

Physiological and psychosocial correlates of cancer-related fatigue

Callum Brownstein, Rosemary Twomey, John Temesi, James Wrightson, Tristan Martin, Mary Medysky, S. Nicole Culos-Reed, Guillaume Millet

▶ To cite this version:

Callum Brownstein, Rosemary Twomey, John Temesi, James Wrightson, Tristan Martin, et al.. Physiological and psychosocial correlates of cancer-related fatigue. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2021, 16, pp.1339-1354. 10.1007/s11764-021-01115-6. hal-03834921

HAL Id: hal-03834921 https://hal.science/hal-03834921

Submitted on 23 May 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Brownstein, Callum G., Twomey, Rosemary, Temesi, John, Wrightson, James G., Martin, Tristan, Medysky, Mary E., Culos-Reed, S. Nicole and Millet, Guillaume Y. (2022) Physiological and psychosocial correlates of cancer related fatigue. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 16 (6). pp. 1339-1354. ISSN 1932-2259

Published by: Springer

URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-021-01115-6 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-021-01115-6 >

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/47240/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





Physiological and psychosocial correlates of cancer-related fatigue

Callum G Brownstein¹, Rosemary Twomey^{2,4,5}, John Temesi^{2,6}, James G Wrightson^{2,7}, Tristan Martin^{2,8}, Mary E. Medysky², S. Nicole Culos-Reed^{2,3}, Guillaume Y Millet^{1,2,9} ¹Univ Lyon, UJM-Saint-Etienne, Inter-university Laboratory of Human Movement Biology, EA 7424, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

²Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

³ Department of Oncology, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary

⁴Ohlson Research Initiative, Arnie Charbonneau Cancer Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, AB, T2N 4Z6, Canada

⁵O'Brien Institute for Public Health, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, AB, T2N 4Z6, Canada

⁶Faculty of Health & Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

⁸Le Mans University, Movement - Interactions, Performance, MIP, EA 4334, France; Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72000 Le Mans, France.

⁹Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)

Running head: Cancer-related fatigue

Word count: 4972

Address for correspondence:

Professor Guillaume Y Millet

Laboratoire Interuniversitaire de Biologie de la Motricité Bâtiment IRMIS 10 rue de la Marandière 42270 Saint Priest en Jarez France +33 652 963 810 Email: guillaume.millet@univ-st-etienne.fr Declarations

Funding

The study was funded by the Canadian Cancer Society (grant #704208-1).

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest

Ethics approval

Approval for all procedures was obtained by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board and the Health Research Ethics Board of Alberta Cancer Committee (REB14-0398 and HREBA.CC-16-10-10, respectively). The study was conducted in accordance with all aspects of the Declaration of Helsinki, apart from registration in a database.

Consent to participant

All participants provided written informed consent to take part in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

Data available upon request

Code availability

Not applicable

Author contributions

G.Y.M conceived and designed the study; R.T, J.T, T.M and M.M performed experiments; C.G.B, R.T, J.T and J.G.W analysed data; C.G.B, G.Y.M, R.T interpreted results of experiment; C.G.B drafted manuscript; R.T, J.T, J.G.W, T.M, M.M, N.C.R and G.Y.M edited and revised manuscript. C.G.B, R.T, J.T, J.G.W, T.M, M.M, N.C.R and G.Y.M approved final version of manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Canadian Cancer Society for funding the study (grant #704208-1). The authors would also like to acknowledge and sincerely thank Dr. Renata Krüger for her assistance with phlebotomy and the careful storage of blood samples, and Doug Doyle-Baker for his assistance with data collection. Finally, the authors would like to thank the participants - without them, this research would not be possible.

1

ABSTRACT

2 Purpose: Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is a common and distressing symptom of cancer that 3 may persist for years following treatment completion. However, little is known about the pathophysiology of CRF. Using a comprehensive group of gold-standard physiological and 4 psychosocial assessments, this study aimed to identify correlates of CRF in a heterogenous 5 6 group of cancer survivors. Methods: Using a cross-sectional design to determine the 7 physiological and psychosocial correlates of CRF, ninety-three cancer survivors (51 fatigued, 42 non-fatigued) completed assessments of performance fatigability (i.e. the decline in muscle 8 9 strength during cycling), cardiopulmonary exercise testing, venous blood samples for whole blood cell count and inflammatory markers and body composition. Participants also completed 10 questionnaires measuring demographic, treatment-related and psychosocial variables. Results: 11 Performance fatigability, time-to-task-failure, peak oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak}), tumor necrosis 12 factor- α (TNF- α), body fat percentage and lean mass index were associated with CRF severity. 13 14 Performance fatigability, $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, TNF- α and age explained 35% of the variance in CRF severity. Those with clinically-relevant CRF reported more pain, more depressive symptoms, 15 less perceived social support, and were less physically active than non-fatigued cancer 16 survivors. Conclusions: The present study utilised a comprehensive group of gold-standard 17 physiological and psychosocial assessments and the results give potential insight into the 18 19 mechanisms underpinning the association between physical inactivity, physical deconditioning and CRF. Implications for cancer survivors: Given the associations between CRF and both 20 physiological and psychosocial measures, this study identifies targets that can be measured by 21 rehabilitation professionals and used to guide tailored interventions to reduce fatigue. 22



25

INTRODUCTION

Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is defined as a distressing, persistent sense of physical, emotional, 26 27 and/or cognitive tiredness or exhaustion that is not proportional to recent activity and interferes with usual functioning [1]. While CRF is most prevalent throughout cancer treatment [2, 3], 28 around one-third of cancer survivors report persistent CRF for months and years after cancer 29 30 treatment [4, 5], with CRF representing the most common and debilitating symptom among cancer survivors (defined here as people who that have completed cancer treatment) [6]. The 31 persistent CRF in cancer survivors can have widespread adverse emotional, social, and physical 32 consequences. Indeed, cancer survivors frequently report the negative impact of CRF on 33 health-related quality of life (HRQL), and the interfering effect on their ability to perform 34 activities of daily living and maintain functional independence [6]. In addition to the negative 35 impact on HRQL, persistent CRF in cancer survivors can impact return to work, reduce the 36 37 capacity to work [7], and result in increased utilization of health care resources [8], thereby having economic consequences. Given that the number of cancer survivors is increasing [9], 38 the number of survivors with persistent CRF is likely to increase concomitantly. Consequently, 39 understanding the correlates of CRF is a pertinent issue in order to develop interventions to 40 attenuate this symptom. 41

42 Despite an increased awareness of the prevalence of CRF in cancer survivors, relatively little 43 is known regarding aetiology or risk factors. Although the aetiology remains elusive, it is understood that CRF is a multi-factorial process that is influenced by a variety of physiological 44 and psychosocial factors [10]. Regarding psychosocial factors, depression, anxiety, sleep 45 46 disturbances and perceived social support are correlated with persistent CRF [11-14] and are impaired in fatigued versus non-fatigued cancer survivors [15, 16]. Furthermore, it is advised 47 that interventions targeting psychosocial outcomes are tailored to meet individual needs [17, 48 18]. Understanding the psychosocial correlates of CRF could assist in assessing an individual's 49

distinctive profile with regard to psychosocial outcomes, and in turn facilitate the developmentof interventions aimed at alleviating these factors.

52 There is also evidence for the physiological underpinnings of CRF [19]. For example, various physiological measures relevant to physical function have been shown to be impaired in 53 fatigued cancer survivors. In particular, a reduced aerobic capacity, as assessed through gas 54 55 exchange measurements during incremental dynamic exercise, has previously been demonstrated in fatigued cancer survivors [20], as well as neuromuscular alterations [21], and 56 cachexia [22]. Performance fatigability, defined as the change in an objective measure of 57 physical performance measured following exercise [23], has also been shown to be impaired 58 in fatigued cancer survivors [24]. Together, these physiological impairments could impede the 59 ability to perform every-day tasks and increase fatigue during activities of daily living, thereby 60 compounding CRF [25]. Moreover, negative anthropometric changes, such as increases in 61 body fat and reduced lean mass index, could further contribute to CRF through impaired 62 physical function, with previous studies on cancer survivors reporting links between CRF and 63 anthropometric measures [26, 27]. Finally, chronic inflammation has also been linked with 64 CRF in both cancer patients undergoing treatment [28, 29] and cancer survivors [30-32], with 65 tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) [30-32] interleukin 1 beta (IL-1 β) [28] and 6 (IL-6) [29] 66 frequently implicated in neuro-immune interactions thought to exacerbate CRF. However, 67 68 previous research examining the physiological correlates of CRF have often investigated variables in isolation [20, 21, 33], and a comprehensive assessment of the potential objective 69 physiological correlates of CRF in cancer survivors is lacking. Given the multi-factorial nature 70 of CRF, utilising a group of both physiological outcomes is warranted, and an examination of 71 72 the correlates of CRF using such an approach can provide targets for future interventions to reduce CRF. 73

Accordingly, this is the first study to investigate CRF and include several physiological 74 variables via the assessment of neuromuscular function, maximal exercise capacity, body 75 composition, whole blood count and inflammation, alongside assessments of psychosocial and 76 77 disease-related outcomes. The primary aim of this study was to identify physiological correlates of CRF in order to provide targets for future intervention studies, and to examine 78 differences in these outcomes between a heterogenous group of fatigued compared with non-79 80 fatigued cancer survivors. A secondary aim was to assess psychosocial correlates of CRF severity and between-group differences in psychosocial outcomes as a replication of previous 81 82 work.

