

# Study of the spontaneous nano-emulsification process with different octadecyl succinic anhydride derivatives

Xinyue Wang, Mayeul Collot, Thierry F Vandamme, Nicolas Anton

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Xinyue Wang, Mayeul Collot, Thierry F Vandamme, Nicolas Anton. Study of the spontaneous nanoemulsification process with different octadecyl succinic anhydride derivatives. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2022, 645, 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2022.128858. hal-03842754

# HAL Id: hal-03842754 https://hal.science/hal-03842754v1

Submitted on 8 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# <sup>1</sup> Study of the spontaneous nano-emulsification process with

# 2 different octadecyl succinic anhydride derivatives

3 Xinyue Wang,<sup>a</sup> Mayeul Collot,<sup>b,\*</sup> Thierry F. Vandamme,<sup>a,c</sup> Nicolas Anton<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, CAMB UMR 7199, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

6 <sup>b</sup> Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, LBP UMR 7021, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

<sup>7</sup> INSERM (French National Institute of Health and Medical Research), UMR 1260, Regenerative Nanomedicine (RNM), FMTS,

8 Université de Strasbourg, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

9

4

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Nicolas Anton (nanton@unistra.fr) and Mayeul Collot
(mayeul.collot@unistra.fr)

12

13

# 14 Abstract

15

Three new amphiphilic molecules were synthetized on a basis of a common monomer, 16 octadecyl succinic anhydride (OSA), on which were grafted different PEGylated hydrophilic 17 polar head. The objective of this study is to investigate a potential relationship between the 18 19 chemical structure of the surfactant, and the efficiency to generate nano-emulsions through the spontaneous nano-emulsification method. Beyond the innovative synthesis and 20 comparison of these amphiphiles, a comprehensive comparison is suggested, comparing size 21 distribution and polydispersity for the different composition parameters, as well making a 22 bridge with critical micelle concentration and hydrophile lipophile balance (HLB). Using OSA 23 monomeric entity as common hydrophobic moiety, the variations in the surfactant molecules 24 were done on the hydrophilic moiety, through the addition of one or two Jeffamine chains in 25 different configurations, so-called  $C_{18}^{\ominus}$ -PEG,  $C_{18}$ -PEG and  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub>. The results disclosed that 26  $C_{18}^{\ominus}$ -PEG allows producing smallest size distribution and lowest PDI values. Moreover,  $C_{18}^{\ominus}$ -27 PEG presents the highest critical micellar concentration, linked to a higher hydrophilicity of 28 the molecule. This impacts the balance between surfactant affinity for oil and aqueous 29 phases, a point probably related to the spontaneous emulsification efficiency. A last part of 30 the study regarded the optimization of the emulsification efficiency, through a systematic 31 study in ternary composition map, to disclosed that the best conditions are included in the 32 lower surfactant concentrations, for water and oil contents higher and lower than 50%, 33 respectively. The main idea behind this study was to bring further insights into the unclear 34 relationship between the chemical structure of nonionic surfactants, and the efficiency of 35 the emulsification by spontaneous low-energy method. 36

#### **1 Introduction**

Nano-emulsions (NEs) consist of a colloidal dispersion of two immiscible liquids stabilized by amphiphilic molecules, sizing from around 30 nm to 300 nm [1]. Owning to the high surface area, good physical stability, non-irritant and non-toxicity, and tunable properties, NEs are becoming promising carriers for bioactive compounds and have been extensively investigated in many fields such as drug delivery, diagnostic, cosmetic, pesticide and food industry [2–6].

Comparing with conventional high-energy methods, like high pressure homogenization, 44 ultrasound emulsification, membrane emulsification etc., such low-energy emulsification 45 requires a very limited addition of external energy, but takes advantages of the physico-46 chemical properties of the compounds to fractionate the oil phase at nano-metric scale. One 47 advantage of this concept lies in the fact that fragile pharmaceutical ingredients are 48 preserved from a potential degradation due to high local energy amount supplied with 49 mechanical homogenization [7]. However, these methods are still not largely used in industry 50 [8], since (i) not all surfactants allows an efficient spontaneous emulsification, (ii) the 51 physicochemical properties of the NEs dispersion cannot always be finely controlled, in term 52 of size and dispersity, and (iii) they involve a significant amount of surfactants, not always 53 compatible with all applications and specifications. Further investigations on the 54 spontaneous emulsification process are then necessary to increase the understanding of the 55 role of surfactant in the process, in order to optimize the applicability of such a low-energy 56 process. 57

Spontaneous emulsification, or self-emulsification, is performed by gently mixing two liquid 58 phases containing specific surfactants (and/or co-solvents) without any extra energy supply. 59 Spontaneous emulsification was firstly discovered by Johannes Gad in 1879 and has been 60 extensively studied in the last few decades [1,9,10]. Mechanisms behind spontaneous 61 62 emulsification has been described according to several hypotheses [10,11], originated from interfacial turbulence phenomena, generation of ultralow interfacial tensions, or explained 63 through the solubility changes and sudden migration of the nonionic surfactant in the 64 different phases in presence. 65

