution of $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ in the truncated model (black solid line). Strikingly, we see that $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ grows also exponentially regardless of the value of $t_{f}$ investigated. Furthermore, the growth rate, i.e. the slope, increases with $t_{f}$. Most interestingly, the growth rate for $t_{f}=85$ is close to the one observed in the truncated model (black solid line). This demonstrates that the onset of non-axisymmetry in the vertical vorticity is due to an instability of the vortex profile. When the anomaly of vertical vorticity is sufficient to have an extremum, a shear instability with an azimuthal wavenumber $m=2$ develops. Subsequently, this triggers the growth of higher azimuthal modes through the coupling with the non-traditional Coriolis force.

### 6.3. Equivalent vortex with piecewise uniform vorticity

A simple model of the instability can be obtained by considering the inviscid limit and by using a vortex with piecewise uniform vorticity with four concentric regions as considered by Carton \& Legras (1994) and Kossin et al. (2000). As shown by two examples in figure 19 , the vorticity profile in the DNS can be crudely approximated by four levels of constant vorticity:

$$
\zeta= \begin{cases}\zeta_{1}=2 & 0<r<r_{1}  \tag{6.10}\\ \zeta_{2}=\zeta_{c}-\delta_{v} / 2 & r_{1}<r<r_{2} \\ \zeta_{3}=\zeta_{c}+\delta_{v} / 2 & r_{2}<r<r_{3} \\ \zeta_{4}=0 & r_{3}<r\end{cases}
$$

where $r_{1}=r_{c}-\delta_{h}, r_{2}=r_{c}$ and $r_{3}=r_{c}+\delta_{h}$ where $\delta_{v}$ and $\delta_{h}$ are the amplitude and size of the vorticity anomaly in the vicinity of the critical radius $r_{c}$. More explicitly, $\delta_{v}$ is the difference between the local maximum and minimum of the vorticity and $\delta_{h}$ is the distance between these two extrema. The corresponding angular velocity of the vortex is continuous


Figure 18: Evolution of the power $E_{\zeta}(2, t)$ in the truncated model (black solid line) or using (6.9) for different freezing times: $t_{f}=85$ (green dashed line), $t_{f}=65$ (red dashed line), $t_{f}=50$ (blue dashed line) and $t_{f}=40$ (yellow dashed line) for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2$,

$$
R o=20.3 \text { and } \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)
$$

and given by

$$
\Omega(r)=\frac{1}{2} \begin{cases}\zeta_{1} & 0<r<r_{1}  \tag{6.11}\\ \zeta_{2}-\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right)\left(r_{1} / r\right)^{2} & r_{1}<r<r_{2} \\ \zeta_{3}-\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right)\left(r_{1} / r\right)^{2}-\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right)\left(r_{2} / r\right)^{2} & r_{2}<r<r_{3} \\ -\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right)\left(r_{1} / r\right)^{2}-\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right)\left(r_{2} / r\right)^{2}+\zeta_{3}\left(r_{3} / r\right)^{2} & r_{3}<r\end{cases}
$$

For a given Froude number $F_{h}$, the position of the critical radius $r_{c}$ and the value of $\zeta_{c}$ are fixed. Hence, the problem has only two control parameters: $\delta_{v}$ and $\delta_{h}$. The stability of such vortex with respect to perturbations of the form $\psi e^{i m \theta+\sigma t}$ is governed by the eigenvalue problem (Carton \& Legras 1994; Kossin et al. 2000)