- 83
- 84

METHODS

85 Study population, recruitment and patient involvement

The target population for the present study was cancer survivors, which, for the purposes of 86 87 the present study, refers to people who have been diagnosed with cancer and completed active cancer treatment (e.g. surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiation) following any cancer diagnosis. 88 Participants were recruited via the Alberta Cancer Registry (Alberta Health Services, Canada). 89 90 Data extraction criteria included age (≥ 18 years), diagnosed with any invasive cancer, and postal codes within 20 km of the University of Calgary. From the resulting extraction, equal 91 92 numbers of males and females were randomly sampled and sent a confidential invitation letter from the registry (such that the research team did not know who received the invitation, 93 but participants could then contact the research team if interested). Participants meeting these 94 criteria were also recruited via liaising with clinicians and/or advertising at cancer centres local 95 to the University of Calgary. Additional Inclusion criteria included 1) approval to participate 96 from a Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology Certified Physiologist (CSEP-CEP) and/or a 97 physician and 2) having command of the English language and ability to understand 98

instructions related to the study procedure. Interested participants contacted the study 99 coordinator via phone or email and were informed on the main aspects of the research. 100 Potentially eligible participants were provided with a participant information sheet and were 101 encouraged to ask questions about the risks and benefits of participation. Once participants had 102 time to review the information, the first visit to the laboratory was scheduled. Initially, 103 64 participants were recruited. The study was later extended to include the baseline data from 104 105 participants recruited for a randomized controlled trial investigating the effect of exercise interventions on fatigue in cancer survivors [34]. An additional 33 participants from this study, 106 107 all with clinically relevant CRF, were included in the present study. Therefore, a total of 97 participants provided written informed consent to participate and completed the 108 study procedures. The inclusion criteria for the two stages of recruitment was the same apart 109 from the requirement to have clinically relevant CRF during the second stage of recruitment. 110 All of the study procedures (described below) were identical for participants from both stages 111 of recruitment. 112

113

114 Variable selection

The selection of the comprehensive group of physiological variables included in the present 115 study was based on previous literature identifying either differences between fatigued and non-116 117 fatigued cancer survivors, and/or correlates of CRF severity using a range of physiological variables related to cardiopulmonary function [20], neuromuscular function [21] and 118 fatigability [35], body composition [26, 27] and inflammation [36, 31]. Similarly, for 119 120 psychosocial variables, these were selected based on previous studies noting consistent associations between CRF severity and depressive symptoms [37, 38], pain [39], perceived 121 social support [40, 41], physical activity and HRQL [39]. 122

123

124 **Procedures**

125 Approval for all procedures was obtained by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board and the Health Research Ethics Board of Alberta Cancer Committee (REB14-0398 and 126 HREBA.CC-16-10-10, respectively). The study was conducted in accordance with all aspects 127 of the *Declaration of Helsinki*, apart from registration in a database. Participants completed all 128 assessments over two separate visits to the laboratory, separated by ~ 2 weeks to prevent fatigue 129 130 from the initial visit influencing performance during the second visit. During visit 1, patient reported outcomes, venous blood sampling, cardiopulmonary exercise testing and 131 132 familiarisation with the performance fatigability assessment was performed, in the same order 133 as written. During visit 2, the body composition and performance fatigability assessments were 134 performed, in the same order as written. Laboratory visits commenced between 8 am - 9 am and lasted 2-3 hours. Visits were scheduled in the morning to ensure participants were as fresh 135 as possible and to avoid fatigue accumulated throughout the day from influencing performance 136 during the protocol. Participants were advised to consume breakfast 1.5 h prior to arrival at the 137 laboratory, to arrive at the laboratory hydrated, and to refrain from alcohol, caffeine and 138 strenuous activity for the preceding 24 h. 139

140

141 Screening, medical and demographic information

Prior to the study commencing, participants underwent a screening procedure. During the screening visit, participants completed a Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire for Everyone (PAR-Q+), before being screened for arrhythmias and hypertension, determined during resting electrocardiography and blood pressure measurements, respectively. If the participant displayed a normal sinus rhythm and systolic and diastolic blood pressure of ≤ 144

and \leq 94 mmHg, respectively, was cleared for physical activity by a CSEP-CEP, and no further 147 concerns were raised that would warrant physician approval, the participant continued to the 148 procedures described below. Otherwise, physician approval was sought. Medical information 149 was obtained via self-report, and included the cancer and treatment type (surgery only, single 150 modality, i.e. chemotherapy or radiotherapy, or multi-modality, i.e. chemotherapy and 151 radiotherapy). Demographic information included age, sex, marital status (single, married, 152 153 divorced, separated or widowed) and income (< \$20,000, \$20,000-40,000, \$40,000-60,000, \$60,000-80,000, > \$80,000). 154

155

156 Patient reported outcomes

The Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy - Fatigue (FACIT-F) scale was used to 157 assess CRF. This scale comprises 13 items, and delineates the physical and functional 158 consequences of CRF [42]. Using the FACIT-F scale, a higher score indicates less fatigue, and 159 a score \leq 34 is recommended for the diagnosis of CRF [43]. The FACIT-F cut-point (\leq 34) can 160 be used to operationalize the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related 161 162 Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) [44]. The cut point identifies over 90% of 'ICD-10 positive' cases and has been recommended for the 'diagnosis' of CRF [43]. In addition to CRF 163 severity, a number of other patient-reported outcomes were assessed. These included HRQL, 164 165 depressive symptomatology, pain, social provisions, leisure-time exercise and insomnia severity. Questionnaires to measure these patient-reported outcomes were chosen based on 166 their established reliability and validity with specific emphasis on use in cancer populations. 167 Participants' HRQL was assessed using the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy -168 General (FACT-G) [45], which includes subscales for physical, social/family, emotional and 169 functional well-being, and additional concerns related to symptoms. An overall HRQL score 170

was derived from these subscales and used in the analysis. Depressive symptomatology was 171 assessed using the Center for Epidemiological Studies on Depression Scale (CES-D) [46]. Pain 172 severity and functional interference were assessed using the Brief Pain Inventory Short Form 173 (BPI-sf) [47]. The Social Provisions Scale (SPS) [48] was used to assess social provisions, 174 using the total score from six sub-group scores: guidance, reliable alliance, reassurance of 175 worth, attachment, social integration, and opportunity for nurturance. The total physical 176 177 activity score (leisure score index) and moderate and strenuous physical activity score ([moderate frequency per week \times 5] + [strenuous frequency per week \times 9]) derived from the 178 179 Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ) [49] was used to assess leisure-time exercise. 180

181

182 *Physiological outcomes*

183 *Cardiopulmonary exercise test*

Following the measurement of stature (cm) and mass (kg), a cardiopulmonary exercise test was 184 performed to determine peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak), gas exchange threshold (GET) and 185 respiratory compensation point (RCP). The tests were conducted using a custom-built 186 recumbent ergometer, using an electromagnetically-braked Velotron system (RacerMate Inc., 187 Seattle, WA). Heart rate (HR) and breath-by-breath pulmonary gas exchange and ventilation 188 was measured throughout the cardiopulmonary exercise test (Quark CPET, COSMED, Rome, 189 Italy). The starting power output (25-50 W) and increment (8-20 W) were estimated and 190 adjusted on an individual basis for a desired test duration of 8-12 min. The power output was 191 increased at 1-min intervals until volitional exhaustion. Verbal encouragement was provided 192 by the same experimenters every 20-60 s. The highest 30 s mean oxygen uptake was considered 193 VO_{2peak}. The GET and RCP were determined through visual inspection of relevant gas 194

exchange variables. The GET was defined as the $\dot{V}O_2$ at which the rate of $\dot{V}CO_2$ began to increase disproportionally in relation to $\dot{V}O_2$, while the ventilatory equivalent of $\dot{V}CO_2$ ($\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$) and end-tidal PCO₂ was stable [50]. The RCP was defined as the $\dot{V}O_2$ at which end-tidal PCO₂ began to decrease after a period of isocapnic buffering, as well as a second breakpoint in $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$, with further confirmation provided through examining the $\dot{V}O_2$ at which $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ began to systemically increase [51]. The GET and RCP were subsequently expressed as a percentage of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$.

