



HAL
open science

Thin film microfluidic reactors in electrochemical advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: A review on influencing parameters, scaling issues and engineering considerations

Faidzul Hakim Adnan, Marie-Noëlle Pons, Emmanuel Mousset

► To cite this version:

Faidzul Hakim Adnan, Marie-Noëlle Pons, Emmanuel Mousset. Thin film microfluidic reactors in electrochemical advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: A review on influencing parameters, scaling issues and engineering considerations. *Electrochemical Science Advances*, 2022, 10.1002/elsa.202100210 . hal-03842833

HAL Id: hal-03842833

<https://hal.science/hal-03842833>

Submitted on 7 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

**Thin film microfluidic reactors in electrochemical advanced
oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: A review on
influencing parameters, scaling issues and engineering
considerations**

Faidzul Hakim Adnan¹, Marie-Noëlle Pons^{1,2}, Emmanuel Mousset^{1,*}

¹ *Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LRGP, F-54000 Nancy, France*

² *LTSER-LRGP, CNRS, Université de Lorraine, F-54000 Nancy, France*

ACCEPTED IN

ELECTROCHEMICAL SCIENCE ADVANCES JOURNAL

(in Special issue from ESEE 2021 congress)

*Contact of corresponding author: emmanuel.mousset@cnrs.fr

Abstract

The use of microfluidic electrochemical reactors has been introduced several decades ago, but their application in the field of wastewater treatment is more recent (2010). The parallel development of electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs) as promising technologies for effluent treatment make them good candidates to be implemented as thin film cells. This allows favoring the mass transfer, which is particularly interesting for heterogenous electro-oxidation. Moreover, the energy requirement is reduced, while there is possibility to treat low-conductivity solutions. This review intends to provide instructions on the main operating parameters to be optimized during the EAOPs treatment. Directions on engineering aspects have been given to overcome the main drawbacks of microreactors such as fouling, scaling and low treatment capacity, based on recent encouraging results given in literature. The promising development of hybrid processes that combine electro-separation with electro-conversion would also benefit from such reactor designs.

Keywords

Anodic oxidation; Electro-Fenton; Electro-precipitation; Interelectrode distance; Reactive electro-mixing

1. Introduction

Within the framework of water reuse as a countermeasure against water scarcity that might concern a large number of countries across the globe, conventional biological and physicochemical treatments applied in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) do not suffice [1, 2]. In response, a variety of complementary treatments has been proposed across literature and some of them even have been applied at industrial scale [3-6]. The majority of them are based on the fundamentals of chemical oxidation, in which strong oxidants are involved in the degradation of pollutants [7, 8]. Classical processes such as chlorination, ozonation and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are to an extent well-established to be able to totally degrade organic contaminants present in aqueous media [3, 9]. Nevertheless, their efficiency comes first and foremost with high operational price [10]. Secondly, the addition of chemicals into the media to be treated is a prerequisite, be it H_2O_2 , Cl_2 , O_3 , acid/base and/or ferrous sources. One might notice the contradictory practices between preserving the aquatic ecosystem receiving the treated wastewater against the admittance of unnatural substances into it when chemical reagents are introduced into the media to be treated [11].

AOP techniques have been doped by the introduction of electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs) [12-14]. The latter have been documented across literature to also be able to degrade organic pollutants into simpler organic compounds which are easier to be biodegraded [15-18]. A quasi-complete mineralization into CO_2 and inorganic species can even be obtained if required [19, 20]. A huge boost to the limitation of AOPs is that EAOPs do not necessarily require the addition of chemicals to electrogenerate strong, quasi non-selective oxidizing agent such as hydroxyl radicals ($\bullet\text{OH}$) as well as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) *in-situ* [21].

In addition to the advanced electro-oxidation by $\bullet\text{OH}$ mentioned above, other indirect electro-oxidation (also called mediated electro-oxidation) can take place with active oxidants (HClO/ClO^- , Cl_2 , O_3 , etc.) depending on the composition of electrolyte [22-25]. It exists other variants of EAOPs such as those where photo-, solar photo- and sono- irradiation sources have been called upon in a way

to optimize the processes [14, 26-28]. The coupling between EAOPs have also been explored to combine heterogeneous and homogeneous $\bullet\text{OH}$ production to improve treatment capacity [9, 29, 30].

In recent years, the application of submillimetric reactors within the framework of EAOPs has spurred the capability of treatment of wastewater effluent using these techniques [29, 31, 32]. The micrometric interelectrode distance (d_{elec}) significantly accommodates the limitation by mass transfer often encountered in macro-reactors [33-35]. Furthermore, the redox reactions taking place on electrodes are intensified by bringing them very close to each other [35, 36]. While treating urban WWTPs effluents, their ionic conductivity (i.e., in average $1000 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$) is apparently too low for conventional undivided reactor (d_{elec} between 1 and 4 cm) [37]. This low value of electrical conductivity limits the efficiency and applicability of EAOPs in various terms; firstly, the huge ohmic drop in-between electrodes requires an application of significantly larger operating currents thus inducing a larger overall power consumption [34, 37]. Secondly, the oxidation of pollutants will quickly be limited by mass transfer towards the electrodes, particularly for heterogeneous oxidation. Thus, the efficiency of pollutant degradation is critically impacted [38]. Thirdly, supporting electrolyte is added most of the time to promote the mass transfer of pollutants making the EAOPs less attracting as environmental-friendly processes. Fortunately, the use of microfluidic reactors would allow the treatment of reclaimed wastewater without the need for supporting electrolyte [37, 39]. As a consequence, microfluidic configuration would allow not only innate mass transfer enhancement, but also cut in operation cost. This paper aims to review the main influencing operating parameters responsible for the EAOPs efficiency, with an emphasis on engineering aspects for further development guidance at larger scales.

2. Influence of main operating parameters on EAOPs efficiency

2.1. Applied current density/electrode potential

Applied current density is a crucial parameter in the application of electrochemical process to degrade organic pollutants. It is also closely related to the electrode potential through the Butler-Volmer relation [40], which is the driving force for direct and indirect oxidation and reduction reactions [41]. An optimal range of j_{app} was systematically noticed, depending on the type of EAOP [29, 33, 38, 42], anode and cathode materials [29, 38], on operating conditions (Table 1) as well as on the configuration mode of microfluidic reactors [34, 37, 38]. This apparent optimal value of j_{app} occurs due to two main reasons; either the electrical current is too low to produce significant quantity of oxidants or, on the contrary, too many electrical charges are given to the system till the redundant charges are wasted to unwanted side-reactions. Hence, the current efficiency (η , in %) is commonly written under the form given in Eq. (1) [38].

$$\eta = 100 \frac{n_e F C_{SOL} \dot{V}_F X}{j_{app} S} \quad (1)$$

where n_e is the number of required electrons for pollutant conversion, F is the Faraday constant (96,485 C mol⁻¹), \dot{V}_F is the flow rate (in m³ s⁻¹), X is the conversion rate, j_{app} in A m⁻², C_{SOL} is the initial pollutant concentration (in mol m⁻³) and S is the electrode surface area (in m²).

Figure 1 illustrates the percentages and η of chloroacetic acid removal by electro-oxidation (EO) at different current densities and flow rates. From Fig. 1(a), it was observed that at low current density, organic abatement increased with applied current. The degradation was kinetically controlled by charge transfer and that was the reason why it increased with j_{app} , whereas in the region of high j_{app} , the percentage of abatement increased negligibly or remained constant with further increase in j_{app} . The degradation was kinetically controlled by organics mass transfer to the electrode. Excessive charge would be used for parasitic reactions. As a result, the η plot depicted a maximum where it

increased with further increase in j_{app} (Fig. 1(b)). Several other works have come up with similar deduction [34, 37, 39, 43].

However, it is important to consider the matrices effects such as unwanted by-products formation as well as scaling phenomena (Section 2.6), when optimizing j_{app} . This has not been examined yet in microreactors application for wastewater treatment.




Fig. 1. (a) Percentage and (b) current efficiency (η) of degradation of chloroacetic acid during EO process in microfluidic reactor as a function of j_{app} at different flow rates. Initial pollutant concentration: 5 mM, d_{elec} : 50 μ m, anode: BDD, cathode: stainless steel, surface area: 5 cm²

(Adapted with permission from [38]. Copyright 2014, Elsevier).

