

# Linear Controllers: PID controller design Olivier Béthoux

# ▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Béthoux. Linear Controllers: PID controller design. Reference Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering, Elsevier, 2022, 10.1016/b978-0-12-821204-2.00102-1. hal-03835162

# HAL Id: hal-03835162 https://hal.science/hal-03835162

Submitted on 31 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ScienceDirect Material Science and Materials Engineering

Elsevier Reference Collection

**Publish Manuscript** 

PID controller design

## O. Béthoux

DOI:	10.1016/B978-0-12-821204-2.00102-1.
Reference:	ELEVIER 2022, 13(13), 3510
Publisher:	Elsevier
To appear in:	Encyclopedia
	Materials Science and Materials Engineering
Received date:	7 July 2021
Revised date:	8 June 2022
Accepted date:	1 August 2022
Date of Publication:	9 August 2022 (online)

Please cite this article as: Olivier Bethoux, PID controller design, Reference Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering, Elsevier, 2022, ISBN 9780128035818, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821204-2.00102-1.

Document Version: Early version, also known as pre-print

1	Linear Controllers: PID controller design
2	Olivier BETHOUX <sup>(1)</sup> ,
3	(1) Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Génie Electrique et Electronique de Paris,
4	75252, Paris, France
5	Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, CNRS, Laboratoire de Génie Electrique et
6	Electronique de Paris, 91192, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
7	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Olivier BETHOUX, Full Professor, Sorbonne Université,
8	France,
9	Affiliation: GeePs   Group of electrical engineering – Paris
10	Email: <u>olivier.bethoux@centralesupelec.fr</u>
11	<b>Tel:</b> +33(0)169851656
12	<b>Fax:</b> + 33(0)169418318
13	
14	Abstract
15	In this paper, the issue of designing and tuning a standard three-term PID controller is addressed.
16	To achieve this goal, both the basics of linear controllers and the key principles of the PID
17	controller are described. Subsequently, two popular design approaches are studied and then
18	illustrated in a specific use case. The paper ends with a global conclusion including guidelines on
19	extra material to address implementation issues.
20	
21	Keywords
22	Feedback control, time-domain specifications, linear controller, stability margins, PID control,
23	zero-pole compensation, frequency-response design, performances robustness.

2

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

3 Many plants require automatic control in order to enforce specific system variables to meet the 4 related reference values in real time. To achieve this goal, engineers need to design feedback 5 controls of dynamic systems. It contributes to stabilize an intrinsically unstable system and enables 6 an effective reference tracking by rejecting, at any time, system and environment uncertainties [1]. 7 Energetic Macroscopic Representation, which is a graphic formalism developed for multi physics 8 system [2], is one very efficient tool to define a relevant control structure to any plant. Based on 9 the plant model, it permits first to define the maximal control structure of the studied system and 10 then, considering the sensors constraints, deduce the practical control structure [EEEPE 40011] [3, 11 4].

Designing each identified control loops comes into the realm of control systems design. This scientific field offers numerous feedback control laws. One of these solutions is the Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller which benefits from a high cost/performance ratio [1]. As this classic three-term controller proves to be used in most industrial applications, it is crucial for electrical engineers to master its basic principles.

17 The present article aims at providing the basics of PID control so that the reader could successfully 18 tune the 3-term controller parameters in any specific use case. The suggested tuning methods are 19 explained by way of a practical example.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains the frequency loop-shaping requirements that the selected analog controller has to meet so as to ensure high stability margin, high damping ratio (close to 1), low tracking errors and small sensitivity regarding noises and system model uncertainties. It then gives the PID controller structure and outlines the main role of each terms. Section 3 briefly describes the studied case and defines the related control design specifications. Section 4 addresses the control tuning using the dominant poles cancellation method. Section 5 presents an alternative approach based on the frequency-response design method. Finally, section 6 draws conclusions and perspectives of the suggested approaches, mentioning practical implementation issues such as sensitivity assessment, computer aided design, integrative antiwindup strategy, feedforward terms and digital control law enabling using microcontrollers.

- 6
- 7

### 2. CONTROLLER DESIGN OUTLINES AND PID CONTROL BASICS

8 As shown in figure 1, an elementary feedback control is composed of 3 blocks:

9 1. A plant (also called system), consisting of an actuator, a process and a sensor.

10 2. A comparator computing the error  $\varepsilon(t)$  between the reference signal  $y_{ref}(t)$  and the 11 controlled one y(t).