202

203 Venous blood sample

A venous blood sample was collected from the antecubital fossa by a certified phlebotomist, 204 205 with blood collected ≥ 2 h post-prandial. The sample was analysed for whole blood count (haemoglobin, white blood cell and platelet concentration), TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6. Whole 206 blood count was analysed within 2 h of collection at the laboratory of Foothills Medical Centre 207 (Calgary, Canada). Blood collected in an EDTA tube was centrifuged at 4°C and 3000×g for 208 15 min, divided into aliquots and stored at -80°C. Samples were stored until laboratory 209 210 evaluation, performed at Eve Technologies Corp (Calgary, Alberta, Canada) using the Bio-Plex[™] 200 system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA). 211

212

213 *Performance fatigability test*

The incremental cycling test was performed during the second visit to the laboratory, 2 weeks following the first visit in which the cardiopulmonary exercise test was performed. During the initial visit, participants were familiarised with all procedures involved in the incremental cycling test. A detailed description of the procedures for the incremental cycling test and measurements of neuromuscular function are provided by Twomey *et al.* [34]. Briefly,

participants performed an incremental cycling test to task-failure on a validated custom-built 219 cycle ergometer, which permits the immediate assessment of neuromuscular function after 220 cycling [52, 34]. Each stage of the cycling test lasted 3 min, beginning with a power output of 221 0.3 W·kg⁻¹, with an increment of 0.3 W·kg⁻¹ for the next four stages and 0.4 W·kg⁻¹ for the 222 following five stages. Pre-exercise, between each stage, and following task-failure, a 223 neuromuscular assessment was performed. The neuromuscular assessment consisted of 224 225 participants performing a maximal isometric voluntary contraction (MVC) of the knee extensors of the right leg, delivering a supramaximal electrical stimulation of the femoral nerve 226 227 during the plateau in MVC force, and delivering the same electrical stimulation 3 s following the MVC while the participants relaxed. The stimuli delivered during the plateau in MVC 228 evoked a superimposed force response (superimposed twitch, SIT) while the subsequent 229 stimulation delivered while participants relaxed evoked a resting twitch response (resting peak 230 twitch force, P_{tw}, respectively) of the knee extensors. During cycling, participants received real-231 time feedback for cadence, which was self-selected by the participants (≥ 60 rpm). Participants 232 were instructed to maintain their self-selected cadence, and verbal instructions were provided 233 when the cadence drifted \geq 4 rpm. The exercise was terminated when rpm fell below 60 rpm, 234 or if participants verbally indicated that they were unable to continue the task. 235

For the neuromuscular assessments throughout the incremental cycling test, the peak 236 237 force during MVCs was calculated at each time-point. The amplitude of the potentiated mechanical response following a single electrical stimulus delivered on relaxed muscles was 238 analysed to determine the P_{tw}. Voluntary activation was calculated using the interpolated twitch 239 technique, where the amplitude of the superimposed twitch was normalised to the 240 corresponding P_{tw} using the equation VA (%) = $(1 - SIT/P_{tw}) \times 100$ [53]. The P_{tw} provides a 241 measure of contractile function, while VA measures the capacity of the central nervous system 242 to activate the muscle, and together these variables can determine the locus of reductions in 243

244	MVC. The relative decline in MVC force, VA and P_{tw} compared to pre-exercise values at the
245	final common stage (i.e. the minimum number of stages that all participants completed, which
246	was three stages) and at task failure was analysed, as well as the total exercise duration.

247

248 Body composition

Participants underwent a whole-body scan using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA;
Discovery W, Hologic, Bedford, MA), for the assessment of percentage body fat, body-mass
index (BMI; kg/m²) and lean mass index (LMI; kg/m²).

252

253

254 Statistical analysis

255 The variables included in the statistical analyses are displayed in Figure 1. Statistical analyses were performed with the R statistical software package [54]. Missing data was evident across 256 multiple variables, with a maximum of nine (~10%) participants missing data for TNF- α , IL-257 1β and IL-6. Missing data were inputted using the k- nearest neighbour (k=5) method, from the 258 'VIM' package wherein 5 '(k') samples were used to estimate the value of the missing data 259 points [55]. Patient demographics were compared between fatigue groups using Chi-squared 260 and Mann-Whitney U-tests. Relevant predictors of FACIT-F score were selected using Least 261 Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression. LASSO regression is a sparse 262 263 regularized regression which uses a penalty term to shrink regression coefficients and selects for only the most significant predictors [56]. Ten-fold cross-validated linear LASSO regression 264 was performed using the 'glmnet' package [57]. Selected predictors from the linear LASSO 265

regression were then subsequently entered into a robust regression model with FACIT-F scoreas the dependent variable.

Using the FACIT-F cut-point, relevant predictors of fatigue group were selected using binomial 269 LASSO regression. Selected predictors were compared between groups using independent 270 Student's T-tests or Mann-Whitney U-tests where data violated assumptions of normality or 271 homogeneity of variance, assessed using the Shapiro Wilk's and Levene's tests. For both 272 analyses, control for multiple testing was performed by adjusting the false discovery rate [58]. 273 Six cancer types (breast, prostate, head and neck, colon, haematological and other cancer types) 274 and the three treatment type categories (surgery only, single modality and multiple modality) 275 were assigned a number and entered into the model. The threshold for rejecting the null 276 277 hypothesis was set at p < 0.05. Cohen's d was calculated to provide a standardized measure of the magnitude of the effects, small (d = 0.2), medium (d = 0.5), and large (d = 0.8) [59]. 278

RESULTS

Of the 97 participants recruited, four were excluded due to having incomplete data sets. 280 281 Specifically, participants who had ≥ 6 missing variables (with 6 variables equating to 37.5% of all included variables) were excluded, as it was deemed that too high a proportion of the data 282 for those participants would be estimated. The data for 93 cancer survivors were thus analysed. 283 284 Of the included participants, the percentage of missing data points was $6 \pm 12\%$. The fatigued group comprised 51 participants (55%) with clinically-relevant fatigue: FACIT-F \leq 34, n = 21 285 from initial recruitment and n = 30 from subsequent RCT [34] (baseline measures). The 286 remaining 42 participants from the initial recruitment formed the non-fatigued group (FACIT-287 F > 34). The median age of the sample was 57 years (range 24-82 years), and 56 participants 288 (60%) were female. Sex ($\chi 2 = 1.0$, p = 0.33) and age (U = 819, p = 0.05) were not different 289 between fatigue groups. Participant socio-demographic and clinical characteristics are 290 displayed in Table 1. 291

292

293 Physiological outcomes and fatigue score – associations and between-group comparison

294 Univariate analyses

In the initial analysis, LASSO regressions identified seven variables as significant predictors of fatigue severity (FACIT-F score): three related to exercise (relative reduction in MVC poststage 3, time to task failure during the fatigability test and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$,), two related to body composition (body fat percentage and lean mass index) and TNF- α concentration. The Spearman's Correlation Coefficients for the associations between FACIT-F score and the identified predictors are displayed in Figure 2, as well as Supplementary Table 1.

301

302 *Multivariate model predicting fatigue severity*

In a secondary analysis, the significant predictors of fatigue identified from the LASSO regressions were entered into a robust multivariate linear regression model. The results showed that the identified predictors explained 35% of the variance in FACIT-F score (multiple R² = 0.35). Within the multivariate model, relative decrease in MVC post-stage 3 (β = 23.9, Std. Error = 9.9, *P* = 0.02), $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ (β = 0.4, Std. Error = 0.2, *P* = 0.04), TNF- α concentration (β = -0.49, Std. Error = 0.17, *P* < 0.01) and age (β = 0.29, Std. Error = 0.10, *P* < 0.01) were retained as independent factors that were associated with more severe fatigue.