General experimental observations of spontaneous emulsification process give that the main parameters influencing the nano-emulsion size and properties are the compositions and chemical nature of surfactants and oils, temperatures or order of mixing of the different compounds [1,12]. Nevertheless, an universal mechanism has been proposed, based on the respective affinities between surfactants, oils and water phases [1]. The idea proposed a sudden change of the thermodynamic conditions inducing a rapid change of the solubility for surfactant for oil or water, and inducing a turbulence in the system leading to break-up the oil phase into nano-droplets. This clearly places the surfactant solubility and its temperature
sensitivity, as critical for the efficiency of the spontaneous emulsification.

The spontaneous process is related to the sensitivity of the nonionic surfactant (precisely of 75 the poly(ethylene glycol) polar head of the molecule) with the temperature. The most 76 reported examples use commercial surfactant like, e.g., polysorbate (Tween®), sorbitan 77 esters (Span<sup>®</sup>), polyoxyl 35 castor oil (Kolliphor<sup>®</sup> EL/ELP) and poloxamer (Pluronic<sup>®</sup> F68). 78 Upon temperature increasing, these surfactants lose their solubility in water to the benefit of 79 the lipophilic part. As a consequence of the gradual decrease of the number of hydrogen 80 bounding between PEG chain and water molecules, the PEG solubility is reduced. Then, 81 nano-emulsion is generated as a result of a temperature decrease and/or water dilution, 82 resulting from an increase of the solubility of these nonionic surfactants in water [13]. 83

On the other hand, in spite of the number of studies to explain these spontaneous emulsification mechanisms, in spite of the understanding of the impact of the formulation parameters on the size and polydispersity of the nano-emulsion, a part of this concept is still unclear including the relationship between the process efficiency and the chemical nature of both the oil and the surfactants.

The spontaneous emulsification is generally considered to be driven by the affinities 89 between oil and surfactants, impacted by a change of their chemical nature of the former 90 and/or the latter. For instance as regard the impact of the nature of oil, spontaneous 91 emulsification processes reported with castor oil and modified castor oil (tri-iodinated castor 92 oil, in Fig. 2 (b<sub>1</sub>) of Ref. [14]), not only shows a significant shift of the nano-emulsion droplet's 93 size of around 100 nm, but also significantly reduces the domain of emulsification process 94 comparing native and modified oil. This observation is recurrent with numerous types of oil 95 phases, an interesting example is the one of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, for which the spontaneous 96 emulsification process is not giving nano-scaled droplets —but rather large micrometric 97 droplets— and for which acetate form [15,16] or tri-iodinated form [17,18] is extremely 98 efficient and gives rise to very small (< 50 nm) and very narrow size distribution (PDI < 0.1). 99

Some reports have emphasized that in the case of spontaneous emulsification where the 100 free energy cost for creating the interfacial area has to be compensated by a large entropic 101 term, thus a flexible surfactant allowing curvature fluctuations is required [19]. Small 102 monomeric surfactants adsorb on the interface of newly form droplets very quickly and 103 result in the production of small droplets, while comparatively polymer generally giving 104 larger droplet size range, *i.e.* inappropriate for the formation of nano-emulsions [20]. Besides 105 the experimental comparison of the results given by nonionic surfactants, comparing each 106 other upon the nano-emulsification process, predicting the compatibility of these molecules 107 with spontaneous emulsification process remains, to date, unclear in literature. 108

In the present study we have synthetized different nonionic surfactants with slight 109 modifications in their chemical structure, and they were compared upon the spontaneous 110 emulsification process. Beside the formulation of NEs, the goal is to understand the impact 111 of the change in the surfactant structure in the efficiency of the process, as well as size and 112 dispersity of the droplet population. The main objective of our investigation here lies in 113 highlighting potential relationship between chemical structure of surfactant and efficiency of 114 emulsification. We have chosen to synthetize octadecyl succinic anhydride derivatives with 115 different functions including grafting of nonionic polymers. It is noteworthy that these new 116 surfactants are not exactly the same as the commercial ones -however very close in the 117 chemical structure principle- but our idea was to get a series of molecules very close each 118 other only differing in one chemical function, to compare them as regards the emulsification 119 efficiency. 120

121

122

## 123 **2** Materials and methods

#### 124 2.1 Materials

Octadecyl succinic anhydride (OSA) and vitamin E acetate (VEA) were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry. Polyetheramine Jeffamine<sup>®</sup> M-2070 (J-2000) was kindly offered by Huntsman Corporation. Ethanol, ethanolamine, triethylamine (TEA), dimethylformamide (DMF), dichloroform (DCM) and hexafluorophosphate azabenzotriazole tetramethyl uranium (HATU) were obtained from Merck-Millipore. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was from Eurobio (Courtaboeuf, France).

