

## Gut microbiota impairment following graphene oxide exposure is associated to physiological alterations in Xenopus laevis tadpoles

Lauris Evariste, Florence Mouchet, Eric Pinelli, Emmanuel Flahaut, Laury Gauthier, Maialen Barret

### ► To cite this version:

Lauris Evariste, Florence Mouchet, Eric Pinelli, Emmanuel Flahaut, Laury Gauthier, et al.. Gut microbiota impairment following graphene oxide exposure is associated to physiological alterations in Xenopus laevis tadpoles. Science of the Total Environment, 2023, 857, pp.159515. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159515. hal-03843209

## HAL Id: hal-03843209 https://hal.science/hal-03843209

Submitted on 19 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Gut microbiota impairment following graphene oxide exposure is associated to physiological
 alterations in *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles

Lauris Evariste <sup>a</sup>\*, Florence Mouchet <sup>a</sup>, Eric Pinelli <sup>a</sup>, Emmanuel Flahaut <sup>b</sup>, Laury Gauthier <sup>a</sup>, Maialen
Barret <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS,
Toulouse, France

- <sup>b</sup> CIRIMAT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, UMR CNRS-UPS-INP № 5085, Université Toulouse
   3 Paul Sabatier, Bât. CIRIMAT, 118 Route de Narbonne, 31062, Toulouse Cedex 9, France
- 9 \*Corresponding author: <u>lauris.evariste@gmail.com</u> phone: 0534323936

#### 10 Abstract

11 Graphene-based nanomaterials such as graphene oxide (GO) possess unique properties triggering high 12 expectations for the development of technological applications. Thus, GO is likely to be released in 13 aquatic ecosystems. It is essential to evaluate its ecotoxicological potential to ensure a safe use of 14 these nanomaterials. In amphibians, previous studies highlighted X. laevis tadpole growth inhibitions 15 together with metabolic disturbances and genotoxic effects following GO exposure. As GO is known to 16 exert bactericidal effects whereas the gut microbiota constitutes a compartment involved in host 17 homeostasis regulation, it is important to determine if this microbial compartment constitutes a 18 toxicological pathway involved in known GO-induced host physiological impairments. This study 19 investigates the potential link between gut microbial communities and host physiological alterations. 20 For this purpose, X. laevis tadpoles were exposed during 12 days to GO. Growth rate was monitored 21 every 2 days and genotoxicity was assessed through enumeration of micronucleated erythrocytes. 22 Genomic DNA was also extracted from the whole intestine to quantify gut bacteria and to analyze the 23 community composition. GO exposure led to a dose dependent growth inhibition and genotoxic effects 24 were detected following exposure to low doses. A transient decrease of the total bacteria was noticed 25 with a persistent shift in the gut microbiota structure in exposed animals. Genotoxic effects were 26 associated to gut microbiota remodeling characterized by an increase of the relative abundance of 27 Bacteroides fragilis. The growth inhibitory effects would be associated to a shift in the 28 Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio while metagenome inference suggested changes in metabolic 29 pathways and upregulation of detoxification processes. This work indicates that the gut microbiota 30 compartment is a biological compartment of interest as it is integrative of host physiological alterations 31 and should be considered for ecotoxicological studies as structural or functional impairments could 32 lead to later life host fitness loss.

33

34 Keywords: Amphibian; aquatic ecotoxicology; nanotoxicology; microbial ecology

35

36

#### 37 Introduction

Benefiting from graphene-based nanomaterials (GBMs) properties, tremendous efforts are made for 38 39 the development or the improvement of applications in a wide range of fields such as energy storage 40 (Ali Tahir et al., 2016; Olabi et al., 2021), pollution remediation (Gopinath et al., 2021), medicine (Liu et al., 2013) or composite reinforcement (Ahmad et al., 2018). The improvement of production 41 42 methods contributed to the increase of the annual production capacity of GBMs, including graphene 43 oxide (GO), that are forecasted to be produced at industrial-scale within few years (Lin et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2018). For these reasons, these nanomaterials are likely to be released in the environment 44 45 at any stage of their life cycle (Ding et al., 2022; Freixa et al., 2018; Mottier et al., 2017), threatening 46 ecosystems and associated wildlife, especially aquatic environments acting as a pollutant receptacle 47 (Scown et al., 2010). Although no current information regarding environmental concentration of GBMs are available due to technological limitations (Goodwin et al., 2018), predicted environmental 48 49 concentration of GO in aquatic ecosystem was estimated to be similar to those of carbon nanotubes 50 ranging from 0.001 to 1,000  $\mu$ g/L (De Marchi et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2016). Thus, carefully evaluating 51 the ecotoxicological potential of GBMs is essential to ensure a safe use and a sustainable development 52 of this nanotechnology.

53 Despite the knowledge gaps remaining concerning the evaluation of their ecotoxic potential (Fadeel et 54 al., 2018; Jastrzębska and Olszyna, 2015), the data available from the literature indicate that GBMs can 55 be accumulated through the trophic chain (Dong et al., 2018) and affect the physiology of organisms 56 from different trophic levels (Evariste et al., 2020b; Montagner et al., 2016). Indeed, various toxic 57 effects of GO towards aquatic organisms were observed, including physical membrane damages in 58 bacteria (Mohammed et al., 2020; Sengupta et al., 2019), oxidative stress and shading effects in algae 59 (Saxena et al., 2020; Yin et al., 2020), oxidative stress and motility impairment in the invertebrate 60 Daphnia magna (Cano et al., 2017; Fekete-Kertész et al., 2020; Lv et al., 2018) or developmental 61 impairments, DNA damages, apoptosis, oxidative stress and immunotoxicity in zebrafish (M. Chen et al., 2016; Y. Chen et al., 2016; Souza et al., 2017).

63 In amphibian, previous studies highlighted that the growth of *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles was altered by 64 exposure to GBMs (Mottier et al., 2016), including GO (Lagier et al., 2017). It was also highlighted that 65 the tadpole growth inhibition was independent from a disruption of the thyroid pathway (Evariste et al., 2021b), while it was associated to a decrease of the fatty acids and triglyceride metabolism (Li et 66 67 al., 2019). Intestinal accumulation of the nanomaterials favors direct contact with the gut microbiota which may be modulated by the nanomaterials and lead to host physiological alterations (Bantun et 68 69 al., 2022). Indeed, while nutrients absorption and energy metabolism are known to rely on the gut 70 microbiota homeostasis, it is of importance to determine if the alteration of the gut microbiota 71 constitutes a toxicological pathway involved in GBMs-induced host physiological alterations. Emerging 72 evidences indicate that the environmental pollution constitutes a major factor negatively influencing 73 the composition and/or functioning of the host-associated microbial communities (Adamovsky et al., 74 2018; Duperron et al., 2020; Evariste et al., 2019a). However, this biological compartment is often 75 unconsidered in ecotoxicology studies, focusing on host-centered biomarker measurements. In 76 addition of playing a crucial role in the host physiological homeostasis, it was demonstrated that early-77 life alterations of the amphibian gut microbiome influence later life host fitness, through pathogen 78 sensitivity increases or thermal tolerance impairments (Fontaine et al., 2022; Knutie et al., 2017). Thus, 79 it is of crucial importance to consider both host and associated microbiota health in ecotoxicological 80 studies as early life alteration of the gut microbiota could lead to threat for host population dynamic.

For this purpose, *X. laevis* tadpoles were exposed to increasing GO concentrations under similar conditions compared to the previously mentioned studies (Evariste et al., 2019b; Lagier et al., 2017), to determine the consequences towards gut microbial communities and the potential existing link between host physiological alterations and gut microbiota remodeling.

85

#### 86 2. Materials and methods

#### 87 2.1. Xenopus laevis breeding and exposure procedure

Sexually mature *Xenopus laevis* originated from our certified facilities (approval number A31113002).
Spawning was induced by injection of 50 IU of pregnant mare's gonadotropin (PMSG 500; Intervet,
France) in males and 750 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG; Organon, France) in females. The
obtained fecundated eggs were bred in active charcoal filtered tap water at 22 ± 2°C and fed ad libitum
with grinded aquarium fish food (TetraPhyll<sup>®</sup>, Tetra, Melle, Germany) until they reach stage 50 based
on the Nieuwkoop & Faber development table (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1958).

94 In accordance with the international standard ISO 21427-1 guidelines, groups of 20 larvae from stage 95 50 were exposed for 12 days under semi-static conditions to GO at 0; 0.05; 0.1; 1 or 10 mg/L. For 96 comparison purpose, based on the specific surface area of the tested GO (Table 1) and according to 97 previous works (Lagier et al., 2017; Mottier et al., 2016), the mass-concentration tested are equivalent 98 to an exposure to a surface area per unit volume of 0; 0.0114; 0.0228; 0.228 and 2.28 m<sup>2</sup>/L respectively. 99 The experiment was conducted under natural dark/light cycle at 23.2 ± 0.2°C and feeding (TetraPhyll®, 100 Tetra, Melle, Germany) as well as exposure media (294 mg/L CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O; 123.25 mg/L MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H2O; 101 64.75 mg/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>; 5.75 mg/L KCI) were renewed daily to allow ad libitum feeding and water quality 102 maintaining. Following 12 days of exposure, X. laevis larvae were anesthetized by bathing in 200 mg/L 103 of MS222 solution in sodium bicarbonate prior samples collection. The whole experimental procedure 104 was approved by an ethic committee (CEEA-073).

#### 105 **2.1.** Graphene oxide characteristics, preparation of GO suspensions and behavior in exposure

106 **media** 

107 The graphene oxide used for this experiment was provided by Antolin Group and was prepared by 108 oxidation of Grupo Antolin Carbon Nanofibers (GANF<sup>®</sup>) (Grupo Antolín, Burgos, Spain) using the 109 Hummer's method (Hummers and Offeman, 1958; Lobato et al., 2016). The detailed characterization of the GO batch used for this experiment was previously presented (Evariste et al., 2019b),
demonstrating a non-significant amount of byproducts within the tested nanomaterials. The main
characteristics of GO are summarized in Table 1.

For the experiment, GO was dispersed extemporaneously in deionized water to prepare a stock solution at 1 mg/mL. After 15 min sonication in ultrasonic bath (Bioblock 89863, typ 570 HF Freq 35 kHz), the stock solution was diluted to different concentrations in 20 mL of deionized water. Working solution of GO were sonicated during 2 minutes under same sonication conditions prior to exposure media contamination.

118 GO dispersion stability was evaluated using the UV-absorption properties of the nanomaterial, exhibiting an absorption peak at 235 nm (Johra et al., 2014). The experiment was conducted in 119 120 triplicate at the single GO concentration of 10 mg/L due to detection limit constraints. Absorbance 121 (235 nm) of the exposure media was measured in water column samples after 0; 10; 30; 60; 90; 120; 122 240; 420 and 1440 minutes, corresponding to the maximum duration prior media renewal, using CLARIOstar® microplate reader. GO concentrations were calculated using an absorbance-based 123 124 calibration plot based on the optical density (OD) at 235nm, obtained after serial dilution of GO 125 dispersions (Zhang et al., 2019). GO was quantified in the exposure media over time in absence as well 126 as in presence of X. laevis tadpoles.