310

311 Between-group comparison

For fatigue vs non-fatigued between-group comparison, the binomial LASSO regression 312 313 identified four predictors of fatigue-group, including relative decrease in MVC post-stage 3, time-to-task failure, $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, and TNF- α (Table 2 Figure 3A-D, respectively). Independent 314 samples t-tests revealed that $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ($t_{91} = 4.2, P < 0.01, d = 0.86$) and time to task failure (t_{91} 315 = 4.0, P < 0.01, d = 0.84) were lower in the fatigued group compared with the non-fatigued 316 group, while the relative decrease in MVC post-stage 3 ($t_{91} = 3.7, P < 0.01, d = 0.77$) and TNF-317 α (Mann Whitney U test U = 739, P = 0.01, d = 0.55) were higher in the fatigued group 318 compared with the non-fatigued group. 319

- 320
- 321

322 Patient reported outcomes and fatigue score – associations and between-group
 323 differences

324 Univariate analyses

Of the patient reported outcomes, depression (CES-D; P < 0.01), pain intensity and severity (both P < 0.01), self-reported physical activity levels (P = 0.02), HRQL (P < 0.01), and perceived social support (SPS; P < 0.01) were significantly associated with FACIT-F score. The correlation matrix displaying the Spearman's Correlation Coefficients is displayed in Figure 4, as well as Supplementary Table 2.

331 Between-group differences

For between group differences in patient reported outcomes, Mann-Whitney U-Test showed that depression (U = 540, P < 0.01, d = 0.89), pain intensity (U = 658, P < 0.01, d = 0.63) and severity (U = 640, P < 0.01, d = 0.66) were higher in the fatigued compared with the non-fatigued group, while self-reported physical activity levels (U = 698, P < 0.001, d = 0.62), perceived social support (U = 655, P < 0.01, d = 0.69), and HRQL (U = 261, P < 0.01, d = 1.6) were lower in the fatigued vs. non-fatigued group.

DISCUSSION

The primary aim of the present study was to (i) identify correlates of CRF severity in a cohort 349 350 of cancer survivors using a comprehensive group of physiological variables, and (ii) examine differences in fatigued vs. non-fatigued cancer survivors. We identified that several variables 351 measured during exercise testing, including cardiorespiratory fitness, alterations in 352 353 neuromuscular function during exercise, and cycling exercise time were significantly associated with CRF severity. For the first time, we show that a decrease in the maximal force 354 generating capacity caused by exercise is a significant independent predictor of CRF severity, 355 alongside \dot{VO}_{2peak} , age and TNF- α concentration. Together, these four variables explained 35% 356 of the variance in CRF severity. Furthermore, using the most widely-recommended measure of 357 CRF severity and a cut-point based on diagnostic interview [43], we confirm earlier reports 358 that people with clinically-relevant CRF experience more pain, more depressive symptoms, 359 have less perceived social support, and are less physically active than cancer survivors with no 360 or mild fatigue. 361

362

363 Performance fatigability

In the present study, participants performed incremental cycling exercise at intensities relative 364 to their body mass, with cycling stages interspersed with assessments of neuromuscular 365 366 function (MVC, P_{tw} and VA) in order to determine performance fatigability following the final common stage of cycling exercise and at task failure. A custom-built cycle ergometer which 367 permits the immediate assessment of neuromuscular function between stages of cycling and 368 369 following exercise was used to assess fatigability [52]. This original methodology provides a means of measuring neuromuscular function in response to an ecologically valid mode of 370 exercise which resembles the type of activity performed in every-day life (i.e. whole-body, 371

dynamic exercise). Furthermore, the ergometer permits the measurement of neuromuscular 372 function without a delay between exercise cessation and the neuromuscular assessment, a delay 373 that is normally associated with measuring fatigability in response to whole-body exercise [60]. 374 We found that fatigability at the final common stage of exercise (i.e. the final stage completed 375 by all participants) was more pronounced in fatigued (-16%) compared with non-fatigued (-376 9%) participants, and was associated with fatigue severity. Likely due at least in part to the 377 378 more rapid decline in neuromuscular capacity, the time-to-task-failure during the cycling task was 18% shorter in fatigued compared with non-fatigued participants, and this effect was large. 379 380 Using isometric exercise tasks, previous studies have similarly demonstrated that those with CRF reach task failure during sustained contractions more quickly than controls [24, 33, 21] 381 and that fatigability during isometric tasks is associated with CRF severity [35]. However, the 382 present study improves on previous designs by utilising a more ecologically valid exercise-383 mode to assess performance fatigability, which might be more closely related to fatigue than 384 isometric protocols [61], as well as gold-standard assessments of neuromuscular function. 385 These methods have previously been shown to be sensitive in detecting cancer treatment-386 induced changes in muscle function [62]. 387

While we have demonstrated that a relationship exists between fatigue severity and 388 performance fatigability, the nature of this relationship, and whether impaired performance 389 fatigability is a contributor or consequence of CRF, is unclear. For example, the impaired 390 fatigability in those with CRF likely occurs secondary to reduced physical activity levels and 391 subsequent physical deconditioning, with physical activity levels and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ lower in fatigued 392 versus non-fatigued participants and associated with fatigue severity in the present study, 393 394 similar to previous findings [63, 64, 20]. Although speculative, it has been suggested that exacerbated impairments in neuromuscular function in response to physical activity 395 (considering the reduced exercise tolerance) could lead to increases in the perception of fatigue 396

when performing daily activities [25]. Indeed, the greater impairment in MVC in the fatigued 397 versus non-fatigued group occurred following 3 stages of incremental cycling exercise, and the 398 intensity at this stage could correspond with low-intensity activities of daily living, such as 399 walking or climbing stairs. In turn, the physiological disturbances (such as greater 400 cardiorespiratory demand and metabolic perturbations) at relatively low intensities (relative to 401 sex and age) would lead to an increased sense of effort [65] and fatigue when performing tasks 402 403 in the presence of impaired neuromuscular function [25]. Accordingly, disease and treatmentrelated factors may initially lead to acute fatigue, and limit daily activities (especially if a 404 405 patient is recommended to rest during treatment). This decreased physical activity may lead to deconditioning and impairments in fatigability, which in turn may contribute to the 406 continuation of CRF into long-term survivorship. Further longitudinal research is warranted to 407 assess the temporal associations between fatigue, physical inactivity, and fatigability in cancer 408 survivors in order to determine the potential causal role of increased fatigability in persistent 409 CRF [34]. 410

411

412 Anthropometrics and physical activity variables

In addition to the increased fatigability in those with CRF, numerous other variables relevant 413 to physical activity levels and anthropometrics, including VO_{2peak}, body fat percentage, and 414 415 LMI, were associated with fatigue severity. Regarding the anthropometric measures, the associations between body fat percentage and LMI with CRF suggest that these could provide 416 useful measures to monitor potential risk factors for those with CRF, and that efforts to improve 417 418 patient anthropometry could help to mitigate CRF in cancer survivors. Anthropometric measures could be integrated into the analysis from computerized tomography scans routinely 419 used in people with cancer. Regarding cardiorespiratory function, VO_{2peak} in the fatigued group 420 was lower than the non-fatigued group, and this effect was large. Furthermore, $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ values 421

in the fatigued group were lower than those derived from age-matched healthy participants 422 [66]. Similar to the present findings, previous studies have shown that cardiorespiratory fitness 423 [20] and anthropometric measures [26, 27] are predictors of fatigue in cancer patients. While a 424 causal role of these measures in CRF cannot be deduced from the present findings, the 425 associations between physical activity levels, physical activity related measures, and CRF 426 highlight the importance of performing regular physical activity in order to prevent 427 428 cardiorespiratory deconditioning and deleterious changes which might contribute to CRF. Indeed, the Oncology Nursing Society 'Putting Evidence into Practice' tool on CRF proposes 429 exercise and physical activity as a first-line intervention for CRF [67], and the American 430 College of Sports Medicine guidelines similarly recommend regular structured and progressive 431 physical activity to reduce CRF severity [68]. This notwithstanding, it is estimated that only 432 one-third of cancer survivors achieve physical activity guidelines outlined by the American 433 Cancer Society [69-72]. Thus, there is a requirement for health professionals to understand the 434 psychological, social and environmental barriers to exercise in cancer survivors, and to develop 435 strategies to mitigate these barriers to enable to cancer survivors to be more physically active. 436 Furthermore, a tailored approach to exercise interventions is warranted to meet individual 437 needs regarding physical activity interests, preferences, tolerance, and physiological 438 requirements [34] in order to improve adherence to exercise guidelines and potentially mitigate 439 persistent CRF in cancer survivors. 440