2.2. Flow rate

The flow rate is an important parameter because it determines the residence time (τ) of the effluent to be treated in the electrolytic reactive zone. Generally, the influence of the flow rate depends on the electrochemical process occurring on electrode surfaces. According to **Fig. 1**, in the region where the process was kinetically controlled by charge transfer (lower j_{app}), organic removal was more efficient with lower flow rate. It was due to higher τ , thus there was a longer treatment of pollutant per charge applied. When the abatement of pollutant was controlled by mass transfer, the removal increased with the flow rate as a result of mass transfer intensification. η was also improved due to similar reasons (**Fig. 1**). Similar trend of conclusion has been documented in several works across literature [29, 30, 33, 37-39].

2.3. Interelectrode distance

Despite being in micrometric conditions, the interelectrode distance (d_{elec}) between anode and cathode still plays a determining role towards the reaction mechanism occurring inside the microfluidic reactor. **Figure 2** plots the percentage of color and chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal during an electro-Fenton (EF) process to oxidize acid orange dye pollutant. The highest color (100%) (**Fig. 2(b)**) and COD (80%) (**Fig. 2(c)**) removals were achieved at lower d_{elec} (120 vs. 240 μm) at 20 A m^{-2} (2 mA cm^{-2}) [42]. It was attributed to higher H_2O_2 production (**Fig. 2(a)**) with smaller d_{elec} [42]. Lower distance resulted in a higher contribution of dissolved oxygen (O_2) formed on anode via **Eq. (2)** to produce H_2O_2 via **Eq. (3)**. Furthermore, the lower distance also led to a more uniform distribution of dissolved O_2 thus favoring H_2O_2 formation [42]. Adversely, further decreasing in d_{elec} led to lower residence time in the reactor. Thus, it led to lower accumulation of H_2O_2 and faster mass transfer of H_2O_2 towards the anode, before being oxidized back to dissolved O_2 (**Eq. (4)**).




Fig. 2. (a) Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), percentage of (a) color removal and (b) COD removal of organic dye using EF inside microfluidic reactor with different d_{elec} of 75, 120 and 240 μ m at different j_{app} . Anode: IrO₂-Ta₂O₅/Ti, cathode: graphite. Initial dye concentration: 0.43 mM, Fe²⁺: 0.5 mM (Adapted with permission from [42]. Copyright 2013, Elsevier).

When the interelectrode gap decreases, redox reactions on both anode and cathode are intensified. Mass transfer towards both electrodes is also significantly improved. This has been demonstrated

during the electro-oxidation of tetrachloroethane inside a microfluidic reactor operating using 50 and 75 μm d_{elec} [44]. Better abatement and η were noticed using lower d_{elec} and it was due to a better mass transfer of the pollutant towards the electrodes.

While progressively transitioning into the micrometric scale by reducing the interelectrode gap, the electrochemical cell voltage is reduced [37]. It occurs owing to the reduction of ohmic drop in-between electrodes. This phenomenon has clearly been presented in Fig. 3. It illustrates the variation of ohmic resistance (ΣRI) happening inside electrochemical reactors with large range of d_{elec} at different possible supporting electrolyte concentrations [34]. Considering for example the lowest supporting electrolyte (0.5 g L^{-1}), the ΣRI measured inside stirred tank reactor with 25000 μm (2.5 cm) d_{elec} was 346 Ω . The resistance was reduced to 6 Ω inside a microfluidic reactor operating using similar 0.5 g L^{-1} supporting electrolyte.




Fig. 3. Variation of ohmic resistance as a function of d_{elec} and different concentrations of supporting electrolyte (Adapted with permission from [34]. Copyright 2018, Elsevier).

In addition, there has been a study conducted by Khongton et al. in which the EO experiments were performed at different d_{elec} (250 to 750 μm), while keeping the τ constant [39]. For that, the flow rate was adjusted according to the d_{elec} to keep the residence time identical. This important feature should

be taken into account in articles, in order to have comparable hydrodynamics while varying d_{elec} . A similar approach has been adopted more recently with the investigation of larger range of d_{elec} (50 to 3000 μm) [36, 45].

2.4. Electrode material

Depending upon the type of EAOP intended, electrode materials are selected accordingly. Advanced EO normally operates with anode possessing high overpotential for O_2 evolution such as lead oxide (PbO_2), tin oxide (SnO_2) and BDD (boron doped diamond), which possesses $E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{O}_2}^0$ of 1.8-2.0, 1.9-2.2 and 2.2-2.6 V/SHE respectively [16]. It allows the generation of potent oxidant such as $\bullet\text{OH}$ on its surface via Eq. (5).



BDD has received great attention due to its efficiency, stability, better life-span and high $E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{O}_2}^0$. These anodes are called non-active anodes, because the produced $\bullet\text{OH}$ are loosely attached on anode surface by physisorption [46]. Hence, they can react quasi non-selectively with organic pollutants to oxidize them into simpler forms. When EO mode is solely intended, electrodes possessing high hydrogen (H_2) evolution potential (close to 0 V/SHE) such as stainless steel, platinum (Pt), titanium (Ti), nickel (Ni) or other metals are used [22]. Thus, H_2O_2 generation, which is as well an oxidant, is avoided.

When EF process is applied, cathode with low overpotential for H_2 evolution (towards negative potential values) is used. The most common cathodes are carbonaceous-based materials such as graphite, carbon sponge, activated carbon fiber, graphite felt and carbon felt [16, 23]. The purpose is

to generate H_2O_2 *in-situ* from O_2 reduction via **Eq. (3)**, H_2O_2 being one of the reagents (with Fe^{2+}) of Fenton process provided in **Eq. (6)**.



Furthermore, carbonaceous gas diffusion electrode has also been used to even improve H_2O_2 production by maximizing the contact between cathode, O_2 (by supplying air flow) and electrolyte **[16]**. An anode possessing low overpotential for O_2 evolution is used for EF, e.g., Pt, RuO_2 and/or IrO_2 . These anodes are called active anodes, because $\bullet OH$ are strongly abided to the metal by chemisorption **[22]**. The metal M may form higher oxide MO and its decomposition releases O_2 . On an active anode, water oxidation produces dissolved O_2 which is the precursor of H_2O_2 following **Eq. (2)**. Thus, the EF reagents are electrocatalytically regenerated (**Eqs. (3) and (7)**) in the electrolytic cell.



A coupling of EAOP can be done in a single electrochemical cell simply by changing the electrode material. Successive attempts have been made in submillimetric reactor configuration for example during the degradation of chloroacetic acid **[38]** and Acid Orange 7 dye **[29]** by coupled EO-EF using BDD and compact graphite as anode and cathode respectively. A summary of different electrode materials used in EAOPs operated in microfluidic condition is given in **Table 1**. Changing the electrode material could give notable impact especially on overall operational cost, stability – thus durability of an EAOP and porosity – thus feasibility of the electrodes to adapt to a chosen reactor design. Overpotential of a specific electrochemical reaction might differ from one material to another, hence this phenomenon shall not be overlooked. Nonetheless, the simplicity to operate coupled electrochemical processes within a single reactor should further be exploited by researchers so that more advantages of EAOP could be unveiled: perhaps it could compete with other established technologies to treat wastewater.

2.5. Initial concentration of pollutant

The concentration of pollutant to be treated in the effluent can have an impact on the kinetics and efficiency of its degradation [33]. The results of EO of formic acid in a microfluidic reactor at varying formic acid concentrations, as plotted in Fig. 4, could explain the mechanism [33]. In the lower range of concentrations ($\leq 5 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$), high pollutant oxidation was achieved and it was independent of applied current. The degradation process was supposed to be controlled by mass transfer. Contrastingly, using higher formic acid concentration ($\geq 15 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$), a decrease of the degradation yield was noted but η increased (Eq. (1)). It suggested that the availability of pollutant near the anode surface was no longer the limiting factor, meaning that the kinetics was therefore limited by the charge transfer. Elsewhere, the degradation efficiency of organic dye was evaluated by either EO or EF process in microfluidic configurations [30]. Once again, lower organic abatement at higher concentration was observed but with drastic decrease in energy consumption (Fig. 4(c)). The latter was attributed to lower impact of parasitic oxidation reactions and therefore to the higher η .




Fig. 4. Formic acid removal (a) using EO and the associated η values (b) at varying initial formic acid concentrations using $50 \mu\text{m } d_{\text{elec}}$ (adapted from Scialdone et al. [33]). (●): 6.7 and (□): 10 mA cm^{-2} . (c) Variation of specific power consumption during organic dye (acid orange 7 (AO7)) degradation at different dye concentrations and j_{app} using d_{elec} of $50 \mu\text{m}$ (Adapted from Sabatino et al. [30]. Copyright 2016, Wiley).