#### 127 **2.3. Growth measurement**

128 In order to determine larval growth during the exposure, the length of the larvae was monitored since 129 day 0 until day 12 every two days using ImageJ 1.49 software. Larval growth was calculated as a 130 percentage of length increase since day 0. Normalized growth rate was determined as previously 131 described (Mottier et al., 2016), using the following formula:

132 Normalized size (%) = 
$$\left(\frac{(Ldt - MLd0)}{MLd0} \times 100\right) \times \left(\frac{100}{MLCdt}\right)$$

With Ldt corresponding to the length of a larvae at the time of interest, MLd0 as the mean length of the larvae at day 0 from the exposure condition and MLCdt for the mean length of larvae from the negative control at the time of interest.

136 Intestinal growth was evaluated using similar calculations based on the intestinal weight instead of137 larval length.

#### 138 2.4. Gut microbiota survey

Following anesthesia, ventral skin was incised to collect the whole intestine. Gut microbial community
DNA was extracted from the whole larval intestine samples, snap frost in liquid nitrogen after 0(T0), 2
(T2) or 12 days (T12) of exposure (n = 5 per condition and per time). DNA extractions were performed
using DNeasy PowerSoil kit (QIAGEN) as previously described for *X. laevis* gut samples (Evariste et al.,
2020a).

144 Quantitative PCR (qPCR) targeting the universal 16S rRNA gene was used to measure the abundance 145 of total intestinal bacteria. To provide a standard curve, tenfold factor dilution series of the gene 146 ligated onto synthetic plasmid pEX-A128 (Eurofins Genomics, Belgium) was carried out. The reaction 147 mixture (total volume = 10  $\mu$ L) consisted of 5  $\mu$ L of SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix 148 (BioRad, United States), primer pairs (341-F CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG / 534-R 149 ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGCA) and DNA template (diluted 100 times). Each reaction was run in triplicate 150 in 96-well plates using a CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad, United States), using the following PCR protocol: 95°C for 130s, 35 cycles of 95°C for 5s, 60°C for 30s, 72°C for 10 minutes. 151

For 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, the V4-V5 region of the gene was amplified from the whole intestine DNA extract using 515 F (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTA-3') / 928 R (5'-CCCCGYCAATTCMTTTRAGT-3') primer pair (Wang and Qian, 2009) and the following PCR protocol: 94°C for 120s, 30 cycles of 94°C for 60s, 65°C for 40s, 72°C for 10 minutes. The amplicon sequencing was performed by the Get\_PlaGe platform (Genotoul, Toulouse, France) using an Illumina MiSeq (2x250 pb). 158 Microbiome bioinformatics were performed using QIIME 2 219.4 (Bolyen et al., 2019). Forward and 159 reverse Illumina demultiplexed sequences were joined after import into QIIME2 prior denoising using 160 DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016). Based on the illumina primer used, trimming parameters were --p-trim-161 left-f 18 and --p-trim-left-r 19. According to interactive quality plots, truncation length parameter of 162 DADA2 were -- p-trunc-len-f 220 and -- p-trunc-len-r 210. A total of 2,762,174 reads were obtained 163 (minimum = 19,230, maximum = 61,452 and mean = 36,828.98). All amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) 164 were aligned with mafft (Katoh et al., 2002) and a phylogenetic tree was built using FastTree (Price et 165 al., 2009). Taxonomy was assigned to ASVs using the q2-feature-classifier (Bokulich et al., 2018), 166 classify-sklearn naive Bayes taxonomy classifier against the Greengenes 13.8 99% OTUs reference 167 sequences (McDonald et al., 2012).

To get insight at the species level of the most abundant ASV recovered, leading to a strong gut microbiota shift at T12 following exposure to low GO concentrations, a BLASTn search of the amplicon sequence was performed. The report suggested that the amplicon sequence produced significant alignment with Bacteroides fragilis (Accession: MN567591.1; Query covery: 100%; E-value: 2e-174; percentage identity: 97.07%).

PICRUSt2 (Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States) was
used to predict functional gene content predictions based on 16S rRNA gene data (Douglas et al.,
2020). The resulting abundance of KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) genes
orthologues in each sample was categorized into biological pathways.

#### 177 **2.5.** Micronucleated erythrocyte count and cell cycle analysis

Blood samples were collected through cardiac puncture. For micronuclei accounting, air dried blood smears are then fixed in methanol for 10 minutes prior staining with hematoxylin and eosin. Micronucleated erythrocytes are accounted over a total of 1000 cells (MNE ‰) using optical microscopy. For cell cycle analysis, blood sub-samples were fixed using cold ethanol (70% v/v) prior to perform analysis using Beckman Coulter Cytoflex flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, USA). Cells were stained using FxCycleTM PI/RNase Staining Solution (Life Technologies SAS) according to
 manufacturer's recommendations.

#### 185 **2.6. Statistical analysis**

Graphs were generated and statistical analysis performed using the software GraphPad Prism 9.3.1. Data related to *X. laevis* physiological parameters such as growth rate or intestinal weights were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey test after verifying that the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance are met.

190 For microbiota survey, differences in the alpha diversity indexes and phyla relative abundances were analyzed for each sampling time by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey test. 191 192 Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plots were used to assess the variation between experimental 193 conditions based on Bray-Curtis distances. Differences in beta-diversity were assessed via pairwise 194 Permanova tests with 999 permutations. Intergroup taxa abundance differences were analyzed by the 195 linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) method (Segata et al., 2011). To conduct LEfSe 196 analysis, the raw abundance of bacterial genera obtained from QIIME2 were exported on the 197 Huttenhower Lab Galaxy Server (https://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/root). For stringency, 198 differentially abundant taxa that were statistically significant using an alpha of 0.05, and LDA score 199 (log10) exceeding 3.0.

Differentially abundant pathways inferred using PiCRUSt2 2were evaluated using LEfSe analysis.
 Differentially abundant pathways were considered statistically significant using an alpha of 0.05, and
 LDA score (log10) exceeding 2.0.

Results from the micronucleus assay were statistically analyzed using McGill non-parametric test (Mcgill et al., 1978) on median values of each group of larvae as recommended in the standardized procedure ISO 21427-1. For cell-cycle data, after verifying the normal distribution of data, differences in cell-cycle phase distribution among conditions was evaluated using ANOVA followed by Tukey test.

#### 207 **3. Results**

#### 208 **3.1. Exposure to GO affect larval growth**

209 Using the calibration plot based on the optical absorbance at 235 nm of the GO dispersion used for our 210 experiment (Figure 1A), GO concentration in the water column was determined to be  $9.14 \pm 0.34$  mg/L 211 immediately following exposure media contamination which is close to the nominal value of 10 mg/L 212 expected. GO concentration in the water column decreased over time due to GO sedimentation, 213 leading to a decreased GO concentration of 70.7 ± 5.4% after 420 minutes (Figure 1B). In presence of 214 X. laevis, the water column is clear from GO only after 240 minutes (Figure 1B). Thus, the significantly 215 lower area under the curve calculated from the GO concentration kinetic (T-test, p < 0.001) indicated 216 that the presence of larvae in the exposure media is leading to a faster clearance of GO from the water 217 column.

218 Monitoring of the larval growth parameters over the whole experiment indicated that GO exposure 219 led to a time and dose-dependent alteration of the tadpole growth (Figure 2A). Growth inhibition is 220 noticed following two days of exposure at the lowest dose of 0.05 mg/L (ANOVA, p < 0.001), while the 221 growth of larvae exposed to the highest dose was decreased by 51.8 ± 17.7 % compared to the control 222 group (Figure 2B). This effect is maintained over the whole experiment while growth alteration is less 223 marked following 12 days of exposure as the growth of larvae exposed to 10 mg/L of GO is decreased 224 by 20.7 ± 7.2 % compared to unexposed larvae (Figure 2C). Concomitant with the growth inhibition, a 225 strong intestinal accumulation of GO is noticed in the gut of larvae exposed to any GO concentration 226 after 2 days of exposure and up to the end of the experiment (Figure 2D).

Based on intestinal sample weights, larvae exposed to GBMs exhibited similar intestinal weight following 2 days of exposure to the different GO concentrations (ANOVA, p = 0.732) (Figure 2E) while only a significant decrease (ANOVA, p = 0.018) of intestinal weight is noticed after 12 days of exposure to GO at 10 mg/L, leading to a mean intestinal weight 37.8 ± 10.5 % lower compared to unexposed larvae (Figure 2F). At the end of exposure, no developmental stage delay was observed as most of the

tadpoles reached the NF stage 57 in all experimental conditions (ANOVA, p = 0.093) (Figure S1).

#### 233 **3.2. Exposure to GO transiently decrease the microbiota density**

According to the 16S rRNA quantification of intestinal DNA extracts using qPCR, the results indicate that the amount of bacteria per mg of intestine remained constant over the experiment in larvae from the control group (ANOVA, p = 0.814) (Figure 3A). However, a transient decrease of 16S gene abundance is noticed following 2 days of exposure to the highest GO concentration (ANOVA, p < 0.001) prior recovering to similar values compared to the control group at T12 (ANOVA, p = 0.666) (Figure 3B,C).

#### **3.3. Short term exposure to GO initiate a shift in the gut microbiota structure**

241 Sequencing of the 16S rRNA V4-V5 region from gut DNA extracts allowed to explore for the 242 consequences of an exposure to GO on the gut microbial communities structure and composition. 243 While the gut microbial diversity indexes were similar between conditions prior to exposure media 244 contamination (Figure S2), comparing the gut microbiome richness and diversity of unexposed 245 tadpoles to the ones exposed during 2 days to increasing concentrations of GO indicated a significant 246 increase of richness at the highest dose of exposure (ANOVA, p = 0.035) without influencing the 247 Shannon index (Figure 4A, B). This suggest that the dominant species relative abundances from the gut 248 microbiota are not impacted by the short duration of exposure to GO. However, based on Bray-Curtis 249 distances, a significant increase of beta-diversity is noticed between the microbiota of larvae from the 250 control group and those from larvae exposed to 0.05, 0.1 and 10 mg/L of GO while not following 251 exposure to 1 mg/L (PERMANOVA, p = 0.001) (Figure 4C, D). PCoA plot and pairwise comparisons 252 indicated that the microbiota shifted similarly in larvae exposed to 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L (Figure 4C).

At T2, the gut microbiota from control larvae is composed of three main phyla including Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria which relative abundances are respectively  $54 \pm 6.4\%$ ,  $25.5 \pm 5.2\%$  and  $12.8 \pm 7.8\%$ . In tadpoles exposed to GO, only larva exposed to 0.05 mg/L presented a microbiota containing a significantly increased relative abundance of bacteria from the phylum Proteobacteria
 compared to the control group (ANOVA, p = 0.005). Otherwise, only slight nonsignificant modulations
 of others phyla relative abundances are observed (Figure 4E-I).

259 Analysis of differential taxa abundances using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) 260 indicated that the exposure to the lowest GO concentrations led to an increased relative abundance 261 of bacteria from the family Cryomorphaceae and genus Rikenella while the abundance of Clostridiales 262 (order) decreased (Figure S3A). Following exposure to GO at 0.1 mg/L, larvae harbored a gut microbiota 263 with increased proportion of bacteria from the genera Rikenella and Acinetobacter while bacteria from 264 the family Lachnospiraceae decreased (Figure S3B). On contrary, none of the taxa found in the gut of 265 larvae exposed to GO at 1 mg/L during 48 hours were differentially abundant compared to the control 266 group. However, exposure to the highest GO concentration altered the abundance of bacteria from 267 the family Lachnospiraceae and from the genus Flectobacillus while increased the proportion of 268 Anaerorhabdius furcosa and family Neisseriaceae (Figure S3C).