441

442 Inflammation

The present results further demonstrated that TNF- α was higher in fatigued compared with non-fatigued participants and was associated with fatigue severity. Several studies have similarly shown a link between TNF- α and CRF in cancer survivors [30, 32, 31], indicative of heightened systemic inflammation in those with CRF. In turn, inflammation has emerged as a

key biological pathway contributing towards CRF [73], with a strong mechanistic link between 447 pro-inflammatory cytokines and fatigue. For example, neuro-immune interactions are known 448 449 to occur through various pathways, including the transport of cytokines across the blood-brain barrier, activation via afferent vagal nerves, and through cytokine receptors located on brain 450 vascular endothelial cells, which initiate cytokine production in the brain [74]. Cytokine 451 receptors are contained in diverse areas of the brain, with an abundance of receptors located on 452 453 the hypothalamus. In turn, the hypothalamus has rich connections with the brain stem, frontal cortex, and limbic system, areas involved in emotion, behavior, motivation, memory, and 454 455 motor dexterity. These neuro-immune interactions mediated through pro-inflammatory cytokines are implicated in 'sickness behavior', the coordinated set of adaptive behavioral 456 changes that occur in infected individuals to promote survival, a major component of which is 457 an increase in fatigue [75]. Thus, the link between TNF- α and fatigue found in the present study 458 corroborates numerous previous findings, and strong evidence points towards a cause-and-459 effect association between inflammation and fatigue in individuals with cancer. 460

461

462 *Psychosocial outcomes*

In addition to the numerous physiological correlates of CRF in the present study, psychosocial 463 measures of depression, pain, and perceived social support were also associated with fatigue 464 465 severity. Both depression and pain have been consistently associated with CRF [13, 37, 38], and have been shown to be greater in fatigued versus non-fatigued cancer survivors [16]. 466 However, the nature and directionality of the depression-fatigue relationship is incompletely 467 468 understood [37], although previous research has shown CRF to be an important predictor of subsequent depression in cancer patients [76, 77]. However, the consistent associations found 469 470 between CRF and depressive symptoms could also arise due to measurement issues, particularly due to the overlap across dimensions of measurement tools used to assess both 471

constructs. Moreover, there have been suggestions there could be common mechanisms 472 between depression and fatigue [78], although differences in the temporal pattern of CRF and 473 depressive symptomology have been noted in patients undergoing radiotherapy [79], and 474 pharmacological interventions shown to reduce depressive symptoms in cancer patients had no 475 effect on CRF [80]. Future longitudinal studies should aim to determine the directionality of 476 the relationship between fatigue and depression in order to assist in developing interventions 477 478 to reduce these symptoms. Furthermore, a perceived lack of social support has been associated with more severe fatigue in patients undergoing cancer treatment [40, 41]. While discrepancies 479 480 exist in perceived social support in cancer survivors [81, 16], the association between lack of perceived social support and CRF severity found in the present study corroborates the findings 481 of Tibubos et al. [81]. Thus, the present study replicates numerous previous studies identifying 482 psychosocial correlates of CRF [82, 16, 77]. 483

484

485 Implications

The present study found multiple physiological and psychosocial correlates of CRF in cancer 486 survivors. While the directions of these relationships are unclear, this study highlights the wide 487 range of potential contributing factors to CRF. The multi-factorial nature of CRF poses a 488 challenge when developing effective treatments to alleviate this symptom, and indicate that a 489 comprehensive, multi-modal and individualized approach could be advantageous. The 490 491 implementation of a valid, time efficient battery of tests is required to help provide insight into potential underlying causes of fatigue in order to help guide treatment. In addition, the 492 relationship between fatigue severity and physical activity levels, cardiorespiratory fitness and 493 fatigability identifies pathways for the improvement of CRF. At present, evidence-based 494 guidelines for exercise interventions to alleviate CRF recommend a standardized approach in 495 regards to the type, intensity and volume of exercise [68]. However, given the multitude of 496

physiological variables found to be associated CRF in the present study, utilizing an 497 individualized, tailored approach to exercise interventions may be beneficial in targeting 498 499 specific physiological outcomes, and we are currently testing this hypothesis in a randomized controlled trial [34]. Moreover, the relationships between depression, social support and fatigue 500 highlight the requirement for health professionals to assess psychosocial outcomes, and to refer 501 patients to social work and psychological support when required to ensure a multimodal 502 503 approach to CRF. Overall, by employing the physiological measures related to CRF severity in the present study, future studies might be able to better identify the potential contributors to 504 505 fatigue on an individual basis, and tailor their intervention accordingly.

506

507 Limitations

508 While the present study provides important and novel results on the associates of CRF, the limitations should be acknowledged. The cross-sectional design of this study cannot determine 509 cause and effect relationships of the observed correlates, nor the temporal relationship between 510 CRF and correlated variables. However, this design permitted the inclusion of numerous 511 physiological and psychosocial variables, and the results provide targets for future intervention 512 studies aimed at mitigating CRF. Using the FACIT-F questionnaire, a score of ≤ 34 is 513 recommended for diagnosis the of CRF [43], and this score was thus used to separate 514 515 participants into the fatigued and non-fatigued group for the secondary between-group analysis in the present study. However, it is possible that this dichotomization of FACIT-F scores could 516 have resulted in some participants being misclassified, though this is unlikely to influence our 517 518 conclusions given that numerous measures which were different between groups were concurrently correlated with CRF severity. Furthermore, while the study uses what we believe 519 520 to be the most comprehensive group of physiological outcomes to predict CRF severity to date, there are a number of other physiological variables which have been previously associated with 521

fatigue which were not included in the present study, such as hormone concentrations [83],
measures of autonomic nervous system function [84] and sleep characteristics [85, 86].
Nevertheless, our physiological measures were able to predict a substantial proportion of the
variance in FACIT-F score.

526

527 *Conclusions*

The present study is the first attempt to comprehensively assess physiological variables 528 529 potentially correlated with CRF severity in a cohort of cancer survivors. The key and novel findings from the present study are that several exercise-related variables, including 530 performance fatigability, VO_{2peak}, LMI, body fat percentage, and self-reported physical activity 531 532 levels, were different between fatigued and non-fatigued groups and were significantly associated with CRF severity. These results highlight the importance of performing regular 533 physical activity in order to prevent physical deconditioning which might contribute to CRF. 534 Taking this into account, exercise testing provides an important target for exercise interventions 535 aimed at alleviating CRF, and exercise physiologists should be integrated in the management 536 537 of CRF. In addition to physiological variables, a number of psychosocial measures, including depressive symptoms, pain, and social support, were associated with CRF severity, 538 corroborating previous findings. The numerous associates of CRF found in the present study 539 540 highlight the multi-factorial nature of this symptom, and the requirement to use an individualised approach in the treatment and prevention of CRF. The results from this study 541 can be used to guide future research when devising strategies to attenuate CRF in cancer 542 543 survivors.

544

546

547

- 548
- 549

550

Table 1. Participant socio-demographic and clinical characteristics and fatigue scores. Variable Fatigued (N = 51)Non-fatigued (N = 42) Age (years) Mean (SD) 54 (9) 58 (12) Median 56 62 29-71 24-82 Range Sex, N(%)Male 18 (35) 19 (45) Female 33 (65) 23 (55) Marital status, N(%)Single 6(12) 0 (0) Married 33 (78) 35 (67) Separated 3 (6) 3 (7) Divorced 3 (6) 6 (14) Widowed 2(4)0(0) Missing data 2 (4) 0(0) House Income, N(%)< \$20,000 1(2) 1(2) \$20,000-40,000 7 (14) 4 (10) \$40,000-60,000 2 (4) 5(12) \$60,000-80,000 3 (6) 5 (12) 36 (71) > \$80,000 26 (62) Missing data 2 (4) 1(2) Cancer type, N(%)Breast 23 (44) 19 (37) Prostate 4 (8) 12 (23) Head and neck 7 (13) 2 (4) Colon 5 (13) 3 (6) Testicular 0 (0) 2 (5) Lymphoma 1 (2) 1 (2) Thyroid 0(0) 2 (4) Endometrial 1 (2) 1 (2) Other 9 (18) 4 (12) Multiple cancer types 1 (2) 1(2) Treatment received, N(%)Chemotherapy 23 (45) 15 (29) Radiotherapy 21 (41) 14 (27) Surgery 39 (76) 32 (63) Single modality 8 (19) 14 (27) Multi-modality 15 (29) 11 (26)

	Time since diagnosis (months)*				
	Mean (SD)	59 (54)	63 (40)		
	Median	41	52		
	Range	5-221	12-185		
	Time since treatment (months)*				
	Mean (SD)	33 (33)	46 (29)		
	Median	23	40		
	Range	1-173	4-150		
Fatigue (FACIT-F score)					
	Mean (SD)	26 (6)	44 (5)		
	Median	27	45		
_	Range	10-34	35-51		

Note: Single modality refers to chemotherapy or radiotherapy only, multi-modality refers to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Multiple cancer types refers to ≥ 2 cancer types. * Due to missing data, data for time since diagnosis and time since treatment is derived from 76 (38 fatigued, 38 non-fatigued) and 60 (30 fatigued, 30 non-fatigued) 553

participants, respectively.

