



**HAL**  
open science

# Organic waste-borne ZnS nanoparticles: The forgotten ones

C. Levard, M. Le Bars, T. Formentini, S. Legros, E. Doelsch

► **To cite this version:**

C. Levard, M. Le Bars, T. Formentini, S. Legros, E. Doelsch. Organic waste-borne ZnS nanoparticles: The forgotten ones. *Environmental Pollution*, 2022, 308, pp.119629. 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119629 . hal-03835741

**HAL Id: hal-03835741**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03835741v1>**

Submitted on 1 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Organic waste-borne ZnS nanoparticles: the forgotten ones

C. Levard<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Le Bars<sup>1,4,5</sup>, T. Formentini<sup>2</sup>, S. Legros<sup>3,4</sup>, E. Doelsch<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, CEREGE, 13545, Aix-en-Provence, France

<sup>2</sup> Department of Soil and Environment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box 7014, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> CIRAD, UPR Recyclage et risque, 18524 Dakar, Senegal

<sup>4</sup> Recyclage et Risque, Univ. Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

<sup>5</sup> CIRAD, UPR Recyclage et risque, F-34398 Montpellier, France


\* Corresponding author: [levard@cerege.fr](mailto:levard@cerege.fr)

## Highlights:

- Considerably more ZnS-NPs are released into the environment than most commercial NPs
- The fate of incidental ZnS-NPs formed in organic waste has been completely overlooked
- ZnS-NPs that form in organic waste are small and exhibit unique structural properties
- The reactivity and ecotoxicity of ZnS-NPs may be enhanced due to their small size

## Keywords:

Incidental ZnS nanoparticles; organic wastes, environmental release, ecotoxicity



22

23 The market boom in nanotechnology has led to unprecedented and well justified scientific interest in  
 24 the risks posed by the release of manufactured nanoparticles (NPs) into the environment. This interest is  
 25 reflected in the funding of a large number of modeling and experimental studies. The latter are mainly  
 26 physico-chemical and ecotoxicity investigations that were initially performed in simplified systems, but  
 27 are becoming increasingly complex and realistic.

28 Silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) are strong biocides, and in the last 15 years, have been among the most  
 29 widely studied and publicized NPs. These studies have shown that the main pathway by which Ag-NPs  
 30 enter the environment is via sewage sludge spread as fertilizer on agricultural soils. Concentrations of  
 31 Ag-NPs in sewage sludge typically range between 1 and 10 mg/kg. Bare Ag-NPs can have toxic effects on  
 32 a variety of organisms, particularly when soluble Ag is released. However, it is acknowledged that Ag-  
 33 NPs in the environment are mostly transformed into poorly soluble and less toxic Ag<sub>2</sub>S (Courtois et al.  
 34 2019; Levard et al. 2013). Considering the low concentrations of Ag-NPs released in all environmental  
 35 sinks and the rapid transformation of Ag-NPs into chemically stable Ag<sub>2</sub>S, the actual environmental risk  
 36 seems to be much lower than suspected 15 years ago.

37 Meanwhile, another class of nanomaterials formed unintentionally in organic wastes (Kim et al., 2014;  
 38 Le Bars et al., 2018) has been vastly overlooked. Zinc sulfide nanoparticles (ZnS-NPs) are released in  
 39 quantities that are several orders of magnitudes higher than those of Ag-NPs and other manufactured  
 40 nanoparticles.

41 Zinc (Zn) is the fourth most abundant metal in use today, with 12 million tons produced in 2020 alone  
42 (International Lead and Zinc Study Group: <https://ilzsg.org/static/statistics.aspx>). Sources of Zn in  
43 sewage sludge include (i) runoff from Zn roofs, which can account for up to 50% of the Zn runoff load in  
44 urban areas (Thévenot et al., 2007 ; Gromaire et al., 2002), and (ii) the use of Zn as a pigment, catalyst,  
45 UV filter, luminescent, semiconductor (and many others) in electronics, paints, and care products  
46 (<https://ilzsg.org/static/enduses.aspx>). Furthermore, Zn is a ubiquitous supplement added to livestock  
47 feed to prevent disease and promote growth (Romeo et al. 2014; maximum authorized levels of trace  
48 elements in animal feeds are regulated in the European Union according to the Commission Regulation  
49 (EC) 1334/2003). Most of the added Zn is not taken up by the animals and livestock wastes (manure,  
50 slurries) are consequently rich in Zn (Romeo et al., 2014). Cropped soils are the most common  
51 destination of (agricultural) livestock waste and (urban) sewage sludge. Our calculations suggest that the  
52 Zn released into the environment every year by spreading on the soil corresponds to no less than 4.5%  
53 of annual Zn production. This overwhelming figure means about 553 000 t may enter the water-soil-  
54 plant compartments every year.

