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AC resistance and leakage inductance estimation for planar transformers with parallel connected windings

Lucas Pniak\(^1\), Loïc Quéval\(^2\), Bertrand Revol\(^1\), Jean-Sylvio Ngoua Teu\(^1\), Cyrille Gautier\(^1\) and Olivier Béthoux\(^2\)

**Abstract**— Regarding the ever-increasing switching frequency of power converters, there is a lack of tools to perform a quick and effective optimization of the high frequency transformers embedded in isolated power converters. Indeed, at high operating frequency, the geometry of the core and windings deeply impacts the overall transformer performance. Specifically, assessing accurately the current distribution in parallel connected windings is a challenging and key issue. Winding parallelization, frequently implemented in low voltage and high current applications so as to decrease Joule losses, may be an ineffective solution because of skin and proximity effects. They create an unbalanced current distribution in the parallel connected windings, which greatly impacts the AC resistance and leakage inductance of the transformer. The present article proposes an innovative frequency analytical model permitting to simply and accurately compute the current distribution in the transformer windings, the AC resistance, and the leakage inductance. The proposed approach is comprehensively presented, validated using both measurements and 2D finite element analysis and analyzed giving guidelines to engineers designing high frequency transformers.

**NOMENCLATURE**

- \(\alpha\) Wave number.
- \(\Delta\) Relative skin depth, \(e/\delta\).
- \(\delta\) Skin depth at the fundamental frequency.
- \(E\) Electric field (RMS).
- \(H\), \(H_M\) Magnetic field (RMS).
- \(j\) Current density (RMS).
- \(\dot{Q}\), \(\dot{Q}\) Current (RMS).
- \(L_{\mu}\) Magnetizing inductance matrix.
- \(L_{lk}\) Leakage inductance matrix.
- \(R\) Resistance matrix.
- \(\mu_0\) Permeability of free space, \(4\pi \times 10^{-7}\) H/m.
- \(\mu_r\) Relative permeability of the core material.
- \(\omega\) Angular frequency.
- \(\sigma\) Conductivity of the conductors material.
- \(d_m\) Mean length of a field line in the magnetic core.
- \(e\) Thickness of a conductor layers.
- \(e_0\) Air gap.
- \(E_{\mu}\) Magnetizing energy.
- \(E_{lk}\) Leakage magnetic energy.
- \(e_{lk}\) Thickness of the \(k^{th}\) insulation layer.
- \(h_e\) Width of a conductor layers.
- \(j\) \((-1)^{1/2}\).
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**I. INTRODUCTION**

Like the automotive sector, the aeronautics sector and in particular the equipment manufacturers are currently undergoing profound changes to achieve carbon neutrality in aviation by 2050. These developments concern, among many other aspects, the on-board electrical systems for which the aim is to improve efficiency and reduce weight, while ensuring greater flexibility. The network architectures envisaged for the turbomachines hybridization and continuous on-board generation (270 V, 540 V, etc.) must ensure interconnections with key conventional networks (i.e. 28 V). Isolated modular DC / DC converters (DAB, LLC, etc.) are currently being studied to achieve these interconnections [1]. Using fast components (e.g. GaN) in these topologies enables to reach attractive power densities (> 2 kW/kg) [2]. In these isolated power structures, designing the high-frequency transformer (100 kHz to 1 MHz) may be a challenging issue.

Thanks to their low profile, good thermal characteristics, high manufacturing repeatability and accuracy and possibly predictable parasitic elements [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], planar transformers (Fig. 1) meet the demanding expectations of modern power electronics, specifically regarding switching frequency and power density [8], [9]. In many applications, the high power and low voltage requirements imply using parallel connected windings in order to limit the AC copper losses and enhance the transformer thermal behavior.

Fig. 1: A planar high frequency power transformer.
At high frequencies, the skin and proximity effects increase Joule losses, and also may unbalance the current distribution in parallel connected windings. This imbalance can either have a negative or positive impact on the AC Joule losses depending on the considered operating frequency and winding geometry. In both cases, it significantly impacts the transformer performance. The designer has to accurately assess this current sharing in order to find the optimal transformer design and determine the two main macroscopic transformer parameters, i.e. AC resistance and leakage inductance.

Many methods have been used to solve this electromagnetic problem. 2D or 3D Finite Element Analysis (FEA) simulations [10], [11], [12], [13], [14] provide a very accurate estimation of the magnetic field and current distribution, at the cost of a substantial computation time. Enabling a reduced computation time, analytical methods based on lumped circuit models such as Modular Layer Model (MLM) [15], transmission lines in 1D [16], [17] or 2D [18] also show precise results on a wide frequency band. Implementing these techniques requires a SPICE solver and a software tool to generate SPICE netlist. Finally, a 1D analytical model [19] based on Dowell’s hypothesis [20] uses the Maxwell-Faraday theorem on well-chosen closed paths to determine the winding turns electromotive forces as intermediate variables, then deduce the current distribution and ultimately infer AC resistance and leakage inductance as macroscopic energetic parameters. The intermediate calculations make the resolution complex and give no insight in the understanding of the energy phenomena to be optimized.