# 3.4. Longer exposure duration to low or high GO concentrations differentially impair the gut microbiota

271 At the end of exposure (T12), a significant decrease of species richness is noticed in the gut microbiota 272 of larvae exposed to 0.1 mg/L (ANOVA, p = 0.0011), while not in other conditions compared to the 273 control group (Figure 5A). Considering the Shannon index, a significant and marked decrease of the 274 index value is measured following 12 days of exposure to GO at 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L (ANOVA, p < 0.001) 275 (Figure 5B). Based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities analysis (Figure 5C, D), the results indicated that the 276 gut bacterial communities of larvae exposed to GO at 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L were more dissimilar to the 277 control group compared to larva exposed to the highest GO concentration, while larvae exposed to 1 278 mg/L exhibited a gut microbiota similar to the control group (PERMANOVA, p = 0.001).

As previously determined at T2, bacteria composing the gut microbiota of larvae from the control group at T12 belong to the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria with different relative 281 abundances, accounting respectively for 67.1  $\pm$  5.2%, 11.6  $\pm$  4.7% and 17.3  $\pm$  8.2% of the whole 282 bacterial populations (Figure 5E). At the phylum level, 12 days of exposure to GO led to a decrease of 283 Bacteroidetes relative abundance following exposure to GO at 10 mg/L (ANOVA, p < 0.001), while 284 bacteria from the phylum Firmicutes significantly increased in the gut of larvae following exposure to 285 GO at 1 and 10 mg/L compared to larvae exposed to low GO concentrations (ANOVA, p < 0.001) (Figure 286 5F, G). Concomitantly, a significant increase of the relative abundance of bacteria from the phyla 287 Proteobacteria is noticed following exposure to GO at 0.1 and 10 mg/L while the relative abundance 288 of bacteria from the phyla Fusobacteria significantly decreased in the gut of larvae exposed to GO at 289 10 mg/L (Figure 5H, I). As a consequence of these changes, a dose-dependent increase of the 290 Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio is noticed (ANOVA, p < 0.001) (Figure 5J). Interestingly, at the genus 291 level, the bacteria *Bacteroides fragilis* from the phylum Bacteroidetes became dominant following 12 292 days of exposure to GO at 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L, reaching up to 68.3% of the whole microbial community 293 (ANOVA, p < 0.001). This increase of relative abundance benefited from the significant decrease of a 294 bacteria from the genera Parabacteroides, belonging to the same phylum, after exposure to GO at low 295 concentrations (Figure 5K). Such change in the relative abundance of these phyla can explain the 296 previously described decrease of the Shannon index.

297 Determination of differential taxa abundances using linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) 298 indicated that the phylum Proteobacteria was discriminant in the group of larvae exposed to GO at 299 0.05, 0.1 and 10 mg/L compared to the control group (Figure 6A-C). As for the LEfSe analysis performed 300 at T2, none of the taxa allowed to discriminate the microbiome from the control larvae to the one of 301 tadpoles exposed to GO at 1 mg/L for 12 days. However, more differentially abundant taxa were 302 determined in animals exposed to GO at 10 mg/L compared to the control group (Figure 6C). Thus, the 303 microbiome of larvae from the control group harbored a higher prevalence in bacteria from the genera 304 Bacteroides and Cetobacterium (phylum Fusobacteria), while the abundance of bacteria from the 305 family Neisseriaceae and Lachnospiraceae as well as genus Rheinheimera increased in larvae exposed 306 to GO at 10 mg/L (LDA score [log10] > 4) (Figure 6 C, D).

307 3.5. Marked gut microbiota alterations following exposure to low GO concentrations are linked to
 308 genotoxic effects.

309 Exposure to low GO concentrations are associated to an increase in the relative abundance of the 310 bacteria Bacteroides fragilis which is becoming dominant in the gut of tadpoles exposed to GO at 0.05 311 and 0.1 mg/L (Figure 7A). Under these conditions, the micronucleus assay revealed a significant 312 increase of micronucleated erythrocytes following 12 days of exposure (Figure 7B). The absence of 313 genotoxic effects in larvae exposed to the higher GO concentrations of 1 or 10 mg/L would be due to 314 the decreased proportion of mitotic erythrocytes circulating in the tadpole bloodstream as indicated 315 by the decreased proportion of cell populations in G2 phase (Table 2), decreasing the probability of 316 micronuclei formation occurrence.

Interestingly, a significant linear correlation between the MNE occurrence and the relative abundance
of Bacteroides fragilis can be found (Figure 7C) (Pearson, r = 0.701; p <0.001). However, PCR analysis</li>
indicated no amplification of the targeted gene coding for the Bft from the gut microbiota samples,
indicating that the *B. fragilis* strain recovered are not able to produce the enterotoxin.

#### 321 **3.6.** Changes in gut microbiota structure following GO exposure predict functional alterations

322 PiCrust2 analysis showed evidences of predicted functions (KEGG genes collapsed at level 3) that are 323 differentially abundant between the control and exposed groups, except after exposure to 1 mg/L of 324 GO which is in accordance with the previously presented results indicating minimal gut microbiota 325 modulations following exposure to this dose. Thus, LEfSe analysis identified 59, 42 and 65 pathways 326 that differentiate the predicted functional profiles of the larvae exposed respectively to GO at 0.05, 327 0.1 and 10 mg/L from the control group. Among the tested conditions, 30 differentially abundant 328 pathways compared to the control were shared following exposure to GO at 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L, 329 suggesting closely-related toxicological effects (Figure S4A).

Under these conditions (0.05 and 0.1 mg/L), PICRUSt predicted functions indicated an increase of
 carbohydrate metabolism involving oxidative phosphorylation while glycolysis-related pathways are

downregulated as indicated by the decrease of pyruvate metabolism, pentose phosphate or glycolysis gluconeogenesis pathways compared to the control group (Figure S4B, C). The highest number of unique altered predicted function was found following exposure to the highest GO dose (Figure S4A). Under this exposure condition, the gut microbiota of exposed tadpoles exhibited upregulated pathways involved into bacterial motility and chemotaxis (Figure S4D). In addition, pathways related to the secretion system including ABC transporters, detoxification process involving cytochrome P450 and phosphotransferase system as well as naphthalene degradation are increased.

339 4. Discussion

#### 340 4.1. Effects on larval growth

The bioavailability of GO, which conditions organism exposure in the environment, is influenced by its 341 342 behavior in aquatic ecosystems (Ren et al., 2018). Thus, monitoring of GO behavior in the exposure 343 media indicated a sedimentation of the nanomaterial over the time which was previously shown to be 344 influenced by the interactions between GO surface functions and ions from the exposure media 345 (Chowdhury et al., 2013). In the presence of larvae in the exposure media, the faster clearance of GO 346 from the water column is due to larval filtration activity, leading to accumulation of the nanomaterial 347 in the digestive tract as previously observed in amphibians (Lagier et al., 2017), zebrafish (Chen et al., 348 2021) and daphnids (Souza et al., 2018). Such exposure to GO is associated to larval growth inhibition 349 within a similar magnitude compared to a previous study (Lagier et al., 2017). As the larvae from the 350 group exposed to the highest dose exposed to GO during 12 days reached the size of unexposed larvae 351 at day 8, the obtained results suggest a marked growth delay associated to high GO concentrations.

Over the metamorphosis process, the intestine of premetamorphic tadpoles consist in a simple thin tube which undergoes elongation, looping and rotation events (Bloom et al., 2013), as visible in unexposed larvae between T2 and T12. At the same time, gut complexity increases through crypt and villi structuration and shortening at climax (from stage 60) under the action of T3 hormone (Chalmers and Slack, 1998; Heimeier et al., 2010; Schreiber et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2001; Sterling et al., 2012). 357 Exposure to increasing GO concentrations did not led to the alteration of larval development as 358 indicated by the similar NF stage 57 reached following 12 days of exposure to any GO concentration. 359 While the stage 60 was not reached by any larvae at the end of the exposure, the gut shortening event 360 taking place at this stage is unlikely to occur and the observed impairment of the intestinal maturation 361 would be associated to GO exposure rather than due to a physiological process. Alteration of intestinal 362 development were previously observed in zebrafish following GO exposure with no impact on fish 363 growth (Zheng et al., 2019). However, in our study this effect is less marked compared to the larval 364 growth inhibitory effects determined based on the larval length.

365 The larval growth inhibitory effects following exposure to increasing concentrations to GO are in line 366 with previous studies performed using X. laevis as biological model (Lagier et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019). 367 Such effects were shown to be associated to a decreased fatty acid and triglyceride metabolism as also 368 observed in zebrafish exposed to GO, impairing their development as well as disturbing offspring 369 metabolism (Hu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2017). As metabolic disturbances were 370 previously described in X. laevis tadpoles following exposure to GO at 1 mg/L, it is likely that the growth 371 inhibition measured in our study imply similar pathways. The tadpole energy metabolism mainly relies 372 on the gut microbiota metabolic capacities, providing energy substrates such as fatty acids for the host. 373 Among fatty acids, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) including acetate, butyrate or propionate are 374 metabolites produced through bacterial fermentation of dietary fibers in X. laevis intestine and were 375 suggested to account for up to 20% of larval daily energy requirements (Koh et al., 2016; Pryor and 376 Bjorndal, 2005; Scalvenzi et al., 2021). While energy metabolism is essential to ensure a proper larval 377 development (Scott et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2021), it is of importance to determine if the growth 378 inhibition observed is associated to disturbances of the gut microbial communities, as many pollutants 379 were previously described to alter intestinal microbial communities in aquatic organisms, impairing 380 host fitness (Adamovsky et al., 2018; Evariste et al., 2019a; Sehnal et al., 2021).

381

#### 382 **4.2.** Exposure to GO leads to alterations of the gut microbial community structure

383 It is now widely accepted that the host homeostasis depends on the gut microbiota health status (Lee and Hase, 2014; Sehnal et al., 2021). Thus, gut microbiota alteration following exposure to 384 385 environmental pollution may lead to a loss of host fitness and affect the ability of a population to 386 maintain (Evariste et al., 2019a). In addition, GO was previously described as a bactericidal 387 nanomaterial and numerous studies described antibacterial activities through membrane impairment 388 due to physical interactions, resulting in bacterial viability loss (Dizaj et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2019; 389 Tashan et al., 2019). Using the model bacteria E. coli, Liu and collaborators (Liu et al., 2011) 390 demonstrated a decreased viability in a dose and time-dependent manner following exposure to GO 391 at concentrations ranging from 5 to 80  $\mu$ g/mL. Local intestinal accumulation of GO in the X. laevis 392 intestine favor direct interactions with bacteria, leading to the observed effects. However, differential 393 sensitivity to GBMs were shown depending on bacterial strains considered. Thereby, it was highlighted 394 that membrane composition or bacterial shape also influences GBMs antimicrobial properties (Al-395 Thani et al., 2014; Sengupta et al., 2019; Tashan et al., 2019). As some studies indicated that GO may 396 act as a promoter of bacterial biofilm formation (Guo et al., 2017; Ruiz et al., 2011), it is possible that 397 the observed recovery in gut microbial density is associated to the presence of the nanomaterials in 398 the gut that benefit to more tolerant bacteria colonizing this new ecological niche. Otherwise, one 399 possible hypothesis is that GO accumulation in the intestine conduct to excretion of intestinal bacteria, 400 explaining the transient abundance decrease. We then monitored the consequences of the exposure 401 to GO on the gut microbial structures of the tadpoles.

