



**HAL**  
open science

## **Sensitivity analysis of an aerosol-aware microphysics scheme in Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) during case studies of fog in Namibia**

Caroline Dang, Michal Segal-Rozenhaimer, Haochi Che, Lu Zhang, Paola Formenti, Jonathan Taylor, Amie Dobracki, Sara Purdue, Pui-Shan Wong, Athanasios Nenes, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Caroline Dang, Michal Segal-Rozenhaimer, Haochi Che, Lu Zhang, Paola Formenti, et al.. Sensitivity analysis of an aerosol-aware microphysics scheme in Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) during case studies of fog in Namibia. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 2022, 22 (15), pp.10221 - 10245. 10.5194/acp-22-10221-2022 . hal-03836151

**HAL Id: hal-03836151**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03836151>**

Submitted on 1 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Biomass burning and marine aerosol processing over the southeast Atlantic Ocean: a TEM single-particle analysis

Caroline Dang<sup>1,2</sup>, Michal Segal-Rozenhaimer<sup>3,4</sup>, Haochi Che<sup>3</sup>, Lu Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Paola Formenti<sup>5</sup>, Jonathan Taylor<sup>6</sup>, Amie Dobracki<sup>7</sup>, Sara Purdue<sup>7</sup>, Pui-Shan Wong<sup>8</sup>, Athanasios Nenes<sup>9,10</sup>, Arthur Sedlacek III<sup>11</sup>, Hugh Coe<sup>6</sup>, Jens Redemann<sup>12</sup>, Paquita Zuidema<sup>7</sup>, Steven Howell<sup>13</sup>, and James Haywood<sup>14,15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

<sup>2</sup>Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geophysics, Porter School, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel

<sup>4</sup>Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Université de Paris Cité and Université Paris-Est Creteil, CNRS, LISA, 75013 Paris, France

<sup>6</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>7</sup>Rosenstiel School, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA

<sup>8</sup>Mount Allison University, Sackville, New Brunswick, CA, USA

<sup>9</sup>Laboratory of Atmospheric Processes and their Impacts, School of Architecture, Civil & Environmental Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland

<sup>10</sup>Center for Studies of Air Quality and Climate Change, Institute of Chemical Engineering Sciences, Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Patras 26504, Greece

<sup>11</sup>Brookhaven National Laboratory, Brookhaven, NY, USA

<sup>12</sup>School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Oceanography, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, USA

<sup>14</sup>College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Science, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

<sup>15</sup>Met Office, Exeter, EX1 3PB, UK

**Correspondence:** Caroline Dang (carolinevandang@gmail.com) and Michal Segal-Rozenhaimer (msegalro@tauex.tau.ac.il)

Received: 26 August 2021 – Discussion started: 21 September 2021

Revised: 8 May 2022 – Accepted: 13 May 2022 – Published: 21 July 2022

**Abstract.** This study characterizes single-particle aerosol composition from filters collected during the Observations of Aerosols above CLouds and their interactionS (ORACLES) and Cloud–Aerosol–Radiation Interaction and Forcing: Year 2017 (CLARIFY-2017) campaigns. In particular the study describes aged biomass burning aerosol (BBA), its interaction with the marine boundary layer and the influence of biomass burning (BB) air on marine aerosol. The study finds evidence of BBA influenced by marine boundary layer processing as well as sea salt influenced by BB air. Secondary chloride aerosols were observed in clean marine air as well as in BB-influenced air in the free troposphere. Higher-volatility organic aerosol appears to be associated with increased age of biomass burning plumes, and photolysis or oxidation may be a mechanism for the apparent increased volatility. Aqueous processing and interaction with the marine boundary layer air may be a mechanism for the presence of sodium on many aged potassium salts. By number, biomass burning potassium salts and modified sea salts are the most observed particles on filter samples. The most commonly observed BC coatings are inorganic salts. These results suggest that atmospheric processes such as photolysis, oxidation and cloud processing are key drivers in the elemental composition and morphology of aged BBA. Fresh BBA inorganic salt content, as it has an important role in the particles' ability to uptake water, may be a key driver in how aqueous processing and atmospheric aging proceed.

## 1 Introduction

With Africa producing almost a third of the Earth's biomass burning aerosol (BBA) (Roberts et al., 2009), two aircraft campaigns, Observations of Aerosols above CLouds and their interactionS (ORACLES) and Cloud–Aerosol–Radiation Interaction and Forcing: Year 2017 (CLARIFY-2017), were focused on understanding African biomass burning aerosol interaction with clouds and radiation in the southeast Atlantic (Haywood et al., 2021; Redemann et al., 2021). The CLARIFY campaign was based on Ascension Island in 2017 and sampled primarily in that vicinity, and ORACLES was based in São Tomé in 2017 and 2018 and generally sampled closer to Africa than CLARIFY. CLARIFY findings detail a complex vertical structure in aerosol with a temperature structure inhibiting mixing between layers (Haywood et al., 2021). Over Africa, mixing is inhibited by stable layers at the top of the continental boundary layer (CBL) (Garstang et al., 1996), and over the southeast Atlantic the BBA in the residual CBL moves over the marine boundary layer (MBL) as the air is transported west (Haywood et al., 2021). However, BBA aerosol is more often affected by the MBL than previously accounted for, reaching the MBL through pathways that are not fully articulated (Zuidema et al., 2018), with entrainment processes through the clouds potentially altering aerosol properties further. An example of this is the low single scattering albedo in the boundary layer compared to the free troposphere (Zuidema et al., 2018; Pistone et al., 2019). Both campaigns report that a more detailed aerosol process-level understanding including the properties of black carbon, organic carbon and inorganic compounds and how they vary as a function of mixing state and altitude is needed, as is knowledge of properties of the aerosols as they age from emission to deposition and the degree of mixing of BBA into the MBL (Haywood et al., 2021; Redemann et al., 2021).

While in situ instruments provide data over large temporal and spatial scales, the instruments which analyzed chemical composition in the ORACLES and CLARIFY campaigns analyzed bulk aerosol; detailed offline single-particle analysis can offer valuable information to complement these online measurements. The principal in situ instrument used in these campaigns to determine aerosol chemical composition is the aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS). The AMS can detect organic and non-refractory inorganic mass at high time resolution. There are limitations on the size range of aerosols detected depending on the inlet system employed, with no detection above 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and a decreasing efficiency above 700 nm. Salts do not vaporize easily and tend to recombine with oppositely charged ions and make quantification of salts in the mass spectra difficult, if not impossible (Nash et al., 2006). The mixing state of organic and inorganic constituents can only be determined with offline analysis of collected samples rather than in situ bulk aerosol measurements. Transmis-

sion electron microscopy (TEM) coupled with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) is suited to understanding physical and chemical properties of individual particles including shape, elemental composition, mixing state, volatility and viscosity, and it is particularly useful for complex aerosol which have been processed (Signorell and Reid, 2011; Reid et al., 2018; Li et al., 2003). Therefore TEM-EDX is a useful method for understanding processes affecting aged BB aerosol as well as marine salts which are pervasive over the ocean.

Previous work of African BBA from the Southern African Regional Science Initiative (SAFARI-2000) showed that the aerosols were primarily composed of black carbon, potassium salts and organic / sulfur material (Liu et al., 2000; Pósfai et al., 2003; Li et al., 2003). The SAFARI campaign was mostly focused on BBA that were less aged than particles in CLARIFY and ORACLES. SAFARI results showed that KCl particles occur in young smoke more often, while  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{KNO}_3$  particles occur more in aged biomass burning aerosol (Li et al., 2003). This is due to gas-phase oxidation of  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  and the displacement of HCl by the stronger acids  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  during plume transport. The authors theorized that aging caused sulfate to accumulate on organic and soot particles due to the large amount of internally mixed soot / sulfate and organic / sulfate particles in haze (Pósfai et al., 2003). Based on the location and composition of the particles, Pósfai et al. (2003) concluded that organic and soot particles were the main cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) constituents of BBA. They determined that organic particles with inorganic inclusions likely contribute to the high cloud-nucleating capability of biomass burning particles, and Semeniuk et al. (2007), using environmental TEM, found that the inorganic phases of SAFARI particles took up water, while soot and tar balls did not; therefore they determined that the inorganic content of mixed organic / inorganic particles determined the hygroscopic properties of BBA. SAFARI-2000 samples were taken in stratus clouds that capped the boundary layer, distinct from the BB haze layer in the free troposphere (FT), and were dominated by sea salt particles (Pósfai et al., 2003). CLARIFY and ORACLES online observations also show an aerosol population dominated by coated black carbon (BC), organics and sulfates, consistent with the SAFARI TEM findings of BBA. CLARIFY noted a thick inorganic or organic coating around BC (Taylor et al., 2020), while ORACLES noted a less thick coating around BC, as well as a decreasing amount of coating with plume age (Sedlacek III et al., 2022). ORACLES AMS data also noted a decrease in organic aerosol with plume age (Dobrcki et al., 2022). As the single-particle soot photometer, used to detect coatings on BC, does not differentiate between organic and inorganic material, TEM can help elucidate the type and source of coating on BC.

Sea salt aerosols, generated through a bubble bursting process on the sea surface (Lewis and Schwartz, 2004), have

implications for radiative effects (Murphy et al., 1998) and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity (King et al., 2012). Sea salt aerosols are modified when they react with sulfate, nitrate and organic acids, resulting in a Na-rich and Cl-depleted aerosol and emission of gaseous HCl (Gard et al., 1998). There have been studies on the interaction of urban and anthropogenic sources with marine aerosol (Adachi and Buseck, 2015), but single-particle studies of sea salt aerosol (SSA) and variations due to mixing with BB air are scarce. Coastal areas near urban sites show sea salt particles being modified by anthropogenic sources. Adachi and Buseck found that sea salt particles were modified by H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> by acid displacement of Cl (2015), and sea salt particles have also been shown to be Cl-depleted by organic acid displacement (Laskin et al., 2012; Kerminen et al., 1998). Pósfai et al. (1995) performed TEM analysis of marine aerosol as part of the Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition Experiment/Marine Aerosol and Gas Exchange (ASTEX/MAGE) campaign and found that polluted continental air affected sea salt aerosol processing, heterogeneity and mixing with sulfates and nitrates.

With both biomass burning salts and marine salts being major contributors to aerosol in the southeast Atlantic region, a technique that can detect salts is important to accurately represent the aerosol in the region. Further, the plumes sampled during the CLARIFY and ORACLES campaigns are aged up to 15 and 7 d, respectively, according to back trajectories initialized at filter sampling times and locations. This is different from previous campaigns such as SAFARI-2000, which was deployed closer to the burning source, and so TEM results can provide information on processing of aged (2–15 d from emission) BBA. This paper will describe the single-particle analysis in the context of the ancillary data including AMS measurements, back trajectories, cloud processing, time from source and time in the MBL. Our main questions are as follows: (1) what are the dominant aerosols in the region, and do CLARIFY and ORACLES aerosol differ from each other based on differences in BB plume age? (2) What are the differences observed between MBL and FT aerosol? (3) What are the proposed processes which have acted on the aerosol? We proceed with a description of filter sampling and analysis methods and describe the region's aerosol types during the two campaigns while comparing and contrasting between the two. Then, we compare aerosol composition and state in the MBL and FT and discuss possible processing during transport.

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Filter sampling

Aerosol sampling was performed with the NASA Ames Research Center (ARC) aerosol filter system (AFS), installed on the P3, and the filter system operated on the UK Bae-146 aircraft operated by the Facility of Airborne Atmospheric Mea-

surement (FAAM). Lacey carbon TEM grids (Ted Pella, Inc, #01881) were attached to 400 nm hole size polycarbonate nuclepore (Whatman™ WHA10417112) filters. The Bae-146 has been used for filter analysis for single-particle analysis (Chou et al., 2008), as well as bulk analysis (Sanchez-Marroquin et al., 2019; Hand et al., 2010; Andreae et al., 2000). The AFS was composed of a filter holder manifold with five separate filters, connected to the aerosol in situ suite inlet during ORACLES 2017 and 2018. A vacuum pump connected to a flow meter to maintain flow of 30 L min<sup>-1</sup> was used for sampling, with five manually controlled valves that were used to switch the sampling to filter holders. The filter manifold was preloaded before each flight with filters. Samples for both campaigns were deposited on TEM grids at the locations, sampling times and total flow volumes listed in Table S1 in the Supplement.