The present paper proposes an innovative analytical 1D frequency-domain electromagnetic model for planar transformers that may have windings connected in parallel. Based on an energetic approach of system dynamics (Euler-Lagrange), this model directly uses the well-known expressions of the AC Joule losses [21] and leakage magnetic energy [22], [23] to build the linear system governing the current distribution. It avoids to solve the Maxwell-Faraday equations and the associated integral calculation proposed by Wei Chen [19] and does not require a software tool to generate SPICE netlist [15]. The suggested method can be implemented in an automatic tool and enables the accurate computation of the AC resistance and leakage inductance of any winding configuration in a short computation time.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the electromagnetic problem and recalls the Joule power losses and magnetic energies calculation. Section III introduces the procedure for applying Euler-Lagrange equations to the transformer. Computing the energy and power loss functions permits to derive the linear system governing the current distribution, which is the main contribution of the paper. Finally, section IV compares Finite Element Analysis (FEA) simulations and experimental results with the proposed method results in order to validate the suggested approach. Using the energetic approach, the studied examples are fully analyzed and discussed to provide a clear insight into the physics at stake in the transformer.

II. ENERGY ANALYSIS OF THE TRANSFORMER

A. Definition of the electromagnetic problem

As shown in Fig. 2, a planar transformer composed of \( N \) conductor layers and a magnetic core is considered. An index \( k, k \in [1, N] \), and a domain \( \Omega_k \) are assigned to each conductor layer. \( \Omega_k \) corresponds to the cross section in the \((x,z)\) plane. The currents \( Q_k \) flow through them generating a magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} \) in the winding window. The very specific geometry of the planar transformer enables the following hypothesis:

i) The core permeability is very high. The magnetic field strength inside the core is negligible compared to the leakage magnetic field in the winding window.

ii) The air gap is small enough or far enough from the conductor layers. The fringing effect is neglected.

iii) The conductor width is much greater than its thickness or than the one of the insulation layers. Thus, end effect is neglected and the magnetic field is assumed parallel to the conductor layers. Additionally, an invariance along the \( z \)-axis is assumed in the conductor layers.

iv) The model is 2D. An invariance along the \( y \)-axis is assumed. The effect of coil heads is neglected.

v) The conductor layers, the insulation layers and the magnetic material are considered linear, homogeneous and isotropic. Core losses are neglected since only short-circuit tests are considered in this paper.

vi) The problem is studied in a harmonic way and in steady state.

vii) The problem is Magneto-Quasi-Static (MQS) [24].

B. Magnetic field distribution in the winding window

1) In the insulation layers: Considering hypothesis i), Ampère theorem applied to the closed paths \( \Gamma_k, k \in [1, N+1] \), defined on Fig. 2, shows that the magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} \) generates a flow through them generating a current in the \( \Omega_k \) domain.
is uniform in each insulation layers. For each insulation layer $k$, the magnitude of this field is denoted $H_k$. The equations resulting from Ampère’s theorem highlight the link between $H_k$ and $\dot{Q}_k$ and can be written as follows:

$$H_1 = 0,$$

$$\forall k \in [2, N + 1], \quad h_e H_k = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \dot{Q}_i,$$  

(2)

where $h_e$ is the conductor width.

2) In the conductor layers: Considering hypothesis v) and vii),

$$\nabla \times E = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t},$$  

(3)

$$\nabla \times H = j$$  

(4)

$$j = \sigma E,$$  

(5)

where $\sigma$ the conductor conductivity. Based on hypothesis iii), the magnetic field $H$ only has a $z$-component. Using Equation (3) it implies that the electric field strength $E$ only has a $y$-component. The invariance hypothesis along the $y$- and $z$-axis iv) and iii) implies that both fields $E$ and $H$ are only function of $x$. Thus, Equations (3)-(5) become in the $k^{th}$ conductor layer:

$$\frac{dE_{yk}}{dx_k} = -j\omega \mu_0 H_{zk},$$  

(6)

$$\frac{dH_{zk}}{dx_k} = \sigma E_{yk},$$  

(7)

giving the Helmoltz differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2 H_{zk}}{dx_k^2} = j\omega \mu_0 \sigma H_{zk}.$$

(8)