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
m \Omega\left(r_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right)\left(r_{1} / r_{2}\right)^{m} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right)\left(r_{1} / r_{3}\right)^{m}  \tag{6.12}\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right)\left(r_{1} / r_{2}\right)^{m} & m \Omega\left(r_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right)\left(r_{2} / r_{3}\right)^{m} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{3}\left(r_{1} / r_{3}\right)^{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{3}\left(r_{2} / r_{3}\right)^{m} & m \Omega\left(r_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1} \\
\psi_{2} \\
\psi_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\sigma\left(\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{1} \\
\psi_{2} \\
\psi_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Figure $20(a)$ shows the growth rate contours for the $m=2$ perturbations for $F_{h}=2$ as a function of $\left(\delta_{v}, \delta_{h}\right)$. We see that the growth rate is positive only when $\delta_{v}$ and $\delta_{h}$ are sufficiently away from zero in the ranges investigated. The symbols in figure 20(a) indicate the parameters $\left(\delta_{v}, \delta_{h}\right)$ estimated by fitting (6.10) to the vorticity field $\hat{\zeta}_{0}\left(r, t_{f}\right)$ at different times $t_{f}$ for $F_{h}=2$, for two different latitudes $\phi=80^{\circ}$ (red circles) and $\phi=75^{\circ}$ (black squares). For example, for $\phi=80^{\circ}$, the time $t_{f}$ varies from $t_{f}=45$ (leftmost point) to $t_{f}=85$ (rightmost point). The size of the vorticity anomaly $\delta_{h}$ does not vary very much and is around $\delta_{h} \simeq 0.2$ for both latitudes. In contrast, the amplitude of the anomaly $\delta_{v}$ increases with $t_{f}$ as expected. Figure $20(b)$ displays the growth rate as a function of $\delta_{v}$ (circle and square symbols). The dashed lines show the corresponding growth rate computed from (6.9), i.e. by considering the continuous vorticity profile $\hat{\zeta}_{0}\left(r, t_{f}\right)$ and with the perturbations initialized by white noise. This shows that the piecewise vortex model is able to predict quite well


Figure 19: Examples of the piecewise uniform vorticity (red line) fitting the continuous vertical vorticity profiles (black line) at $(a) t=40,(b) t=50$ for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2$, $R o=20.3$ and $\phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. The circle symbols represent the location of the critical radius.
the growth rate of the instability observed in the truncated model, which is itself in good agreement with the DNS.
Using (6.12), we have also computed the growth rate of higher azimuthal wavenumbers $m>2$. The results show that the most unstable wavenumber is not $m=2$ but is between $m=3$ and $m=5$ for the parameters indicated by the symbols in figure 20(a). Three reasons might explain the actual dominance of $m=2$. First, the velocity jumps in the piecewise vortex model could favor larger wavenumbers compared to a continuous vorticity profile. Second, we have seen from (4.27-4.28) that the non-traditional Coriolis force generates not only an axisymmetric vorticity at order $1 / \widetilde{R o}^{2}$ but also a vorticity field with with an azimuthal wavenumber $m=2$. Figure $17(a)$ shows that the latter is weak before the onset of the instability. However, it is not zero and, therefore, this small amplitude could favor its dominance over more unstable higher wavenumbers whose initial amplitudes are much lower (see $m=4$ in figure $17(a)$ ). Third, the vortex profile is continuously evolving with time while, in the stability problems (6.9) or (6.12), we have frozen this evolution. Hence, the $m=2$ wavenumber could be selected first when the vortex becomes slightly unstable. This early selection would then ensure its subsequent dominance even if it is no longer the most unstable wavenumber. Such effect has been evidenced by Wang \& Balmforth (2021) in their study of the evolution of the wavenumber selection as the critical layer becomes finer.

### 6.4. Theoretical criterion

Even if the Rayleigh-Fjørtoft criterion is only a necessary condition for the shear instability in inviscid fluids, we can try to use it to establish a theoretical criterion for the onset of the shear instability in the DNS for finite Reynolds numbers. Since the radial derivative of the vorticity is maximum at $r=r_{c}$ and is negative away from the critical radius, a necessary condition ensuring that there exists extrema, $d \zeta / d r=0$, reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta\left(r_{c}, t_{s}\right)}{\mathrm{d} r} \geqslant c \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=0$ is the minimum requirement for the existence of an inflection point, but we have explored also the consequences of larger values of $c$. Besides, the time $t_{s}$ will be set as $t_{s}=a \mathcal{T}$, where $a$ is a constant larger than unity. Indeed, the onset of the shear instability always occurs after the time $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, the vorticity $\zeta$ will be taken as the asymptotic axisymmetric vorticity $\zeta=\zeta_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \zeta_{20}$ where $\zeta_{20}$ is given by (4.36). As seen in figure 12 ,


