

Flow synthesis of an *α***-amino boronic ester as key precursor of Bortezomib drug**

Titouan Desrues, Julien Legros, Philippe Jubault, Thomas Poisson

To cite this version:

Titouan Desrues, Julien Legros, Philippe Jubault, Thomas Poisson. Flow synthesis of an *α*-amino boronic ester as key precursor of Bortezomib drug. Reaction Chemistry & Engineering, 2022, 7 (6), pp.1285-1288. $10.1039/D2RE00099G$. hal-03838951

HAL Id: hal-03838951 <https://hal.science/hal-03838951>

Submitted on 3 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Flow synthesis of an α-amino boronic ester as key precursor of Bortezomib drug

Titouan Desrues,^a Julien Legros,*a Philippe Jubault*a and Thomas Poisson*a,b

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The flow synthesis of the optically active α-amino boronate precursor of the Bortezomib drug is described, including a key a diasteroselective Matteson rearrangement. This reaction sequence provides an efficient, prompt and valuable strategy for the preparation of Bortezomib (<30 min total residence time over 3 steps for the flow synthesis of the protected *L***- boroleucine).**

The demand for flexible and sustainable production of active pharmaceutical ingredients (API), drastically increased since the arise of the COVID-19 pandemic. Hence, the design of straightforward synthetic routes, a longstanding challenge for organic chemists, to provide fast and secured access to key intermediates or APIs became mandatory to secure national production and pharmaceutical independence. In that context, continuous flow synthesis could play a major role to support these goals,¹⁻³ since it can provide some decisive benefits such as a firm grip on sensitive reactions⁴⁻⁶ and an easier scale-up.^{7,8} In this frame, Bortezomib **1** (VelcadeTM, CytomibTM), is a blockbuster drug (1,39 \$ billion in retail sales in 2020)⁹ prescribed for the treatment of myeloma and cell lymphoma.¹⁰– ¹⁶ The structure of Bortezomib is derived from pyrazinoic acid, *L*-phenylalanine, and *L*-boroleucine moieties, which are connected through amide bonds. To the best of our knowledge, six diastereoselective total synthesis have been reported in the literature (all under batch conditions) and four of them relies on the diastereoselective preparation of the key enantiopure αaminoboronic acid **2** (scheme 1).¹⁷–²² This fragment plays a major role in the biological activity of Bortezomib.¹³ In this

- *f. Thomas Poisson, thomas.poisson@insa-rouen.fr*
- † Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

context, we now report a synthesis of the key enantiopure synthon **2a** under continuous flow conditions (Scheme 1).

This flow synthesis was therefore planned in three steps as follow: 1) esterification of i -BuB(OH)₂ with $(+)$ -pinanediol, 2) formation of the enantiopure α-chloro-boronic ester **4** from a diastereoselective Matteson rearrangement and 3) the final nucleophilic substitution to install the amino group.

Thus, a solution of isobutyl boronic acid in THF (1.1 equiv.) was easily reacted with a THF solution of (+)-pinanediol (1 equiv.) in a flow reactor at 80 °C to afford the boronic ester **3** within only t^R = 2.5 min (88 % yield, Scheme 2a). The next critical step involves the formation and reaction of the highly reactive carbenoid intermediate (LiCHCl₂) to add onto the boronate for subsequent Matteson rearrangement. The carbenoid generated by deprotonation of dichloromethane is only stable under cryogenic conditions, which severely hampers the use of this transformation on an industrial scale.^{23,24} However, flow technology has been shown to be an unvaluable asset to control such unstable organolithium species in virtue of excellent

a. INSA Rouen, CNRS, UNIROUEN, COBRA, Normandie Univ, 76600 Rouen, France

b. Institut Universitaire de France, 1 Rue Descartes, 75231 Paris, France

c. Corresponding authors :