#### 131 2.2 Synthesis

The structures of three amphiphilic molecules specially synthetized for this study, socalled  $C_{18} \ominus$ -PEG,  $C_{18}$ -PEG and  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub>, are illustrated in Fig. 1, and the synthesis procedures are described below. These surfactants arise from the reaction of the amine (NH<sub>2</sub>) terminated PEG (J-2000) on amine-reactive anhydride (OSA) first providing  $C_{18} \ominus$ -PEG, which thus bears a carboxylic acid function. This function was then either reacted with another J-2000 (forming  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub>), or capped with ethanolamine (forming  $C_{18}$ -PEG).

138 **C**<sub>18</sub> $\Theta$ -**PEG:** To a solution of OSA (352 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was added J-2000 (2 g, 1 139 mmol) dissolved in DMF (10 mL). After the homogeneity, 696 µL of TEA (5 mmol, 5 eq) were 140 added to the solution following a heating up to 60°C overnight. Then, the solvent was 141 evaporated. For the purification, firstly, the crude was dissolved in DCM, and then washed three times by 1 M HCl in saturated NaCl solution. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtrated over cotton and evaporated.

- 144 C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub>: To a solution of OSA (352 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was added J-2000 (4 g, 2 145 mmol, 2 eq) dissolved in DMF (20 mL). After the homogeneity, 696 μL of TEA (5 eq) and HATU 146 (570 mg, 1.5 eq) were added to the solution following a heating up to 60°C overnight. Then, 147 the solvent was evaporated. For the purification, firstly, the crude was dissolved in ethanol, 148 and then dialysis was conducted with a regenerated cellulose membrane (molecular weight 149 cutting off is 2,000 Da). Outside media (ethanol) was changed every 8 h for 3 times. The final 150 product was obtained with evaporation of ethanol.
- 151

<sup>152</sup> **C**<sub>18</sub>-**PEG**: To a solution of OSA (352 mg, 1 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was added J-2000 (2 g, 2 <sup>153</sup> mmol, 2 eq) dissolved in DMF (10 mL). After the homogeneity, 696  $\mu$ L of TEA (5 eq) was <sup>154</sup> added to the solution following a heating up to 60°C overnight. Then, 60  $\mu$ L of ethanolamine <sup>155</sup> (1 eq dissolved in 5 mL DMF) and HATU (570 mg, 1.5 eq) were added to the solution at the <sup>156</sup> same temperature overnight again. Next, the solvents were evaporated, and the purification <sup>157</sup> was strictly the same as described for **C**<sub>18</sub>-**PEG**<sub>2</sub>.

158



Figure 1: Synthesis and structure scheme of the three amphiphilic molecules synthetized. Red chain symbolizesthe PEG chains.

162

#### 163 2.3 Structure confirmation

<sup>164</sup> To confirm the structure of three molecules, around 40 mg of products were dissolved in <sup>165</sup> 500  $\mu$ L CDCl<sub>3</sub> for NMR tests. Both <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker <sup>166</sup> Avance III 400 MHz spectrometer.

167

## <sup>168</sup> 2.4 Critical micelle concentration (CMC) determination

The CMC of three amphiphilic molecules were determined by a fluorescence method using 169 Nile red (NR) as a probe [21]. NR in DMSO (200 µM) was used as a stock solution. Firstly, a 170 series of 1 mL solutions of each surfactant (with concentrations ranging from 0.001 to 10 171 mg/mL) in milliQ water were prepared, and 5  $\mu$ L of NR stock solution were added into each 172 sample to reach a final NR concentration of 1µM. Fluorescence spectra were recorded at 173 20°C at a fixed excitation of 530 nm, and the emission was monitored from 540 nm to 800 174 nm. The maximum emission wavelength ( $\lambda_{em}$ ) was recorded and presented for the CMC 175 calculation, corresponding to the intercept between the straight baseline (before CMC) and 176 the rising straight line of the fluorescence signal (after CMC). 177

178

## 179 **2.5** hydrophile lipophile balance (HLB) calculation

HLB values of each amphiphilic molecule were calculated according to the commonly used
Davies' group contribution method [22]. Details of the equation and table of parameters are
reported in the *Supplementary information* section, Eq. S1 and Table S1, respectively.

#### 183 **2.6** Emulsification

Spontaneous emulsification method was used as reported in previous papers [16,23]. In brief, surfactants were dissolved in oil phase with different *surfactant to oil weight ratio* (SOR) at a set temperature, then PBS, maintained at the same temperature, was added according to different *(surfactant + oil) to water weight ratio* (SOWR). After vortex homogenization for 1 min, following a mixing in a thermomixer at 2,000 rpm for 10 min nano-emulsions were formed. In order to explore and optimize the emulsification conditions, the process was performed at different compositions and environmental conditions.

Size distribution, polydispersity index and ξ potential were determined by dynamic light
scattering (DLS) with NanoZS (Malvern, Orsay, France). Generated emulsions were diluted by
100 and 1,000 times in distilled water, for size and ξ potential measurements, respectively.