When high j_{app} was used to treat highly concentrated wastewater particularly using BDD as anode, the organic oxidation would be limited by mass transfer [46]. It is because sufficient electrical charge was supplied, which provided sufficient amount of $\bullet\text{OH}$ to quickly and efficiently degrade the organics. Figure 5 gives an example of such case where landfill leachate waste was electro-oxidized using different anodes at 2 A [47]. Consequently, organic degradation could be modeled using a pseudo first-order kinetic rate, when its oxidation was independent of initial organic content.

In real field applications, since the type of pollutant and its concentration vary correspondingly with the origin of waste effluent, attention should be given to the value of current intensity to be applied so that minimal charge would be gone to the targeted waste to minimize unnecessary energy consumption.




Fig. 5. (a) COD abatement and (b) pseudo first-order kinetic plot during the EO of landfill leachate wastewater using (Δ): TiRuSnO₂, (\square): PbO₂ and (\circ): BDD anodes. I : 2 A and d_{elec} : 5000 μm

(Adapted with permission from [47]. Copyright 2013, Elsevier).

2.6. Effluent matrices

To date, most of the investigations dealing with water treatment using microfluidic electrochemical cells reported in literature were carried out using synthetic solutions containing targeted pollutants to be treated (Table 1), such as organic acids [33, 48], organochloride compounds [38, 44, 49], organic dyes [29, 30, 42, 50] and herbicides [32, 51, 52].

Amongst those published in literature, only few studies were done using real wastewater effluents [37, 39, 43, 53]. A real wastewater effluent, depending on its origin, is well known to contain complex matrix components. It is rich with organic matter be it volatile or not [54, 55], cyclic and aromatic compounds [56], unrecovered nutrients [57, 58] as well as organo-halogenic compounds [59, 60].

It has also been widely known throughout literature that halogenic byproducts (particularly chlorine-based, due to its prevalence) were amongst the limiting factor for the EAOP at industrial scale. While applying a typical value of current density for an EAOP using a current generator, the subsequent applied potential on anode very often surpasses the standard potential of oxidation of chlorate (ClO_3^-) and perchlorate (ClO_4^-) [59, 61, 62]. They are the most stable oxidized forms of chlorinated byproducts whilst unfortunately being categorized as harmful byproducts [62, 63]. Knowing this fact, surprisingly enough, no attempt has yet been made to study the fate of halogenic byproducts during advanced electro-oxidation process performed using microfluidic reactor.

Apart from plausible evolution of noxious byproducts during the process, real effluents often contain suspended solids. Implementing thin film electrochemical reactors implies micrometric distances in-between electrodes. Thus, filtration would perhaps be necessary as pretreatment. Next, mineral scaling could present another issue during the application of EAOP. It could occur in the presence of magnesium (Mg^{2+}) and/or calcium (Ca^{2+}) and carbonates ($\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$) in the effluent, which are omnipresent in various water and wastewater. The local alkalization on cathode originating from the reduction of dissolved oxygen (O_2) (Eq. (8)) and/or water (H_2O) (Eq. (9)) [64-66], induces the electro-precipitation of deposits (e.g., $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ (Eq. (10)), CaCO_3 (Eq. (11))) that progressively passivate the electrode [67-69].



The role of d_{elec} on the formation of electro-precipitation taking place inside microreactors and in the presence of BDD anode has been investigated recently in details [36, 45]. Higher CaCO_3 electro-precipitation was found using shorted d_{elec} when similar current density was applied (4 mA cm^{-2})

(Figs. 6(a)-6(b)). It could be explained by the fact that when d_{elec} decreases at constant current density, the cathode potential increases and the anode potential diminishes along with the cell potential. Thus, at larger d_{elec} the lower cathode potential (into water reduction region) led to higher H_2 gas evolution that detached the precipitates on the cathode as schematized in Fig. 7.




Fig. 6. Evolution of Ca^{2+} (a) and TIC (b) concentrations during the electrolysis at 4 mA cm^{-2} for different d_{elec} varying from micrometer range to millimeter range (—: $50 \mu\text{m}$, ×: $100 \mu\text{m}$, ○: $250 \mu\text{m}$, △: $500 \mu\text{m}$, □: $1000 \mu\text{m}$ and ◇: $3000 \mu\text{m}$). Cathode: SS and anode: BDD (Adapted with permission from [36]. Copyright 2021, Elsevier).




Fig. 7. Mechanistic scheme describing the influence of d_{elec} (100 - 3000 μm) and associated cathode potentials on the H_2 gas bubbles evolution and its impact on mineral electro-precipitation in the presence of precipitating ions (Ca^{2+} , HCO_3^-/CO_3^{2-}) (Adapted with permission from [36]. Copyright 2021, Elsevier).

Table 1. Literature review on the application of microfluidic reactors to treat wastewater.

Pollutant/matrix composition	EAOP	Mode	Electrode materials	$d_{elec} / \mu\text{m}$	Operating conditions	Ref
Oxalic acid	EO	Flow-by	Anode: BDD (9 cm ²) Cathode: Nickel (9 cm ²)	50, 360 and 750	Oxalic acid: 0.36 – 0.9 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 4.44 – 1.67 mA cm ⁻² (40 – 150 mA) Flow rate: 0.5 mL min ⁻¹ , Na ₂ SO ₄ : 0 or 5 g L ⁻¹ , pH: 2	[48]
Formic acid	EO	Flow-by	Anode: BDD (2.7 cm ²) Cathode: Nickel (2.7 cm ²)	50 and 75	Formic acid: 0.069 – 2.3 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 2.2 – 40 mA cm ⁻² (6 – 108 mA) Flow rate: 0.05 – 0.30 mL min ⁻¹ , pH: 2	[33]
Acid Orange 7	EF	Flow-by	Anode: Ti/IrO ₂ -Ta ₂ O ₅ (5 cm ²) Cathode: Compact graphite (5 cm ²) or Carbon felt (5 – 6 cm ²)	7, 120 and 240	Acid Orange 7: 0.15 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 1 – 15 mA/cm ² (5 – 75 mA) Flow rate: 0.1 mL min ⁻¹ , Na ₂ SO ₄ : 5 g L ⁻¹ , Fe ²⁺ : 0.076 g L ⁻¹ , volume: 50 mL	[42]
Chloroacetic acid	EO EF EO-EF	Flow-by	EO : Anode: BDD, Cathode: Stainless steel EF : Anode: Ti/IrO ₂ -Ta ₂ O ₅ , Cathode: Compact graphite EO-EF : Anode: BDD, Cathode: Compact graphite All electrode surface area: 5 cm ²	50 and 100	Chloroacetic acid: 0.473 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 1 – 20 mA cm ⁻² (5 – 100 mA) Flow rate: 0.1 – 0.6 mL min ⁻¹ , EF: 0 or 0.076 g L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ , pH: 3 (EF)	[38]
Acid Orange 7	EO EF Indirect oxidation by active chlorine (IOAC)	Flow-by	EO : Anode: BDD, Cathode: Nickel EF : Anode: Ti/IrO ₂ -Ta ₂ O ₅ , Cathode: Compact graphite IOAC : Anode: Ti/IrO ₂ -Ta ₂ O ₅ , Cathode: Nickel All electrode surface area: 5 cm ²	50, 75, 120 and 240	Acid Orange 7: 0.15 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 2 – 14 mA cm ⁻² (10 – 70 mA) Flow rate: 0.1 – 0.4 mL min ⁻¹ , EF: 0.034 – 0.152 g L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ , IOAC: 0.993 g L ⁻¹ NaCl, pH: 7 (EO, IOAC) and 3 (EF)	[29]

