

Understanding the cosmic abundance of 22 Na: lifetime measurements in ${}^{23}Mg$

Chloé Fougères, Francois de Oliveira Santos, Nadezda A. Smirnova, Caterina Michelagnoli

▶ To cite this version:

Chloé Fougères, Francois de Oliveira Santos, Nadezda A. Smirnova, Caterina Michelagnoli. Understanding the cosmic abundance of 22 Na: lifetime measurements in 23 Mg. 2022. hal-03832433

HAL Id: hal-03832433 https://hal.science/hal-03832433

Preprint submitted on 27 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Understanding the cosmic abundance of ²²Na: lifetime measurements in ²³Mg

C. Fougères^{1,2,*}, *F*. de Oliveira Santos^{1,**}, *N*. *A*. Smirnova³, *C*. Michelagnoli^{1,5}, and GANIL-E710 / AGATA collaborations

¹Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL), CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France
²Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, USA
³LP2IB, Université de Bordeaux, CNRS/IN2P3, Gradignan, France

⁴Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France

Abstract. Simulations of explosive nucleosynthesis in novae predict the production of ²²Na, a key astronomical observable to constrain nova models. Its gamma-ray line at 1.275 MeV has not yet been observed by the gamma-ray space telescopes. The ²⁰Ne/²²Ne ratio in presolar grains, a possible tool to identify nova grains, also depends on ²²Na produced. Uncertainties on its yield in classical novae currently originate from the rate of the 22 Na(p, $\gamma)^{23}$ Mg reaction. At peak novae temperatures, this reaction is dominated by a resonance at E_R =0.204 MeV, corresponding to the E_x =7.785 MeV excited state in ²³Mg. The resonance strengths measured so far disagree by one order of magnitude. An experiment has been performed at GANIL to measure the lifetime and the proton branching ratio of this key state, with a femtosecond resolution for the former. The reactions populating states in ²³Mg have been studied with a high resolution detection set-up, i.e. the particle VAMOS, SPIDER and gamma tracking AGATA spectrometers, allowing the measurements of lifetimes and proton branchings. We present here a comparison between experimental results and shell-model calculations, that allowed us to assign the spin and parity of the key state. Rather small values obtained for reduced M1 matrix elements, $|M(M1)| \leq 0.5 \mu_N$, and proton spectroscopic factors, $C^2 S_p < 10^{-2}$, seem to be beyond the accuracy of the shell model. With the reevaluated ${}^{22}Na(p, \gamma){}^{23}Mg$ rate, the ²²Na detectability limit and its observation frequency from novae are found promising for the future space telescopes.

Many nuclei are synthesized in explosive stellar environments, like classical novae, supernovae and neutron star mergers. Novae are transient astronomical events and the most frequent explosive events in our galaxy, after X-ray bursts. Binary stellar systems lie behind novae: a white dwarf star accreting hydrogen-rich matter from its companion star. An explosive nucleosynthesis happens at the white dwarf surface when the density reaches a certain value. Beyond this well understood picture, large uncertainties remain in our knowledge of novae: the white dwarf initial conditions (mass, luminosity), the accretion rate and its composition, the amount of admixed white dwarf material with the accreta, the ejected mass, to list a few [1]. Aftermath explosion, gas is crystallized, imprinting the ejecta composition into

^{*}e-mail: cfougeres@anl.gov

^{**}e-mail: oliveira@ganil.fr

grains. The presolar nova grains shed some lights into these stellar explosions [1-3]. Furthermore, novae are thought to end as supernovae of type Ia [4], the number of SNIa being one method to estimate the cosmic expansion. Therefore, astronomic observables are required to improve our understanding of novae: ²²Na has revealed itself as a key candidate.

The ²²Na radioisotope, by its lifetime τ =2.6 yr, is a good radioactive tracer of novae [7]: (*i*) it survives to the opaque explosion stage, (*ii*) the decay time is short enough to ensure space-time correlation. Hence, ²²Na is a promising candidate for observing γ ray emissions from novae [8]. The emitted γ rays at E_{γ} =1.275 MeV (and 0.511 MeV) are expected from hours to months after the explosion [9]. They were looked by the missions CGRO/COMPTEL [10] and INTEGRAL/SPI [11], leading only to upper limits for the ejected amount of ²²Na (left Fig. 1). New space telescopes are in preparation, namely



Figure 1. Left: The expected ²²Na mass ejected from a nova is given along the strength of the $E_R=0.204$ MeV resonance, from simulations with the MESA code [5]. Colored lines mark the Integral and Comptel space telescope lower sensitivity limits. **Right:** Levels scheme of ²³Mg and resonance energies E_R of the proton capture reaction. The Gamow window of novae is shown with the red zone.