12 3. A controller aiming at providing in real time the control variable u(t) so that the measured 13 variable y(t) accurately follows its reference value  $y_{ref}(t)$ . Note that the variable being 14 tracked is the sensor output and not the physical quantity at stake. In some cases, such as 15 temperature or pressure controls, the slow dynamics of the sensor requires the use of a 16 larger phase margin specification to prevent the real variable to face unexpected transient 17 overshoot.

To analytically define the controller structure and adjust its settings, the designer needs a dynamic model of the plant. In the case where the plant mathematical description is a linear differential equation, Laplace transform is used and both the studied system and the controller are described by transfer functions:  $H_P(s)$  and C(s), respectively.



8 C(s) modifies the global open-loop transfer function  $H_{OL}(s) = C(s)H_P(s)$  such a way that the 9 frequency response  $\underline{H_{OL}}(j\omega)$  complies with the frequency templates depicted in figure 2. Indeed, 10 the closed-loop transfer function  $H_{CL}(s)$  is given by:

$$H_{CL}(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{Y_{ref}(s)} = \frac{H_{0L}(s)}{1 + H_{0L}(s)}$$
(1)

11 Hence, it derives that:

$$|H_{OL}(j\omega)| \gg 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{CL}(j\omega) \cong \frac{H_{OL}(j\omega)}{H_{OL}(j\omega)} = 1$$

$$|H_{OL}(j\omega)| \ll 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{CL}(j\omega) \cong \frac{H_{OL}(j\omega)}{1} = H_{OL}(j\omega)$$
(2)

Consequently, to comply with the feedback specification, the key ideas of the loop-shaping are:
1. At Low Frequency (LF), a high open-loop gain |*H*<sub>0L</sub>(*j*ω)|, above LF boundary, implies a
closed-loop gain value close to unity and hence a high steady state accuracy and an efficient
tracking of LF reference signal even considering model uncertainties.

1 2. At medium frequency (MF), i.e. in the transition band  $[\omega_X/3, 3\omega_X]$  around the cross-2 frequency  $\omega_X$  where  $|H_{OL}(j\omega_X)| = 1$ , it is crucial that the MF slope is as close to 3 -20 dB/decade as possible to ensure large stability margins.

- In practice, a phase margin value more than 60° combined with a gain margin value
   above a 10 to 15 dB threshold usually enables to limit step overshoot below 10% and
   ensure attractive robustness with respect to plant parameter uncertainties.
- Moreover, based on a pure integrator behavior (ω<sub>0</sub>/s), a rough estimate of the 5%
   settling time t<sub>ST,5%</sub> versus the cross-frequency is provided by:

 $t_{ST,5\%} \cong \frac{3}{\omega_X} \tag{3}$ 

9

3. At High Frequency (HF), a low open-loop gain |*H<sub>OL</sub>(jω)*|, below HF boundary, implies a
 low closed-loop gain value and hence a proper filtering of sensor noise as well as a suitable
 mitigation of the unmodelled fast dynamics of the plant.

13 Next sub-section shows that the PID controller is a simple and usually efficient option to address14 these 3 feedback control challenges.



5 The PID controller is defined by the time-domain equation:

$$u(t) = K_P\left(\varepsilon(t) + \frac{1}{T_I} \int_0^t \varepsilon(\tau) d\tau + T_D \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt}(t)\right)$$
(4)

6 which, in Laplace transform, is:

$$C_{PID}(s) = \frac{U(s)}{\varepsilon(s)} = K_P \left( 1 + \frac{1}{T_I s} + T_D s \right) = K_P \left( \frac{1 + T_I s + T_I T_D s^2}{T_I s} \right)$$
(5)

7 The PID transfer function combines 3 independent additional terms:

8 1. The proportional term  $(K_P)$  which acts at any frequency and hence permits to adjust the 9 cross frequency  $\omega_X$  and consequently the settling time  $t_{ST,5\%}$ ,

10 2. The integral term  $(K_P/T_I s)$  which mainly acts at low frequency  $(\omega < 1/T_I)$  providing a 11 high gain and hence a high LF accuracy combined with an attractive rejection of model 12 uncertainties. This term also introduces a possibly destabilizing 90° phase delay. 1 3. The derivative term  $(K_P T_D s)$  which mainly acts at high frequency  $\omega > 1/T_D$  providing a 2 stabilizing 90° phase lead which enables to adjust a cross frequency combined with large 3 phase and gain margins.