After sampling, the ORACLES 2017 and CLARIFY 2017 filters were sealed in polycarbonate filter holders and wrapped in Parafilm<sup>®</sup> and aluminum foil and transported together with ice packs in a cooler and placed in a designated freezer immediately at the University of Manchester. The ORACLES 2018 filters were sealed in the same manner and transported with ice packs and stored in a designated freezer at Tel Aviv University. A preliminary set of TEM analysis was conducted on the ORACLES and CLARIFY 2017 filters at the University of Manchester, and then they were sealed and transported together with ice packs in a cooler for analysis at Tel Aviv University. Care was taken to maintain similar handling, storage, transport and analysis of all filters in both campaigns. All data included in this study are from the Tel Aviv University analysis.

Size segregation was not performed during particle sampling. Most observed particles are in the submicron range. It is possible that morphologies or compositions were altered during collection, as in other aerosol TEM studies. For example, compositions of hydrate sulfates have been suggested to change in the TEM chamber or during processing (Buseck and Pósfai, 1999), with acidic particles containing more water spreading more on a TEM grid than neutral species. Andreae et al. (1986) suggest that CaSO<sub>4</sub> observed on filters without sea salt ions in the marine atmosphere could be from the breakup up sea salt particles containing a gypsum crystallite. A sodium chloride core and magnesium chloride coating have been suggested to be due to efflorescence of a particle after collection (Ault et al., 2013). Pósfai et al. (1994) suggest that an interesting sulfate crystalline rod morphology may be due to water loss within the TEM chamber. Generally, the particles we observed were separated from other particles on the filter, and so agglomeration and aggregation did not influence organic mixing with adjacent particles. Samples were collected, on average, for approximately 10 min and in dry conditions, which may help to limit any chemical reactions the particles are subject to as the aircraft passes into new air masses.



## 2.2 Transmission electron microscopy with energy dispersive x-ray analysis (TEM-EDX)

A JEOL™ JEM-2010F FEG-TEM with a ThermoNoran™ energy dispersive X-ray detector (EDX) was used at Tel Aviv University's Exact Sciences' electron microscopy laboratory to analyze 14 filters from CLARIFY (2017) and 16 filters from the ORACLES (2017, 2018) campaigns. TEM analysis was performed at 200 KeV accelerating voltage and a take-off angle of 15.9° for X-ray emission from the sample, with an electron beam dwell time of no more than 30 s and spot size 3. The filter was scanned visually, and representative particles near the center of the TEM grid were analyzed. TEM analysis has been known to underrepresent particles under 300 nm (Posfai et al., 2003). EDX spectra were collected for each particle, and elemental weight percentage and atomic percentage were found per particle and normalized to 100% using NSS software with the Cliff–Lorimer absorbance correction method. C and O are considered semi-quantitative due to the contribution from the Formvar film of C and O from the TEM grid. Ratios of elements such as Na/S and Na/Cl were found by obtaining either the weight or atomic values for individual particles, finding the ratio of interest and averaging the ratio per filter.

## 2.3 Back-trajectory analysis

Back trajectories of each sample were generated using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPPLIT) model (Stein et al., 2015), with the time step set to 1 h. Filter sampling lasted up to approximately 10 min per filter, and back trajectories were calculated as an ensemble of each minute of filter sampling time. To improve the accuracy of the trajectory, we used the hourly high-resolution ERA5 reanalysis data (fifth-generation atmospheric reanalysis data) to drive the calculation. This method captures large-scale movements of air masses and has some inherent uncertainty; for example, it cannot capture entrainment. The ERA5 data have a  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  horizontal resolution and include 37 pressure levels. We collocated the cloud liquid water content of ERA5 to the coordinates of trajectories, with a threshold of  $0.001 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  to detect clouds on the trajectory. Two collocations were performed: one with the 4-D coordinates of the trajectory (time, longitude, latitude and altitude) and another one with 3-D coordinates (time, longitude and latitude). Thus, the cloud liquid content points and profiles at the trajectory are provided, and the mean time of trajectory inside the cloud (cloud liquid water content  $>0.001 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ), and under clear sky (no cloud liquid water above the trajectory) are calculated accordingly. For each sample, we calculated the back trajectories for 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 10 d and the in-cloud and clear-sky time correspondingly.

To determine fire locations, fire radiative power (FRP) data were measured by the Spinning Enhanced Visible and InfraRed Imager (SEVIRI) from the geostationary satellite

Meteosat-8. The FRP is produced with a 15 min repeat cycle for pixels which contain active burning (Roberts et al., 2005); hourly data were used to match the time step of the trajectory. The age of the BB aerosol is then estimated as the time in days when the trajectory first intercepts the FRP points, similar to the method used by Vakkari et al. (2018). The first FRP interception point with the back trajectory was chosen, representing the minimum aerosol age, as older aerosol may be more diluted in the plume. The BBA 7 d back trajectory overlaid with MODIS land cover classifications is included in the Supplement Fig. S1.

## 2.4 Aerosol mass spectrometer, single particle soot photometer and cloud droplet probe

The non-refractive chemical composition for submicron particles was measured using two Aerodyne time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometers (ToF-AMS; Aerodyne Research Inc.), a compact version (C-ToF-AMS) used in CLARIFY (Wu et al., 2020) and a high-resolution version (HR-ToF-AMS) used during ORACLES (Dobracki et al., 2022; Redemann et al., 2021). The mass concentrations of organics, sulfate, nitrate and ammonium were provided. Organic aerosol fractions including f43 and f44 were also derived from the mass spectra obtained during both campaigns. f43 is the fraction of the measured organic mass at  $m/z$  43 relative to the total organic aerosol (OA) mass concentration and is indicative of non-acid oxygenates (Ng et al., 2011), common of fragments of aldehydes, ketones and acid functionalities. Likewise, f44 is the fraction of the measured organic mass present at  $m/z$  44 relative to the total OA mass concentration. The  $m/z$  44 mass is due to acids or esters (Ng et al., 2011). Since these compound classes are commonly associated with low-volatility organic fractions, a high f44 has been associated with low-volatility aerosol (Aiken et al., 2008).

The mass concentration of the refractory black carbon (rBC) of particles ranging from 80–650 nm was obtained in both campaigns by single-particle soot photometers (SP2; Droplet Measurement Technologies, Boulder, CO) using laser-induced incandescence. Detailed information on SP2 measurements can be found in Taylor et al. (2020). Cloud droplet probes (CDPs; Droplet Measurement Technologies, Boulder, CO) were used in both campaigns to measure cloud droplet number concentration.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Overview of observations

Table 1 shows the conditions in which the filters were collected, along with ancillary indicators including latitude and longitude, collection above or below cloud, and AMS data including organics,  $\text{SO}_4$   $\text{NO}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_4$  mass and fraction of  $\text{PM}_{10}$ , as well as BC mass and number concentration. Time in cloud in the 24 h prior to filter collection and time from fire

**Table 1.** Filter IDs, ancillary online aerosol data, location, altitude, back-trajectory-based time from fire as detailed in the text, and in-cloud time over previous 24 h.

Campaign and year	Filter	Date	Particles analyzed	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Altitude (m)	Org ( $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	SO <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	NO <sub>3</sub> ( $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	NH <sub>4</sub> ( $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	BC ( $\mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	BC (particles $\text{cm}^{-3}$ )	CO (ppbv)	Cloud time in 24 h (h)	Above or below cloud	Time from fire (d)
ORACLES 2017	RF11Filter5	8/30/2017	47	-9.47	5	3505	20.5	1.5	3.1	1.3	3.8	1162	395	0	Above	2
ORACLES 2018	RF02_1	9/30/2018	23	-7.64	5	894	1.1	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.2	56	110	9.35	Below	5
	RF02_2	9/30/2018	35	-7.82	5.03	2606	6.6	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.9	273	210	6.55	Above	1
	RF03	10/2/2018	59	-7.67	5.5	982					1.2	346	156	18.08	Above	6
	RF04	10/3/2018	65	-6.75	7	1195	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.3	117	120	6.58	Above	6
	RF05_1	10/5/2018	55	-9.5	6.17	943	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.2	1	297	154	11.29	Above	6
	RF05_2	10/5/2018	64	-9.5	6.21	378	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.5	119	106	8.42	Below	marine
	RF05_3	10/5/2018	37	-9.5	6.11	3247	6.4	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.9	294	210	0	Above	1
	RF06_1	10/7/2018	49	-8.91	5	2444	6	1.1	0.4	0.4	1.3	421	248	0	Above	2
	RF06_2	10/7/2018	39	-6.86	5	2570	2.3	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.5	193	173	0	Above	2
	RF07_1	10/10/2018	43	-12.77	5.01	1091	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.5	159	121	2.59	Above	6
	RF07_2	10/10/2018	29	-7.39	5	159	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	108	123	0.18	Below	marine
	RF09	10/15/2018	56	-11.35	5	1307	1.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	1	265	158	0.5	Above	7
	RF10	10/17/2018	66	-7.18	10.5	1986	18.5	3	2.6	1.4	2.3	807	417	2.25	Above	1
	RF11	10/19/2018	62	-7.95	9	3027	3.7	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.9	292	190	0.08	Above	2
	RF13	10/23/2018	33	-5.01	-0.68	1127	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	42	118	6.94	Above	4
CLARIFY 2017	Gold_1	8/17/2017	49	-8.8	-11.52	323	4.1	1.9	0.2	0.7	0.5	195	108	0	Below	marine
	Gold_8	8/22/2017	27	-8.46	-13.43	3902	6.9	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.2	380	204	20.77	Above	7
	Gold_9	8/23/2017	39	-5.67	-12.42	2813	18.8	2.9	3.1	2.0	3	934	329	0	Above	4
	Gold_10	8/24/2017	42	-8.37	-15.24	2918	3.9	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.8	232	158	0	Above	5
	Gold_11	8/24/2017	54	-7.7	-13.85	319	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	17	70	0	Below	15
	Gold_14	8/28/2017	47	-8.26	-13.74	2845						683	262	0	Above	6
	Gold_15	8/28/2017	22	-8.28	-13.66	329					1	287	158	0.3	Below	marine
	Gold_18	8/29/2017	32	-8.69	-12.47	332					0.5	174	119	0	Below	marine
	Gold_19	8/30/2017	57	-8	-17.08	1969	5.7	1.6	0.9	0.8	1.8	535	212	0.38	Above	7
	Gold_20	8/30/2017	30	-8.03	-17.3	329	3.6	1.2	0.2	0.5	0.7	225	130	0	Below	marine
	Gold_21	9/7/2017	43	-8.32	-18.48	2357					1.5	436	177	0	Above	6
	Gold_22	9/2/2017	43	-5.66	-13	2139	2.1	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.7	208	128	0	Below	9
	Gold_23	9/2/2017	44	-6.14	-13.52	3500	12.2	1.3	2.4	1.3	2.5	750	273	0	Above	4
	Gold_24	9/4/2017	24	-7.91	-12.72	1950	13.4	2.3	1.9	1.4	3.6	968	331	7.84	Above	4

provide additional context for the sampled aerosols. The gaps in the AMS values are due to quality assurance checks which determined that the data for specific filters are unreliable. In the “time from fire” column, if back trajectory analysis did not show interception with fire but rather a marine source, “marine” is noted in the column. There are more samples taken above cloud, and generally, BC mass values are higher in above-cloud samples. A total of 6 out of 14 CLARIFY filters and 3 out of 16 ORACLES filters were sampled in the MBL, with the remainder sampled in the FT. The ORACLES 2017 and CLARIFY 2017 filters were sampled from mid-August to early September, while the ORACLES 2018 filters were collected late September through October. The ORACLES samples, in general, represent aged BBA, and CLARIFY samples represent extremely aged BBA.


Figure 1 indicates the location of filter sampling as well as back trajectories including altitude per filter. As shown by the back trajectories, the filter samples covered different BB sources such as savanna, forest and grasses, with fires focused around central and southern Africa. Detailed information on ORACLES flight and sampling conditions per flight can be found in Redemann et al. (2021), which provides ancillary data such as CO which will show whether a plume was sampled, with models (Redemann et al., 2021) showing that plumes are often above cloud.

## 3.2 Aerosol classifications

The TEM filters showed a heterogeneous aerosol population with variations in mixing for organics, NaCl salts, potassium salts and black carbon. Approximately 30–70 particles on each filter were analyzed to determine composition and particle type. The main particle types including potassium salts, sea salt, black carbon and organic aerosol will be described along with the main findings in the following sections.