Its general solution is

$$H_{zk}(x_k) = H_{1k}e^{\alpha x_k} + H_{2k}e^{-\alpha x_k},$$  

(9)

where $\alpha$ is the complex wave number,

$$\alpha = \sqrt{j\omega \mu_0 \sigma} = \frac{1+j}{\delta}$$  

(10)

and $\delta$ the skin depth,

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\mu_0 \sigma \omega}}.$$  

(11)

$H_{1k}$ and $H_{2k}$ are integration constants that can be evaluated from the boundary conditions (see inset in Fig. 2):

$$H_{zk}(x_k = 0) = H_k,$$  

(12)

$$H_{zk}(x_k = e_c) = H_{k+1},$$  

(13)

where $e_c$ is the conductor thickness. It derives:

$$H_{1k} = \frac{H_{k+1} - H_k e^{-\alpha e_c}}{e^{\alpha e_c} - e^{-\alpha e_c}},$$  

(14)

$$H_{2k} = \frac{H_k e^{\alpha e_c} - H_{k+1}}{e^{\alpha e_c} - e^{-\alpha e_c}}.$$  

(15)

Finally, in the $k^{th}$ conductor, the magnetic field distribution is expressed as follows:

$$H_{zk}(x_k) = \frac{H_{k+1} - H_k e^{-\alpha e_c}}{e^{\alpha e_c} - e^{-\alpha e_c}} e^{\alpha x_k} + \frac{H_k e^{\alpha e_c} - H_{k+1} e^{-\alpha x_c}}{e^{\alpha e_c} - e^{-\alpha e_c}} e^{-\alpha x_k}.$$  

(16)

![Fig. 3: Definition of the Ampère closed path used to calculate the magnetising energy in the transformer core.](image)

C. Joule losses, leakage energy and magnetizing energy

Combining the magnetic field in the conductors (16) and equations (1) and (2), the total Joule losses $P_J$ and the total leakage magnetic energy $E_{lk}$ (stored in the winding window) are calculated as functions of the currents vector $\dot{Q} = [\dot{Q}_1, ..., \dot{Q}_N]^T$. These relations are published in the scientific literature [21], [22]. They are detailed and rewritten in matrix form in the appendix. This leads to the following equations:

$$P_J = \dot{Q}^T R \dot{Q},$$  

(17)

$$E_{lk} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^T L_{lk} \dot{Q},$$  

(18)

where $R$ and $L_{lk}$ are $N \times N$ resistance and leakage inductance matrix, respectively, and $\dagger$ is the transpose conjugate operator.

The magnetizing energy, which is stored in the magnetic core and the air gap, represents the magnetic coupling between the windings. The application of the Ampere theorem on the closed path $\zeta$ defined on Fig. 3 gives the magnetic induction amplitude $B_c$ along the path:

$$B_c = \left( \frac{\mu_0}{\mu_r + e_0} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \dot{Q}_k.$$  

(19)

with $d_m$ the mean length of a magnetic field line, $e_0$ the air gap thickness and $\mu_r$ the relative permeability of the core. The magnetizing energy can then be calculated by integration of the magnetic energy density in the core and the air gap:

$$E_m = \frac{\mu_0 S_f}{2} \left( \frac{d_m}{\mu_r + e_0} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \dot{Q}_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^T L_{m} \dot{Q},$$  

(20)

with $S_f$ the effective flux area of the core and $L_{m}$ the magnetizing inductance matrix:

$$L_{m} = \left( \frac{\mu_0 S_f}{d_m} \right) \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \cdots 1 \\ \vdots \cdots \vdots \\ 1 \cdots 1 \end{array} \right]_{N \times N}.$$  

(21)
D. AC resistance and leakage inductance

AC resistance \( R_{AC} \) and AC leakage inductance \( L_{AC} \) are defined from \( P_f \) and \( E_{lk} \), respectively. Consequently, they both depend (through the variable \( \dot{q} \)) on the current distribution in the parallel connected windings. Considering that a current \( I_0 \) flows through one of the windings, \( R_{AC} \) and \( L_{AC} \) (referred to that winding) are expressed as follows:

\[
R_{AC} = \frac{P_f}{I_0} = \frac{\dot{Q}^T R \dot{Q}}{I_0^T}, \tag{22}
\]

and,

\[
L_{AC} = 2\frac{E_{lk}}{I_0} = \frac{\dot{Q}^T L_{lk} \dot{Q}}{I_0^T}. \tag{23}
\]

Thus, to estimate the \( R_{AC} \) and \( L_{AC} \) values, the unknown vector \( \dot{Q} \) must be identified.

E. An energetic resolution method

In 2003, Wei Chen proposed the only method allowing to explicitly and analytically express the system of equations verified by the currents flowing through the parallel connected windings [19]. The system of linear equations in \( \dot{Q} \) is constructed by solving the Faraday theorem locally on \( N \) well-chosen contours. This implies in particular to integrate the magnetic flux density on the surfaces associated to these contours. This represents a significant additional physical modeling effort, useless to address the current objectives, i.e. \( R_{ac} \) and \( L_{ac} \) assessment.