55 Zn in livestock waste and sewage sludge is mostly found in the form of ZnS-NPs. For instance, 54% of the  
56 total Zn present in a wide range of organic wastes sampled from full-scale treatment plants were shown  
57 to consist of ZnS-NPs (Le Bars et al., 2018). Extrapolation suggests that about 440 000 t/year of ZnS-NPs  
58 are released into the environment every year, i.e. 3 orders of magnitude more than the amount of Ag-  
59 NPs produced every year (400 t). If on the one hand, bulk ZnS has a very low solubility product constant  
60 ( $2 \times 10^{-25}$ ), the small diameter of ZnS-NPs in organic wastes (3–5 nm) gives them unique structural  
61 properties such as lattice strain, surface defects that may affect their solubility, surface reactivity and  
62 toxicity in the environment (Gilbert et al., 2004). Paradoxically, only a few studies have addressed the  
63 fate and toxicity of ZnS-NPs in the environment (Le Bars et al., 2022). In addition, most of these studies  
64 considered particle sizes > 10 nm, which are not representative of the ZnS-NPs that form in organic  
65 wastes (Voegelin et al., 2011).

66 The pioneering work of Banfield's group on biofilms helped understand the formation and properties of  
67 ZnS-NPs in organic wastes (e.g. Labrenz et al., 2000). A few recent studies point out that ZnS-NPs  
68 undergo (bio)chemical transformations after composting or stockpiling of organic waste (Lombi et al.  
69 2012, Le Bars et al. 2018). Only one study assessed the fate of organic waste-borne ZnS-NPs in soil,  
70 reporting complete transformation of ZnS in a clayey soil within 6 months, followed by rapid  
71 immobilization of released Zn due to sorption by the soil matrix (Formentini et al., 2017). Similarly,  
72 studies of the fate of manufactured CdSe/ZnS core-shell quantum dots (3-14 nm, i.e., almost the same  
73 size as organic waste-borne ZnS-NPs) also suggest partial or total dissolution of the nano-ZnS shell in soil  
74 solution (Faucheur et al. 2018) or at the mineral/biofilm interface within hours (Desmau et al., 2020).  
75 Although synthetic ZnS-NP (3-5 nm) were shown to dissolve much more slowly in a sandy soil than in a  
76 clayey soil, the released Zn was more freely available in the sandy soil, and consequently potentially  
77 more toxic for soil organisms (Le Bars et al. 2022).

78 Despite the potentially high reactivity of ZnS-NPs, to the best of our knowledge, their ecotoxicity to soil  
79 ecosystems for example has only been investigated in 19 studies, as opposed to ZnO-NPs and Ag-NPs,  
80 each of which has been the subject of more than more than 250 studies. No study on ZnS-NPs  
81 ecotoxicity has been performed in a realistic agricultural soil environment with organic waste born ZnS-  
82 NPs. Among the 19 studies, in the nine most relevant ones (of which only one included organic waste),  
83 ZnS-NPs were synthesized (or obtained by sulfidation of ZnO-NPs), added to the soil (sometimes artificial