#### **3 Results and discussion**

#### 196 **3.1 Structure identification of new molecules**

Due to the simple synthesis process, the reaction yield for each molecule was above 90%. 197 Structures of the three amphiphilic molecules are shown in Fig. 1. With the similar 198 hydrophobic saturated aliphatic chain (shown in black), the difference in these molecules 199 arose in their hydrophilic head (red). Three different variations (see Fig. 1) of their polar head 200 compositions came from the fact that  $C_{18}\Theta$ -PEG beared a -COOH, potentially becoming 201 carboxylate when solvated at the oil/water interface and in PBS (pH 7.4), while for C<sub>18</sub>-PEG, 202 the capped ethanolamine part annihilated the charge, and for the C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub> the carboxylic 203 acid was replaced by another J-2000. The structures were unambiguously identified by  ${}^{1}$ H 204 and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (the identical parts shown in Fig. 2, while the complete spectra were 205 reported in the Supplementary information section). It followed that, as C18 -PEG and C18-206 **PEG** are shown to bear two different amide moieties (carbon 1 and 4 shown in Fig. 2A), two 207 different carbonyl signals could be observed in  $^{13}$ C NMR ( $\delta$  179.83 and 176.78 ppm for **C**<sub>18</sub> $\Theta$ -208 PEG, 176.53 and 173.17 ppm for C<sub>18</sub>-PEG). On the contratry, C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub> only showed one 209 carbonyl signal at 174.27 ppm due to the similarity of the amide moiteies (Fig. 2B). In 210 addition, in Fig. 2C, integration of the number of protons (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) of the PEG moieties 211 appeared consistent with their supposed structure: proton intergrations at ~1.1 ppm (peaks c) 212 and ~3.6 ppm (peaks d and e) appeared twice higher for C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub>, which have two PEG 213 chains, compared to  $C_{18} \ominus$ -PEG and  $C_{18}$ -PEG having one PEG chain. 214



216 **Figure 2:** NMR spectra of three synthesized surfactants.

217

#### 3.2 Physico-chemical characterization of the surfactants

Physicochemical properties of surfactants are crucial to understand their behavior and 219 potential applications, along with the fact that they play a critical role on structure-activity 220 relationship screening. Beside appearance and molecular weight, CMC and HLB were 221 determined, allowing a first comparison. Results concerning the determination of CMC are 222 reported in Fig. 3 and all data summarized in table 1 (see details regarding the fluorescent 223 spectra are reported in Fig. S1, in the Supplementary Information section). HLB values were 224 rather comparable between C<sub>18</sub> $\ominus$ -PEG, C<sub>18</sub>-PEG (12.3 and 14.3, respectively), but increased 225 up to 22.8 for C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub> respectively. All these surfactants had a HLB value significantly high, 226 enough to be considered as very hydrophilic, compatible with application as stabilizer for 227 direct emulsification. Interestingly, the HLB value of  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub> jumped to 22.8, significantly 228 higher than the two formers. As regards the CMC values, a significant gap appeared between 229 the neutral ( $C_{18}$ -PEG and  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub> around 0.02 g/L) and charged surfactants ( $C_{18}$ -PEG 230 around 0.036 g/L), reflecting the higher solubility of the molecule coming from its ionic 231 nature. Indeed, the higher the water solubility, the higher the CMC, as described in literature 232 [24]. As a last remark, the comparison of the CMC and HLB values were not exactly inline 233 each other, likely explained by the fact that HLB remained calculations based on chemical 234 structure, whereas CMC determination was based on the actual experimental behavior. 235 Nevertheless, HLB values of all these surfactants indicated they are very hydrophilic 236 molecules. 237

- 238
- 239



240

241 Figure 3: Determination of the Critical Micelle Concentration of the different amphiphile molecules, using Nile

Red as fluorescent probe (see details in the text, *section 2.4*).

244 **Table 1:** Physicochemical properties of three new surfactants

Appearance (R.T.)	M.W.	CMC (20°C)	HLB
white-yellowish paste	~2300	0.036 mg/ml	12.3
white-yellowish wax	~2350	0.021 mg/ml	14.3
yellow wax	~4300	0.018 mg/ml	22.8
	Appearance (R.T.) white-yellowish paste white-yellowish wax yellow wax	Appearance (R.T.)M.W.white-yellowish paste~2300white-yellowish wax~2350yellow wax~4300	Appearance (R.T.)M.W.CMC (20°C)white-yellowish paste~23000.036 mg/mlwhite-yellowish wax~23500.021 mg/mlyellow wax~43000.018 mg/ml

245

## 3.3 Emulsification efficiency of three surfactants

Although spontaneous emulsification provided a much convenient and economic method for nano-emulsification, the formulation was not as predictable as the mechanical methods. The general route to study spontaneous emulsification process was generally done [1] followingup of the size distribution of the nano-emulsions along with modifications of compositions parameters like surfactant amount (with SOR), dispersed volume fraction (with SOWR), or environment parameters like, *e.g.*, temperature. These key parameters were investigated for that purpose, with the idea to disclose the structure-properties relationship.