### 3.2.1 Organic aerosol


The AMS data corresponding to filter collection times show that 35 % to 70 % of CLARIFY and 18 % to 68 % of ORACLES PM<sub>1</sub> is organic, by mass; therefore in situ data indicate that a substantial amount of PM<sub>1</sub> aerosol is organic in both campaigns. While TEM results show organic aerosol for both CLARIFY and ORACLES filters, there is significantly more organic aerosol present on the ORACLES filters. We hypothesize that this is due to differences in the volatility and viscosity of the organic material. Figure 2a shows a comparison of the fraction of particles, by number, with organic particles on each filter and the AMS organic fraction, by mass, for the corresponding filter. The majority of CLARIFY filters do not have any particles with organic material, while the majority of ORACLES filters have some particles which contain organic material. This extends to any organic coatings



**Figure 1.** The location of filter sampling and back trajectories related to each filter, including altitude for ORACLES 2017–2018 (a, b) and CLARIFY 2017 (c, d). Map colors relate to MODIS land cover types.

as well; ORACLES organic coatings are largely more thick than organic coatings present on CLARIFY filters. As AMS data show a significant amount of organic aerosol present in both campaigns (Wu et al., 2020; Redemann et al., 2021), the differences in visible organic material on filters can be attributed to loss of volatile organics in the TEM chamber. It is known that volatile species will be lost from particles in a TEM chamber (Pósfai et al., 2003; Hudson et al., 2004), and preferential loss of organics would indicate a comparatively volatile material.

For context, Fig. 2b shows the  $f_{43}$  vs  $f_{44}$  space for the entire ORACLES and CLARIFY campaigns, with filter data overlaid and marked by filter collection below cloud as well as the CO values marked in the color bar to denote whether the sample is from a BB plume. ORACLES filters (triangles) are 2–7 d aged, and CLARIFY (squares) are 4–15 d aged. A CO cutoff value of over 120 ppbv is used to denote BB-influenced air, based on overall campaign data and Fig. 17 in Haywood et al. (2021), which shows the Ascension Island CO frequency distribution and which shows that 120 is at the upper end of the Gaussian distribution of the clean



**Figure 2.** (a) AMS organic fraction vs filter organic fraction and (b) f44 vs f43 space for ORACLES and CLARIFY campaigns with filters marked as triangles for ORACLES and squares for CLARIFY. Colors of the marks denoting filter sampling represent CO concentration, as shown in the color bar. Samples collected below-cloud are outlined with a black border.

air data. Low-volatility oxygenated organic aerosol will typically have a lower f43 and higher f44 than semivolatile oxygenated organic aerosol (SV-OOA) (Ng et al., 2010, 2011). Most of the variation in filters sampled is in the ORACLES points with higher f44 than the CLARIFY data. As f44 is an indicator of low OOA fraction but not high-volatility fraction, the higher ORACLES points with regard to f44 are consistent with TEM findings of lower-volatility organic aerosol on ORACLES filters. The f43 spread is similar to differences in instrument baselines and therefore should not be overinterpreted.


TEM has been used to differentiate high- and low-contact-angle particles, where the viscosity and volatility of each particle can be qualitatively determined from the particle image. While factors such as surface tension and adhesion forces influence particle shape, viscosity and volatility can still be qualitatively measured on a comparative basis using electron microscopy images (Reid et al., 2018). Figure 3a shows a progression from left to right of increasingly volatile organic particles as imaged by the TEM. The presence of more rounded, viscous organic particles (Fig. 3, top panel, left image) in ORACLES samples compared to CLARIFY's low-contact-angle organic particles (Fig. 3, top panel, right image) on the filters is also indicative of relatively higher volatility of organic particles in CLARIFY filters. More than 80 % of ORACLES organic particles have a rounded morphology, as shown in the left and center panels of Fig. 3a.

Figure 3b and c show the reduction in Org/BC and Org44/BC mass ratios, based on AMS measurements, for both CLARIFY and ORACLES filters as age from biomass burning source is increased. Filters where back trajectories did not indicate a BB source are included in the “marine” category. It appears that increased age reduces the organic to BC fraction, similar to the findings of Dobracki et al. (2022), which found organic aerosol to black carbon mass ratios decreasing from 14 to 10 as the aerosol aged over the Atlantic.

UV exposure can work to break down oligomers and low-volatility components in organic aerosol (Wong et al., 2015; Lignell et al., 2014) and may account for lower amounts and/or higher volatility of organic aerosol present on CLARIFY filters. Photooxidation can also lead to fragmentation of organic chains, and oxidation has been observed to change biomass burning organic aerosol (BBOA) volatility in laboratory studies (Jahn et al., 2021) as well as physical properties (Jahl et al., 2021). Our results of less organic aerosol present for aged samples are consistent with the findings of Dobracki et al. (2022) and Sedlacek III et al. (2022) of loss of organic aerosol and organic coating with age, although TEM results are caveated by preferential loss of volatile organic material.

Tar balls are a type of round organic aerosol unique to biomass aerosol, and as of now, the only way to identify tar balls has been through microscopy. Tar balls are estimated to contribute up to  $\sim 30\%$  of BB aerosol mass (Sedlacek III et al., 2018). They are highly spherical, highly viscous and largely resistant to electron beam damage. SAFARI found a considerable number of tar balls (Pósfai et al., 2003) as well as the Biomass Burning Observation Project (BBOP) (Sedlacek III et al., 2018). Adachi et al. (2019) observed tar ball formation, likely from primary organic particles, within 3 h of emission, with the processing of tar balls possibly related to oligomerization of OA. We did not find many tar balls in the CLARIFY and ORACLES campaigns, with the exception of filters corresponding to RF10 and RF11, which were aged for 1 and 2 d, respectively. RF10 had very viscous aerosol but was mixed with considerable amounts of nitrogen and sulfur. This suggests a removal process, and while there are many unknowns regarding loss processes for tar balls, precipitation near the coast or heterogeneous, photolytically driven processes which may affect the solubility or volatility of tar balls as they are advected west over the ocean may contribute to their removal. Pósfai et al. (2003) also reported a dearth of tar balls when sampling in the haze layers repre-





**Figure 3.** Example of organic aerosol of different viscosity and volatility, (a) showing more round and viscous particles for ORACLES (on the left) and more volatile particles for CLARIFY (middle and right top panels). (b) Org/BC and (c) Org44/BC ratios are shown with time from fire source.

sending aged BB plumes, without a clear explanation for their absence.

### 3.2.2 Potassium salts and black carbon


More than 60 % of particles, by number, from the two campaigns were potassium salts, either externally or internally mixed. Only K salts which appeared solid were counted in this number. If a particle was OA with K present but without a visible K-salt inclusion, this would not be counted as a K salt. If a particle was BC with a K crystal attached, this would be counted as a BC–K-salt internally mixed particle. This is consistent with findings from (Li et al., 2003), where organic particles and potassium salts were the predominant particle types in the smoke. The salts were often mixed with black carbon, organic aerosols or sulfates. Inorganic salts in BBA can result from volatiles from the burning source depositing inorganics onto particles in the BB plume (Jahn et al., 2020; Li et al., 2003; Gaudichet et al., 1995). Different salts will indicate different processes; K salts will form due to evaporation of potassium in the fire and subsequent near-field condensation onto the BC; while this will occur with some S and N as well, co-emitted SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> can oxidize and condense and lead to additional coating in the far field. One common particle type was potassium salt internally mixed with BC, where the K salt encapsulates the black carbon in a core–shell configuration. EDX analysis can ablate the salt and leave the refractory black carbon core intact. Another common particle type was organic aerosol with interstitial salts. These two common K-salt mixtures are shown in Fig. 4. The coating of BC gives rise to absorption enhancements as discussed by Taylor et al. (2020), where they found universally thickly coated BC and almost no externally mixed BC.

The source of the coating is not described in that paper; the TEM results show that a common coating type is a hygroscopic salt, with implications for both absorption enhancement and enhanced CCN capability of the particles.

The three common black carbon mixing states, BC with salt, BC with organic material and externally mixed BC, are shown as a fractional amount that exists in each campaign and in the boundary layer (BL) or FT in Table 2. Internal mixing refers to a particle which has two or more separate components, whereas externally mixed particles contain one component per particle. The predominant mixing state is BC internally mixed with salt; however, BC mixing with organics is likely underestimated due to volatilization of organics in the chamber. Table 2 shows a difference between BL and FT in all columns, with the sign of the differences being different in the two campaigns. It should be noted that of the three ORACLES filters collected in the BL, two have marine back trajectories, so the BB organic fraction may be under-represented here. For CLARIFY, cloud processing may remove the more hygroscopic BC containing particles as these are activated and removed by precipitation, and hence the organic/BC ratio is high relative to the FT, but this does not work for ORACLES. The main finding here is that BC with inorganic salts, as analyzed by TEM, is the most prevalent BC mixing state.

### 3.2.3 Marine aerosol

CLARIFY and ORACLES aerosol were both influenced by the marine atmosphere. Most CLARIFY filters have sea salt aerosols (SSAs) with Na and/or Cl present in varying ratios in the particles, as presented in Table 3. There are also minor amounts of Ca, Mg and K, as would be present in seawater.



**Figure 4.** Potassium salt in a core–shell morphology around a refractory BC core (a) and organic aerosol with interstitial K salt (b). Note the difference in scale between the two images.

**Table 2.** Black carbon mixing state by campaign in the FT or BL. BC–salt and BC–organic refer to internally mixed particles.

	BC – salt	BC – organic	BC – external
ORACLES BL	0.78	0.00	0.22
ORACLES FT	0.53	0.31	0.16
CLARIFY BL	0.50	0.29	0.21
CLARIFY FT	0.67	0.07	0.26












Table 4 lists the ORACLES particle percents for Na and Cl as well as ratios. Both Table 3 and Table 4 list altitude, CO and time from fire source to provide context as to whether the air mass is BB-influenced. As a measure of aging and sea salt conversion, Tables 3 and 4 list Na : Cl and Na : S weight percent ratios for particles which have those elements present, averaged per filter. A comparison of Tables 3 and 4 shows that for ORACLES filters, average Na and Cl weight percent is less, per particle, and there is a larger variation in the percentage of particles per filter containing Na and/or Cl than in CLARIFY. In ORACLES, Na/Cl per filter is higher than CLARIFY due to the low Cl weight percent particle average, and the Na/S ratio is generally lower due to the lower Na weight percent.

A schematic of the SSA life cycle, with representative particles from several CLARIFY filters and example mechanisms for Cl depletion, is provided in Fig. 5. Briefly, freshly emitted sea salt, generated from ocean bubbles bursting, has Na and Cl present in a 0.86 : 1 atomic ratio. However, Na and Cl in the sea salt aerosol rarely are in a 0.86 : 1 ratio as would be expected from freshly emitted SSA, indicating that the particles have been processed. Natural variability can be present, with Krueger et al. (2003) finding that Cl/Na atomic ratio in sea salts increases with particle diameter. The aging timescale of sea salt also varies depending on the production of NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> and its conversion rate to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>
















since these acids displace the Cl, and these rates will vary by location. The aerosols are processed in the atmosphere, with nitrates and sulfates replacing Cl. S is removed from the atmosphere through oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> in water associated with sea salt particles (Sievering et al., 1991; Miller et al., 1987) as well as cloud processing (Beilke and Gravenhorst, 1978), and N species like HNO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> are also available for reactions with sea salt. Variations of Na : Cl, then, can help to determine relative aging for SSA, as has been used for example in Kirpes et al. (2018), Hand et al. (2010) and Young et al. (2016). For context, Na and SO<sub>4</sub> weight percent in sea salt, based on the composition of sea water, are 60.31 and 7.68, respectively (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2012). Using the atomic weights of sulfur and oxygen, this leaves an expected Na : S ratio of approximately 16 : 1 in sea salt. A ratio lower than 16 : 1 indicates Cl displacement by S and is an indicator of aerosol aging; therefore based on the ratios in Table 3, our samples are aged sea salt. Prior work has shown variation of up to 13 % in the atomic percent of S in fresh SSA (Ault et al., 2013).

For CLARIFY, all SSA on filters collected in the MBL have NaCl with varying levels of Cl depletion. The presence of Na colocated with Cl on all below-cloud filters and in only two out of seven of the above-cloud filters suggests the particles are less aged in the BL samples compared to the FT samples. Gold 23, a filter sampled in the FT, has a high Na : Cl ratio of 20.2 for particles with both Na and Cl, and this suggests that these salts are aged due to the Cl depletion. The other filter sampled above cloud with Cl, Gold 8, has mostly Cl-only particles and also crystals of Na : Cl which appear freshly emitted with a cubic NaCl structure. Gold 8 has particles similar in morphology to Cl-rich particles present on filters Gold 14, 15 and 18, which will be described in a later section, the difference being that Gold 14, 15 and 18 filters did not have any Na-containing particles present. Cl-only particles in the FT suggests mixing of the MBL and FT, as it shows that Cl


**Table 3.** Na-dominant aerosols on CLARIFY filters with sampling location, CO levels, time from source and percentage of particles on the filter with either Na or Cl. The ratios represent the weight percent ratio per particle, averaged across all particles with the elements of interest on each filter.