84 soil) system in pot experiments or in synthetic soil porewater, and the toxicity for model organisms  
85 (plants or soil organisms) was tested over a maximum period of a few weeks (Adele et al. 2018,  
86 Oleszczuk et al. 2019, Song et al. 2019, Starnes et al. 2019, Bao et al. 2020, Biruntha et al. 2020, Adele et  
87 al. 2021, Chen et al. 2021, Imperiale et al. 2022). Generally, these studies showed that ZnS-NPs could be  
88 toxic for plants, earthworms, nematodes, arthropods, fungi, and bacteria. The toxic concentration varied  
89 among the studies as it depends on the target organism and the environment. For example, the  
90 mortality and reproduction of the soil springtail *Folsomia candida* was affected by exposure as low as  
91 2.5 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in soil (Oleszczuk et al. 2019) whereas no toxic effect was found against the earthworm  
92 *Eisenia fetida* at 400 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in an artificial soil (Bao et al. 2020). Moreover, all the studies except three  
93 were performed using ZnS-NPs > 20 nm in size, that is, bigger than the ZnS-NPs found in organic wastes,  
94 even though the ecotoxicity of NPs is known to be highly dependent on the size of the nanoparticle  
95 (Lowry et al. 2012, Spurgeon et al. 2020). What is more, it is not clear whether ZnS-NPs are more or less  
96 toxic than ZnO-NPs and Zn<sup>2+</sup>, and whether longer exposure (i.e. more than few weeks) to ZnS-NPs alters  
97 toxicity. Extrapolating these results to more realistic conditions is risky because the small number of  
98 studies cannot account for the large number of variables that affect ecotoxicity. Indeed, the ecotoxicity  
99 of NPs depends on interactions between the nanoparticle and its environment (e.g. solubility,  
100 aggregation). In turn, this interaction depends on the quantity and quality of organic matter, pH, ionic  
101 strength, chemical composition of soil solution, and the presence of colloids, among others (Lowry et al.  
102 2012, Libralato et al. 2017, Spurgeon et al. 2020). A comprehensive range of experiments would be  
103 needed to assess the effect of exposure to organic waste-borne ZnS-NPs and associated hazards on a  
104 wider range of targeted organisms and of soil properties that may determine NP ecotoxicity.

105 We are convinced that the fate and ecotoxicity of ZnS-NPs formed in organic wastes deserve more  
106 attention in both modeling (currently not assessed, Avadi et al. 2022) and experimental studies,  
107 particularly in water-soil-plant systems, like other anthropogenic NPs such as Ag, ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, or  
108 carbon nanotubes. This is of utmost importance given the ever-increasing production of waste that calls  
109 on scientists, politicians, and civil society to transform waste into resources, while closing material loops  
110 in circular economies as a way to achieve sustainable development.

111

#### 112 Acknowledgments

113 This study was part of the DIGESTATE project, funded by ANR (*Agence Nationale de la Recherche*,  
114 France) under Grant ANR-15-CE34-0003-01.

115

#### 116 Declaration of competing interest

117 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships  
118 that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

119

#### 120 References

121

122 Adele, N. C., B. T. Ngwenya, K. V. Heal, and J. F. W. Mosselmans. 2018. Soil Bacteria Override Speciation  
123 Effects on Zinc Phytotoxicity in Zinc-Contaminated Soils. *Environmental Science & Technology* (52):  
124 3412-3421.

125 Adele, N. C., B. T. Ngwenya, K. V. Heal, and J. F. W. Mosselmans. 2021. Role of plant growth promoting  
126 bacteria in driving speciation gradients across soil-rhizosphere-plant interfaces in zinc-contaminated  
127 soils. *Environ Pollut* (279): 116909.

128 Avadi Tapia A.D., Benoit P., Bravin M., Cournoyer B., Feder F., Galia W., Garnier P., Haudin C.S., Legros S.,  
129 Mamy L., Nazaret S., Patureau D., Pot V., Vieubl e Gonod L., Wassenaar T., Doelsch E.. 2022. *Advances in*  
130 *Agronomy*, 174 : p. 53-188

131 Bao, S.; Huang, M.; Tang, W.; Wang, T.; Xu, J.; Fang, T., 2020. Opposite effects of the earthworm *Eisenia*  
132 *fetida* on the bioavailability of Zn in soils amended with ZnO and ZnS nanoparticles. *Environmental*  
133 *Pollution*, 260, 114045.