Pollutant/matrix composition	EAOP	Mode	Electrode materials	$d_{elec} / \mu m$	Operating conditions	Ref
Tetrachloroethane	EO ER EO-ER	Flow-by	EO: Anode: BDD, Cathode: Nickel ER: Anode: DSA, Cathode: Silver EO-ER: Anode: BDD, Cathode: Silver All electrode surface area: 3-4 cm ²	50 and 75	Tetrachloroethane: 0.151 – 0.285 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 3 – 15 mA cm ⁻² (9 – 45 mA) Flow rate: 0.1 – 0.4 mL min ⁻¹ , pH: 2	[44]
Dichloroacetic acid	ER	Flow-by	Anode: Ti/IrO ₂ -Ta ₂ O ₅ , Cathode: Compact graphite Configuration in series: Surface area: 4 cm ² (each electrode) Configuration in stack: Surface area: 6 cm ² (each chamber)	100	Dichloroacetic acid: 0.013 – 0.0645 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 10 – 48 mA cm ⁻² Flow rate: 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 mL min ⁻¹	[49]
Acid Orange 7	EO EF EF-EO	Flow-by	EO: Anode: BDD, Cathode: Nickel EF: Anode: DSA, Cathode: Graphite Configuration: single, 3 in series or in series with between 2 EAOPs All electrode surface area: 4 cm ²	EO: 50 EF: 120	Acid Orange 7: 0.15 and 0.5 g L ⁻¹ EO: j_{app} : 2 – 20 mA cm ⁻² Flow rate: 0.1 – 0.3 mL min ⁻¹ EF: j_{app} : 1 – 20 mA cm ⁻² Flow rate: 0.1 mL min ⁻¹ , Fe ²⁺ : 0.076 g L ⁻¹ , pH: 3	[30]
Total organic carbon in reclaimed industrial WWTP effluent	EO	Flow-by	Anode: BDD (3.75 cm ²) Cathode: Nickel (3.75 cm ²)	50	TOC ₀ : 0.21 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 5.3 – 53.3 mA cm ⁻² (20 – 200 mA) Flow rate: 0.1 – 0.5 mL min ⁻¹ , pH: 6.2, volume: 0.05 L	[37]
Diuron herbicide	EO	Flow-by	Anode: Graphite sheet (3 cm ²) Cathode: Stainless steel (3 cm ²)	250 – 750	Diuron: 0.01 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 0.16 – 0.64 mA cm ⁻² (0.5 – 2 mA) pH: 3 – 10, conductivity: 6.7 – 1000 μS cm ⁻¹	[39]
Paracetamol in synthetic solution and reclaimed municipal WWTP effluent	EO	Flow-by	Anode: BDD (50 cm ²) Cathode: Carbon felt (50 cm ²)	50 – 1000	Paracetamol: 0.015 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 2 to 12 mA cm ⁻² pH: 3 or neutral, Na ₂ SO ₄ : 0.14, 0.57 and 1.42 g L ⁻¹ , conductivity: 230 – 2000 μS cm ⁻¹ , flow rate: 430 mL min ⁻¹ , volume: 0.2 or 0.5 L	[53]

Pollutant/matrix composition	EAOP	Mode	Electrode materials	$d_{elec} / \mu\text{m}$	Operating conditions	Ref
Synthetic solution containing magnesium, calcium, carbonates	EO	Flow-by	Anode: BDD (50 cm ²) Cathode: Stainless steel (50 cm ²)	500	Magnesium: 0.005 g L ⁻¹ , calcium: 0.15 g L ⁻¹ , total inorganic carbon: 0.06 g-C L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 0.4 to 4 mA cm ⁻² pH: 7.6, conductivity: 1000 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$, flow rate: 100 mL min ⁻¹ , volume: 0.5 L	[45]
Synthetic solution containing magnesium, calcium, carbonates	EO	Flow-by	Anode: BDD (50 cm ²) Cathode: Stainless steel (50 cm ²)	50 – 3000	Magnesium: 0.005 g L ⁻¹ , calcium: 0.15 g L ⁻¹ , total inorganic carbon: 0.06 g-C L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 4 mA cm ⁻² pH: 7.6, conductivity: 1000 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$, flow rate: 10 - 600 mL min ⁻¹ (adapted to d_{elec}), volume: 0.5 L	[36]
Mordant Orange dye	EO	Flow-through	Anode: BDD mesh (25 cm ²) Cathode: Ti/RuO ₂ mesh (26 cm ²)	150	Dye: 0.1 g L ⁻¹ , j_{app} : 10 – 40 mA cm ⁻² (250 – 1000 mA), pH: 6.2 – 6.6, conductivity: 11 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ – 108 mS cm ⁻¹ (in Na ₂ SO ₄ and NaCl), rotation speed: 200 – 1000 rpm, volume: 0.3 L	[50]
Paracetamol in synthetic solution	EO	Flow-through	Anode: Perforated BDD (14 cm ²) Cathode: Carbon felt (14 cm ²)	500	Paracetamol: 0.015 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 4 mA cm ⁻² Na ₂ SO ₄ : 0.57 g L ⁻¹ , pH: neutral, conductivity: 850 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$, volume: 4 L	[70]
Clopyralid simulated washing effluent in soil	EO	Flow-through	Anode: BDD mesh (33 cm ²) Cathode: Perforated plate stainless steel (33 cm ²)	400	Clopyralid: 0.1 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 10 mA cm ⁻² Flow rate: 1670 mL min ⁻¹ , volume: 1 L	[32]
Clopyralid simulated washing effluent in soil	EO	Flow-through	Anode: BDD (50 cm ²) or Ti/RuO ₂ -IrO ₂ MMO mesh (53 cm ²) Cathode: Perforated stainless steel plate (33 cm ²)	400	Clopyralid: 0.1 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 10 and 100 mA cm ⁻² Flow rate: 1670 mL min ⁻¹ , volume: 1 L	[34]
Clopyralid simulated washing effluent in soil	EF	Flow-through	Anode: Ti/RuO ₂ -IrO ₂ MMO (53 cm ²) or BDD mesh (50 cm ²) Cathode: CB/PTFE-RVC or CB/PTFE-Al (33 cm ²)	400	Clopyralid: 0.1 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 20, 30 and 50 mA cm ⁻³ Flow rate: 1170 mL min ⁻¹ , Fe ²⁺ : 0.028 and 0.112 g L ⁻¹ , Na ₂ SO ₄ : 1 or 7.1 g L ⁻¹ , pH: 3, volume: 0.750 L	[51]

Pollutant/matrix composition	EAOP	Mode	Electrode materials	$d_{\text{elec}} / \mu\text{m}$	Operating conditions	Ref
Clopyralid simulated washing effluent in soil	EF	Flow-through	Anode: Ti/RuO ₂ -IrO ₂ MMO (33 cm ²) Cathode: CB/PTFE-Al foam (33 cm ²), Al foam thickness: 5 mm. For scale-up study: 10 and 15 mm	150	Clopyralid: 0.1 g L ⁻¹ j_{app} : 10 – 60 mA cm ⁻³ Flow rate: 2670 mL min ⁻¹ , Na ₂ SO ₄ : 7.1 g L ⁻¹ , volume: 2.25 L, pressurized air: 6 bars	[52]
Pretreated soil washing wastewater containing clopyralid herbicide	EO EF	Flow-through	EO : Anode: BDD mesh, Cathode: perforated stainless steel plate EF : Anode: BDD mesh, Cathode: CB/PTFE-Al foam All electrode surface area: 33 cm ²	150	400 g of soil polluted with 100 g kg ⁻¹ of clopyralid washed with 1 L water j_{app} : 10 – 100 mA cm ⁻² Flow rate: 400 – 1600 mL min ⁻¹ , EF: 0.028 g L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ , pH: 3 (EF)	[43]

Abbreviations: EO: Electro-oxidation, ER: electro-reduction, EF: electro-Fenton, BDD: boron-doped diamond, DSA: dimensionally stable anode, CB: carbon black, PTFE: polytetrafluoroethylene, RVC: reticulated vitreous carbon, Al: aluminum, d_{elec} : interelectrode distance, j_{app} : applied current density, TOC: total organic carbon.

299 3. Design and modularity of microfluidic electrochemical cell

300 3.1. Mass transfer in submillimetric electrochemical reactors

301 The application of submillimetric reactor configurations requires further in-depth understanding
302 regarding the mass transfer phenomenon at the core of the electrochemical cell. Thin film reactors
303 [71-73] introduced in the early 80s consisted of a plate electrode (i.e., the working electrode (WE))
304 most of the time positioned horizontally and were equipped with a counter electrode at the
305 downstream of the reactor (Fig. 8(a)). The reference electrode (RE) was positioned close to the WE,
306 upstream if a 3-electrode configuration was adopted [73]. This thin film setup is not appropriate to
307 receive wastewater effluent. Flow-by filter-press or flow-through reactor designs are more apt for
308 wastewater applications at pilot or even industrial scale (e.g., Figs. 8(b)-(c)).

309 Sherwood (Sh) correlation (Eq. (12)) assuming Lévêque approximation is common in parallel-plate
310 electrochemical reactors in the literature:

$$311 \quad Sh = aRe^b Sc^c Le^d \quad (12)$$

312 where Re is the Reynolds number, Sc is the Schmidt number, Le is the dimensionless distance, a , b ,
313 c and d defined constant parameters.