Figure 20:
(a) Growth rates contours of the piecewise vortex model as a function of $\delta_{h}$ and $\delta_{v}$ for $F_{h}=2$. The contour interval is 0.03 . The bold line indicates the growth rate $\sigma=0$. The symbols correspond to the values of $\delta_{h}$ and $\delta_{v}$ estimated at different freezing times for $\phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$ (red circles) and $\phi=75^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=77.27)$ (black squares) for $R e=10000, F_{h}=2$.
(b) Growth rates as a function of $\delta_{v}$ obtained by the truncated model at different freezing times (symbols) and given by (6.12) for $m=2$ (dashed lines) for $\phi=75^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=77.27)$ (black) and $\phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)($ red $), R e=10000$ and $F_{h}=2$.
$\partial \zeta_{20} / \partial r\left(r_{c}, t\right)$ is indeed well predicted by (4.36) for large times. Then, (6.13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R e^{2 / 3}}{\widetilde{R o}} \geqslant\left|2 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|^{1 / 3}\left(1+\frac{1}{S c}\right)^{1 / 6} \sqrt{\frac{c-3 \Omega_{c}^{\prime}-r_{c} \Omega_{c}^{\prime \prime}}{2 \pi r_{c} \Omega_{c}\left(\operatorname{Hi}(0)-\left(\frac{1+1 / S c}{8 a \pi^{2} \operatorname{Hi}(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)}} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarkably, the right-hand side depends only on the Froude number through $r_{c}$, the Schmidt number $S_{c}$ and the constants $a$ and $c$. The criterion (6.14) when $c=0$ and $a=\infty$ is represented by a solid line in figure 8 . It delimits quite well the quasi-axisymmetric/non-axisymmetric domains observed in the DNS, except for the lowest Reynolds number investigated $R e=2000$. Such difference for moderate Reynolds and Rossby numbers is not surprising since the asymptotics have been derived for high Reynolds number and large Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$. Furthermore, viscous effects might damp the shear instability growth when the Reynolds number is moderate.
As seen from the piecewise vortex model, the shear instability for $m=2$ does not appear when $\delta_{h} \simeq 0.2$ as soon as $\delta_{v}>0$. It can be roughly estimated that the instability arises only when $\delta_{v}$ such that $\delta_{v} / \delta_{h} \gtrsim 0.4$ (figure $20(a)$ ). Therefore, we can estimate $\partial \zeta / \partial r=$ $c \simeq \delta_{v} / \delta_{h}=0.4$. The criterion (6.14) with this value of $c$ and $a=\infty$ is represented by a dashed line in figure 8 . The agreement with the DNS is as good as the criterion (6.14) with $c=0$. The actual threshold is likely to be in between these two curves, i.e. in the hatched region (figure 8). Finally, we stress that the criterion (6.14) applies only to the shear instability due to an inflection point and not to other types of instability that may exist in viscous shear flows.

## 7. Late evolution of the vortex

Finally, figures 21 and 22 show the late evolution of the angular velocity profile (bottom row) when the instability develops or not, respectively. The DNS are the same as those already presented in section $\S 3$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=2$ and different latitudes: $\phi=60^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=40)$


Figure 21: Vertical vorticity (top) and angular velocity profile (bottom) obtained from DNS (black line) at $(a, e) t=50,(b, f) t=80,(c, g) t=120$ and $(d, h) t=250$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=2, R o=23.1, \phi=60^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=40)$. The red dashed lines show the angular velocity profile if only viscous diffusion were active. The horizontal green dashed line represents the critical angular velocity value $1 / F_{h}$.
(figures 4 and 21) and $\phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$ (figures 6 and 22). The corresponding vorticity fields are also shown again in the top row of figures 21 and 22 for convenience. In figures $21(c, d, g, h)$, we see that the instability ceases when the angular velocity is almost everywhere below $1 / F_{h}$ (horizontal green dashed line), i.e. when a critical radius no longer exists. Due to the development of the critical layer and resulting instability, the decay of the angular velocity in the vortex core is accelerated compared to a pure viscous decay $\Omega=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(1-\exp \left(\frac{-r^{2}}{1+4 t / R e}\right)\right)$ (shown by red dashed lines in figures $\left.21(e, f, g, h)\right)$. When there is no instability (figure 22), the evolution of the angular velocity is slower and follows more closely a pure viscous diffusion law except in the vicinity of the critical radius where the decay is also slightly enhanced.

## 8. Conclusion

We have studied numerically and theoretically the evolution of a Lamb-Oseen vortex in a stratified-rotating fluid under the complete Coriolis force on the $f$-plane. The problem is governed mainly by the Froude number $F_{h}$, the Reynolds number $R e$ and the non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ based on the horizontal component of the background rotation.