The gut microbiota survey performed indicated that the tadpole intestine was mainly colonized by bacteria from the phyla Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria and Firmicutes which is consistent with other studies in *Xenopus tropicalis* (Scalvenzi et al., 2021) or other vertebrates including mice or human (Colombo et al., 2015). In amphibians, gut microbiota remodeling is occurring over the metamorphosis process with food as one of the main driving factors (Kohl et al., 2014, 2013; Scalvenzi et al., 2021). 407 Indeed, dietary strategy changes within the metamorphosis switching from mainly herbivorous 408 tadpoles to insectivorous froglets. Thus, the adaptative changes within the gut microbial communities 409 allow the host to maintain energy intake in order to meet host metabolic requirements depending on the food available in different ecological niches (Bletz et al., 2016). Under our experimental conditions, 410 411 tadpoles were maintained under constant abiotic parameters and artificial diets over a period which 412 is not influenced by changes of feeding behavior. Thus, the effects observed towards microbial 413 communities could be attributed to the GO exposure independently from host factors. The effects of 414 GO exposure towards the gut microbiome was previously monitored using mice models (Chen et al., 415 2018; Li et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2021) or fish (Jia et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2019), but to 416 our knowledge, none using amphibians which physiology was previously shown to be affected by GO 417 exposure (Evariste et al., 2019b; Lagier et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019).

418 The results from our study clearly indicated that short term exposure to GO (T2) initiates a shift of the 419 gut microbial communities. Longer exposure duration is associated to strong gut microbiota disruption 420 following exposure to low GO concentrations as indicated by the decrease of alpha diversity indexes 421 and increase of Bray-Curtis distances, while the gut microbiota shifted differently and to a lower extent 422 following exposure to higher GO concentrations. Nonlinear toxicological effects of nanoparticles were 423 previously reported in the literature (Bell et al., 2013). Interestingly, a study performed in mice orally 424 exposed to pristine graphene indicated that an exposure to a dose of  $1\mu g/d$  exerted stronger 425 deleterious influence on the gut microbiota of mice compared to higher concentration of 10 or 100 426  $\mu$ g/d (Xie et al., 2016). The authors suggested that the differential effects could be associated to 427 differences of aggregation states of the nanomaterials between high and low graphene doses. Indeed, 428 it was previously described that an increased aggregation state reduces GBMs antibacterial activities 429 (Hegab et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2011). In addition, it was indicated that GBMs aggregation increases at 430 high concentration and that physicochemical parameters of the environment such as pH or ion 431 concentrations, which are also likely to fluctuate within the digestive tract microenvironment, may 432 also influence aggregation states (Gao et al., 2022; Suter and Coveney, 2021). Thus, it is likely that the more marked adverse effects on microbial communities occurring in the present study would be due
to the differences of aggregation states in the gut of tadpoles exposed to low concentrations compared
to higher concentrations.

436 Despite that other physicochemical characteristics of the GBMs such as the oxidation degree or lateral 437 size dimension were previously shown to influence their antimicrobial properties (Perreault et al., 438 2015; Zou et al., 2016), a similar trend is noticed between several studies focusing on the effects of GO 439 on the gut microbiota of other species (Jia et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2019), leading to 440 a decrease of the relative abundance of bacteria from the phylum Bacteroidetes that is consistent with 441 the present results. Similar effects were also observed in various microbial consortia exposed to GBMs 442 such as in soil (Du et al., 2015), biofilms (Evariste et al., 2021a) or swine manure (Zhang et al., 2017), 443 suggesting an overall higher susceptibility of most of bacteria from this phylum to GO. In amphibians, 444 tadpole's energy metabolism during the pro-metamorphosis stages mainly relies on carbohydrate and 445 lipid metabolism which are essential to ensure metamorphosis events (Zhu et al., 2020, 2019). While 446 gut bacteria from the phylum Bacteroidetes were shown to be involved in the polysaccharides and 447 carbohydrate degradation (Gibiino et al., 2018), the decreased Bacteroidetes relative abundance in 448 the gut of exposed larvae might be associated to an impairment of these metabolic pathways as 449 previously observed in X. laevis tadpoles exposed to GO at concentrations up to 1 mg/L (Li et al., 2019), 450 leading to larval growth inhibition. This hypothesis could be suggested by the significant linear 451 correlation found between the larval growth rate and the relative abundance of bacteria from the 452 phylum Bacteroidetes (Pearson correlation, r = 0.496, p = 0.011).

It is worth to note that the exposure to GO also influence the relative abundance of gut bacteria from the phylum *Fusobacteria*, leading to a decreased proportion compared to the control group following exposure to GO at the highest concentration. Previous studies suggested that this phylum was involved in priming the immune system during amphibian early-life stages. Indeed, a lower *Fusobacteria* abundance or gut richness during early-life stages predicted an increased host susceptibility to pathogens during later life at adulthood (Knutie et al., 2018, 2017). Thus, even if it is remaining to be
evaluated, early-life exposure to GO might be likely to have deleterious consequences for the host
through an alteration of its immune system maturation.

461 Concomitant with the decrease of Bacteroidetes relative abundance, an increase of the Firmicutes 462 proportion is noticed. Thus, exposure to GO led to changes in niche partitioning between these two 463 major phyla leading to an increase of the F/B ratio. An increase of Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio was 464 previously observed in mice exposed to GO (Chen et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2021). These phyla were suggested to possess distinct and complementary metabolic capacities within the gut microbial 465 466 communities and imbalance in this ratio is generally associated to metabolic disorders in humans such 467 as obesity (Ley et al., 2006a, 2006b). In mice model, the increase of F/B ratio can be induced by energy-468 rich diet consumption, leading to disturbances of the gut metabolism through alteration of the SCFA 469 production profile which is associated to the occurrence of metabolic syndromes (Woting and Blaut, 470 2016). Consistent with this, it was observed that rice frogs, Fejervarya limnocharis, living in farmland 471 environments characterized by an altered diet composition exhibited a higher F/B ratio and increased 472 Proteobacteria compared to populations from natural environments benefiting from more diverse 473 food sources (Chang et al., 2016). Otherwise, exposure of X. tropicalis to antibiotics was indicated to 474 alter the composition of adult frog gut flora, leading to an increase in the F/B ratio without establishing 475 link with host-related endpoints (Lin et al., 2022). As Firmicutes are suggested to be involved in energy 476 harvesting (Krajmalnik-Brown et al., 2012; Ley et al., 2006b), changes in their relative abundance may 477 alter the production of gut microbial metabolites involved in multiple host metabolic pathways, 478 unbalancing energy intake and storage, affecting larval metamorphosis and later life fitness (Crespi 479 and Warne, 2013; Zhu et al., 2019). Indeed, even if the tadpoles complete their metamorphosis, size 480 at metamorphosis is correlated to a better survival of the adults and locomotive performances (Székely 481 et al., 2020).

482

#### 483 **4.3.** Genotoxic effects detected in the host do not seem to be mediated by the gut microbiota

Consistent with our results, it was previously indicated that exposure to low GO concentrations induce 484 485 genotoxic effects in amphibians (Evariste et al., 2020b, 2019b). The later study was performed using 486 similar nanomaterials and deciphered the involvement of oxygen-containing surface functions of GO 487 in genotoxic effects towards X. laevis. (Evariste et al., 2019b). Importantly, the bacteria Bacteroides 488 fragilis which is becoming dominant in the gut of tadpoles exposed to GO at 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L. 489 Enterotoxigenic strains of B. fragilis are known to causes colitis and promote colon tumorigenesis 490 through the induction of genotoxic effects associated to the production of the bacteroides fragilis toxin 491 (bft) (Chung et al., 2018; Goodwin et al., 2011). Thus, a particular attention was paid to the occurrence 492 of micronucleated erythrocytes in tadpoles following 12 days of exposure, in order to allow micronuclei 493 formation (Fernandez et al., 1993), in connection with the increased relative abundance of Bacteroides 494 fragilis. The presence of bft gene in the gut of larvae exposed to the different GO concentrations was 495 evaluated using PCR (Pantosti et al., 1997) to determine if the production of toxins within the gut could 496 contribute to the occurrence of genotoxic effects in the host. However, no amplification of the targeted 497 gene coding for the Bft resulted from PCR analysis of the gut microbiota samples, indicating that the 498 B. fragilis strain are not able to produce the enterotoxin. Thus, even if the link between diversity loss 499 and host physiological impairment is being remaining to be understood, it is likely that the genotoxic 500 effects are mediated by oxidative stress induced by GO as previously suggested, with no involvement 501 of gut pathobionts (Evariste et al., 2019b).

#### 502 **4.4. Functional predictions suggest that changes in gut microbiota structure are associated to**

503 metabolic alterations

To gain insight into the potential functional adverse effects of GO exposure associated to the alterations of the microbial communities, functional pathways were predicted using PiCrust2 analysis on 16S amplicon sequencing data. The algorithm predictions suggested that a shift in energy metabolism occurred in tadpoles exposed to low GO concentrations through an increase of 508 carbohydrate metabolism involving oxidative phosphorylation while glycolysis-related pathways are 509 downregulated as indicated by the decrease of pyruvate metabolism, pentose phosphate or glycolysis 510 gluconeogenesis pathways compared to the control group. Glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation 511 are two major metabolic pathways to provide energy. However, the oxidative phosphorylation process 512 inevitably results in the generation of free radicals that can lead to DNA damages compared to 513 glycolysis which limit oxidative damages (Brace et al., 2016). This is consistent with the previous studies 514 revealing that X. laevis tadpoles exposure to similar GO dose (0.1 mg/L) was leading to the induction 515 of oxidative stress and genotoxic effects (Evariste et al., 2019b).

516 On contrary, exposure to high GO doses led to different effects as indicated by the upregulation of 517 pathways involved into bacterial motility and chemotaxis. Even though we were not able to confirm 518 an increase of gut microbiota flagellin levels using human embryonic kidney (HEK)-Blue-mTLR5 cells as 519 previously described (Chassaing et al., 2014) (data not shown) probably due to graphene capacities to 520 adsorb bacterial flagellar components (Tegou et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020), bacterial motility 521 increase bacteria-host epithelium interactions, leading to deleterious effects for the host through the 522 promotion of pro-inflammatory effects mediated by toll-like receptors 5 (TLR5) (Miclotte et al., 2020; 523 Wiles et al., 2020). In addition, increased xenobiotic detoxification capacities are suggested under this 524 condition by the increase of pathways related to secretion system including ABC transporters which 525 are involved in efflux of products across the cell membrane (Davidson and Chen, 2004) and 526 detoxification process as indicated by the increase of cytochrome P450 activity and 527 phosphotransferase system which are involved in anti-stress responses (Cryle et al., 2003; Peng et al., 528 2017). Interestingly, the pathway related to naphthalene degradation is also increased. As 529 naphthalene-degrading bacteria isolated from graphite mine were previously shown to degrade GO 530 (Liu et al., 2015), this suggest that GO-induced selective pressure, drive a shift in the gut microbiota 531 which is associated to improved detoxification and GO degradation capacities. However, this seems to 532 be leading to decreased metabolic capacities as indicated by the decrease of glucose-related metabolic 533 pathways, amino acid metabolism, fatty acid biosynthesis which together, contribute to the host 534 energy metabolism. Such alteration of metabolic pathways were previously shown to be induced by 535 GO exposure in X. laevis while essential for larval development and might lead to the observed larval 536 growth inhibition (Li et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2021). Even if the Picrust analysis display more accurate 537 results from gut microbiota data compared to other microbial compartment, there is a need to be 538 cautious with the results from our study based on non-human biological models, which shown lower 539 performances due to the intrinsic limits of the method (Sun et al., 2020). Thus, even if the obtained 540 results propose interesting pathways which might be involved in host physiological alterations, there 541 is a need to confirm these results in further studies using shotgun sequencing analysis (Ranjan et al., 542 2016).