	Altitude (m)	Above/below cloud	CO (ppbv)	Time from fire (d)	Particles with Na or Cl (%)	Avg Na wt%	Avg Cl wt%	Na/Cl	Na/S	Representative particle and filter summary
Gold_1	323	Below	108	marine	98	10.6	10.7	7.2	5.2	 Na and Cl with varying levels of Cl depletion; some Na with S; large NaCl particles, BC mixed with NaSO <sub>4</sub>
Gold_8	3902	Above	204	7	100	13.7	12.6	4.3	NA	 Mostly Cl particles without Na although some NaCl 1:1 crystals
Gold_9	2813	Above	329	4	37	5.8		NA	13.1	 No Cl present; Na mixed with BC and BB salts, sodium nitrate
Gold_10	2918	Above	158	5	74	5.4		NA	5.4	 No Cl present; sodium sulfate, BC mixed with Na
Gold_11	319	Below	70	15	80	19.2	4.7	6.1	8.1	 NaCl, ammonium chloride, sodium sulfate, Na with depleted Cl all present
Gold_19	1969	Above	212	7	29	3.7		NA	2.0	 Na sulfate, BC mixed with Na sulfate; no Cl, BC with Na, P, S, K, Ca
Gold_20	329	Below	130	marine	90	3.4	0.6	12.0	3.5	 BC mixed with Na; NaS crystals; NaCl but most particles Cl depleted
Gold_21	2357	Above	177	6	36	1.1		NA	0.8	 Trace amounts of sodium, no marine salts noted
Gold_22	2139	Below	128	9	77	12.5	8.7	3.9	3.3	 Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , NaCl
Gold_23	3500	Above	273	4	63	7.6	0.5	20.2	3.7	 Low levels of Cl, BC mixed with Na, S, K
Gold_24	1950	Above	331	4	77	2.3		NA	1.9	 Sodium nitrate mixed with BC

**Table 4.** Na-dominant aerosols on ORACLES filters with sampling location, CO levels, time from source and percentage of particles on the filter with either Na or Cl. The ratios represent the weight percent ratio per particle, averaged across all particles containing the elements.

	Altitude (m)	Above/below cloud	CO (ppbv)	Time from fire (d)	Particles with Na or Cl (%)	Avg Na wt%	Avg Cl wt%	Na/Cl	Na/S	Representative particle and filter summary
RF11Filter5	3505	Above	395	2	45	2.2			1.1	
RF02_1	894	Below	110	5	22	0.4	0.7		0.3	 BC, K-salt, Ca-bearing
RF02_2	2606	Above	210	1	49	1.1	0.5	2.0	0.8	 BC, Ca-bearing
RF03	982	Above	156	6	0					 BC mixed with salts and sulfates
RF04	1195	Above	120	6	35	1.8	1.5	15.2	1.1	 BC with K-salt, K salts, sulfates
RF05_1	943	Above	154	6	75	3.4	0.6		2.3	 BC mixed with NaSK salts, Ca-bearing, silicates
RF05_2	378	Below	106	marine	76	2.7	0.3	23.5	1.6	 NaSK salts, often mixed with BC
RF05_3	3247	Above	210	1	39	0.7			0.2	 organic, sulfate mixed with BC
RF06_1	2444	Above	248	2	17	1.9	9.5	19.3	1.4	 organic, organic with KS inclusions
RF06_2	2570	Above	173	2	53	5.9	1.2	38.9	4.0	 organic, organic/salt mixtures
RF07_1	1091	Above	121	6	53	7.1	5.9	15.4	3.1	 BC with K salts, OM, salts
RF07_2	159	Below	123	marine	52	7.0	0.6	20.8	3.6	 BC with silicate, Ca-bearing, Al silicates
RF09	1307	Above	158	7	11	1.2			0.2	 organic with NSK salts, BC in fractal pattern
RF10	1986	Above	417	1	0					 externally mixed BC, and internally mixed with viscous sulfur coating
RF11	3027	Above	190	2	5	0.8	0.2		0.9	 ammonium sulphates, OM mixed with salts, externally mixed BC
RF13	1127	Above	118	4	13	1.9			0.3	 BC with K salt, OM, salts





**Figure 5.** Schematic showing different stages of sea salt conversion with example mechanisms. The particles are from CLARIFY filters and range from sea salts which have been freshly emitted to Cl-depleted particles containing nitrates and sulfates. Cl aerosol formation is also shown. Na : Cl ratios showing depletion of Cl with sea salt conversion are shown in the bar above each particle image.


has reached the FT through turbulent mixing at the top of the MBL. Both Gold 8 and Gold 23 filters have back trajectories which show air masses from the continent which are entirely within the FT. As deep convection does not occur in this region, marine salts which are observed more than a few hundred meters above the BL height in the Ascension Island region of approximately 2250 m (Haywood et al., 2021) may be brought in from outside the region.

In the above-cloud CLARIFY samples, all particles were subject to BB-influenced air based on CO values, where we choose 120 ppbv as a CO level, indicating BB-influenced air above background levels. In the FT, Cl was mostly not present or depleted. BC often mixed with sodium sulfates, Cl and nitrate, and K salts were often mixed with  $\text{NaSO}_4$ .

Filter Gold 24, interestingly, shows sodium nitrate mixed with black carbon. N can be difficult to detect in EDX spectra as it is between the C and O peaks and can be difficult to deconvolute; therefore the presence of N in the EDX spectra indicates that there is a substantial amount in the particles. Gold 24 was collected above cloud and in highly BB-influenced air (331 ppbv of CO;  $1.9 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3} \text{NO}_3$ ). Gold 9,

also collected above cloud in BB-influenced air (329 ppbv of CO;  $3.1 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3} \text{NO}_3$ ), also has sodium nitrate but to a lesser extent than Gold 24. As these are the two above-cloud CLARIFY filters with the highest CO levels and are also the two filters which show some presence of sodium nitrate, this suggests that BB air may influence the sea salt conversion from NaCl to  $\text{NaNO}_3$ . This is likely due to the emissions of  $\text{NO}_x$  in BB plumes (Jin et al., 2021) to form  $\text{HNO}_3$  and drive Cl out of the sea salt aerosol. There is also an influence of marine air on BC. For example, the bottom center image in Fig. 6e shows a black carbon particle mixed with sodium nitrate from the Gold 24 filter, collected in the FT. The presence of the Na in the FT suggests BB entrainment into the MBL and subsequent mixing of marine air into the FT or, as an alternate explanation, sea spray mixing into the FT with BC.

There were different morphologies and compositions of the marine salts due to different salt conversion processes: some large rounded NaCl over  $1.5 \mu\text{m}$  in diameter and Ca, Mg and Na sulfates and chlorides. Figure 6 shows a few examples of the many different morphologies of marine salts



**Figure 6.** Assorted sea salt particles. (a)  $\sim 1.5\ \mu\text{m}$  rounded NaCl, Gold 1; (b)  $\text{CaSO}_4$ , Gold 1; (c) NaCl and Mg, Gold 1; (d)  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  with K, Gold 1; (e)  $\text{NaNO}_3$  mixed with BC, Gold 24; and (f) BC encapsulated in  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  with K, Gold 1.

found on CLARIFY filters. Figure 6f is from Gold 1 which was collected in the MBL, and the particle is BC encapsulated in sodium sulfate; this may be due to aqueous processing where sodium sulfate forms around a BC core. This suggests that while in the FT there is BC and K salts mixed with sodium, BC, if entrained in the MBL, can also be significantly affected by sea salts and mixed with sodium nitrates and sulfates.




Na and Cl are collocated on individual particles in 5 out of 13 above-cloud filters and 2 out of 3 below-cloud ORACLES filters. All below-cloud filters had BC present. RF2\_1 BC is not mixed with Na or marine salts. RF5\_2 and RF7\_2 filters have BC mixed with salts containing Na, S and K. We did not observe clear crystalline sea salt morphologies in either above-cloud or below-cloud samples as observed in CLARIFY filters and also did not observe Cl-dominant particles.

Three filters from CLARIFY, Gold 14, 15 and 18, were dominated by Cl particles which do not have Na present. Table 5 shows the three Cl-dominant filters along with altitude at filter exposure, CO levels and time from fire, and Fig. S2 provides altitude and particle counts during the filter exposure time. The Cl-rich filters are interesting because of the high spatial density as well as the uniformity of the particles on the filter. The uniform particle composition and morphology of the particles suggests that, per filter, the particles have been subject to similar atmospheric processing. Gold 14 was collected in the FT, and back trajectories show interception with biomass burning 6 d prior to filter collection. Back trajectories for filters Gold 15 and 18, both collected in the MBL, show that they do not intercept with the fires and are not as influenced by BB air as Gold 14. The presence of the

elements Si, K, Ca and Mg is hypothesized to be from SSA rather than biomass burning based on the unique morphology and composition of the particles on these filters. The atmospheric implications for the Cl-rich particles are not clear, although their ability to uptake water may be conducive to their ability to act as CCN.

Gold 14 was collected above cloud, with 47 out of 47 of the particles having strong Cl and N peaks and some of the particles showing very minor amounts of Si. The analysis by Wu et al. (2020) of the CLARIFY campaign noted an increase in nitrate mass concentration with increasing altitude and found that the nitrate aerosol mostly existed as ammonium nitrate in the FT. They suggest that the increased levels of nitrate in the FT may be due to colder temperatures at high altitudes, which would help partition the  $\text{HNO}_3\text{-NH}_3\text{-NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  system into the aerosol phase (Wu et al., 2020). Particle counts from the condensation particle counter (CPC) show a particle count average of  $1437\ \text{particles cm}^{-3}$ . As ammonium nitrate is a hygroscopic inorganic salt which can dissolve into the aqueous aerosol phase, we hypothesize that the Cl aerosols are from HCl in the gas phase which partitions into the aerosol water and ammonium nitrate aerosol. Relative humidity at filter collection times according to back trajectories is in the 60%–70% range, which would facilitate aerosol deliquescence and the subsequent uptake of HCl. Previous work (Semeniuk et al., 2007; Jahn et al., 2021) has also shown BBA to uptake water in this RH range. Hydrochloric acid partitioning into aerosol water has also been inferred in urban atmospheres, where the highly water absorbing and soluble chloride in the aqueous phase enhances aerosol water uptake through co-condensation and particle

**Table 5.** Cl-dominant aerosol on CLARIFY filters.

Filter ID	Altitude (m)	Above/below cloud	CO (ppbv)	Time from fire (d)	Particle image & description
Gold_14	2845	Above	262	6	 N- and Cl-dominant spectra; uniform particles across filter
Gold_15	329	Below	158	marine	 C and Cl particles with small amount of K; uniform particles across filter
Gold_18	332	Below	119	marine	 Ca and Mg with Cl; uniform particles across filter

growth, causing haze to form (Gunthe et al., 2021). The presence of ammonium in the FT and Cl in the samples, as well as strong Cl and N peaks in the EDX spectra, suggests that these particles may be  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ .

Gold 15 was collected below cloud at 329 m, and 21 out of 21 of the analyzed particles were composed predominantly of carbon and chlorine with small amounts of silicon and potassium; the particles appeared similar across the filter so EDX on additional particles was not performed. These particles may show HCl gas uptake onto sea spray aerosol. Gold 18 was collected below cloud at 332 m. A total of 32 out of 32 particles sampled on the TEM filter were either  $\text{CaCl}_2$  or  $\text{MgCl}_2$  with silicon and may have formed through chlorine reacting with Ca and Mg in aerosolized sea water. Prather et al. (2013) observed that long-chain bioorganic species as well as Ca and Mg form stable collapsed structures as solgel materials, and potentially particles from Gold 15 and Gold 18 may be Ca and Mg dispersed within a solgel structure. The lack of an observable counterion in the EDX spectra of Gold 15 particles may be due to a weaker N signal than in Gold 14 or potentially Cl dispersed within a solgel network.

The high density of the chloride particles on the filters, compared to the other TEM filters, along with the uniform composition and morphology of these particles, suggests either condensation onto new particles formed in the FT or condensation onto marine particles formed from spray in the MBL. While the prevailing view is that new particle formation rarely occurs over open oceans, work by Zheng et al. (2021) shows that new particle formation in the remote MBL occurs frequently after the passage of a cold front, with factors such as removal of existing particles by precipitation, vertical transport of reactive gases from the ocean and cold temperatures facilitating new particle formation. Iodine species can also form new particles in pristine regions (He et al., 2021). These conditions do not characterize our sample; however, these studies are part of a growing area of work which supports new particles formation in the remote


MBL. If the newly formed particles are aqueous, they can support HCl uptake and may explain the Cl-dominant aerosol observed. The particles could also originate from sea spray without additional processing and as primary particles may be solgel structures of bioorganics as observed in Prather et al. (2013).