134 Biruntha, M., J. Archana, K. Kavitha, B. Karunai Selvi, J. A. John Paul, R. Balachandar, M. Saravanan, and  
135 N. Karmegam. 2020. Green Synthesis of Zinc Sulfide Nanoparticles Using *Abrus precatorius* and Its Effect  
136 on Coelomic Fluid Protein Profile and Enzymatic Activity of the Earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae*.  
137 *BioNanoScience* (10): 149-156.

138 Chen, C., J. M. Unrine, Y. Hu, L. Guo, O. V. Tsyusko, Z. Fan, S. Liu, and G. Wei. 2021. Responses of soil  
139 bacteria and fungal communities to pristine and sulfidized zinc oxide nanoparticles relative to Zn ions. *J*  
140 *Hazard Mater* (405): 124258.

141 Courtois, P.; Rorat, A.; Lemi re, S.; Guyoneaud, R.; Attard, E.; Levard, C.; Vandenbulcke, F., 2019.  
142 Ecotoxicology of silver nanoparticles and their derivatives introduced in soil with or without sewage  
143 sludge: A review of effects on microorganisms, plants and animals. *Environmental Pollution*, 253, 578-  
144 598.

145  
146 Desmau, M.; Levard, C.; Vidal, V.; Ona-Nguema, G.; Charron, G.; Benedetti, M. F.; G elabert, A., 2020.  
147 How microbial biofilms impact the interactions of Quantum Dots with mineral surfaces? *NanoImpact*,  
148 19, 100247.

149 Faucher, S., Charron, G., L utzen, E., Le Coustumer, P., Schauml offel, D., Sivry, Y., & Lespes, G., 2018.  
150 Characterization of polymer-coated CdSe/ZnS quantum dots and investigation of their behaviour in soil  
151 solution at relevant concentration by asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation–multi angle light  
152 scattering–inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1028, 104-112.

153 Formentini, T. A.; Legros, S.; Fernandes, C. V. S.; Pinheiro, A.; Le Bars, M.; Levard, C.; Mallmann, F. J. K.;  
154 da Veiga, M.; Doelsch, E., 2017. Radical change of Zn speciation in pig slurry amended soil: Key role of  
155 nano-sized sulfide particles. *Environmental Pollution*, 222, 495-503.

156 Gilbert, B.; Huang, F.; Zhang, H. Z.; Waychunas, G. A.; Banfield, J. F., 2004. Nanoparticles: Strained and  
157 stiff. *Science*, 305, (5684), 651-654.

158 Gromaire, M. C., G. Chebbo and A. Constant, 2002. Impact of zinc roofing on urban runoff pollutant  
159 loads: The case of Paris. *Water Science and Technology*. 45 (7): 113–122.

160 Imperiale, D., G. Lencioni, M. Marmiroli, A. Zappettini, J. C. White, and N. Marmiroli. 2022. Interaction of  
161 hyperaccumulating plants with Zn and Cd nanoparticles. *Science of The Total Environment* (817):  
162 152741.

163 Kim, B.; Levard, C.; Murayama, M.; Brown, G. E., Jr.; Hochella, M. F., Jr., 2014. Integrated Approaches of  
164 X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopic and Electron Microscopic Techniques on Zinc Speciation and  
165 Characterization in a Final Sewage Sludge Product. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 43, (3), 908-916

166 Labrenz, M.; Druschel, G. K.; Thomsen-Ebert, T.; Gilbert, B.; Welch, S. A.; Kemner, K. M.; Logan, G. A.;  
167 Summons, R. E.; De Stasio, G.; Bond, P. L.; Lai, B.; Kelly, S. D.; Banfield, J. F., 2000. Formation of  
168 sphalerite (ZnS) deposits in natural biofilms of sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Science*, 290, (5497), 1744-  
169 1747.

170 Le Bars, M.; Legros, S.; Levard, C.; Chaurand, P.; Tella, M.; Rovezzi, M.; Browne, P.; Rose, J.; Doelsch, E.,  
171 2018. Drastic change in zinc speciation during anaerobic digestion and composting: Instability of  
172 nanosized zinc sulfide. *Environmental science & technology*, 52, (22), 12987-12996.