#### 543 5. Conclusion

544 The results obtained in this study demonstrate that X. laevis larvae exposure to GO leads to gut 545 microbiota alterations which are linked to host physiological impairments including DNA damages and 546 growth inhibition. Based on the obtained results and the data available from the literature, the larval 547 growth impairment associated to gut microbiota structure disruption constitute two risk factors which 548 could conduct to later-life host fitness loss through potentially decreased survival of individuals, 549 impairment of immune performances or decrease of thermal tolerance. Thus, even if this is remaining 550 to be determined in future study, the marked effects of GO towards gut microbial communities, even 551 at environmentally relevant concentrations could be deleterious for amphibian population dynamic. 552 Overall, this study highlights that the monitoring of the gut microbiota status in response to GBMs 553 constitute a good integrative marker of the overall host physiological alterations.

#### 554 **Declaration of competing interest**

555 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships 556 that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

557

#### 558 Acknowledgment

- 559 The authors thank the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant
- agreement No 696656 & 785219 (Flagship). Thanks to the Dr. Laura Lagier for technical support in the
- analysis of GO concentration in the exposure media. We would like to thanks the ENteRisk Team from
- the Food Toxicology Center of Toulouse (INRAE) for its expertise and involvement in the assessment
- of gut flagellin levels which were evaluated during the experiments. The authors are grateful to the
- 564 Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees and the Sigenae group for providing
- 565 computing and storage resources.
- 566 References
- Adamovsky, O., Buerger, A.N., Wormington, A.M., Ector, N., Griffitt, R.J., Bisesi Jr., J.H., Martyniuk, C.J.,
  2018. The gut microbiome and aquatic toxicology: An emerging concept for environmental
  health. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 37, 2758–2775.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4249
- 571Ahmad, H., Fan, M., Hui, D., 2018. Graphene oxide incorporated functional materials: A review.572CompositesPartB:Engineering145,270–280.573https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.02.006
- Ali Tahir, A., Ullah, H., Sudhagar, P., Asri Mat Teridi, M., Devadoss, A., Sundaram, S., 2016. The
  application of graphene and Its derivatives to energy conversion, storage, and environmental
  and biosensing devices. The Chemical Record 16, 1591–1634.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/tcr.201500279
- Al-Thani, R.F., Patan, N.K., Al-Maadeed, M.A., 2014. Graphene oxide as antimicrobial against two grampositive and two gram-negative bacteria in addition to one fungus. OnLine Journal of Biological Sciences 14, 230–239. https://doi.org/10.3844/ojbsci.2014.230.239
- Bantun, F., Singh, R., Alkhanani, M.F., Almalki, A.H., Alshammary, F., Khan, S., Haque, S., Srivastava, M.,
  2022. Gut microbiome interactions with graphene based nanomaterials: Challenges and
  opportunities. Science of The Total Environment 830, 154789.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154789
- Bell, I.R., Ives, J.A., Jonas, W.B., 2013. Nonlinear Effects of Nanoparticles: Biological Variability From
   Hormetic Doses, Small Particle Sizes, and Dynamic Adaptive Interactions. Dose Response 12,
   202–232. https://doi.org/10.2203/dose-response.13-025.Bell
- Bletz, M.C., Goedbloed, D.J., Sanchez, E., Reinhardt, T., Tebbe, C.C., Bhuju, S., Geffers, R., Jarek, M.,
   Vences, M., Steinfartz, S., 2016. Amphibian gut microbiota shifts differentially in community
   structure but converges on habitat-specific predicted functions. Nature Communications 7,
   13699. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13699
- Bloom, S., Ledon-Rettig, C., Infante, C., Everly, A., Hanken, J., Nascone-Yoder, N., 2013. Developmental
  origins of novel gut morphology in frogs. Evol Dev 15, 10.1111/ede.12035.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/ede.12035
- Bokulich, N.A., Kaehler, B.D., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M., Bolyen, E., Knight, R., Huttley, G.A., Gregory
  Caporaso, J., 2018. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences
  with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. Microbiome 6, 90.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z

- 599 Bolyen, E., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M.R., Bokulich, N.A., Abnet, C.C., Al-Ghalith, G.A., Alexander, H., Alm, 600 E.J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J.E., Bittinger, K., Brejnrod, A., Brislawn, C.J., 601 Brown, C.T., Callahan, B.J., Caraballo-Rodríguez, A.M., Chase, J., Cope, E.K., Da Silva, R., Diener, 602 C., Dorrestein, P.C., Douglas, G.M., Durall, D.M., Duvallet, C., Edwardson, C.F., Ernst, M., Estaki, 603 M., Fouquier, J., Gauglitz, J.M., Gibbons, S.M., Gibson, D.L., Gonzalez, A., Gorlick, K., Guo, J., 604 Hillmann, B., Holmes, S., Holste, H., Huttenhower, C., Huttley, G.A., Janssen, S., Jarmusch, A.K., 605 Jiang, L., Kaehler, B.D., Kang, K.B., Keefe, C.R., Keim, P., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koester, I., 606 Kosciolek, T., Kreps, J., Langille, M.G.I., Lee, J., Ley, R., Liu, Y.-X., Loftfield, E., Lozupone, C., 607 Maher, M., Marotz, C., Martin, B.D., McDonald, D., McIver, L.J., Melnik, A.V., Metcalf, J.L., 608 Morgan, S.C., Morton, J.T., Naimey, A.T., Navas-Molina, J.A., Nothias, L.F., Orchanian, S.B., Pearson, T., Peoples, S.L., Petras, D., Preuss, M.L., Pruesse, E., Rasmussen, L.B., Rivers, A., 609 610 Robeson, M.S., Rosenthal, P., Segata, N., Shaffer, M., Shiffer, A., Sinha, R., Song, S.J., Spear, 611 J.R., Swafford, A.D., Thompson, L.R., Torres, P.J., Trinh, P., Tripathi, A., Turnbaugh, P.J., Ul-Hasan, S., van der Hooft, J.J.J., Vargas, F., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Vogtmann, E., von Hippel, M., 612 613 Walters, W., Wan, Y., Wang, M., Warren, J., Weber, K.C., Williamson, C.H.D., Willis, A.D., Xu, Z.Z., Zaneveld, J.R., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Q., Knight, R., Caporaso, J.G., 2019. Reproducible, 614 615 interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37, 616 852-857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9
- Brace, L.E., Vose, S.C., Stanya, K., Gathungu, R.M., Marur, V.R., Longchamp, A., Treviño-Villarreal, H.,
  Mejia, P., Vargas, D., Inouye, K., Bronson, R.T., Lee, C.-H., Neilan, E., Kristal, B.S., Mitchell, J.R.,
  2016. Increased oxidative phosphorylation in response to acute and chronic DNA damage. npj
  Aging Mech Dis 2, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/npjamd.2016.22
- Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: High
   resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13, 581–583.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Cano, A.M., Maul, J.D., Saed, M., Shah, S.A., Green, M.J., Cañas-Carrell, J.E., 2017. Bioaccumulation,
   stress, and swimming impairment in Daphnia magna exposed to multiwalled carbon
   nanotubes, graphene, and graphene oxide. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 36, 2199–
   2204. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3754
- Chalmers, A.D., Slack, J.M., 1998. Development of the gut in Xenopus laevis. Dev. Dyn. 212, 509–521.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177(199808)212:4<509::AID-AJA4>3.0.CO;2-L
- Chang, C.-W., Huang, B.-H., Lin, S.-M., Huang, C.-L., Liao, P.-C., 2016. Changes of diet and dominant
  intestinal microbes in farmland frogs. BMC Microbiology 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866016-0660-4
- Chassaing, B., Koren, O., Carvalho, F.A., Ley, R.E., Gewirtz, A.T., 2014. AIEC pathobiont instigates
  chronic colitis in susceptible hosts by altering microbiota composition. Gut 63, 1069–1080.
  https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304909
- 636 Chen, H., Zhao, R., Wang, B., Zheng, L., Ouyang, H., Wang, H., Zhou, X., Zhang, D., Chai, Z., Zhao, Y., Feng, W., 2018. Acute Oral Administration of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Increases 637 638 Intestinal Permeability and Inflammatory Responses: Association with the Changes in Gut 639 Microbiota Mice. Healthc Mater e1701313. in Adv 7, 640 https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201701313
- 641 Chen, M., Yin, J., Liang, Y., Yuan, S., Wang, F., Song, M., Wang, H., 2016. Oxidative stress and
  642 immunotoxicity induced by graphene oxide in zebrafish. Aquatic Toxicology 174, 54–60.
  643 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.02.015
- 644 Chen, P., Yang, J., Xiao, B., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Zhu, L., 2021. Mechanisms for the impacts of graphene
  645 oxide on the developmental toxicity and endocrine disruption induced by bisphenol A on
  646 zebrafish larvae. Journal of Hazardous Materials 408, 124867.
  647 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124867
- Chen, Y., Hu, X., Sun, J., Zhou, Q., 2016. Specific nanotoxicity of graphene oxide during zebrafish
   embryogenesis. Nanotoxicology 10, 42–52. https://doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2015.1005032