Note that the derivative term is a non-causal element and most importantly provides high
gain at HF which may amplify sensor noise. Therefore, the derivative term may be filtered
in the high frequency domain as shown by the following adapted transfer function:

7

$$C_{PID,f}(s) = \frac{U(s)}{\varepsilon(s)} = K_P \left( 1 + \frac{1}{T_I s} + \frac{T_D s}{1 + (T_D / R) s} \right)$$
(6)

8 where *R* is usually selected in the [5; 20] range. Nevertheless, in discrete implementation,
9 the mandatory approximation of the derivative term already provides the required noise
10 filtering effect.

Finally, in simple cases where stability margins are intrinsically ensured, the use of the D term would be useless. It leads to  $(T_D = 0 s)$  and the PID controller simplifies into a PI controller. In [EEEPE 40005], Bao-Huy Nguyen illustrates this aspect through the implementation of a current regulation in a power converter.

Based on a unity proportional gain, Figure 3 illustrates the PID frequency response in the standard case where the transfer function has two repeated real zeros ( $T_I = 4T_D$ ). The figure also shows the response both in the case where  $T_I < 4T_D$ , which enables a notch response around the center frequency  $\omega_0 = \sqrt{1/(T_I T_D)}$  and conversely in the case of  $T_I > 4T_D$ , which permits a smooth phase response.



$$H_P(s) = \frac{H_{P,0}}{(1 + 2m_a \tau_a s + \tau_a^2 s^2) \cdot (1 + \tau_b s)}$$
(7)

13 Where the dynamic model settings are given in Tab. 1.

1	rub.r. Dynamie moder settings					
	$H_{P,0}$ $ au_a$ $m_a$ $ au_b$					
	0.70 159.2 ms 0.55 15.9 ms					
2	Tab. 1: dynamic model settings.					
3	3.2. Feedback control specification					
4	The feedback control goal is threefold (Tab 2).					
5	1. First, obtaining a zero steady state error $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ ,					
6	2. Second, achieving a settling time $t_{ST,5\%}$ of the step response on 100 ms time scale,					
7	3. Third, having an overshoot (OS%) in step response lower than 10%.					
8						
9	Tab.2: Feedback control specification					
	$\varepsilon_{\infty}$ $t_{ST,5\%}$ OS%					
	0.0 100.0 ms 10.0 %					
10	Tab. 2: the desired feedback specification.					
11						
12	4. 1ST APPROACH: ZERO-POLE CANCELLATION COMBINED WITH KP TUNING					
13	4.1. 1 <sup>st</sup> Strategy outlines					
14	The first adopted strategy is to simplify the open-loop transfer function. For this purpose, $T_I$ and					
15	$T_D$ are adjusted so that the 2 polynomial roots of the PID transfer function could cancel the plant					
16	dominant poles (i.e. LF poles). Then, the third parameter $K_P$ is tuned to fulfill the feedback					
17	specification as best as possible.					

## 1 4.2. 1<sup>st</sup> strategy implementation

In the present case, the plant function has 3 poles divided into a complex conjugate pair such as
p<sub>1,2</sub> = -1/τ<sub>a</sub> (m<sub>a</sub> ± j√1 - m<sub>a</sub><sup>2</sup>) and a distinct HF real pole, namely p<sub>3</sub> = -1/τ<sub>b</sub>. Hence,
setting T<sub>I</sub> = 2m<sub>a</sub>τ<sub>a</sub> and T<sub>D</sub> = τ<sub>a</sub>/2m<sub>a</sub> permits to achieve the zero-pole cancellation approach.
Therefore, it derives the following open-loop transfer function:

$$H_{OL,1}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{K_P \cdot H_{P,0}}{T_I \mathbf{s} \cdot (1 + \tau_b \mathbf{s})}$$
(8)

6 Where the only tuning parameter is the proportional gain  $K_P$ .

7 Subsequently, the closed-loop transfer function is expressed as a unit static gain second-order8 system.

$$H_{CL,1}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{T_I}{K_P H_{P,0}} s + \frac{T_I \tau_b}{K_P H_{P,0}} s^2}$$
(9)

9 Its natural frequency and damping ratio are both defined using the  $K_P$  gain. Based on second-order 10 characteristics or using a software tool, an adequate value is computed enabling to fulfil the settling 11 time and overshoot requirements.

In sum, Tab 3 shows the settings defined by this first approach while figure 4 illustrates the closedloop step response compared with the plant step one.

14

15

16

Tab.3: PID settings based on the zero-pole cancellation approach



Tab. 3: PID settings based on the zero-pole cancellation approach.



12 5.2. 2 strategy implementation

13 Referring to eq. 3, a rough estimate of the desired cross frequency is:  $\omega_X \cong \frac{3}{t_{ST,5\%}} = 30 \ rad. \ s^{-1}$ .