- 571
- 572
- 573

Table 2. Physiological outcomes for fatigued and non-fatigued cancer survivors. Values for TNF-α, which violated homogeneity of variance and was analysed using Mann Whitney U test, are median ($25^{\text{th}}-75^{\text{th}}$ percentile). All other variables are mean ± SD. *, $P \le 0.01$ Significant between-group difference.

Variable	Fatigued $(N = 51)$	Non-fatigued ($N = 42$)		
Venous blood sample				
Hemoglobin (g/L)	144.2 ± 12.0	143.6 ± 9.2		
White blood cells $(10^9/L)$	5.6 ± 1.6	5.2 ± 1.4		
Platelets (10 ¹⁹ /L)	238.6 ± 71.1	232.2 ± 58.9		
TNF-α (pg/ml)	13.4 (10.8-16.9)	11.3 (7.8-14.1)*		
IL-1β (pg/ml)	1.9 ± 3.6	1.2 ± 2.7		
IL-6	1.8 ± 3.6	1.2 ± 2.7		
Body composition				
Body fat (%)	32.6 ± 9.0	27.7 ± 6.2		
Lean mass index (kg/m ²)	18.8 ± 8.5	18.8 ± 2.8		
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.6 ± 5.5	26.0 ± 4.0		
Maximal exercise test				
^{VO} _{2peak} (ml⋅kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	25.0 ± 5.4	30.1 ± 6.7 *		
RCP (% VO _{2peak})	81.7 ± 7.0	82.1 ± 8.0		
GET (% VO _{2peak})	58.2 ± 7.0	59.0 ± 8.0		
Performance fatigability test				
MVC post-stage 3 (% pre-exercise)	-16.0 ± 9.4	-9.1 ± 9.6 *		
Ptw post-stage 3 (% pre-exercise)	-28.0 ± 16.0	-20.2 ± 13.3		
VA post-stage 3 (% pre-exercise)	-5.8 ± 0.7	-2.3 ± 6.2		
Time-to-task failure (s)	936 ± 263	1147 ± 240 *		

578 TNF-α, tumour-necrosis factor alpha; IL-1β, interleukin 1-beta; IL-6, interleukin 6; $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, peak oxygen 579 consumption; RCP, respiratory compensation point; GET, gas exchange threshold, MVC, maximal voluntary 580 contraction force; P_{tw}, resting peak twitch force; VA, voluntary activation; TTF, time to task failure; TNF-α.

581

582

583

584

585 Figure captions

Figure 1. Outcome measures used to assess the physiological, psychosocial and disease-related correlates of cancer-related fatigue (CRF). For the incremental cycling test, the change in MVC, VA and P_{tw} at the final common stage of exercise (stage 3) and at task failure were included in the analysis. FACIT-F, Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy – Fatigue Scale; FACT-G, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – General; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies on Depression Scale; BPI-sf, Brief Pain Inventory – Short Form; SPS, Social Provisions Scale; GLTEQ, Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire.

Figure 2. Correlation matrix containing Spearman's correlation coefficients for physiological variables identified as significant predictors of fatigue scores (FACIT-F) using linear regressions. Note that a lower score using the FACIT-F scale reflects higher fatigue, and a higher score represents lower fatigue. LMI, lean mass index; TNF- α , tumour-necrosis factor alpha concentration; TTF, time to task failure; Δ MVC, change in maximal voluntary contraction force at final common stage (stage 3); $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, peak oxygen consumption. FACIT-F, Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy - Fatigue.

Figure 3. Fatigued and non-fatigued group differences for VO_{2peak} (Panel A), relative change
in MVC post-stage 3 (Panel B), time to task failure (Panel C) and TNF-α (Panel D). A MannWhitney U test was used for TNF-α since homogeneity of variance was violated. The black

603 circles and error bars represent the mean \pm 95% confident interval, black triangles represent 604 median data for TNF- α analysed using Mann Whitney U test, while red circles and blue 605 triangles represent individual data points. All variables were significantly different between 606 groups (P < 0.01). $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, peak oxygen consumption; MVC, change in maximal voluntary 607 contraction force after stage 3 of cycling test; TTF, time to task failure; TNF- α , tumour-necrosis 608 factor alpha concentration.

609

610 Figure 4. Correlation matrix containing Spearman's correlation coefficients for patient reported outcomes identified as significant predictors of fatigue scores (FACIT-F) using linear 611 regressions. Note that a lower score using the FACIT-F scale reflects higher fatigue, and a 612 613 higher score represents lower fatigue. CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression 614 Scale; Pain-Int, pain intensity scale; Pain-Sev, pain severity scale; Total PA, total physical activity derived from the Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire; SPS, Social Provisions 615 Ccale, FACT-G, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – General; FACIT-F, Functional 616 Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy – Fatigue. 617

References

1. Berger AM, Mooney K, Alvarez-Perez A, Breitbart WS, Carpenter KM, Cella D et al. Cancer-Related Fatigue, Version 2.2015. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN. 2015;13(8):1012-39. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2015.0122.

2. Lawrence DP, Kupelnick B, Miller K, Devine D, Lau J. Evidence report on the occurrence, assessment, and treatment of fatigue in cancer patients. Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs. 2004(32):40-50. doi:10.1093/jncimonographs/lgh027.

3. Curt GA, Breitbart W, Cella D, Groopman JE, Horning SJ, Itri LM et al. Impact of cancer-related fatigue on the lives of patients: new findings from the Fatigue Coalition. The oncologist. 2000;5(5):353-60. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.5-5-353.

4. Goedendorp MM, Gielissen MF, Verhagen CA, Bleijenberg G. Development of fatigue in cancer survivors: a prospective follow-up study from diagnosis into the year after treatment. Journal of pain and symptom management. 2013;45(2):213-22. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.02.009.

5. Jones JM, Olson K, Catton P, Catton CN, Fleshner NE, Krzyzanowska MK et al. Cancer-related fatigue and associated disability in post-treatment cancer survivors. Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice. 2016;10(1):51-61. doi:10.1007/s11764-015-0450-2.

6. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Desmond KA, Rowland JH, Meyerowitz BE, Belin TR. Fatigue in Breast Cancer Survivors: Occurrence, Correlates, and Impact on Quality of Life. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2000;18(4):743-. doi:10.1200/jco.2000.18.4.743.

7. Goldstein D, Bennett BK, Webber K, Boyle F, de Souza PL, Wilcken NR et al. Cancer-related fatigue in women with breast cancer: outcomes of a 5-year prospective cohort study. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2012;30(15):1805-12. doi:10.1200/jco.2011.34.6148.

8. Islam T, Dahlui M, Majid HA, Nahar AM, Mohd Taib NA, Su TT. Factors associated with return to work of breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. BMC public health. 2014;14 Suppl 3:S8. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-s3-s8.

9. Miller KD, Nogueira L, Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Yabroff KR, Alfano CM et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2019;69(5):363-85. doi:10.3322/caac.21565.

10. McNeely ML, Courneya KS. Exercise programs for cancer-related fatigue: evidence and clinical guidelines. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN. 2010;8(8):945-53. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2010.0069.

11. Carpenter JS, Elam JL, Ridner SH, Carney PH, Cherry GJ, Cucullu HL. Sleep, fatigue, and depressive symptoms in breast cancer survivors and matched healthy women experiencing hot flashes. Oncology nursing forum. 2004;31(3):591-5598. doi:10.1188/04.onf.591-598.

12. Kuhnt S, Ernst J, Singer S, Rüffer JU, Kortmann RD, Stolzenburg JU et al. Fatigue in cancer survivors--prevalence and correlates. Onkologie. 2009;32(6):312-7. doi:10.1159/000215943. 13. Servaes P, Verhagen C, Bleijenberg G. Fatigue in cancer patients during and after treatment: prevalence, correlates and interventions. European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990). 2002;38(1):27-43. doi:10.1016/s0959-8049(01)00332-x.

14. Beck SL, Dudley WN, Barsevick A. Pain, sleep disturbance, and fatigue in patients with cancer: using a mediation model to test a symptom cluster. Oncology nursing forum. 2005;32(3):542. doi:10.1188/04.onf.e48-e55.