The filters were collected in both above- and below-cloud conditions, which suggests a mixing of the MBL and FT, as Cl, either in the gas or aerosol phase, reached the FT after having been emitted from the ocean; air exchange at the top of the BL due to turbulent mixing may be the cause of this, particularly as there is a transition from stratocumulus to cumulus near Ascension Island and a corresponding increase in convection (Gordon et al., 2018). We hypothesize that Cl in the FT may be from HCl in the BL, which gets taken up into cloud droplets and on evaporation at the cloud top is re-released onto more neutral aerosol such as ammonium nitrate.

### 3.3 Marine boundary layer and aqueous-phase processing

The MBL had a large effect on BB aerosols as well as on the processing of sea salt aerosols. A comparison of cloud processing and time in the FT and MBL for the aerosol collected during the filter sampling time is provided in Fig. 7. The filters are segregated by collection in the MBL and FT, and the fractional time spent in each environment is shown in panels (b) (collected in BL) and (d) (collected in FT). Panel (d) shows that aerosols collected in the FT, irrespective of campaign, have spent nearly all their time in the FT. Panel (b) shows that of filters sampled in the BL, CLARIFY aerosols have spent more than 80 % of the fractional time in the BL in the day before filter sampling compared to ORACLES' 45 %.

The cloud processing intensity, which is the mean cloud liquid water content multiplied by the mean in-cloud time, in the days prior to the air mass reaching the downwind filter collection location is provided in Fig. 8a. Cloud processing



**Figure 7.** (a) BL and FT ORACLES and CLARIFY cloud processing intensity. (b) Time spent in BL and FT for samples collected in the BL in the days prior to filter collection. (c) Fraction of K salt, per filter, mixed with Na. (d) Fractional time spent in BL and FT for samples collected in the FT in the days prior to filter collection.

intensity is a metric described in detail in Che et al. (2022). Aerosols collected in the MBL were subject to more cloud processing than those collected in the FT, as the aerosols would need to be entrained into BL. ORACLES aerosol, on average, spent slightly more time in cloud and with clouds with a higher liquid water content than CLARIFY aerosol, particularly apparent for those filters collected in the MBL.


In sea salt, K weight percent is 1.1, assuming sea salt has the composition of seawater and ignoring atmospheric processing (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2012). The K salt from BB has a significantly higher weight percent than the minor amounts of K found in seawater and sea salt. The fraction of K salt mixed with sodium increases with age, as shown in Fig. 7c. This implies that the K salt from biomass burning is processed in a way which allows Na incorporation into the particle. As Na is not volatile at atmospheric pressures, we hypothesize that the mechanism is processing by particle mixing, either by cloud drop coalescence (Grabowski and Wang, 2013) or drizzle washout of aerosol and evaporation.

The interaction of the MBL with BBA and the effect of BB air on marine aerosol has been shown in previous sections, and we hypothesize the mixing of Na salts with BBA to be due to aqueous processing and particle mixing through, for example, cloud drop coalescence. An aqueous K-salt particle from CLARIFY's Gold 9 filter is presented in Fig. 8. It has varying Na : K ratios by weight percent, designated in the red circles, and there is no Cl in the particle. We assume that the Na present is from marine sources because the area

with an 11 : 1 ratio has 13 wt % Na but only 1.2 wt % K; this is a higher Na weight percent than would typically be expected from BBA. However, it should be noted that Na may also be from biomass burning, as sodium has been noted in BB fuel, with the type influencing the amount of Na in particles (Hudson et al., 2004). The image shows that Na mixes with K salts, organics and sulfur in varying degrees throughout a single aqueous particle, and therefore this is a potential mechanism for Na incorporation into existing K salts.

Single-particle structure and morphology are important as they affect aerosol optical properties and ability to act as cloud condensation nuclei. Figure 9 shows BC + K salt (top panel) and BC + (organic / sulfur) mixtures as a function of time in cloud encountered 1 d before sampling. The spherical nodules are black carbon, and the more reflective white areas in the bottom panel are the sulfur / organic mixture. Each particle ID shows the TEM image and associated time in cloud (hours) in the day before sampling, the mean liquid water content of the clouds ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) in the day before sampling and the weight percent of all elements other than carbon in the particle. From left to right, the time in cloud increases. If the electron beam visibly altered the particle, an “after” image is shown along with an “before” image to indicate the particle's response to the electron beam. In each particle, the black carbon is insoluble and appears unaffected by increased cloud processing; however, both the K salts and the sulfur / organic content appear affected by increased time in cloud. In the leftmost panel for Fig. 10, top panel, the K salt is completely





**Figure 8.** Aqueous K-salt particle with varying levels of Na throughout the particle, with the Na : K weight ratio designated in red.


unaffected by exposure to the electron beam. The other images in Fig. 10 show electron beam damage, indicating that these K-salt structures are more susceptible to volatilization and degradation due to the electron beam; this may be due to degradation of the K salt during cloud processing and the amorphization from hydration, dissolution and recrystallization of the salt during processing. As an alternative explanation, nitrates and sulfates have been shown to be affected by electron beam exposure after exposure to acid gases or water vapor (Jahn et al., 2021; Hoffman et al., 2004). The K-salt particles on the right, which were subject to the most time in cloud, have a less distinct morphology, which may indicate periods of water uptake and loss. In Fig. 9, bottom panel, the sulfur/organic constituent of the aerosol which was subject to the least amount of time in cloud appears to have high viscosity and was unaffected by the electron beam. After more time in cloud, the sulfur/organic content on these particles appears to have a lower viscosity, to be more flat and also to be more affected by the electron beam. Although these are only a few particles, the images imply that cloud processing can affect certain constituents of mixed aerosols more than others; the structure of the K salt and the viscosity of the sulfur/organic mixture appear to be more affected by aqueous processing compared to BC.

### 3.4 Elemental mixing in individual particles

EDX of individual aerosol particles can provide information on elemental mixing across the entire ORACLES and CLARIFY sample sets. Figure 10 shows the elemental mixing of CLARIFY and ORACLES samples collected in the free troposphere and boundary layer, designated in separate columns, with each row of pie charts indicating whether a set of two elements are colocated on individual particles for all particles of the specified particle set. All percentages are based on particle numbers rather than mass. The elements S, Na, Cl and K were chosen for elemental mixing analysis as they are the elements which most commonly appear in the EDX spectra. C and O are found in the spectra of almost all particles, and so these elements are not included in this analysis. The Supplement, Figs. S3 and S4, also includes BL and FT Na–S–K and Na–S–Cl ternary diagrams for additional context, showing that there is more Na and Cl in the CLARIFY samples, particularly the BL.

BBA is more diluted on CLARIFY than ORACLES filters, and S/K particle fractions in the BL/FT suggest increased mixing due to detrainment and entrainment for CLARIFY samples. A higher fraction of ORACLES aerosol contains S, 80 %, compared to CLARIFY's 40 %. S is mixed with K in 34 % of CLARIFY and 73 % of ORACLES aerosols. This suggests that ORACLES S is predominantly from biomass burning as it is colocated with K, and potassium is frequently used as a marker for BBA, consistent with the high amounts of K salts observed in TEM samples. A proportion of 76 % of ORACLES FT aerosol contain colocated S + K, compared with 59 % of ORACLES BL aerosol. In comparison, 35 % and 33 % of CLARIFY FT and BL aerosols have colocated S + K, respectively, implying a dilution of BBA in the CLARIFY aerosol population collected on filters in comparison to ORACLES. The similar fraction of S/K particles in the FT and BL during CLARIFY as compared to ORACLES may be due to the transition over the ocean and the increased entrainment and detrainment across the BL top. Higher fractions of S-containing particles in ORACLES may also be related to cloud processing (Ervens et al., 2018), with aqueous formation pathways for sulfate in cloud water predicted to be faster than gas-phase formation pathways.

More CLARIFY than ORACLES particles show evidence of marine influence as evidenced by the presence of Na and Cl. A total of 70 % of CLARIFY aerosols and 33 % of ORACLES aerosol contain some combination of Cl and/or Na. Of ORACLES aerosols containing Na, only 2 % are mixed with Cl, compared with 20 % of CLARIFY particles. Cl can be found in fluids of some vegetation and so can be present in BBA (Liu et al., 2000); thus while ORACLES Cl may be due to either biomass burning or a marine influence, it is likely that the high fraction of CLARIFY particles with Cl present, relative to the ORACLES population, is indicative of a marine influence for particles in the BL and lower altitudes in the FT. This is also supported by the difference in CLARIFY




**Figure 9.** Potassium salt mixed with BC (top panel) and sulfur / organic material and BC (bottom panel) as a function of time in cloud in the 24 h prior to filter collection.

Cl in the BL (75 % of particles) versus the FT (31 % of particles), as well as CLARIFY filters Gold 14, 15 and 18, which were dominated entirely by Cl particles.

Cl particle mixing differences between BL and FT dominate in CLARIFY aerosol but not in ORACLES, as evidenced by K+Cl and S+Cl mixing. Cl is present in 6 % and 47 % of ORACLES and CLARIFY particles, respectively. K+Cl is present in 3 % and 8 % of ORACLES FT and BL particles and 10 % and 42 % of CLARIFY FT and BL particles, respectively. S+Cl is present in 3 % and 4 % ORACLES FT and BL particles and 8 % and 27 % of CLARIFY FT and BL particles, respectively. CLARIFY Cl is mixed with K or S 3 to 4 times more in the BL than in the FT, while the BL does not have as much of an influence on Cl mixing with K or S for ORACLES particles. The increased Cl mixing with S/K in the CLARIFY BL may be due to secondary processes which deposit Cl onto BBA or a high fraction of primary SSA, which also includes S and/or K.

The reverse trend is observed for Na, with Na particle mixing differences between BL and FT more apparent in ORACLES than CLARIFY, as evidenced by K+Na and S+Na mixing. A proportion of 44 % of CLARIFY aerosol contains Na compared to 30 % of ORACLES aerosol. K+Na is present in 22 % and 50 % of ORACLES FT and BL particles and 35 % and 43 % of CLARIFY FT and BL particles, respectively. S+Na is present in 24 % and 46 % of ORACLES FT and BL particles and 28 % and 32 % of CLARIFY FT and BL particles, respectively. Thus while Na is slightly more likely to be mixed with K or S in the CLARIFY BL compared to FT, Na is mixed with S or K about 2 times more in the ORACLES BL than FT. This may be due to increased BL and FT entrainment and detrainment for CLARIFY samples and more in-cloud aqueous processing and particle mixing for ORACLES samples, which can deposit Na onto BBA in the BL.



**Figure 10.** Elemental mixing states for select elemental pairs for ORACLES (two left columns) and CLARIFY (two right columns), separated by filter collection in the free troposphere and boundary layer.

#### 4 Conclusions

As CLARIFY sampled older smoke than ORACLES, this study, by comparing the two campaigns in the FT and BL, shows ways in which African BBA smoke is affected by SSA and marine air and reciprocally how SSAs may be affected by mixing with BB plumes. Single-particle analysis revealed considerable heterogeneity in the mixing and processing of CLARIFY and ORACLES aerosols. The main aerosols are BBA and SSA. We found similarities to the previous major campaign which analyzed African BBA, SAFARI-2000, in that we observed an abundance of potassium salts, black carbon and organic carbon with interstitial potassium salts (Pósfai et al., 2003; Li et al., 2003). Pósfai et al. (2003) as well as our analyses show considerable internal mixing between black carbon and salts; therefore, this suggests that the thick coating on CLARIFY and ORACLES BC as measured by the SP2 (Wu et al., 2020; Redemann et al., 2021) is often due to inorganics rather than organics. This has implications for radiative effects due to lensing, as well as CCN capability as the inorganic salts internally mixed with BC would

increase the particle's hygroscopicity. This is important as it suggests that the salts formed in the fire via evaporation and recondensation drive the mixing of the carbon aerosol as the secondary inorganic condenses and that the organic fraction is separate. This is consistent with findings regarding emissions of BC and K salts and other salts in the flaming phase of a fire, while organic emissions occur during the pyrolysis or smoldering phases (Haslett et al., 2018). Field conditions including different burning material and wet and dry conditions can also lead to spatial and temporal variability, which may affect the near-source mixing of fresh BBA. These findings are caveated due to the loss of organics in the TEM chamber, which would artificially increase the internally mixed and salt-BC fraction, particularly for CLARIFY samples.

