



HAL
open science

A Komatiite Succession as an analog for the Olivine Bearing Rocks at Jezero

Brown A., Wiens R.C., Maurice S., Uckert K., Tice M., Deen D. Flannery R.G., Treiman A.H., Siebach K. L., Beegle L.W., Abbey W.J., et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Brown A., Wiens R.C., Maurice S., Uckert K., Tice M., et al.. A Komatiite Succession as an analog for the Olivine Bearing Rocks at Jezero. Lunar Planetary Science Conference 53rd, NASA, Mar 2022, Woodlands, Texas, United States. pp.#2075. hal-03838961

HAL Id: hal-03838961

<https://hal.science/hal-03838961>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A KOMATIITE SUCCESSION AS AN ANALOG FOR THE OLIVINE BEARING ROCKS AT JEZERO.

A.J. Brown¹, R.C. Wiens², S. Maurice³, K. Uckert⁴, M. Tice⁵, D. Flannery⁶, R.G. Deen⁴, A.H. Treiman⁷, K. L. Siebach⁸, L.W. Beegle⁴, W.J. Abbey⁴, J.F. Bell⁹, L.E. Mayhew¹⁰, J.I. Simon¹¹, O. Beyssac¹³, P.A. Willis⁴, R. Bhartia¹⁴, R.J. Smith¹², T. Fouchet¹⁵, C. Quantin-Nataf¹⁶, P. Pinet³, L. Mandon¹⁵, S. Le Mouélic¹⁷, A. Udry¹⁸, B. Horgan¹⁹, F. Calef⁴, E. Cloutis²⁰, N. Turenne²⁰, C. Royer¹⁵, M.-P. Zorzano²¹, E. Ravanis²², S. Fagents²², A. Fairen^{21,23}, S. Gupta²⁴, V. Sautter¹³, Y. Liu⁴, M. Schmidt²⁵, K. Hickman-Lewis²⁶, L. C.Kah²⁷ Plancius Research, MD (adrian.j.brown@nasa.gov)²LANL. ³IRAP, Toulouse, France. ⁴Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology. ⁵School of Geosciences, Texas A&M University. ⁶QUT, Queensland, Australia. ⁷LPI/USRA. ⁸Rice University, Houston, TX. ⁹ASU, Tempe AZ. ¹⁰CU Boulder, CO. ¹¹NASA JSC, Houston, TX. ¹³IMPMC, Paris, France. ¹⁴Photon Systems Inc., Covina, CA. ¹⁵Obs. de Paris, France. ¹⁶Université de Lyon, LGL-TPE, France, ¹⁷LPG, Univ. Nantes, ¹⁸UNLV, ¹⁹Purdue, ²⁰UWinnipeg, ²¹Centro de Astrobiología, ²²Univ of Hawai'i, ²³Cornell Univ, ²⁴Imperial College, London, ²⁵Brock Univ, Ontario ²⁶Nat. Hist. Museum London, UK and Univ di Bologna, Italy, ²⁷Univ. of Tennessee, Knoxville.

Introduction: The Mars 2020 rover landed at Jezero crater on February 18, 2021 [1]. Since then, the rover has traveled around the “Séitah” region [2] and has collected data from the Mastcam-Z, Supercam, PIXL and SHERLOC instruments that has led to insights into the formation of the olivine-clay-carbonate bearing rocks that were identified from orbit [3,4]. Here we discuss three questions: 1) What have we learned about the olivine-clay-carbonate unit? 2) What terrestrial analogs exist for the unit? 3) Why do the rocks have a thinly layered morphology? We shall briefly mention instrumental measurements which provide important information regarding the olivine bearing rock at Seitah.

Mastcam-Z: The Mastcam-Z instrument obtains multispectral images extending to ~1020 nm. Images are being used to locate olivine via its absorption band centered near 1 μm . Infrequent ropey textures suggestive of lava flows have been imaged by Mastcam-Z (Fig 1) [5].

Supercam: Supercam has been used to identify cumulate olivine and characterize its Fo# using Raman and LIBS measurements [6]. The Supercam VISIR data set is being compared to spectral features seen from orbit by the CRISM instrument on MRO [7]. Fig 2 shows a olivine-rich rock with a cumulate texture imaged by the Supercam RMI at Cine in Seitah.

PIXL: PIXL provides the elemental composition of its target via x-ray fluorescence at a resolution of ~120 μm [7]. These data have now been used to identify at the millimeter scale cumulate olivine, high-Ca clinopyroxene and mesostasis (Figure 3) [8].

SHERLOC: SHERLOC UV Raman is being used for the identification of carbonates and olivine in a scanning mode and clays at a grid of points within the *in situ* FOV of the WATSON imager (Fig. 4) [9].



Fig. 1 MastcamZ image-sol 110 shows ropy textures of Tsa tsaadah




Fig. 2 Supercam RMI color stretched image (Cine sol 206) + blue cumulate olivine.




Fig. 3 PIXL (Dourbes sol 258). Green: olivine; dark green: augite; blue: mesostasis.