- Chowdhury, I., Duch, M.C., Mansukhani, N.D., Hersam, M.C., Bouchard, D., 2013. Colloidal properties
   and stability of graphene oxide nanomaterials in the aquatic environment. Environmental
   Science & Technology 47, 6288–6296. https://doi.org/10.1021/es400483k
- Chung, L., Thiele Orberg, E., Geis, A.L., Chan, J.L., Fu, K., DeStefano Shields, C.E., Dejea, C.M., Fathi, P.,
  Chen, J., Finard, B.B., Tam, A.J., McAllister, F., Fan, H., Wu, X., Ganguly, S., Lebid, A., Metz, P.,
  Van Meerbeke, S.W., Huso, D.L., Wick, E.C., Pardoll, D.M., Wan, F., Wu, S., Sears, C.L.,
  Housseau, F., 2018. Bacteroides fragilis Toxin Coordinates a Pro-carcinogenic Inflammatory
  Cascade via Targeting of Colonic Epithelial Cells. Cell Host & Microbe 23, 203-214.e5.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.01.007
- Colombo, B.M., Scalvenzi, T., Benlamara, S., Pollet, N., 2015. Microbiota and Mucosal Immunity in
   Amphibians. Frontiers in Immunology 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00111
- 661 Crespi, E.J., Warne, R.W., 2013. Environmental Conditions Experienced During the Tadpole Stage Alter
   662 Post-metamorphic Glucocorticoid Response to Stress in an Amphibian. Integrative and
   663 Comparative Biology 53, 989–1001. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict087
- 664 Cryle, M.J., Stok, J.E., Voss, J.J.D., 2003. Reactions Catalyzed by Bacterial Cytochromes P450. Aust. J.
   665 Chem. 56, 749–762. https://doi.org/10.1071/ch03040
- Davidson, A.L., Chen, J., 2004. ATP-Binding Cassette Transporters in Bacteria. Annual Review of
   Biochemistry 73, 241–268. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.73.011303.073626
- De Marchi, L., Pretti, C., Gabriel, B., Marques, P.A.A.P., Freitas, R., Neto, V., 2018. An overview of
   graphene materials: Properties, applications and toxicity on aquatic environments. Science of
   The Total Environment 631–632, 1440–1456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.132
- Ding, X., Pu, Y., Tang, M., Zhang, T., 2022. Environmental and health effects of graphene-family
   nanomaterials: Potential release pathways, transformation, environmental fate and health
   risks. Nano Today 42, 101379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2022.101379
- Dizaj, S.M., Mennati, A., Jafari, S., Khezri, K., Adibkia, K., 2015. Antimicrobial activity of carbon-based
   nanoparticles. Advanced pharmaceutical bulletin 5, 19.
- bong, S., Xia, T., Yang, Y., Lin, S., Mao, L., 2018. Bioaccumulation of 14C-Labeled Graphene in an Aquatic
   Food Chain through Direct Uptake or Trophic Transfer. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 541–549.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04339
- Douglas, G.M., Maffei, V.J., Zaneveld, J.R., Yurgel, S.N., Brown, J.R., Taylor, C.M., Huttenhower, C.,
   Langille, M.G.I., 2020. PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. Nat Biotechnol 38,
   685–688. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0548-6
- Du, J., Hu, X., Zhou, Q., 2015. Graphene oxide regulates the bacterial community and exhibits property
   changes in soil. RSC Advances 5, 27009–27017. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA01045D
- Duperron, S., Halary, S., Gallet, A., Marie, B., 2020. Microbiome-Aware Ecotoxicology of Organisms:
   Relevance, Pitfalls, and Challenges. Frontiers in Public Health 8.
- Evariste, L., Barret, M., Mottier, A., Mouchet, F., Gauthier, L., Pinelli, E., 2019a. Gut microbiota of
  aquatic organisms: A key endpoint for ecotoxicological studies. Environmental Pollution 248,
  989–999. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.02.101
- Evariste, L., Braylé, P., Mouchet, F., Silvestre, J., Gauthier, L., Flahaut, E., Pinelli, E., Barret, M., 2021a.
   Graphene-Based Nanomaterials Modulate Internal Biofilm Interactions and Microbial
   Diversity. Front. Microbiol. 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.623853
- Evariste, L., Flahaut, E., Baratange, C., Barret, M., Mouchet, F., PINELLI, E., Galibert, A.M., Soula, B.,
   GAUTHIER, L., 2020a. Ecotoxicological assessment of commercial Boron Nitride Nanotubes
   towards *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles and host-associated gut microbiota. Nanotoxicology.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/17435390.2020.1839137
- Evariste, L., Lagier, L., Gonzalez, P., Mottier, A., Mouchet, F., Cadarsi, S., Lonchambon, P., Daffe, G.,
  Chimowa, G., Sarrieu, C., Ompraret, E., Galibert, A.-M., Ghimbeu, C.M., Pinelli, E., Flahaut, E.,
  Gauthier, L., 2019b. Thermal reduction of graphene oxide mitigates its in vivo genotoxicity
  toward Xenopus laevis tadpoles. Nanomaterials 9, 584. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9040584
- Evariste, L., Mottier, A., Lagier, L., Cadarsi, S., Barret, M., Sarrieu, C., Soula, B., Mouchet, F., Flahaut, E.,
   Pinelli, E., Gauthier, L., 2020b. Assessment of graphene oxide ecotoxicity at several trophic

- 702
   levels
   using
   aquatic
   microcosms.
   Carbon
   156,
   261–271.

   703
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2019.09.051

   <td
- Evariste, L., Mottier, A., Pinelli, E., Flahaut, E., Gauthier, L., Mouchet, F., 2021b. Graphene oxide and
  reduced graphene oxide promote the effects of exogenous T3 thyroid hormone in the
  amphibian Xenopus laevis. Chemosphere 281, 130901.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130901
- Fadeel, B., Bussy, C., Merino, S., Vázquez, E., Flahaut, E., Mouchet, F., Evariste, L., Gauthier, L., Koivisto,
  A.J., Vogel, U., Martín, C., Delogu, L.G., Buerki-Thurnherr, T., Wick, P., Beloin-Saint-Pierre, D.,
  Hischier, R., Pelin, M., Candotto Carniel, F., Tretiach, M., Cesca, F., Benfenati, F., Scaini, D.,
  Ballerini, L., Kostarelos, K., Prato, M., Bianco, A., 2018. Safety assessment of graphene-based
  materials: Focus on human health and the environment. ACS Nano 12, 10582–10620.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b04758
- Fekete-Kertész, I., László, K., Terebesi, C., Gyarmati, B.S., Farah, S., Márton, R., Molnár, M., 2020.
  Ecotoxicity Assessment of Graphene Oxide by Daphnia magna through a Multimarker
  Approach from the Molecular to the Physiological Level including Behavioral Changes.
  Nanomaterials 10, 2048. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10102048
- Fernandez, M., L'Haridon, J., Gauthier, L., Zoll-Moreux, C., 1993. Amphibian micronucleus test(s): a
   simple and reliable method for evaluating in vivo genotoxic effects of freshwater pollutants
   and radiations. Initial assessment. Mutation Research/Environmental Mutagenesis and
   Related Subjects 292, 83–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161(93)90010-W
- Fontaine, S.S., Mineo, P.M., Kohl, K.D., 2022. Experimental manipulation of microbiota reduces host
   thermal tolerance and fitness under heat stress in a vertebrate ectotherm. Nat Ecol Evol 1–13.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01686-2
- Freixa, A., Acuña, V., Sanchís, J., Farré, M., Barceló, D., Sabater, S., 2018. Ecotoxicological effects of
   carbon based nanomaterials in aquatic organisms. Science of The Total Environment 619–620,
   328–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.095
- Gao, Y., Zeng, X., Zhang, W., Zhou, L., Xue, W., Tang, M., Sun, S., 2022. The aggregation behaviour and
   mechanism of commercial graphene oxide in surface aquatic environments. Science of The
   Total Environment 806, 150942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150942
- Gibiino, G., Lopetuso, L.R., Scaldaferri, F., Rizzatti, G., Binda, C., Gasbarrini, A., 2018. Exploring
  Bacteroidetes: Metabolic key points and immunological tricks of our gut commensals.
  Digestive and Liver Disease 50, 635–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dld.2018.03.016
- Goodwin, A.C., Destefano Shields, C.E., Wu, S., Huso, D.L., Wu, X., Murray-Stewart, T.R., Hacker-Prietz,
   A., Rabizadeh, S., Woster, P.M., Sears, C.L., Casero, R.A., 2011. Polyamine catabolism
   contributes to enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis-induced colon tumorigenesis. Proc Natl
   Acad Sci U S A 108, 15354–15359. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010203108
- Goodwin, D.G., Adeleye, A.S., Sung, L., Ho, K.T., Burgess, R.M., Petersen, E.J., 2018. Detection and
   quantification of Graphene-family nanomaterials in the environment. Environmental Science
   & Technology 52, 4491–4513. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04938
- Gopinath, K.P., Vo, D.-V.N., Gnana Prakash, D., Adithya Joseph, A., Viswanathan, S., Arun, J., 2021.
   Environmental applications of carbon-based materials: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19, 557–
   582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01084-9
- Guo, Z., Xie, C., Zhang, P., Zhang, J., Wang, G., He, X., Ma, Y., Zhao, B., Zhang, Z., 2017. Toxicity and
   transformation of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide in bacteria biofilm. Science of
   The Total Environment 580, 1300–1308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.093
- Hegab, H.M., ElMekawy, A., Zou, L., Mulcahy, D., Saint, C.P., Ginic-Markovic, M., 2016. The
  controversial antibacterial activity of graphene-based materials. Carbon 105, 362–376.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.04.046
- Heimeier, R.A., Das, B., Buchholz, D.R., Fiorentino, M., Shi, Y.-B., 2010. Studies on Xenopus laevis
   intestine reveal biological pathways underlying vertebrate gut adaptation from embryo to
   adult. Genome Biol 11, R55. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-5-r55

- Hu, X., Wei, Z., Mu, L., 2017. Graphene oxide nanosheets at trace concentrations elicit neurotoxicity in
  the offspring of zebrafish. Carbon 117, 182–191.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2017.02.092
- Hummers, W.S., Offeman, R.E., 1958. Preparation of Graphitic Oxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 1339–1339.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01539a017
- Jastrzębska, A.M., Olszyna, A.R., 2015. The ecotoxicity of graphene family materials: current status,
   knowledge gaps and future needs. Journal of Nanoparticle Research 17, 40.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-014-2817-0
- 761 Jia, P.-P., Sun, T., Junaid, M., Xiong, Y.-H., Wang, Y.-Q., Liu, L., Pu, S.-Y., Pei, D.-S., 2019. Chronic 762 exposure to graphene oxide (GO) induced inflammation and differentially disturbed the 763 intestinal microbiota in zebrafish. Environ. Sci.: Nano 6, 2452-2469. 764 https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EN00364A
- Johra, F.T., Lee, J.-W., Jung, W.-G., 2014. Facile and safe graphene preparation on solution based
   platform. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 20, 2883–2887.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2013.11.022
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K., Miyata, T., 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence
  alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30, 3059–3066.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436
- Knutie, S.A., Gabor, C.R., Kohl, K.D., Rohr, J.R., 2018. Do host-associated gut microbiota mediate the
  effect of an herbicide on disease risk in frogs? Journal of Animal Ecology 87, 489–499.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12769
- Knutie, S.A., Shea, L.A., Kupselaitis, M., Wilkinson, C.L., Kohl, K.D., Rohr, J.R., 2017. Early-Life Diet
   Affects Host Microbiota and Later-Life Defenses Against Parasites in Frogs. Integrative and
   Comparative Biology 57, 732–742. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icx028
- Koh, A., De Vadder, F., Kovatcheva-Datchary, P., Bäckhed, F., 2016. From Dietary Fiber to Host
   Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. Cell 165, 1332–1345.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.041
- Kohl, K.D., Amaya, J., Passement, C.A., Dearing, M.D., McCue, M.D., 2014. Unique and shared
   responses of the gut microbiota to prolonged fasting: a comparative study across five classes
   of vertebrate hosts. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 90, 883–894. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574 6941.12442
- Kohl, K.D., Cary, T.L., Karasov, W.H., Dearing, M.D., 2013. Restructuring of the amphibian gut microbiota through metamorphosis: The amphibian gut microbiota. Environmental Microbiology Reports 5, 899–903. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12092
- Krajmalnik-Brown, R., Ilhan, Z.-E., Kang, D.-W., DiBaise, J.K., 2012. Effects of Gut Microbes on Nutrient
   Absorption and Energy Regulation. Nutrition in Clinical Practice 27, 201–214.
   https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533611436116
- Kumar, A., Sharma, K., Dixit, A.R., 2019. A review of the mechanical and thermal properties of graphene
   and its hybrid polymer nanocomposites for structural applications. J Mater Sci 54, 5992–6026.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-018-03244-3
- 793 Lagier, L., Mouchet, F., Laplanche, C., Mottier, A., Cadarsi, S., Evariste, L., Sarrieu, C., Lonchambon, P., 794 Pinelli, E., Flahaut, E., Gauthier, L., 2017. Surface area of carbon-based nanoparticles prevails 795 amphibians. Carbon dispersion for growth inhibition in 119, 72-81. on 796 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2017.04.016
- Lee, W.-J., Hase, K., 2014. Gut microbiota–generated metabolites in animal health and disease. Nat
   Chem Biol 10, 416–424. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1535
- 799Ley, R.E., Peterson, D.A., Gordon, J.I., 2006a. Ecological and Evolutionary Forces Shaping Microbial800Diversity in the Human Intestine. Cell 124, 837–848.801https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.017
- Ley, R.E., Turnbaugh, P.J., Klein, S., Gordon, J.I., 2006b. Human gut microbes associated with obesity.
   Nature 444, 1022.