While SAFARI analysis found a large number of KCl salts near the source, both the CLARIFY and ORACLES campaigns sampled much more aged aerosol and did not find many KCl particles. This is presumably because replacement of Cl by nitrates and sulfates has occurred prior to ORACLES and CLARIFY BBA sampling. While the SAFARI campaign and other recent biomass burning campaigns found tar balls,

our TEM analysis did not find tar balls other than on filters RF10 and RF11, which were aged for approximately 1 and 2 d, respectively. This finding implies a reduction in tar balls in aged African BB plumes. Tar ball incorporation in BB models (Jacobson, 2014) has been hindered due to lack of data, as tar balls can only be definitively detected with time-intensive single-particle electron microscopy. While other work shows that tar balls are a significant fraction of BB aerosol, with some showing that tar balls outnumber BC by a factor of 10 (Hand et al., 2005; China et al., 2013), our analysis shows a lack of tar balls in the aged BB plumes, consistent with Posfai et al. (2003), who also reported a dearth of tar balls in aged plumes as a puzzling phenomenon. Since tar balls are a light-absorbing particle, the absorption from aged plumes is dominated by non-tar-ball components like BC, brown carbon and dust; the absence of tar balls in these aged plumes can help constrain models on radiative forcing in the region.

There were differences observed between FT and BL aerosol. More BBA was found in the FT, and more SSA was found in the BL, as would be expected; as BBA aerosol aged, there was increased entrainment into the BL for the CLARIFY samples relative to the ORACLES samples. SSA in the MBL was less aged than those sampled in the FT, as measured by Cl depletion. The presence of Cl and, on some filters, freshly emitted NaCl in the free troposphere suggests exchange of the MBL and FT in some regions through turbulent mixing at the top of the BL. For ORACLES, S-containing and K-containing particles were much more likely to be mixed with Na in the BL as compared to the FT. These BL and FT differences with Na–S and Na–K particles were not as evident in CLARIFY. In CLARIFY, the largest composition changes between the FT and BL were that S-containing particles were more likely to be mixed with Cl in the BL as compared to the FT, and similarly, K-containing particles were more likely to be mixed with Cl in the in the BL compared to the FT. These Cl trends were not as clear in ORACLES, and only a minor fraction of ORACLES particles contain Cl. This may suggest that for ORACLES, aqueous processing is a key driver in depositing Na onto BB particles, for example, by droplet coalescence in clouds and drizzle evaporating before it reaches the surface. For CLARIFY, secondary processes may be important in depositing Cl onto BB particles.

We found evidence of BBA interaction with the MBL as well as marine salts affected by BB air, which has not been reported to date in these campaigns. Mixing of marine air with BB air affects sea salts because of Cl replacement by nitrate, as BB plumes have elevated levels of  $\text{NO}_x$  which replaces the Cl in SSA. Reciprocally, BBA was influenced through, for example, BC mixing with sodium sulfates and sodium nitrates and the presence of Na and Cl on a large number of BB particles. There is considerable mixing of Na with BBA through what we believe is aqueous processing of particles, through, for example, either cloud drop coales-

cence or drizzle washout of aerosol and subsequent evaporation. Na and Cl were present in a higher fraction of particles in CLARIFY samples as compared to ORACLES. TEM particles show evidence of aqueous processing, with varying ratios of soluble components throughout a single particle. Particle morphology also show evidence of cloud processing, with soluble components more significantly affected by time in cloud than insoluble components such as black carbon.

The uniformity and ubiquity of Cl-containing aerosol particles on three CLARIFY filters suggest that particles on these filters have been uniformly processed and confirm the existence of areas dominated by Cl aerosol. Further, the high density of Cl spatially on these filters implies HCl condensation onto existing particles which have been recently formed and processed uniformly. Another explanation may be that these are primary particles such as solgel organic particles with Ca, Mg and Cl dispersed. The presence of Cl-rich particles are interesting as they may act as good CCN due to their ability to uptake water.

TEM analysis indicates either a loss of organic material, including as an organic coating, with plume age, or an increase in OA volatility with BB plume age. The reduced amount of organics observed in CLARIFY compared to ORACLES may be due to less organic aerosol being present, higher-volatility organics which evaporated in the TEM chamber or both. Che et al. (2022) noted secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation in the first  $\sim 70$  h of BB aging for ORACLES; our results suggest that the secondary organic aerosol which forms may be more volatile than the initial organic aerosol emitted from biomass burning. There have been different explanations for the vertical structure of single scattering albedo near Ascension Island, with Taylor et al. (2020) and Wu et al. (2020) suggesting a partitioning of inorganic ammonium nitrate onto existing particles at colder temperatures and Dobracki et al. (2022) hypothesizing that the single scattering albedo differences are due to scattering organic material that is lost from BBA. Sedlacek III et al. (2022) also found a loss of organic material coating BC with plume age in ORACLES; further, the authors define different regimes for BBA where organic coating on black carbon increases in the first few hours after emission, the coating mass then plateaus after a several hours to several days when there are competing chemical physical processes such as photochemistry, SOA production, fragmentation and oxidation, and after several days of aging, there is material loss due to cloud processing, volatility and bleaching of brown carbon. While there are different explanations for the vertical structure of single scattering albedo near Ascension Island as well as mechanisms for organic loss with age, our results indicate that higher-volatility organic aerosol is associated with aging; therefore we hypothesize that fragmentation of carbon chains, either through photolysis or oxidation of the organic aerosols, is the predominant mechanism for the apparent higher volatility of aged organic aerosols. Our results are compatible with the findings of Dobracki et al. (2022) and



Sedlacek III et al. (2022) of a loss of organic material with age. Increasing oxidation of BB with age, as noted by Wu et al. (2020), and more volatile organics or loss of organics as observed with TEM results imply fragmentation of carbon chains.

Due to the changes in organic aerosol and the noted effects of the MBL on BBA, it appears that aqueous processing, oxidation, photolysis, evaporation, condensation and interaction with the MBL are key drivers in physical and chemical properties such as mixing state and elemental composition of aged BBA. Studies on combustion from different fuel and burn phases show differences in primary particle types in terms of mixing and amount of organic content and elemental composition, for example, for particles formed in the flaming and smoldering phase (Liu et al., 2017). Our results imply that due to the cloud and aqueous processing that African BBA is subject to, the salt phases present in the BBA will affect the ability of the particles to uptake water, act as CCN and undergo aqueous and cloud processing. Therefore the inorganic salt content of fresh BBA, fuel type and burning conditions, as well as gas-phase oxidation of  $\text{NO}_x$  leading to formation of  $\text{NO}_3$  as a significant pathway for further addition of inorganic salts, are key components for the atmospheric aging of BBA in these regions.

**Data availability.** Airborne measurements are available from the Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (<https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/38ab7089781a4560b067dd6c20af3769>, Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements et al., 2017) for CLARIFY 2017 data. ORACLES science data are available at [https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2017\\_V1](https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2017_V1) (ORACLES Science Team, 2019a) and [https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2018\\_V1](https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2018_V1) (ORACLES Science Team, 2019b) for the 2017 and 2018 data, respectively.

**Supplement.** The supplement related to this article is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-9389-2022-supplement>.

**Author contributions.** MSR, HuC and CD designed the research. JH, HuC, JR, PZ and AN are PIs of the campaigns. JT, MSR, PSW, SP, PZ, AN and SH performed fieldwork or provided support for filter collection. SH and MSR designed the sampling apparatus. CD performed laboratory analysis. CD and HaC provided figures. CD, HaC, MSR and LZ analyzed data sets. CD led the paper writing, and all co-authors contributed to ideas and writing.

**Competing interests.** At least one of the (co-)authors is a guest member of the editorial board of *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* for the special issue “New observations and related modelling studies of the aerosol–cloud–climate system in the Southeast Atlantic and southern Africa regions”. The peer-review process was

guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher’s note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Special issue statement.** This article is part of the special issue “New observations and related modelling studies of the aerosol–cloud–climate system in the Southeast Atlantic and southern Africa regions (ACP/AMT inter-journal SI)”. It is not associated with a conference.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank George Levi, instrument scientist at Tel Aviv University, for his expertise in TEM operation and analysis. Further, we thank the manuscript reviewers for their time and comments.

**Financial support.** The first author was supported by the NASA Postdoctoral Fellowship Grant. ORACLES is a NASA EARTH Venture Suborbital-2 investigation, funded by the US National Aeronautics and Space Administrations (NASA)’s Earth Science Division and managed through the Earth System Science Pathfinder Program Office (grant no. NNH13ZDA001N-EVS2). CLARIFY-2017 was funded by a Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Large Grant NE/L013584/1. Haochi Che and Michal Segal-Rozenhaimer are supported by a Department of Energy (DOE) Atmospheric System Research (ASR) grant, DE-SC0020084. Lu Zhang is funded by a Tel Aviv University postdoc fellowship. Paola Formenti is supported by the AEROSOLS, RADIATION and CLOUDS in southern Africa (AEROCLO-SA) project funded by the French National Research Agency under grant agreement no. ANR-15-CE01-0014-01, the French national programs LEFE/INSU and PNTS, the French National Agency for Space Studies (CNES), the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2014-2018) under EUFAR2 contract no. 312609 and the South African National Research Foundation (NRF) under grant UID 105958. Paquita Zuidema acknowledges additional support from a Department of Energy grant, DE-SC0021250.

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Joshua Schwarz and reviewed by two anonymous referees.

## References

- Adachi, K. and Buseck, P. R.: Changes in Shape and Composition of Sea-Salt Particles upon Aging in an Urban Atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 100, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.036>, 2015.
- Adachi, K., Sedlacek, A. J., Kleinman, L., Springston, S. R., Wang, J., Chand, D., Hubbe, J. M., Shilling, J. E., Onasch, T. B., Kinase, T., Sakata, K., Takahashi, Y., and Buseck,