173 Le Bars, M.; Legros, S.; Levard, C.; Chevassus-Rosset, C.; Montes, M.; Tella, M.; Borschneck, D.; Guihou,  
174 A.; Angeletti, B.; Doelsch, E., 2022. Contrasted fate of zinc sulfide nanoparticles in soil revealed by a  
175 combination of X-ray absorption spectroscopy, diffusive gradient in thin films and isotope tracing.  
176 *Environmental Pollution*, 292, 118414.

177 Levard, C.; Hotze, E. M.; Colman, B.; Dale, A. L.; Truong, L.; Yang, X.; Bone, A.; Brown, G. E.; Tanguay, R.  
178 L.; Di Giulio, R. T.; Bernhardt, E.; Meyer, J.; Wiesner, M. R.; Lowry, G. V., 2013. Sulfidation of Silver  
179 Nanoparticles: Natural antidote to their toxicity. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47, (23), 13440-  
180 13448.

181 Libralato, G., E. Galdiero, A. Falanga, R. Carotenuto, E. de Alteriis, and M. Guida. 2017. Toxicity Effects of  
182 Functionalized Quantum Dots, Gold and Polystyrene Nanoparticles on Target Aquatic Biological Models:  
183 A Review. *Molecules* (22).

184 Lombi, E.; Donner, E.; Tavakkoli, E.; Turney, T. W.; Naidu, R.; Miller, B. W.; Scheckel, K. G., 2012. Fate of  
185 Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles during Anaerobic Digestion of Wastewater and Post-Treatment Processing of  
186 Sewage Sludge. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46, (16), 9089-9096.

187 Lowry, G. V., K. B. Gregory, S. C. Apte, and J. R. Lead. 2012. Transformations of Nanomaterials in the  
188 Environment. *Environmental Science & Technology* (46): 6893-6899.

189 Oleszczuk, P., B. Czech, M. Konczak, A. Bogusz, A. Siatecka, P. Godlewska, and M. Wiesner. 2019. Impact  
190 of ZnO and ZnS nanoparticles in sewage sludge-amended soil on bacteria, plant and invertebrates.  
191 *Chemosphere* (237): 124359.

192 Romeo, A., V. Vacchina, S. Legros and E. Doelsch, 2014. "Zinc fate in animal husbandry systems."  
193 *Metallomics* 11(6): 1999-2009

194 Song, C., F. Ye, H. Zhang, J. Hong, C. Hua, B. Wang, Y. Chen, R. Ji, and L. Zhao. 2019. Metal(loid) oxides  
195 and metal sulfides nanomaterials reduced heavy metals uptake in soil cultivated cucumber plants.  
196 *Environmental Pollution* (255): 113354.

197 Spurgeon, D. J., E. Lahive, and C. L. Schultz. 2020. Nanomaterial Transformations in the Environment:  
198 Effects of Changing Exposure Forms on Bioaccumulation and Toxicity. *Small* (16): e2000618.

199 Starnes, D., J. Unrine, C. Chen, S. Lichtenberg, C. Starnes, C. Svendsen, P. Kille, J. Morgan, Z. E. Baddar, A.  
200 Spear, P. Bertsch, K. C. Chen, and O. Tsyusko. 2019. Toxicogenomic responses of *Caenorhabditis elegans*  
201 to pristine and transformed zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Environ Pollut* (247): 917-926.

202 Thévenot, D. R., R. Moilleron, L. Lestel, M.-C. Gromaire, V. Rocher, P. Cambier, P. Bonté, J.-L. Colin, C. de  
203 Pontevès and M. Meybeck 2007. "Critical budget of metal sources and pathways in the Seine River basin  
204 (1994–2003) for Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb and Zn." *Science of The Total Environment* 375(1): 180-203.

205 Voegelin, A.; Jacquat, O.; Pfister, S.; Barmettler, K.; Scheinost, A. C.; Kretzschmar, R., 2011. Time-  
206 Dependent Changes of Zinc Speciation in Four Soils Contaminated with Zincite or Sphalerite.  
207 *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45, (1), 255-261.

208

209

210