14 At this specific frequency, the plant phase is only:  $\varphi_P(\omega_X) = -187^\circ$ . With a view to attaining the

stability and overshoot objectives, a phase margin of no less than 60° is required. Also, a 67° phase 1 2 compensation is needed. Additionally, the global gain should be 0 dB at the selected cross frequency. Adopting the standard ratio  $T_I/T_D = 4$  (suggested by Ziegler and Nichols [6] or Åström 3 4 et Hägglund [7] in their heuristic methods) and referring to the PID controller frequency response plotted in Figure 3, lead to adopt the parameter pair  $(K_P, T_D)$  as: (11.2, 0.1). The choice of  $T_I$  = 5  $4T_D = 0.4 s$  enables keeping attractive transient behavior while achieving zero steady state error. 6 7 Tab 4 sums up the settings defined by this second method while figure 5 shows the feedback time 8 response regarding a step reference. Note that the actual settling time is around the desired value 9 but slightly higher than it. This is caused by the approximate relationship between the desired 10 settling time and the tuned cross frequency (Eq. 3). If needed, and based on this first settings, the 11 PID parameters can be adjusted.

12

13

Tab.4: PID settings based on the frequency compensation approach





7 This paper aims at providing the key elements to design and tune the PID controller which remains 8 the most commonly used control technique. Firstly, it explains the crucial concepts enabling to 9 develop this controller in any use case. Second, it describes two of the standard approaches used to 10 tune the 3-term controller. To make the document easier to understand and reuse, each approach is 11 developed using the same specific example.

12 This basic material should facilitate the extensive understanding of PID control, which is a broad 13 scientific and technologic area addressed in numerous scientific books. In addition, on certain 14 matters progress is still being made by research labs over the world. 1 To go further, partial remaining issues are:

- The robustness of the feedback control performance. For instance, in case where the
   effective natural frequency is 20% lower than expected, the first approach is more impacted
   than the second since the optimal zero-pole cancellation is not effective anymore. Figure 6
   illustrates the current step response of each method in this peculiar case.
- Che extensive use of computer-aided control systems design software tools. Once the PID
  control guidelines are fully understood and assimilated, these tools can provide optimized
  settings [EEEPE 40006]. For instance, Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) theory can be
  successfully used to design optimal PID controllers which is an attractive way to address
  the feedback control sensitivity with respect to noise and parameter uncertainties [8,9].
- 3. The practical saturation of the control variable due to actuator limitation. Whenever the control value reaches its threshold, the plant is in open-loop while the integrator keeps integrating, gradually providing a huge value. It results in large overshoots and possibly unstable response. This phenomenon is known as integrator windup. Many strategies, called anti-windup techniques, can mitigate this problem [10].
- 4. When the model characteristics are well known, it is effective to add feedforward terms
  which would limit the action of the PID controller and hence reduce its deviation. For
  instance, the steady state control value deduced both from the plant model and the reference
  value is an appropriate feed-forward term [11].
- 5. Keeping the closed-loop characteristic equation (and hence the same closed-loop poles), alternative PID structures may be introduced to modify the zeros of the closed loop transfer function. Consequently, it impacts the transient response. The key idea is to avoid the initial pulse in the control signal u induced by the step change in the reference variable  $y_{ref}$ .

Indeed, it may induce a larger overshoot than expected using the selected phase margin and damping ratio. Hence, in alternative PID structures, the integrator term still acts on the error variable  $\varepsilon$  while the proportional and derivative terms only acts on the output variable *y*.

6. Discrete PID. Modern PID controller are usually implemented in a digital system such as a
microcontroller or a FPGA device [EEEPE 40029]. Digital control requires some specific
techniques to at least adapt the continuous control law. In case of specific requirements,
defining the correct algebraic equation is an extensive issue based on a deep theory [12,

13].



# with respect to plant parameters uncertainties ( $\tau_a = 191 \ ms \neq 159.2 \ ms$ )

(a) solid line: method 1 and (b) dashed line: method 2.