314 It allows a dimensionless expression of the mass transfer coefficient (k_m) with dimensionless fluid
315 properties as well as dimensionless reactor configurations under respective hydrodynamic regime of
316 liquid flow. As a result, the extrapolation of k_m for a given reactor dimension during a scale up or
317 scale down is possible.

318 Recently, it has been established for the first time a correlation between k_m and d_{elec} for a wide range
319 of distance from microfluidic condition (100 μm) to millimetric configuration in a flow-by parallel-
320 plate cell (Fig. 9) [35]. This should be beneficial not only to the application in environmental field
321 but also in other electrochemistry areas. It allows a convenient estimation of k_m for a scale up or scale

322 down of an electrochemical reactor operated in comparable hydrodynamic regime. It further
323 highlights the drastic increase of mass transfer as soon as d_{elec} is below 1 mm, which could be defined
324 as the limit between microfluidic and macrometric behavior. Moreover, since the associated average
325 Sh number (Sh_{ave}) as a function of d_{elec} is expressed in dimensionless form (**Fig. 9**) [35], the correlation
326 remains valid to other microfluidic reactor geometries of interest.


327

328



329

330 **Fig. 8. (a)** Thin-film channel flow cell reproduced from Cooper et al. [73] and more recent parallel-
 331 plate electrochemical reactors such as (b) parallel-plate with impinging inlet (Reproduced with
 332 permission from [74]. Copyright 2005, Elsevier) and (c) commercial parallel-plate filter-press type
 333 reactor (Reproduced with permission from [75]. Copyright 2012, Elsevier).




335

336 **Fig. 9.** Variation of experimental and theoretical average k_m as function of d_{elec} in a flow-by
 337 parallel-plate cell. Operating conditions: temperature: 25 °C, identical cross-sectional electrolyte
 338 velocity (u_L): 4 m min⁻¹ and electrolyte residence time (τ): 0.025 min. W : electrode width (m), D_L :
 339 diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹), L : electrode length (m), S : effective surface area of working electrode
 340 (m²) (Adapted with permission from [35]. Copyright 2021, Elsevier).

341

342 **3.2. Microfluidic electrochemical reactor in wastewater treatment**
343 **applications**

344 To date, two electrochemical reactor designs have been proposed to treat wastewater in microfluidic
345 conditions as summarized in [Table 1](#). The first one is a flow-by design and the other one is a flow-
346 through configuration as illustrated in [Fig. 10](#).




347

348 **Fig. 10.** (a) Difference between flow-by and flow-through electrolytic flow and (b) scheme of flow-
349 through microfluidic cell (Adapted with permission from [\[32\]](#). Copyright 2017, Elsevier).

350 In the flow-by configuration, the electrolyte flowed in between two planar electrodes separated by a
351 micrometric distance [\[32\]](#). In the flow-through mode, the electrodes were porous, typically meshes,
352 which allowed the electrolyte to pass through them [\[32\]](#). The two meshes of anode and cathode were
353 separated by a spacer, micrometric in thickness, which gave microfluidic characteristics to the reactor
354 [\[32\]](#).

355 A comparison in terms of pollutant degradation as well as economic performance between the two
356 designs has been performed and it has been illustrated in [Fig. 11](#). It can be observed that both
357 degradation of clopyralid and mineralization of total organic carbon (TOC) were faster using flow-
358 through mode at both applied current investigated ([Figs. 11\(a\)-11\(b\)](#)). At 10 mA cm^{-2} , complete
359 removal of clopyralid and TOC were obtained after 10.1 and 11.4 Ah dm^{-3} respectively using flow-

360 by mode, whilst they required 2.4 and 2.7 Ah dm⁻³ in flow-through mode. Lower E_{SP} was also
 361 obtained in the flow-through configuration, which was evaluated at 12 kWh m⁻³ using 10 mA cm⁻²
 362 against 61 kWh m⁻³ in flow-by mode working at similar j_{app} . Better energetic performance was
 363 attributed not only to lower cell voltage but also to improved mass transfer [32, 34]. With 3-
 364 dimensional mesh electrodes in flow-through cell, higher geometric area and specific surface of
 365 electrode could be achieved over planar electrodes and more local turbulence occurred in the mesh.
 366 Therefore, the reactor design was more compact and k_m was higher compared to the flow-by design.



367


368 **Fig. 11.** Comparison of clopyralid pesticide (●, ○) and total organic carbon (TOC) (■, □)
 369 removal using flow-by (●, ■) and flow-through (○, □) microfluidic reactors by applying 10 ((a),
 370 (c)) and 100 ((b), (d)) mA cm⁻². Power consumption of flow-by (black bar) and flow-through (white
 371 bar) during the process to reach different mineralization degrees at 10 mA cm⁻² (c) and 100 mA cm⁻²

372 ² (d). Flow-by d_{elec} : 3000 μm and flow-through d_{elec} : 400 μm . Anode: BDD and cathode: stainless
373 steel. (Adapted with permission from [34]. Copyright 2018, Elsevier).


374

375 Due to the fact that the electrochemical microreactor implies small volume within the cell, the
376 productivity of the process using such reactor design is often questionable. To address this problem,
377 several authors have tempted operating the reactors in series. For example, up to three flow-by
378 microfluidic reactors were operated in series (Fig. 12(a)) to degrade an organic dye up to 500 mg L⁻¹
379 in concentration [30]. The results are presented in Fig. 13. It was shown that color and organics
380 removal as well as treatment productivity could effectively be improved by operating the cells in
381 series as expected. A coupling of two microfluidic reactors combining EF and EO were as well
382 modulated. The first process of EF was aimed to reduce organic content using cheap electrodes while
383 using low energy consumption, whilst from the second EO process, the strong oxidation by $\bullet\text{OH}$ was
384 intended to achieve total organics degradation. Optimal coupling was obtained by adapting different
385 applied parameters required by each operation [30]. An assay has also been made to increase H₂O₂
386 production in a flow-through microfluidic cell [52]. It was done by using thicker cathode materials
387 (5, 10 and 15 mm in length, which gave 16.5 to 49.5 cm³ in volume (depicted in Fig. 12(b))) hence
388 sizing up the geometrical volume of electrochemical reactor. The authors reported having a
389 proportional increase in H₂O₂ production rate with the increasing cathode thickness. However, ohmic
390 resistance was reported to increase slightly when the thickness of cathode was increased. Cell voltage
391 also increased with electrode thickness. As a result, higher cell voltage entailed an increase in E_{SP} .

(a)




(b)




392

393 **Fig. 12. (a)** Flow-by microfluidic reactors operating in series (Reproduced with permission from
394 **[30]**. Copyright 2016, Wiley) and **(b)** cathode material thicknesses used in flow-through
395 microfluidic cell (Reproduced with permission from **[52]**. Copyright 2019, Elsevier).



412 polymer electrolyte with $150\ \mu\text{m}$ of thickness allowed operating with very low-conductivity solutions
 413 ($10^{-5}\ \text{S cm}^{-1}$).



414

415 **Fig. 14. (a)** Schematic diagram of reactive electro-mixing reactor and **(b)** a reactive electro-blade
 416 acting as flow-through microreactor (Reproduced with permission from [70]. Copyright 2020,
 417 Elsevier).

418

419 4. Economical perspectives


420 Specific power consumption (E_{SP}) is typically proportional to the applied current. Depending on how
421 much electrical current is applied for the degradation of organics, E_{SP} can be estimated for the
422 process. It has commonly been defined as energy consumed gram of pollutant treated (kWh g-
423 pollutant⁻¹) as written in Eq. (13).

$$424 E_{SP} = \frac{\Delta U I t}{(\Delta C) V} \quad (13)$$

425 where ΔU is the cell voltage (in V), I is applied current intensity (in A), t is time of electrolysis (in s),
426 ΔC is the decrease of pollutant concentration (in g L⁻¹) and V is the treated volume in the reactor (in
427 L).

428 From a practical viewpoint, Fig. 15 illustrates some comparisons in specific energy consumption
429 between micro- and macroreactor setups. In agreement to Fig. 15(a), Ma et al. (Table 1) not only
430 observed 24% better total organic abatement but they also evaluated up to 92% drop in E_{SP} when a
431 microreactor was adopted [37]. Better organic removal was attributed to mass transfer enhancement
432 and the drop in power consumption was due to the reduction of ohmic drop in microfluidic cell [34,
433 37, 53, 70]. Moreover, further tweak in the design of microreactor could allow better energetic
434 performance of the cell. For instance, when the whole micrometric reactor was set in motion such as
435 proposed by Mousset [70] to benefit the convective transport towards electrode surface while
436 minimizing the diffusion layer thickness on electrode, even lower E_{SP} could be obtained. According
437 to Fig. 15(b), 98% lower E_{SP} was found using microfluidic electro-mixing reactor as compared to
438 microfluidic parallel-plate reactor with similar d_{elec} .