15. Martin T, Twomey R, Medysky ME, Temesi J, Culos-Reed SN, Millet GY. The Relationship between Fatigue and Actigraphy-Derived Sleep and Rest-Activity Patterns in Cancer Survivors. Current oncology (Toronto, Ont). 2021;28(2):1170-82. doi:10.3390/curroncol28020113.

16. Servaes P, Verhagen S, Bleijenberg G. Determinants of chronic fatigue in disease-free breast cancer patients: a cross-sectional study. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology. 2002;13(4):589-98. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdf082.

17. Gielissen MF, Verhagen S, Witjes F, Bleijenberg G. Effects of cognitive behavior therapy in severely fatigued disease-free cancer patients compared with patients waiting for cognitive behavior therapy: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2006;24(30):4882-7. doi:10.1200/jco.2006.06.8270.

18. Corbett TK, Groarke A, Devane D, Carr E, Walsh JC, McGuire BE. The effectiveness of psychological interventions for fatigue in cancer survivors: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Systematic reviews. 2019;8(1):324. doi:10.1186/s13643-019-1230-2.

19. Saligan LN, Olson K, Filler K, Larkin D, Cramp F, Yennurajalingam S et al. The biology of cancerrelated fatigue: a review of the literature. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2015;23(8):2461-78. doi:10.1007/s00520-015-2763-0.

20. Neil SE, Klika RJ, Garland SJ, McKenzie DC, Campbell KL. Cardiorespiratory and neuromuscular deconditioning in fatigued and non-fatigued breast cancer survivors. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2013;21(3):873-81. doi:10.1007/s00520-012-1600-y.

21. Yavuzsen T, Davis MP, Ranganathan VK, Walsh D, Siemionow V, Kirkova J et al. Cancer-related fatigue: central or peripheral? Journal of pain and symptom management. 2009;38(4):587-96. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2008.12.003.

22. Kilgour RD, Vigano A, Trutschnigg B, Hornby L, Lucar E, Bacon SL et al. Cancer-related fatigue: the impact of skeletal muscle mass and strength in patients with advanced cancer. Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle. 2010;1(2):177-85. doi:10.1007/s13539-010-0016-0.

23. Enoka RM, Duchateau J. Translating Fatigue to Human Performance. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2016;48(11):2228-38. doi:10.1249/mss.000000000000929.

24. Kisiel-Sajewicz K, Davis MP, Siemionow V, Seyidova-Khoshknabi D, Wyant A, Walsh D et al. Lack of muscle contractile property changes at the time of perceived physical exhaustion suggests central mechanisms contributing to early motor task failure in patients with cancer-related fatigue. Journal of pain and symptom management. 2012;44(3):351-61. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2011.08.007. 25. Twomey R, Aboodarda SJ, Kruger R, Culos-Reed SN, Temesi J, Millet GY. Neuromuscular fatigue during exercise: Methodological considerations, etiology and potential role in chronic fatigue. Neurophysiologie clinique = Clinical neurophysiology. 2017;47(2):95-110.

doi:10.1016/j.neucli.2017.03.002.

26. Andrykowski MA, Donovan KA, Laronga C, Jacobsen PB. Prevalence, predictors, and characteristics of off-treatment fatigue in breast cancer survivors. Cancer. 2010;116(24):5740-8. doi:10.1002/cncr.25294.

27. Reinertsen KV, Cvancarova M, Loge JH, Edvardsen H, Wist E, Fosså SD. Predictors and course of chronic fatigue in long-term breast cancer survivors. Journal of cancer survivorship : research and practice. 2010;4(4):405-14. doi:10.1007/s11764-010-0145-7.

28. Greenberg DB, Gray JL, Mannix CM, Eisenthal S, Carey M. Treatment-related fatigue and serum interleukin-1 levels in patients during external beam irradiation for prostate cancer. Journal of pain and symptom management. 1993;8(4):196-200. doi:10.1016/0885-3924(93)90127-h.

29. Lutgendorf SK, Weinrib AZ, Penedo F, Russell D, DeGeest K, Costanzo ES et al. Interleukin-6, cortisol, and depressive symptoms in ovarian cancer patients. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2008;26(29):4820-7. doi:10.1200/jco.2007.14.1978.

30. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Irwin MR, Kwan L, Breen EC, Cole SW. Inflammation and behavioral symptoms after breast cancer treatment: do fatigue, depression, and sleep disturbance share a common underlying mechanism? Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2011;29(26):3517-22. doi:10.1200/jco.2011.36.1154.

31. Collado-Hidalgo A, Bower JE, Ganz PA, Cole SW, Irwin MR. Inflammatory biomarkers for persistent fatigue in breast cancer survivors. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the

American Association for Cancer Research. 2006;12(9):2759-66. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-05-2398.

32. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Aziz N, Fahey JL. Fatigue and proinflammatory cytokine activity in breast cancer survivors. Psychosomatic medicine. 2002;64(4):604-11. doi:10.1097/00006842-200207000-00010.

33. Kisiel-Sajewicz K, Siemionow V, Seyidova-Khoshknabi D, Davis MP, Wyant A, Ranganathan VK et al. Myoelectrical manifestation of fatigue less prominent in patients with cancer related fatigue. PloS one. 2013;8(12):e83636. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083636.

34. Twomey R, Martin T, Temesi J, Culos-Reed SN, Millet GY. Tailored exercise interventions to reduce fatigue in cancer survivors: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. BMC cancer. 2018;18(1):757. doi:10.1186/s12885-018-4668-z.

35. Veni T, Boyas S, Beaune B, Bourgeois H, Rahmani A, Landry S et al. Handgrip fatiguing exercise can provide objective assessment of cancer-related fatigue: a pilot study. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2019;27(1):229-38. doi:10.1007/s00520-018-4320-0.

36. Bower JE. Cancer-related fatigue: links with inflammation in cancer patients and survivors. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2007;21(7):863-71. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2007.03.013.

37. Brown LF, Kroenke K. Cancer-related fatigue and its associations with depression and anxiety: a systematic review. Psychosomatics. 2009;50(5):440-7. doi:10.1176/appi.psy.50.5.440.

38. Oh HS, Seo WS. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the correlates of cancer-related fatigue.
Worldviews on evidence-based nursing. 2011;8(4):191-201. doi:10.1111/j.1741-6787.2011.00214.x.
39. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Desmond KA, Rowland JH, Meyerowitz BE, Belin TR. Fatigue in breast cancer survivors: occurrence, correlates, and impact on quality of life. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2000;18(4):743-53.

doi:10.1200/jco.2000.18.4.743.

40. Mardanian-Dehkordi L, Kahangi L. The Relationship between Perception of Social Support and Fatigue in Patients with Cancer. Iranian journal of nursing and midwifery research. 2018;23(4):261-6. doi:10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_63_17.

41. Karakoç T, Yurtsever S. Relationship between social support and fatigue in geriatric patients receiving outpatient chemotherapy. European journal of oncology nursing : the official journal of European Oncology Nursing Society. 2010;14(1):61-7. doi:10.1016/j.ejon.2009.07.001.

42. Yellen SB, Cella DF, Webster K, Blendowski C, Kaplan E. Measuring fatigue and other anemiarelated symptoms with the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy (FACT) measurement system. Journal of pain and symptom management. 1997;13(2):63-74. doi:10.1016/s0885-3924(96)00274-6. 43. Van Belle S, Paridaens R, Evers G, Kerger J, Bron D, Foubert J et al. Comparison of proposed diagnostic criteria with FACT-F and VAS for cancer-related fatigue: proposal for use as a screening tool. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2005;13(4):246-54. doi:10.1007/s00520-004-0734-y.

44. Cella D, Peterman A, Passik S, Jacobsen P, Breitbart W. Progress toward guidelines for the management of fatigue. Oncology (Williston Park, NY). 1998;12(11A):369-77.

45. Cella DF, Tulsky DS, Gray G, Sarafian B, Linn E, Bonomi A et al. The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy scale: development and validation of the general measure. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 1993;11(3):570-9. doi:10.1200/jco.1993.11.3.570.

46. Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General Population. Applied Psychological Measurement. 1977;1(3):385-401.

doi:10.1177/014662167700100306.

47. Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore. 1994;23(2):129-38.

48. Cutrona CE, Russel D. The provisions of social relationships and adaptation to stress. In: WH J, D P, editors. Adv. Pers. Relationships. Greenwich: JAI Press; 1987. p. 37-67.