d.Julien Legros, julien.legros@univ-rouen.fr

e.Philippe Jubault, philippe.jubault@insa-rouen.fr

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

mixing and fine tuning of the reaction time. 5,25–²⁷ In this line, Sedelmeier reported a breakthrough in halogenomethyl lithium chemistry/Matteson rearrangement by the deprotonation of the inexpensive CH₂Cl₂,²⁸ which was then applied by Schuster in the large scale synthesis of a Vaborbactam intermediate.²⁹ More recently, Kirschning extended the methodology to the metal-halogen exchange of ClCH2Br with a specific static mixer,³⁰ whereas Luisi described the synthesis of chloroaldehydes from the unstable ClCH(Li)I and ketones.³¹ In our case, it was decided to perform the transformation of *i*butyl boronate **3** into target compound **4** with common and accessible equipment (simple T-shaped mixer notably) and with widely available $CH₂Cl₂$ as reagent for easier reproducibility. Thus, after optimization (see ESI for details), we implemented the formation of the LiCHCl₂ and its subsequent trapping with the chiral boronate **3** as follow: a precooled commercial solution of *n*-BuLi (1.6 equiv, 2.33 M in hexane) was mixed with a precooled diluted solution of CH₂Cl₂ in THF (2.5 equiv. 0.3 M) to form the CHCl2Li with a flow rate of 20.5 mL/min and a very short residence time (*t ^R*= 2.9 s, Scheme 2b). A third flow inlet was then connected to the flow system: a solution of boronate **3** (1 equiv.) with a flow rate of 2.2 mL/min was then injected to perform the Matteson step that occurred in a second reactor (t^R = 13 s), the outlet being collected into a flask containing a commercial solution of ZnCl₂ (2 equiv., 1 M in Et₂O) at −50 °C and let rise to room temperature after collection (scheme 2b).

Scheme 2. Flow set-ups for (a) the formation of boronate **3** (T-mixer with ID = 1 mm, Reactor tubing with ID = 1 mm, L = 12.7 m); and (b) the Matteson reaction to provide **4** (T-mixer with ID = 1 mm, Reactor tubing with ID = 1.59 mm, L_1 = 50 cm, L_2 = 250 cm).

The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR and we were very pleased to observe a full conversion into the desired diastereomer after 5 min in the flask. This sequence was highly effective and provided the chiral α-chloro-boronic ester **4**, with a space-time yield of 7.2 kg/h/L (94% yield, Scheme 2b) and with an excellent purity (> 97%) after a simple aqueous work-up. The

target compound **4** was thus directly used for the next step without further tedious purification.

We then focused on the possibility to perform a fully telescoped flow process from isobutyl boronic acid to the stereospecific 1,2-metallate rearrangement through the introduction of the commercially available $ZnCl₂$ solution via an additional inlet. Thus, solutions of (+)-pinanediol (1 equiv.) and isobutyl boronic acid (1 equiv.) were injected through two separate inlets and reacted in a tubing reactor at 35 °C to form **3** (*t ^R* = 5 min, Scheme 3a). The next step involving pyrophoric and sensitive organolithium compounds, the water formed during the formation of boronate **3**, was removed inline by using a packed-bed reactor filled with 4 \AA molecular sieves (t^R = 7 min, Scheme 3a). This flow system was then connected to the set-up for the Matteson rearrangement including a supplementary inlet with a solution of ZnCl₂. The reaction between LiCHCl₂ and **3** occurred was performed at −50 °C (t^R = 11 s) before being heated at 35 °C in a further reactor (t^R = 33 s) and finally quenched by connecting the outlet to a saturated aqueous solution of NH4Cl (Scheme 3a). This telescoped sequence allows to afford the target **4** in very good yield (85% over two steps). Therefore, this last optimisation led to the implementation of a fully concatenated flow synthesis of a key intermediate α -chloro-boronic ester from available starting chemicals, starting from commercially available materials in a single operation, without isolation of intermediates nor tedious purification, apart from a final aqueous work-up.