439 Therefore, with significant reduction in E_{SP} , total EAOP operational cost could heavily be cut. EAOP
440 operating in microfluidic mode moreover with ingenious cell design could potentially be competitive
441 with respect to other conventional methods to treat wastewater.



443

444 **Fig. 15.** Comparison of E_{SP} between (a) parallel-plate microreactor (d_{elec} : 50 μm) and macroreactor
 445 (d_{elec} : 2 cm, with and without supporting electrolyte) during an EO process treating real wastewater
 446 effluent using 100 mA applied current (adapted with permission from [37]. Copyright 2018,
 447 Elsevier) and (b) electro-mixing microreactor (d_{elec} : 500 μm) and microfluidic parallel-plate reactor
 448 (d_{elec} : 500 μm) during EO of synthetic effluent using 200 mA applied current (adapted with
 449 permission from [70]. Copyright 2020, Elsevier).

450 5. Summary and outlook

451 Considering the interest in the use of submillimetric electrochemical reactor to treat wastewater, this
 452 topic deserves more attention from research community. It offers the possibility to deal with low-
 453 conductivity effluents, while intensifying the mass transfer and therefore increasing the kinetics and
 454 process yield. Besides, power consumption can be minimized, since the cell potential is massively
 455 reduced. Given these opportunities, more evaluations on the performance of microfluidic reactors to
 456 treat real wastewater effluents are required. Moreover, increasing group of micropollutants have been
 457 identified as contaminants of concern which can cause harm to living creatures in the near future [11].
 458 Consequently, prompt reliable and cost-effective complementary treatments are required sooner

459 rather than later. Most studies on micropollutant degradation have used an augmented concentration
460 of the target pollutant due to analytical challenge. However, the follow up of treatment efficacy
461 departing from actual concentrations of micropollutant detected in real effluent is essential. Next,
462 from the practical standpoint, the improvement in treatment productivity is the utmost problematic
463 that needs to be catered by the researchers in the domain. The opportunities offered by microfluidic
464 cells are fruitless unless they are able to handle large effluent volumes, as recently proposed with the
465 reactive electro-mixing reactor [70]. Realistically, adequate investment is needed for the installation
466 startup. However, with proper operational maintenance on top of power saving advantage offered by
467 submillimetric reactor feature, significant cuts in operational expense could be benefited in the long
468 run.

469 To date, clogging and electrode fouling issues also represent a big hurdle for the application of
470 microfluidic reactors at large scale [22, 76-78]. These challenges are more genuine when real
471 wastewater is to be treated. Reclaimed municipal or industrial wastewater effluents often contain
472 colloidal and suspended solids that would necessitate pretreatment steps. Recently, the occurrence of
473 electro-precipitation in microfluidic electrochemical cell has been investigated [36, 45]. Care must
474 be taken upon applying the micrometric configurations as the mass transfer was enhanced but so did
475 the electrode fouling. Consequently, the process durability under continuous operation, which is the
476 ultimate goal in real field applications, could massively be impacted, when regular and costly
477 maintenance is not foreseen. Polarity reversal technique could be implemented to minimize the
478 electro-precipitation formation such systematically applied in electrodialysis reversal at industrial
479 scale [79, 80]. Nevertheless, both cathode and anode materials would need to be stable against
480 corrosion/burning during the oxidation period and consequently the cathode material is often
481 expensive.

482

483 **Acknowledgments**

484 Authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the French Ministry of Higher Education and
485 Research (MESRI) for financial grant of doctorate program for Faidzul Hakim Adnan.

486

487 **References**

- 488 [1] S.D. Richardson, S.Y. Kimura, Water analysis: Emerging contaminants and current issues, *Analytical*
489 *Chemistry*, 88 (2016) 546-582.
- 490 [2] M.S. Díaz-Cruz, D. Barceló, Determination of antimicrobial residues and metabolites in the aquatic
491 environment by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*,
492 386 (2006) 973-985.
- 493 [3] M.A. Oturan, J.-J. Aaron, Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment: Principles and
494 applications. A review, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 44 (2014) 2577-2641.
- 495 [4] I. Sirés, E. Brillas, Remediation of water pollution caused by pharmaceutical residues based on
496 electrochemical separation and degradation technologies: A review, *Environment International*, 40 (2012) 212-
497 229.
- 498 [5] V. Jegatheesan, B.K. Pramanik, J. Chen, D. Navaratna, C.-Y. Chang, L. Shu, Treatment of textile
499 wastewater with membrane bioreactor: A critical review, *Bioresource Technology*, 204 (2016) 202-212.
- 500 [6] M. Panizza, Chapter 13 - Fine chemical industry, pulp and paper industry, petrochemical industry and
501 pharmaceutical industry, in: C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, O. Scialdone (Eds.) *Electrochemical Water*
502 *and Wastewater Treatment*, Butterworth-Heinemann2018, pp. 335-364.
- 503 [7] K. Groenen Serrano, Chapter 6 - Indirect electrochemical oxidation using hydroxyl radical, active chlorine,
504 and peroxodisulfate, in: C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, O. Scialdone (Eds.) *Electrochemical Water and*
505 *Wastewater Treatment*, Butterworth-Heinemann2018, pp. 133-164.
- 506 [8] C. Sáez, M.A. Rodrigo, A.S. Fajardo, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, Chapter 7 - Indirect electrochemical oxidation
507 by using ozone, hydrogen peroxide, and ferrate, in: C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, O. Scialdone (Eds.)
508 *Electrochemical Water and Wastewater Treatment*, Butterworth-Heinemann2018, pp. 165-192.
- 509 [9] E. Brillas, I. Sirés, Chapter 11 - Hybrid and sequential chemical and electrochemical processes for water
510 decontamination, in: C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, O. Scialdone (Eds.) *Electrochemical Water and*
511 *Wastewater Treatment*, Butterworth-Heinemann2018, pp. 267-304.
- 512 [10] E. Mousset, W.H. Loh, W.S. Lim, L. Jarry, Z. Wang, O. Lefebvre, Cost comparison of advanced oxidation
513 processes for wastewater treatment using accumulated oxygen-equivalent criteria, *Water Research*, 200 (2021)
514 117234.
- 515 [11] L. Rizzo, W. Gernjak, P. Krzeminski, S. Malato, C.S. McArdell, J.A.S. Perez, H. Schaar, D. Fatta-
516 Kassinos, Best available technologies and treatment trains to address current challenges in urban wastewater
517 reuse for irrigation of crops in EU countries, *Science of The Total Environment*, 710 (2020) 136312.