COSI [12] and e-ASTROGAM [13], with sensitivities 20 times higher than INTEGRAL. The radioisotope ²²Na can be also measured, indirectly, by the over-abundance of the daughter nucleus ²²Ne in presolar grains. Neon noble gas is not expected to easily condense into dust grains and, so, the presence of ²²Ne implies in-situ β^+ decays of ²²Na. A ²²Ne excess was observed [14]. Therefore, it is critical to well quantify ²²Na nucleosynthesis in novae.

ONe novae are predicted to be the main site of ²²Na production [6]. In these sites, the matter is composed of a mixture between the transferred proton rich gas and the white dwarf envelop with mainly oxygen and neon. The nucleosynthesis involves H to Ca isotopes, proton capture reactions and β^+ decays [1]. The production pathway of ²²Na is ${}^{20}Ne(p,\gamma)^{21}Na(p,\gamma)^{22}Mg(\beta^+)^{22}Na$ at high temperatures and ${}^{20}Ne(p,\gamma)^{21}Na(\beta^+)^{21}Ne(p,\gamma)^{22}Na$ otherwise. The thermal window of novae is [0.05, 0.5] GK. The destruction of ${}^{22}Na$ proceeds via β^+ decays and ${}^{22}Na(p,\gamma)^{23}Mg$. The latter reaction is the least known, resulting into a factor of 10 uncertainty in the predicted amount of ${}^{22}Na$ ejected (left Fig. 1). Since the direct capture contribution was found to be negligible [15, 16], the total reaction rate is determined by several narrow resonances in the Gamow window $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{tot} = \sum_i (\frac{2\pi}{\mu_{i22}Na,p}k_{BT}})^{\frac{3}{2}}\hbar^2(\omega\gamma)_i \exp(-\frac{E_{Ri}}{k_{BT}})$ (right Fig. 1). A direct measurement at astrophysical energies showed that a resonance at $E_R = 0.204$ MeV dominates the ${}^{22}Na(p,\gamma)^{23}Mg$ rate [17]. However, its contribution disagrees by a factor 3 or even more with other measurements [16, 18]. Such a direct measurement is complex due to the radioactive nature of the target. The resonance strength can be determined indirectly from the spectroscopic properties of the $E_x=7.785$ MeV state in ${}^{23}Mg$, i.e.

 $\omega \gamma = \frac{2J_{23_{Mg}}+1}{(2J_{22_{Na}}+1)(2J_{p}+1)} \frac{\Gamma_{p} \times \Gamma_{\gamma}}{\Gamma_{tot}}$. The total and proton widths can be experimentally accessed via the state lifetime $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma_{tot}$ and proton branching ratio $BR_{p} = \Gamma_{p}/\Gamma_{tot}$. But the measured lifetime, $\tau = 10(3)$ fs [19], is in contradiction with shell-model predictions, as developed hereafter.

An experiment has been performed at GANIL facility, France, to strongly reduce the rate uncertainties of 22 Na(p, γ) 23 Mg through the measurements of τ and BR_p. It is briefly presented here. Deduced spectroscopic properties are then discussed in relation with results from shell-model calculations.

Experimental approach

The state of interest has been populated with a ²⁴Mg beam of E=4.6 MeV/u onto a ³Heimplanted gold target. The reactions ³He(²⁴Mg, ⁴He)²³Mg* have been selected and measured with: the spectrometer VAMOS [20] for ⁴He identification and momenta measurement, the γ -ray tracking spectrometer AGATA [21], and the silicon telescope SPIDER for proton momenta measurements. Momenta reconstruction has been improved thanks to a small gas chamber placed in front of VAMOS. The γ -ray transitions and lifetimes have been measured for about 20 identified states in ²³Mg*. The branching ratios have been measured for the measured protons from states close to the proton emission threshold. Details can be found in Ref. [15] and results will be more extensively discussed in forthcoming publications.