The present paper suggests a more global approach based on an energy resolution method. It enables to construct the linear system on \( Q \) only using the losses and stored energies in the transformer, i.e. \( P_f, E_{lk} \) and \( E_{\mu} \) (Equations (17), (18) and (20)). For this purpose, the Lagrangian equations of the systems dynamics, detailed in the section III, enable to do without the complex resolution of the Maxwell-Faraday law proposed by Wei Chen. Conversely the suggested energy approach permits to fully exploit the \( R_{AC} \) and \( L_{AC} \) expressions, which are well-established and, by definition, mandatory.

III. Procedure for applying the Euler-Lagrange dynamics equations to the transformer

The Euler-Lagrange equations are fundamental laws of system dynamics. They apply to any type of physical system: mechanical, electrical or electromechanical. The Euler-Lagrange equations for electrical systems are well established in the literature [25], [26]. In this paper, they are only applied to the "planar transformer" electrical system to obtain its dynamic equations and thus the current distribution in the parallel windings. For more details on the physical meaning and the demonstration of the Euler-Lagrange equations from the principle of least action, the reader can consult the following references [26], [27], [28], [29].

The general form of Euler-Lagrange equations for electrical systems is [25]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{Q}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Q} & = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{Q}} \mathcal{U} + \lambda \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{U} \\
\mathcal{A}^T \dot{Q} & = \mathcal{I},
\end{align*}
\tag{24}
\]

where:

- \( Q^T = [Q_1 \ldots Q_N] \) represents the \( N \) coordinates of the system state. In the case of an electromagnetic system, \( Q \) corresponds to the electrical charge and its time derivative \( \dot{Q} \) is the electric current.
- \( \mathcal{L}(Q, \dot{Q}) \), the system lagrangian is a scalar function defined as the difference between the kinetic energy of the system \( T(Q, \dot{Q}) \) (magnetic energy) and its potential energy \( V(Q) \) (electric energy):

\[
\mathcal{L}(Q, \dot{Q}) = T(Q, \dot{Q}) - V(Q). \tag{25}
\]

Physical details on the link between kinetic and magnetic energy, and potential and electric energy are given in [26].

- \( \mathcal{D}(Q) \) is the Rayleigh dissipation function. It is defined as half of the power dissipated by friction, which, in this case, corresponds here to the Joule losses.
- The generalized force vector \( \mathcal{U}^T = [U_1, \ldots, U_N] \) includes the non-conservative forces (i.e. voltage sources) apart from the friction forces (i.e. Joule effect).
- The matrices \( \mathcal{A}^T \) and \( \mathcal{I} \) represent the \( m \) internal constraints on the coordinates such as series connection of turns and currents sources (given in \( \mathcal{I} \)). Their developed form is given below:

\[
\sum_k a_{lk} \dot{Q}_k + I_l = 0, \quad l \in [1, m], \tag{26}
\]

with,

\[
\mathcal{A}^T = (a_{lk}) \quad \text{size} \quad m \times N \\
\mathcal{I} = (I_l) \quad \text{size} \quad m \times 1. \tag{27}
\]

- \( \lambda \) is a vector composed of \( m \) additional unknowns. It enables to obtain a linear system with \( N+m \) unknowns and \( N+m \) equations according to the mathematical method of Lagrange multiplier. In the case of transformers, \( \lambda \) coordinates physically correspond to the unknown internal voltages (voltages at the terminals of the series-connected turns) that guarantee the constraints imposed to the system (same current in these specific turns). More details on Lagrange multiplier are given in [30], [31].

In this article, this energy formulation is only used as a mathematical tool to build the linear system on \( \dot{Q} \). Its application on the concrete example of a transformer shows how systematic and straightforward this method can be. The procedure is detailed below.

A. Calculate the Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \)

In this study, the electric energy, which is related to the capacitive coupling between winding turns, is negligible at the operating frequencies of the transformer. And this model does not attempt to account for capacitive effects at higher frequencies. The potential energy function is then zero, \( V(Q) = 0 \), and the Lagrangian scalar function is limited to the sum of the kinetic energies \( E_{lk} \) and \( E_{\mu} \):

\[
\mathcal{L}(Q, \dot{Q}) = T(Q, \dot{Q}) = \frac{1}{2} Q^T (I_{\mu} + L_{lk}) \dot{Q}. \tag{28}
\]
B. Calculate the dissipation function $\mathcal{D}$

The dissipation function is defined as half of the power dissipated by friction, i.e. the Joule losses:

$$\mathcal{D}(\dot{Q}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^T R \dot{Q}.$$  \hfill (29)

C. Define the voltage sources in $\mathcal{U}$

One or more winding turns may be connected to a voltage source. If so, the complex amplitude of this voltage source is specified in a force vector $\mathcal{U}$, size $N$, in the corresponding line(s).