Last, we moved to the development of the final step to access the target amino boronate **2a***, i.e.* the installation of the amino residue with the use of LiHMDS (Scheme 3b). A solution of the chloroboronic ester **4** (1 equiv) was successfully reacted with a solution of LiHMDS (2.8 equiv) in a flow reactor cooled at −50 °C (*t ^R* = 2.5 min) before being warmed to 35 °C in a second reactor (t^R = 15 min) to ensure full conversion. The reaction was carried out on a 3.69 mmol scale (20.5 min of collection) to afford the key precursor **2a** in 75% yield after purification (Scheme 3b). The completion of the synthesis of Bortezomib **1** was finally achieved from this key intermediate under classical batch reaction conditions, as already depicted in the literature (Scheme 3b). 20

In summary, we have achieved the flow synthesis of the strategic α-amino boronic ester **2a**, key precursor of the drug Bortezomib **1**. This protocol involved telescoped reactions and classical equipment for flow chemistry. The total residence time for the flow steps is around 30 min, which represents a significant productivity gain with regards to the batch operations. Moreover, a single final purification is needed to ensure a high purity level. The protocol delineated within this study offers thus a fast and practical access to this key intermediate, and therefore drastically shortens the access to Bortezomib. Moreover, this protocol would also be further applied to other APIs, containing α -aminoboronic acid derivative. ³²–³⁴

with ID = 1 mm, Reactor tubing with ID = 1.59 mm, L₁ = 600 cm, L₂ = 250 cm) and batch conversion into Bortezomib 1.

This work is part of the API program supported by the European Union through the operational program ERDF/FSE 2014-2020 (n°20E04976) and FNADT-DRACCARE program 2020-2023 (N)15179). It has been partially supported by University of Rouen Normandy, INSA Rouen Normandy, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Labex SynOrg (ANR-11- LABX-0029), Carnot Institute I2C, the graduate school for research XL-Chem (ANR-18-EURE-0020 XL CHEM), and by Région Normandie. T. P. thanks the Institut Universitaire de

France (IUF) for support. We warmly thank L. Donnier-Valentin (INSA Rouen Normandie, EUR XL CHEM) for complementary experiments.

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

1 R. Gérardy, N. Emmanuel, T. Toupy, V.-E. Kassin, N. N.

Tshibalonza, M. Schmitz and J.-C. M. Monbaliu, *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 2018, **2018**, 2301–2351.

- 2 M. Baumann, T. S. Moody, M. Smyth and S. Wharry, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2020, **24**, 1802–1813.
- 3 V. R. L. J. Bloemendal, M. A. C. H. Janssen, J. C. M. van Hest and F. P. J. T. Rutjes, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **5**, 1186–1197.
- 4 H. Kim, K.-I. Min, K. Inoue, D. J. Im, D.-P. Kim and J. Yoshida, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 691–694.
- 5 J. Yoshida, H. Kim and A. Nagaki, *Journal of Flow Chemistry*, 2017, **7**, 60–64.
- 6 T. Wirth, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 682–684.
- 7 M. Movsisyan, E. I. P. Delbeke, J. K. E. T. Berton, C. Battilocchio, S. V. Ley and C. V. Stevens, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 4892–4928.
- 8 M. B. Plutschack, B. Pieber, K. Gilmore and P. H. Seeberger, *Chemical Reviews*, 2017, **117**, 11796–11893.
- 9 J. T. Njardarson, *J. chem. ed.*, 2010, 1348.
- 10 A. F. Kisselev and A. L. Goldberg, *Chemistry & Biology*, 2001, **8**, 739–758.
- 11 P. G. Richardson, B. Barlogie, J. Berenson, S. Singhal, S. Jagannath, D. Irwin, S. V. Rajkumar, G. Srkalovic, M. Alsina, R. Alexanian, D. Siegel, R. Z. Orlowski, D. Kuter, S. A. Limentani, S. Lee, T. Hideshima, D.-L. Esseltine, M. Kauffman, J. Adams, D. P. Schenkein and K. C. Anderson, *N Engl J Med*, 2003, **348**, 2609– 2617.
- 12 P. F. Bross, R. Kane, A. T. Farrell, S. Abraham, K. Benson, M. E. Brower, S. Bradley, J. V. Gobburu, A. Goheer, S.-L. Lee, J. Leighton, C. Y. Liang, R. T. Lostritto, W. D. McGuinn, D. E. Morse, A. Rahman, L. A. Rosario, S. L. Verbois, G. Williams, Y.-C. Wang and R. Pazdur, *Clin Cancer Res*, 2004, **10**, 3954–3964.
- 13 J. Bladé, M. T. Cibeira and L. Rosiñol, *Acta Oncologica*, 2005, **44**, 440–448.
- 14 A. Field-Smith, G. J. Morgan and F. E. Davies, *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*, 2006, **2**, 271–279.
- 15 R. C. Kane, A. T. Farrell, R. Sridhara and R. Pazdur, *Clin Cancer Res*, 2006, **12**, 2955–2960.
- 16 C. Conticello, L. Adamo, L. Vicari, R. Giuffrida, G. Iannolo, G. Anastasi, L. Caruso, G. Moschetti, A. Cupri, G. A. Palumbo, M. Gulisano, R. De Maria, R. Giustolisi and F. Di Raimondo, *Acta Haematol*, 2008, **120**, 19–30.
- 17 J. Adams, M. Behnke, S. Chen, A. A. Cruickshank, L. R. Dick, L. Grenier, J. M. Klunder, Y.-T. Ma, L. Plamondon and R. L. Stein,