Nuclear structure considerations

Insights from theory are discussed in relation with the performed spectroscopy of ²³Mg. Two different spins were proposed for the $E_x=7.785$ MeV state, either $\frac{7}{2}^+[19]$ or $\frac{5}{2}^+[22]$. The value $\frac{3}{2}^+[22]$ is ruled out since the ²³Al⁺ β^+ -delayed proton emission was observed in [23, 24]. Among calculated levels in ²³Mg, this state has not yet been clearly identified. We present here a theoretical analysis of the partial ²³Mg level scheme, based on the *sd*-shell calculations with charge-dependent versions of USDA and USDB [25, 26] (USDAcpn and USDBcpn) using the NushellX code [27]. In general, those interactions are known to provide a very good description of *sd*-shell nuclei. Excited states in ²³Mg, including resonant ones, have been identified by comparing experimental energies, gamma decay branching ratios and ²³Al β^+ feeding with theoretical quantities. Let us recall that a partial electromagnetic decay width Γ_{γ} is related to the reduced γ -ray transition strength B_{γ} via $\Gamma_{\gamma,(L, i \to f)} = \frac{8\pi(L+1)}{L[(2L+1)!!]^2} (\frac{E_{\gamma,0}}{\hbar c})^{2L+1} B_{\gamma}$. The proton decay width can be expressed as $\Gamma_p = \sum_{\ell,j} C^2 S_p(\ell j) \times \Gamma_{s.p.}(\ell j)$ where $C^2 S_p(\ell j)$ refer to proton spectroscopic factors, $\Gamma_{s.p.}(\ell j)$ to the single-particle proton decay widths which are calculated from proton scattering cross-sections on a Woods-Saxon potential.

Assessment of shell-model states with observations is more challenging at higher energies because of the increasing level density. This has still been achieved for the $E_x \sim 7.5$ -8 MeV states in ²³Mg (details in [15]). Experimental B(M1) values have been determined from (*i*) measured (τ , $E_{\gamma,0}$), (*ii*) identified M1 transitions [29] among observed γ rays and (*iii*) the assumption of small E2 contributions. The comparison of experimental and theoretical values of reduced M1 matrix elements, $|M(M1)| = \sqrt{(2J_i + 1)B(M1)}$, is presented in Fig. 2 for transitions in ²³Mg and ^{21,23}Na [30]. Optimum effective *g*-factors USDA(6) and USDB(6) from Table I of Ref. [28] have been used to parameterize the M1 operator. The results for the state of interest are emphasized by the red contours. At the bottom, the ratios of shell-model to experimental reduced matrix elements highlight differences by about a few times for small values of |M(M1)|. Although the accuracy in reproduction of |M(M1)| for selected isotopes close to ²³Mg is consistent with the rms deviations of about 0.22 - 0.20 μ_N reported for USDA



Figure 2. Correlation between measured and theoretical reduced matrix elements |M(M1)| values for transitions in ²³Mg and ^{21,23}Na [30]. Theoretical values were obtained from *sd*-shell calculations with USDAcpn and USDBcpn [25, 26], experimental ones from measured (τ , E_{χ ,0}), resulting in rms=0.322 μ_N (USDAcpn) and rms=0.273 μ_N (USDBcpn). It follows that the largest relative deviations are observed for the lowest |M(M1)| values, as for the E_x =7.785 MeV state shown in the red contours.

and USDB [28], we see that the M1 transition from the key state of interest is among the most challenging for theory.

The two E_x =7.785 and 7.803 MeV states are now discussed in more details, the latter being the IAS of the ²³Al ground state ($J^{\pi} = \frac{5}{2}^{+}$). The γ -ray width, never measured for the IAS, is 3.0(2) eV for the mirror state, in agreement with the shell-model results of 2 eV (USDAcpn) and 3 eV (USDBcpn). From the previous experiment on BR_p [31], the proton width and spectroscopic factor are deduced for this isospin-forbidden proton emission, i.e. Γ_p =5(2) meV and C^2S_p =0.0056(20) versus C^2S_p =0.0073 (USDAcpn) and 3×10⁻⁵ (USDBcpn).

We now discuss two possible spin assignments for the key state exploring two plausible candidates. As shown in Fig. 3, the theoretical γ -decay pattern of the $\frac{5}{28}^+$ state strongly differs from the observed one, as oppose to the $J^{\pi} = \frac{7}{27}^+$ state where there is a good agreement. This is true regardless of the interaction used. Moreover, a spin assignment $\frac{5}{2}^+$ of the key state would imply a strong isospin-mixing with the IAS (as predicted by the shell model) which is just 18 keV above. However, the measured $\log_{10}(ft)=3.305(23)$ of the IAS [32] is in excellent agreement with no mixing hypothesis, and the one of the $E_x=7.785$ MeV state agrees with the calculated $\frac{7}{27}^+$ state. Consequently, $J^{\pi}=\frac{7}{2}^+$ is assigned for the key state.