49. Godin G, Shephard RJ. A simple method to assess exercise behavior in the community. Canadian journal of applied sport sciences Journal canadien des sciences appliquees au sport. 1985;10(3):141-6.

50. Beaver WL, Wasserman K, Whipp BJ. A new method for detecting anaerobic threshold by gas exchange. Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985). 1986;60(6):2020-7. doi:10.1152/jappl.1986.60.6.2020.

51. Whipp BJ, Davis JA, Wasserman K. Ventilatory control of the 'isocapnic buffering' region in rapidly-incremental exercise. Respiration physiology. 1989;76(3):357-67. doi:10.1016/0034-5687(89)90076-5.

52. Doyle-Baker D, Temesi J, Medysky ME, Holash RJ, Millet GY. An Innovative Ergometer to Measure Neuromuscular Fatigue Immediately after Cycling. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2018;50(2):375-87. doi:10.1249/mss.00000000001427.

53. Merton PA. Voluntary strength and fatigue. The Journal of physiology. 1954;123(3):553-64. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1954.sp005070.

54. Team RDC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna2011.

55. Kowarik A, Templ M. Imputation with the R Package VIM. Journal of Statistical Software. 2016;74(7):1-16.

56. Tibshirani R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological). 1996;58(1):267-88.

57. Friedman J, Hastle T, Tibshirani R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. Journal of Statistical Software. 2010;33(1):1-22.

58. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. On the Adaptive Control of the False Discovery Rate in Multiple Testing With Independent Statistics. Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics. 2000;25(1):60-83. doi:10.3102/10769986025001060.

59. Cohen J. CHAPTER 1 - The Concepts of Power Analysis. In: Cohen J, editor. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Academic Press; 1977. p. 1-17.

60. Place N, Millet GY. Quantification of Neuromuscular Fatigue: What Do We Do Wrong and Why? Sports medicine (Auckland, NZ). 2020;50(3):439-47. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01203-9.

61. Taul-Madsen L, Dalgas U, Kjølhede T, Hvid LG, Petersen T, Riemenschneider M. A Head-to-Head Comparison of an Isometric and a Concentric Fatigability Protocol and the Association With Fatigue and Walking in Persons With Multiple Sclerosis. Neurorehabilitation and neural repair. 2020;34(6):523-32. doi:10.1177/1545968320920250.

62. Lavigne C, Lau H, Francis G, Culos-Reed SN, Millet GY, Twomey R. Neuromuscular function and fatigability in people diagnosed with head and neck cancer before versus after treatment. European journal of applied physiology. 2020;120(6):1289-304. doi:10.1007/s00421-020-04362-0.

63. Berger AM. Patterns of fatigue and activity and rest during adjuvant breast cancer chemotherapy. Oncology nursing forum. 1998;25(1):51-62.

64. Winters-Stone KM, Bennett JA, Nail L, Schwartz A. Strength, physical activity, and age predict fatigue in older breast cancer survivors. Oncology nursing forum. 2008;35(5):815-21. doi:10.1188/08.onf.815-821.

65. Marcora SM, Bosio A, de Morree HM. Locomotor muscle fatigue increases cardiorespiratory responses and reduces performance during intense cycling exercise independently from metabolic stress. American journal of physiology Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology. 2008;294(3):R874-83. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00678.2007.

66. Myers J, Kaminsky LA, Lima R, Christle JW, Ashley E, Arena R. A Reference Equation for Normal Standards for VO2 Max: Analysis from the Fitness Registry and the Importance of Exercise National Database (FRIEND Registry). Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases. 2017;60(1):21-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2017.03.002.

67. Mitchell SA, Hoffman AJ, Clark JC, DeGennaro RM, Poirier P, Robinson CB et al. Putting evidence into practice: an update of evidence-based interventions for cancer-related fatigue during and

following treatment. Clinical journal of oncology nursing. 2014;18 Suppl:38-58. doi:10.1188/14.cjon.s3.38-58.

68. Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, May AM, Schwartz AL, Courneya KS et al. Exercise Guidelines for Cancer Survivors: Consensus Statement from International Multidisciplinary Roundtable. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2019;51(11):2375-90. doi:10.1249/mss.00000000002116.

69. Doyle C, Kushi LH, Byers T, Courneya KS, Demark-Wahnefried W, Grant B et al. Nutrition and physical activity during and after cancer treatment: an American Cancer Society guide for informed choices. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2006;56(6):323-53. doi:10.3322/canjclin.56.6.323. 70. Ottenbacher A, Yu M, Moser RP, Phillips SM, Alfano C, Perna FM. Population Estimates of Meeting Strength Training and Aerobic Guidelines, by Gender and Cancer Survivorship Status: Findings From the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS). Journal of physical activity & health. 2015;12(5):675-9. doi:10.1123/jpah.2014-0003.

71. Courneya KS, Katzmarzyk PT, Bacon E. Physical activity and obesity in Canadian cancer survivors: population-based estimates from the 2005 Canadian Community Health Survey. Cancer. 2008;112(11):2475-82. doi:10.1002/cncr.23455.

72. Bellizzi KM, Rowland JH, Jeffery DD, McNeel T. Health behaviors of cancer survivors: examining opportunities for cancer control intervention. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2005;23(34):8884-93. doi:10.1200/jco.2005.02.2343.
73. Bower JE. Cancer-related fatigue--mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. Nature reviews

Clinical oncology. 2014;11(10):597-609. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.127.

74. Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Freund GG, Johnson RW, Kelley KW. From inflammation to sickness and depression: when the immune system subjugates the brain. Nature reviews Neuroscience. 2008;9(1):46-56. doi:10.1038/nrn2297.

75. Larson SJ, Dunn AJ. Behavioral effects of cytokines. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2001;15(4):371-87. doi:10.1006/brbi.2001.0643.

76. Trudel-Fitzgerald C, Savard J, Ivers H. Which symptoms come first? Exploration of temporal relationships between cancer-related symptoms over an 18-month period. Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine. 2013;45(3):329-37. doi:10.1007/s12160-012-9459-1.

77. Ho SY, Rohan KJ, Parent J, Tager FA, McKinley PS. A longitudinal study of depression, fatigue, and sleep disturbances as a symptom cluster in women with breast cancer. Journal of pain and symptom management. 2015;49(4):707-15. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2014.09.009.

78. Ryan JL, Carroll JK, Ryan EP, Mustian KM, Fiscella K, Morrow GR. Mechanisms of cancer-related fatigue. The oncologist. 2007;12 Suppl 1:22-34. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.12-S1-22.

79. Visser MR, Smets EM. Fatigue, depression and quality of life in cancer patients: how are they related? Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 1998;6(2):101-8. doi:10.1007/s005200050142.

80. Morrow GR, Hickok JT, Roscoe JA, Raubertas RF, Andrews PL, Flynn PJ et al. Differential effects of paroxetine on fatigue and depression: a randomized, double-blind trial from the University of Rochester Cancer Center Community Clinical Oncology Program. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2003;21(24):4635-41. doi:10.1200/jco.2003.04.070.

81. Tibubos AN, Ernst M, Brähler E, Fischbeck S, Hinz A, Blettner M et al. Fatigue in survivors of malignant melanoma and its determinants: a register-based cohort study. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2019;27(8):2809-18. doi:10.1007/s00520-018-4587-1.

82. Goedendorp MM, Gielissen MF, Verhagen CA, Peters ME, Bleijenberg G. Severe fatigue and related factors in cancer patients before the initiation of treatment. British journal of cancer. 2008;99(9):1408-14. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604739.

83. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Dickerson SS, Petersen L, Aziz N, Fahey JL. Diurnal cortisol rhythm and fatigue in breast cancer survivors. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2005;30(1):92-100. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2004.06.003.

84. Fagundes CP, Murray DM, Hwang BS, Gouin JP, Thayer JF, Sollers JJ, 3rd et al. Sympathetic and parasympathetic activity in cancer-related fatigue: more evidence for a physiological substrate in cancer survivors. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2011;36(8):1137-47.

doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2011.02.005.

85. Charalambous A, Berger AM, Matthews E, Balachandran DD, Papastavrou E, Palesh O. Cancerrelated fatigue and sleep deficiency in cancer care continuum: concepts, assessment, clusters, and management. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2019;27(7):2747-53. doi:10.1007/s00520-019-04746-9.

86. Fiorentino L, Rissling M, Liu L, Ancoli-Israel S. The Symptom Cluster of Sleep, Fatigue and Depressive Symptoms in Breast Cancer Patients: Severity of the Problem and Treatment Options. Drug discovery today Disease models. 2011;8(4):167-73. doi:10.1016/j.ddmod.2011.05.001.





Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4