- Li, J., Yang, S., Yu, J., Cui, R., Liu, R., Lei, R., Chang, Y., Geng, H., Qin, Y., Gu, W., Xia, S., Chen, K., Kong,
   J., Chen, G., Wu, C., Xing, G., 2018. Lipid- and gut microbiota-modulating effects of graphene
   oxide nanoparticles in high-fat diet-induced hyperlipidemic mice. RSC Adv. 8, 31366–31371.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA06058D
- Li, M., Zhu, J., Wang, M., Fang, H., Zhu, G., Wang, Q., 2019. Exposure to graphene oxide at environmental concentrations induces thyroid endocrine disruption and lipid metabolic disturbance in Xenopus laevis. Chemosphere 236, 124834.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124834
- Lin, L., Peng, H., Liu, Z., 2019. Synthesis challenges for graphene industry. Nature Materials 18, 520– 524. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0341-4
- Lin, X., Xu, Y., Han, R., Luo, W., Zheng, L., 2022. Migration of antibiotic resistance genes and evolution
   of flora structure in the Xenopus tropicalis intestinal tract with combined exposure to
   roxithromycin and oxytetracycline. Science of The Total Environment 820, 153176.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153176
- Liu, J., Cui, L., Losic, D., 2013. Graphene and graphene oxide as new nanocarriers for drug delivery
   applications. Acta Biomaterialia 9, 9243–9257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2013.08.016
- Liu, L., Zhu, C., Fan, M., Chen, C., Huang, Y., Hao, Q., Yang, J., Wang, H., Sun, D., 2015. Oxidation and
   degradation of graphitic materials by naphthalene-degrading bacteria. Nanoscale 7, 13619–
   13628. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR02502H
- Liu, S., Zeng, T.H., Hofmann, M., Burcombe, E., Wei, J., Jiang, R., Kong, J., Chen, Y., 2011. Antibacterial
   activity of graphite, graphite oxide, graphene oxide, and reduced graphene oxide: Membrane
   and oxidative stress. ACS Nano 5, 6971–6980. https://doi.org/10.1021/nn202451x
- Liu, X., Zhang, F., Wang, Zengjin, Zhang, T., Teng, C., Wang, Zhiping, 2021. Altered gut microbiome
  accompanying with placenta barrier dysfunction programs pregnant complications in mice
  caused by graphene oxide. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 207, 111143.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111143
- Lobato, B., Merino, C., Barranco, V., Centeno, T.A., 2016. Large-scale conversion of helical-ribbon
   carbon nanofibers to a variety of graphene-related materials. RSC Advances 6, 57514–57520.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA08865A
- Lv, X., Yang, Y., Tao, Y., Jiang, Y., Chen, B., Zhu, X., Cai, Z., Li, B., 2018. A mechanism study on toxicity of
   graphene oxide to Daphnia magna: Direct link between bioaccumulation and oxidative stress.
   Environmental Pollution 234, 953–959. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.034
- Ma, K., Zhang, S., Ye, B., Ouyang, J., Yue, G.H., 2016. A new view of graphene oxide biosafety in a water
  environment using an eatable fish as a model. RSC Adv. 6, 29619–29623.
  https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA26026D
- McDonald, D., Price, M.N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E.P., DeSantis, T.Z., Probst, A., Andersen, G.L.,
  Knight, R., Hugenholtz, P., 2012. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for
  ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. ISME J 6, 610–618.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.139
- Mcgill, R., Tukey, J.W., Larsen, W.A., 1978. Variations of Box Plots. The American Statistician 32, 12–
   16. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1978.10479236
- Miclotte, L., De Paepe, K., Rymenans, L., Callewaert, C., Raes, J., Rajkovic, A., Van Camp, J., Van de
  Wiele, T., 2020. Dietary Emulsifiers Alter Composition and Activity of the Human Gut
  Microbiota in vitro, Irrespective of Chemical or Natural Emulsifier Origin. Frontiers in
  Microbiology 11.
- Mohammed, H., Kumar, A., Bekyarova, E., Al-Hadeethi, Y., Zhang, X., Chen, M., Ansari, M.S., Cochis, A.,
   Rimondini, L., 2020. Antimicrobial Mechanisms and Effectiveness of Graphene and Graphene Functionalized Biomaterials. A Scope Review. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology
   8.
- Montagner, A., Bosi, S., Tenori, E., Bidussi, M., Alshatwi, A.A., Tretiach, M., Prato, M., Syrgiannis, Z.,
  2016. Ecotoxicological effects of graphene-based materials. 2D Materials 4, 012001.
  https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/4/1/012001

- Mottier, A., Mouchet, F., Laplanche, C., Cadarsi, S., Lagier, L., Arnault, J.-C., Girard, H.A., León, V.,
   Vázquez, E., Sarrieu, C., Pinelli, É., Gauthier, L., Flahaut, E., 2016. Surface Area of Carbon
   Nanoparticles: A Dose Metric for a More Realistic Ecotoxicological Assessment. Nano Letters
   16, 3514–3518. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.6b00348
- Mottier, A., Mouchet, F., Pinelli, É., Gauthier, L., Flahaut, E., 2017. Environmental impact of engineered
   carbon nanoparticles: from releases to effects on the aquatic biota. Current Opinion in
   Biotechnology 46, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.11.024
- Nieuwkoop, P.D., Faber, J., 1958. Normal table of Xenopus laevis (Daudin). A systematical and chronological survey of the development from the fertilized egg till the end of metamorphosis.
   The Quarterly Review of Biology 33, 85–85. https://doi.org/10.1086/402265
- Olabi, A.G., Abdelkareem, M.A., Wilberforce, T., Sayed, E.T., 2021. Application of graphene in energy
   storage device A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 135, 110026.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110026
- Pantosti, A., Malpeli, M., Wilks, M., Menozzi, M.G., D'Ambrosio, F., 1997. Detection of enterotoxigenic
  Bacteroides fragilis by PCR. J Clin Microbiol 35, 2482–2486.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.35.10.2482-2486.1997
- 872 Peng, Z., Ehrmann, M.A., Waldhuber, A., Niemeyer, C., Miethke, T., Frick, J.-S., Xiong, T., Vogel, R.F., 873 2017. Phosphotransferase systems in Enterococcus faecalis OG1RF enhance anti-stress 874 capacity in vitro and in vivo. Research in Microbiology 168, 558-566. 875 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2017.03.003
- Perreault, F., Fonseca de Faria, A., Elimelech, M., 2015. Environmental applications of graphene-based
   nanomaterials.
   Chemical
   Society
   Reviews
   44, 5861–5896.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00021A
- Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P., 2009. FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees with
   Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. Molecular Biology and Evolution 26, 1641–1650.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077
- Pryor, G.S., Bjorndal, K.A., 2005. Symbiotic fermentation, digesta passage, and gastrointestinal
   morphology in bullfrog tadpoles (Rana catesbeiana). Physiol Biochem Zool 78, 201–215.
   https://doi.org/10.1086/427050
- Ranjan, R., Rani, A., Metwally, A., McGee, H.S., Perkins, D.L., 2016. Analysis of the microbiome:
   Advantages of whole genome shotgun versus 16S amplicon sequencing. Biochem Biophys Res
   Commun 469, 967–977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.12.083
- Ren, X., Li, J., Chen, C., Gao, Y., Chen, D., Su, M., Alsaedi, A., Hayat, T., 2018. Graphene analogues in aquatic environments and porous media: dispersion, aggregation, deposition and transformation. Environ. Sci.: Nano 5, 1298–1340. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EN01258F
- Ruiz, O.N., Fernando, K.A.S., Wang, B., Brown, N.A., Luo, P.G., McNamara, N.D., Vangsness, M., Sun,
   Y.-P., Bunker, C.E., 2011. Graphene Oxide: A nonspecific enhancer of cellular growth. ACS Nano
   5, 8100–8107. https://doi.org/10.1021/nn202699t
- Saxena, P., Sangela, V., Ranjan, S., Dutta, V., Dasgupta, N., Phulwaria, M., Rathore, D.S., Harish, 2020.
   Aquatic nanotoxicology: impact of carbon nanomaterials on algal flora. Energ. Ecol. Environ. 5,
   240–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-020-00151-9
- Scalvenzi, T., Clavereau, I., Bourge, M., Pollet, N., 2021. Gut microbial ecology of Xenopus tadpoles
   across life stages. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.25.110734
- Schreiber, A.M., Cai, L., Brown, D.D., 2005. Remodeling of the intestine during metamorphosis of
   Xenopus laevis. PNAS 102, 3720–3725. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409868102
- Scott, D.E., Casey, E.D., Donovan, M.F., Lynch, T.K., 2007. Amphibian lipid levels at metamorphosis
   correlate to post-metamorphic terrestrial survival. Oecologia 153, 521–532.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0755-6
- Scown, T.M., van Aerle, R., Tyler, C.R., 2010. Review: Do engineered nanoparticles pose a significant
   threat to the aquatic environment? Critical Reviews in Toxicology 40, 653–670.
   https://doi.org/10.3109/10408444.2010.494174

- Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W.S., Huttenhower, C., 2011.
   Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biology 12, R60. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60
- Sehnal, L., Brammer-Robbins, E., Wormington, A.M., Blaha, L., Bisesi, J., Larkin, I., Martyniuk, C.J.,
   Simonin, M., Adamovsky, O., 2021. Microbiome Composition and Function in Aquatic
   Vertebrates: Small Organisms Making Big Impacts on Aquatic Animal Health. Frontiers in
   Microbiology 12.
- 914Sengupta, I., Bhattacharya, P., Talukdar, M., Neogi, S., Pal, S.K., Chakraborty, S., 2019. Bactericidal915effect of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide: Influence of shape of bacteria. Colloid916andInterfaceScienceCommunications28,60–68.917https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colcom.2018.12.001
- Shi, Y.-B., Fu, L., Hsia, S.C.V., Tomita, A., Buchholz, D., 2001. Thyroid hormone regulation of apoptotic
  tissue remodeling during anuran metamorphosis. Cell Res 11, 245–252.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cr.7290093
- Souza, J.P., Baretta, J.F., Santos, F., Paino, I.M.M., Zucolotto, V., 2017. Toxicological effects of graphene
   oxide on adult zebrafish (Danio rerio). Aquatic Toxicology 186, 11–18.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.02.017
- 924Souza, J.P., Venturini, F.P., Santos, F., Zucolotto, V., 2018. Chronic toxicity in Ceriodaphnia dubia925induced by graphene oxide. Chemosphere190, 218–224.926https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.018
- Sterling, J., Fu, L., Matsuura, K., Shi, Y.-B., 2012. Cytological and Morphological Analyses Reveal Distinct
   Features of Intestinal Development during Xenopus tropicalis Metamorphosis. PLOS ONE 7,
   e47407. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047407
- Sun, S., Jones, R.B., Fodor, A.A., 2020. Inference-based accuracy of metagenome prediction tools varies
   across sample types and functional categories. Microbiome 8, 46.
   https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00815-y
- Sun, T.Y., Bornhöft, N.A., Hungerbühler, K., Nowack, B., 2016. Dynamic Probabilistic Modeling of
   Environmental Emissions of Engineered Nanomaterials. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 4701–4711.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05828
- Suter, J.L., Coveney, P.V., 2021. Principles governing control of aggregation and dispersion of aqueous
   graphene oxide. Sci Rep 11, 22460. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01626-3
- Székely, D., Cogălniceanu, D., Székely, P., Armijos-Ojeda, D., Espinosa-Mogrovejo, V., Denoël, M., 2020.
   How to recover from a bad start: size at metamorphosis affects growth and survival in a tropical amphibian. BMC Ecology 20, 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-020-00291-w
- Tashan, H., Khosravi-Darani, K., Yazdian, F., Omidi, M., Sheikhpour, M., Farahani, M., Omri, A., 2019.
  Antibacterial Properties of Graphene Based Nanomaterials: An Emphasis on Molecular
  Mechanisms, Surface Engineering and Size of Sheets. Mini-Reviews in Organic Chemistry 16,
  159–172. https://doi.org/10.2174/1570193X15666180712120309
- 945Tegou, E., Magana, M., Katsogridaki, A.E., Ioannidis, A., Raptis, V., Jordan, S., Chatzipanagiotou, S.,946Chatzandroulis, S., Ornelas, C., Tegos, G.P., 2016. Terms of endearment: Bacteria meet947graphene948https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.02.030
- Wang, Y., Qian, P.-Y., 2009. Conservative fragments in bacterial 16S rRNA genes and primer design for
   16S Ribosomal DNA amplicons in metagenomic studies. PLOS ONE 4, e7401.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007401
- Wiles, T.J., Schlomann, B.H., Wall, E.S., Betancourt, R., Parthasarathy, R., Guillemin, K., 2020. Swimming
   motility of a gut bacterial symbiont promotes resistance to intestinal expulsion and enhances
   inflammation. PLOS Biology 18, e3000661. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000661
- Woting, A., Blaut, M., 2016. The Intestinal Microbiota in Metabolic Disease. Nutrients 8, 202.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8040202
- Xie, Y., Wu, B., Zhang, X.-X., Yin, J., Mao, L., Hu, M., 2016. Influences of graphene on microbial community and antibiotic resistance genes in mouse gut as determined by high-throughput

- 959
   sequencing.
   Chemosphere
   144,
   1306–1312.

   960
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.09.076
   144,
   1306–1312.
- Yin, J., Fan, W., Du, J., Feng, W., Dong, Z., Liu, Y., Zhou, T., 2020. The toxicity of graphene oxide affected
   by algal physiological characteristics: A comparative study in cyanobacterial, green algae,
   diatom. Environmental Pollution 260, 113847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113847
- Zhang, C., Wang, Y., Zhao, H., 2020. Is Graphene Oxide a Chemoattractant? Nano Lett. 20, 1455–1460.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b05234
- Zhang, J., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Zhong, H., Sui, Q., Zhang, C., Wei, Y., 2017. Effects of graphene oxide on the performance, microbial community dynamics and antibiotic resistance genes reduction during anaerobic digestion of swine manure. Bioresource Technology 245, 850–859.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.217
- Zhang, T., Zhu, G.-Y., Yu, C.-H., Xie, Y., Xia, M.-Y., Lu, B.-Y., Fei, X., Peng, Q., 2019. The UV absorption of
   graphene oxide is size-dependent: possible calibration pitfalls. Mikrochim Acta 186, 207.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-019-3329-5
- 273 Zheng, M., Lu, J., Lin, G., Su, H., Sun, J., Luan, T., 2019. Dysbiosis of gut microbiota by dietary exposure
  974 of three graphene-family materials in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Environ Pollut 254, 112969.
  975 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.112969
- 976 Zhu, W., Chang, L., Shu, G., Wang, B., Jiang, J., 2021. Fatter or stronger: Resource allocation strategy 977 and the underlying metabolic mechanisms in amphibian tadpoles. Comparative Biochemistry 978 and Genomics and Proteomics Physiology Part D: 38, 100825. 979 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2021.100825
- Zhu, W., Chang, L., Zhao, T., Wang, B., Jiang, J., 2020. Remarkable metabolic reorganization and altered
   metabolic requirements in frog metamorphic climax. Frontiers in Zoology 17, 30.
   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-020-00378-6
- Zhu, Wei, Zhang, M., Chang, L., Zhu, Wenbo, Li, C., Xie, F., Zhang, H., Zhao, T., Jiang, J., 2019.
  Characterizing the composition, metabolism and physiological functions of the fatty liver in
  Rana omeimontis tadpoles. Frontiers in Zoology 16, 42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-0190341-x
- Zhu, Y., Ji, H., Cheng, H.-M., Ruoff, R.S., 2018. Mass production and industrial applications of graphene
   materials. National Science Review 5, 90–101. https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx055
- Zou, X., Zhang, L., Wang, Z., Luo, Y., 2016. Mechanisms of the Antimicrobial Activities of Graphene
   Materials. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 2064–2077. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b11411
- 991

Table

**Table 1**: Physico-chemical characteristics of GO used for the experiment. at. %: atomic %; TEM:

 transmission electron microscope; HRTEM: high resolution TEM; BET: Brunauer-Emett-Teller.

	Graphene Oxide	
Carbon content	69.1 ± 0.4 at. %	
Oxygen content	31.1 ± 0.4 at. %	
10		
Number of layers (HRTEM)	1–5	
Lateral size (TEM)	eral size (TEM) 0.2 to 8 μm	
Specific surface area (BET)	c surface area (BET) 228 $\pm$ 6.8 m <sup>2</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup>	

**Table 2**: *X. laevis* erythrocyte cell cycle distribution analyzed using flow cytometry after 12 days of

 exposure to increasing GO concentrations. Letters indicate significant differences between groups.

	GO/G1 (% cells)	S (% cells)	G2/M (% cells)
Control	77.69 ± 0.86 <b>A</b>	11.11 ± 0.27 <b>AB</b>	11,2 ± 0.86 <b>A</b>
0.05 mg/L	84.72 ± 0.83 <b>B</b>	6.49 ± 0.15 <b>C</b>	8.79 ± 0.80 <b>AB</b>
0.1 mg/L	80.02 ± 0.45 <b>C</b>	10.43 ± 0.35 <b>B</b>	9.55 ± 0.32 <b>AB</b>
1 mg/L	83.92 ± 0.42 <b>B</b>	8.60 ± 0.25 <b>D</b>	7.47 ± 0.33 <b>B</b>
10 mg/L	79.81 ± 0.56 <b>AC</b>	11.57 ± 0.25 <b>A</b>	8.62 ± 0.48 <b>B</b>

**Figure 1**: Monitoring of the GO dispersion stability at 10 mg/L in the exposure media. Calibration plot based on optical density at 235 nm of increasing GO concentrations in exposure media (A). Dispersion stability kinetic in presence and absence of *X. laevis* tadpoles in the media (B). Area under the curve (AUC) in arbitrary unit was used to evaluate statistical differences of dispersion stability. \*\*\* indicate significant differences following T-test when p-value < 0.001.

**Figure 2**: Effects of GO exposure on *X. laevis* tadpole growth and intestinal developments. Larval growth rate monitored over the 12 days of exposure (A). Normalized growth rate determined after 2 (B) or 12 (C) days of exposure to increasing GO concentrations. Pictures of GO intestinal accumulation after 2 or 12 days of exposure (D). Intestinal weight measured after 2 (E) or 12 days of exposure. Letters indicate significant differences between concentrations determined by the post-hoc Tukey test when ANOVA p-value < 0.05.

**Figure 3**: Effects of an exposure to increasing GO concentrations on the abundance of intestinal bacteria as detected by qPCR. Evolution of intestinal bacterial load in the control group over time (A). Gut bacteria abundance measured after 2 (B) or 12 (C) days of exposure to increasing GO concentrations. Differences across groups were tested using ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey test when p-value < 0.05. Letters indicate significant differences between groups.

**Figure 4**: Effects of two days of exposure to increasing GO concentration on *X. laevis* tadpole's gut microbiota structure. Gut Microbiota richness (A) and evenness (B). PCoA plot of the bacterial communities based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (C). Comparison of the Bray-Curtis distances among experimental groups (D). Relative abundances of the phyla composing the gut microbiota of control or exposed larvae (E), including Bacteroidetes (F), Firmicutes (G), Proteobacteria (H) and Fusobacteria (I).

Differences across groups were tested using ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey test when p-value < 0.05. Letters indicate significant differences between groups (n = 5 per group).

**Figure 5**: Effects of 12 days of exposure to increasing GO towards gut bacterial communities of *X. laevis* tadpoles. Effects on alpha-diversity parameters measured using Richness (A) and Shannon Index (B). PCoA plot of the bacterial communities based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (C). Comparison of the Bray-Curtis distances among experimental groups (D). Effects of GO exposure on the main phyla relative abundances (E), including Bacteroidetes (F), Firmicutes (G), Proteobacteria (H) and Fusobacteria (I) and resulting Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio (J). Relative abundances of the bacteria *Bacteroides fragilis* and bacteria from the genus Parabacteroides are represented based on the results from the LEfSe analysis (K). Differences across groups were tested using ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey test when p-value < 0.05. Letters indicate significant differences between groups (n = 5 per group).

**Figure 6**: Determination of taxa differentially abundant in the gut microbiome of larvae exposed during 12 days to GO compared to the control group characterized by LEfSe analysis. LDA score of taxa differentially abundant in larvae exposed to GO at 0.05 mg/L (A), 0.1 mg/L (B) and 10 mg/L (C). Cladogram representation of results from the LEfSe analysis following exposure to GO at 10 mg/L compared to the control.

**Figure 7**: Relation between gut microbiota alterations following 12 days of exposure to increasing GO concentration and genotoxic effects towards the host. Relative abundance of the bacteria *Bacteroides fragilis* in the gut at T12 (A). Micronucleated cells accounted in the host circulating erythrocytes (B). Correlation between micronucleus frequency and *B. fragilis* relative abundance (C). Letters indicate

significant differences between groups using post-hoc Tukey test. \* indicate significant differences compared to the control group.





Figure\_1 new.tif

Figure\_2

Figure\_1.tif

🗣 Ctrl 🤘 0.05 mg/L 🔺 0.1 mg/L 🦈 1 mg/L 🔶 10 mg/L





Figure\_3.tif



Figure\_5

Figure\_4.tif





Figure\_5.tif