3.3.1 Effect of surfactant concentration

256

255

**Figure 4:** Size and PDI of NEs prepared using  $C_{18}^{\ominus}$ -PEG,  $C_{18}^{-}$ PEG and  $C_{18}^{-}$ PEG<sub>2</sub>, in function of the values of SOR, at constant SOWR = 5% and at emulsification temperature T = 90°C.

40

50

SOR (%)

60

70

80

20

30

259

For this first series of experiments, the SOR (linked to surfactant amount) was gradually 260 increased and the size distribution (*i.e.* average diameter and polydispersity indexes (PDI)) 261 was measured. Thus, SORs varied from 30% to 70%, at a fixed SOWR of 5% and a fixed 262 temperature of the emulsification process of 90°C, temperature at which both the {oil + 263 surfactant} and the water phases were maintained before mixing. Results were reported in 264 Fig. 4. The case of  $C_{18}\Theta$ -PEG (Fig. 4A) showed the most conventional behavior compared to 265 literature [1,25], where increasing the SOR value induced a decrease in the mean droplet's 266 size and PDI. Reproducibility was improved with SOR. It is important to note that nano-267 emulsions were considered monodispersed, and therefore the spontaneous process 268 considered as efficient, when the PDI was lower than 0.25; in that case, this criterion was 269 270 met for SOR > 50%, when the droplet size decreased below 90 nm. This trend was generally attributed to the increasing efficiency of surfactant at the interface, related to the interfacialconcentration as the bulk concentration was increased.

On the other hand, in Fig. 4B and Fig. 4C, for C<sub>18</sub>-PEG and C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub>, respectively, an opposite 273 trend arose, showing an increase of the droplet size with the SOR. These results clearly 274 contrasted with the ones generally obtained [1,14,17,26] with such processes, since, unlike 275  $C_{18} \ominus$ -PEG, in that cases the droplet size and PDI increased with increasing surfactants 276 amount. In addition, in the case of  $C_{18}$ -PEG, the PDI values are too high (> 0.25) for validating 277 the measurements, while they conserved a good value (< 0.25) in the case of  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub>. In 278 both cases, emulsification process seemed to be affected by these chemical modifications. 279 The lower CMC disclosed in Fig. 3 for C<sub>18</sub>-PEG and C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub> might be related to this behavior, 280 indicating that a lower water solubility affected the interfacial behavior at high 281 concentrations. It is interesting to note, as well, that the process of droplet coalescence after 282 emulsification could be slowed down by their surface charge, orienting the global size 283 distribution towards smaller size range with charged molecules, as observed. This was 284 confirmed with the values of zeta potential, measured at -28 mV and -30.0 mV for C18-PEG 285 and  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub>, respectively, when it raised at -39 mV for the charged  $C_{18}$ -PEG surfactant. 286

#### 287 3.3.2 Effect of water ratio

The effect of water concentration was investigated by preparing a series of emulsions with 288 different SOWRs from 2% to 20%, at a fixed SOR of 50% and a fixed emulsification 289 temperature of 90°C. The results, reported in Fig. 5, overall showed a decrease and 290 291 stabilization of the size as the water content increased. In general, the impact of the water ratio was considered as not impacting on the nano-emulsion size, and this is effectively 292 observed with SOWR  $\geq$  5%. On the other hand, for very high proportions of water (low 293 SOWRs) the quality of the emulsion was surprisingly decreased and size increased. This 294 feature was probably not documented since such concentration range had a limited interest. 295 However, it reveals that a minimum volume fraction of dispersed phase was required in 296 order to make efficient the spontaneous emulsification process. 297



299

Figure 5: Size and PDI of NEs prepared using  $C_{18}^{\ominus}$ -PEG,  $C_{18}^{-}$ PEG and  $C_{18}^{-}$ PEG<sub>2</sub>, in function of the values of SOWR, at constant SOR = 50% and at emulsification temperature T = 90°C.

302

#### 303 3.3.3 Effect of emulsification temperature

Spontaneous nano-emulsification process was based on the physico-chemical behavior of 304 nonionic surfactants, and particularly on their temperature sensitivity [13]. Indeed, playing 305 on temperature modified the solubility of the PEG chains and, in certain conditions, induced 306 the spontaneous generation of droplets. In previous reported studies [1,13,27], we described 307 the impact of the emulsification temperature on the process itself, giving the temperature 308 threshold impacting on the process efficiency. Indeed, if the emulsification temperature was 309 below the cloud point -or phase inversion temperature- the process dramatically lose 310 efficiency below those temperature thresholds. In the present case of comparison, different 311 surfactants, investigating this parameter appeared important to understand their impact on 312 the emulsification process. To this end, emulsions were prepared from 60°C to 90 °C with a 313