- P. R.: Spherical tarball particles form through rapid chemical and physical changes of organic matter in biomass-burning smoke, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 116, 19336–19341, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900129116>, 2019.
- Aiken, A. C., DeCarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC Ratios of Primary, Secondary, and Ambient Organic Aerosols with High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 4478–4485, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es703009q>, 2008.
- Andreae, M. O., Charlson, R. J., Bruynseels, F., Storms, H., Van Grieken, R., and Maenhaut, W.: Internal mixture of sea salt, silicates, and excess sulfate in marine aerosols, *Science*, 232, 1620–1623, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.232.4758.1620>, 1986.
- Andreae, M. O., Elbert, W., Gabriel, R., Johnson, D. W., Osborne, S., and Wood, R.: Soluble ion chemistry of the atmospheric aerosol and SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the eastern North Atlantic during ACE-2, *Tellus B*, 52, 1066–1087, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v52i4.17087>, 2000.
- Ault, A. P., Moffet, R. C., Baltrusaitis, J., Collins, D. B., Ruppel, M. J., Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A., Zhao, D., Guasco, T. L., Ebben, C. J., Geiger, F. M., Bertram, T. H., Prather, K. A., and Grassian, V. H.: Size-Dependent Changes in Sea Spray Aerosol Composition and Properties with Different Seawater Conditions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 5603–5612, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es400416g>, 2013.
- Beilke, S. and Gravenhorst, G.: Heterogeneous SO<sub>2</sub>-oxidation in the droplet phase, in: *Sulfur in the Atmosphere*, edited by: Husar, R. B., Lodge, J. P., and Moore, D. J., Pergamon, 12, 231–239, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-022932-4.50025-2>, 1978.
- Buseck, P. R. and Pósfai, M.: Airborne minerals and related aerosol particles: Effects on climate and the environment, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 96, 3372–3379, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.7.3372>, 1999.
- Che, H., Segal-Rozenhaimer, M. S., Zhang, L., Dang, C., Zuidema, P., Dobracki, A., Sedlacek III, A., Coe, H., Wu, H., Taylor, J., Redemann, J., and Haywood, J.: Cloud Processing and Weeklong ageing significantly affect the biomass burning aerosol over the south-eastern Atlantic, *Nat. Commun. Earth Environ.*, accepted, 2022.
- China, S., Mazzoleni, C., Gorkowski, K., Aiken, A. C., and Dubey, M. K.: Morphology and mixing state of individual freshly emitted wildfire carbonaceous particles, *Nat. Commun.*, 4, 2122, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3122>, 2013.
- Chou, C., Formenti, P., Maille, M., Ausset, P., Helas, G., Harrison, M., and Osborne, S.: Size distribution, shape, and composition of mineral dust aerosols collected during the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis Special Observation Period 0: Dust and Biomass-Burning Experiment field campaign in Niger, January 2006, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D00C10, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009897>, 2008.
- Dobracki, A., Zuidema, P., Howell, S., Saide, P., Freitag, S., Aiken, A. C., Burton, S. P., Sedlacek III, A. J., Redemann, J., and Wood, R.: Non-reversible aging can increase solar absorption in African biomass burning aerosol plumes of intermediate age, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-1081>, 2022.
- Ervens, B., Sorooshian, A., Aldhaif, A. M., Shingler, T., Crosbie, E., Ziemba, L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Wisthaler, A.: Is there an aerosol signature of chemical cloud processing?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 16099–16119, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16099-2018>, 2018.
- Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements, Natural Environment Research Council, and Met Office: CLARIFY: in-situ airborne observations by the FAAM BAE-146 aircraft, Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, <http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/38ab7089781a4560b067dd6c20af3769> (last access: 25 August 2021), 2017.
- Gard, E. E., Kleeman, M. J., Gross, D. S., Hughes, L. S., Allen, J. O., Morrical, B. D., Fergenson, D. P., Dienes, T., Gälli, M. E., Johnson, R. J., Cass, G. R., and Prather, K. A.: Direct Observation of Heterogeneous Chemistry in the Atmosphere, *Science*, 279, 1184–1187, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.279.5354.1184>, 1998.
- Garstang, M., Tyson, P. D., Swap, R., Edwards, M., Källberg, P., and Lindesay, J. A.: Horizontal and vertical transport of air over southern Africa, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 101, 23721–23736, <https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00844>, 1996.
- Gaudichet, A., Echalar, F., Chatenet, B., Quisefit, J. P., Malingre, G., Cachier, H., Buat-Menard, P., Artaxo, P., and Maenhaut, W.: Trace elements in tropical African savanna biomass burning aerosols, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 22, 19–39, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00708179>, 1995.
- Gordon, H., Field, P. R., Abel, S. J., Dalvi, M., Grosvenor, D. P., Hill, A. A., Johnson, B. T., Miltenberger, A. K., Yoshioka, M., and Carslaw, K. S.: Large simulated radiative effects of smoke in the south-east Atlantic, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 15261–15289, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-15261-2018>, 2018.
- Grabowski, W. W. and Wang, L.-P.: Growth of Cloud Droplets in a Turbulent Environment, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 45, 293–324, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-011212-140750>, 2013.
- Gunthe, S. S., Liu, P., Panda, U., Raj, S. S., Sharma, A., Darbyshire, E., Reyes-Villegas, E., Allan, J., Chen, Y., Wang, X., Song, S., Pöhlker, M. L., Shi, L., Wang, Y., Kommula, S. M., Liu, T., Ravikrishna, R., McFiggans, G., Mickley, L. J., Martin, S. T., Pöschl, U., Andreae, M. O., and Coe, H.: Enhanced aerosol particle growth sustained by high continental chlorine emission in India, *Nat. Geosci.*, 14, 77–84, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-00677-x>, 2021.
- Hand, J. L., Malm, W. C., Laskin, A., Day, D., Lee, T., Wang, C., Carrico, C., Carrillo, J., Cowin, J. P., Collett Jr., J., and Iedema, M. J.: Optical, physical, and chemical properties of tar balls observed during the Yosemite Aerosol Characterization Study, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D21210, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005728>, 2005.
- Hand, V. L., Capes, G., Vaughan, D. J., Formenti, P., Haywood, J. M., and Coe, H.: Evidence of internal mixing of African dust and biomass burning particles by individual particle analysis using electron beam techniques, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 115, D13301, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012938>, 2010.
- Haslett, S. L., Thomas, J. C., Morgan, W. T., Hadden, R., Liu, D., Allan, J. D., Williams, P. I., Keita, S., Lioussé, C., and Coe, H.: Highly controlled, reproducible measurements of aerosol emissions from combustion of a common African biofuel source, *At-*

- mos. Chem. Phys., 18, 385–403, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-385-2018>, 2018.
- Haywood, J. M., Abel, S. J., Barrett, P. A., Bellouin, N., Blyth, A., Bower, K. N., Brooks, M., Carslaw, K., Che, H., Coe, H., Cotterell, M. I., Crawford, I., Cui, Z., Davies, N., Dingley, B., Field, P., Formenti, P., Gordon, H., de Graaf, M., Herbert, R., Johnson, B., Jones, A. C., Langridge, J. M., Malavelle, F., Partridge, D. G., Peers, F., Redemann, J., Stier, P., Szpek, K., Taylor, J. W., Watson-Parris, D., Wood, R., Wu, H., and Zuidema, P.: The CLoud–Aerosol–Radiation Interaction and Forcing: Year 2017 (CLARIFY-2017) measurement campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 1049–1084, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1049-2021>, 2021.
- He, X.-C., Tham, Y. J., Dada, L., Wang, M., Finkenzeller, H., Stolzenburg, D., Iyer, S., Simon, M., Kürten, A., Shen, J., Rörup, B., Rissanen, M., Schobesberger, S., Baalbaki, R., Wang, D. S., Koenig, T. K., Jokinen, T., Sarnela, N., Beck, L. J., Almeida, J., Amanatidis, S., Amorim, A., Ataei, F., Baccarini, A., Bertozzi, B., Bianchi, F., Brilke, S., Caudillo, L., Chen, D., Chiu, R., Chu, B., Dias, A., Ding, A., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Haddad, I. E., Carracedo, L. G., Granzin, M., Hansel, A., Heinritzi, M., Hofbauer, V., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Kuppainen, D., Kim, C., Kong, W., Krechmer, J. E., Kvashin, A., Laitinen, T., Lamkaddam, H., Lee, C. P., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Li, Z., Makhmutov, V., Manninen, H. E., Marie, G., Marten, R., Mathot, S., Mauldin, R. L., Mentler, B., Möhler, O., Müller, T., Nie, W., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Pfeifer, J., Philippov, M., Ranjithkumar, A., Saiz-Lopez, A., Salma, I., Scholz, W., Schuchmann, S., Schulze, B., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Tauber, C., Tomé, A., Thakur, R. C., Väisänen, O., Vazquez-Pufleau, M., Wagner, A. C., Wang, Y., Weber, S. K., Winkler, P. M., Wu, Y., Xiao, M., Yan, C., Ye, Q., Ylisirniö, A., Zauner-Wieczorek, M., Zha, Q., Zhou, P., Flagan, R. C., Curtius, J., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Kurtén, T., Donahue, N. M., Volkamer, R., Kirkby, J., Worsnop, D. R., and Sipilä, M.: Role of iodine oxoacids in atmospheric aerosol nucleation, *Science*, 371, 589–595, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe0298>, 2021.
- Hoffman, R. C., Laskin, A., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Sodium nitrate particles: physical and chemical properties during hydration and dehydration, and implications for aged sea salt aerosols, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 35, 869–887, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.02.003>, 2004.
- Hudson, P. K., Murphy, D. M., Cziczo, D. J., Thomson, D. S., Gouw, J. A. de, Warneke, C., Holloway, J., Jost, H.-J., and Hübner, G.: Biomass-burning particle measurements: Characteristic composition and chemical processing, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, D23S27, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004398>, 2004.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Effects of biomass burning on climate, accounting for heat and moisture fluxes, black and brown carbon, and cloud absorption effects, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 119, 8980–9002, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021861>, 2014.
- Jahl, L. G., Brubaker, T. A., Polen, M. J., Jahn, L. G., Cain, K. P., Bowers, B. B., Fahy, W. D., Graves, S., and Sullivan, R. C.: Atmospheric aging enhances the ice nucleation ability of biomass-burning aerosol, *Sci. Adv.*, 7, eabd3440, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd3440>, 2021.
- Jahn, L. G., Polen, M. J., Jahl, L. G., Brubaker, T. A., Somers, J., and Sullivan, R. C.: Biomass combustion produces ice-active minerals in biomass-burning aerosol and bottom ash, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 117, 21928–21937, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1922128117>, 2020.
- Jahn, L. G., Jahl, L. G., Bowers, B. B., and Sullivan, R. C.: Morphology of Organic Carbon Coatings on Biomass-Burning Particles and Their Role in Reactive Gas Uptake, *ACS Earth Space Chem.*, 5, 2184–2195, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00237>, 2021.
- Jin, X., Zhu, Q., and Cohen, R. C.: Direct estimates of biomass burning NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and lifetimes using daily observations from TROPOMI, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 15569–15587, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-15569-2021>, 2021.
- Kerminen, V.-M., Teinilä, K., Hillamo, R., and Pakkanen, T.: Substitution of chloride in sea-salt particles by inorganic and organic anions, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 29, 929–942, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502\(98\)00002-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(98)00002-0), 1998.
- King, S. M., Butcher, A. C., Rosenoern, T., Coz, E., Lieke, K. I., de Leeuw, G., Nilsson, E. D., and Bilde, M.: Investigating Primary Marine Aerosol Properties: CCN Activity of Sea Salt and Mixed Inorganic–Organic Particles, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 10405–10412, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es300574u>, 2012.
- Kirpes, R. M., Bondy, A. L., Bonanno, D., Moffet, R. C., Wang, B., Laskin, A., Ault, A. P., and Pratt, K. A.: Secondary sulfate is internally mixed with sea spray aerosol and organic aerosol in the winter Arctic, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 3937–3949, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3937-2018>, 2018.
- Krueger, B. J., Grassian, V. H., Iedema, M. J., Cowin, J. P., and Laskin, A.: Probing Heterogeneous Chemistry of Individual Atmospheric Particles Using Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy-Dispersive X-ray Analysis, *Anal. Chem.*, 75, 5170–5179, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac034455t>, 2003.
- Laskin, A., Moffet, R. C., Gilles, M. K., Fast, J. D., Zaveri, R. A., Wang, B., Nigge, P., and Shutthanandan, J.: Tropospheric chemistry of internally mixed sea salt and organic particles: Surprising reactivity of NaCl with weak organic acids, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, D15302, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017743>, 2012.
- Lewis, E. R. and Schwartz, S. E.: Sea Salt Aerosol Production: Mechanisms, Methods, Measurements, and Models- A Critical Review, American Geophysical Union (AGU), ISBN 978-1-118-66605-0, 2004.
- Li, J., Pósfai, M., Hobbs, P. V., and Buseck, P. R.: Individual aerosol particles from biomass burning in southern Africa: 2, Compositions and aging of inorganic particles, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8484, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002310>, 2003.
- Lignell, H., Hinks, M. L., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Exploring matrix effects on photochemistry of organic aerosols, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111, 13780–13785, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1322106111>, 2014.
- Liu, L., Kong, S., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Xu, L., Yan, Q., Lingaswamy, A. P., Shi, Z., Lv, S., Niu, H., Shao, L., Hu, M., Zhang, D., Chen, J., Zhang, X., and Li, W.: Morphology, composition, and mixing state of primary particles from combustion sources – crop residue, wood, and solid waste, *Sci. Rep.-UK*, 7, 5047, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05357-2>, 2017.
- Liu, X., Van Espen, P., Adams, F., Cafmeyer, J., and Maenhaut, W.: Biomass Burning in Southern Africa: Individual Particle Characterization of Atmospheric Aerosols and