This conclusion of no mixing is supported by the small gamma width of the key state, $\Gamma_{\gamma} \leq 0.1$ eV, if compared to the gamma width of the IAS. Regarding proton emission, from the measured BR_p , a small spectroscopic factor $C^2S_p \approx 10^{-3}$ was obtained. Theoretical



Figure 3. Comparison between γ -ray transitions from the E_x =7.785 state and from shell-model candidates: $(n_{SM}=7, J^{\pi}=\frac{7}{27})$ and $(n_{SM}=8, J^{\pi}=\frac{5}{28})$. A good agreement is observed for the blue transitions, strengthening the $n_{SM}=7$ candidate as the E_x =7.785 MeV state (intensities are given in %.)

predictions are $C^2S_p=0.003$ (USDAcpn) and 0.05 (USDBcpn), assuming 7/2⁺ assignment. Although the former result captures the order of magnitude, we remark that similar to M1 observable, very small values of spectroscopic factors may not be perfectly described.



Figure 4. Map of the Milky Way with the expected flux of 22 Na, represented by the red dots, from the ONe novae observed for the last 60 years. Circles represent the limit distance of COSI and eASTROGAM to detect γ -rays from 22 Na. The former should detect such emission once every 20 yr.

In the present work, a detailed comparison has been drawn between experimentally observed and shell-model states in ²³Mg. It appears that shell-model predictions may have large relative uncertainties, up to a factor of 10, for very small ($<10^{-2}$) spectroscopic factors and M1 transition probabilities. This is apparently the case of the key state. Nevertheless, shell model has brought helpful arguments favoring $\frac{7}{2}^+$ spin assignment of the E_R=0.204 MeV resonant state. The measured total and partial widths of this state have led to a reevaluation of the ²²Na(p, γ)²³Mg rate at novae temperatures. According to the future COSI and eASTROGAM sensitivities, it allows us to estimate the maximum detectability distance and a conservative observation frequency of ²²Na based on the detected ONe novae [1, 33]. These predictions, illustrated in Fig. 4, are encouraging the search for ²²Na with the new space telescopes.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the GANIL accelerator staff for their beam delivery and support, the AGATA and VAMOS collaborations and their strong efforts, and the Normandie region.

References

- [1] José, J., CRC Press, (2020)
- [2] Amari, S. et al., ApJ, 551, 1065-1072 (2001)
- [3] Bose, M. et al., ApJ, 873, 14 (2019)
- [4] Bravo, E. et al., MNRAS 517, L31–L35 (2022)
- [5] Paxton, B. et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., 208, 4 (2013)
- [6] José, J., and Coc, A. and Hernanz, M., ApJ, 520, 347-360 (1999)
- [7] Diehl, R., Astronomical Review, 8, 4-52 (2013)
- [8] Clayton, D.D. et al., ApJ, 187, 101 (1974)
- [9] Hernanz, M, 2nd eASTROGAM Workshop (2017).
- [10] Schoenfelder, V. et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., 86, 657 (1993)
- [11] Vedrenne, G. et al., A&A, 411, (2003)
- [12] Tomsick, J.A. et al., Astro2020 APC White Paper (2020)
- [13] De Angelis, A. et al., JHEAp, 19, 1-106 (2018)
- [14] Black, D.C., Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 36, (1972)
- [15] Fougères, C., Normandie Université Ph.D. thesis, HAL tel-03768524, (2022)
- [16] Stegmüller, F. et al., Nucl. Phy. A., 601, 168-180 (1996)
- [17] Sallaska, A. L. et al., Phy. Rev. Lett., 105, 152501 (2010)
- [18] Seuthe, S. et al., Nucl. Phy. A., 514, 471-502 (1990)
- [19] Jenkins, D. G. et al., Phy. Rev. Lett., 92, 031101 (2004)
- [20] Rejmund, M. et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A, 646, 184-191 (2011)
- [21] Clément, E. et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A, 855, 1-12 (2017)
- [22] Kwag, M. S. et al., Eur. Phys. J. A, 56, 108 (2020)
- [23] Saastamoinen, A. et al., Phys. Rev. C, 83, 045808 (2011)
- [24] Friedman, M. et al., Phys. Rev. C, 101, 052802 (2020)
- [25] Ormand, W. E. and Brown, B. A., Nuclear Physics A 491, 1-23 (1989)
- [26] Brown, B. A. and Richter, W. A., Phys. Rev. C 74, 034315 (2006)
- [27] Brown, B. A. and Rae, W. D. M., Nuclear Data Sheets 120, 115-118 (2014)
- [28] Richter, W. A. and Mkhize, S. and Brown, B. A., Phys. Rev. C 78, 064302 (2008)
- [29] Shamsuzzoha Basunia, M. and Chakraborty, A., Nuclear Data Sheets, 171, 1-252 (2021)
- [30] Hasan, A. K. et al., Ukrainian Journal of Physics 65, 3 (2020)
- [31] Peräjärvi, K. et al., Phys. Let. B, 492, 1-7 (2000)
- [32] Zhai, Y., Ph.D. thesis (2007)
- [33] Hachisu, I. et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., 242, 18 (2019)