fixed SOR of 50% and SOWR of 5%. Results are shown in Fig. 6. This parameter had a 314 significant impact on the nano-emulsions' properties. Considering  $C_{18} \Theta$ -PEG, for T < 80°C, the 315 size, PDI and reproducibility was clearly affected, when compared to  $T \ge 80^{\circ}C$  where these 316 parameters were much better and reproducible. This result confirmed the thermo-sensitivity 317 and the behavior expected for such nonionic surfactants. On the other hand, this conclusion 318 could not be drawn for the other surfactants (C18-PEG and C18-PEG2), for which the 319 temperature did not seem to have a real impact on the process efficiency. For these 320 surfactants, in those conditions (SOR and SOWR values), the PDI values remained quite high, 321 and we could conclude that the nano-emulsions generated did not meet quality criteria. It 322 can be explained by the surfactant's affinities for aqueous and oil phase, not optimally 323 balanced for inducing sufficient turbulences during the emulsification. Another factor that 324 could induce the un-robustness of such processes, was the oil phase viscosity transitory 325 which significantly varied with the temperature. Indeed, from 60°C to 90 °C, VEA viscosity 326 decreased almost four times [28], which could impact on the properties of droplets 327 fractionation. 328



330

Figure 6: Size and PDI of NEs prepared using  $C_{18}^{\ominus}$ -PEG,  $C_{18}$ -PEG and  $C_{18}$ -PEG<sub>2</sub>, in function of the values of emulsification temperature, at constant SOR = 50% and SOWR = 5%.

#### 333 3.4 Optimization of nano-emulsification process

To gain further insights into the spontaneous emulsification process obtained with these new 334 surfactants, a screening of formulations (38 different formulations, see Fig. 7 (A)) was 335 undertaken and compared each other. This part focused on the  $C_{18} \ominus$ -PEG, that showed the 336 best nano-emulsions, and allowed comparing finely the impact of the composition on the 337 emulsification process. The corresponding results, as size distribution and PDI, are shown in 338 Fig. 7 (B) and (C), respectively. In Fig. 7 (B), the red line delimited the region where emulsions 339 are sizing below 250 nm, thus, so-called nano-emulsions. The small zones indicated with 340 deepen blue showed sizes below 25 nm, possibly small nano-emulsions, but could also be 341 swollen micelles (micelles swollen by oil [27]). The main results given by Fig. 7 (B) are (i) 342 nano-emulsions feasibility domain overall corresponded to low oil ratio, below 25%. 343 Increasing of oil quantity resulted in the lack of surfactant coverage of the oil-water interface; 344 (ii) On the other hand, an excess of surfactant (with reduction of water), formed a 345

concentrated surfactant phase containing high amount of micelles, and for the most 346 concentrated regions, turned into gel phase probably forming liquid crystalline phases 347 [29,30]. In Fig. 7 (C), the red line emphasized the region of PDI values lower than 0.3, for 348 which we considered the population monodisperse and stable. In Fig. 7 (D), the focus was 349 done on the water corner of Fig. 7 (B), showing the nano-emulsification in the more diluted 350 conditions, up to SOWR equal to 20 %. Red and green arrows indicated the increase of SOR 351 and SOWR, respectively. Such region corresponds to the emulsification studied above and 352 confirmed that nano-emulsification process is mainly driven by surfactant amount, with a 353 354 weak influence of the other formulation parameters.

355



356

Figure 7 Investigation of the composition on the emulsification of  $C_{18}$   $\Theta$ -PEG, with the ternary system composed of  $C_{18}$   $\Theta$ -PEG (surfactant), oil (VEA vitamin E acetate) and water. (A) Screening of the formulations studied, (B) Values of the mean size of the droplet population (hydrodynamic diameter). (C) PDI values corresponding to the results reported in part (B). (D) Focus on the water corner of part (B), indicated with a square.

361

In this study, we mainly focused the investigation on the potentials offered by OSA derivatives. OSA readily led to amphiphilic molecules which could easily be modified as derivatives with modulated properties that showed the potential to act as an emulsifier. It is noteworthy that such approaches have been approved by the FDA for use in food industry, for example in the case of OSA starches used as emulsifiers and encapsulating agents which can form emulsions with an average size around 500  $\mu$ m [31]. In addition, one advantage of these derivative owed to their independency of ionic strength [32].