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 1998, **8**, 333–338.

- 18 Y. Li, M. Plesescu, P. Sheehan, J. Scott Daniels and S. R. Prakash, *J Label Compd Radiopharm*, 2007, **50**, 402–406.
- 19 M. A. Beenen, C. An and J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 6910–6911.
- 20 A. S. Ivanov, A. A. Zhalnina and S. V. Shishkov, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 7105–7108.
- 21 C. Li, J. Wang, L. M. Barton, S. Yu, M. Tian, D. S. Peters, M. Kumar, A. W. Yu, K. A. Johnson, A. K. Chatterjee, M. Yan and P. S. Baran, *Science*, 2017, **356**, eaam7355.
- 22 R. L. Reyes, M. Sato, T. Iwai and M. Sawamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 589–597.
- 23 D. S. Matteson, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 1988, 7.
- 24 D. S. Matteson, *Chem. Rev.*, 1989, **89**, 1535–1551.
- 25 A. Nagaki, C. Matsuo, S. Kim, K. Saito, A. Miyazaki and J. Yoshida, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2012, **51**, 3245–3248.
- 26 K. Pérez, B. Picard, D. Vuluga, F. Burel, R. Hreiz, L. Falk, J.-M. Commenge, A. Nagaki, J. Yoshida, I. Chataigner, J. Maddaluno and J. Legros, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2020, **24**, 787–791.
- 27 B. Picard, K. Pérez, T. Lebleu, D. Vuluga, F. Burel, D. C. Harrowven, I. Chataigner, J. Maddaluno and J. Legros, *J Flow Chem*, 2020, **10**, 139–143.
- 28 A. Hafner, V. Mancino, M. Meisenbach, B. Schenkel and J. Sedelmeier, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 786–789.
- 29 C. Stueckler, P. Hermsen, B. Ritzen, M. Vasiloiu, P. Poechlauer, S. Steinhofer, A. Pelz, C. Zinganell, U. Felfer, S. Boyer, M. Goldbach, A. de Vries, T. Pabst, G. Winkler, V. LaVopa, S. Hecker and C. Schuster, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 1069–1077.
- 30 C. Kuhwald and A. Kirschning, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 4300–4304.
- 31 P. Musci, M. Colella, A. Sivo, G. Romanazzi, R. Luisi and L. Degennaro, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 3623–3627.
- 32 L. R. Dick and P. E. Fleming, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2010, **15**, 243– 249.
- 33 A. Rentsch, D. Landsberg, T. Brodmann, L. Bülow, A.-K. Girbig and M. Kalesse, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5450–5488.
- 34 G. de Bruin, E. D. Mock, S. Hoogendoorn, A. M. C. H. van den Nieuwendijk, J. Mazurek, G. A. van der Marel, B. I. Florea and H. S. Overkleeft, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 4064–4067.