D. Build the constraint matrix $A^T$ and $\mathcal{I}$

The $A^T$ matrix represents the $m$ equations constraining the dynamic of the state variables. In the transformer case, these equations only reflects the electrical connections between the series connected winding turns. Indeed, the currents in the turns connected in parallel are not constrained by definition. Noting $S$ a winding with series connected turns, the following constraint equations must be considered:

$$\forall \{i, j\} \in S^2, \quad \dot{Q}_i = \dot{Q}_j$$  \hfill (30)

Any current sources are represented in the $\mathcal{I}$ vector. A concrete example is detailed in section IV.

E. Derive $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ to get the linear system on $\dot{Q}$

The equation system on $\dot{Q}$ derives from the Euler-Lagrange equations (24). First, the scalar functions $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are derived using the matrix derivation rules and considering that $L_{mm}, L_{lk}$ and $R$ are symmetric matrix:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{Q}} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^T (L_{mm} + L_{lk}) \dot{Q} = (L_{mm} + L_{lk}) \dot{Q},$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{Q}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial \dot{Q}} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^T R \dot{Q} = R \dot{Q}.$$  \hfill (33)

Thus, the Euler-Lagrange equations (24) applied to the planar transformer in harmonic regime are reduced to a linear system of $N + m$ equations and $N + m$ unknowns:

$$\begin{align*}
(R + j\omega (L_{mm} + L_{lk})) \dot{Q} &= \Lambda \lambda + \mathcal{U} \\
A^T \dot{Q} &= \mathcal{I},
\end{align*}$$  \hfill (34)

Where the unknown vector $X_{(N+m) \times 1}$ is defined as,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{Q} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hfill (35)

Finally the linear system is expressed in matrix form (36):

$$\begin{bmatrix} (R + j\omega (L_{mm} + L_{lk})) & -A \\ A^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}. $$  \hfill (36)

IV. Case Study and Validation

A. Case-study description

Fig.1 shows the planar transformer that has been manufactured. It is composed of an E-I magnetic core and a ten-layer PCB. The physical and geometrical parameters are presented in Table I. In the ten-layer PCB, the five first layers $A$ are series connected to form a five-turn primary winding and the last five layers $B$ are parallel connected to form a one-turn secondary winding. This winding configuration is called non-interleaved and is noted as follows:


All the 5 secondary layers $B$ are connected in parallel, meaning that the secondary current flow is not constrained in these layers. Conversely, the primary winding is connected to a voltage source $U_0$ and all its winding turns $A$ are connected in series, meaning that the primary current flow is constrained by the equations (30). From these considerations can be deduced the linear system of constraints (37) and the voltage source vector, i.e. the matrix $A$, $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{U}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^T \\ \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{Q} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$  \hfill (37)

and,

$$\mathcal{U} = [U_0 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 0]^T.$$  \hfill (38)

B. Results and validation

A short-circuit test on secondary winding is considered to validate the model. Fig. 4a and 4b show the results of AC resistance and leakage inductance measurements, both referred to the primary side. They were carried out with an impedance analyzer Keysight E4990A (Fig. 5), the primary side being connected to the impedance analyzer while the

TABLE I: Transformer parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voltage ratio</td>
<td>$e_r$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor thickness</td>
<td>$h_c$</td>
<td>190 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulator thickness</td>
<td>$e_i$</td>
<td>see below (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor width</td>
<td>$w_c$</td>
<td>19.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil mean turn length</td>
<td>$L_c$</td>
<td>176 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core type</td>
<td></td>
<td>E58/11/38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core material</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ferrite 3C95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core initial permeability</td>
<td>$\mu_r$</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>$e_o$</td>
<td>180 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective flux area</td>
<td>$S_f$</td>
<td>310 mm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) $e_i$ gathers the $N + 1$ insulator thicknesses between winding turns defined in Fig. 2, in millimeter : 5 - 0.31 - 0.22 - 0.33 - 0.22 - 0.33 - 0.22 - 0.33 - 0.22 - 0.31 - 5
secondary side is shorted. The impedance measurement accuracy, extracted from the analyzer datasheet, is represented as well. At low frequencies, i.e. between 1 kHz and 5 kHz, the analyzer accuracy is not specified. Nonetheless, the DC resistance value was confirmed with a digital low resistance ohmmeter (Megger DLRO10HD).

$R_{AC}$ and $L_{AC}$ are also computed using the proposed analytical model and a Finite Element Analysis (FEA) carried out in 2D using FEMM software. For comparison purposes, these computation results are displayed in the same graphs (Fig. 4a and 4b).