- 369
- 370

## **4 Conclusion**

In this study, we synthetized new amphiphilic molecules and studied their ability for 372 generating emulsions and nano-emulsions by spontaneous emulsification method. Based on 373 a common basis of OSA as monomeric entity, the variations in the surfactant molecules were 374 done on the hydrophilic moiety, through the addition of one or two Jeffamine chains in 375 different configurations, so-called C<sub>18</sub> $\ominus$ -PEG, C<sub>18</sub>-PEG and C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub>. This study not only shows 376 the simplicity of the chemical modification of OSA, but also the significant impact on the 377 spontaneous process and properties of the droplet population. The comparison of these 378 three surfactants, gave that C<sub>18</sub> $\ominus$ -PEG performed the smallest size distribution and lowest 379 PDI values. From the structure-activity point of view, HLB values are globally calculated in 380 comparable range, whereas regarding the CMC, C<sub>18</sub>⊖-PEG appears more hydrophilic 381 compared to C<sub>18</sub>-PEG and C<sub>18</sub>-PEG<sub>2</sub>, very close each other. As the spontaneous emulsification 382 process is related to the balance between surfactant affinity for oil and aqueous phases, this 383 point could explain the slight difference between process efficiency revealed. From an 384 optimization experiment, we disclosed that the best conditions are included in the lower 385 surfactant concentrations, for water and oil contents higher and lower than 50%, 386 respectively. Among all the factors impacting on the spontaneous emulsification, a larger 387 SOR and a higher emulsification temperature could benefit the fabrication, while SOWR had 388 limited influence. 389

390

### 391 **5 Acknowledgements**

The authors thank the China Scholarship Council for funding Ph.D. fellowship for X.W., (CSC No. 201706240033).

#### 394 **6 References**

N. Anton, T.F. Vandamme, The universality of low-energy nano-emulsification, Int. J.
Pharm. 377 (2009) 142–147.

397		https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.05.014.
398 399 400	[2]	X. Li, N. Anton, G. Zuber, T. Vandamme, Contrast agents for preclinical targeted X-ray imaging, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 76 (2014) 116–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2014.07.013.
401 402 403 404	[3]	Y. Singh, J.G. Meher, K. Raval, F.A. Khan, M. Chaurasia, N.K. Jain, M.K. Chourasia, Nanoemulsion: Concepts, development and applications in drug delivery, J. Control. Release. 252 (2017) 28–49. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.03.008.
405 406 407 408	[4]	O. Sonneville-Aubrun, M.N. Yukuyama, A. Pizzino, Chapter 14 - Application of Nanoemulsions in Cosmetics, in: S.M. Jafari, D.J. McClements (Eds.), Nanoemulsions, Academic Press, 2018: pp. 435–475. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811838-2.00014-X.
409 410 411	[5]	L. Wang, X. Li, G. Zhang, J. Dong, J. Eastoe, Oil-in-water nanoemulsions for pesticide formulations, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 314 (2007) 230–235. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.04.079.
412 413 414	[6]	D.J. McClements, J. Rao, Food-Grade Nanoemulsions: Formulation, Fabrication, Properties, Performance, Biological Fate, and Potential Toxicity, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 51 (2011) 285–330. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.559558.
415 416 417 418	[7]	R. Bouchaala, L. Mercier, B. Andreiuk, Y. Mély, T. Vandamme, N. Anton, J.G. Goetz, A.S. Klymchenko, Integrity of lipid nanocarriers in bloodstream and tumor quantified by near-infrared ratiometric FRET imaging in living mice, J. Control. Release. 236 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2016.06.027.
419 420 421	[8]	N. Anton, T.F. Vandamme, Nano-Emulsions, in: M. Aliofkhazraei (Ed.), Handb. Nanoparticles, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016: pp. 93–116. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15338-4_2.
422 423 424 425	[9]	Z. Li, D. Xu, Y. Yuan, H. Wu, J. Hou, W. Kang, B. Bai, Advances of spontaneous emulsification and its important applications in enhanced oil recovery process, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 277 (2020) 102119. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2020.102119.
426 427 428	[10]	C. Solans, D. Morales, M. Homs, Spontaneous emulsification, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 22 (2016) 88–93. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cocis.2016.03.002.
429 430 431 432	[11]	J.C. López-Montilla, P.E. Herrera-Morales, S. Pandey, D.O. Shah, Spontaneous Emulsification: Mechanisms, Physicochemical Aspects, Modeling, and Applications, J. Dispers. Sci. Technol. 23 (2002) 219–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/01932690208984202.
433 434 435	[12]	A. Gupta, Z. Badruddoza, P.S. Doyle, A General Route for Nanoemulsion Synthesis using Low Energy Methods at Constant Temperature, Langmuir. 33 (2017) 7118–7123. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b01104.
436 437	[13]	N. Anton, S. Akram, T.F. Vandamme, Chapter 4 - Transitional Nanoemulsification Methods, in: S.M. Jafari, D.J. McClements (Eds.), Nanoemulsions, Academic Press,