- 518 [12] P. Rychen, C. Provent, L. Pupunat, N. Hermant, Domestic and industrial water disinfection using boron-
519 doped diamond electrodes, in: C. Comninellis, G. Chen (Eds.) *Electrochemistry for the Environment*, Springer
520 New York, New York, NY, 2010, pp. 143-161.
- 521 [13] A. Kapałka, G. Fóti, C. Comninellis, Basic principles of the electrochemical mineralization of organic
522 pollutants for wastewater treatment, in: C. Comninellis, G. Chen (Eds.) *Electrochemistry for the Environment*,
523 Springer New York, New York, NY, 2010, pp. 1-23.
- 524 [14] O. Garcia-Rodriguez, E. Mousset, H. Olvera-Vargas, O. Lefebvre, Electrochemical treatment of highly
525 concentrated wastewater: A review of experimental and modeling approaches from lab- to full-scale, *Critical*
526 *Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, (2020) 1-70.
- 527 [15] O. Ganzenko, D. Huguenot, E.D. van Hullebusch, G. Esposito, M.A. Oturan, Electrochemical advanced
528 oxidation and biological processes for wastewater treatment: A review of the combined approaches,
529 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21 (2014) 8493-8524.
- 530 [16] F.C. Moreira, R.A.R. Boaventura, E. Brillas, V.J.P. Vilar, Electrochemical advanced oxidation processes:
531 A review on their application to synthetic and real wastewaters, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 202
532 (2017) 217-261.
- 533 [17] E. Mousset, C. Trelu, H. Olvera-Vargas, Y. Pechaud, F. Fourcade, M.A. Oturan, Electrochemical
534 technologies coupled with biological treatments, *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*, 26 (2021) 100668.
- 535 [18] E. Mousset, Z. Wang, H. Olvera-Vargas, O. Lefebvre, Advanced electrocatalytic pre-treatment to improve
536 the biodegradability of real wastewater from the electronics industry — A detailed investigation study, *Journal*
537 *of Hazardous Materials*, 360 (2018) 552-559.
- 538 [19] E. Mousset, L. Frunzo, G. Esposito, E.D.v. Hullebusch, N. Oturan, M.A. Oturan, A complete phenol
539 oxidation pathway obtained during electro-Fenton treatment and validated by a kinetic model study, *Applied*
540 *Catalysis B: Environmental*, 180 (2016) 189-198.
- 541 [20] M.A. Oturan, Outstanding performances of the BDD film anode in electro-Fenton process: Applications
542 and comparative performance, *Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science*, 25 (2021) 100925.
- 543 [21] E. Mousset, N. Oturan, M.A. Oturan, An unprecedented route of OH radical reactivity evidenced by an
544 electrocatalytical process: Ipso-substitution with perhalogenocarbon compounds, *Applied Catalysis B:*
545 *Environmental*, 226 (2018) 135-146.
- 546 [22] C.A. Martínez-Huitle, M.A. Rodrigo, I. Sirés, O. Scialdone, Single and coupled electrochemical processes
547 and reactors for the abatement of organic water pollutants: A critical review, *Chemical Reviews*, 115 (2015)
548 13362-13407.
- 549 [23] I. Sirés, E. Brillas, M.A. Oturan, M.A. Rodrigo, M. Panizza, Electrochemical advanced oxidation
550 processes: Today and tomorrow. A review, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21 (2014) 8336-
551 8367.
- 552 [24] E. Mousset, L. Quackenbush, C. Schondek, A. Gerardin-Vergne, S. Pontvianne, S. Kmiotek, M.-N. Pons,
553 Effect of homogeneous Fenton combined with electron transfer on the fate of inorganic chlorinated species in
554 synthetic and reclaimed municipal wastewater, *Electrochimica Acta*, 334 (2020) 135608.
- 555 [25] E. Mousset, S. Pontvianne, M.-N. Pons, Fate of inorganic nitrogen species under homogeneous Fenton
556 combined with electro-oxidation/reduction treatments in synthetic solutions and reclaimed municipal
557 wastewater, *Chemosphere*, 201 (2018) 6-12.
- 558 [26] E. Mousset, D.D. Dionysiou, Photoelectrochemical reactors for treatment of water and wastewater: A
559 review, *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 18 (2020) 1301-1318.

- 560 [27] A. Wang, Y.-Y. Li, A.L. Estrada, Mineralization of antibiotic sulfamethoxazole by photoelectro-Fenton
561 treatment using activated carbon fiber cathode and under UVA irradiation, *Applied Catalysis B:*
562 *Environmental*, 102 (2011) 378-386.
- 563 [28] M. Skoumal, R.M. Rodríguez, P.L. Cabot, F. Centellas, J.A. Garrido, C. Arias, E. Brillas, Electro-Fenton,
564 UVA photoelectro-Fenton and solar photoelectro-Fenton degradation of the drug ibuprofen in acid aqueous
565 medium using platinum and boron-doped diamond anodes, *Electrochimica Acta*, 54 (2009) 2077-2085.
- 566 [29] O. Scialdone, A. Galia, S. Sabatino, Abatement of Acid Orange 7 in macro and micro reactors. Effect of
567 the electrocatalytic route, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 148-149 (2014) 473-483.
- 568 [30] S. Sabatino, A. Galia, O. Scialdone, Electrochemical abatement of organic pollutants in continuous-
569 reaction systems through the assembly of microfluidic cells in series, *ChemElectroChem*, 3 (2016) 83-90.
- 570 [31] E. Mousset, Interest of micro-reactors for the implementation of advanced electrocatalytic oxidation with
571 boron-doped diamond anode for wastewater treatment, *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*, (2021) 100897.
- 572 [32] J.F. Pérez, J. Llanos, C. Sáez, C. López, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, A microfluidic flow-through
573 electrochemical reactor for wastewater treatment: A proof-of-concept, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 82
574 (2017) 85-88.
- 575 [33] O. Scialdone, C. Guarisco, A. Galia, Oxidation of organics in water in microfluidic electrochemical
576 reactors: Theoretical model and experiments, *Electrochimica Acta*, 58 (2011) 463-473.
- 577 [34] J.F. Pérez, J. Llanos, C. Sáez, C. López, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, Development of an innovative
578 approach for low-impact wastewater treatment: A microfluidic flow-through electrochemical reactor,
579 *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 351 (2018) 766-772.
- 580 [35] F.H. Adnan, M.-N. Pons, E. Mousset, Mass transport evolution in microfluidic thin film electrochemical
581 reactors: New correlations from millimetric to submillimetric interelectrode distances, *Electrochemistry*
582 *Communications*, 130 (2021) 107097.
- 583 [36] F.H. Adnan, S. Pontvianne, M.-N. Pons, E. Mousset, Unprecedented roles of submillimetric interelectrode
584 distances and electrogenerated gas bubbles on mineral cathodic electro-precipitation: Modeling and interface
585 studies, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, (2021) 133413.
- 586 [37] P. Ma, H. Ma, S. Sabatino, A. Galia, O. Scialdone, Electrochemical treatment of real wastewater. Part 1:
587 Effluents with low conductivity, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 336 (2018) 133-140.
- 588 [38] O. Scialdone, E. Corrado, A. Galia, I. Sirés, Electrochemical processes in macro and microfluidic cells
589 for the abatement of chloroacetic acid from water, *Electrochimica Acta*, 132 (2014) 15-24.
- 590 [39] W. Khongthong, G. Jovanovic, A. Yokochi, P. Sangvanich, V. Pavarajarn, Degradation of diuron via an
591 electrochemical advanced oxidation process in a microscale-based reactor, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 292
592 (2016) 298-307.
- 593 [40] S. Fransen, J. Fransaer, S. Kuhn, Current and concentration distributions in electrochemical microreactors:
594 Numerical calculations and asymptotic approximations for self-supported paired synthesis, *Electrochimica*
595 *Acta*, 292 (2018) 914-934.
- 596 [41] E. Mousset, Y. Pechaud, N. Oturan, M.A. Oturan, Charge transfer/mass transport competition in advanced
597 hybrid electrocatalytic wastewater treatment: Development of a new current efficiency relation, *Applied*
598 *Catalysis B: Environmental*, 240 (2019) 102-111.
- 599 [42] O. Scialdone, A. Galia, S. Sabatino, Electro-generation of H₂O₂ and abatement of organic pollutant in
600 water by an electro-Fenton process in a microfluidic reactor, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 26 (2013) 45-
601 47.