Starting from a purely two-dimensional axisymmetric vortex, the DNS shows that a strong vertical velocity field with an azimuthal wavenumber $m=1$ is generated at a particular radius when the Froude number is larger than unity. This radius increases with the Froude number. Simultaneously, the vertical vorticity develops a quasi-axisymmetric anomaly near the same radius. Later, this anomalous ring may become fully non-axisymmetric with an azimuthal wavenumber $m=2$ when the Reynolds number is sufficiently large and the non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ not too large. At late time, the vorticity returns to a quasi-axisymmetric shape. Even if the vertical velocity is non-zero, all the fields remain independent of the vertical coordinate. In other words, the flow is 2D3C, i.e. two dimensional but with 3


Figure 22: Vertical vorticity (top) and angular velocity profile (bottom) obtained from DNS (black line) at $(a, e) t=50,(b, f) t=80,(c, g) t=120$ and $(d, h) t=250$ for $R e=2000, F_{h}=2, R o=23.1, \phi=80^{\circ}(\widetilde{R o}=115.2)$. The red dashed lines show the angular velocity profile if only viscous diffusion were active. The horizontal green dashed line represents the critical angular velocity value $1 / F_{h}$.
velocity components. For this reason, the dynamics is independent of the traditional Rossby number Ro based on the vertical component of the background rotation.
An asymptotic analysis for large non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ has allowed us to unravel this evolution. First, it shows that the non-traditional Coriolis force generates a vertical velocity and buoyancy fields at order $1 / \widetilde{R o}$ which are invariant along the vertical. When the Froude number $F_{h}$ is larger than unity and in the absence of time dependence and viscous effects, these fields present a singularity at the radius where the angular velocity is equal to the Brunt-Väisälä frequency (i.e. the inverse of the Froude number in nondimensional form). The asymptotic analyses show that this singularity is first regularized by the time dependence. This leads to a linear increase of the amplitude of the vertical velocity while the width of the critical layer shrinks at a rate inversely proportional to time. After a certain time, viscous effects saturate this evolution. The vertical velocity field is then steady with an amplitude proportional to $R e^{1 / 3}$ and a critical layer width scaling like $R e^{-1 / 3}$ as found by Boulanger et al. (2007) in the case of a tilted vortex in a stratified fluid. These asymptotic predictions are all in very good agreement with the DNS.

In turn, the non-traditional Coriolis force due to the vertical velocity modifies the vertical vorticity field at order $1 / \widetilde{R o}^{2}$. The dominant effect is the development of an axisymmetric ring of anomalous vorticity near the critical radius. This leads to the development of extrema in the vorticity profile. Again, the asymptotic predictions for the axisymmetric component of the vorticity are in good agreement with the DNS. Following Wang \& Balmforth (2020, 2021), we have further carried out a non-linear asymptotic analysis that takes into account the effect of the anomaly of axisymmetric vorticity back on the evolution of the vertical velocity. The predictions of this non-linear analysis are in better agreement with the DNS than those of the linear analysis indicating that both viscous and nonlinear effects operate in the critical layer.

In order to understand the origin of the subsequent non-axisymmetric evolution of the
vorticity field, we have first decomposed the vertical velocity and vorticity in the DNS by an azimuthal Fourier transform. This analysis shows that several azimuthal modes grow exponentially during the onset of non-axisymmetry: the odd modes $m=3, m=5$, etc for the vertical velocity and the even modes $m=2, m=4$, etc for the vertical vorticity. We have then introduced a highly truncated model which keeps only the $m=1$ azimuthal wavenumber of the vertical velocity and the $m=0$ and $m=2$ wavenumbers of the vertical vorticity. Such truncated model exhibits also an onset of non-axisymmetry like in the DNS demonstrating that this behavior is not due to an unstable coupling between azimuthal modes. Furthermore, we have shown that if we freeze the profile of the axisymmetric component of the vertical vorticity at the time where the non-axisymmetry starts to appear and initializes the $m=2$ mode by white noise, the latter mode grows exponentially at a rate comparable to the one observed in the DNS. In addition, the stability of an equivalent piecewise vortex model with four levels of vorticity has been investigated and have been found to give growth rates for $m=2$ in agreement with those of the truncated model. Altogether, this proves that the onset of non-axisymmetry comes from a two-dimensional shear instability related to the presence of a minimum in the vorticity profile. Finally, using the asymptotic expression of the axisymmetric component of the vorticity at late time at leading orders, the necessary condition for the shear instability has been converted into an instability condition in terms of ( $R e, \widetilde{R o}$ ). This condition delimits well the quasi-axisymmetric/non-axisymmetric domains in the parameter space $(R e, \widetilde{R o})$.