2018: pp. 77-100. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811838-438 2.00004-7. 439 [14] M.F. Attia, N. Anton, M. Chiper, R. Akasov, H. Anton, N. Messaddeg, S. Fournel, A.S. 440 Klymchenko, Y. Mély, T.F. Vandamme, Biodistribution of X-ray iodinated contrast agent 441 442 in nano-emulsions is controlled by the chemical nature of the oily core, ACS Nano. 8 (2014) 10537–10550. https://doi.org/10.1021/nn503973z. 443 [15] X. Li, N. Anton, T.M. Ta, M. Zhao, N. Messaddeg, T.F. Vandamme, Microencapsulation 444 of nanoemulsions: novel Trojan particles for bioactive lipid molecule delivery., Int. J. 445 Nanomedicine. 6 (2011). 446 [16] X. Wang, N. Anton, P. Ashokkumar, H. Anton, T.K. Fam, T. Vandamme, A.S. Klymchenko, 447 M. Collot, Optimizing the Fluorescence Properties of Nanoemulsions for Single Particle 448 Tracking in Live Cells., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 11 (2019) 13079–13090. 449 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b22297. 450 [17] X. Li, N. Anton, G. Zuber, M. Zhao, N. Messaddeg, F. Hallouard, H. Fessi, T.F. 451 Vandamme, lodinated  $\alpha$ -tocopherol nano-emulsions as non-toxic contrast agents for 452 preclinical X-ray imaging, Biomaterials. 34 (2013) 481–491. 453 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.09.026. 454 [18] N. Anton, A. Parlog, G. Bou About, M.F. Attia, M. Wattenhofer-Donzé, H. Jacobs, I. 455 Goncalves, E. Robinet, T. Sorg, T.F. Vandamme, Non-invasive quantitative imaging of 456 hepatocellular carcinoma growth in mice by micro-CT using liver-targeted iodinated 457 nano-emulsions, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14270-7. 458 459 [19] Y. Chevalier, New surfactants: new chemical functions and molecular architectures, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 7 (2002) 3–11. 460 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0294(02)00006-7. 461 E. Bouyer, G. Mekhloufi, V. Rosilio, J.-L. Grossiord, F. Agnely, Proteins, polysaccharides, [20] 462 and their complexes used as stabilizers for emulsions: Alternatives to synthetic 463 surfactants in the pharmaceutical field?, Int. J. Pharm. 436 (2012) 359-378. 464 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.06.052. 465 [21] Y. Xia, H. He, X. Liu, D. Hu, L. Yin, Y. Lu, W. Xu, Redox-responsive{,} core-crosslinked 466 degradable micelles for controlled drug release, Polym. Chem. 7 (2016) 6330–6339. 467 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6PY01423B. 468 J. Davies, A quantitative kinetic theory of emulsion type. I. Physical chemistry of the [22] 469 emulsifying agent. in Gas/Liquid and Liquid/Liquid Interface, in: Proc. Int. Congr. Surf. 470 Act., 1957: pp. 426–438. 471 [23] A.U. Rehman, M. Collot, A.S. Klymchenko, S. Akram, B. Mustafa, T. Vandamme, N. 472 Anton, Spontaneous nano-emulsification with tailor-made amphiphilic polymers and 473 related monomers, Eur. J. Pharm. Res. 1 (2019) 27–36. 474 [24] P. Mukerjee, A. Ray, THE EFFECT OF UREA ON MICELLE FORMATION AND 475 HYDROPHOBIC BONDING, J. Phys. Chem. 67 (1963) 190-192. 476 477 https://doi.org/10.1021/j100795a046. 478 [25] A.H. Saberi, Y. Fang, D.J. McClements, Fabrication of vitamin E-enriched

479 480 481		nanoemulsions: Factors affecting particle size using spontaneous emulsification, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 391 (2013) 95–102. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2012.08.069.
482 483 484 485	[26]	M.F. Attia, N. Anton, R. Akasov, M. Chiper, E. Markvicheva, T.F. Vandamme, Biodistribution and toxicity of X-ray iodinated contrast agent in nano-emulsions in function of their size, Pharm. Res. 33 (2016) 603–614. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-015-1813-0.
486 487 488	[27]	N. Anton, T.F. Vandamme, Nano-emulsions and micro-emulsions: Clarifications of the critical differences, Pharm. Res. 28 (2011) 978–985. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-010-0309-1.
489 490 491	[28]	E. Szwajczak, J. Świergiel, R. Stagraczyński, J. Jadżyn, Viscous and dielectric properties of $\alpha$ -tocopherol and $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate, Phys. Chem. Liq. 47 (2009) 460–466. https://doi.org/10.1080/00319100902737455.
492 493 494	[29]	P. Saulnier, N. Anton, B. Heurtault, JP. Benoit, Liquid crystals and emulsions in the formulation of drug carriers, Comptes Rendus Chim. 11 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2007.10.005.
495 496 497	[30]	N. Anton, JP. Benoit, P. Saulnier, Particular conductive behaviors of emulsion phase inverting, J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 18 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1773-2247(08)50015-3.
498 499 500	[31]	Y. Hong, Z. Li, Z. Gu, Y. Wang, Y. Pang, Structure and emulsification properties of octenyl succinic anhydride starch using acid-hydrolyzed method, Starch - Stärke. 69 (2017) 1600039. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/star.201600039.
501 502 503 504	[32]	L. Altuna, M.L. Herrera, M.L. Foresti, Synthesis and characterization of octenyl succinic anhydride modified starches for food applications. A review of recent literature, Food Hydrocoll. 80 (2018) 97–110. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.01.032.
505		