- Savanna Fire Samples, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 36, 135–155, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006387031927>, 2000.
- Miller, D. F., Lamb, D., and Gertler, A. W.: SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation in cloud drops containing NaCl or sea salt as condensation nuclei, *Atmos. Environ.*, 21, 991–993, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981\(87\)90096-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90096-5), 1987.
- Murphy, D. M., Anderson, J. R., Quinn, P. K., McInnes, L. M., Brechtel, F. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Middlebrook, A. M., Pósfai, M., Thomson, D. S., and Buseck, P. R.: Influence of sea-salt on aerosol radiative properties in the Southern Ocean marine boundary layer, *Nature*, 392, 62–65, <https://doi.org/10.1038/32138>, 1998.
- Nash, D. G., Baer, T., and Johnston, M. V.: Aerosol mass spectrometry: An introductory review, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 258, 2–12, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2006.09.017>, 2006.
- Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Tian, J., Ulbrich, I. M., Kroll, J. H., Docherty, K. S., Chhabra, P. S., Bahreini, R., Murphy, S. M., Seinfeld, J. H., Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Lanz, V. A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Dinar, E., Rudich, Y., and Worsnop, D. R.: Organic aerosol components observed in Northern Hemispheric datasets from Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4625–4641, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4625-2010>, 2010.
- Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6465–6474, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-6465-2011>, 2011.
- ORACLES Science Team: Moffett Field, CA, NASA Ames Earth Science Project Office (ESPO) [data set], [https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2017\\_V1](https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2017_V1), 2019a.
- ORACLES Science Team: Moffett Field, CA, NASA Ames Earth Science Project Office (ESPO) [data set], [https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2018\\_V1](https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/ORACLES/P3/2018_V1), 2019b.
- Pistone, K., Redemann, J., Doherty, S., Zuidema, P., Burton, S., Cairns, B., Cochrane, S., Ferrare, R., Flynn, C., Freitag, S., Howell, S. G., Kacenelenbogen, M., LeBlanc, S., Liu, X., Schmidt, K. S., Sedlacek III, A. J., Segal-Rozenhaimer, M., Shinozuka, Y., Stames, S., van Diedenhoven, B., Van Harten, G., and Xu, F.: Intercomparison of biomass burning aerosol optical properties from in situ and remote-sensing instruments in ORACLES-2016, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 9181–9208, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-9181-2019>, 2019.
- Pósfai, M., Anderson, J. R., Buseck, P. R., Shattuck, T. W., and Tindale, N. W.: Constituents of a Remote Pacific Marine Aerosol: A Tem Study, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28, 1747–1756, [https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310\(94\)90137-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90137-6), 1994.
- Pósfai, M., Anderson, J. R., Buseck, P. R., and Sievering, H.: Compositional variations of sea-salt-mode aerosol particles from the North Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 100, 23063–23074, <https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD01636>, 1995.
- Pósfai, M., Simonics, R., Li, J., Hobbs, P. V., and Buseck, P. R.: Individual aerosol particles from biomass burning in southern Africa: 1. Compositions and size distributions of carbonaceous particles, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8483, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002291>, 2003.
- Prather, K. A., Bertram, T. H., Grassian, V. H., Deane, G. B., Stokes, M. D., DeMott, P. J., Aluwihare, L. I., Palenik, B. P., Azam, F., Seinfeld, J. H., Moffet, R. C., Molina, M. J., Cappa, C. D., Geiger, F. M., Roberts, G. C., Russell, L. M., Ault, A. P., Baltrusaitis, J., Collins, D. B., Corrigan, C. E., Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A., Ebben, C. J., Forestieri, S. D., Guasco, T. L., Hersey, S. P., Kim, M. J., Lambert, W. F., Modini, R. L., Mui, W., Pedler, B. E., Ruppel, M. J., Ryder, O. S., Schoepp, N. G., Sullivan, R. C., and Zhao, D.: Bringing the ocean into the laboratory to probe the chemical complexity of sea spray aerosol, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 7550–7555, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300262110>, 2013.
- Redemann, J., Wood, R., Zuidema, P., Doherty, S. J., Luna, B., LeBlanc, S. E., Diamond, M. S., Shinozuka, Y., Chang, I. Y., Ueyama, R., Pfister, L., Ryoo, J.-M., Dobracki, A. N., da Silva, A. M., Longo, K. M., Kacenelenbogen, M. S., Flynn, C. J., Pistone, K., Knox, N. M., Piketh, S. J., Haywood, J. M., Formenti, P., Mallet, M., Stier, P., Ackerman, A. S., Bauer, S. E., Fridlind, A. M., Carmichael, G. R., Saide, P. E., Ferrada, G. A., Howell, S. G., Freitag, S., Cairns, B., Holben, B. N., Knobelspiesse, K. D., Tanelli, S., L'Ecuyer, T. S., Dzambo, A. M., Sy, O. O., McFarquhar, G. M., Poellot, M. R., Gupta, S., O'Brien, J. R., Nenes, A., Kacarab, M., Wong, J. P. S., Small-Griswold, J. D., Thornhill, K. L., Noone, D., Podolske, J. R., Schmidt, K. S., Pilewskie, P., Chen, H., Cochrane, S. P., Sedlacek, A. J., Lang, T. J., Stith, E., Segal-Rozenhaimer, M., Ferrare, R. A., Burton, S. P., Hostetler, C. A., Diner, D. J., Seidel, F. C., Platnick, S. E., Myers, J. S., Meyer, K. G., Spangenberg, D. A., Maring, H., and Gao, L.: An overview of the ORACLES (ObseRvations of Aerosols above CLouds and their intERactionS) project: aerosol–cloud–radiation interactions in the southeast Atlantic basin, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 1507–1563, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1507-2021>, 2021.
- Reid, J. P., Bertram, A. K., Topping, D. O., Laskin, A., Martin, S. T., Petters, M. D., Pope, F. D., and Rovelli, G.: The viscosity of atmospherically relevant organic particles, *Nat. Commun.*, 9, 956, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03027-z>, 2018.
- Roberts, G., Wooster, M. J., Perry, G. L. W., Drake, N., Rebelo, L.-M., and Dipotso, F.: Retrieval of biomass combustion rates and totals from fire radiative power observations: Application to southern Africa using geostationary SEVIRI imagery, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D21111, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006018>, 2005.
- Roberts, G., Wooster, M. J., and Lagoudakis, E.: Annual and diurnal african biomass burning temporal dynamics, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 849–866, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-849-2009>, 2009.
- Sanchez-Marroquin, A., Hedges, D. H. P., Hiscock, M., Parker, S. T., Rosenberg, P. D., Trembath, J., Walshaw, R., Burke, I. T., McQuaid, J. B., and Murray, B. J.: Characterisation of the filter inlet system on the FAAM BAe-146 research aircraft and its use for size-resolved aerosol composition measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5741–5763, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5741-2019>, 2019.
- Sedlacek III, A., Lewis, E. R., Onasch, T. B., Zuidema, P., Redemann, J., Jaffe, D., and Kleinman, L. I.: Black Carbon Particle Mixing State Analysis Allows Classification of Biomass Burn Aerosol Lifecycle into Three Aging Regimes, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, in preparation, 2022.



- Sedlacek III, A. J., Buseck, P. R., Adachi, K., Onasch, T. B., Springston, S. R., and Kleinman, L.: Formation and evolution of tar balls from northwestern US wildfires, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 11289–11301, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11289-2018>, 2018.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*, John Wiley & Sons, 1119 pp., ISBN 9781118591505, 2012.
- Semeniuk, T. A., Wise, M. E., Martin, S. T., Russell, L. M., and Buseck, P. R.: Hygroscopic behavior of aerosol particles from biomass fires using environmental transmission electron microscopy, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 56, 259–273, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-006-9055-5>, 2007.
- Sievering, H., Boatman, J., Galloway, J., Keene, W., Kim, Y., Luria, M., and Ray, J.: Heterogeneous sulfur conversion in sea-salt aerosol particles: the role of aerosol water content and size distribution, *Atmos. Environ. A-Gen.*, 25, 1479–1487, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\(91\)90007-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90007-T), 1991.
- Signorell, R. and Reid, J. (Eds.): *Fundamentals and Applications in Aerosol Spectroscopy*, CRC Press, <https://doi.org/10.1201/b10417>, 2011.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96, 2059–2077, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1>, 2015.
- Taylor, J. W., Wu, H., Szpek, K., Bower, K., Crawford, I., Flynn, M. J., Williams, P. I., Dorsey, J., Langridge, J. M., Cotterell, M. I., Fox, C., Davies, N. W., Haywood, J. M., and Coe, H.: Absorption closure in highly aged biomass burning smoke, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 11201–11221, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-11201-2020>, 2020.
- Vakkari, V., Beukes, J. P., Dal Maso, M., Aurela, M., Josipovic, M., and van Zyl, P. G.: Major secondary aerosol formation in southern African open biomass burning plumes, *Nat. Geosci.*, 11, 580–583, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0170-0>, 2018.
- Wong, J. P. S., Zhou, S., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Changes in Secondary Organic Aerosol Composition and Mass due to Photolysis: Relative Humidity Dependence, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 119, 4309–4316, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp506898c>, 2015.
- Wu, H., Taylor, J. W., Szpek, K., Langridge, J. M., Williams, P. I., Flynn, M., Allan, J. D., Abel, S. J., Pitt, J., Cotterell, M. I., Fox, C., Davies, N. W., Haywood, J., and Coe, H.: Vertical variability of the properties of highly aged biomass burning aerosol transported over the southeast Atlantic during CLARIFY-2017, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 12697–12719, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12697-2020>, 2020.
- Young, G., Jones, H. M., Darbyshire, E., Baustian, K. J., McQuaid, J. B., Bower, K. N., Connolly, P. J., Gallagher, M. W., and Choularton, T. W.: Size-segregated compositional analysis of aerosol particles collected in the European Arctic during the ACCACIA campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 4063–4079, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4063-2016>, 2016.
- Zheng, G., Wang, Y., Wood, R., Jensen, M. P., Kuang, C., McCoy, I. L., Matthews, A., Mei, F., Tomlinson, J. M., Shilling, J. E., Zawadowicz, M. A., Crosbie, E., Moore, R., Ziemba, L., Andreae, M. O., and Wang, J.: New particle formation in the remote marine boundary layer, *Nat. Commun.*, 12, 527, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20773-1>, 2021.
- Zuidema, P., Sedlacek III, A. J., Flynn, C., Springston, S., Delgado, R., Zhang, J., Aiken, A. C., Koontz, A., and Muradyan, P.: The Ascension Island boundary layer in the remote southeast Atlantic is often smoky, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 4456–4465, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076926>, 2018.



*Supplement of*

## **Biomass burning and marine aerosol processing over the southeast Atlantic Ocean: a TEM single-particle analysis**

**Caroline Dang et al.**

*Correspondence to:* Caroline Dang ([carolinevandang@gmail.com](mailto:carolinevandang@gmail.com)) and Michal Segal-Rozenhaimer ([msegalro@tauex.tau.ac.il](mailto:msegalro@tauex.tau.ac.il))

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

**Supplementary Material**

**Table S1 Filter samples, flight and particles analyzed**

<b>Sample name</b>	<b>Flight</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Start time</b>	<b>End time</b>	<b>Particles Analyzed</b>	<b>Total Flow (L)</b>
Gold 1	C030	8/17/2017	15:19	15:24	49	198
Gold 8	C033	8/22/2017	12:09	12:21	27	66
Gold 9	C035	8/23/2017	16:52	17:01	39	152
Gold 10	C037	8/24/2017	13:58	14:08	42	157
Gold 11	C036	8/24/2017	9:43	9:48	54	229
Gold 14	C042	8/28/2017	11:26	11:36	47	180
Gold 15	C042	8/28/2017	10:58	11:09	22	279
Gold 18	C044	8/29/2017	11:01	11:17	32	315
Gold 19	C046	8/30/2017	9:59	10:11	57	271
Gold 20	C046	8/30/2017	10:43	10:57	30	536
Gold 21	C055	9/7/2017	16:06	16:27	43	325
Gold 22	C049	9/2/2017	10:08	10:19	43	520
Gold 23	C049	9/2/2017	11:56	12:12	44	289
Gold 24	C050	9/4/2017	15:08	15:33	24	720
RF02_1	RF02	9/30/2018	10:05:00	10:15:34	23	317
RF02_2	RF02	9/30/2018	11:22:17,11:45:22	11:27:24,11:49:16	35	297
RF03	RF03	10/2/2018	13:16:59, 13:21:10	13:18:29,13:30:20	59	315
RF04	RF04	10/3/2018	10:01:52	10:13:00	65	334
RF05_1	RF05	10/5/2018	7:34:19	7:44:30	55	305
RF05_2	RF05	10/5/2018	8:09:30	8:19:50	64	310
RF05_3	RF05	10/5/2018	9:06:21	9:18:10	37	355
RF06_1	RF06	10/7/2018	11:43:09	11:48:45	49	168
RF06_2	RF06	10/7/2018	13:22:50	13:33:40	39	325
RF07_1	RF07	10/10/2018	10:25:05	10:34:52	43	293
RF07_2	RF07	10/10/2018	12:05:41	12:15:49	29	304
RF09	RF09	10/15/2018	11:11:52	11:20:26	56	257
RF10	RF10	10/17/2018	9:59:04	10:06:58	66	237
RF11	RF11	10/19/2018	10:23:38	10:35:27	62	355
RF13	RF13	10/23/2018	10:44:57, 10:50:10, 10:54:46, 11:02:56	10:47:09, 10:51:55, 10:58:28, 11:12:03	33	501
RF11Filter5	RF11	8/30/2017	10:29	10:41	47	360




Figure S1 7-day back trajectories and sources of collected filters. The colors represent different broadleaf forests, urban, unvegetated areas, savannah, crop, grasses, and shrubland as denoted by the MODIS land use vegetation classifications.




Figure S2 Particle count and altitude for Cl-dominated filters Gold 14, Gold 15, and Gold 18 during filter exposure times







Figure S3 Na-S-K ternary diagrams for A) ORACLES below cloud B) ORACLES above cloud C) CLARIFY below cloud and D) CLARIFY above cloud.



**Figure S4 Na-S-Cl ternary diagrams for A) ORACLES below cloud B) ORACLES above cloud C) CLARIFY below cloud and D) CLARIFY above cloud. Note that the dearth of particles in A) and B) are due to the majority of particles having no Cl as well as most Cl-containing particles not containing Na or S.**