- 7. REFERENCES
  [1] William Bolton, Instrumentation and Control Systems, 2021 Elsevier Ltd, ISBN: 978-0-12-823471-6.
  [2] A. Bouscayrol, J. Paul Hautier, B. Lemaire-Semail, 2012. "Graphic Formalism for the Control of Energetic Multiphysics Systems". Systemic Design for Electrical Energy Conversion, Volume 1, Management, Analysis and Synthesis, Chapter 3, Hermes Editions, ISBN: 978-2-7462-3192-4, November 2012.
  [EEEPE 40011] A Bouscayrol, Energetic Macroscopic Representation and Inversion-Based Control, Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronic Power Engineering, Chap. 40011.
- A Bouscayrol, B Davat, B de Fornel, B François, J.P Hautier, F Meibody-Tabar, E
  Monmasson, M Pietrzak-David, H Razik, E Semail, F Benkhoris, Control structures for
  multi-machine multi-converter systems with upstream coupling, Mathematics and
  Computers in Simulation, Vol. 63, Issues 3–5, 2003, pp. 261-270, ISSN 0378-4754,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4754(03)00074-0.
- [4] Marie-Cécile Pera, Loïc Boulon, Daniel Hissel, Alain Bouscayrol, Philippe Delarue.
   Maximal and Practical Control Structure of a PEM fuel cell system based on Energetic
   Macroscopic Representation. FDFC'2008 Fundamentals and Developments of Fuel Cells,
   2008, Nancy, France. pp.1-11.
- [EEEPE 40002] Alexandre Miguel Silveira, Properties and Control Stability Analysis of
   Linear and Nonlinear Systems: Applications to Power Converter, Encyclopedia of
   Electrical and Electronic Power Engineering, Chap. 40002.
- [EEEPE 40005] Bao-Huy Nguyen, Practical Linear Controller for Power Electronics and
   Drives, Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronic Power Engineering, Chap. 40005.

1	[5]	Rames C. Panda, Cheng-Ching Yu, and Hsiao-Ping Huang, PID tuning rules for SOPDT
2		systems: Review and some new results, ISA Transactions, 2004, Vol. 43, N° 2, pp. 283-
3		295, ISSN 0019-0578, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-0578(07)60037-8.
4	[6]	J. G. Ziegler, and N. B. Nichols, (1942). Optimum settings for automatic controllers. Trans.
5		ASME, 65, 433–444.
6	[7]	K.J. Åström, T. Hägglund, Revisiting the Ziegler-Nichols step response method for PID
7		control, Journal of Process Control, Vol. 14, N° 6, 2004, pp. 635-650, ISSN 0959-1524,
8		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprocont.2004.01.002.
9	[EEE	<b>PE 40006]</b> Maude Blondin, PID with Computational Optimization, Encyclopedia of
10		Electrical and Electronic Power Engineering, Chap. 40006.
11	[8]	Saptarshi Das, Indranil Pan, Kaushik Halder, Shantanu Das, Amitava Gupta, LQR based
12		improved discrete PID controller design via optimum selection of weighting matrices using
13		fractional order integral performance index, Applied Mathematical Modelling, Volume 37,
14		Issue 6, 2013, Pages 4253-4268, ISSN 0307-904X,
15		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2012.09.022.
16	[9]	K. Tamizi, O. Béthoux, E. Labouré, An easy to implement and robust design control method
17		dedicated to multi-cell converters using inter cell transformers, Mathematics and
18		Computers in Simulation, Volume 167, 2020, pp. 461-477, ISSN 0378-4754,
19		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2019.02.004.
20	[10]	Lucian R. da Silva, Rodolfo C.C. Flesch, Julio E. Normey-Rico, Analysis of Anti-windup
21		Techniques in PID Control of Processes with Measurement Noise, IFAC-2018, Volume 51,
22		Issue 4, 2018, Pages 948-953, ISSN 2405-8963,
23		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.06.100.

1	[11]	Antonio Visioli, Practical PID Control, Springer-Verlag London, 2016, ISBN 978-1-
2		84628-585-1, Chap 5: Use of a feedforward action, pp. 93-144.
3	[EEE	<b>PE 40029]</b> Eric Monmasson, Lahoucine Idkhajine, Meriem Merai, Mohamed Wissem
4		Naouar and Mattia Ricco, FPGA-based Control for Power Electronics applications,
5		Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronic Power Engineering, Chap. 40029.
6	[12]	Gene F. Franklin, J. David Powell, Michael L. Workman, Digital Control of Dynamic
7		Systems, 3rd Edition, Ellis-Kagle Press, 2014, ISBN-13: 978-0-9791226-1-3
8	[13]	Emmanuel Godoy, Eric Ostertag, RST-controller design: a rational teaching method based
9		on two diophantine equations, IFAC Proceedings Volumes, Volume 39, Issue 6, 2006,
10		pp. 541-546, ISSN 1474-6670, ISBN 9783902661074, https://doi.org/10.3182/20060621-
11		3-ES-2905.00093.
12		