C. Analysis and discussions

In addition to its accuracy, the suggested analytical model provides an alternative understanding of the skin and proximity effects, as well as the current distribution in parallel connected winding turns. The following observations are based on Fig. 7a and 7b which respectively depict the Joule loss density in the conductor layers and the magnetic energy density distribution in the winding window at different frequencies, respectively.

First, Fig. 7b reveals the magnetic coupling between the transformer windings since the magnetic field ends up canceling after the tenth turn. This means that the sum of the currents is zero, which is expected in a transformer. The equation (20) indicates that a cancellation of the sum of the
Second, in Fig. 7a, note that at low frequencies, the current density tends to be uniform in all the turns, which minimizes the Joule losses and thus $R_{AC}$. On the contrary, at high frequencies, the current density is highly localized on the turns horizontal edges, in such a way that tends to minimise the magnetic energy in the winding window and thus $L_{AC}$. At intermediate frequencies, the current density distribution seems to be a compromise between minimizing the AC resistance and minimizing the AC leakage inductance.

These observations are consistent with Euler-Lagrange equations of dynamics which are based on the principle of least action. In electromagnetism and more precisely in electronics, this principle states that the current follows the path of least impedance. The linear system (36) mathematically reflects this principle. Its formulation is analogous to minimizing the transformer impedance under linear constraints and using Lagrange multipliers method, a standard minimization method [31]. In the present industrial context where the need for high frequency transformers with high current parallel connected windings is rising, this least impedance principle can help designing the windings and choosing the appropriate configuration. The following general recommendations can be deduced and followed.

D. Design recommendation

To optimize the use of materials and limit the power losses, the current must be as uniform as possible in the copper layers also corresponds to the cancellation of the magnetizing energy. Thus, it appears that the induced currents in the secondary winding tend to cancel and therefore minimize the magnetizing energy.

Second, in Fig. 7a, note that at low frequencies, the current density tends to be uniform in all the turns, which minimizes the Joule losses and thus $R_{AC}$. On the contrary, at high frequencies, the current density is highly localized on the turns horizontal edges, in such a way that tends to minimise the magnetic energy in the winding window and thus $L_{AC}$. At intermediate frequencies, the current density distribution seems to be a compromise between minimizing the AC resistance and minimizing the AC leakage inductance.

These observations are consistent with Euler-Lagrange equations of dynamics which are based on the principle of least action. In electromagnetism and more precisely in electronics, this principle states that the current follows the path of least impedance. The linear system (36) mathematically reflects this principle. Its formulation is analogous to minimizing the transformer impedance under linear constraints and using Lagrange multipliers method, a standard minimization method [31]. In the present industrial context where the need for high frequency transformers with high current parallel connected windings is rising, this least impedance principle can help designing the windings and choosing the appropriate configuration. The following general recommendations can be deduced and followed.

D. Design recommendation

To optimize the use of materials and limit the power losses, the current must be as uniform as possible in the copper
layers. Two levels for improvement can be identified. First, the copper layers thickness $e$ must be chosen in accordance with the skin depth $\delta$. A relative skin depth $\Delta = e/\delta$ of 1 to 1.5 is a standard choice [32]. Second, the AC leakage inductance should be kept small so that $R_{AC} \sim j\omega L_{AC}$ at the operating frequency. Thus, the current density distribution is governed by the minimization of Joule losses and remains uniform.

The main lever to reduce the leakage inductance is to interleave the windings, which is well known in the literature [23]. Figure 8 shows the leakage magnetic energy density in the winding window for the four winding configurations considered in this paper. These curves are plotted for a frequency of 300 kHz, giving a relative skin depth of 1.6. It is clear that the more interleaved the windings are, the lower the magnetic energy density in the insulation layers, and thus the lower the leakage inductance. Table II lists the corresponding inductance values and the normalized AC resistance. For this transformer, which geometry is defined in Table I, the fully interleaved configuration generates 10 times less losses than the non-interleaved, a huge gain.

However, there is a trade-off between the leakage inductance and the parasitic capacitance of the windings, especially for planar technology. Reducing the leakage inductance usually means increasing the parasitic capacitance, and the latter can seriously affect the magnetic performance of the component [3], [9]. Also, in some specific cases, the inductance function is integrated in the transformer. A precise value of the leakage inductance is then desired. For these reasons, the fully interleaved configuration is not always the solution. It is necessary to find the configuration that fits the specifications, the one that minimizes the Joule losses while respecting all the constraints. It is in this optimization process that the presented analytical model shows its value.