The overall effect of the instability is to accelerate the decay of the angular velocity compared to a pure viscous diffusion. The instability ceases when the angular velocity is everywhere lower than the Brunt-Väisälä frequency (i.e. the inverse of the Froude number in non-dimensional form).

In summary, we have seen that the dynamics of a vortex for large Reynolds number can be strongly affected by the non-traditional Coriolis force even if the non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$ is large, i.e. even for a small value of the horizontal component of the background rotation. Since the typical Reynolds number of geophysical vortices is generally huge, this means that the non-traditional Coriolis force might have much more impact than expected by just considering its order of magnitude through the non-traditional Rossby number $\widetilde{R o}$. It should be reminded however that another crucial condition is $F_{h}>1$ that ensures the presence of a critical layer. Hence, such process might affect intense but not too large vortices in geophysical flows.

In the future, we will investigate the effect of three-dimensional perturbations on this phenomenon. Indeed, the vertical velocity is also responsible for an axial shear that might lead to another kind of shear instability if small three-dimensional perturbations are present as observed by Boulanger et al. (2007) for the case of a tilted vortex. It could be interesting also to study the configurations where the vortex is initially aligned with the background rotation vector or not columnar.
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## Appendix A. Approximation of the solution of (4.34) for large time

The solution (4.35) can be simplified for large time $T \gg 1$, i.e. $t \gg R e^{1 / 3}$. It is first convenient to derive $\zeta_{20}$ with respect to $\tilde{r}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}}=\frac{R e A}{2 \gamma \pi} \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T} \exp \left(\frac{-q^{3}}{3 \gamma^{3}}+i q \tilde{r}\right)\left(1-\exp \left(q^{3} /\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|-q^{2} T\right)\right) \mathrm{d} q+c . c . \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the change of variable $z=q / \gamma$ for the first part of the integrand and $x=q \sqrt{T}$ for the second part, (A 1) can be rewritten

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}}= & \frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T / \gamma} \exp \left(\frac{-z^{3}}{3}+i \gamma z \tilde{r}\right) \mathrm{d} z \\
& -\frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{2 \gamma \pi \sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T^{3 / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{-x^{3}}{3 \gamma^{3} T^{3 / 2}}+i \frac{x \tilde{r}}{\sqrt{T}}+\frac{x^{3}}{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T^{3 / 2}}-x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+c . c . \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

When $T \gg 1$, the first integral tends to the Scorer's function (Abramowitz \& Stegun 1972) whereas the terms proportional to $1 / T^{3 / 2}$ can be neglected compared to the other terms in the second integral. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \simeq \frac{R e A}{2} \mathrm{Hi}(i \gamma \tilde{r})-\frac{R e A}{2 \gamma \pi \sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T^{3 / 2}} \exp \left(-x^{2}+i \frac{x \tilde{r}}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \mathrm{d} x+c . c . \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By introducing another change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=x-\frac{i \tilde{r}}{2 \sqrt{T}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A 3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}} \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{2} \mathrm{Hi}(i \gamma \tilde{r})-\frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{2 \gamma \pi \sqrt{T}} \exp \left(\frac{-\tilde{r}^{2}}{4 T}\right) \int_{-i \tilde{r} /(2 \sqrt{T})}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right| T^{3 / 2}-i \tilde{r} /(2 \sqrt{T})} \mathrm{e}^{-U^{2}} \mathrm{~d} U+c . c . \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The imaginary terms in the integral cancel with those of the complex conjugate giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}}=\frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{2}\left[\mathrm{Hi}(i \gamma \tilde{r})+\mathrm{Hi}^{*}(i \gamma \tilde{r})\right]-\frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{\gamma \pi \sqrt{T}} \exp \left(\frac{-\tilde{r}^{2}}{4 T}\right) \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{c}^{\prime}\right|^{3 / 2}} \mathrm{e}^{-U^{2}} \mathrm{~d} U \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining integral can be approximated by $\sqrt{\pi} / 2$ since $T \gg 1$, leading finally to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta_{20}}{\partial \tilde{r}}=\frac{\operatorname{Re} A}{2}\left[\mathrm{Hi}(i \gamma \tilde{r})+\mathrm{Hi}^{*}(i \gamma \tilde{r})\right]-\frac{R e A}{2 \gamma \sqrt{\pi T}} \exp \left(\frac{-\tilde{r}^{2}}{4 T}\right) . \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating back in $\tilde{r}$ gives the approximation (4.37).
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