Fig 4. SHERLOC (Dourbes sol 292) showing olivine and carbonate

What have we learned about the Olivine-clay-carbonate lithology? The olivine-clay-carbonate lithology is among the best-documented rock types in Jezero crater and the surrounding watershed [3] and is potentially among the most astrobiologically compelling units in the region [10]. From orbital VNIR reflectance spectra, the unit contains abundant olivine (Fo#45-66) in large grains (>500 μm , based on band saturation) accompanied by clay and carbonate minerals [3], and its crater retention age is ~ 3.82 Ga [11]. Several potential origins of the olivine-rich unit are possible: 1) a density segregated melt associated with a lava flow or lake; 2) a pyroclastic density current (PDC) at low temperature [11]; 3) tephra fall [12]; or 4) some combination of all of the above, see also [13]. The transition from primary volcanic deposit to the olivine-carbonate-clay could have been from deuteric serpentinization and talc-carbonation [8] perhaps caused by late Noachian CO_2 outgassing [14]. It is also possible that the olivine was altered to carbonate when it was exposed to a thick CO_2 -rich Noachian atmosphere [15]. Discrimination between these formation and alteration histories is critical to advancing our understanding of Noachian mantle circulation [16].

Terrestrial analog of komatiite sequence: Here we consider a low viscosity lava flow or lake which density-segregated into a layered cumulate. Figure 5 shows two examples from the ultramafic Archean stromatolite-bearing Dresser Formation in Western Australia. Olivine replaced by serpentine and talc-carbonate are seen in a petrographic thin section in the image. Similarities with the olivine-clay-carbonate include the mm size cumulate textures and mineralogy, in this case the olivine is replaced by talc or serpentine.




Figure 5 - Outline of approach for differentiating alteration styles of the olivine-carbonate lithology using M2020 instrumentation suite.

Why such thin layering and polyhedral jointing? Terrestrial komatiite sequence lavas have extremely low viscosity, and provide a starting indication for what the lava emplacement mechanism must have been for the olivine-clay-carbonate layers at Seitah and beyond in Nili Fossae. The thin layering (Fig 6) probably also contributes to the draping appearance of the unit reported in [12]. In addition, polyhedral jointing is also present at the top of many komatiite successions, including Dundonald Sill and is regarded as unequivocal evidence of an extrusive origin [18].




Fig 6 Mastcam-Z sol 211 zcam08246 L0 enhanced mosaic of the Village tilted layers [NASA/JPL-Caltech/ASU/MSSS/K. Crawford]

We are using the elemental abundances of the Supercam LIBS instrument to calculate the viscosity of the olivine-clay-carbonate unit at Seitah and will compare with our analog.

Fig 7 - Fig 8 of McGetchin and Smythe 1978 [17] showing viscosity of their model Martian lava which we suggest is similar to the olivine-clay-carbonate.

Take away messages: 1) We have outlined how the instruments of the *Perseverance* rover are being used to increase our understanding of this unit and determine their variations around Jezero. **2)** We have outlined the case for a komatiitic succession of rocks as an information-rich analog for the olivine-bearing rocks at Seitah. **3)** We are calculating viscosities for the olivine-bearing rocks and these indicate a low viscosity that may help explain the thin cumulate layering of these rocks.

Acknowledgements: AJB was partially supported by MDAP grant #NNX16AJ48G to perform this work.

References: [1] Farley, K.A.+ (2020) SSR 216 [142](#) [2] Stack, K.M.+ (2020) SSR 216 [127](#) Sun, V. and Stack, K.M. USGS SIMap [#3464](#) [3] Ehlmann B.+ (2008) *Science* **322** [1828](#) Goudge, T.+ (2015) *JGR* **120** [775-808](#) [4] Brown, A. et al. (2020) *JGR* **125** [2019JE006011](#); Brown, A.J.+ (2010) *EPSL* **297** [174-182](#) [5] Bell, J.R.+ (2022) this meeting; Nuñez, J.+ (2022) this meeting; Johnson, J.+ (2022) this meeting [6] Wiens, R.+ (2022) this meeting; Pinet, P.+ (2022) *Icarus* [373](#); Udry, A.+ (2022) this meeting; Mandon, L.+ (2022) this meeting; Beyssac, O.+ (2022) this meeting; Poulet F.+ (2022) this meeting [7] Allwood, A.C.+ (2020) SSR 216 [134](#) [8] Schmidt, M.+ (2022) this meeting [9] Scheller, E.+ (2022) this meeting [10] Horgan, B.+ (2020) *Icarus* **339** [113526](#) [11] Mandon+ (2020) *Icarus* **336** [113436](#) [12] Kremer, C.+ (2019) *Geology* **111** [E02S10](#) [13] Ravanis, E.+ (2022) this meeting [14] Grott, M.+ *EPSL* **308** [391-400](#) [15] Pollack, J.B.+ (1987) *Icarus* **71** [203-224](#) [16] Hirschmann, M.M.+Withers, A.C. *EPSL* **270** [147-155](#) Kiefer, W.S. (2003) *MAPS* **38** [1815-1832](#) [17] McGetchin and Smythe J.R. (1978) *Icarus* **34** [512-536](#) [18] Arndt, N.+ (2004) *Journal of Petrology* **45** [2555](#)