- 602 [43] M. Rodríguez, M. Muñoz-Morales, J.F. Perez, C. Saez, P. Cañizares, C.E. Barrera-Díaz, M.A. Rodrigo,
603 Toward the development of efficient electro-Fenton reactors for soil washing wastes through microfluidic
604 cells, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 57 (2018) 10709-10717.
- 605 [44] O. Scialdone, A. Galia, C. Guarisco, S. La Mantia, Abatement of 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane in water by
606 reduction at silver cathode and oxidation at boron doped diamond anode in micro reactors, *Chemical*
607 *Engineering Journal*, 189-190 (2012) 229-236.
- 608 [45] F.H. Adnan, E. Mousset, S. Pontvianne, M.-N. Pons, Mineral cathodic electro-precipitation and its kinetic
609 modelling in thin-film microfluidic reactor during advanced electro-oxidation process, *Electrochimica Acta*,
610 387 (2021) 138487.
- 611 [46] M. Panizza, G. Cerisola, Direct and mediated anodic oxidation of organic pollutants, *Chemical Reviews*,
612 109 (2009) 6541-6569.
- 613 [47] M. Panizza, C.A. Martinez-Huitle, Role of electrode materials for the anodic oxidation of a real landfill
614 leachate – Comparison between Ti–Ru–Sn ternary oxide, PbO₂ and boron-doped diamond anode,
615 *Chemosphere*, 90 (2013) 1455-1460.
- 616 [48] O. Scialdone, C. Guarisco, A. Galia, G. Filardo, G. Silvestri, C. Amatore, C. Sella, L. Thouin, Anodic
617 abatement of organic pollutants in water in micro reactors, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 638 (2010)
618 293-296.
- 619 [49] O. Scialdone, A. Galia, S. Sabatino, D. Mira, C. Amatore, Electrochemical conversion of dichloroacetic
620 acid to chloroacetic acid in a microfluidic stack and in a series of microfluidic reactors, *ChemElectroChem*, 2
621 (2015) 684-690.
- 622 [50] S.B. Kacem, D. Clematis, S.C. Elaoud, A. Barbucci, M. Panizza, A flexible electrochemical cell setup for
623 pollutant oxidation in a wide electrical conductivity range and its integration with ultrasound, *Journal of Water*
624 *Process Engineering*, 46 (2022) 102564.
- 625 [51] J.F. Pérez, J. Llanos, C. Sáez, C. López, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, On the design of a jet-aerated
626 microfluidic flow-through reactor for wastewater treatment by electro-Fenton, *Separation and Purification*
627 *Technology*, 208 (2019) 123-129.
- 628 [52] J.F. Pérez, J. Llanos, C. Sáez, C. López, P. Cañizares, M.A. Rodrigo, Towards the scale up of a
629 pressurized-jet microfluidic flow-through reactor for cost-effective electro-generation of H₂O₂, *Journal of*
630 *Cleaner Production*, 211 (2019) 1259-1267.
- 631 [53] E. Mousset, M. Puce, M.N. Pons, Advanced electro-oxidation with boron-doped diamond for
632 acetaminophen removal from real wastewater in a microfluidic reactor: Kinetics and mass-transfer studies,
633 *ChemElectroChem*, 6 (2019) 2908-2916.
- 634 [54] A.Y. Bagastyo, D.J. Batstone, I. Kristiana, W. Gernjak, C. Joll, J. Radjenovic, Electrochemical oxidation
635 of reverse osmosis concentrate on boron-doped diamond anodes at circumneutral and acidic pH, *Water*
636 *Research*, 46 (2012) 6104-6112.
- 637 [55] S. Garcia-Segura, E. Mostafa, H. Baltruschat, Could NO_x be released during mineralization of pollutants
638 containing nitrogen by hydroxyl radical? Ascertaining the release of N-volatile species, *Applied Catalysis B:*
639 *Environmental*, 207 (2017) 376-384.
- 640 [56] Y. Ouarda, C. Trelu, G. Lesage, M. Rivallin, P. Drogui, M. Cretin, Electro-oxidation of secondary
641 effluents from various wastewater plants for the removal of acetaminophen and dissolved organic matter,
642 *Science of The Total Environment*, 738 (2020) 140352.
- 643 [57] C.A. Cid, J.T. Jasper, M.R. Hoffmann, Phosphate recovery from human waste via the formation of
644 hydroxyapatite during electrochemical wastewater treatment, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 6
645 (2018) 3135-3142.

- 646 [58] T.R. Devlin, M.S. Kowalski, E. Pagaduan, X. Zhang, V. Wei, J.A. Oleszkiewicz, Electrocoagulation of
647 wastewater using aluminum, iron, and magnesium electrodes, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 368 (2019) 862-
648 868.
- 649 [59] S. Garcia-Segura, J. Keller, E. Brillas, J. Radjenovic, Removal of organic contaminants from secondary
650 effluent by anodic oxidation with a boron-doped diamond anode as tertiary treatment, *Journal of Hazardous*
651 *Materials*, 283 (2015) 551-557.
- 652 [60] S. Garcia-Segura, E. Mostafa, H. Baltruschat, Electrogenation of inorganic chloramines on boron-doped
653 diamond anodes during electrochemical oxidation of ammonium chloride, urea and synthetic urine matrix,
654 *Water Research*, 160 (2019) 107-117.
- 655 [61] P. Vanysek, *Electrochemical series*, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics 2005, pp. 23.
- 656 [62] M.E.H. Bergmann, J. Rollin, Product and by-product formation in laboratory studies on disinfection
657 electrolysis of water using boron-doped diamond anodes, *Catalysis Today*, 124 (2007) 198-203.
- 658 [63] M.E.H. Bergmann, J. Rollin, T. Iourtchouk, The occurrence of perchlorate during drinking water
659 electrolysis using BDD anodes, *Electrochimica Acta*, 54 (2009) 2102-2107.
- 660 [64] C. Deslouis, I. Frateur, G. Maurin, B. Tribollet, Interfacial pH measurement during the reduction of
661 dissolved oxygen in a submerged impinging jet cell, *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 27 (1997) 482-492.
- 662 [65] H. Deligianni, L.T. Romankiw, In situ surface pH measurement during electrolysis using a rotating pH
663 electrode, *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 37 (1993) 85-95.
- 664 [66] Y. Lei, B. Song, R.D. van der Weijden, M. Saakes, C.J.N. Buisman, Electrochemical induced calcium
665 phosphate precipitation: Importance of local pH, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51 (2017) 11156-
666 11164.
- 667 [67] C. Deslouis, D. Festy, O. Gil, V. Maillot, S. Touzain, B. Tribollet, Characterization of calcareous deposits
668 in artificial sea water by impedances techniques: 2-deposit of Mg(OH)₂ without CaCO₃, *Electrochimica Acta*,
669 45 (2000) 1837-1845.
- 670 [68] L. Beaunier, C. Gabrielli, G. Poindessous, G. Maurin, R. Rosset, Investigation of electrochemical
671 calcareous scaling: Nuclei counting and morphology, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 501 (2001) 41-
672 53.
- 673 [69] C. Deslouis, D. Festy, O. Gil, G. Rius, S. Touzain, B. Tribollet, Characterization of calcareous deposits
674 in artificial sea water by impedance techniques — 1. Deposit of CaCO₃ without Mg(OH)₂, *Electrochimica*
675 *Acta*, 43 (1998) 1891-1901.
- 676 [70] E. Mousset, Unprecedented reactive electro-mixing reactor: Towards synergy between micro- and macro-
677 reactors?, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 118 (2020) 106787.
- 678 [71] J.A. Alden, R.G. Compton, Hydrodynamic voltammetry with channel microband electrodes: axial
679 diffusion effects, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 404 (1996) 27-35.
- 680 [72] R.G. Compton, P.R. Unwin, Channel and tubular electrodes, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and*
681 *Interfacial Electrochemistry*, 205 (1986) 1-20.
- 682 [73] J.A. Cooper, R.G. Compton, Channel electrodes — A review, *Electroanalysis*, 10 (1998) 141-155.
- 683 [74] C.A. Martinez-Huitle, S. Ferro, A. De Battisti, Electrochemical incineration of oxalic acid: Reactivity and
684 engineering parameters, *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 35 (2005) 1087-1093.

- 685 [75] M. Cruz-Díaz, F.F. Rivera, E.P. Rivero, I. González, The FM01-LC reactor modeling using axial
686 dispersion model with a reaction term coupled with a continuous stirred tank (CST), *Electrochimica Acta*, 63
687 (2012) 47-54.
- 688 [76] I. Sanjuán, D. Benavente, V. García-García, E. Expósito, V. Montiel, Electrochemical softening of
689 concentrates from an electrodialysis brackish water desalination plant: Efficiency enhancement using a three-
690 dimensional cathode, *Separation and Purification Technology*, 208 (2019) 217-226.
- 691 [77] Y. Yu, H. Jin, X. Quan, B. Hong, X. Chen, Continuous multistage electrochemical precipitation reactor
692 for water softening, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 58 (2019) 461-468.
- 693 [78] Y. Yu, H. Jin, X. Jin, R. Yan, L. Zhang, X. Chen, Current pulsated electrochemical precipitation for water
694 softening, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 57 (2018) 6585-6593.
- 695 [79] F. Valero, R. Arbós, Desalination of brackish river water using Electrodialysis Reversal (EDR): Control
696 of the THMs formation in the Barcelona (NE Spain) area, *Desalination*, 253 (2010) 170-174.
- 697 [80] K.-H. Yeon, J.-H. Song, J. Shim, S.-H. Moon, Y.-U. Jeong, H.-Y. Joo, Integrating electrochemical
698 processes with electrodialysis reversal and electro-oxidation to minimize COD and T-N at wastewater
699 treatment facilities of power plants, *Desalination*, 202 (2007) 400-410.

700

701

Conflict of Interest Statement

702

703

704 **Manuscript title: Thin film microfluidic reactors in electrochemical advanced**
705 **oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: A review on influencing**
706 **parameters, scaling issues and engineering considerations**

707

708 The authors whose names are listed immediately below certify that they have NO affiliations with or
709 involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational
710 grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership,
711 or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial
712 interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the
713 subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

714

715 **Authors names:**

716 Faidzul Hakim Adnan, Marie-Noëlle Pons, Emmanuel Mousset

717