![Image of magnetic leakage energy density distribution for different winding configurations at 300 kHz.](image)

**Fig. 8:** Magnetic leakage energy density distribution for different winding configurations at 300 kHz. It is normalised in comparison with the energy density generated by one winding turn crossed by a current $I_0$. The 10 copper layers regions are coloured in pale orange, the insulation layers regions are not coloured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>$R_{AC}/R_{DC}$</th>
<th>$L_f$ (nH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully interleaved</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially interleaved 1</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially interleaved 2</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-interleaved</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. User’s guide to the method

Below are the steps to follow to numerically solve the linear system (36) and estimate the AC resistance and leakage inductance of a planar transformer using the analytical equations presented in this paper. These steps can be integrated into an automatic tool.

- Choose any numerical calculation platform.
- Define the geometrical parameters of the transformer (length, width, thickness of conductor layers ...), the material properties (permittivity, conductivity) and the test frequency.
- Code the matrices $R$, $L_{tk}$, and $L_{\mu}$ using the formulas given in Equations (48), (55) and (21) to get their numerical value. Note that $P$, $A_J$, $B_J$, $\Delta$, $A_{tk}$, $B_{tk}$ are given by Equations (39),(42), (43), (44), (51) and (52).
- Define your winding configuration, identify the position of the series-connected turns and construct the constraint matrix $A$ and the voltage source vector $U$ following the approach described in the IV-A section.
- From these matrices, construct and solve numerically the linear system (36). At this stage, the current flowing through all the turns is known.
- Deduce the value of the AC resistance and AC inductance using the equations (22) and (23). Note that the current $I_0$ is the current flowing through the primary winding.
- Finally, check that the effects of the parasitic capacitance are negligible: the resonance frequency of this capacitance with the leakage inductance must be much higher than the frequency at which $R_{AC}$ and $L_{AC}$ have been calculated. This parasitic capacitance can for example be estimated using the analytical model proposed by Ouyang [9].

Note that this model is quite suitable for multi-winding transformers. The procedure to follow is similar to the two-winding case. It consists in defining the series connected turns in the constraint matrix $A$. Then, one of the windings is loaded by a voltage source (to be entered in the vector $U$). The others are either open-circuited (zero current constraint to be added in $A$), or short-circuited (no constraint to be added).

For example, the case study presented in this article deals with a transformer with a secondary winding comprising 5 turns connected in parallel and short-circuited. It could be equally considered as 5 windings made of one short-circuited
turn. These two views are equivalent and are processed in the same way.

V. Conclusion

In high turns ratio transformers, high currents flow into the low-voltage winding. Connecting several identical turns in parallel is a standard solution to share the current and reduce the Joule losses. Unfortunately, in AC, the currents in these parallel turns are not necessarily well balanced. This phenomenon is particularly marked at high frequencies, such as those currently used in isolated DC/DC power supplies (100 kHz to 1 MHz). The challenge is to provide the engineer with an efficient analytical tool, allowing him to quickly access a near-optimal design. This solution can then be refined with a 3D simulation tool and the manufacture of a prototype.

Based on an energy approach, an innovative and original tool is proposed. It enables the calculation of the currents distribution in the parallel connected turns of a planar transformer as well as the two macroscopic parameters which are the AC resistance $R_{AC}$ and the leakage inductance $L_{AC}$, at different working frequencies. These two key parameters determine the performance of the transformer in its environment and enables to estimate whether or not the current choice of geometrical parameters is optimal or not. Furthermore, note that this new technique gives an analytical answer based only on the knowledge of the magnetic properties and the geometrical dimensions of the core material, as well as the geometrical design of the different turns in the winding window.

This approach can be implemented in an automatic tool and therefore enables quick answers with any type of transformer and on any numerical calculation platform. The related very short calculation time offers the ability to test many different parameters or configurations and choose the best design and sizing. Moreover, since the method is based on general physical principles, it provides an explicit and clear reading of the results found. Understanding the trade-off between Joule losses and magnetic leakage energy stored in the winding window guides the transformer designer in his iterations, allowing him to quickly reach an optimal solution integrating the other design constraints.

Appendix

Energy calculations

A. Transfer matrix between magnetic field and current

In the literature, many articles give the analytical expression of the Joule losses and/or of the total leakage energy stored in the winding window [20], [21], [22], [23]. They are calculated considering the same assumptions as those presented in the present paper, and have been validated by FEA simulations and/or experimentally [17], [19], [22], [23]. Most of the time, they are first calculated as a function of the magnetic field amplitude $H_k$ in the insulation layers which is mathematically easier. Next, a variable change is performed to get the expressions of the power losses and the leakage energy as a function of the current flowing in the winding turns.

Obviously, this paper follows a similar approach. A transfer matrix $P$ enables to define the relationship between vectors $H = [H_1, \ldots, H_{N+1}]^T$ and $\dot{Q}$. This matrix corresponds to equations (1) and (2):

$$H = P \dot{Q}, \text{ where } P = -\frac{1}{h_c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 1 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \ldots & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(N+1) \times (N+1)}$$

(B) Joule losses $P_J$

The Joule losses $P_J$ in the $k$th copper layer is,

$$P_k = L_c \int_{\Omega_k} \sigma |E_j|^2 dx_k dz_k = \frac{L_c h_c}{\sigma} \int_0^\infty |\frac{dH_x}{dx}|^2 dx_k,$$

(40)

The total Joule losses $P_J$ can be calculated by inserting Equation (9) in Equation (40), then solving the integral and summing the contribution of all copper layers,

$$P_J = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{L_c h_c}{\sigma \delta^2} \left[ A_J(\Delta) (|H_k|^2 + |H_{k+1}|^2) \right.$$  

$$- B_J(\Delta) \Re(H_k^* H_{k+1}) \right],$$

(41)

where,

$$A_J(\Delta) = \frac{\sinh(2\Delta) + \sin(2\Delta)}{\cosh(2\Delta) - \cos(2\Delta)},$$

(42)

$$B_J(\Delta) = 4 \frac{\cos(\Delta) \sinh(\Delta) + \cosh(\Delta) \sin(\Delta)}{\cosh(2\Delta) - \cos(2\Delta)}$$

(43)

$$\Delta = \frac{e}{\delta}.$$  

(44)

Noticing that,

$$|H_k|^2 = H_k H_k^*,$$

(45)

that,

$$\Re(H_k^* H_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( H_k^* H_{k+1} + H_k H_{k+1}^* \right),$$

(46)

and using the transfer matrix $P$ given in Equation (39), $P_J$ can be expressed in a matrix form:

$$P_J = \dot{Q}^T R \dot{Q},$$

(47)

where $\dagger$ is the transpose conjugate operator and $R$ is an $N \times N$ matrix which has the following form:

$$R = \frac{L_c h_c}{\sigma \delta^2} \begin{pmatrix} A_J & -\frac{B_J}{2} & \ldots & -\frac{B_J}{2} \\ -\frac{B_J}{2} & 2A_J & \ldots & \ldots \\ \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\ -\frac{B_J}{2} & \ldots & -\frac{B_J}{2} & A_J \end{pmatrix}_{(N+1) \times (N+1)}$$

(48)
C. Leakage magnetic energy $E_{lk}$

1) In the copper layers: The leakage magnetic energy $E_{lk,c,k}$ in the $k^{th}$ conductor layer is,

$$E_{lk,c,k} = L_c \int_{\Omega_k} \frac{\mu_0 |H_k|^2}{2} d\Omega_k = \frac{\mu_0 L_c h_f}{2} \int_{0}^{L_k} |H_k(x)|^2 dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

The total leakage magnetic energy $E_{lk,c}$ in the conductor layers can be calculated by solving the integral and summing the contribution of all copper layers:

$$E_{lk,c} = \frac{L_ch_c}{2\pi\delta\omega} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[ A_{lk}(\Delta) \left(|H_k|^2 + |H_{k+1}|^2\right) - B_{lk}(\Delta) H_k^* H_{k+1} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

where,

$$A_{lk}(\Delta) = \frac{\sinh (2\Delta) - \sin (2\Delta)}{\cosh (2\Delta) - \cos (2\Delta)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

$$B_{lk}(\Delta) = \frac{4\cos (\Delta) \sinh (\Delta) - \cos (\Delta) \sin (\Delta)}{\cosh (2\Delta) - \cos (2\Delta)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

2) In the insulation layers: The magnetic field being uniform inside the isolation layers, the magnetic energy $E_{lk,iso}$ inside the insulation layers is more easily expressed:

$$E_{lk,iso} = \frac{\mu_0 L_c h_c \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_i}{2} |H_k|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

3) The total magnetic leakage energy: The total magnetic leakage energy can be expressed as a matrix product:

$$E_{lk} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q}^\mathbf{T} \mathbf{L}_{lk} \mathbf{Q},$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)

where $L_{lk} = \mathbf{P}^\mathbf{T} \mathbf{M}$ is a $N \times N$ matrix, and $\mathbf{M}$ is an $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{L_ch_c}{\sigma\delta\omega} \begin{pmatrix} A_{lk} & -\frac{B_{lk}}{2} & \cdots & -\frac{B_{lk}}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{B_{lk}}{2} & 2A_{lk} & \cdots & -\frac{B_{lk}}{2} & -B_{lk} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{B_{lk}}{2} & -\frac{B_{lk}}{2} & \cdots & 2A_{lk} & -B_{lk} \\ 0 & \vdots & \cdots & 0 & A_{lk} \\ \varepsilon_i 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \varepsilon_i 2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_i N+1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)
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